**communications** biology

### ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02617-w

OPEN

# Efficient production of vindoline from tabersonine by metabolically engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Tengfei Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Ying Huang<sup>3</sup>, Lihong Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Chang Dong<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuanwei Gou₀<sup>1</sup> & Jiazhang Lian₀<sup>1,2⊠</sup>

Vindoline is a plant derived monoterpene indole alkaloid (MIA) with potential therapeutic applications and more importantly serves as the precursor to vinblastine and vincristine. To obtain a yeast strain for high yield production of vindoline from tabersonine, multiple metabolic engineering strategies were employed via the CRISPR/Cas9 mediated multiplex genome integration technology in the present study. Through increasing and tuning the copy numbers of the pathway genes, pairing cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) with appropriate cytochrome P450 reductases (CPRs), engineering the microenvironment for functional expression of CYPs, enhancing cofactor supply, and optimizing fermentation conditions, the production of vindoline was increased to a final titer as high as ~16.5 mg/L, which is more than 3,800,000-fold higher than the parent strain and the highest tabersonine to vindoline conversion yield ever reported. This work represents a key step of the engineering efforts to establish de novo biosynthetic pathways for vindoline, vinblastine, and vincristine.

Check for updates

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Biomass Chemical Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. <sup>2</sup> Hangzhou Global Scientific and Technological Innovation Center, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. <sup>3</sup> School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing 100102, China. <sup>Sem</sup>email: jzlian@zju.edu.cn

indoline is a monoterpene indole alkaloid (MIA) derived from Catharanthus roseus, one of the most extensively investigated medicinal plants. Vindoline serves as both the biosynthetic and synthetic precursor of the therapeutically important anticancer drugs, vinblastine, and vincristine<sup>1,2</sup>. However, the low yield of these MIAs in plant as well as the difficulty in chemical synthesis result in high market demands with exorbitant price<sup>3</sup>. To overcome these drawbacks, several studies have shown that metabolically engineered yeasts are promising cell factories for the production of plant-derived alkaloids, such as sanguinarine<sup>4</sup>, strictosidine<sup>5</sup>, noscapine<sup>6</sup>, tropine<sup>7</sup>, and scopolamine<sup>8</sup>. For example, a combination of enzyme engineering, pathway and strain engineering, and fermentation optimization led to the production of noscapine at a titer of  $\sim 2.2 \text{ mg/L}$  in shake flasks<sup>6</sup>. In another study, enhancing the supply of precursors, removing competing pathways, and increasing the copy numbers of rate-limiting enzyme encoding genes were employed to achieve de novo production of strictosidine, with a final titer as high as  $\sim 0.5 \text{ mg/L}^5$ .

Recently, the entire 31-step biosynthetic pathway from geranyl pyrophosphate to vindoline has been fully elucidated in C. roseus<sup>9-15</sup>. The biosynthesis of vindoline from tabersonine (Fig. 1a) starts from the hydroxylation by the tabersonine 16hydroxylase 2 (T16H2), followed by O-methylation by the 16hydroxytabersonine O-methyltransferase (16OMT), resulting in the formation of 16-hydroxytabersonine and 16-methoxytabersonine, respectively. 16-Methoxytabersonine is then sequentially catalyzed by T3O (tabersonine 3-oxygenase; CYP71D1V2)/T3R (tabersonine 3-reductase; ALDH1), NMT (3hvdroxy-16-methoxy-2,3-dihydrotabersonine-N-methyltransferase), D4H (desacetoxyvindoline-4-hydroxylase), and DAT (deacetvlvindoline-4-O-acetyltransferase), leading to the biosynthesis of vindoline. Noteworthy, as several cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) were involved in vindoline biosynthesis (i.e., T16H2 and T3O), cytochrome P450 reductases (CPRs) should be included as electron transfer partners. In addition, cytochrome b5 (CYB5),

serving as an electron transfer link between NADPH and cytochrome, was often co-expressed with CPRs to enhance electron transfer efficiency, and accordingly the enzymatic activity of CYPs and the production of natural products<sup>16</sup>. Due to enzyme promiscuity, vindorosine was synthesized by the same pathway (Fig. 1a), which is considered as the biggest challenge and should be minimized for high-yield production of vindoline<sup>17</sup>. Although the tabersonine to vindoline conversion pathway has been elucidated and reconstituted, the titer and conversion yield was extremely low, which hampered the reconstitution of de novo biosynthetic pathways to produce vindoline, vinblastine, and vincristine. In other words, metabolic engineering efforts should be devoted to improving the titer and yield of vindoline.

In the present study, Saccharomyces cerevisiae was employed as a host for the reconstitution of the biosynthetic pathway of vindoline from tabersonine. Multiple metabolic engineering efforts were made to achieve high-yield biosynthesis of vindoline. Initially, the reconstitution of the whole biosynthetic pathway (T16H2, 16OMT, T3O, T3R, NMT, D4H, DAT, CPR, and CYB5 from C. roseus) with one chromosomal copy for each gene resulted in marginal production of vindoline in yeast. Followed by increasing the expression levels of the rate-limiting enzyme encoding genes, pairing CYPs with appropriate CPRs, modifying the microenvironment for CYPs functional expression, enhancing cofactor supply, and optimizing fermentation conditions (Fig. 1b), the production of vindoline was increased for more than ~3,800,000fold, with a final titer as high as ~16.5 mg/L. The vindolineproducing yeast strain constructed in this study not only serves as a classic example of metabolic engineering for producing valueadded secondary metabolites (i.e., plant natural products), but also takes a critical step in establishing de novo biosynthetic pathways to produce vindoline, vinblastine, and vincristine.

#### Results

**Reconstitution of the vindoline biosynthetic pathway in yeast**. As the biosynthetic pathway from tabersonine has been fully



**Fig. 1 Construction of a vindoline-producing yeast strain. a** Metabolic pathway for the biosynthesis of vindoline/vindorosine from tabersonine. Eight plant-derived enzymes should be functionally expressed in yeast to reconstitute the vindoline biosynthetic pathway. *T16H2*: tabersonine 16-hydroxylase 2; *16OMT*: 16-hydroxytabersonine O-methyltransferase; *T3O*: tabersonine 3-oxygenase; *T3R*: tabersonine 3-reductase; *NMT*: 3-hydroxy-16-methoxy-2,3-dihydrotabersonine-N-methyltransferase; *D4H*: desacetoxyvindoline-4-hydroxylase; *DAT*: deacetylvindoline-4-O-acetyltransferase; *CPR*: cytochrome P450 reductases; 16-OH-tabersonine: 16-hydroxytabersonine: 16-MOH-tabersonine: 16-methoxytabersonine; 3-OH-16-MOH-2,3-2H-tabersonine: 3-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro-tabersonine; 3-OH-16-MOH-2,3-2H-tabersonine: 3-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro-tabersonine. **b** Brief engineering strategies for the construction of an efficient *S. cerevisiae* cell factory for high-yield production of vindoline from tabersonine. Different colors represented CPRs with different origins, e represented the electron transfer between CYPs and CPRs.









elucidated with several CYPs involved (i.e., T16H2 and T<sub>3</sub>O, Fig. 1a), VSY002, in which CrCPR and CrCYB5 had been integrated, was firstly constructed for the introduction of vindoline biosynthetic pathway. Unfortunately, the production of vindoline in strain VSY006, containing a single copy of each gene of the whole vindoline biosynthetic pathway, was nearly undetectable with MRM mode or scan mode (as low as ~4.2 ng/L). On the contrary, the by-product vindorosine, which could be synthesized from tabersonine by the downstream pathway (T3O/T3R, NMT, D4H, and DAT), was accumulated to high levels (Fig. 2). These results indicated that the T16H2 and 16OMT activities might be the bottlenecks for vindoline biosynthesis, which was consistent with the previous study<sup>17</sup>. To verify the hypothesis, the plasmid pESC-LEU2d-T16H2-16OMT was transformed into the VSY006 strain. Compared with VSY006, the introduction of T16H2 and 16OMT on a multi-copy plasmid (strain VSY007) significantly increased the vindoline production (13.8 µg/L). Meanwhile, the accumulation of vindorosine was dramatically decreased and the production level was ~2.34 fold lower than that of vindoline (Fig. 2a). The LC-MS SIM mode profiles clearly indicated the metabolic shift from vindorosine ( $t_{\rm R} = 34.02 \text{ min}, m/z = 427.05$ ) accumulation in VSY006 to vindoline ( $t_{\rm R} = 33.16$  min, m/z = 457.05) biosynthesis in VSY007 (Fig. 2b). These results revealed that increasing the copy numbers of T16H2 and 16OMT was beneficial for converting tabersonine to vindoline.

**Improving vindoline production via biosynthetic pathway optimization**. Considering that higher copy numbers of *T16H2*  and 16OMT were required for vindoline production and the plasmid system was not suitable for practical applications, multiplex and multi-copy genome integration of the biosynthetic pathway genes was employed using the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing tool (Supplementary Fig. S1). As shown in Fig. 3, the integration of another copy of T16H2 and 16OMT expression cassettes into strain VSY006 increased the production of vindoline to 130.3 µg/L (VSY008), which was also significantly higher than that of the plasmid system (strain VSY007), indicating the advantage of genome integration for cell factory development. Further integration of another copy of the other pathway genes into VSY008 resulted in the construction of VSY009, which harbored two copies for each gene of the vindoline biosynthesis pathway. The production of vindoline in strain VSY009 was increased ~1.71-fold to 221.9 µg/L, when compared with strain VSY008. However, vindorosine was still accumulated to high levels, indicating that T16H2 and 16OMT remained the bottlenecks for high-yield conversion of tabersonine to vindoline.

To further enhance 16-hydroxytabersonine and 16methyoxytabersonine synthesis and accordingly direct the metabolic flow toward vindoline production, additional copies of T16H2 and 16OMT were further integrated into VSY009, resulting in the construction of VSY014 (three copies of T16H2 and 16OMT), VSY015 (four copies of T16H2 and 16OMT), and VSY016 (five copies of T16H2 and 16OMT). VSY015 harboring four copies of T16H2 and 16OMT and two copies of the remaining pathway genes resulted in the highest production of vindoline, which was 3.2- and 1.88-fold higher than that of VSY008 and VSY009, respectively. Integration of extra copies of T16H2 and 16OMT failed to further increase the conversion of tabersonine into vindoline (Fig. 3). Therefore, the biosynthetic pathway with four copies of T16H2 and 16OMT and two copies of T3O, T3R, NMT, D4H, and DAT were optimal for vindoline conversion, and VSY015 was chosen for subsequent optimization.

Engineering CYPs microenvironment and increasing cosubstrate availability for increased vindoline production. As mentioned above, two plant CYPs (T16H2 and T3O) were involved in vindoline biosynthesis. Considering the pivotal role of CPRs in NADPH-mediated electron transfer and the requirement of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane localization for CYPs activities, the following strategies were employed to improve the microenvironment of CYPs for optimal performance: (1) CYP-CPR pairing; (2) ER expansion; (3) NADPH supply enhancement.

Previous studies demonstrated that the source of CPRs could affect the activities of CYPs and the native CPRs were not necessarily the best partner for CYPs in a heterologous host<sup>18–20</sup>. Therefore, CYPs should be appropriately paired with CPRs for optimal function and accordingly vindoline biosynthesis. In yeast strain VSY015, the native CPR from *C. roseus* (*CrCPR*) was



**Fig. 4 Combinatorial optimization of CYPs-CPRs pairing to improve vindoline production.** Five CPRs with different origins (*CrCPR* from *C. roseus, AtCPR1* from *A. thaliana, GuCPR1* from *G. uralensis, GICPR* from *G. lucidum,* and *MTR2* from *Medicago*) were integrated into the yeast genome and their effects on vindoline production were investigated. All strains were cultured in SC with 2% galactose in the presence of 50 mg/L tabersonine. Error bars represent SD of biological triplicates (n = 3).

integrated. To investigate the effect of CYPs-CPRs pairing on vindoline biosynthesis, four different CPR-encoding genes including *AtCPR1* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), *GuCPR1* (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis*), *GlCPR* (*Ganoderma lucidum*), and *MTR2* (*Medicago*) were chosen to replace *CrCPR* in VSY015, resulting in the construction of VSY017, VSY018, VSY019, and VSY020, respectively. The replacement of *CrCPR* with *AtCPR1* resulted in significant improvements in vindoline production (~1.8-fold, 662.4  $\mu$ g/L), while a comparable titer was observed for all the remaining CPR replacement (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the integration of an additional copy of *CrCPR* into VSY015 and VSY017 resulted in decreased vindoline production, 253.4  $\mu$ g/L in VSY021 and 455.8  $\mu$ g/L in VSY022, respectively.

As AtCPR1 demonstrated the best compatibility with the two C. roseus CYPs (T16H2 and T3O), an additional copy of AtCPR1 was integrated into VSY017. Unfortunately, the production of vindoline was decreased in VSY017-2 (Supplementary Fig. S2), indicating the benefits of overexpression of AtCPR1 was counteracted by the endogenous CPR (ScCPR), encoded by *NCP1*<sup>21</sup>. ScCPR has been found to possess low compatibility with plant CYPs and might interfere with the electron transfer between AtCPR1 and C. roseus CYPs (T16H2 and T3O). Therefore, to further explore the CYP-CPR interaction for optimal catalytic activity of CYPs, NCP1 was deleted and the effect on vindoline production was investigated in the present study. VSY017 was further engineered by inserting CPRs and CrCYB5 expression cassettes into the NCP1 locus, resulting in the construction of VSY017-3, VSY017-4, VSY017-5, VSY017-6, and VSY017-7, respectively. As expected, the integration of an additional copy of CPRs into the NCP1 locus of VSY017 increased vindoline production, with the highest production achieved in VSY017-3 (1264.2 µg/L), representing a two-fold increase over the parental strain VSY017 (Supplementary Fig. S2). In other words, the deletion of NCP1 in the yeast strain harboring two copies of AtCPR1-CrCYB5 increased vindoline production by about 2.6fold. Based on these results, two genomic copies of AtCPR1 together with NCP1 deletion contributed to the best electron transfer compatibility with CYPs of the vindoline biosynthetic pathway.

As plant CYPs and CPRs are generally ER-localized membrane proteins<sup>22</sup>, the expansion of ER should enable higher enzymatic



**Fig. 5 Optimization of vindoline production through ER expansion and cofactor supply enhancement (NADPH and SAM).** With VSY017 as the parent strain, *OPI1* was deleted and *INO2* was overexpressed for ER expansion, *ZWF1* and *GAPN* were overexpressed to enhance NADPH supply, and *SAM2* was overexpressed to increase SAM availability. All strains were cultured in SC with 2% galactose in the presence of 50 mg/L tabersonine. Error bars represent SD of biological triplicates (*n* = 3).

activities by providing more space for the folding of CYPs and CPRs<sup>23</sup>. Previous investigations have demonstrated that ER expansion could be achieved by the deletion of PAH123, the deletion of OPI1, and the overexpression of INO2<sup>24</sup>. Although attempted multiple times, the deletion of PAH1 was not successful in the vindoline-producing strain. The discrepancy with the previous study might result from the use of yeast strains with different genetic background. Therefore, the overexpression of INO2 and the deletion of OPI1 were employed in the present study. In addition, NADPH functions as the cofactor for CPRs mediated electron transfer and the increased NADPH availability has been shown to enhance CYPs activities<sup>25</sup>. The introduction of GAPN<sup>26</sup> (NADP<sup>+</sup>-dependent glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from Streptococcus mutans) and overexpression of ZWF1 were commonly employed strategies to enhance NADPH supply. Enhancing the supply of S-Adenosyl-Methionine (SAM) via the overexpression of SAM2 has been demonstrated to improve the methyltransferase activity and accordingly the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in yeast as well<sup>5</sup>. Therefore, VSY017 was further engineered by inserting the GAPN and ZWF1 expression cassettes into the OPI1 locus (VSY023), followed by the integration of SAM2 and INO2 expression cassettes (VSY024). The production of vindoline in VSY023 and VSY024 was increased to 1339.0 µg/L and 1662.7 µg/L, respectively (Fig. 5). Overall, the production of vindoline was increased for about fourfold (from ~417.1 µg/L in VSY015 to ~1662.7 µg/L in VSY024) via engineering of the CYP microenvironment, including CYPs-CPRs pairing, ER expansion, and NADPH supply enhancement.

**Optimization of fermentation conditions for the biosynthesis of vindoline**. To further increase vindoline production, the fermentation conditions were briefly optimized in shaker flasks. First, SC and YP medium were investigated with different concentrations of tabersonine (10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 mg/L). Generally, more vindoline could be produced if a higher concentration of tabersonine was supplemented. As shown in Fig. 6a, compared with the synthetic medium, the rich medium YP led to a pronounced increase in vindoline titers (as high as ~5.8 mg/L), particularly under low tabersonine concentration. The better performance might result from improved enzyme expression levels and better cell growth in the rich medium. Nevertheless, in the YP medium, the conversion yield of



**Fig. 6 Effect of tabersonine concentration on the production of vindoline. a** The strain (VSY024) was cultured in SC (shown in blue) or YP (shown in red) medium with 2% galactose in the presence of various concentrations of tabersonine at 30 °C. Conversion yield was calculated by the conversion of tabersonine to vindoline, with the pink line representing the conversion yield in SC medium and the black line for that in YP medium. Error bars represent SD of biological triplicates (n = 3). **b** The accumulation of vindoline and intermediate metabolites in strain VSY024 when different amounts of tabersonine were supplemented into YP medium. Data are average of biological triplicates (n = 3).

tabersonine to vindoline was dramatically decreased with higher substrate supplementation (Fig. 6a).

To explore the possible reasons, the accumulation of the intermediate metabolites was analyzed when different concentrations of tabersonine were supplemented into YP medium. As shown in Fig. 6b, the percentage of 16-OH-tabersonine (light blue box) and 3-OH-16-MOH-2,3-2H-tabersonine (light green box) were increased with higher substrate supplementation, indicating that vindoline production was limited by the methyltransferases (16OMT and NMT). In addition, the percentage of 3-OH-2,3-2H-tabersonine (light orange box), an intermediate metabolite of the vindorosine pathway, was increased with higher substrate supplementation, implying that the promiscuity of T3O/T3R was another reason for low yield vindoline biosynthesis.

To address the dilemma between titer and yield, a low concentration of tabersonine (15 mg/L) was fed into the fermentation broth every 24 h after galactose induction in VSY024 and VSY025. With a similar amount of tabersonine supplemented (roughly 100 mg/L), the intermittent supply of the substrate at a lower concentration resulted in improved both titer and yield of vindoline, whose maximal titer reached 11.7 mg/L and 16.5 mg/L, respectively (Fig. 7a). In addition, by keeping tabersonine at a low concentration, the accumulation of vindorosine and its biosynthetic intermediates were largely decreased, while the vindoline biosynthetic intermediates (such as 3-OH-16-MOH-2,3-2H-tabersonine and desacetoxyvindoline) were still accumulated to high levels (Fig. 7b). In other words, the concerns with the promiscuity of T3O/T3R could be addressed to some extent by lowering tabersonine concentration, while the biosynthetic pathway should be further optimized to minimize intermediate accumulation.

Finally, to compare the performance of VSY025 with the previously constructed vindoline producing strain by Qu et al.<sup>17</sup>, which harbored the biosynthetic pathway genes on multi-copy plasmids, yeast biotransformation assay was carried out under the same conditions. With the supplementation of ~75 mg/L, while the strain constructed by Qu et al. could produce ~1.1 mg/L vindoline, VSY025 was able to produce 17.7 mg/L and 29.4 mg/L vindoline in SC medium and YP medium, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3), indicating the advantages of the genome-integrated strains in the biosynthesis of natural products. More importantly, tabersonine biotransformation using high concentration of yeast cells not only decreased by-product formation,

but also minimized intermediate accumulation, particularly in YP medium (Fig. 7b).

#### Discussion

Vindoline is suggested to be one of a major alkaloid compound in *C. roseus* and has been demonstrated to possess antidiabetic activities<sup>27</sup>. More importantly, vindoline is condensed with catharanthine to generate the anticancer drugs vinblastine and vincristine. In the present study, the vindoline biosynthetic pathway from tabersonine was reconstituted and systematically optimized in yeast using the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated multiplex genome editing technology.

CYPs are generally considered as the bottleneck for efficient production of secondary metabolites in microbial cell factories. Vindoline production was significantly increased by improving the expression and activities of eukaryotic P450s in yeast (Fig. 4). Eukaryotic CYPs are a kind of ER located membrane proteins, thus the expansion of ER has been demonstrated to be beneficial to improve the functional expression and activities of CYPs. Based on prior studies, the knockout of OPI1 in conjunction with the overexpression of GAPN, ZWF1, and INO2 enhanced the vindoline titer to as high as 1662.7 µg/L in strain VSY024 (Fig. 5). Notably, the effect of PAH1 deletion on P450 activities has been demonstrated to enhance the production of medicagenic acid and sesquiterpenoid artemisinic acid in S. cerevisiae CEN.PK2. Unfortunately, the knockout of PAH1 was attempted several times without success in the yeast strain used in the present study (BY4741). The discrepancy might result from the differences in the genetic background of these two yeast strains, indicating our limited understanding of the yeast network regulating ER expansion. Considering the complexity of the ER expansion and membrane protein folding mechanisms, very limited targets (i.e. OPI1, INO2, and PAH1) have been identified and employed for metabolic engineering applications. Therefore, genome-scale engineering, such as RNAi-assisted genome evolution<sup>28</sup> and multi-functional genome-wide CRISPR system (MAGIC)<sup>29</sup>, should be performed to identify novel metabolic engineering targets to enhance the functional expression of CYPs.

Although systematic metabolic engineering was carried out to improve the pathway efficiency, the vindoline conversion yield was still not satisfactory. One concern is the accumulation of intermediate metabolites. In particular, 16-OH-tabersonine and



**Fig. 7 Optimization of fermentation conditions for enhanced vindoline production in yeast. a** Enhancing vindoline production in YP medium via feeding low concentration of tabersonine (-15 mg/L) every 24 h after galactose induction. A total of -100 mg/L tabersonine was fed into the fermentation broth. Error bars represent SD of biological triplicates. **b** The accumulation of vindoline and intermediate metabolites in strain VSY025 by intermittent feeding of low concentrations of tabersonine and high cell density yeast biotransformation (BioT). Data are average of biological triplicates (n = 3).

3-OH-16-MOH-2,3-2H-tabersonine were accumulated to high levels when high concentration of tabersonine was supplemented (Fig. 6b), indicating that vindoline production was limited by the methyltransferases (16OMT and NMT). Thus, the enzymatic activities of 16OMT and NMT and/or the supply of co-factors for methyltransferases (such as SAM) should be further enhanced. Recently, the activity of methyltransferases<sup>30</sup> was found to be affected by pH of the culture medium, indicating that fermentation conditions should be optimized to further increase the titer and yield of vindoline. Another concern is the formation of vindorosine as a by-product with higher substrate supplementation, which was resulted from the promiscuity of T3O for the conversion of tabersonine directly. The dilemma of titer and yield to some degree could be addressed by increasing the expression levels of T16H2 and 16OMT in combination of supplying low concentration of tabersonine in an intermittent manner. Nevertheless, a more promising and practical strategy is to engineer the substrate specificity of T3O. Specifically, T3O variants with enhanced activity on 16-methoxyl-tabersonine and minimized activity toward tabersonine are highly demanded for efficient and high-yield production of vindoline. For example, Sun et al. used homology modeling and molecular docking to enhance the activity and substrate specificity of CYP72A63, leading to a highlevel accumulation of 11-oxo-β-amyrin and glycyrrhetinic acid in S. cerevisiae<sup>31</sup>. Due to the lack of structural information for most eukaryotic CYPs, directed evolution based on random mutagenesis and high throughput screening can greatly broaden the research and application of CYPs engineering<sup>31,32</sup>.

Herein, multiple metabolic engineering strategies based on CRISPR/Cas9-mediated facile genome engineering technology were employed to systematically engineer *S. cerevisiae* to produce vindoline from tabersonine. A combination of pathway optimization, CYPs-CPRs pairing, ER expansion, cofactor supply enhancement, and fermentation optimization led to the construction of a yeast cell factory to produce vindoline from tabersonine with a final titer of 16.5 mg/L, which was more than 3,800,000-fold higher than the parent strain. Therefore, the multiple metabolic engineering strategies can not only be employed to facilitate the production of other value-added secondary metabolites, but also lay a solid foundation for the establishment of de novo biosynthetic pathways for producing vindoline, and even vinblastine and vincristine.

#### Methods

Strains, media, and reagents. Escherichia coli Trans T1 (Transgen Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) was used for gene cloning and plasmid amplification. E. coli transformants were selected and cultured in LB medium containing 100 mg/L ampicillin. S. cerevisiae BY4741 strain was used as the host for genome engineering and vindoline production. Yeast strains were routinely cultivated in SCD (5 g/L ammonium sulfate, 1.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base without ammonium and amino acids, 0.6 g/L CSM missing the appropriate nutrients, 20 g/L glucose, and 0.2 g/L methionine) or YPD (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, and 20 g/L glucose) medium. When necessary, 200 mg/L G418 sulfate (Sangon Bio-tech Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) was supplemented. All restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from NEB (Beijing, China). All chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless specifically mentioned. Tabersonine and vindoline standards were purchased from Chengdu DeSiTe Biological Technology Co., Ltd (Chengdu, China) and Yuanye Bio-tech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China), respectively.

Plasmid construction. Vindoline biosynthesis pathway genes including CrT16H2, Cr16OMT, CrT3O, CrT3R, CrNMT, CrD4H, CrDAT, CrCYB5, and CrCPR were kindly provided by Prof. Vincenzo De Luca (Brock University)<sup>17</sup>, AtCPR1 from A. thaliana, GlCPR from G. lucidum, and MTR2 from Medicago were kindly provided by Dr. Han Xiao<sup>33</sup> (Shanghai Jiao Tong University) and GuCPR1 from G. uralensis was provided by Prof. Chun Li<sup>18</sup> (TsingHua University). The coding sequences of all the genes used in this study were listed in Supplementary Data 2 and cloned into the multiple cloning sites of the pESC series vectors (pESC-URA, pESC-LEU, pESC-LEU2d, and pESC-HIS) by digestion/ligation (MCS1: BamHI/XhoI; MCS2: NotI) or Gibson Assembly. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Tsingke Biotech Co., Ltd (Hangzhou, China). KOD-Plus-Neo DNA Polymerase (TOYOBO Biotech Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was used for gene amplification and PCR products were purified by the Gene JET PCR Purification Kit (Thermo fisher Scientific, Shanghai, China). Plasmids were extracted from E. coli using the AxyPrep Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Axygen, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA sequencing was performed by Tsingke Biotech Co., Ltd (Hangzhou, China). All the plasmids used in this study were listed in Table 1 and the corresponding primers were provided in Supplementary Table S1.

**Strain construction**. All genetic modifications in yeast were carried out via the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing method<sup>34,35</sup> and the schematic overview was briefly demonstrated in Supplementary Fig. S1. Genome integration loci and the corresponding gRNA plasmids (Supplementary Table S2) were constructed in our previous studies<sup>29,36–38</sup>. For the construction of strains VSY001-VSY024, target gene expression cassettes were amplified by PCR with 40 bp homology arms to the target chromosomal loci and co-transformed with the corresponding gRNA plasmids to the Cas9 expressing yeast strains using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method<sup>39</sup>. All the strains constructed in this study were listed in Table1.

**Fermentation conditions**. For vindoline and other intermediates quantification, a single colony was picked from YPD or SCD agar plates and subcultured at 30 °C and 250 rpm for 24 h. Then, 100  $\mu$ L seed culture was inoculated into a 250 mL flask containing 10 mL fresh YPD or SCD medium. After culturing at 30 °C for 24 h, the

Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

## ARTICLE

Plasmid/strain name	Description	Source
Plasmids		
pRS41K-SpCas9	CEN/ARS; G418; AmpR; TEF1p-SpCas9-TEF1t	36
p423-SpSgH	2μ; HIS3; AmpR; SNR52p-SUP4t	36
p426-SpSgH	2μ; URA3; AmpR; SNR52p-SUP4t	36
pESC-URA	2µ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-MCS1-CYC1t; GAL10p-MCS2-ADH1t (NCBI Accession	Agilent Technologies, Inc
	AF063585)	
pESC-LEU	2µ; LEU2; AmpR; GAL1p-MCS1-CYC1t; GAL10p-MCS2-ADH1t (NCBI Accession AF063849)	Agilent Technologies, Inc
pESC-LEU2d	2µ; LEU2d; AmpR; GAL1p-MCS1-CYC1t; GAL10p-MCS2-ADH1t (Addgene plasmid #20120)	9
pESC-HIS	2µ; HIS3; AmpR; GAL1p-MCS1-CYC1t; GAL10p-MCS2-ADH1t (NCBI Accession AF063850)	Agilent Technologies, Inc
pESC-LEU2d-T16H2-16OMT	2µ; LEU2d; AmpR; GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t; GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-CrCPR-CrCYB5	2µ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-CrCPR-CYC1t; GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
pESC-HIS-NMT-T3R	2μ; HIS3; AmpR; GAL1p-NMT-CYC1t; GAL10p-T3R-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-T3O	2μ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-T3O-CYC1	This study
pESC-URA-D4H-DAT	2μ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-D4H-CYC1t; GAL10p-DAT-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-AtCPR1-CrCYB5	2µ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-AtCPR1-CYC1t; GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-GuCPR1-CrCYB5	2µ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-GuCPR1-CYC1t; GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-GICPR-CrCYB5	2μ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-GLCPR-CYC1t; GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-MTR2-CrCYB5	2μ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-MTR2-CYC1t; GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
pESC-URA-SAM2-INO2	2μ; URA3; AmpR; GAL1p-SAM2-CYC1t; GAL10p-INO2-ADH1t	This study
pRS423-ZWF1-GAPN	2μ; HIS3; AmpR; PGK1p-ZWF1-CYC1t; TEF1p-GAPN-ADH1t	This study
Strains		
BY4741	MATa: his3∆1 leu2∆0 met15∆0 ura3∆0	ATCC
VSY001	BY4741 with pRS41K-SpCas9	This study
VSY002	VSY001-GAL1p-CrCPR-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY003	VSY002-GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t-GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
VSY004	VSY003-GAL1p-NMT-CYC1t-GAL10p-T3R-ADH1t	This study
VSY005	VSY004-GAL1p-T3O-CYC1t	This study
VSY006	VSY005-GAL1p-D4H-CYC1t-GAL10p-DAT-ADH1t	This study
VSY007	VSY006/pESC-LEU2d-T16H2-16OMT	This study
VSY008	VSY006-GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t-GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
VSY009	VSY008-GAL1p-T30-CYC1t-GAL10p-T3R-ADH1t- GAL1p-NMT-CYC1t- GAL1p-D4H-CYC1t- GAL10p-DAT-ADH1t	This study
VSY014	VSY009-GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t-GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
VSY015	VSY014-GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t-GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
VSY016	VSY015-GAL1p-T16H2-CYC1t-GAL10p-16OMT-ADH1t	This study
VSY017	VSY015-ACrCPR::AtCPR1	This study
VSY018	VSY015-∆CrCPR::GuCPR1	This study
VSY019	VSY015-∆CrCPR::GICPR	This study
VSY020	VSY015-ACrCPR::MTR2	This study
VSY021	VSY015-GAL1p-CrCPR-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY022	VSY015-GAL1p-AtCPR1-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY023	VSY017-∆OPI:: PGK1p-ZWF1-CYC1t-TEF1p-GAPN-ADH1t	This study
VSY024	VSY023-GAL1p-SAM2-CYC1t-GAL10p-INO2-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-2	VSY017- GAL1p-AtCPR1-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-3	VSY017-∆NCP1::GAL1p-AtCPR1-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-4	VSY017-ΔNCP1::GAL1p-GuCPR1-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-5	VSY017-∆NCP1::GAL1p-GICPR-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-6	VSY017-∆NCP1::GAL1p-MTR2-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY017-7	VSY017-ΔNCP1::GAL1p-CrCPR-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study
VSY025	VSY024-ΔNCP1::GAL1p-AtCPR1-CYC1t-GAL10p-CrCYB5-ADH1t	This study

yeast cells were harvested and resuspended in SC or YP medium supplemented with 2 % galactose (SCG or YPG) and 10–150 mg/L tabersonine. The induced yeast cells were cultivated in a 250-mL shake flask with 10 mL volume at 30 °C for additional 168 h. Intermittent feeding of tabersonine was performed for the best performing *S. cerevisiae* strain VSY024, following a similar protocol, except that 15 mg/L tabersonine was supplemented every 24 h after induction by galactose. All the experiments were performed in biological triplicates.

Yeast biotransformation assays. A single colony of VSY025 was inoculated in 2 mL SCD or YPD medium and cultured at 30 °C overnight. Yeast cells were harvested and inoculated into fresh 2 mL SCG or YPG medium and induced at 30 °C for 24 h. The induced yeast cells were harvested and suspended in 1 mL biotransformation buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5 and 1 mM EDTA) containing 20  $\mu$ M tabersonine and incubated at 30 °C for 12 h. After the biotransformation assays, the production of vindoline and other intermediates were quantified by LC–MS.

**Analytical methods.** The culture broth was centrifuged at 11,000 × g for 10 min. For the strains with low vindoline production (VSY006-VSY009), 20 mL supernatant was freeze-dried and then reconstituted in 1 mL water. The resultant mixture was extracted by 1 mL ethyl acetate twice. After being dried by a rotary evaporator, the samples were resuspended in 150 µL methanol and passed through a 0.22 µm membrane filter for LC–MS analysis. For the high-producing strains (VSY010-VSY024), 400 µL supernatant was extracted by 1 mL ethyl acetate twice, and the organic phase was passed through a 0.22 µm membrane filter and subject to LC–MS analysis.

HPLC-MS (SHIMADZU LC-MS/MS 8045, Tokyo, Japan) analysis was used for the separation, identification, and quantification of vindoline and other intermediates through a HyPURITY<sup>TM</sup> C18 HPLC (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 3 µm, Thermo Scientific) column with an ESI ion source equipped with a triple quadrupole mass analyzer. Vindoline and tabersonine were monitored using LC-MS multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) with the following parameters: vindoline with a collision energy of 28 eV and an *m/z* transition from 457.05 to

## ARTICLE

188.05, tabersonine with a collision energy of 22 eV and an m/z transition from 337.40 to 305.05, other intermediates with a positive scanned mode from m/z 50 to 800. The desolvation line temperature was held at 200 °C, with a spray voltage of 1.8 kV and an atomizing gas flow rate of 3 L/min. The mobile phase was consisted of 0.1% formic acid solution (solvent A) and methanol (solvent B). The following gradient elution program was used: 90% to 10% solvent A over 20 min and returned to 90% solvent A over another 20 min with a constant flow rate of 0.2 mL/min.

**Statistics and reproducibility**. General data analysis (means and standard deviation) was performed primarily by OriginPro 2021 V.9.8.0.200. All experiments were performed with biological triplicates and values were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard errors.

**Reporting summary**. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### **Data availability**

All data are included in the manuscript, Supplementary Material, Supplementary Data 1, and Supplementary Data 2. Any other data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable requests.

Received: 26 March 2021; Accepted: 26 August 2021; Published online: 16 September 2021

#### References

- 1. Liu, J. et al. Enhancement of vindoline and vinblastine production in suspension-cultured cells of *Catharanthus roseus* by artemisinic acid elicitation. World. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **30**, 175–180 (2014).
- Sasaki, Y., Kato, D. & Boger, D. L. Asymmetric total synthesis of vindorosine, vindoline, and key vinblastine analogues. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132, 13533–13544 (2010).
- Allemann, O., Brutsch, M., Lukesh, J. C. 3rd, Brody, D. M. & Boger, D. L. Synthesis of a potent vinblastine: rationally designed added benign complexity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138, 8376–8379 (2016).
- Fossati, E. et al. Reconstitution of a 10-gene pathway for synthesis of the plant alkaloid dihydrosanguinarine in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3283 (2014).
- Brown, S., Clastre, M., Courdavault, V. & O'Connor, S. E. De novo production of the plant-derived alkaloid strictosidine in yeast. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 3205–3210 (2015).
- Li, Y. et al. Complete biosynthesis of noscapine and halogenated alkaloids in yeast. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 17, E3922–E3931 (2018).
- Ping, Y. et al. De novo production of the plant-derived tropine and pseudotropine in yeast. ACS Synth. Biol. 8, 1257–1262 (2019).
- Srinivasan, P. & Smolke, C. A.-O. Biosynthesis of medicinal tropane alkaloids in yeast. *Nature* 585, 614–619 (2020).
- O'Connor, S. E. & Maresh, J. J. Chemistry and biology of monoterpene indole alkaloid biosynthesis. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 23, 532–547 (2006).
- Salim, V. & Luca, D. Towards complete elucidation of monoterpene indole alkaloid biosynthesis pathway: *Catharanthus roseus* as a Pioneer system. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 68, 1–37 (2013).
- Dugé de Bernonville, T. et al. Phytochemical genomics of the Madagascar periwinkle: unravelling the last twists of the alkaloid engine. *Phytochemistry* 113, 9–23 (2015).
- Caputi, L. et al. Missing enzymes in the biosynthesis of the anticancer drug vinblastine in Madagascar periwinkle. *Science* 360, 1235–1239 (2018).
- 13. Miettinen, K. et al. The seco-iridoid pathway from *Catharanthus roseus*. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3606 (2014).
- Qu, Y. et al. Solution of the multistep pathway for assembly of corynanthean, strychnos, iboga, and aspidosperma monoterpenoid indole alkaloids from 19E-geissoschizine. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 115, 3180–3185 (2018).
- Qu, Y. et al. Geissoschizine synthase controls flux in the formation of monoterpenoid indole alkaloids in a *Catharanthus roseus* mutant. *Planta* 247, 625–634 (2018).
- Schenkman, J. B. & Jansson, I. The many roles of cytochrome b5. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 97, 139–152 (2003).
- 17. Qu, Y. et al. Completion of the seven-step pathway from tabersonine to the anticancer drug precursor vindoline and its assembly in yeast. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 6224–6229 (2015).
- Zhu, M. et al. Boosting 11-oxo-β-amyrin and glycyrrhetinic acid synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae via pairing novel oxidation and reduction system from legume plants. Metab. Eng. 45, 43–50 (2018).

- Theron, C. W., Labuschagné, M., Albertyn, J. & Smit, M. S. Heterologous coexpression of the benzoate-para-hydroxylase CYP53B1 with different cytochrome P450 reductases in various yeasts. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 12, 1126–1138 (2019).
- Liu, J., Zhang, C. & Lu, W. Biosynthesis of long-chain ω-hydroxy fatty acids by engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Agric. Food Chem. 67, 4545–4552 (2019).
- Milne, N. et al. Metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for the de novo production of psilocybin and related tryptamine derivatives. *Metab. Eng.* 60, 25–36 (2020).
- Hausjell, J., Halbwirth, H. & Spadiut, O. Recombinant production of eukaryotic cytochrome P450s in microbial cell factories. *Biosci. Rep.* 38, 1–13 (2018).
- 23. Arendt, P. et al. An endoplasmic reticulum-engineered yeast platform for overproduction of triterpenoids. *Metab. Eng.* **40**, 165–175 (2017).
- Kim, J. E. et al. Tailoring the Saccharomyces cerevisiae endoplasmic reticulum for functional assembly of terpene synthesis pathway. Metab. Eng. 56, 50–59 (2019).
- Wu, Y. et al. Improvement of NADPH-dependent P450-mediated biotransformation of 7α,15α-diOH-DHEA from DHEA by a dual cosubstratecoupled system. Steroids 101, 15–20 (2015).
- Chen, Y., Bao, J., Kim, I. K., Siewers, V. & Nielsen, J. Coupled incremental precursor and co-factor supply improves 3-hydroxypropionic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Metab. Eng.* 22, 104–109 (2014).
- Oguntibeju, O. O., Aboua, Y. & Goboza, M. Vindoline-a natural product from *Catharanthus Roseus* reduces hyperlipidemia and renal pathophysiology in experimental type 2 diabetes. *Biomedicines* 7, 59 (2019).
- 28. Si, T. et al. Automated multiplex genome-scale engineering in yeast. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15187 (2017).
- Lian, J., Schultz, C., Cao, M., HamediRad, M. & Zhao, H. Multi-functional genome-wide CRISPR system for high throughput genotype-phenotype mapping. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5794 (2019).
- Pw, A. et al. Complete biosynthesis of the potential medicine icaritin by engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Escherichia coli. Sci. Bull. 66, 1906–1916 (2021).
- Sun, W., Xue, H., Liu, H., Lv, B. & Li, C. J. A. C. Controlling chemo- and regio-selectivity of a plant P450 in yeast cell towards rare licorice triterpenoids biosynthesis. ACS Catal. 10, 4253–4260 (2020).
- DeLoache, W. C. et al. An enzyme-coupled biosensor enables (S)-reticuline production in yeast from glucose. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 11, 465–471 (2015).
- Lan, X., Yuan, W., Wang, M. & Xiao, H. Efficient biosynthesis of antitumor ganoderic acid HLDOA using a dual tunable system for optimizing the expression of CYP5150L8 and a Ganoderma P450 reductase. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 116, 3301–3311 (2019).
- Lian, J., Mishra, S. & Zhao, H. Recent advances in metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: New tools and their applications. *Metab. Eng.* 50, 85–108 (2018).
- Lian, J., HamediRad, M. & Zhao, H. Advancing metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae using the CRISPR/Cas System. Biotechnol. J. 13, e1700601 (2018).
- Lian, J., HamediRad, M., Hu, S. & Zhao, H. Combinatorial metabolic engineering using an orthogonal tri-functional CRISPR system. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1688 (2017).
- Liu, T. et al. Construction of a stable and temperature-responsive yeast cell factory for crocetin biosynthesis using CRISPR-Cas9. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8, 653 (2020).
- Qi, M. et al. PCR & Go: a pre-installed expression chassis for facile integration of multi-gene biosynthetic pathways. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 1–8 (2021).
- Gietz, R. D. & Schiestl, R. H. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 31–34 (2007).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFA0901800), the Natural Science Foundation of China (21808199), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M681903), and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LR20B060003). We thank Prof. Chun Li from the Tsinghua University for sharing the *GuCPR1* plasmid and Dr. Han Xiao from the Shanghai Jiao Tong University for sharing the *MTR2, GICPR,* and *AtCPR1* plasmids. Prof. Vincenzo De Luca from Brock University is acknowledged for generously providing the vindoline biosynthetic pathway genes.

#### Author contributions

T.L. and J.L. conceived and designed the study. T.L, Y.H., L.J., C.D., Y.G. and C.L. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. J.L. and T.L. drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02617-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jiazhang Lian.

**Peer review information** *Communications Biology* thanks Yang Qu and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary handling editor: Eve Rogers.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021