

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Peoples' understanding, acceptance, and perceived challenges of vaccination against COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Bangladesh

Alak Paul<sup>1\*</sup>, Dwaipayan Sikdar<sup>2</sup>, Janardan Mahanta<sup>3</sup>, Sanjib Ghosh<sup>3</sup>, Md. Akib Javed<sup>4</sup>, Sujat Paul<sup>5</sup>, Fahmida Yeasmin<sup>1</sup>, Suranjana Sikdar<sup>6</sup>, Bishawjit Chowdhury<sup>5</sup>, Tapan Kumar Nath<sup>7</sup>

**1** Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh, **2** Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh, **3** Department of Statistics, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh, **4** Research Associate, Center for Participatory Research and Development (CPRD), Dhaka, Bangladesh, **5** Department of Medicine, Chittagong Medical College, Chittagong, Bangladesh, **6** Department of Microbiology, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh, **7** University of Nottingham Malaysia, Semenyih, Malaysia

\* [paul.alak@cu.ac.bd](mailto:paul.alak@cu.ac.bd)



## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Paul A, Sikdar D, Mahanta J, Ghosh S, Javed M.A, Paul S, et al. (2021) Peoples' understanding, acceptance, and perceived challenges of vaccination against COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Bangladesh. PLoS ONE 16(8): e0256493. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493>

**Editor:** Nurshad Ali, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, BANGLADESH

**Received:** April 19, 2021

**Accepted:** August 7, 2021

**Published:** August 20, 2021

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493>

**Copyright:** © 2021 Paul et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its [Supporting Information](#) files.

## Abstract

In order to eliminate COVID-19, many countries provided vaccinations. However, success depends on peoples' knowledge levels and rates of acceptance. But, previous research on this topic is currently lacking in Bangladesh. This cross-sectional study aimed at to investigate Bangladeshi peoples' knowledge, acceptance, and perception of challenges regarding COVID-19 vaccines. Quantitative data were collected using an online survey (n = 1975) and face-to-face interviews (n = 2200) with a pre-tested structured questionnaire. In addition, seven open-ended interviews were conducted with health experts regarding challenges of vaccination. Binary logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the association between explanatory and dependent variables. Effect size was estimated to understand the magnitude of relationship between two variables. Of 4175 respondents, 92.6% knew about COVID-19 vaccines, while only 37.4% believed vaccines to be effective in controlling COVID-19. Nearly 46% of respondents believed that COVID-19 vaccines have side-effects, and 16.4% of respondents believed that side-effects could be life-threatening. Only 60.5% of respondents indicated that they would receive the COVID-19 vaccine. Out of 1650 respondents (39.5%) who did not intend to receive the vaccine, 948 (57.4%) believed that they would be naturally protected. Regressions results indicated that men had higher rates of knowledge regarding the vaccine. In addition, rural respondents demonstrated lower knowledge regarding the vaccine. Furthermore, education had a significant association with knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines. Respondents with university education had more knowledge regarding the vaccine (Odds ratio, OR = 29.99; 95% confidence interval, CI 11.40–78.90, effect size 1.88; p = 0.01) and correct dosage (OR 27.34; 95% CI 15.25–49.00, effect size 1.83; p = 0.01). However, women (OR 1.16; 95% CI 0.96–1.40, effect size 0.08) and rural (OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.07–1.44, effect size 0.12; p = 0.01) respondents were more

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

enthusiastic regarding receiving the COVID-19 vaccine. Higher educated respondents showed higher probability of receiving the vaccine. Those who believed in the effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccine were 11.57 times more interested (OR 11.57; 95% CI 8.92–15.01, effect size 1.35;  $p = 0.01$ ) in receiving the vaccine. Open-ended interviews identified several challenges toward successful COVID-19 vaccination. Mass awareness creation, uninterrupted supply, equitable distribution, and sectoral coordination were suggested to achieve at least 70% immunization across the country.

## Introduction

In over one year, approximately 200 million people worldwide were infected with COVID-19, of which 4.3 million have died [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic had a significant negative impact on people's socio-economic lives [2–6]. Various non-therapeutic measures were adopted to contain COVID-19; however, vaccines were required to control the pandemic and save lives [7]. Vaccination is the most effective method of preventing the spread of an infectious disease [8–10]. The development of a safe and effective vaccine requires time; however, researchers developed several vaccine candidates against COVID-19 in a short time [11]. By December 2020, 57 COVID-19 vaccine candidates were in clinical trials, with some candidates demonstrating efficacy of as high as 95% in preventing symptomatic COVID-19 infections [12]. Many countries started administering the vaccine in 2021. Successful immunization should reduce the global burden of illness and death, as long as the majority of people receive vaccines [6, 13]. It was recommended that 70% of the population be vaccinated in order to achieve herd immunity against COVID-19 [14]. Xiao and Wong [15] identified several factors responsible for wider vaccine acceptance, including the safety and efficacy of the vaccine, adverse health outcomes, misconceptions about the need for vaccination, lack of trust in the health system, and lack of knowledge among the community on vaccine-preventable diseases. In many countries and regions of the world, large variability in the acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines was reported [16, 17]. Peoples' distrust and unwillingness to receive the vaccine could hinder the management and outcomes of COVID-19 inoculation [18].

In addition to peoples' acceptance, several management-related issues could affect smooth immunization against COVID-19. For instance, Pfizer-BioNtech vaccine must be stored at a temperature of -70-degrees Celsius, which is challenging for technologically poor countries [19]. Other challenges may include the availability of staff to oversee the vaccines, equipment, and vaccinators, data systems to track advancement, and methods of informing people regarding the second dose [7, 20]. Moreover, prioritizing a particular group for vaccination and equitable distribution of vaccine could be further obstacles [21].

Bangladesh reported its first positive COVID-19 case on March 8, 2020 and the total number of positive cases rose to 1249,484 as of August 3, 2021, including 20,685 deaths [22]. The Government of Bangladesh signed the Memorandum of Understanding with the Serum Institute of India to receive 30 million doses of the Oxford-AstraZeneca (Covishield) vaccine. In addition, Bangladesh would receive 11 million doses of the COVID-19 vaccine from the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI) under the COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX), an initiative of the World Health Organization [23]. Peoples' knowledge about COVID-19 vaccines, level of acceptance, and perception of immunization management issues is likely to affect smooth inoculation and achieving herd immunity. However, previous research on this topic is currently lacking. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to

explore the understanding and acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines, and perceptions regarding immunization challenges among people in Bangladesh. This study hypothesized that knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines and acceptance is influenced by respondents' gender, education and residence, such as urban versus rural. The findings of this study provide useful information, which health officials may consider for achieving the expected level of COVID-19 immunization in the country.

## Methods

### Study design and setting

A cross-sectional design was adopted to explore knowledge and acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines, and perception on challenges of vaccination among Bangladeshi people aged 18 years and above. Both quantitative and qualitative data were collected through an online survey, face-to-face interviews, and in-depth interviews. As questionnaires appropriate for this study, particularly the Bangladesh context, were not available, an original questionnaire was developed for quantitative data collection. A questionnaire was drafted based on the authors' prior research experience and mass media information, which was reviewed by two experts and underwent a preliminary evaluation (pre-test) with 70 respondents. Based on experts' comments and pre-test feedback, some questions were eliminated or rephrased for clarity. The final structured questionnaire consisted of 21 multiple choice questions in four categories: (1) socio-demographic information, including four questions, (2) knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines, including nine questions, (3) acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines, including five questions, and (4) COVID-19 vaccine management, including three questions (S1 Table). The questionnaire was prepared in English and translated into *Bengali*, the national language of Bangladesh.

### Questionnaire validation

In order to validate the questionnaire, six relevant experts were asked to assess its relevance for this study. The relevance of a questionnaire has been widely used to measure content validity [24–27]. All experts reported that the items (questions) and responses were relevant to achieve the objectives of this study.

### Study population and inclusion criteria

Study population of this research was Bangladeshi nationals living in the country during the study period. The inclusion criteria were being a Bangladeshi resident aged 18 years or over and living in Bangladesh at the time of the survey.

### Data collection technique

A Google form link was shared through social media, including Facebook, Messenger, and WhatsApp, and respondents were asked to share the link with friends and relatives. In addition, the form was shared through emails with different groups. The form was available from January 24 to February 6, 2021. A total of 1975 responses were collected.

Face-to-face interviews were conducted by research assistants using the same questionnaire and following standard operation procedures, such as wearing masks, safe distancing, and maintaining proper hygiene. Interviews targeted respondents with limited Internet access. Following a convenience sampling strategy [28], respondents were selected in an ad-hoc fashion based on accessibility, following the same inclusion criteria as above. A total of 2200 respondents were interviewed. The response rate was approximately 75%. Each interview took

approximately 10 minutes and was conducted at road sides, small bazars, urban slums, agri-farms, and tea stalls.

Following COREQ guidelines [29], seven in-depth telephone interviews were conducted with officials of health administration of Bangladesh (civil surgeons, divisional health directors, medical college hospital directors, and public health experts) to collect qualitative data. One of the authors, who had social medicine expertise, conducted the interviews. Interviews were guided by a check-list consisting of open-ended questions related to the challenges, acceptance, confidence in vaccine management, and the government's future plans regarding vaccine safety. Interviewees were selected deliberately based on their engagement in the public health sector.

### **Ethical considerations**

This study was approved by the ethical review committee of Chittagong Medical College, Bangladesh (Memo No. CMC/PG/2021/130). Participation was voluntary and anonymous, and respondents were informed that they could withdraw from the survey at any time. Informed consent was obtained electronically through the form prior to beginning the questionnaire. For face-to-face interviews, verbal consent was obtained after the aims of the study were explained, and for in-depth interviews, informed verbal consent to participate in this study was obtained before each interview.

### **Sample size and power calculation**

A total of 4175 responses (1975 online and 2200 face-to-face) were obtained, which was satisfactory at the 95% confidence level with a  $\pm 5\%$  margin of error [30]. Israel [31] suggested a sample size of 400 at a 95% confidence level with a  $\pm 5\%$  precision level. For  $\alpha = 0.05$  and a hypothesized proportion of 0.5, the power of a sample size of 4175 is 1.0 (calculated using the SigmaXL statistical tool). It was assumed that a sample size of 4175 for this study is adequate to generalize the study findings.

### **Outcome measures**

This study examined two major outcome measures. First one was knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination of respondents (five dependent variables namely heard about the COVID-19 vaccine, believe that vaccine control COVID-19, dose, side effects, and type of side effects) using gender, age, resident and education as explanatory variables among the respondents. Second outcome measure was opinion of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine of respondents (five dependent variables namely like to take COVID-19 vaccine; reason-protected from COVID-19; reason-take and control transmission; Bangladesh produces the COVID-19 vaccine, would you take it; and possible side effects and temporary protection) using gender, age, resident, education, believe that vaccination can control COVID-19, dose and type of side effects as explanatory variables.

### **Data analysis and reporting**

Descriptive statistics (frequency and percentage) of responses were estimated. Binary logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the association between explanatory and dependent variables. There were few assumptions of running logistic regression. First, logistic regression does not require a linear relationship between the dependent and explanatory variables. Second, the error terms (residuals) do not need to be normally distributed and homoscedasticity is not required. Finally, the dependent variable in logistic regression is not measured on an

interval or ratio scale. Odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to assess the strength of association, and p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Following Chinn [32], effect size (expressed as “Cohen’s d”) was estimated to understand the magnitude of relationship between two variables. Explanatory variables with more than two categories were grouped into two “yes” and “no” categories (S2 Table). To check model fitness, the omnibus chi-square test was used and all models were found to be highly significant (S3 Table). The significance value of less than 0.05 indicated that the current model outperformed the null model. In all models, significant chi-square values indicated that the fitted model was better than the null model. In order to report and describe qualitative data, COREQ guidelines were followed. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16 (SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc.).

## Results

### Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Due to the sampling methods, gender balance could not be ensured. Of 4175 respondents, there were more men (n = 2723; 65.2%) than women (Table 1). The distribution of respondents in five age classes was consistent to the age structure of Bangladesh [33]. There was a predominant number of university students (n = 1185; 28.4%), likely due to internet and social media access. As expected, most respondents (n = 2404; 57.6%) were from urban areas, possibly due to higher education and internet access.

### Respondents’ knowledge on COVID-19 vaccines and level of acceptance

The results indicated that approximately 93% of the respondents heard or knew about COVID-19 vaccines, largely from television news (68.7%) and social media (38.7%; Table 2). The government of Bangladesh took extensive measures to ensure awareness through

**Table 1. Socio-demographic information of respondents.**

Variables	Frequency (n = 4175)	Percentage
Gender		
Men	2723	65.2
Women	1452	34.8
Age (years)		
18–30	1547	37.1
31–40	1196	28.6
41–50	862	20.6
51–60	387	9.3
Above 60	183	4.4
Education		
Illiterate	770	18.4
Primary	810	19.4
Secondary	643	15.4
Higher Secondary	767	18.4
University	1185	28.4
Residence		
City/Urban	2404	57.6
Rural	1771	42.4

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t001>

**Table 2. Respondents' knowledge on COVID-19 vaccination.**

Variables	Frequency of responses	Percentage of responses
Do you know/hear about COVID-19 vaccine? (N = 4175)		
Yes	3864	92.6
No	311	7.4
How do you know about COVID-19 vaccine? (Multiple answers are allowed) (n = 3864)*		
Newspapers	940	24.3
Television news	2654	68.7
Social media	1494	38.7
Friends or Colleagues	931	24.1
Family members	523	14.8
Do you know that COVID-19 vaccines are available in some countries? (n = 3864) *		
Yes	2859	74.0
No	1005	26.0
Do you know/believe that vaccination can control COVID-19? (N = 3864) *		
Yes	1447	37.4
No	420	10.9
Not sure	1997	51.7
Do you know how many doses require for proper vaccination? (n = 3864) *		
One Dose	189	4.9
Two Doses	806	20.9
Not sure	2869	74.2
Do you think that COVID-19 vaccines would have some side effects? (n = 4175)		
Yes	1930	46.2
No	225	5.4
Not sure	2020	48.4
Which type of side effects may arise in the body after vaccination? (n = 4175)		
Primary side effects (fever, headache, vomiting, etc.)	1037	24.8
Serious side effects (life threatening)	683	16.4
No idea	2455	58.8
Which age group should be prioritized in receiving corona vaccine? (n = 4175)		
Old people	1700	40.7
Adult People	460	11.0
Children	260	6.2
Adolescent	24	0.6
All	1278	30.6
Not sure	453	10.9
Which professional group should be prioritized in receiving vaccine? (n = 4175) (maximum three answers are allowed)		
Health care workers	2588	62.0
People suffering prolong disease	1496	35.8
Non COVID-19 but hospitalized patients	493	11.8
Politicians	231	5.5
Bureaucrats	179	4.3
Security Personals	1013	24.3
Teachers	183	4.4
Students	328	7.9
Not sure	693	16.6

\* Those answered 'No' in response to question no 1 were skipped for this question.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t002>

television channels. In addition, respondents (74%) knew that COVID-19 vaccines were available in many countries. However, respondents had little knowledge on the effectiveness of vaccines, with only 37.4% indicating that vaccines prevent COVID-19. Only 20.9% of respondents correctly mentioned that two doses of the vaccine are required for proper immunization. Furthermore, 53.8% of respondents were not aware of any side-effects of COVID-19 vaccines, and 58.8% of respondents did not know about the types of side-effects. About 41% of respondents reported that older people should receive the COVID-19 vaccine, and 62% believed that healthcare workers should be prioritized.

The results indicated that only 60.5% of respondents were interested in receiving a COVID-19 vaccine (Table 3), with the majority of them (74.6%) stating that COVID-19 vaccines would protect them from infection and 36.8% opined that the vaccine would reduce or control virus transmission. Respondents who indicated that they did not wish to receive a COVID-19 vaccine reported several reasons, including belief that the vaccine is “not necessary, I am fine and naturally protected” (57.4% respondents), “possible side effects and temporary protection” (39.9%), and “religious reasons” (18.5%). Those interested in receiving a COVID-19 vaccine preferred the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine (24.4%), while 50.5% did not know about vaccine options. However, 88.8% of the respondents wanted to receive a Bangladeshi COVID-19 vaccine, if available.

**Table 3. Respondents’ opinion on acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine.**

Variables	Frequency of Responses	Percentage of responses
Do you like to take COVID-19 vaccine? (n = 4175)		
Yes	2525	60.5
No	1650	39.5
Why do you like to take COVID-19 vaccine? (Multiple answers are allowed) (n = 2525)		
I will be protected from COVID-19	1884	74.6
Government has suggested to take and control transmission	277	11.0
Helps to reduce COVID-19 fears	282	11.2
Helps to reduce/control COVID-19 transmission	930	36.8
Which vaccine would you prefer to take? (n = 2525)		
Pfizer/BioNTech, USA	617	24.4
Moderna, USA	98	3.9
AstraZeneca, UK	141	5.6
Sinovac, China	38	1.5
Sinopharm, China	2	0.1
Sputnik, Russia	53	2.1
Covishield/Serum institute, India	238	9.4
Covaxin/Bharat Biotech, India	62	2.5
No Idea	1276	50.5
If Bangladesh produces the COVID-19 vaccine, would you take it? (n = 2525)		
Yes	2241	88.8
No	284	11.2
Those who opined not to take COVID-19 vaccine, what are the reasons? (multiple answer allowed) (n = 1650)		
Religious issue	305	18.5
Possible side effects and temporary protection	659	39.9
Temporary protection	100	6.1
Expensive	106	6.4
Not necessary, I am fine and protected naturally	948	57.4

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t003>

## Factors associated with knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines and level of acceptance: Multivariable logistic regression analysis

Women were less knowledgeable regarding COVID-19 vaccines than men, with women demonstrating 52% lower odds of knowing about COVID-19 vaccines (OR 0.48, 95% CI 0.35–0.67, effect size -0.41;  $p = 0.01$ ), and 39% lower odds (OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.51–0.73, effect size -0.27;  $p = 0.01$ ) of having heard about COVID-19 vaccines and their effectiveness (Table 4). In addition, women had 24% lower odds (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.61–0.95, effect size -0.15;  $p = 0.05$ ) of knowing about doses of vaccines required for proper immunization, 34% lower odds (OR 0.66, CI 0.56–0.79, effect size -0.23;  $p = 0.01$ ) of knowing about probable side-effects of vaccines, and 18% lower odds (OR 0.82, CI 0.69–0.97, effect size -0.11;  $p = 0.05$ ) of knowing about types of side-effects (Table 4). Compared to younger respondents (18–30 years old), older respondents (above 30 years old) heard less about COVID-19 vaccines, with the oldest respondents having 70% lower odds of having heard about COVID-19 vaccines (OR 0.30, 95% CI 0.17–0.52, effect size -0.67;  $p = 0.01$ ). However, the middle-aged group of the respondents had better knowledge of effectiveness of vaccines against COVID-19 (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.21–2.07, effect size 0.17;  $p = 0.01$  for the 31–40-year-old group and OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.03–1.60, effect size 0.14;  $p = 0.05$  for the 41–50-year-old group). Respondents between 18–30 years had less knowledge regarding correct doses of COVID-19 vaccines. As expected, respondents in rural areas heard less about vaccines, although the difference was not significant (OR 0.82, 95% CI

**Table 4. Logistic regression on respondents' knowledge of COVID-19 vaccination.**

Variables		Odds Ratio (95% CI), effect size <sup>§</sup>				
		Heard about the COVID-19 Vaccine	Believe that vaccine control COVID-19	Dose	Side Effects	Type of Side Effect
Gender	Men					
	Women	0.48** (0.35–0.67), -0.41	0.61** (0.51–0.73), -0.27	0.76* (0.61–0.95), -0.15	0.66** (0.56–0.79), -0.23	0.82* (0.69–0.97), -0.11
Age	18–30					
	31–40	0.83 (0.54–1.27), -0.10	1.35** (1.11–1.65), 0.17	1.58** (1.21–2.07), 0.25	1.11 (0.91–1.35), 0.06	1.21 (1.00–1.47), 0.11
	41–50	0.85 (0.55–1.32), -0.09	1.28* (1.03–1.60), 0.14	2.02** (1.49–2.74), 0.39	0.86 (0.69–1.07), -0.08	1.30* (1.05–1.61), 0.14
	51–60	0.70 (0.43–1.15), -0.20	1.05 (0.79–1.39), 0.03	2.20** (1.48–3.27), 0.44	0.89 (0.67–1.17), -0.06	0.93 (0.70–1.23), -0.04
	60 above	0.30** (0.17–0.52), -0.67	0.78 (0.51–1.18), -0.14	1.90* (1.06–3.40), 0.35	0.84 (0.58–1.21), -0.10	0.86 (0.59–1.26), -0.08
Resident	City/Urban					
	Rural	0.82 (0.64–1.06), -0.11	1.13 (0.98–1.30), 0.07	0.46** (0.38–0.56), -0.43	0.72** (0.62–0.82), -0.18	0.88 (0.77–1.01), -0.07
Education	Illiterate					
	Primary	1.76** (1.31–2.36), 0.31	1.01 (0.80–1.28), 0.01	1.76 (0.91–3.42), 0.31	1.37** (1.09–1.72), 0.17	1.70** (1.34–2.15), 0.29
	Secondary	3.97** (2.58–6.11), 0.76	1.20 (0.93–1.55), 0.10	7.21** (3.97–13.07), 1.09	2.11** (1.65–2.70), 0.41	2.70** (2.10–3.47), 0.55
	Higher Secondary	6.41** (3.48–11.78), 1.03	1.37* (1.05–1.78), 0.17	13.01** (7.21–23.48), 1.42	3.00** (2.32–3.89), 0.61	3.29** (2.53–4.29), 0.66
	University	29.99** (11.40–78.90), 1.88	1.43** (1.10–1.86), 0.20	27.34** (15.25–49.00), 1.83	4.76** (3.68–6.15), 0.86	4.35** (3.35–5.64), 0.81

Note

§: Small effect if Cohen's  $|d| \leq 0.2$ ; moderate effect if Cohen's  $0.2 < |d| \leq 0.5$ ; large effect if Cohen's  $|d| > 0.5$

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t004>



0.64–1.06, effect size -0.11). Surprisingly, rural respondents showed more knowledge of the effectiveness of vaccines. Level of education was significant. Respondents with university-level education heard more about COVID-19 vaccines (OR 29.99, 95% CI 11.40–78.90, effect size 1.88;  $p = 0.01$ ) and had more knowledge of effectiveness (OR 1.43, 95% CI 1.10–1.78, effect size 0.20;  $p = 0.01$ ), correct doses (OR 27.34, 95% CI 15.25–49.00, effect size 1.83;  $p = 0.01$ ), possible side-effects (OR 4.76, 95% CI 3.68–3.89, effect size 0.86;  $p = 0.01$ ), and types of side-effects (OR 4.35, 95% CI 3.35–5.64, effect size 0.81;  $p = 0.01$ ).

Although there was no significant association, women were more likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.96–1.40, effect size 0.08) than men (Table 5), despite

**Table 5. Logistic regression on respondents’ opinion of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine.**

Variables		Odds Ratio (95% CI), effect size <sup>§</sup>				
		Like to take COVID-19 Vaccine	Reason (Protected from COVID-19)	Reason (Take and control transmission)	Bangladesh produces the COVID-19 vaccine, would you take it	Possible side effects and temporary protection
Gender	Men					
	Women	1.16 (0.96–1.40), 0.08	0.76** (0.65–0.89), -0.15	1.29** (1.08–1.54), 0.14	0.89 (0.65–1.21), -0.06	1.04 (0.85–1.27), 0.02
Age	18–30					
	31–40	1.21 (0.97–1.50), 0.11	1.25* (1.04–1.50), 0.12	1.59** (1.27–1.99), 0.26	1.45 (0.99–2.11), 0.21	0.74* (0.59–0.94), -0.17
	41–50	1.44** (1.13–1.83), 0.20	1.23* (1.01–1.51), 0.11	1.71** (1.34–2.18), 0.30	1.07 (0.72–1.60), 0.04	0.64** (0.49–0.84), -0.25
	51–60	1.17 (0.87–1.59), 0.09	1.03 (0.80–1.33), 0.02	1.32 (0.96–1.81), 0.15	1.26 (0.73–2.17), 0.13	0.72 (0.51–1.02), -0.18
	60 above	1.05 (0.69–1.59), 0.03	0.71 (0.50–1.01), -0.19	0.97 (0.62–1.50), -0.02	1.22 (0.52–2.86), 0.11	0.57* (0.34–0.95), -0.31
Resident	City/Urban					
	Rural	1.24** (1.07–1.44), 0.12	1.19** (1.05–1.35), 0.10	0.81** (0.69–0.93), -0.12	2.07** (1.56–2.75), 0.40	0.85 (0.72–1.01), -0.09
Education	Illiterate					
	Primary	0.71** (0.56–0.92), -0.19	1.10 (0.89–1.35), 0.05	0.64** (0.49–0.83), -0.25	0.58* (0.35–0.97), -0.30	1.37 (0.99–1.89), 0.17
	Secondary	1.11 (0.84–1.46), 0.06	1.42** (1.13–1.79), 0.19	0.99 (0.75–1.31), -0.01	0.67 (0.38–1.18), -0.22	1.66** (1.18–2.33), 0.28
	Higher Secondary	1.35* (1.00–1.82), 0.17	1.42** (1.11–1.81), 0.19	1.47** (1.11–1.94), 0.21	0.59 (0.34–1.05), -0.29	2.06** (1.46–2.91), 0.40
	University	1.36* (1.01–1.84), 0.17	1.45** (1.15–1.85), 0.21	2.02** (1.53–2.65), 0.39	0.29** (0.17–0.50), -0.68	2.35** (1.67–3.30), 0.47
Believe that vaccination can control COVID-19	No					
	Yes	11.57** (8.92–15.01), 1.35				
	Not Sure	2.55** (2.01–3.24), 0.52				
Dose	Not Sure					
	Two	1.66** (1.34–2.05), 0.28				
Type of Side Effect	No Idea					
	Some side effect	0.47** (0.40–0.55), -0.42				

Note

§: Small effect if Cohen’s  $|d| \leq 0.2$ ; moderate effect if Cohen’s  $0.2 < |d| \leq 0.5$ ; large effect if Cohen’s  $|d| > 0.5$

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t005>

their lower knowledge of vaccines. In addition, women indicated that immunization was more important to control virus transmission (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.08–1.54, effect size 0.14;  $p = 0.01$ ) than for personal protection (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.65–0.89, effect size -0.15;  $p = 0.01$ ). Respondents in the 41–50 age group were more likely to receive the vaccine (OR 1.44, 95% CI 1.13–1.83, effect size 0.20;  $p = 0.01$ ) for personal protection (OR 1.23, 95% CI 1.01–1.51, effect size 0.11;  $p = 0.01$ ) than to control of virus transmission (OR 1.71, 95% CI 1.34–2.18, effect size 0.30;  $p = 0.01$ ) and were less concerned about side-effects (OR 0.64, 95% CI 0.49–0.84, effect size -0.25;  $p = 0.01$ ) than the 18–30 age group. Rural respondents had 124% higher odds of receiving the COVID-19 vaccine (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.07–1.44, effect size 0.12;  $p = 0.01$ ) for personal protection from COVID-19 (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.05–1.35, effect size 0.10;  $p = 0.01$ ). Rural respondents were also more likely to accept the Bangladeshi vaccine (OR 2.07, 95% CI 1.56–2.75, effect size 0.40;  $p = 0.01$ ), if available. As expected, educated respondents were more interested in receiving the vaccine. Respondents with university-level education had 136% higher odds (OR 1.36, 95% CI 1.01–1.84, effect size 0.17;  $p = 0.01$ ) of receiving the vaccine for personal protection (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.15–1.85, effect size 0.21;  $p = 0.01$ ) and reduction of virus transmission (OR 2.02, 95% CI 1.53–2.65, effect size 0.39;  $p = 0.01$ ). However, university-educated respondents were more concerned about possible side-effects and temporary protection (OR 2.35, 95% CI 1.46–2.91, effect size 0.47;  $p = 0.01$ ). Respondents who believed that immunization prevented COVID-19 were 11.57 times more likely to receive the vaccine (OR 11.57, 95% CI 8.92–15.01, effect size 1.35;  $p = 0.01$ ). Similarly, respondents who knew the correct number of doses of the vaccine had 166% higher odds of receiving the vaccine (OR 1.66, 95% CI 1.34–2.05, effect size 0.28;  $p = 0.01$ ).

### Respondents' perceptions of challenges of COVID-19 vaccination

The majority of the respondents (53%) believed that security personnel (e.g. Army) would execute immunization properly, while 24.6% believed it would be administered by government hospitals (Table 6).

**Table 6. Respondents' opinion on management of COVID-19 vaccination.**

Variables	Frequency of responses	Percentage of responses
In your opinion, how vaccination should be implemented? (n = 4175)		
Through Government Hospitals	1028	24.6
Through Private Clinics	45	1.1
Through Security Forces (e.g. Army, Navy)	2212	53.0
Through NGOs	330	7.9
No idea	560	13.4
What would be the main challenges for COVID-19 vaccination? (multiple answers are allowed) (n = 4175)		
Motivating people to receive vaccine	1532	36.7
Storage and Transport at low temperature	690	16.5
Cost	1476	35.4
Participant selection	353	8.5
Ensuring vaccination safety and Equipment	819	19.6
Coordination between Ministries and field level	1108	26.5
No idea	1060	25.4
Do you think Bangladeshi authority would manage proper distribution of vaccine? (n = 4175)		
No	1137	27.2
Yes	1476	35.4
Not sure	1562	37.4

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256493.t006>

During the interviews, a divisional director of health commented that:

*The health ministry will distribute the vaccine to the public across the country through government hospitals and clinics. We have no plan to include the security personnel in its distribution. Bangladesh has a positive reputation for vaccine management in the world. We hope the Ministry of Health will successfully manage the vaccination program, such as the Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI). We have sufficient vaccine points throughout the country; however, if needed, the government will increase registration and vaccination points.*

Only 35.4% of respondents perceived that Bangladesh authority would manage the distribution of vaccines properly. However, many of the respondents were concerned with challenges of COVID-19 immunization. For instance, 36.7% of respondents reported that motivating the public to receive vaccine would be a major challenge, followed by cost (35.4%), coordination between ministries and field level centers (26.5%), and storage and transport of vaccines at a low temperature (16.5%). A health ministry official stated that:

*Until now, educated and high- and middle-income people and various professionals have shown greater interest in receiving vaccines. But Bangladesh faces some challenges: first, ensuring uninterrupted vaccine supply from the source point, as the government planned, for 130 million people to be vaccinated gradually; second: keeping online registration systems active for this mass immunization program in the whole country; third, in order to encourage the public to get vaccinated, the government needs to create various awareness-building plans and programs; fourth, the country needs a good example of co-ordination between the state mechanisms and other agencies for a successful ending.*

## Discussion

Vaccination against COVID-19 is considered the most effective method to control the COVID-19 pandemic. Successful vaccination and herd immunity among the public depends on peoples' knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines, which may influence vaccine acceptance and proper management. This study attempted to understand Bangladeshi peoples' perceptions of these factors.

### Knowledge and acceptance of COVID-19 vaccines

The results revealed that over 90% of respondents heard about COVID-19 vaccines, although only a small fraction of them knew about the effectiveness, side-effects, and correct doses of the vaccines. This indicated that respondents lacked correct information regarding COVID-19 vaccines, which suggests the need for awareness-building and correct information dissemination programs. An official of the Ministry of Health mentioned:

*We know many people do not have the correct information regarding COVID-19 vaccines and are confused about whether or not to receive the vaccine. The government has already started a campaign through mass media to eliminate false impressions and hesitancy toward vaccination among the public.*

Approximately 46.2% of respondents reported believing that COVID-19 vaccines have several side-effects. Previous studies reported COVID-19 vaccine side-effects, such as pain or discomfort, allergic reactions, swelling, fever, chills, tiredness, and headache within 1–3 days after

the vaccine is administered [34, 35]. Among vaccine recipients globally, few people were reported to experience severe allergic reactions after receiving the COVID-19 vaccine [36]. A higher official of the Ministry of Health stated:

*We do not have many records or complains about side-effects after vaccination. A few people may face some problems, such as pain, fever, or allergic reactions after receiving the vaccine. We request vaccine recipients to stay at our observation centers for at least 30 minutes after getting the vaccine. We have every preparation to deal with probable side-effects.*

Men demonstrated better knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines than women. This is in line with previous studies [18, 37–40]. Age and level of education had a significant influence on knowledge of COVID-19 vaccines, suggesting that the government should provide extensive motivational programs through various channels, such as mass media and community engagement, to encourage these groups of people to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. Results indicated that only 60.5% of respondents were likely to receive the COVID-19 vaccine. The real rate of vaccination could be lower due to misinformation regarding COVID-19 vaccines and their side-effects on social media, religious beliefs, beliefs in temporary protection, and confidence in self-immunity. Spread of misinformation through multiple channels could considerably affect the acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine [41]. Therefore, mass awareness programs are crucial in order to create confidence among the public and achieve a 70% vaccination rate to reach herd immunity. Eliminating misconceptions through transparent communication with proper knowledge among people is necessary [38, 42] to reduce the skepticism about vaccination [43]. Higher acceptance rates were reported in India (86.3%), China (89.5%), Malaysia (94.3%), and Indonesia (93.3%) [37, 44, 45]. Countries such as Kuwait (23.6%), Jordan (28.4%), Italy (53.7), Russia (54.9%), Poland (56.3%), the US (56.9%), and France (58.9%) reported lower rates of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance [45]. COVID-19 vaccines acceptance rates below 60% pose a severe problem for controlling the pandemic [45].

Women and rural residents were more interested in receiving the COVID-19 vaccine despite limited knowledge of vaccines. Therefore, the government should provide extensive programs, such as easy vaccination registration and vaccination centers in rural areas, targeting women and rural residents. On the other hand, respondents who believed that vaccine could prevent COVID-19 were approximately 12 times more likely to get vaccinated, confirming that correct information on vaccines is of utmost importance to higher acceptance.

### Challenges of COVID-19 vaccination

Along with socio-demographic characteristics, COVID-19 vaccination may have several challenges, including procurement, distribution, and implementation. The government of Bangladesh drafted a national deployment and vaccination plan to vaccinate 80% of the population in four stages, including ensuring procurement and proper coordination and launching awareness campaigns to address vaccine hesitancy [23]. Bangladesh procured COVID-19 vaccines from several sources and approximately 3.6% population received at least one dose of vaccine and 2.6% population were fully vaccinated by July 4, 2021 [46]. Distribution of vaccines through appropriate organization, setting up priority groups, motivating people, and appropriate infrastructure are important for vaccine management [45]. The results of this study indicated that motivating people to receive the vaccine and coordination among agencies were some of the major challenges to smooth vaccination. Islam and Hossain [47] suggested creating a monitoring team to oversee proper vaccine transportation and storage at the right temperature. An uninterrupted supply chain of vaccines across the country needs to be ensured,

with storage methods following the EPI framework. This can be assumed that the quality of the vaccine degrades if it is not properly preserved, transported, distributed, and administered.

### Study limitation

This study had some limitations. First, respondents were mainly educated people, likely due to access to information and past experience as research participants. People with lower levels of education may feel less confident acting as research participants. Second, respondents participated in this study voluntarily and only those who were interested took part in the survey. As such, gender balance could not be achieved. Third, the participation of rural and urban respondents was unequal, possibly due to urban residents having better access to the Internet. However, these limitations were believed to have no effect on the findings of this study.

### Conclusion

COVID-19 is a deadly disease that requires therapeutic and non-therapeutic solutions. World leaders face challenges in containing COVID-19 through non-therapeutic solutions, with mass vaccination remaining as the primary solution. Knowledge, beliefs, availability, and distribution of the vaccine pose challenges to mass vaccination. This study found mixed responses regarding level of knowledge and acceptance of the COVID-19 vaccine. Raising public awareness and demonstrating positive aspects of vaccination to the public appears to be most effective in increasing the vaccine acceptance rate. Governments, public health officials, and advocacy groups should address hesitancy and build vaccine literacy to encourage the public to accept immunization. COVID-19 immunization program should be implemented across the country to give rural and urban populations equal opportunity to receive the vaccine.

### Supporting information

**S1 Table. Questionnaire on peoples' understanding and acceptability of COVID-19 vaccines, and perceived challenges on successful vaccination in Bangladesh.**  
(DOCX)

**S2 Table. Category of logistic regression.**  
(DOCX)

**S3 Table. Omnibus tests of model coefficients.**  
(DOCX)

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous respondents for volunteering to participate in this study. We also wish to thank the anonymous reviewers and academic editor for their constructive feedback regarding this manuscript. We acknowledge support from the Research and Publication Cell, University of Chittagong in this research.

### Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Alak Paul, Dwaipayan Sikdar, Tapan Kumar Nath.

**Data curation:** Janardan Mahanta, Sanjib Ghosh.

**Formal analysis:** Janardan Mahanta, Sanjib Ghosh.

**Investigation:** Md. Akib Javed, Sujat Paul, Fahmida Yeasmin, Suranjana Sikdar, Bishawjit Chowdhury.

**Methodology:** Alak Paul, Dwaipayan Sikdar, Janardan Mahanta, Tapan Kumar Nath.

**Project administration:** Alak Paul.

**Supervision:** Alak Paul, Dwaipayan Sikdar.

**Writing – original draft:** Alak Paul, Dwaipayan Sikdar.

**Writing – review & editing:** Md. Akib Javed, Fahmida Yeasmin, Suranjana Sikdar, Tapan Kumar Nath.

## References

1. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard [Internet]. World Health Organization; [Internet] 2021 Aug 4. <https://covid19.who.int/>
2. ElBagoury M, Tolba MM, Nasser HA, Jabbar A, Elagouz AM, Aktham Y, et al. The find of COVID-19 vaccine: Challenges and opportunities. *J Infect Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 Mar; 14(3):389–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.12.025> PMID: 33647555
3. Sharpe HR, Gilbride C, Allen E, Belij-Rammerstorfer S, Bissett C, Ewer K, et al. The early landscape of coronavirus disease 2019 vaccine development in the UK and rest of the world. *Immunology* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 8; 160(3):223–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13222> PMID: 32460358
4. Paul A, Sikdar D, Hossain MM, Amin MR, Deeba F, Mahanta J, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward the novel coronavirus among Bangladeshis: Implications for mitigation measures. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 2; 15(9):e0238492. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238492> PMID: 32877449
5. Paul A, Nath TK, Mahanta J, Sultana NN, Kayes ASMI, Noon SJ, et al. Psychological and Livelihood Impacts of COVID-19 on Bangladeshi Lower Income People. *Asia Pacific J Public Heal* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 8; 33(1):100–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539520977304> PMID: 33289393
6. Pogue K, Jensen JL, Stancil CK, Ferguson DG, Hughes SJ, Mello EJ, et al. Influences on Attitudes Regarding Potential COVID-19 Vaccination in the United States. *Vaccines* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 3; 8(4):582. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040582> PMID: 33022917
7. Flanigan D. Managing the biggest COVID-19 vaccine challenges [Internet]. 2020 Dec 17. [https://www.cerner.com/perspectives/managing-the-biggest-covid-19-vaccine-challenges?fbclid=IwAR1Zb8TnNDwHPFm4fP6zVDd6-dprNmht\\_azl4Sc\\_ISXzQHxCgot-3x608](https://www.cerner.com/perspectives/managing-the-biggest-covid-19-vaccine-challenges?fbclid=IwAR1Zb8TnNDwHPFm4fP6zVDd6-dprNmht_azl4Sc_ISXzQHxCgot-3x608)
8. Lurie N, Saville M, Hatchett R, Halton J. Developing Covid-19 Vaccines at Pandemic Speed. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2020 May 21; 382(21):1969–73. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2005630> PMID: 32227757
9. Goldman RD, Yan TD, Seiler M, Parra Cotanda C, Brown JC, Klein EJ, et al. Caregiver willingness to vaccinate their children against COVID-19: Cross sectional survey. *Vaccine* [Internet]. 2020 Nov; 38(48):7668–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.084> PMID: 33071002
10. Leng A, Maitland E, Wang S, Nicholas S, Liu R, Wang J. Individual preferences for COVID-19 vaccination in China. *Vaccine* [Internet]. 2021 Jan; 39(2):247–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.12.009> PMID: 33328140
11. UNICEF. What you need to know about COVID-19 vaccines [Internet]. 2021 Aug 4. <https://www.unicef.org/coronavirus/what-you-need-to-know-covid-vaccine>
12. FR24 News. A side-by-side comparison of Pfizer / BioNTech and Moderna vaccines [Internet]. 2021 Aug 4. <https://www.fr24news.com/a/2020/12/a-side-by-side-comparison-of-pfizer-biontech-and-moderna-vaccines.html>
13. Nzaji MK, Ngombe LK, Mwamba GN, Ndala DBB, Miema JM, Lungoyo CL, et al. Acceptability of Vaccination Against COVID-19 Among Healthcare Workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Pragmatic Obs Res* [Internet]. 2020 Oct; Volume 11:103–9. <https://doi.org/10.2147/POR.S271096> PMID: 33154695
14. Aschwanden C. Five reasons why COVID herd immunity is probably impossible [Internet]. *Nature News*. 2021. [https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-00728-2?fbclid=IwAR18l3E2cqyvoCSCCKBPFJ2pK-UTvehtBFWI6NJQh\\_Nyy-A7s\\_-yd2b-GCc](https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-00728-2?fbclid=IwAR18l3E2cqyvoCSCCKBPFJ2pK-UTvehtBFWI6NJQh_Nyy-A7s_-yd2b-GCc) <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-00728-2> PMID: 33737753

15. Xiao X, Wong RM. Vaccine hesitancy and perceived behavioral control: A meta-analysis. *Vaccine* [Internet]. 2020 Jul; 38(33):5131–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.04.076> PMID: 32409135
16. Neumann-Böhme S, Varghese NE, Sabat I, Barros PP, Brouwer W, van Exel J, et al. Once we have it, will we use it? A European survey on willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19. *Eur J Heal Econ* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 26; 21(7):977–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-01208-6> PMID: 32591957
17. Peretti-Watel P, Seror V, Cortaredona S, Launay O, Raude J, Verger P, et al. A future vaccination campaign against COVID-19 at risk of vaccine hesitancy and politicisation. *Lancet Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2020 Jul; 20(7):769–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30426-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30426-6) PMID: 32445713
18. Dror AA, Eisenbach N, Taiber S, Morozov NG, Mizrahi M, Zigron A, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: the next challenge in the fight against COVID-19. *Eur J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 12; 35(8):775–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00671-y> PMID: 32785815
19. The Guardian. Here are the major hurdles ahead for Covid-19 vaccine distribution in the US [Internet]. 2020. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/nov/16/us-coronavirus-vaccine-distribution-challenges>
20. Mills MC, Salisbury D. The challenges of distributing COVID-19 vaccinations. *EClinicalMedicine* [Internet]. 2021 Jan; 31:100674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100674> PMID: 33319186
21. Bae J, Gandhi D, Kothari J, Shankar S, Bae J, Patwa P, et al. Challenges of Equitable Vaccine Distribution in the COVID-19 Pandemic. 2020 Nov 24; Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2012.12263>
22. corona.gov.bd করোনাভাইরাস ইনফরমেশন: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Information Bangladesh [Internet]. 2021 Aug 4. <https://corona.gov.bd/>
23. The Daily Star. COVID-19 vaccine deployment: is Bangladesh ready yet? [Internet]. 2021 Aug 4. <https://www.thedailystar.net/health/news/covid-19-vaccine-deployment-bangladesh-ready-yet-2021245>
24. Davis LL. Instrument review: getting the most from a panel of experts. *Applied Nursing Research*. 1992; 5(4):194–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0897-1897\(05\)80008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0897-1897(05)80008-4)
25. Polit DF, Beck CT. The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*. 2006; 29(5):489–97. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20147> PMID: 16977646
26. Boateng GO, Collins SM, Mbullo P, Wekesa P, Onono M, Neilands T, et al. A novel household water insecurity scale: procedures and psychometric analysis among postpartum women in western Kenya. *PLoS ONE*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198591> PMID: 29883462
27. Yusoff MSB. A systematic review on validity evidence of medical student stressor questionnaire. *Education in Medicine Journal*. 2017; 9(1):1–16. <https://doi.org/10.21315/eimj2017.9.1.1>
28. Jager J, Putnick DL, Bornstein MH. II. More than Just Convenient: The Scientific Merits of Homogeneous Convenience Samples. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*. 2017; 82(2):13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mono.12296> PMID: 28475254
29. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007; 19(6): 349–357. <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042> PMID: 17872937
30. Krejcie R V., Morgan DW. Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educ Psychol Meas* [Internet]. 1970; Sep 2; 30(3):607–10. <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/001316447003000308>
31. Israel GD. Determining Sample size. Fact Sheet PEO6-6. 1992; Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.
32. Chinn S. A simple method for converting an odds ratio to effect size for use in meta-analysis. *Statistics in Medicine*. 2000; 19(22): 3127–3131. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0258\(20001130\)19:22<3127::AID-SIM784>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0258(20001130)19:22<3127::AID-SIM784>3.0.CO;2-M) PMID: 11113947
33. O'Neill A. Bangladesh-age structure 2019 [Internet]. Statista. 2021; Aug 4. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/438190/age-structure-in-bangladesh/>
34. CDC. Possible side effects after getting a COVID-19 Vaccine [Internet]. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2021; Aug 4. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/expect/after.html>
35. UChicago Medicine. What to know about the COVID-19 vaccine [Internet]. 2021; Aug 4. <https://www.uchicagomedicine.org/forefront/coronavirus-disease-covid-19/what-to-know-about-the-covid-19-vaccine>
36. Crow S. Dr. Fauci just gave this warning about COVID vaccine side effects [Internet]. 2021; Aug 4. <https://www.yahoo.com/lifestyle/dr-fauci-just-gave-warning-173924379.html>
37. Wang J, Jing R, Lai X, Zhang H, Lyu Y, Knoll MD, et al. Acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic in China. *Vaccines* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 27; 8(3):482. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8030482> PMID: 32867224

38. Alqudeimat Y, Alenezi D, AlHajri B, Alfouzan H, Almokhaizeem Z, Altamimi S, et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine and its related determinants among the general adult population in Kuwait. *Med Princ Pract* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 22. 30:262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000514636> PMID: 33486492
39. Lazarus J V., Ratzan SC, Palayew A, Gostin LO, Larson HJ, Rabin K, et al. A global survey of potential acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine. *Nat Med* [Internet]. 2021 Feb 225–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1124-9> PMID: 33082575
40. Sallam M, Dababseh D, Eid H, Al-Mahzoum K, Al-Haidar A, Taim D, et al. High Rates of COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Its Association with Conspiracy Beliefs: A Study in Jordan and Kuwait among Other Arab Countries. *Vaccines* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 12; 9(1):42. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9010042> PMID: 33445581
41. Cornwall W. Officials gird for a war on vaccine misinformation. *Science* [Internet]. 2020 Jul 3; 369(6499):14–5. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.369.6499.14> PMID: 32631873
42. Laine C, Cotton D, Moyer DV. COVID-19 Vaccine: Promoting Vaccine Acceptance. *Ann Intern Med* [Internet]. 2021 Feb; 174(2):252–3. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-8008> PMID: 33347321
43. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID-19 Vaccination Hesitancy in the United States: A Rapid National Assessment. *J Community Health* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 3; 46(2):270–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00958-x> PMID: 33389421
44. Sharun K, Rahman CKF, Haritha C V, Jose B, Tiwari R, Dhama K. COVID-19 vaccine acceptance: Beliefs and barriers associated with vaccination among the general population in India. *J Exp Biol Agric Sci* [Internet]. 2020 Oct 31; 8(Spl-1-SARS-CoV-2):S210–8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2020.8\(Spl-1-SARS-CoV-2\).S\\*\\*.S\\*\\*](http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2020.8(Spl-1-SARS-CoV-2).S**.S**)
45. Sallam M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy worldwide: a concise systematic review of vaccine acceptance rates. *Vaccines* [Internet]. 2021 Feb 16; 9(2):160. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9020160> PMID: 33669441
46. Ritchie H, Ortiz-Ospina E, Beltekian D, Mathieu E, Hasell J, Macdonald B, et al. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations—Statistics and Research [Internet]. *Our World in Data*. 2021. <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations?country=BGD>
47. Islam QT, Hossain HT. Editorial COVID-19 Vaccine & Bangladesh: New Year's New Hope!!! *Journal of Medicine*. 2021; 22(1):1–2. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jom.v22i1.51382>