## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Differential PD-1/LAG-3 expression and immune phenotypes in metastatic sites of breast cancer

Bettina Sobottka<sup>\*</sup>, Holger Moch and Zsuzsanna Varga

## Abstract

**Background:** A dual blockade against the novel immune checkpoint inhibitor lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3) and programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) is currently considered in advanced breast cancer. Nevertheless, PD-1 or LAG-3 expression within distant metastatic breast cancer tissue remains understudied.

**Methods:** To address this knowledge gap, we investigated the PD-1 and LAG-3 expression in combination with the CD8-based immune phenotype in intrapatient matched primary tumor distant metastases, representing 95 breast cancer patients with metastases occurring at four different anatomical locations. The immune phenotype was categorized into 2 categories: inflamed corresponding to the clinical category "hot" and exhausted or desert consistent with clinically "cold" tumors.

**Results:** Metastases of "cold" primary tumors always remained "cold" at their matched metastatic site. Expression of PD-1/LAG-3 was associated with a "hot" immune phenotype in both the primary tumors and metastases. We could not observe any association between the immune phenotype and the breast cancer molecular subtype. Brain and soft tissue metastases were more commonly inflamed with signs of exhaustion than other anatomical sites of metastases. Taken together, (i) the immune phenotype varied between sites of distant metastases, and (ii) PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> was strongly associated with a "hot" immune phenotype and (iii) was most prevalent in brain and soft tissue metastases among distant metastases.

**Conclusions:** Our data strongly support an integrated analysis of the immune phenotype together with the PD-1/ LAG-3 expression in distant metastases to identify patients with inflamed but exhausted tumors. This may eventually improve the stratification and likelihood for advanced breast cancer patients to profit from immunotherapy.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Metastasis, Tumor immunology, Immune checkpoint receptors, Immune phenotype

\* Correspondence: annabettina.sobottka-brillout@usz.ch Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, University and University Hospital Zurich, Schmelzbergstrasse 12, CH-8091 Zurich, Switzerland







<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Introduction

Immunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors is usually considered in advanced metastatic breast cancer. The intention is to reduce the tumor burden by restoring a durable anti-tumor immune response. As breast cancer is not a highly immunogenic disease in general, treatment efficacy seems to depend on the molecular breast cancer subtype and the expression of PD-L1 [1, 2].

Monotherapy against programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) showed only the modest tumor and durable response rates in breast cancer (4–25%) [2]. In the need of novel strategies, in vivo studies provided convincing evidence that a dual blockade against PD-1 and the novel immune checkpoint receptor lymphocyte-associated gene-3 (LAG-3) [3] can result in tumor reduction and increase of survival [4, 5] by restoring CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell function [6]. In human tumor tissue, LAG-3 is co-expressed with PD-1 on activated but exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [7]. Particularly, highly immunogenic tumors susceptible to immunotherapy like melanoma, microsatellite instable colorectal cancer, or triple-negative breast cancer carry PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> tumor-infiltrating T cells [8].

In breast cancer, the predictive value of LAG-3 expression remains still unclear. There is some prognostic evidence associating high LAG-3 expression with improved overall survival (OS) but uncertain significance with respect to disease-free survival (DFS) [9]. Most ongoing clinical trials are investigating anti-LAG-3 drugs in combination with a dual blockade against PD-1 in solid tumors in advanced disease with promising survival benefits and long duration of response rates for those who profited [5].

Interestingly, most of these trials are considering neither the amount nor the distribution of tumorinfiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. For immunotherapy to be active though, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells must be present within the tumor bed—referred to as inflamed or clinically "hot" tumors [10–13]. Moreover, while the advanced metastatic disease is targeted, PD-1 and LAG-3 expression within distant metastatic breast cancer remains understudied. Their assessment within different anatomical sites of distant metastasis might however be crucial given that the composition of the tumor immune microenvironment is heterogeneous and is critically influenced by organspecific parenchymal cells [14–16].

To address this knowledge gap, we assessed the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell immune phenotype as well as PD-1 and LAG-3 expression in primary tumors (PBTs) with intrapatient matched distant metastases (METs) in a retrospective cohort of 95 breast cancer patients by using immunohistochemistry on whole sections. Metastases had occurred at either brain, bone, liver, or soft tissue.

## Materials and methods Patient cohort

We searched breast cancer patients suffering from either invasive ductal or invasive lobular breast cancer with hematogenous metastases in the archives of the Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, University Hospital Zurich, in the time period of 1995-2019. We analyzed tissue material of 95 formalin-fixed paraffinembedded (FFPE) PBTs and their corresponding sites of distant METs. Large parts of this cohort have been previously described [17]. Specifically, there were 49 surgical specimens and 46 biopsy specimens among the metastatic lesions. All PBTs had been characterized for estrogen (ER), progesterone (PR), and Her2 receptor expression either at the time of the primary diagnosis or retrospectively. To obtain a homogenous result for ER, PR, and Her2 receptor expression, all cases were reclassified according to the respective ASCO guidelines [18, 19]. Molecular breast cancer subtypes were defined by their ER, PR, and Her2 receptor expression and their Ki-67 proliferation rate according to the St. Gallen Consensus Conference [20, 21] as follows: luminal A (ER+ and/or PR+, HER2–, Ki-67  $\leq$  14%), luminal B (ER+ and/ or PR+, and/or HER2+, Ki-67 > 14%), triple-negative (ER -, PR-, HER2-), and Her2/neu (ER-, PR-, HER2+). Four patients were treated with preoperative chemotherapy, and the others underwent adjuvant treatment after surgery according to the time current guidelines and available regimens. Distant metastasis had occurred to either brain, bone, liver, or soft tissue with only one corresponding distant metastasis to each primary tumor (Table 1). Yet, we cannot exclude that patients suffered from additional metastatic sites not undergoing biopsy but being monitored by imaging only. In addition to this cohort of 95 patients, we included 43 additional breast cancer brain metastases without a corresponding primary tumor available (Table 2). Approval of the use of human primary breast cancer samples and metastatic tissue was obtained from the official ethical authorities of the Canton Zurich, Switzerland (ethical approval KEK-2012-553), according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Tissue selection and immunohistochemistry

In order to assess the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell immune phenotype together with PD-1/LAG-3 expression, three different tumor compartments must be available for analysis. We based our classification of a CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell immune phenotype on their distribution within the three tumor compartments intratumoral, stromal, and invasive margin [18] defined as follows: intratumoral = intraepithelial compartment of the tumor consisting of tumor cells without intervening intratumoral stroma, stromal = intratumoral stroma without tumor cells, and invasive

**Table 1** Clinicopathological data of the 95 breast cancer patients with metastasis at either of the four anatomical locations investigated

	Site of metastasis							
Clinicopathological parameters n=95	Brain (n=24)		Bone (n=24)		Liver (n=16)		Soft tissue (n=31)	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Age at initial diagnosis								
Age < 55	18	75	6	25	9	56.2	15	48.3
Age ≥ 55	6	25	18	75	7	43.7	16	51.6
Histological Subtype								
invasive-ductal	23	95.8	21	87.5	15	93.8	26	83.9
invasive-lobular	1	4.1	3	12.5	1	6.2	5	16.1
Tumor (T) stage								
T1	6	25	7	29.1	5	31.3	9	29
T2	10	41.6	14	58.3	8	50	17	55
Т3	6	25	2	8.3	2	12.5	5	16
T4	2	8.4	1	4.1	1	6.2	0	0
Nodal (N) stage								
negative	8	33.3	10	41.6	7	43.8	15	48.3
positive	16	66.6	14	58.3	9	56.2	16	51.6
Tumor grade								
grade 1	0	0	1	4.1	0	0	2	6.4
grade 2	4	16.6	12	50	5	31.3	12	38.7
grade 3	20	83.4	11	45.8	11	68.7	17	54.8
ER status								
negative	13	54.1	1	4.1	3	18.8	6	19.3
positive	11	45.8	23	95.8	13	81.2	25	80.7
PR status								
negative	18	75	3	12.5	5	31.3	11	35.5
positive	6	25	21	87.5	11	68.7	20	64.5
Her2 status								
negative	10	41.6	24	100	13	81.2	29	93.5
positive	14	58.4	0	0	3	18.8	2	6.4
Molecular subtype								
luminal A	3	12.5	14	58.3	2	12.5	9	29
luminal B	8	33.3	9	37.5	11	68.7	16	51.6
Her2	8	33.3	0	0	1	6.2	1	3.2
TN	5	20.8	1	4.1	2	12.5	5	16.1

Clinicopathological data and group distribution of the 95 breast cancer patients with respect to the four anatomical locations at which the corresponding intrapatient metastasis had occurred. Percentages are shown in relation to the respective metastatic site

margin = the region centered on the border separating the host tissue from the malignant nests, with an extent of 1 mm. This is based on previous definitions [22] but refines the "central tumor" compartment into an intratumoral and stromal compartment. Therefore, we only studied large tissue sections of primary tumors and metastases. First, we screened each case by hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining to assess lymphocytic infiltrate in the three tumor compartments. Mostly, lymphocytes were situated at the tumor margins. One tissue block

A	PBT/MET	Hot	Cold	P value
	Hot n=43	26	17	<0.0001
	Cold n=52	0	52	
в	MET	Hot	Cold	P value
	Site of metastasis			<0.0001
	Brain n=24	8	16	
	Bone n=24	4	20	
	Liver n=16	3	13	
	Soft Tissue n=31	10	21	

Table 2 Distant METs of "cold" PBTs remain "cold"

۸

PBTs (left column) with an inflamed/hot immune phenotype either stayed inflamed/hot or turned cold (excluded or desert) at their matched METs (top row). In contrast, cold/excluded PBTs (left column) always remained cold (excluded or desert) at their matched METs (top row) (A)

Among metastatic sites (left column), brain and soft tissue METs were more commonly hot/inflamed (top row) than liver and bone METs (B)

per case was further analyzed by immunohistochemistry. Tissue blocks were cut in multiple 2-µm sections for immunohistochemical stainings. Immunohistochemical stainings of large tissue sections were performed using automated immunostainers (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA, or Leica BOND-III, Leica Microsystems, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) utilizing the antibodies monoclonal mouse anti-human CD8 (DAKO, clone C8/ 144B, dilution 1:100), monoclonal mouse anti-human lymphocyte activation gene 3 antibody (Abcam, clone 17B4, dilution 1:100), and monoclonal rabbit antihuman PD-1 antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies, clone D4W2J, dilution 1:100) with pretreatments according to the respective manufacturers' instructions. Antibody detections were performed using Refine-HRP-Kit on BondMax Benchmark, Leica.

## Classification of the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell immune phenotypes

To translate the distribution pattern of tumorinfiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells into an immune phenotype, we first scored their spatial distribution within the primary tumors and distant metastases according to the previously suggested consensus statement [22]. As we evaluated the whole slides capturing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell heterogeneity together with immunohistochemistry, we also evaluated the intratumoral/tumor epithelial compartment. We defined (i) immune desert if we could not find any CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell in neither of the three tumor compartments, (ii) immune excluded if CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells had arrived at the tumor environment but could only be found at the invasive margin or within the stromal but not within the intratumoral compartment, and (iii) inflamed if CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells could be detected in the stromal compartment but, importantly, also in direct contact with tumor cells meaning that they had properly infiltrated the intratumoral compartment essential for a CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Due to intratumoral heterogeneity, we evaluated at least three different tumor areas per slide and considered the most common pattern as the predominant immune phenotype. Immune phenotypes were assessed in the first run by two pathologists (ZV, BS) and were randomly re-assessed by one investigator (BS) after a period of at least a few weeks.

## Scoring of LAG-3 and PD-1

Immunohistochemistry of LAG-3 presented a dot-like membranous staining (Fig. 2a) as also depicted by the manufacturer and as recently described [23]. Scoring of LAG-3 and PD-1 was performed as described recently [23]. Any membranous positive expression of the former within the three tumor compartments was regarded to be sufficient to dichotomize the particular compartment into positive or negative. To minimize bias due to tumor heterogeneity, we evaluated three different areas per tumor compartment of each tumor section. The average results of these three fields per tumor compartment were used for further evaluation. Scores were assessed in the first run by two pathologists (ZV, BS) and were randomly re-assessed by one investigator (BS) after a period of at least a few weeks.

## Statistical analysis

Associations between immune phenotypes with respect PBTs, METs, and PD-1/LAG-3 expression were performed by the Fisher-Freeman-Halton test. To this end, immune desert and excluded tumors were summarized into "cold" tumors and inflamed tumors were categorized as "hot." Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time between diagnosis of the PBT and the occurrence of the respective distant MET. While this is in



corresponding distant metastases (right) among the molecular breast cancer subtypes (middle). Metastases turned into "cold" tumors irrespective of the molecular subtype (**d**)

contrast to the common perception of DFS in clinical trials, we are convinced that this time interval may serve as an adequate marker in the here presented exploratory

setting. Survival analysis was computed using the Kaplan-Meier estimator. To compare the Kaplan-Meier survival estimates, the log-rank test was applied as

statistical analysis. Significances are displayed as follows: ns = p > 0.05,  $*p \le 0.05$ ,  $**p \le 0.01$ ,  $***p \le 0.001$ , and  $****p \le 0.0001$ . Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism (version 7.04) and StatXact (version 12; Cytel Studio) software.

## Results

## Distant METs of "cold" PBTs remain "cold"

We performed immunohistochemistry for CD8, PD-1, and LAG-3 (Fig. 1a) on whole tissue sections. To translate the frequency and spatial distribution of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within the tumor compartments to a certain immune phenotype, we first semiquantitatively evaluated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within PBTs and METs (Fig. 1b) to categorize them into three immune phenotypes corresponding to the clinical categories "hot" and "cold" (Fig. 1c). In PBTs, the frequencies of immune excluded and inflamed cases were similar. In contrast, METs turned "cold" regardless of the breast cancer molecular subtype as depicted using an alluvial diagram (Fig. 1d), clinicopathological parameters, or the anatomical site of the METs (data not shown). Interestingly, METs of "cold" PBTs always remained "cold" at their matched metastatic site. In contrast, "hot" PBTs either stayed "hot" or turned "cold" in their corresponding METs (Table 2 (A)). Among distant metastatic sites, brain and soft tissue METs remained more commonly inflamed (Table 2 (B)).

## PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression correlates with a "hot" immune phenotype

LAG-3 expression occurred only in PD-1-positive cases (p < 0.01; not shown) with an overall low frequency (5% positive intratumoral and 31% positive stromal cases in PBTs with lower frequencies for METs). PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression correlated significantly with a "hot" immune phenotype in both PBTs and METs (Table 3 (A and B)) regardless of the molecular breast cancer subtype (not

 Table 3 Immune phenotype correlates with PD-1 and LAG-3 expression

Α	PD-1 PBT	Hot	Cold	P value
	Intratumoral pos	10	0	<0.0001
	Intratumoral neg	33	52	
	Stromal pos	23	10	
	Stromal neg	20	42	
	LAG-3 PBT	Hot	Cold	P value
	Intratumoral pos	5	0	<0.0001
	Intratumoral neg	38	52	
	Stromal pos	24	10	
	Stromal neg	19	42	
В	PD-1 MET	Hot	Cold	P value
	Intratumoral pos	10	0	<0.0001
	Intratumoral neg	16	69	
	Stromal pos	20	13	
	Stromal neg	6	56	

С

PD-1 MET	Brain & Soft Tissue	Bone & Liver	P value
Intratumoral pos	10	0	<0.0001
Intratumoral neg	45	30	
Stromal pos	27	6	
Stromal neg	28	34	

PD-1 and LAG-3 expression correlated both in the PBTs (A) and the METs (B) with a hot/inflamed immune phenotype. PD-1 intratumoral positivity was most predominantly overserved in brain and soft tissue METs (C)

shown). Brain and soft tissue METs displayed more commonly PD-1 expression with the same tendency for LAG-3 (Table 3 (C)).

## Negative prognostic disease-free survival impact of PD-1 and LAG-3 expression

The dichotomization of PBTs into inflamed/"hot" or excluded-desert/"cold" tumors revealed an improved disease-free survival (DFS) for "hot" PBTs (Fig. 2a). The combined analysis of PD-1/LAG-3 expression within the stromal tumor compartment and immune phenotype further improved DFS discrimination: "hot" but PD-1<sup>-</sup> PBTs displayed a significantly better DFS, while "hot" but PD-1<sup>+</sup> PBTs showed the same reduced DFS as "cold" PD-1<sup>+</sup> or PD-1<sup>-</sup> tumors (Fig. 2b, c).

## $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PD-1^+/LAG-3^+}}\xspace$ expression is associated with "hot" brain metastases

Among distant metastatic sites, brain and soft tissues showed more prevalently an inflamed, PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> immune phenotype. Due to our cohort, it remained unclear whether this effect was due to the molecular breast cancer subtype or the brain/soft tissue-specific tumor microenvironment. As the brain is commonly regarded as an immune-privileged organ and brain metastases are clinically highly relevant, we included additional 43 breast cancer brain metastases (Table 4) resulting in 67 brain metastases in total. About half of these additional brain METs displayed a "hot" immune phenotype. Again, PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression correlated with an inflamed immune phenotype (Table 5) but was not associated with the molecular breast cancer subtype (not shown).



## Table 4 Clinicopathological parameters of the additional 43 brain metastases

Additional metastases Pathological parameters		ain =43)
	No.	%
Age at metastases		
Age < 55	19	44.2
Age ≥ 55	24	55.8
Histological Subtype		
invasive-ductal	43	100
invasive-lobular	0	0
ER status		
negative	22	51.2
positive	21	48.8
PR status		
negative	25	35.5
positive	18	64.5
Her2 status		
negative	29	58.2
positive	14	41.8
Molecular subtype		
luminal A	3	7
luminal B	19	44
Her2	12	28
TN	9	21

Overview of the additional cohort of breast cancer brain metastases. The primary breast cancer was not available in these cases

## Discussion

By investigating a large intrapatient matched PBT distant MET breast cancer cohort [2, 17, 25–27], we have shown that (i) PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> is strongly associated with a "hot" immune phenotype and (ii) differs between

METs and PBTs. As described, LAG-3 expression was only observed in PD-1-positive cases [7] with an overall low frequency [23].

For this study, we translated the intratumoral spatial distribution pattern of  $\text{CD8}^+$  T cells into three defined

Table 5 PD-1 e	xpression	correlates to a	an inflamed	phenotype in all	investigated brain	n metastases

PD-1 Brain MET	Hot	Cold	P value
Intratumoral pos	11	0	<0.0001
Intratumoral neg	17	39	
Stromal pos	16	15	
Stromal neg	12	24	

In the enlarged brain metastasis cohort comprising additional 43 breast cancer brain metastases to the initial 24 brain metastasis PD-1 expression also correlated to a hot/inflamed immune phenotype

immune phenotypes, intended to reflect the clinical terms "hot" or "cold" tumors. We based our evaluation on the following tumor compartments: tumor center comprising the intratumoral/tumor epithelial and stromal compartment and the invasive margin compartment. While these compartments are arbitrary to a certain extent since T cells are thought to freely move through tissue and are as such not stuck to a particular compartment as implied by a snap-shot-like impression in FFPE tissue, these three compartments are well-established and proposed as such by consensus agreements [22].

As published previously [17], we evaluated the intratumoral compartment of the "tumor center" [22] compartment based on the notion that a direct contact between CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and tumor cells must occur for CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Within this concept, also metastatic biopsies containing the "tumor center" fulfill the necessary criteria to identify immune phenotypes as the value of the invasive margin-often lacking in metastatic biopsy material-becomes limited. Systematic studies assigning the spatial distribution of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells to a certain immune phenotype and, moreover, validating the biological significance of these immune phenotypes to the response to immune checkpoint inhibition are lacking up to date. There is thus no consensus classification of immune phenotypes yet. While we are conour proposed immune vinced that phenotype classification may serve as a valuable surrogate marker also applicable in metastatic tissue, our suggested immune phenotypes certainly need to be validated in a cohort that includes responders and non-responders to immune checkpoint inhibition.

In contrast to the previous results, neither the immune phenotype nor PD-1/LAG-3 expression was associated to the molecular breast cancer subtype [28] possibly due to the overall small number of patients in our cohort.

Overall, METs turned "cold" suggesting reduced immunogenicity of METs in general [25]. Interestingly, METs of "cold" PBTs always remained "cold" at their matched metastatic site, while "hot" PBTs either stayed "hot" or turned "cold" in their corresponding METs. These observations were independent of the breast cancer molecular subtype. While the underlying mechanisms remain unclear, our findings imply a tumor intrinsic immunogenicity and may explain the low response rates to immunotherapy in metastatic breast cancer [2, 5].

From a clinical translational point of view, these results strongly favor the spatial assessment of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells together with PD-1/LAG-3 within metastatic tissue if immune modulatory therapy is considered. In case of synchronous metastases at different anatomical locations, biopsy material of either all metastatic sites or one of clinically greatest importance, such as brain metastases, should be discussed. The value of a combined assessment of PD-L1 and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes was recently proposed as a more comprehensive immuno-oncological biomarker in breast cancer [29]. Whether our suggested evaluation of immune phenotypes together with PD-1/LAG-3 within metastatic tissue may serve as an even more comprehensive immunooncological biomarker needs further validation in larger and prospective cohorts.

Among the distant metastatic sites, brain and soft tissue METs displayed more prevalently an inflamed but exhausted immune phenotype. To distinguish sitespecific immune changes [14] from the molecular breast cancer subtype, we included additional brain metastases. Again PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression correlated to "hot" brain METs regardless of the molecular breast cancer subtype supporting our previous notion of a tumor intrinsic immunogenicity.

In our cohort, an inflamed PD-1<sup>-</sup>/LAG-3<sup>-</sup> immune phenotype in the PBT was associated with an improved DFS implying a negative DFS prognostic impact of PD-1/LAG-3 expression. While this certainly needs to be confirmed in a larger cohort, this adverse prognostic significance of PD-1/LAG-3 expression was not unexpected given their inhibitory effects on the immune response in general [6]. Nevertheless, these observations were in contrast to a recent publication describing improved DFS in PD-1- and LAG-3-positive primary breast cancers [23].

In recent reports, LAG-3 expression is associated with different DFS prognostic outcomes which may be due to a small number of LAG-3/PD-1 positive cases, heterogenous methods employed, and different LAG-3positive cutoffs [9]. While Burugu et al. [23] used tissue microarrays (TMAs) and focused on intratumoral lymphocytes, the paper by Bottai et al. [28] described data using whole slides and stromal lymphocytes. This illustrates the inconsistencies and limitations between methods and the tumor compartment evaluated. TMAs are usually constructed using only small tumor cores taken in regions with high tumor content and not selected based on the presence of abundant immune infiltration. TMAs may as such neither reflect the intratumoral heterogeneity nor give the complete picture of the presence of LAG-3-positive cells as the whole tissue sections we used. Furthermore, due to our interest in LAG-3 and PD-1 expression in metastatic tissue, our cohort is biased for patients with advanced metastatic disease thus differing from an average breast cancer cohort.

Our study fills an important knowledge gap in metastatic breast cancer in two main regards: (i) the immune phenotype and PD-1/LAG-3 expression within metastatic breast cancer are significantly different from the primary tumor and among anatomical metastatic sites and (ii) PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression is strongly associated with a "hot" immune phenotype. Taken together, not the primary tumor but metastatic breast cancer should be analyzed for the immune phenotype and PD-1/LAG-3 expression to reveal the metastasis-associated immune pathology. This dual evaluation in metastatic sites may eventually improve the stratification of advanced breast cancer patients for immunotherapy given that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells must be present within the tumor bed for an effective immunotherapy response [10].

## Conclusions

In summary, LAG-3 was exclusively observed in PD-1<sup>+</sup> cases with an overall low frequency. PD-1<sup>+</sup>/LAG-3<sup>+</sup> expression was associated with a "hot" immune phenotype both in PBTs and METs regardless of the breast cancer molecular subtype. Disease-free survival was significantly improved in inflamed but PD-1<sup>-</sup>/LAG-3<sup>-</sup> PBTs. In our cohort, METs of "cold" PBTs always remained "cold" at their matched metastatic site. In contrast, "hot" PBTs either remained "hot" or turned "cold" in their corresponding METs. Among the anatomical sites of metastases, brain and soft tissue metastases were more commonly inflamed with signs of exhaustion.

Our study emphasizes the careful assessment of the immune phenotype and PD-1/LAG-3 expression in metastatic breast cancer tissue to overcome intrapatient tumor heterogeneity. Furthermore, analysis of metastatic breast cancer tissue may improve the stratification of advanced breast cancer patients for a dual anti-PD-1/anti-LAG-3 immunotherapy.

#### Abbreviations

PBT: Primary breast tumor; MET: Distant metastasis; PD-1: Programmed cell death receptor 1; LAG-3: Lymphocyte activation gene 3; FFPE: Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; DFS: Disease-free survival; HE: Hematoxylin and eosin; TMA: Tissue microarray

### Acknowledgements

We thank Susanne Dettwiler and Christiane Mittmann for the outstanding technical assistance.

### Authors' contributions

Study conception and design: BS, HM, and ZV. Acquisition of the data: BS and ZV. Analysis and interpretation of the data: BS, HM, and ZV. Drafting of the manuscript: BS. Critical revision: HM and ZV. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approval of the use of human primary breast cancer samples and metastatic tissue was obtained from the official ethical authorities of the Canton Zurich,

Switzerland (ethical approval KEK-2012-553) according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 15 June 2020 Accepted: 8 December 2020 Published online: 07 January 2021

#### References

- Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, et al. Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:2108–21.
- Solinas C, Gombos A, Latifyan S, Piccart-Gebhart M, Kok M, Buisseret L. Targeting immune checkpoints in breast cancer: an update of early results. ESMO Open. 2017;2:e000255.
- Triebel F, Jitsukawa S, Baixeras E, Roman-Roman S, Genevee C, Viegas-Pequignot E, et al. LAG-3, a novel lymphocyte activation gene closely related to CD4. J Exp Med. 1990;171:1393–405.
- Woo SR, Turnis ME, Goldberg MV, Bankoti J, Selby M, Nirschl CJ, et al. Immune inhibitory molecules LAG-3 and PD-1 synergistically regulate T-cell function to promote tumoral immune escape. Cancer Res. 2012;72:917–27.
- Puhr HC, Ilhan-Mutlu A. New emerging targets in cancer immunotherapy: the role of LAG3. ESMO Open. 2019;4:e000482.
- Nguyen LT, Ohashi PS. Clinical blockade of PD1 and LAG3--potential mechanisms of action. Nat Rev Immunol. 2015;15:45–56.
- Anderson AC, Joller N, Kuchroo VK. Lag-3, Tim-3, and TIGIT: co-inhibitory receptors with specialized functions in immune regulation. Immunity. 2016; 44:989–1004.
- Long L, Zhang X, Chen F, Pan Q, Phiphatwatchara P, Zeng Y, et al. The promising immune checkpoint LAG-3: from tumor microenvironment to cancer immunotherapy. Genes Cancer. 2018;9:176–89.
- Saleh RR, Peinado P, Fuentes-Antras J, Perez-Segura P, Pandiella A, Amir E, et al. Prognostic value of lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG3) in cancer: a meta-analysis. Front Oncol. 2019;9:1040.
- Prendergast GC, Mondal A, Dey S, Laury-Kleintop LD, Muller AJ. Inflammatory reprogramming with IDO1 inhibitors: turning immunologically unresponsive 'cold' tumors 'hot'. Trends Cancer. 2018;4:38–58.
- Adams S, Gray RJ, Demaria S, Goldstein L, Perez EA, Shulman LN, et al. Prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in triple-negative breast cancers from two phase III randomized adjuvant breast cancer trials: ECOG 2197 and ECOG 1199. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32:2959–66.
- Chen DS, Mellman I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity. 2013;39:1–10.
- 13. Cogdill AP, Andrews MC, Wargo JA. Hallmarks of response to immune checkpoint blockade. Br J Cancer. 2017;117:1–7.
- 14. Salmon H, Remark R, Gnjatic S, Merad M. Host tissue determinants of tumour immunity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2019;19:215–27.
- Pao W, Ooi CH, Birzele F, Ruefli-Brasse A, Cannarile MA, Reis B, et al. Tissuespecific immunoregulation: a call for better understanding of the "immunostat" in the context of cancer. Cancer Discov. 2018;8:395–402.
- Brastianos PK, Carter SL, Santagata S, Cahill DP, Taylor-Weiner A, Jones RT, et al. Genomic characterization of brain metastases reveals branched evolution and potential therapeutic targets. Cancer Discov. 2015;5:1164–77.
- Sobottka B, Pestalozzi B, Fink D, Moch H, Varga Z. Similar lymphocytic infiltration pattern in primary breast cancer and their corresponding distant metastases. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5:e1153208.
- Hammond ME, Hayes DF, Dowsett M, Allred DC, Hagerty KL, Badve S, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for immunohistochemical testing of estrogen and progesterone receptors in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:2784–95.
- Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Allison KH, Harvey BE, McShane LM, Dowsett M. HER2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/ College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline focused update summary. J Oncol Pract. 2018;14:437–41.
- 20. Goldhirsch A, Winer EP, Coates AS, Gelber RD, Piccart-Gebhart M, Thurlimann B, et al. Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast

cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013. Ann Oncol. 2013;24:2206–23.

- Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, Gelber RD, Thurlimann B, Senn HJ, et al. Strategies for subtypes--dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St. Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. Ann Oncol. 2011;22:1736–47.
- Salgado R, Denkert C, Demaria S, Sirtaine N, Klauschen F, Pruneri G, et al. The evaluation of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in breast cancer: recommendations by an International TILs Working Group 2014. Ann Oncol. 2015;26:259–71.
- Burugu S, Gao D, Leung S, Chia SK, Nielsen TO. LAG-3+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer: clinical correlates and association with PD-1/ PD-L1+ tumors. Ann Oncol. 2017;28:2977–84.
- 24. Team R. How to make an alluvial diagram; 2020.
- Cimino-Mathews A, Ye X, Meeker A, Argani P, Emens LA. Metastatic triplenegative breast cancers at first relapse have fewer tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes than their matched primary breast tumors: a pilot study. Hum Pathol. 2013;44:2055–63.
- Cimino-Mathews A, Thompson E, Taube JM, Ye X, Lu Y, Meeker A, et al. PD-L1 (B7-H1) expression and the immune tumor microenvironment in primary and metastatic breast carcinomas. Hum Pathol. 2016;47:52–63.
- Ogiya R, Niikura N, Kumaki N, Yasojima H, Iwasa T, Kanbayashi C, et al. Comparison of immune microenvironments between primary tumors and brain metastases in patients with breast cancer. Oncotarget. 2017;8:103671–81.
- Bottai G, Raschioni C, Losurdo A, Di Tommaso L, Tinterri C, Torrisi R, et al. An immune stratification reveals a subset of PD-1/LAG-3 double-positive triplenegative breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res. 2016;18:121.
- Gonzalez-Ericsson PI, Stovgaard ES, Sua LF, Reisenbichler E, Kos Z, Carter JM, et al. The path to a better biomarker: application of a risk management framework for the implementation of PD-L1 and TILs as immuno-oncology biomarkers in breast cancer clinical trials and daily practice. J Pathol. 2020; 250:667–84.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

