Acute kidney injury should not be neglected – optimization of quick Pitt bacteremia score for predicting mortality in critically ill patients with bloodstream infection: a retrospective cohort study

Jiaqi Cai, Ming Yang, Han Deng, Hao Bai, Guanhao Zheng២ and Juan He🕩

Abstract

Background: Considering the therapeutic difficulties and mortality associated with bloodstream infection (BSI), it is essential to investigate other potential factors affecting mortality in critically ill patients with BSI and examine the utility of the quick Pitt bacteremia (qPitt) score to improve the survival rate.

Objectives: To improve the predictive accuracy of the qPitt scoring system by evaluating the five current components of qPitt and including other potential factors influencing mortality in critically ill patients with BSI.

Design: This was a retrospective cohort study.

Methods: Medical information from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV database was used in this retrospective cohort study. The risk factors associated with mortality were examined using a multivariate logistic regression model. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) was used to assess the discriminatory capability of the prediction models.

Results: In total, 1240 eligible critically ill patients with BSI were included. After adjustment for age, community-onset BSI, indwelling invasive lines, and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) \leq 8, acute kidney injury (AKI) was identified as a notable risk factor for 14-day mortality. Except for altered mental status, the four other main components of the original qPitt were significantly associated with 14-day mortality. Hence, we established a modified qPitt (m-qPitt) by adding AKI and replacing altered mental status with GCS \leq 8. The AUCs for m-qPitt and qPitt were 0.723 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.683–0.759] and 0.708 (95% CI: 0.669–0.745) in predicting 14-day mortality, respectively. Moreover, m-qPitt also had acceptable performance and discrimination power [0.700 (95% CI: 0.666–0.732)] in predicting 28-day mortality.

Conclusion: AKI significantly influenced the survival of critically ill patients with BSIs. Compared with the original qPitt, our new m-qPitt was proven to have a better predictive performance for mortality in critically ill patients with BSI. Further studies should be conducted to validate the practicality of m-qPitt.

Keywords: acute kidney injury, bloodstream infection, Glasgow Coma Scale, mortality, quick Pitt bacteremia score

Received: 27 October 2023; revised manuscript accepted: 22 January 2024.

Ther Adv Infect Dis

2024, Vol. 11: 1–14 DOI: 10.1177/ 20499361241231147

© The Author(s), 2024. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Correspondence to: Guanhao Zheng

World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Control, School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

ken_zheng@foxmail.com Juan He

Department of Pharmacy, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Room 202, 2nd Floor, 12 Building, 197 Ruijin No. 2 Road, Huangpu, Shanghai 200025, China

hejuanwin@126.com Jiagi Cai

Department of Pharmacy, Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Department of Clinical Laboratory, Kunshan Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Kunshan, China

Ming Yang

The 2nd Department of Tuberculosis, Zhongshan Second People's Hospital, Zhongshan, China

Han Deng

Department of International Medical Center, Shenzhen Hospital, Southern Medical University, Shenzhen, China

Hao Bai

Department of Pharmacy, Chongqing University Cancer Hospital, Chongqing, China

journals.sagepub.com/home/tai



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Sage and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Introduction

In the last few decades, bloodstream infections (BSIs) have been proven to be one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in critically ill patients. The estimated death rate of patients with BSI ranges from approximately 17% to 60%, and varies across different organisms.^{1,2}

To identify critically ill patients at high risk of death, several scoring systems have been designed and applied to evaluate the severity of BSI and other infections, such as the quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) and Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) scores.^{3,4} Known as a useful BSI prognosis prediction algorithm, the quick Pitt bacteremia (qPitt) score is widely used to predict mortality in patients with BSI because of its convenience and reliability. The qPitt score consists of five binary variables, with one point allocated for each, and a qPitt score of 2 is considered a reasonable cutoff value to assess the acute severity of illness.⁵

However, various risk factors have not been included in the qPitt score but are associated with mortality in BSI. It is essential to further modify the qPitt score by evaluating suspected meaningful variables such as the occurrence of acute kidney injury (AKI). As the most common and threatening complication of severe infections, such as sepsis and BSI, AKI has been verified as an independent influencing factor associated with death in critically ill patients.⁶⁻¹⁰ AKI is strongly associated with poor clinical outcomes, including a higher probability of in-hospital death and longer duration of hospital stay, especially in critically ill patients.¹¹ Moreover, although there are several studies designed to elucidate the practicability of the qPitt score in predicting the prognosis of BSI caused by different organisms and even non-bacteremia carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections, there is still a lack of comprehensive large-scale clinical studies covering both Gram-negative and Gram-positive BSI.5,12,13

To this end, we carried out a retrospective cohort study in critically ill patients with BSI to validate the clinical utility of the qPitt score and identify any other potential variables affecting the prognosis of BSI to modify the criteria of the qPitt score based on the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV) database.

Methods

Study design and participants

This was a retrospective cohort study that used the MIMIC-IV (version 2.0) database. The MIMIC-IV database is a large, single-center, freely accessible database consisting of comprehensive information on more than 40,000 intensive care unit (ICU) patients across the United States from 2008 to 2019.¹⁴ This study conformed to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement.¹⁵ All consecutive hospitalized patients diagnosed with BSI in the MIMIC-IV database were enrolled in our study. Patients were included only once, when an initial episode of BSI was identified during hospitalization. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age <18 years and (2) missing data.

Study objectives

The primary aim of the current study was to determine the risk factors affecting 14-day allcause mortality in patients with BSI, especially to appraise the predictive ability of the qPitt score and modify the specific criteria of individual components from the qPitt score if necessary. Moreover, the 28-day all-cause mortality was examined as a secondary outcome.

Definitions

All definitions used in the study were ascertained prior to data collection. BSI was defined as the isolation of any Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacillus from an index blood culture. The site of BSI acquisition was categorized as communityonset if the index blood culture was collected within 48h of admission; otherwise, it was categorized as hospital-onset. Multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) included the following five common drug-resistant pathogens for critically ill patients: carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii (CRAB), carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, in view of the World Health Organization global priority pathogens list.¹⁶ Immunocompromised status was defined as the presence of at least one of the following: neutropenia (absolute neutrophil $count < 500/\mu L$), use of chemotherapy, diagnosis of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome, prior organ or bone marrow transplantation, corticosteroid treatment (prednisone at a daily dose of 20 mg or higher for at least 7 days), or other immunosuppressive medications.^{17,18} AKI severity was staged according to the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) classification system.¹⁹ The individual components of the qPitt criteria are summarized in Table 1.⁵ Altered mental status was defined as a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of less than 15 points. The qSOFA and SIRS scores were graded according to their own criteria.^{3,4}

Data collection for potential predictors

In this study, we used the Navicat Premium software (PremiumSoft CyberTech Ltd., Hong Kong SAR, version 16.3.5) and Structure Query Language (SQL) with PostgresSQL (PostgresSQL Global Development Group, U.S., version 14.2) for data collection and management. Candidate prediction variables were all extracted from the MIMIC-IV database for further analysis, including patient demographics (age, gender, weight), BSI information (time to diagnosis of BSI after admission, Gram-positive or Gram-negative infection, and MDRO infection), comorbidities, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score, immunocompromised status, indwelling invasive lines, AKI variables [AKI stage and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT)], scoring system (GCS, qPitt, qSOFA, and SIRS), and qPitt variables (hypothermia, hypotension, respiratory failure, cardiac arrest, and altered mental status). All variables were recorded within 24h prior to or 24h following the collection of index blood cultures. If a variable was recorded more than once during the aforementioned period, only the value associated with the greatest illness severity was retained.

Statistical analysis

To establish a final multivariate logistic regression model to identify the risk factors associated with our primary outcome, all potential variables were assessed using univariate analysis. The distribution normality of each variable was verified using the Shapiro–Wilk test. For p value calculations, Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to analyze categorical variables, whereas Student's *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to evaluate continuous variables. We separated the qPitt score into five individual components to determine the independent association between

qPitt variableª	Point allocation	
Hypothermia (temperature < 36°C)	1	
Hypotension (SBP < 90 mmHg or vasopressor use)	1	
Respiratory failure (respiratory rate≥25 breaths per minute or mechanical ventilation)	1	
Cardiac arrest	1	
Altered mental status	1	
"The worst (highest or lowest) variable is collected in the 24h leading to and 24h		

gPitt, guick Pitt bacteremia; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

these components and 14-day mortality. Potential variables related to 14-day mortality in univariate analysis (p value < 0.05) were included in the multivariate analysis with forward stepwise selection, whereas only variables with p-values < 0.05 were retained in the final model. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to estimate each variable's strength of association with 14-day mortality.

Subsequently, a derivative scoring system, the modified qPitt score (m-qPitt), was established based on qPitt individual components and other significant predictors of 14-day mortality. We then used the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and the Youden index (sensitivity + specificity -1) to determine the most appropriate dichotomous cut-off value for m-qPitt.

To quantify the association between different scoring systems (m-qPitt, qPitt, qSOFA, and SIRS) and 14-day mortality, each scoring system was considered integrally as a continuous variable to develop logistic regression models with other independent risk factors for 14-day mortality from the previous model. AUC values were computed to assess and compare the discrimination power of the different scores. The AUC comparison was conducted using the Delong test.²⁰

As for the secondary outcome of our study, the AUC calculation process was utilized to analyze the discrimination ability of m-qPitt in predicting 28-day mortality as well. Finally, Kaplan–Meier survival curves stratified by the cutoff value of m-qPitt were produced to analyze 14-day and 28-day mortality, and the Bonferroni-based log-rank test was applied for comparison.

THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Infectious Disease



Figure 1. Study design.

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro (version 16.2.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Statistical significance was set at pvalue < 0.05 (two-tailed).

Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics

After screening the data of 3215 patients with BSI, 1240 were eligible for our study (Figure 1). The median age of the patients was 64 years and 62.8% were male. A total of 514 (41.5%) patients had community-onset BSIs. The proportion of Gram-negative BSI was 35.6%, and nearly 40% of the BSIs were attributed to MDROs. Overall, congestive heart failure (32.7%), renal disease (27.7%), and diabetes without chronic complications (265%) were the three main comorbidities. The median CCI score was 6 (interquartile range, 3-8). Approximately 15% of the patients were immunocompromised when diagnosed with BSI. More than half (52.0%) of the patients had invasive indwelling lines. Regarding kidney function, 851 (68.6%) patients had AKI at various stages, and 95 (7.7%) patients received CRRT. The 14-day and 28-day mortality rates were 15.3% and 23.6%, respectively (Table 2).

Association with qPitt individual components and 14-day mortality

Univariate analysis revealed that age, communityonset BSI, indwelling invasive lines, AKI, and four individual variables in the qPitt score (except altered mental status) were significantly associated with 14-day mortality. To further investigate the magnitude of mental status in predicting 14-day mortality, the GCS score was redefined as another potential dichotomous variable and included in the univariate analysis. As a result, we found that GCS ≤ 8 was a notable variable related to a higher rate of 14-day mortality in univariate analysis (Table 3). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine whether the above-mentioned variables were significant risk factors for predicting 14-day mortality in patients with BSI (Table 4).

Development and evaluation of m-qPitt in predicting 14-day mortality

Multivariate analysis revealed that altered mental status had a minor effect on BSI patients' 14-day mortality as one of the previous qPitt individual components, compared to $GCS \leq 8$. Furthermore, we have already substantiated that the incidence of AKI was significantly associated with 14-day mortality, as described above, and AKI could be detected in a timely manner by monitoring serum creatinine (SCr) levels and urine output at the time of the first episode of BSI. Hence, the modified version of the qPitt score (m-qPitt) was derived with an additional predictive component concentrating on AKI, as well as adjusted for altered mental status (Table 5).

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed that the AUC value was 0.723 (95% CI: 0.683-0.759) for m-qPitt and 0.708 (95% CI: 0.669-0.745, *p* value 0.093) for qPitt, whereas lower AUC values were observed for qSOFA [0.700 (95% CI: 0.659-0.738, *p* value 0.128)] and SIRS [0.698 (95% CI 0.658-0.734, *p* value 0.088)], respectively, which suggested that qPitt had the optimal discrimination power for predicting 14-day mortality in patients with BSI (Figure 2).

To select an appropriate cutoff value for m-qPitt, we also analyzed the performance characteristics of m-qPitt for predicting 14-day mortality at different total points. Using the maximal Youden index, the optimal dichotomous m-qPitt cutoff value was determined to be 3 points (Table 6).

Lastly, the Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed a notably lower 14-day survival rate in patients with

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of patients with bloodstream infection.

Variablesª	Total (<i>n</i> = 1240)
Demographics	
Age (years)	64 (53.5–74.5)
Gender (male)	779 (62.8)
Weight (kg)	82 (67.5–96.5)
BSI information	
Community-onset BSI	514 (41.5)
Bacteria classification (Gram-negative)	441 (35.6)
MDROs	489 (39.4)
Comorbidities	
Myocardial infarction	229 (18.5)
Congestive heart failure	406 (32.7)
Peripheral vascular disease	160 (12.9)
Cerebrovascular disease	194 (15.6)
Dementia	43 (3.5)
Chronic pulmonary disease	311 (25.1)
Rheumatic disease	42 (3.4)
Peptic ulcer disease	70 (5.6)
Mild liver disease	316 (25.5)
Severe liver disease	165 (13.3)
Diabetes with chronic complication	144 (11.6)
Diabetes without chronic complication	329 (26.5)
Paraplegia	81 (6.5)
Renal disease	344 (27.7)
Malignancy	205 (16.5)
Metastatic solid tumor	87 (7.0)
CCI score	6 (3-8)
Immunocompromised status	189 (15.2)
Indwelling invasive lines	645 (52.0)
	(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)		
Variables ^a	Total (<i>n</i> = 1240)	
AKI variables		
AKI-KDIGO stage 1 or greater	851 (68.6)	
CRRT	95 (7.7)	
Scoring system		
GCS	14 (11–15)	
qPitt	2 (1–3)	
qSOFA	1 (1–2)	
SIRS	3 (2–4)	
qPitt variables		
Hypothermia (temperature<36°C)	10 (0.8)	
Hypotension (SBP < 90 mmHg or vasopressor use)	889 (71.7)	
Respiratory failure (respiratory rate≥25 breaths per minute or mechanical ventilation)	851 (68.6)	
Cardiac arrest	71 (5.7)	
Altered mental status	802 (64.7)	
Clinical outcomes		
14-Day mortality	190 (15.3)	
28-Day mortality	292 (23.6)	
^a All data are exhibited as number (%) or median (P25–P75). AKI, acute kidney injury; BSI, bloodstream infection; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; MDRO, multidrug-resistant organism; qPitt, quick Pitt bacteremia score; qSOFA, quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SIRS, Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome score.		

a higher m-qPitt score stratified by our selected cut-off value, namely m-qPitt \geq 3 (Figure 3).

Application of m-qPitt in predicting 28-day mortality

In comparison with the AUC values of qPitt [0.662 (95% CI: 0.626–0.695, *p*-value < 0.001)],

Table 3. Univariate logistic regression analysis for risk factors of 14-day mortality in BSI.

Variables ^a	Survival (<i>n</i> = 1050)	Death (<i>n</i> = 190)	OR (95% CI)	p Value
Demographics				
Age per year	63 (52–73)	68 (57–79)	1.047 (1.017–1.078)	0.002*
Gender (male)	653 (62.2)	126 (66.3)	1.181 (0.815–1.711)	0.379
Weight per kg	81.9 (69.4–98.1)	82.7 (70–105)	1.002 (0.994–1.009)	0.658
BSI information				
Community-onset BSI	416 (39.6)	98 (51.6)	2.862 (1.929–4.246)	< 0.001*
Bacteria classification (Gram-negative)	347 (33.0)	67 (35.3)	1.019 (0.699–1.486)	0.921
MDROs	635 (60.5)	116 (61.1)	1.046 (0.722–1.516)	0.812
Comorbidities				
Myocardial infarction	191 (18.2)	38 (20)	1.011 (0.585–1.746)	0.969
Congestive heart failure	336 (32)	70 (36.8)	1.509 (0.897–2.536)	0.121
Peripheral vascular disease	133 (12.7)	27 (14.2)	1.274 (0.713–2.275)	0.414
Cerebrovascular disease	156 (14.9)	38 (20)	1.721 (0.988–3.000)	0.055
Dementia	36 (3.4)	7 (3.7)	1.557 (0.591–4.107)	0.371
Chronic pulmonary disease	265 (25.2)	46 (24.2)	0.992 (0.593–1.661)	0.977
Rheumatic disease	32 (3.0)	10 (5.3)	2.287 (0.948–5.515)	0.065
Peptic ulcer disease	58 (5.5)	12 (6.3)	1.361 (0.623–2.970)	0.439
Mild liver disease	257 (24.5)	59 (31.1)	1.654 (0.982–2.787)	0.059
Severe liver disease	134 (12.8)	31 (16.3)	2.007 (0.817–4.930)	0.129
Diabetes with chronic complication	120 (11.4)	24 (12.6)	1.517 (0.667–3.447)	0.320
Diabetes without chronic complication	273 (26)	56 (29.5)	1.237 (0.769–1.988)	0.380
Paraplegia	66 (6.3)	15 (7.9)	2.086 (0.828–5.253)	0.119
Renal disease	291 (27.7)	53 (27.9)	1.055 (0.484–2.303)	0.892
Malignancy	170 (16.2)	35 (18.4)	2.080 (0.963–4.493)	0.062
Metastatic solid tumor	71 (6.8)	16 (8.4)	3.378 (0.676– 16.892)	0.138
CCI score	14 (11–15)	14 (10–15)	0.833 (0.608–1.140)	0.254
Immunocompromised status	166 (15.8)	23 (12.1)	0.717 (0.421–1.221)	0.220
Indwelling invasive lines	524 (49.9)	121 (63.7)	1.760 (1.279–2.423)	<0.001*

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Survival (<i>n</i> = 1050)	Death (<i>n</i> = 190)	OR (95% CI)	p Value
691 (65.8)	160 (84.2)	2.609 (1.632–4.171)	0.003*
65 (6.2)	30 (15.8)	2.301 (1.324-4.000)	0.063
2 (0.2)	8 [4.2]	23.723 (3.981– 141.385)	<0.001*
731 (69.6)	158 (83.2)	1.744 (1.116–2.726)	0.015*
697 (66.4)	154 (81.1)	1.624 (1.047–2.519)	0.031*
50 (4.8)	21 (11.1)	2.060 (1.104–3.844)	0.023*
678 (64.6)	124 (65.3)	1.019 (0.674–1.542)	0.928
129 (12.3)	38 (20)	1.703 (1.057–2.744)	0.029*
	Survival (<i>n</i> = 1050) 691 (65.8) 65 (6.2) 2 (0.2) 731 (69.6) 697 (66.4) 50 (4.8) 678 (64.6) 129 (12.3)	Survival (n = 1050) Death (n = 190) 691 (65.8) 160 (84.2) 65 (6.2) 30 (15.8) 65 (6.2) 30 (15.8) 2 (0.2) 8 (4.2) 731 (69.6) 158 (83.2) 697 (66.4) 154 (81.1) 50 (4.8) 21 (11.1) 678 (64.6) 124 (65.3) 129 (12.3) 38 (20)	Survival (n = 1050)Death (n = 190)OR (95% Cl)691 (65.8)160 (84.2)2.609 (1.632-4.171)65 (6.2)30 (15.8)2.301 (1.324-4.000)65 (6.2)8 (4.2)23.723 (3.981- 141.385)2 (0.2)8 (4.2)23.723 (3.981- 141.385)731 (69.6)158 (83.2)1.744 (1.116-2.726)697 (66.4)154 (81.1)1.624 (1.047-2.519)50 (4.8)21 (11.1)2.060 (1.104-3.844)678 (64.6)124 (65.3)1.019 (0.674-1.542)129 (12.3)38 (20)1.703 (1.057-2.744)

^aAll data are exhibited as number (%) or median ($P_{25}-P_{75}$).

**p* Value <0.05.

ÅKI, acute kidney injury; BSI, bloodstream infection; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; CI, confidence interval; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; MDROs, multidrug-resistant organisms; OR, odds ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression analysis for risk factors of 14-day mortality in BSI.

Variables	OR (95% CI)	p Value
Age per year	1.025 (1.014–1.037)	< 0.001
Community-onset BSI	2.476 (1.738–3.527)	< 0.001
Indwelling invasive lines	1.574 (1.096–2.261)	0.014
AKI-KDIGO stage 1 or greater	2.653 (1.701–4.137)	< 0.001
Hypothermia (temperature < 36°C)	26.686 (4.498–158.334)	< 0.001
Hypotension (SBP < 90 mmHg or vasopressor use)	1.707 (1.110-2.623)	0.015
Respiratory failure (respiratory rate≥25 breaths per minute or mechanical ventilation)	1.632 (1.069–2.492)	0.023
Cardiac arrest	1.884 (1.037–3.423)	0.038
GCS≤8	1.774 (1.144–2.752)	0.011

AKI, acute kidney injury; BSI, bloodstream infection; CI, confidence interval; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; KDIGO, Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; OR, odds ratio; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Infectious Disease

Table 5. The m-gPitt score.

m-qPitt variableª	Point allocation
Hypothermia (temperature < 36°C)	1
Hypotension (SBP $<$ 90 mmHg or vasopressor use)	1
Respiratory failure (respiratory rate≥25 breaths per minute or mechanical ventilation)	1
Cardiac arrest	1
GCS≤8	1
AKI	1

^aThe worst (highest or lowest) variable is collected in the 24h leading to and 24h following collection of index blood culture.

AKI, acute kidney injury; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; m-qPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

> gSOFA [0.641 (95% CI: 0.605–0.675, p-value < 0.001)], and SIRS [0.636 (95% CI: 0.600-0.670, p-value < 0.001)], the m-qPitt [0.700 (95% CI: 0.666-0.732)] also had an acceptable performance and a favorable discrimination power in predicting 28-day mortality for BSI patients (Figure 4). In addition, the results of the survival analysis for 28-day mortality showed that an individual BSI patient with a total score of m-qPitt ≥ 3

had a higher risk of death, which was similar to the results for 14-day mortality (Figure 5).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive investigation to evaluate the clinical efficacy of qPitt in predicting mortality in patients with Gram-negative and Gram-positive BSI. The 14-day and 28-day mortality rates in our study were 15.3% and 23.6%, respectively, while similar results were observed in previous studies.^{2,21,22} We identified significant risk factors related to 14-day all-cause mortality in patients with BSI and developed the m-qPitt, a practical and reliable scoring system with four components (hypothermia, hypotension, respiratory failure, and cardiac arrest) from the original qPitt, which had notably better discrimination ability than the original qPitt and other scoring systems in predicting 14-day and 28-day mortality. An m-qPitt \geq 3 may be a suitable cut-off value in the clinical decision-making process to distinguish BSI patients with a high risk of death, which could provide reliable evidence for early antimicrobial treatment to improve life-saving potential as much as possible. Interestingly, m-qPitt had a significantly better performance in predicting 28-day mortality than in predicting 14-day



Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of the m-qPitt score for predicting 14-day mortality of critically ill patients with bloodstream infection. The area under the curve was 0.723 (95% CI: 0.669-0.745) for m-qPitt and 0.700 (95% CI: 0.659-0.738) for qPitt. m-qPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia.

m-qPitt value	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Yiª
m-qPitt≥1	0.75	0.47	0.20	0.91	0.22
m-qPitt≥2	0.88	0.43	0.22	0.95	0.32
m-qPitt≥3	0.66	0.68	0.66	0.92	0.34
m-qPitt≥4	0.49	0.77	0.28	0.89	0.26
m-qPitt≥5	0.41	0.80	0.27	0.88	0.21
m-qPitt≥6	0.74	0.47	0.20	0.91	0.21

Table 6. Performance characteristics of m-qPitt in predicting 14-day mortality at different cut-off values.

^aYouden index = Sensitivity + Specificity - 1.

m-qPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; Yi, Youden index.



Figure 3. The Kaplan–Meier 14-day survival curve of critically ill patients with bloodstream infection stratified by the m-qPitt score at the cut-off value (m-qPitt \ge 3). Log-rank test: *p* value < 0.001. m-qPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia.

mortality in critically ill patients in terms of the results of the Delong test.

One of the main highlights of the present study was the discovery that AKI played an important role in predicting mortality in patients with BSI. The incidence of AKI was shown to increase the risk of all-cause mortality 2.65 times in patients with BSI. This was similar to the conclusions of several previous studies, in which deteriorated renal function was a crucial prognostic factor affecting mortality in patients with severe infections, including BSI.^{23–25} Westgeest *et al.*⁹ conducted a multicenter retrospective cohort study and found that AKI development was highly

associated with 30-day mortality in patients with *S. aureus* bacteremia. Moreover, patients with CRAB complex bacteremia had poor clinical outcomes when they had AKI.²⁶ Therefore, it is recommended to evaluate the occurrence of AKI in m-qPitt since dynamic surveillance of SCr level and urine output volume is available and rapid by point-of-care testing in daily clinical practice. Nevertheless, evaluating SCr levels and urine output volume remains more time-consuming than evaluating other physiological parameters. To extend the scope of m-qPitt application as much as possible, it is vital to shorten the delay time to identify the occurrence of AKI by utilizing novel rapid techniques or new exogenous biomarkers.



Figure 4. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of the m-qPitt score for predicting 28-day mortality of critically ill patients with bloodstream infection. The area under the curve was 0.700 (95% CI: 0.666–0.732) for m-qPitt and 0.662 (95% CI: 0.626–0.695) for qPitt. m-gPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia.



Figure 5. The Kaplan–Meier 28-day survival curve of critically ill patients with bloodstream infection stratified by the m-qPitt score at the cut-off value (m-qPitt \ge 3). Log-rank test: *p*-value < 0.001. m-qPitt, modified quick Pitt bacteremia.

The GCS is widely considered an effective standard for evaluating the severity of unconsciousness because of its objectivity and convenience.²⁷ We also sought to emphasize the importance of the GCS score in predicting BSI mortality. According to our findings, we have modified the original qPitt component from altered mental status to GCS ≤ 8 to improve the predictive performance of m-qPitt, whereas GCS ≤ 8 is usually used to define coma.²⁸ This means that a much more seriously altered mentation might be preferable for predicting BSI patient mortality. However, most patients with GCS ≤ 8 will probably be considered critically ill and transferred to the ICU. Therefore, quite a few non-ICU patients may not meet the criteria of GCS ≤ 8 , whereas we have only enrolled ICU patients in our study. Another arrestive point is the potential overlapping phenomenon of patients with GCS ≤ 8 and patients with respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation. Mechanical ventilation is usually accompanied by the use of sedatives and neuromuscular blocking agents, which can iatrogenically induce the emergence of GCS ≤ 8 . Given that altered mental status was still adopted in several scoring systems for critically ill patients (such as qSOFA), the aforesaid perspectives should be demonstrated in our next-step studies to determine whether GCS ≤ 8 would be the suitable cutoff value for both ICU and non-ICU patients with BSI, as well as distinguishing GCS ≤ 8 from respiratory failure requiring intubation in other ways, including but not limited to performing GCS score evaluation prior to mechanical ventilation.

Another intriguing point in our study was that a higher mortality rate was observed in patients diagnosed with community-onset BSI, which has rarely been mentioned in the literature. One possible reason is that we could not accurately differentiate community- and healthcare-associated BSI from community-onset BSI owing to the lack of corresponding information to match the criteria for classifying healthcare-associated BSI from the MIMIC-IV database.29 Accumulating evidence suggests that healthcare-associated BSI has distinct characteristics and epidemiology, with underlying diseases, sources of infection, causative pathogens, and clinical outcomes resembling those observed in hospital-onset BSI rather than community-associated BSI.30-33 Hence, we did not add this potential variable to m-qPitt for BSI mortality prediction.

Considering the significance of the immune system in our understanding of the pathophysiological mechanism of infection, it is not surprising that an immunocompromised status would be a biologically feasible and widely acknowledged risk factor for increasing mortality in critically ill patients with BSI.34 However, we discovered that an immunocompromised status did not significantly influence the mortality of patients with BSI in the current study. Another multicenter cohort study including 907 patients with S. aureus bacteremia also found no significant difference between immunosuppressed and immunocompetent patients in terms of overall in-hospital mortality.³⁵ Not coincidentally, it has been reported that those who had sepsis and septic shock with an immunocompromised status did not have a higher mortality rate than non-immunocompromised patients.³⁶ Battle and Al-Hasan³⁷ thought that immunocompromised

patients would more easily capture the clinicians' attention and receive aggressive empirical antimicrobial treatments, which could be a plausible explanation for this phenomenon. This paradox may also be related to immunosuppression, which could inhibit the development of the sepsis cascade by lowering the pro-inflammatory chemokine and cytokine responses.^{38–40} Further clinical research is necessary to validate this perceived contradiction between immunosuppressed status and a lower mortality rate in a larger population.

Based on the results of earlier studies, bacteremia caused by MDROs can increase mortality, length of stay, and readmission rates.^{41–44} Notably, we found that the 14-day mortality in BSI caused by MDROs was slightly but not significantly higher than that ascribed to non-MDROs in our study. Dinh *et al.* conducted a retrospective study of BSI patients with spinal cord injury to identify the clinical risks of MDROs. They also found no significant differences in BSI mortality between patients infected by MDROs and non-MDROs.⁴⁵ This could be interpreted as a decrease in bacterial metabolic fitness stemming from antibiotic resistance, which could possibly attenuate virulence in pathogenic bacteria.^{46–48}

Our study had several limitations. First, owing to the retrospective nature of the MIMIC-IV database, the quality of records could be influenced by missing data and input errors. A well-designed prospective study with a larger sample size should be conducted to validate the efficacy of m-qPitt. Second, potential contaminants of blood cultures were not identified and excluded from our study, such as coagulase-negative Staphylococcus or Corynebacterium species, which could threaten the reliability of our modified scoring system. Third, we did not evaluate the appropriateness and delay of antimicrobial treatment regimens, which might be another vital contributing factor to the final results. Fourth, laboratory parameters of hepatic function were not measured in this study because the current hepatic-associated scoring system could only reflect the liver function of patients with specific hepatic diseases. It is also inaccurate and inconvenient to evaluate hepatic function by monitoring the fluctuations in several hepatic parameters. In addition, there are other AKI classification criteria, including risk, injury, failure, loss of kidney function, and end-stage kidney disease classification and acute kidney injury network classification, besides KDIGO, which should be compared in our further investigation.

Conclusion

We identified multiple risk factors that may affect the survival of BSI patients through multivariate analysis. To enhance the predictive performance of qPitt, a novel scoring system (m-qPitt) has been optimized and developed based on our results and the original qPitt, which has acceptable capability and reliability for predicting mortality in critically ill patients with BSI. This could provide adequate evidence for the preliminary judgment of BSI prognosis and the early identification of high-risk BSI patients by clinicians and pharmacists. More importantly, the development of m-qPitt indicated that the incidence of AKI of any degree of severity was a crucial prognostic factor contributing to BSI mortality. Large-scale prospective studies should be conducted to investigate the clinical efficacy and applicability of m-qPitt for more BSI patients.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Ruijin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (Approval number: 2021-59). Use of the MIMIC-IV database has been approved by Institutional Review Boards of Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Cambridge, MA, USA) and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA, USA). Since its data is publicly available and all patient data are de-identified, signed informed consent of participants is not required for this study.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Author contributions

Jiaqi Cai: Conceptualization; Methodology; Software; Validation; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Ming Yang: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

Han Deng: Data curation; Software; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

Hao Bai: Data curation; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

Guanhao Zheng: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Juan He: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation; Methodology; Software; Supervision; Validation; Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the patients who participated in this study and the staff who facilitated the study conduct.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

Guanhao Zheng (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7385-2621

Juan He D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9430-4766

References

- Vallés J and Ferrer R. Bloodstream infection in the ICU. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2009; 23: 557–569.
- Verway M, Brown KA, Marchand-Austin A, et al. Prevalence and mortality associated with bloodstream organisms: a population-wide retrospective cohort study. J Clin Microbiol 2022; 60: e0242921.
- Seymour CW, Liu VX, Iwashyna TJ, et al. Assessment of clinical criteria for sepsis: for the third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). JAMA 2016; 315: 762–774.
- 4. Bone RC, Balk RA, Cerra FB, *et al.* Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the

use of innovative therapies in sepsis. The ACCP/ SCCM Consensus Conference Committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest* 1992; 101: 1644–1655.

- Battle SE, Augustine MR, Watson CM, et al. Derivation of a quick Pitt bacteremia score to predict mortality in patients with Gram-negative bloodstream infection. *Infection* 2019; 47: 571–578.
- Griffin BR, Teixeira JP, Ambruso S, et al. Stage 1 acute kidney injury is independently associated with infection following cardiac surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2021; 161: 1346–1355.e1343.
- Tagawa M, Nishimoto M, Kokubu M, et al. Acute kidney injury as an independent predictor of infection and malignancy: the NARA-AKI cohort study. J Nephrol 2019; 32: 967–975.
- Poston JT and Koyner JL. Sepsis associated acute kidney injury. *BMJ* 2019; 364: k4891.
- Westgeest AC, Schippers EF, Delfos NM, et al. Acute kidney injury in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2022; 41: 431–437.
- Yue S, Li S, Huang X, *et al.* Machine learning for the prediction of acute kidney injury in patients with sepsis. *J Transl Med* 2022; 20: 215.
- Peerapornratana S, Manrique-Caballero CL, Gómez H, et al. Acute kidney injury from sepsis: current concepts, epidemiology, pathophysiology, prevention and treatment. *Kidney Int* 2019; 96: 1083–1099.
- Battle SE, Shuping M, Withers S, et al. Prediction of mortality in *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection using quick Pitt bacteremia score. *J Infect* 2022; 84: 131–135.
- 13. Li C, Wang J, Wang Q, *et al.* Predictive value of a quick pitt bacteremia score for prognosis of patients with bloodstream infection secondary to urinary tract infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Infect Drug Resist* 2022; 15: 4381–4391.
- Peng S, Huang J, Liu X, *et al.* Interpretable machine learning for 28-day all-cause in-hospital mortality prediction in critically ill patients with heart failure combined with hypertension: a retrospective cohort study based on Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care database-IV and eICU databases. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2022; 9: 994359.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007; 370: 1453–1457.

- Sy CL, Chen PY, Cheng CW, et al. Recommendations and guidelines for the treatment of infections due to multidrug resistant organisms. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2022; 55: 359–386.
- Keung EZ, Liu X, Nuzhad A, et al. Immunocompromised status in patients with necrotizing soft-tissue infection. *JAMA Surg* 2013; 148: 419–426.
- Hammer KL, Justo JA, Bookstaver PB, et al. Differential effect of prior β-lactams and fluoroquinolones on risk of bloodstream infections secondary to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2017; 87: 87–91.
- 19. Kellum JA and Lameire N. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of acute kidney injury: a KDIGO summary (Part 1). *Crit Care* 2013; 17: 204.
- DeLong ER, DeLong DM and Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. *Biometrics* 1988; 44: 837–845.
- Hattori H, Maeda M, Nagatomo Y, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors for mortality in bloodstream infections: a single-center retrospective study in Japan. Am J Infect Control 2018; 46: e75–e79.
- 22. Callefi LA, Medeiros EA and Furtado GH. Predictors of 14-day mortality in patients with bloodstream infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae strains: a mathematical PK/ PD analysis. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2012; 16: 211–213.
- Joo EJ, Peck KR, HaYE, et al. Impact of acute kidney injury on mortality and medical costs in patients with meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus bacteraemia: a retrospective, multicentre observational study. J Hosp Infect 2013; 83: 300–306.
- Doi K, Leelahavanichkul A, Hu X, et al. Pre-existing renal disease promotes sepsisinduced acute kidney injury and worsens outcome. *Kidney Int* 2008; 74: 1017–1025.
- 25. Wang Z, Weng J, Yang J, *et al.* Acute kidney injury-attributable mortality in critically ill patients with sepsis. *Peerf* 2022; 10: e13184.
- Yu SN, Kim T, Park SY, et al. Predictors of acute kidney injury and 28-day mortality in carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii complex bacteremia. Microbial Drug Resist (Larchmont, NY) 2021; 27: 1029–1036.
- 27. Saika A, Bansal S, Philip M, *et al.* Prognostic value of FOUR and GCS scores in determining mortality in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Acta Neurochir* (*Wien*) 2015; 157: 1323–1328.

- Bodien YG, Barra A, Temkin NR, *et al.* Diagnosing level of consciousness: the limits of the Glasgow Coma Scale total score. *f Neurotrauma* 2021; 38: 3295–3305.
- 29. Takeshita N, Kawamura I, Kurai H, *et al.* Unique characteristics of community-onset healthcareassociated bloodstream infections: a multi-centre prospective surveillance study of bloodstream infections in Japan. *J Hosp Infect* 2017; 96: 29–34.
- Friedman ND, Kaye KS, Stout JE, et al. Health care-associated bloodstream infections in adults: a reason to change the accepted definition of community-acquired infections. Ann Intern Med 2002; 137: 791–797.
- Son JS, Song JH, Ko KS, et al. Bloodstream infections and clinical significance of healthcareassociated bacteremia: a multicenter surveillance study in Korean hospitals. J Korean Med Sci 2010; 25: 992–998.
- Shorr AF, Tabak YP, Killian AD, et al. Healthcare-associated bloodstream infection: a distinct entity? Insights from a large U.S. database. Crit Care Med 2006; 34: 2588–2595.
- Kollef MH, Zilberberg MD, Shorr AF, et al. Epidemiology, microbiology and outcomes of healthcare-associated and community-acquired bacteremia: a multicenter cohort study. *J Infect* 2011; 62: 130–135.
- Lin H, Yang L, Fang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of bloodstream infection in immunosuppressed patients: a 5-year retrospective cohort study. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2022; 12: 796656.
- Sasson G, Bai AD, Showler A, et al. Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in immunosuppressed patients: a multicenter, retrospective cohort study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2017; 36: 1231–1241.
- McCreery RJ, Florescu DF and Kalil AC. Sepsis in immunocompromised patients without human immunodeficiency virus. *J Infect Dis* 2020; 222: S156–S165.
- Battle SE and Al-Hasan MN. Paradoxical outcomes of gram-negative bloodstream infection in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2022; 24: e13964.

 Kalil AC, Syed A, Rupp ME, et al. Is bacteremic sepsis associated with higher mortality in transplant recipients than in nontransplant patients? A matched case-control propensityadjusted study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 216–222.

- Eichenberger EM, Ruffin F, Dagher M, et al. Bacteremia in solid organ transplant recipients as compared to immunocompetent patients: acute phase cytokines and outcomes in a prospective, matched cohort study. Am J Transplant 2021; 21: 2113–2122.
- Malinis MF, Mawhorter SD, Jain A, et al. Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in solid organ transplant recipients: evidence for improved survival when compared with nontransplant patients. Transplantation 2012; 93: 1045–1050.
- 41. Barrasa-Villar JI, Aibar-Remón C, Prieto-Andrés P, *et al.* Impact on morbidity, mortality, and length of stay of hospital-acquired infections by resistant microorganisms. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 65: 644–652.
- 42. Cosgrove SE, Sakoulas G, Perencevich EN, et al. Comparison of mortality associated with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 36: 53–59.
- 43. DiazGranados CA, Zimmer SM, Klein M, *et al.* Comparison of mortality associated with vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible enterococcal bloodstream infections: a metaanalysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005; 41: 327–333.
- 44. Schwaber MJ and Carmeli Y. Mortality and delay in effective therapy associated with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production in Enterobacteriaceae bacteraemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007; 60: 913–920.
- 45. Dinh A, Saliba M, Saadeh D, *et al.* Blood stream infections due to multidrug-resistant organisms among spinal cord-injured patients, epidemiology over 16 years and associated risks: a comparative study. *Spinal Cord* 2016; 54: 720–725.
- Martínez JL and Baquero F. Interactions among strategies associated with bacterial infection: pathogenicity, epidemicity, and antibiotic resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2002; 15: 647–679.
- Guo B, Abdelraouf K, Ledesma KR, et al. Predicting bacterial fitness cost associated with drug resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012; 67: 928–932.
- Händel N, Schuurmans JM, Brul S, et al. Compensation of the metabolic costs of antibiotic resistance by physiological adaptation in *Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; 57: 3752–3762.

Sage journals