



Review

A Mini Review Focused on the Recent Applications of Graphene Oxide in Stem Cell Growth and Differentiation

Alexander Halim ¹, Qing Luo ¹, Yang Ju ² and Guanbin Song ^{1,*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Biorheological Science & Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Bioengineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400030, China; 20161500175@cqu.edu.cn (A.H.); qing.luo@cqu.edu.cn (Q.L.)

² Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan; ju@mech.nagoya-u.ac.jp

* Correspondence: song@cqu.edu.cn; Tel./Fax: +86-23-6510-2507

Received: 3 September 2018; Accepted: 17 September 2018; Published: 18 September 2018



Abstract: Stem cells are undifferentiated cells that can give rise to any types of cells in our body. Hence, they have been utilized for various applications, such as drug testing and disease modeling. However, for the successful of those applications, the survival and differentiation of stem cells into specialized lineages should be well controlled. Growth factors and chemical agents are the most common signals to promote the proliferation and differentiation of stem cells. However, those approaches holds several drawbacks such as the negative side effects, degradation or denaturation, and expensive. To address such limitations, nanomaterials have been recently used as a better approach for controlling stem cells behaviors. Graphene oxide is the derivative of graphene, the first two-dimensional (2D) materials in the world. Recently, due to its extraordinary properties and great biological effects on stem cells, many scientists around the world have utilized graphene oxide to enhance the differentiation potential of stem cells. In this mini review, we highlight the key advances about the effects of graphene oxide on controlling stem cell growth and various types of stem cell differentiation. We also discuss the possible molecular mechanisms of graphene oxide in controlling stem cell growth and differentiation.

Keywords: graphene oxide; stem cells; growth; cell differentiation; biomaterials

1. Introduction

The potential use of stem cells has attracted much attention due to their unique ability to self-renew and differentiate into multiple types of cells. Therefore, stem cells have been utilized for various applications, such as disease modeling, drug discovery and testing, regenerative therapy, and tissue engineering [1–4]. However, for the successful of stem cells-based application, the differentiation of stem cells into specialized cells should be well controlled. Conventionally, biochemical signals, including growth factors and chemical agents, are commonly used to expand and control the differentiation of stem cells. However, the stimulation of stem cell differentiation by using growth factors and chemical agents are unstable, inefficient, and hazardous [5–8]. To address these limitations, nanomaterials have been recently used to control stem cell growth and differentiation.

Latterly, graphene (Gp), a two-dimensional (2D) carbon-based nanomaterials containing a single layer of carbon atoms packed in a honeycomb crystal lattice with sp² hybridization, and its derivatives, graphene oxide (GO), and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) have attracted many scientific fields due to their extraordinary properties, including high surface area, remarkable electrical and thermal conductivities, strong mechanical strength, and optical transparency [9–13]. Moreover, they have been shown to influence the self-renewal and differentiation of stem cells. GO is the highly oxidized form

of Gp, which has several functional groups (e.g., hydroxyl, carboxyl, and epoxy groups). Because of those functional groups, GO can be easily combined with other biomolecules and biomaterials. Moreover, the advantage of GO as compared to Gp is its ease of dissolving in water and other organic solvents, due to the polar oxygen functional groups. Several reports have demonstrated that GO is less cytotoxic than graphene and other derivatives due to its surface functionalization. The oxygen content of GO provides the hydrophilic characteristic that enables it to avoid agglomeration in cell culture medium. The agglomeration phenomenon would limit the nutrient supply and subsequently induces oxidative stress, which triggers apoptotic pathways [14]. Moreover, the oxygen functional groups of GO could control the extracellular matrix (ECM) protein adsorption that further lead to cell adhesion and proliferation enhancement [15,16]. On the other hand, another derivative of Gp, rGO, can be produced by removing most of the oxygen-containing groups of GO resulting in the recovery of its electrical conductivity properties that has been shown to enhance neurogenesis [17]. In addition, the sharp edges and oxygen-functional groups of GO could induce the bacterial cell membrane disruption and oxidative stress [18–20], which further lead to the enhancement of angiogenesis and osteogenesis [21,22]. The antibacterial and antimicrobial properties of GO could make it a promising material for tissue regeneration application.

In this mini review, we summarize the recent progress in the potential application of GO for regulating stem cell behavior. We first outline the effect of GO in stem cell growth and proliferation. Subsequently, we highlight the influence of GO in stem cell differentiation. Finally, we consider some molecular mechanisms that underlie the interaction between GO and stem cells, with the hope that such an understanding will enable the optimization of GO to improve the clinical outcomes.

2. Effect of Graphene Oxide on Stem Cell Growth and Proliferation

Along with the increasing interest of using GO-based nanomaterials for stem cell applications, a number of studies have endeavored to analyze its toxicity and biocompatibility. There are several major parameters that need to be taken into consideration in order to define the degree of biodegradability and biocompatibility of overall nanomaterials, including their size, shape, concentration and time of incubation, and surface area design or functionalization. Moreover, the cytotoxicity effect of GO is also different to each other, depending on the type of stem cell (Table 1).

Table 1. Biocompatibility of graphene oxide (GO)-based nanomaterials in stem cells.

Material	Stem Cell Type	Parameter Studied	Results	References
GO	MSCs	Concentration	Safe dose: $\leq 0.1 \mu\text{g/mL}$	[23]
rGO	MSCs	Concentration and size	Cytotoxicity: Small rGO > Large rGO Safe dose: $\leq 1 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (small rGO) $\leq 100 \mu\text{g/mL}$ (large rGO)	[24]
GNO, GONR, GONP	MSCs	Concentration, time of incubation, and shape	Viability: GNO > GONR > GONP Safe dose: $\leq 50 \mu\text{g/mL}$ There is no effect of incubation time on cell viability	[25]
GO	ESCs	Concentration	Safe dose: $\leq 32 \mu\text{g/mL}$	[26]
GO	NSCs	Concentration	Safe dose: $\leq 5 \mu\text{g/mL}$	[27]
ADM, ADM-GO/Que, and ADM-GO-PEG/Que	MSCs	Surface functionalization	Proliferation: ADM-GO-PEG/Que > ADM-GO/Que > ADM	[28]
rGO/PEDOT	MSCs	Surface functionalization	Proliferation: rGO/PEDOT > rGO	[29]
PCL/GO, PCL/rGO, PCL/AGO	MSCs	Surface functionalization	Proliferation: PCL/AGO > GO > rGO	[22]

Notes: ADM: Acellular dermal matrix; AGO: Amine-functionalized graphene oxide; ESCs: embryonic stem cells; GNO: Graphene oxide nano-onions; GO: graphene oxide; GONP: Graphene oxide nanoplatelets; GONR: Graphene oxide nanoribbons; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; NSCs: Neural stem cells; PCL: poly(ϵ -caprolactone); PEDOT: poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene); PEG: polyethylene glycol; Que: Quercetin; rGO: reduced graphene oxide.

Wei et al. showed that GO at 0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ concentration could significantly promote the proliferation of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs). However, the elevation in GO concentration up to 1 and 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ would lead to the reduction of cell proliferation. Moreover, the cells' size become smaller and shrinkage occurs at concentration of $\geq 1 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ [23]. Besides the concentration effect, the size and shape of GO, as well as its exposure time, have also been investigated. Several reports have demonstrated that the smaller size of GO have higher cytotoxicity. Akhavan et al. reported that, even at low concentration (1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$), rGO with the small lateral size is sufficient enough to induce cytotoxicity after a short period of time exposure. On the other hand, the large size of rGO exhibited its cytotoxicity only at high concentration ($\geq 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) and after long period of time exposure [24]. In another study, the authors have also investigated the effect of GO shape on stem cell cytotoxicity. Their results showed that the single layer rGO nanoribbons were shown to cause much higher cell destruction than the rGO sheets under the same conditions.

Furthermore, more and more researchers have intensively modified the GO surface structure in order to improve and optimize its biological effects on stem cells. Due to its oxygen functional groups, GO could be easily functionalized with other materials. For example, the functionalization of GO with polyethylene glycol (PEG) could enhance the aqueous stability of GO and further elevate the proliferation rates of MSCs. Kumar et al. showed that the addition of amine groups on GO surface could elevates the proliferation rate of MSCs due to the synergistic effects of oxygen-containing and amine groups, which could lead to the higher adsorption of cell-adhesive proteins [22].

3. Effect of Graphene Oxide on Stem Cell Differentiation

3.1. Effect on Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation

Embryonic Stem Cells (ESCs) are pluripotent cells that have the capability to differentiate into all of cell types in three embryonic germ layers, including ectoderm, endoderm, and mesoderm lineages [30]. Due to the unlimited proliferation and differentiation capacities, ESCs have been widely used in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine field. Recently, GO have been reported to promote mouse ESC differentiation towards dopamine neurons and hematopoietic lines [31,32]. Garcia-Alegria et al. have investigated the effects of GO on promoting ESC differentiation towards haematopoietic lineages. Their results showed that GO coated coverslips substrates could significantly enhance the differentiation of both murine and human ESCs into haematopoietic progenitor cells [31].

Yang et al. studied the effects of carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene (Gp), and graphene oxide (GO) on the dopamine neural differentiation of mouse ESCs. Their results showed that only GO could effectively promoted the dopamine neural differentiation of ESCs by comparing the dopamine neuron-related gene expression with the control (without nanoparticles) and two other nanoparticles groups (CNTs and Gp). In addition, the effect of GO on promoting ESC differentiation towards dopamine neuron cells is dose dependent and significantly affects the dopamine-related gene expression. The authors used a dose of 1, 20, 50, and 100 mg/mL GO and showed that GO at concentration 100 mg/mL have threefold elevation of GFP-positive dopamine neurons. While exposure with concentration of 1 mg/mL GO did not show any elevation when compared with control, CNTs, and Gp groups. Moreover, the 100 mg/mL concentration could also enhance the Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) expression by threefold [32].

3.2. Effect on Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Differentiation

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are somatic cells that were reprogrammed to the pluripotent state by using overexpression of four transcription factors (Oct4, Klf4, Sox2, and c-Myc). iPSCs have similar capacity as embryonic stem cells to differentiate into almost all cell types in three germ layers [33]. Moreover, the use of iPSCs could overcome the ethical issue of using ESCs and allow the creation of cell lines that are genetically tailored to a specific patient. Therefore, iPSCs could offer novel opportunities for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Nevertheless, the current

directed differentiation protocols by using biochemical agents is inefficient and it requires complex cultures protocols.

Recently, a study that showed GO could affect the differentiation ability of iPSCs, especially into endodermal lineage has been published. Chen et al. used Gp and GO as a 2D substrate to investigate their effects on iPSC differentiation. Interestingly, their results showed that Gp and GO-coated substrate have different effects on iPSC differentiation. The differentiation of iPSCs were inhibited on Gp group. However, the iPSC differentiation was significantly enhanced in the GO group, especially towards endodermal lineage. The pluripotency markers, Nanog and Oct4, were significantly downregulated compared to the control and Gp groups. While, the expressions of Gata4 and Ihh (endodermal markers) were significantly upregulated at day 9, the authors speculated that the surface groups of GO that bind to the surface receptor of iPSCs might be the cause of the spontaneous differentiation of iPSCs [34].

3.3. Effect on Mesenchymal Stem Cell Differentiation

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells that have the potential to self-renew and differentiate into a number of specialized lineages, including osteoblasts, adipocytes, myoblasts, chondroblasts, tenocytes, and neurons [35]. Moreover, MSCs have immunomodulatory properties that enable them to avoid the immune rejection after transplantation [36]. Due to those abilities, MSCs are considered to be an attractive candidate for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

Several studies have found that GO has the ability to control the differentiation of MSCs towards osteoblasts, adipocytes, chondroblasts, and neurons. Wei et al. found that pristine GO nanosheets could promote the osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs). The authors used several different concentrations and found that GO at concentration 0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ was the optimum concentration for promoting osteogenic differentiation. Their research conclusively showed that the osteogenesis effect of GO was dose-dependent and through the activation of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway [23]. Moreover, the use of GO could significantly reduce the dosage of bone morphogenic protein-2 (BMP-2) that is required for promoting osteogenic differentiation. BMP-2 is a potent inducer for promoting osteogenesis, and it is clinically used to regenerate bone. However, high doses of BMP-2 could lead to several undesirable adverse effects and it could make the treatment cost become more expensive [37].

Interestingly, GO could be used to promote osteogenic differentiation of MSCs without the help from osteogenic induction supplements such as, dexamethasone, β -glycerolphosphate, and ascorbic acid [38]. Tang et al. modified the structure of GO by functionalizing it with methacrylate groups to form acrylated GO (GO-ac). The GO-ac provided nanotopographical cues that could promote the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs without any osteogenic induction supplements. Furthermore, due to the outstanding mechanical properties and easy functionalization of GO with other biomaterials, GO could be combined with collagen-based scaffolds, which enhance the stiffness of the scaffolds up to threefold and subsequently promote the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs [39].

Other than being able to promote osteogenic differentiation, GO could also promote the adipogenic differentiation of MSCs. Lee et al. compared the effects of graphene and GO on promoting MSC differentiation towards osteoblast and adipocytes. Their results showed that both graphene and GO could promote osteogenic differentiation. However, the situation is different when MSCs were induced to differentiate towards adipocytes on graphene and GO. The results showed that graphene inhibited the adipogenesis, but on the other hand, GO strongly enhanced the adipogenesis. According to the results, GO has high affinity towards insulin, which is the main inducer of adipogenesis. Hence, adipogenesis could be enhanced [40]. However, different from the osteogenesis phenomenon, the GO substrate alone could not induce the adipogenic differentiation of MSCs without the help from adipogenic chemical inducers. Therefore, the authors conclude that the adipogenesis enhancement it is not due to the substrate nanotopography. This study is supported by Patel et al. who conducted a study about guiding adipogenic differentiation of tonsil-derived MSCs by using composite system of GO and polypeptide thermogel (GO/P). Their studies proved that high amount of insulin could

be adsorbed on GO surface and formed a multilayer of insulin that further enhances the adipogenic differentiation of MSCs. This interaction could occur due to the polar surface functional groups, including hydroxyl and carboxylate. The potential use of GO on adipogenic differentiation is very useful in practical application, like reconstructive surgery [41].

Articular cartilage is a tissue that has very low regenerative ability due to the low cell density and abundant ECM [42]. Therefore, articular cartilage repair and regeneration become a serious problem worldwide. The appropriate biomimetic scaffolds and chondrocytes are required for cartilage repair and regeneration. Recently, the use of GO-based scaffolds has been explored to guide the chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs. Lee et al. used GO as a soluble and three-dimensional scaffolds to support pellet formation and differentiation of human MSCs (hMSCs) towards chondrogenic lineages because the 2D-based cultures would limit the cell-cell interactions and subsequently decreased the chondrogenic differentiation [43]. In another study, Zhou et al. synthesized three-dimensional (3D) printed GO scaffold by incorporating GO, gelatin methacrylate (GelMA), and poly (ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) for promoting chondrogenic differentiation of hMSCs. The GelMA-PEGDA-GO scaffolds could significantly elevate the chondrogenic gene expression including, type II collagen, SOX-9, and aggrecan [44].

Several studies have found that under appropriate culture conditions MSCs could also differentiate into non-mesodermal lineages, including neural cells. Because neural cells are an electroactive tissue that responds to the electrical stimuli, an electrically conductive scaffold could be used to promote neural differentiation. However, the oxygen functional groups of GO could disrupt the electronic structure of graphene. Therefore, a reduction of the oxygen functional groups is necessary in order to recover the electrical conductivity of graphene structure. The combination between electrical stimulation and graphene was synergistically effective on neurogenesis. Recently, there are some studies that utilized rGO-based nanomaterials for promoting neural differentiation of MSCs. Lim et al. observed the combination between pulsed electromagnetic field (PEMF) as an electrical stimulation and rGO to investigate the neurogenesis of MSCs. The study showed that rGO and PEMF could significantly enhance the expression of Nestin and Microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2), which are the important neurogenic differentiation markers [45]. Furthermore, rGO could be functionalized with other materials in order to enhance the neurogenesis more. Guo et al. combined the electrical stimulation signals by using triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG) and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT)-rGO hybrid microfiber (80 μm in diameter) as a conductive scaffold for promoting neural differentiation of MSCs. The experiments showed that the addition of PEDOT could enhance the Neuron-specific Class III β -tubulin (Tuj1) expression (early neurogenic differentiation marker) by ~ 1.68 -fold over that on the rGO microfiber [29].

3.4. Effect on Neural Stem Cell Differentiation

Neural stem cells (NSCs) are self-renewing and multipotent cells that are located in small areas of the brain, called subgranular zone (SGZ) and subventricular zone (SVZ) [46,47]. NSCs have the ability to differentiate into neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes [48]. The stimulation of NSC differentiation is very important for the application in neural tissue engineering and neural regeneration. It is well known that nerve is an electro-active tissue that responds to the electrical stimuli. Therefore, the utilization of electrical fields (EFs) is recently being established to guide neurogenesis through a stem cell differentiation process [49,50].

There are two sources of electrical stimulation, such as endogenous and exogenous electrical fields (EFs). Endogenous EFs are bioelectric fields that produced by the cells across their plasma membrane in which very essential for maintaining cellular homeostasis and are evoked in many biological events. On the other hand, exogenous EFs are bioelectric fields that generated from external power sources and are generally applied to biological cells/tissues via electrodes [51]. In recent years, the utilization of nanomaterials that have electro-conductivity properties to transmit the electric signals from EFs have

attracted the field of neuroscience, especially in NSC differentiation research. Due to its remarkable electro-conductivity, rGO has been utilized as a controller of NSC differentiation to neuronal lineage.

Recent studies have shown that rGO, both as a single and hybrid materials, can potentially promote NSC differentiation towards neurons, which is characterized by the elevation of Tuj1 and MAP2 expression levels. Comparing to GO, rGO has better electro-conductivity properties due to the removal most of its oxygen groups that subsequently recovering the electrical conductivity of graphene structure [17,52]. However, there are several reports showed that GO could also enhances the differentiation of NSCs and even better than rGO, which might be due to the surface hydrophilicity of GO [16,53]. The additional exogenous electrical stimulation often combined with GO and rGO to improve the differentiation efficiency [29,54]. As conductive materials, GO and rGO lend themselves for a more efficient delivery of the electrical stimulation. Nevertheless, without the help from exogenous EFs, GO and rGO can still promote the NSC differentiation. It is due to the electro-conductivity property of GO and rGO, which can conduct the endogenous EFs into NSCs, and subsequently stimulate the differentiation of NSCs.

3.5. Effect on Cancer Stem Cell Differentiation

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are the subpopulation of tumor cells that have the ability to self-renew, differentiate, and form new tumor cells. CSCs are responsible for the tumor initiation, growth, metastasis, and relapse. Moreover, CSCs are resistant to the conventional therapy, such as chemotherapy, due to its drug resistance ability by releasing various agents to the extracellular environment via efflux mechanism by ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter [55]. CSCs have the same differentiation ability like normal stem cells. Therefore, promoting the differentiation of CSC could be an effective approach.

Accumulating evidences have showed that CSCs could differentiate into various cancer cells, including colon, pancreatic, liver, prostate, blood, and lung cancers [56–60]. In addition, CSCs also have the ability to transdifferentiate into normal non-cancer cells, such as vascular endothelial cells and pericytes [61–63]. There is evidence that GO also plays an important roles in the differentiation of CSC. Cancer stem cells differentiate to form a small mass of cells known as a tumor-sphere. The GO flakes prevented CSCs from forming that tumor-sphere, and instead forced them to differentiate into non-cancer stem-cells. The signal transduction cascades, including Wnt, Notch, and Hedgehog signaling pathways that are very important for CSC self-renewal are suppressed by GO. This report concluded that GO could possibly be an effective therapeutic strategy to eradicate CSCs via differentiation-based therapy [64].

4. Possible Underlying Mechanisms of Graphene Oxide and Stem Cell Interaction

With the increasing interest in graphene oxide, a number of studies have tried to investigate the interaction between GO and various types of stem cells in order to elucidate the underlying mechanism of toxicity and differentiation. Several papers have shown that GO-based nanomaterials could be used in the form of nanoparticles suspension, 2D substrate, and 3D scaffold for modulating stem cell growth and differentiation (Figure 1). As described above, the interaction between GO and stem cells is highly influenced by several parameters, including size, shape, concentration, time of incubation, and surface chemistry. Moreover, GO in the forms of 2D substrates and 3D scaffolds have additional parameters that could influence the stem cell behaviors, such as substrate stiffness, conductivity, and topography (Table 2).




Figure 1. Schematic diagram depicting the guidance of stem cell differentiation using graphene oxide-based nanomaterials.

GO in the form of nanoparticles suspension could be used as a drug carrier and stimulator for promoting stem cell differentiation [23,37,65]. The most important parameter that influences the GO in the form of nanoparticles suspension is the intracellular localization. Several papers have been reported that nanoparticles could easily pass the cell membrane and translocate into the cytoplasm or nucleus and subsequently alter the cellular signaling pathways. However, the intracellular localization of nanoparticles is strongly influenced by the particles size. For example, GO at the microscale size could not pass the cell membrane and it would only adhere at the cell membrane [66]. Whereas, GO at the smaller size (400 nm), some of them could be localized in cytoplasm. However, in that study, the authors concluded that the presence of GO in the cytoplasm did not have any significant roles due to its low concentration [27]. Therefore, the concentration of the nanoparticles suspension also has a critical role in regulating stem cell differentiation (Table 2). In addition, the cellular pathways may differ depending on the stem cell types. Wei et al, reported that pristine GO nanosheets could promote the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs via the activation of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway [23]. On the other hand, Fiorillo et al found that GO (big and small flakes) could inhibit the signaling transduction pathways that contribute for the stemness of CSC, including Wnt, STAT3, Notch, and Nuclear factor-like 2 (Nrf2), resulting in the enhancement of CSC differentiation. Furthermore, there is another study that reported GO nanosheets could maintain the stemness of ESC via downregulating the downstream genes of integrin signaling, including phospho-focal adhesion kinase (p-FAK), Vinculin, and Ras-related protein 1 (Rap1) [64].

Table 2. Summary of the application of GO-based nanomaterial in stem cell differentiation.

Material	Surface Modification	Stem Cell Type	Differentiation	Parameters that Influence the Differentiation	References
<i>Nanoparticle suspensions</i>					
CNTs, GO, Gp		ESCs	Dopamine neuron	Concentration	[32]
GO nanosheets		MSCs	Osteogenic	Concentration	[23]
GO		NSCs	Neurogenic	Concentration	[27]
GO		CSCs	Non-CSCs	Size and concentration	[64]
Gp, GO, and porous GO		MSCs	Chondrogenic	Concentration	[43]

Table 2. Cont.

Material	Surface Modification	Stem Cell Type	Differentiation	Parameters that Influence the Differentiation	References
GO		MSCs	Osteogenic and adipogenic	Concentration, incubation time, and shape.	[25]
<i>2D substrates</i>					
GO		ESCs	Haematopoetic		[31]
GO and Gp		iPSCs	Endoderm	Surface chemistry	[34]
GO and Gp		MSCs	Osteogenic and adipogenic	Surface chemistry	[40]
GO		MSCs	Osteogenic	Size	[67]
GO	Methacrylate	MSCs	Osteogenic	Nanotopography and surface chemistry	[38]
rGO	Microfiber	NSCs	Neurogenic	Surface topography	[52]
GO and rGO		ADSCs	Neurogenic	Surface chemistry	[16]
<i>3D scaffolds</i>					
GO	Collagen sponge	MSCs	Osteogenic	Stiffness	[39]
GO foam	Surface rolled	NSCs	Neurogenic	Electrical conductivity	[54]
GO	PEG and Quercetin	MSCs	Osteogenic and adipogenic	Surface topography	[28]
GO	Polypeptide thermogel	MSCs	Adipogenic	Surface chemistry and stiffness	[41]
GO	PLGA nanofiber	MSCs	Osteogenic	Surface chemistry	[68]
GO	GELMA and PEGDA	MSCs	Chondrogenic	Surface chemistry and stiffness	[44]

Notes: 2D: 2 dimension; 3D: 3 dimension; ADSCs: adipose-derived stem cells; CNTs: carbon nanotubes; CSCs: Cancer stem cells; ESCs: embryonic stem cells; GELMA: gelatin methacrylate; GO: graphene oxide; Gp: graphene; iPSCs: induced pluripotent stem cells; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; NSCs: Neural stem cells; PEG: polyethylene glycol; PEGDA: polyethylene glycol diacrylate; PLGA: poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); rGO: reduced graphene oxide.

Accumulating evidences have shown that biophysical cues, such as surface stiffness and nanotopography, could affect the stem cell growth and differentiation [69–71]. Recently, the application of GO as 2D substrates and 3D scaffold on promoting stem cell growth and differentiation have been extensively studied due to their mechanical supports, including stiffness, conductivity, surface chemistry, and topography. Kang et al. have demonstrated that GO flakes could increase the mechanical stiffness of collagen sponge scaffold and subsequently enhanced the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs. Furthermore, they have also showed the elevated osteogenic differentiation is mediated by intracellular mechanotransduction pathways. The protein expressions of FAK and Vinculin, which have important roles in response to substrate environment were elevated and the downstream signaling pathway, Extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), was also activated to transfer the mechanical information into the cell nucleus, which further enhancing the osteogenic differentiation [26]. The electrical conductivity of GO also plays a critical role in differentiating electro-active tissue, including neurons and myoblast. Chaudhuri et al. have showed the importance of GO conductivity on promoting myoblast differentiation of human MSCs. The incorporation of GO into polymer composite meshes could enhance the conductivity of the substrate and provided supporting cues to stimulate the myotubes formation [72]. Yang et al. demonstrated that GO-based patterned substrates could be an effective culture platform for inducing neuronal differentiation of NSCs by activating focal adhesion signaling via phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase and paxilin [73]. In addition, it is important to highlight that the influence of GO on the proliferation and differentiation of stem cells also comes from the active interactions of GO with key biomolecules, such as nucleic acids, proteins, and ATP via supramolecular binding. It has been found that single-stranded nucleic acids bind to GO and they can be transported through the cell membrane [74,75]. Furthermore, due to the oxygen-functional groups of GO, the hydrophilic domain of GO could bind to serum proteins via electrostatic interactions, which lead to the enhancement of cell adhesion and growth [40]. The supramolecular ensembles of

GO with ATP have also been described recently [76]. The ability of GO to interact with ATP indicates that GO can influence the energy production and transfer. Thus, influencing the life processes and signaling pathways.

5. Conclusions and Future Directions

As described in this mini review, GO has shown excellent performance in regulating the self-renewal and differentiation processes of stem cells. GO could be applied in three different forms, including nanoparticles suspension, 2D substrates, and 3D scaffolds to regulate stem cell growth and differentiation with a different mechanism. However, there are still some challenges that need to be overcome in order to use GO-based nanomaterial for clinical application. First, more studies are still needed to dissect the exact molecular mechanisms in which GO contributes to the stem cell growth and differentiation. Second, the *in vivo* study must be conducted to ensure the effects of GO-based nanomaterial. Lastly, study about the combination of GO with other biomaterials to form 3D nano-hybrid composites scaffold should be advanced.

Author Contributions: A.H. did the writing for the manuscript and literature studying. Q.L. and Y.J. contributed partial literature study and discussion. G.S. supervised development of manuscript and evaluation.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11511140092, 11532004, 11772073, 11832008), the Chongqing research program of basic research and frontier technology (No. cstc2016jcyjA0222).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

References

1. Amin, S.; Cook, B.; Zhou, T.; Ghazizadeh, Z.; Lis, R.; Zhang, T.; Khalaj, M.; Crespo, M.; Perera, M.; Xiang, J.Z.; et al. Discovery of a drug candidate for GLI3-associated diabetes. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2681. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Chen, K.G.; Mallon, B.S.; Park, K.; Robey, P.G.; McKay, R.D.G.; Gottesman, M.M.; Zheng, W. Pluripotent stem cell platforms for drug discovery. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2018**, *24*, 805–820. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Coll, M.; Perea, L.; Boon, R.; Leite, S.B.; Vallverdu, J.; Mannaerts, I.; Smout, A.; El Taghdouini, A.; Blaya, D.; Rodrigo-Torres, D.; et al. Generation of hepatic stellate cells from human pluripotent stem cells enables *in vitro* modeling of liver fibrosis. *Cell Stem Cell* **2018**, *23*, 101–113. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Campisi, M.; Shin, Y.; Osaki, T.; Hajal, C.; Chiono, V.; Kamm, R.D. 3D self-organized microvascular model of the human blood-brain barrier with endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *180*, 117–129. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Li, J.; Zhang, N.; Huang, X.; Xu, J.; Fernandes, J.C.; Dai, K.; Zhang, X. Dexamethasone shifts bone marrow stromal cells from osteoblasts to adipocytes by C/EBP α promoter methylation. *Cell Death Dis.* **2013**, *4*, e832. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Shields, L.B.; Raque, G.H.; Glassman, S.D.; Campbell, M.; Vitaz, T.; Harpring, J.; Shields, C.B. Adverse effects associated with high-dose recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 use in anterior cervical spine fusion. *Spine* **2006**, *31*, 542–547. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Malkawi, A.K.; Alzoubi, K.H.; Jacob, M.; Matic, G.; Ali, A.; Al Faraj, A.; Almuhanha, F.; Dasouki, M.; Abdel Rahman, A.M. Metabolomics based profiling of dexamethasone side effects in rats. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *9*, 46. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Aravamudhan, A.; Ramos, D.M.; Nip, J.; Subramanian, A.; James, R.; Harmon, M.D.; Yu, X.; Kumbar, S.G. Osteoinductive small molecules: growth factor alternatives for bone tissue engineering. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2013**, *19*, 3420–3428. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Geim, A.K. Graphene: status and prospects. *Science* **2009**, *324*, 1530–1534. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Shin, S.R.; Li, Y.C.; Jang, H.L.; Khoshakhlagh, P.; Akbari, M.; Nasajpour, A.; Zhang, Y.S.; Tamayol, A.; Khademhosseini, A. Graphene-based materials for tissue engineering. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2016**, *105*, 255–274. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Geim, A.K.; Novoselov, K.S. The rise of graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **2007**, *6*, 183–191. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

12. Lee, C.; Wei, X.; Kysar, J.W.; Hone, J. Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 385–388. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Nair, R.R.; Blake, P.; Grigorenko, A.N.; Novoselov, K.S.; Booth, T.J.; Stauber, T.; Peres, N.M.; Geim, A.K. Fine structure constant defines visual transparency of graphene. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 1308. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Wojtoniszak, M.; Chen, X.; Kalenczuk, R.J.; Wajda, A.; Lapczuk, J.; Kurzewski, M.; Drozdziak, M.; Chu, P.K.; Borowiak-Palen, E. Synthesis, dispersion, and cytocompatibility of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2012**, *89*, 79–85. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Kim, J.; Choi, K.S.; Kim, Y.; Lim, K.T.; Seonwoo, H.; Park, Y.; Kim, D.H.; Choung, P.H.; Cho, C.S.; Kim, S.Y.; et al. Bioactive effects of graphene oxide cell culture substratum on structure and function of human adipose-derived stem cells. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* **2013**, *101*, 3520–3530. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Feng, Z.Q.; Yan, K.; Shi, C.; Xu, X.; Wang, T.; Li, R.; Dong, W.; Zheng, J. Neurogenic differentiation of adipose derived stem cells on graphene-based mat. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2018**, *90*, 685–692. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E. The use of graphene in the self-organized differentiation of human neural stem cells into neurons under pulsed laser stimulation. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2014**, *2*, 5602–5611. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Lu, X.; Feng, X.; Werber, J.R.; Chu, C.; Zucker, I.; Kim, J.H.; Osuji, C.O.; Elimelech, M. Enhanced antibacterial activity through the controlled alignment of graphene oxide nanosheets. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2017**, *114*, E9793–E9801. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Gurunathan, S.; Han, J.W.; Dayem, A.A.; Eppakayala, V.; Kim, J.H. Oxidative stress-mediated antibacterial activity of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2012**, *7*, 5901–5914. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Di Giulio, M.; Zappacosta, R.; Di Lodovico, S.; Di Campli, E.; Siani, G.; Fontana, A.; Cellini, L. Antimicrobial and antibiofilm efficacy of graphene oxide against chronic wound microorganisms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2018**, *62*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Shahmoradi, S.; Golzar, H.; Hashemi, M.; Mansouri, V.; Omid, M.; Yazdian, F.; Yadegari, A.; Tayebi, L. Optimizing the nanostructure of graphene oxide/silver/arginine for effective wound healing. *Nanotechnology* **2018**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Kumar, S.; Raj, S.; Kolanthai, E.; Sood, A.K.; Sampath, S.; Chatterjee, K. Chemical functionalization of graphene to augment stem cell osteogenesis and inhibit biofilm formation on polymer composites for orthopedic applications. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 3237–3252. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Wei, C.; Liu, Z.; Jiang, F.; Zeng, B.; Huang, M.; Yu, D. Cellular behaviours of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells towards pristine graphene oxide nanosheets. *Cell Prolif.* **2017**, *50*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E.; Akhavan, A. Size-dependent genotoxicity of graphene nanoplatelets in human stem cells. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 8017–8025. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Talukdar, Y.; Rashkow, J.; Lalwani, G.; Kanakia, S.; Sitharaman, B. The effects of graphene nanostructures on mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials* **2014**, *35*, 4863–4877. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Jing, G.; Wang, Z.; Zhuang, X.; He, X.; Wu, H.; Wang, Q.; Cheng, L.; Liu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, R. Suspended graphene oxide nanosheets maintain the self-renewal of mouse embryonic stem cells via down-regulating the expression of Vinculin. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *171*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Kim, J.; Yang, K.; Lee, J.S.; Hwang, Y.H.; Park, H.J.; Park, K.I.; Lee, D.Y.; Cho, S.W. Enhanced self-renewal and accelerated differentiation of human fetal neural stem cells using graphene oxide nanoparticles. *Macromol. Biosci.* **2017**, *17*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Chu, J.; Shi, P.; Yan, W.; Fu, J.; Yang, Z.; He, C.; Deng, X.; Liu, H. PEGylated graphene oxide-mediated quercetin-modified collagen hybrid scaffold for enhancement of MSCs differentiation potential and diabetic wound healing. *Nanoscale* **2018**, *10*, 9547–9560. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Guo, W.; Zhang, X.; Yu, X.; Wang, S.; Qiu, J.; Tang, W.; Li, L.; Liu, H.; Wang, Z.L. Self-powered electrical stimulation for enhancing neural differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells on graphene-poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) hybrid microfibers. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 5086–5095. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Thomson, J.A.; Itskovitz-Eldor, J.; Shapiro, S.S.; Waknitz, M.A.; Swiergiel, J.J.; Marshall, V.S.; Jones, J.M. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* **1998**, *282*, 1145–1147. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

31. Garcia-Alegria, E.; Iliut, M.; Stefanska, M.; Silva, C.; Heeg, S.; Kimber, S.J.; Kouskoff, V.; Lacaud, G.; Vijayaraghavan, A.; Batta, K. Graphene Oxide promotes embryonic stem cell differentiation to haematopoietic lineage. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25917. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Yang, D.; Li, T.; Xu, M.; Gao, F.; Yang, J.; Yang, Z.; Le, W. Graphene oxide promotes the differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells to dopamine neurons. *Nanomedicine* **2014**, *9*, 2445–2455. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
33. Takahashi, K.; Yamanaka, S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* **2006**, *126*, 663–676. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Chen, G.Y.; Pang, D.W.; Hwang, S.M.; Tuan, H.Y.; Hu, Y.C. A graphene-based platform for induced pluripotent stem cells culture and differentiation. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 418–427. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Pittenger, M.F.; Mackay, A.M.; Beck, S.C.; Jaiswal, R.K.; Douglas, R.; Mosca, J.D.; Moorman, M.A.; Simonetti, D.W.; Craig, S.; Marshak, D.R. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* **1999**, *284*, 143–147. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Li, N.; Hua, J. Interactions between mesenchymal stem cells and the immune system. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci. CMLS* **2017**, *74*, 2345–2360. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. La, W.G.; Jung, M.J.; Yoon, J.K.; Bhang, S.H.; Jang, H.K.; Lee, T.J.; Yoon, H.H.; Shin, J.Y.; Kim, B.S. Bone morphogenetic protein-2 for bone regeneration—Dose reduction through graphene oxide-based delivery. *Carbon* **2014**, *78*, 428–438. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Tang, L.A.L.; Lee, W.C.; Shi, H.; Wong, E.Y.L.; Sadovoy, A.; Gorelik, S.; Hobbey, J.; Lim, C.T.; Loh, K.P. Highly wrinkled cross-linked graphene oxide membranes for biological and charge-storage applications. *Small* **2012**, *8*, 423–431. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Kang, S.; Park, J.B.; Lee, T.J.; Ryu, S.; Bhang, S.H.; La, W.G.; Noh, M.K.; Hong, B.H.; Kim, B.S. Covalent conjugation of mechanically stiff graphene oxide flakes to three-dimensional collagen scaffolds for osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Carbon* **2015**, *83*, 162–172. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Lee, W.C.; Lim, C.H.; Shi, H.; Tang, L.A.; Wang, Y.; Lim, C.T.; Loh, K.P. Origin of enhanced stem cell growth and differentiation on graphene and graphene oxide. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 7334–7341. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Patel, M.; Moon, H.J.; Ko du, Y.; Jeong, B. Composite system of graphene oxide and polypeptide thermogel as an injectable 3D scaffold for adipogenic differentiation of tonsil-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 5160–5169. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Sakata, R.; Iwakura, T.; Reddi, A.H. Regeneration of articular cartilage surface: Morphogens, cells, and extracellular matrix scaffolds. *Tissue Eng. Part B Rev.* **2015**, *21*, 461–473. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Lee, W.C.; Lim, C.H.; Kenry, S.; Su, C.; Loh, K.P.; Lim, C.T. Cell-assembled graphene biocomposite for enhanced chondrogenic differentiation. *Small* **2015**, *11*, 963–969. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Zhou, X.; Nowicki, M.; Cui, H.T.; Zhu, W.; Fang, X.Q.; Miao, S.D.; Lee, S.J.; Keidar, M.; Zhang, L.J.G. 3D bioprinted graphene oxide-incorporated matrix for promoting chondrogenic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Carbon* **2017**, *116*, 615–624. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Lim, K.T.; Seonwoo, H.; Choi, K.S.; Jin, H.; Jang, K.J.; Kim, J.; Kim, J.W.; Kim, S.Y.; Choung, P.H.; Chung, J.H. Pulsed-Electromagnetic-Field-Assisted Reduced Graphene Oxide Substrates for Multidifferentiation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2016**, *5*, 2069–2079. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Batiz, L.F.; Castro, M.A.; Burgos, P.V.; Velasquez, Z.D.; Munoz, R.I.; Lafourcade, C.A.; Troncoso-Escudero, P.; Wyneken, U. Exosomes as novel regulators of adult neurogenic niches. *Front Cell. Neurosci.* **2015**, *9*, 501. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Sanai, N.; Tramontin, A.D.; Quinones-Hinojosa, A.; Barbaro, N.M.; Gupta, N.; Kunwar, S.; Lawton, M.T.; McDermott, M.W.; Parsa, A.T.; Manuel-Garcia Verdugo, J.; et al. Unique astrocyte ribbon in adult human brain contains neural stem cells but lacks chain migration. *Nature* **2004**, *427*, 740–744. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Gage, F.H. Mammalian neural stem cells. *Science* **2000**, *287*, 1433–1438. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Zhao, H.; Steiger, A.; Nohner, M.; Ye, H. Specific intensity direct current (DC) electric field improves neural stem cell migration and enhances differentiation towards betaIII-Tubulin+ neurons. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0129625. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Chang, K.A.; Kim, J.W.; Kim, J.A.; Lee, S.E.; Kim, S.; Suh, W.H.; Kim, H.S.; Kwon, S.; Kim, S.J.; Suh, Y.H. Biphasic electrical currents stimulation promotes both proliferation and differentiation of fetal neural stem cells. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e18738. [[CrossRef](#)]

51. Thirivikraman, G.; Boda, S.K.; Basu, B. Unraveling the mechanistic effects of electric field stimulation towards directing stem cell fate and function: A tissue engineering perspective. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *150*, 60–86. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Guo, W.; Qiu, J.; Liu, J.; Liu, H. Graphene microfiber as a scaffold for regulation of neural stem cells differentiation. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 5678. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Weaver, C.L.; Cui, X.T. Directed neural stem cell differentiation with a functionalized graphene oxide nanocomposite. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2015**, *4*, 1408–1416. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Akhavan, O.; Ghaderi, E.; Shirazian, S.A.; Rahighi, R. Rolled graphene oxide foams as three-dimensional scaffolds for growth of neural fibers using electrical stimulation of stem cells. *Carbon* **2016**, *97*, 71–77. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Dean, M.; Fojo, T.; Bates, S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2005**, *5*, 275–284. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Li, C.; Heidt, D.G.; Dalerba, P.; Burant, C.F.; Zhang, L.; Adsay, V.; Wicha, M.; Clarke, M.F.; Simeone, D.M. Identification of pancreatic cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res.* **2007**, *67*, 1030–1037. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Collins, A.T.; Berry, P.A.; Hyde, C.; Stower, M.J.; Maitland, N.J. Prospective identification of tumorigenic prostate cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, *65*, 10946–10951. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Eramo, A.; Lotti, F.; Sette, G.; Pilozzi, E.; Biffoni, M.; Di Virgilio, A.; Conticello, C.; Ruco, L.; Peschle, C.; De Maria, R. Identification and expansion of the tumorigenic lung cancer stem cell population. *Cell Death Differ.* **2008**, *15*, 504–514. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Ricci-Vitiani, L.; Lombardi, D.G.; Pilozzi, E.; Biffoni, M.; Todaro, M.; Peschle, C.; De Maria, R. Identification and expansion of human colon-cancer-initiating cells. *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 111–115. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Bonnet, D.; Dick, J.E. Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. *Nat. Med.* **1997**, *3*, 730–737. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Bussolati, B.; Grange, C.; Sapino, A.; Camussi, G. Endothelial cell differentiation of human breast tumour stem/progenitor cells. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2009**, *13*, 309–319. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
62. Ricci-Vitiani, L.; Pallini, R.; Biffoni, M.; Todaro, M.; Invernici, G.; Cenci, T.; Maira, G.; Parati, E.A.; Stassi, G.; Larocca, L.M.; et al. Tumour vascularization via endothelial differentiation of glioblastoma stem-like cells. *Nature* **2010**, *468*, 824–828. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Cheng, L.; Huang, Z.; Zhou, W.; Wu, Q.; Donnola, S.; Liu, J.K.; Fang, X.; Sloan, A.E.; Mao, Y.; Lathia, J.D.; et al. Glioblastoma stem cells generate vascular pericytes to support vessel function and tumor growth. *Cell* **2013**, *153*, 139–152. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Fiorillo, M.; Verre, A.F.; Iliut, M.; Peiris-Pages, M.; Ozsvari, B.; Gandara, R.; Cappello, A.R.; Sotgia, F.; Vijayaraghavan, A.; Lisanti, M.P. Graphene oxide selectively targets cancer stem cells, across multiple tumor types: implications for non-toxic cancer treatment, via "differentiation-based nano-therapy". *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6*, 3553–3562. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Yoon, H.H.; Bhang, S.H.; Kim, T.; Yu, T.; Hyeon, T.; Kim, B.S. Dual roles of graphene oxide in chondrogenic differentiation of adult stem cells: Cell-adhesion substrate and growth factor-delivery carrier. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 6455–6464. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Park, J.; Kim, B.; Han, J.; Oh, J.; Park, S.; Ryu, S.; Jung, S.; Shin, J.Y.; Lee, B.S.; Hong, B.H.; et al. Graphene oxide flakes as a cellular adhesive: Prevention of reactive oxygen species mediated death of implanted cells for cardiac repair. *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 4987–4999. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Kang, E.S.; Song, I.; Kim, D.S.; Lee, U.; Kim, J.K.; Son, H.; Min, J.; Kim, T.H. Size-dependent effects of graphene oxide on the osteogenesis of human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2018**, *169*, 20–29. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Luo, Y.; Shen, H.; Fang, Y.; Cao, Y.; Huang, J.; Zhang, M.; Dai, J.; Shi, X.; Zhang, Z. Enhanced proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells on graphene oxide-incorporated electrospun poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanofibrous mats. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 6331–6339. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
69. Nemir, S.; West, J.L. Synthetic materials in the study of cell response to substrate rigidity. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* **2010**, *38*, 2–20. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
70. Dalby, M.J.; Gadegaard, N.; Oreffo, R.O. Harnessing nanotopography and integrin-matrix interactions to influence stem cell fate. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 558–569. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

71. Teo, B.K.; Wong, S.T.; Lim, C.K.; Kung, T.Y.; Yap, C.H.; Ramagopal, Y.; Romer, L.H.; Yim, E.K. Nanotopography modulates mechanotransduction of stem cells and induces differentiation through focal adhesion kinase. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 4785–4798. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Chaudhuri, B.; Bhadra, D.; Moroni, L.; Pramanik, K. Myoblast differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells on graphene oxide and electrospun graphene oxide-polymer composite fibrous meshes: importance of graphene oxide conductivity and dielectric constant on their biocompatibility. *Biofabrication* **2015**, *7*, 015009. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Yang, K.; Lee, J.; Lee, J.S.; Kim, D.; Chang, G.E.; Seo, J.; Cheong, E.; Lee, T.; Cho, S.W. Graphene oxide hierarchical patterns for the derivation of electrophysiologically functional neuron-like cells from human neural stem cells. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 17763–17774. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Ratajczak, K.; Krazinski, B.E.; Kowalczyk, A.E.; Dworakowska, B.; Jakiela, S.; Stobiecka, M. Optical biosensing system for the detection of survivin mRNA in colorectal cancer cells using a graphene oxide carrier-bound oligonucleotide molecular beacon. *Nanomaterials* **2018**, *8*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
75. Stobiecka, M.; Dworakowska, B.; Jakiela, S.; Lukasiak, A.; Chalupa, A.; Zembrzycki, K. Sensing of survivin mRNA in malignant astrocytes using graphene oxide nanocarrier-supported oligonucleotide molecular beacons. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *235*, 136–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Ratajczak, K.; Stobiecka, M. Ternary interactions and energy transfer between fluorescein isothiocyanate, adenosine triphosphate, and graphene oxide nanocarriers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2017**, *121*, 6822–6830. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).