

# CD10 positive recurrent undifferentiated mammary sarcoma in a young female: a rare case report with brief review of literature

Kachnar Varma, Pooja Gupta, Payel Das, Pallavi Singh, Vatsala Misra

Department of Pathology, Moti Lal Nehru Medical College, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Abstract

Undifferentiated mammary sarcoma is extremely rare and the diagnosis is made only after exclusion of metaplastic carcinomas and malignant phyllodes tumor. Mammary sarcomas mostly display specified entities like liposarcomas or angiosarcomas. A 18-year-old female presented in 2010 with a right breast lump for which lumpectomy was done and on histopathological examination benign phyllodes tumor was diagnosed. In 2011, there was a recurrence at site of excised margin and on fine needle aspiration (FNA) the diagnosis of benign breast disease was made; a small biopsy was received for which diagnosis of myoepithelial lesion was given. Then, the whole mass was excised, but histopathological examination report could not be followed up. In 2013, she again presented with a mass arising from the previously excised margin; on FNA, it was diagnosed as malignant sarcomatous lesion. Microscopy showed spindle shaped cells in diffuse and fascicular pattern with plump ovoid nuclei; coarse chromatin and eosinophilic cytoplasm were seen. Few round to ovoid cells with eccentric nuclei and showing bi- or multi-nucleation were present. Large area of necrosis and hemorrhage was present, too. No breast glands were found. Later on, diagnosis was confirmed on immunohistochemical examination. The case was considered worth due to the young age of the patient and lack of differentiation of the lesion in any specific type of sarcoma and CD10 positivity.

## Introduction

Undifferentiated mammary sarcoma (UMS) is a rare malignant tumor arising from the mesenchymal tissue of the mammary gland.<sup>1</sup> The mean age of presentation is 52 years (range 22-82).<sup>2</sup> The diagnosis of UMS is made only after exclusion of metaplastic car-

cinomas and malignant phyllodes tumor (MPT). Mammary sarcomas mostly shows features of liposarcomas or angiosarcomas and rarely pleomorphic sarcoma or malignant fibrous histiocytoma.<sup>3</sup> Hence, we present an unusual case of UMS which presented at early age with frequent recurrences.

# **Case Report**

A 18-year-old female presented with a right breast lump for which lumpectomy was done in 2010. Two nodular masses with attached skin measuring 10×9×3.5 cm and 10×8×6 cm in size were removed (Figure 1A). Cut surface was lobulated with extensive areas of necrosis and hemorrhage. Histopathological examination (HPE) showed double layered epithelial component arranged in clefts with hypercellular stroma organized in leaf-like structures. The stroma consisted of hyperchromatic pleomorphic cells with prominent nucleoli. Mitosis was less than 4/hpf (high power field), hence a diagnosis of benign phyllodes tumor (PT) was made (Figure 1B). One year later, recurrence occurred at the site of excised margin of the tumor. Fine needle aspiration (FNA) showed scant cellularity with plump spindle cells arranged in clusters and also scattered singly. Mitosis was rare. No epithelial cell clusters were seen. A diagnosis of benign breast tumor was made (Figure 1C). A biopsy was received which showed  $0.5 \times 0.5$  cm spindle cells in diffuse sheets. Few vacuolated cells were also found. No acini or epithelial lining was seen (Figure 1D). A diagnosis of mesenchymal/ myoepithelial lesion was given and excision was advised. Patient was lost to follow up and no histopathological examination could be done.

Two years later, the patient again presented with the second recurrence at the excised margin. Clinically, the mass was nodular, firm and inflamed measuring 3×3 cm (Figure 1A). This time the cytological and histological features suggested an aggressive tumor. On FNA, cellularity was increased with pleomorphic spindle cells arranged in clusters and scattered singly. Mitosis was more than 10/hpf. Again no epithelial elements were seen. A cytological impression of malignant sarcomatous lesion (Figure 1E) on FNA was given. Later, the mass got ulcerated, was excised and sent for HPE. Gross examination showed necrotic and hemorrhagic areas. On microscopic examination large areas of necrosis and hemorrhage were present in center. Spindle shaped cells arranged in diffuse and fascicular pattern with plump ovoid nuclei, coarse chromatin and eosinophilic cytoplasm were seen. At the periphery, few round to ovoid cells with eccentric nuclei and showing Correspondence: Kachnar Varma, Department of Pathology, Moti Lal Nehru Medical College 4/412, Allahabad 211002, Uttar Pradesh, India Tel.: +91.9453.029924 - Fax: +91.0532.2256321. E-mail: varmakachnar@yahoo.co.in

Key words: Breast sarcoma; phyllodes tumour; CD10 positive undifferentiated sarcoma.

Contributions: the authors contributed equally.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Received for publication: 3 December 2014. Revision received: 27 February 2015. Accepted for publication: 2 March 2015.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 3.0 License (CC BY-NC 3.0).

©Copyright K. Varma et al., 2015 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Rare Tumors 2015; 7:5737 doi:10.4081/rt.2015.5737

bi- or multinucleation were present. Mitosis was more than 8/10 hpf (Figure 1F). No epithelial elements were found.

On the basis of cytohistomorphological features, differential diagnosis considered were: MPT, UMS, nodular fasciitis (NF), metaplastic carcinoma (MC), myoepithelial carcinoma (MEC) and leiomyosarcoma (LMS). A large panel of antibody were used for immunohistochemistry (IHC) for further differentiation. The clone, antibody dilution and source of antibody are shown in Table 1. As seen in Table 2, only CD10 (15-30%), vimentin (70-80%), EGFR (60-70%) (Figure 2A-C) and Ki67(15-30%) were positive in present case. CD34 showed positivity around blood vessels whereas it was negative in tumor cells. On the basis of clinical presentation, cytology, histology and IHC (Table 2) a final diagnosis of CD10 positive UMS was made.

# Discussion

Undifferentiated mammary sarcoma, are rare histologically and heterogeneous nonepithelial malignancies that arise from the mammary stroma.<sup>1</sup> They account for less than 1% of all breast malignancies and less than 5% of all soft tissue sarcomas.<sup>4</sup> They can develop *de novo* (primary) or secondary after radiation therapy (RT) or lymphedema of the arm or breast after treatment of another malignancy.<sup>5-7</sup> Our case was a case of primary UMS.

The mean age for mammary sarcoma is reported to be 52 years (range 22 to 82). Out of all the lesions considered in differential diagnosis only nodular fascitis can present at an early age group (2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> decade) as shown in Table 3. Our case was relatively younger (18 years).8 On the basis of histopathological and cytopathological examination various main differential diagnosis considered were: MPT, UMS, NF, MC, MEC and LMS. The common presenting and cytohistomorphological features of various lesions have been summarized in Table 3. Comparative IHC panel as shown in Table 2 helped in coming to a final diagnosis. MPT was considered as a first diagnosis but young age of the patient and CD34 negativity in tumor cells (Figure 2D) ruled out this diagnosis.2 NF has a rare recurrence in breast and because of early age of presentation in 2nd-3rd decade of life, it was



Table 1. Specification of immunohistochemical markers used in present case.

Marker	Antibody type	Dilution	Company
CD10	IgG1	10-30	Biogenex*
Vimentin	IgG1	100-200	Biogenex
SMA	IgG2a	10-15	Biogenex
CD34	IgG1	15-30	Biogenex
P63	IgG	10-30	Biogenex
AE1/AE3	IgG1	40-80	Biogenex
CK5/6	IgG	10-30	Biogenex
CK7	IgG1kappa	100-200	Biogenex
S100	IgG2a kappa	100-200	Biogenex
EGFR	EP38Y	Ready to use	Biogenex
Ki67	MIB1	Ready to use	Dako**
ER	IgG1	20-40	Biogenex
PR	IgG1 kappa	20-40	Biogenex
HER2neu	IgG	N/A	Biogenex
Desmin	IgG1	40-80	Biogenex
*Biogonov Fromont C	A USA **Dako Clostrup Dopmark SMA s	mooth muscle antigen: AE1/AE3 Pan o	atokoratin

\*Biogenex, Fremont, CA, USA. \*\*Dako, Glostrup, Denmark. SMA, smooth muscle antigen; AE1/AE3, Pan cytokeratin



Figure 1. Panel of representative photomicrographs showing (A) gross nodular, solid tissue piece. Inset: inflamed recurrent mass. B) Benign phylloides showing cleft like spaces. Inset showing benign glandular elements. C) Cytology showing scant cellularity. Inset shows plump spindle cells. D) Spindle to ovoid cells with hyper chromatic nuclei, inconspicuous nucleoli and ill defined pale cytoplasm. E) Cytology from recurrent nodule showing increased cellularity and pleomorphism. Inset showing high mitosis. F) Spindle shaped cells arranged in diffuse and fascicular pattern. Inset showing bi- and multi-nucleation and mitosis.



kept as a differential diagnosis. SMA and Desmin were negative in present case, hence NF was ruled out.<sup>9</sup> Negative IHC for CK5/6, CK7, p63, ER, PR and weak positivity for EGFR ruled out MC (Table 2).<sup>10</sup> MEC is predominantly composed of myoepithelial cells and showed positivity for S-100, p63, CK5/6 and SMA,which were negative in this case.<sup>11,12</sup>



Figure 2. Immunohistochemical findings. Tumor cells show (A) strong CD 10 positivity (B) Vimentin diffusely positive (C) EGFR strongly and diffusely positive (D) CD 34 positivity around the blood vessels and negative in tumor cells.

LMS are hypercellular with nuclear atypia high mitotic counts and with areas of necrosis. They are immunoreactive for SMA, Desmin, Calponin, H-caldesmon, and Vimentin.<sup>13,14</sup>

In our patient SMA and Desmin (Table2) were negative and hence this diagnosis was ruled out. The patient also showed positivity for CD10 (10-15%). CD10 is a new stromal marker which is important in prognostication and possible therapeutic intervention in invasive breast carcinoma.<sup>15,16</sup>

Expression of CD10 in stromal cells is associated with poor prognosis, estrogen receptor negativity and high grade.<sup>17</sup>

## Conclusions

On the basis of cytohistomorphological features and IHC a final diagnosis of CD10 positive UMS was made. Patient is clinically well with no systemic symptom and had been referred to higher center for further follow up and treatment. Later, she did not report back for further follow up.

# References

- 1. Berg JW, Hutter RV. Breast Cancer. Cancer 1995;75:257-69.
- 2. Moore T, Lee AH. Expression of CD34 and bcl-2 in phyllodes tumors, fibroadenomas

Table 2. Co	mparison	of immuno	ohistochemica	l findings	of present	case with	various	differential	diagnosis	considered.

	CD10	Vim	SMA	CD34	P63	PanCK	CK5/6	S-100	EGFR	Ki67	ER PR	Desmin
MPT	NR	NR	NR	+	-	-	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NF	NR	NR	+	NR	NR	-	-	-	NR	NR	NR	+/-
MC	NR	NR	NR	-	+	+	+	NR	+	NR	-	-
MEC	+	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	+	NR	NR	NR	NR
LMS	+	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	+
UMS	+	+	+/-	-	+/-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Our case	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-

MPT, malignant phylloides tumor; NF, nodular fascitis; MC, metaplastic carcinoma; MEC, myoepithelialcarcinoma; LMS, leiomyosarcoma, UMS, undifferentiated mammary sarcoma; NR, no role; HPE, histopathological examination; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

#### Table 3. Histopathological findings of various differential diagnosis considered on microscopy.

D/D	Age, years	Microscopy
MPT	45-55	Stromal cells with nuclear pleomorphism and absence of epithelial elements in 1/1 pf; mitosis >10/10 hpf.
NF	20-30	Plumped spindle shaped cells; many mitotic figures and osteoclast like giant cells
MC	30-72	Atypical spindle cells arranged in herring bone
MEC	22-87	Atypical pleomorphic spindle cells with infiltrative margin and frequent mitotic figures
LMS	40-70	Spindle cell with blunt ends arranged in interlacing fascicles and high mitotic activity
UMS	22-82	Spindle cells with varying collagen fibrous or myxoid matrix with frequent atypical mitosis
Our case	18	Spindle shaped cells in fascicular pattern with bi- or multi-nucleation. Mitosis >8/10 hpf

D/D, differential diagnosis; MPT, malignant phylloides tumor; NF, nodular fascitis; MC, metaplastic carcinoma; MEC, myoepithelialcarcinoma; LMS, leiomyosarcoma, UMS, undifferentiated mammary sarcoma; NR, no role; HPE, histopathological examination.

and spindle cell lesions of the breast. Histopathology 2001;38:62-7.

- Rosen PP. Sarcoma. In: Rosen PP, ed. Rosen's breast pathology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2009. pp 902-959.
- 4. Russell WO, Cohen J, Ezinger F, et al. A clinical and pathological staging system for soft tissue sarcomas. Cancer 1977;40:1562-70.
- Karlsson P, Holmberg E, Johansson KA, et al. Soft tissue sarcoma after treatment for breast cancer. Radiother Oncol 1998;38:2068-75.
- Lagrange JL, Ramaioli A, Chateau MC, et al. Sarcoma after radiation therapy: retrospective multiinstitutional study of 80 histologically confirmed cases. Radiation Therapist and Pathologist Groups of the Fédération Nationale des Centres de Lutte Contre le Cancer. Radiology 2000;216:197-05.
- 7. Blanchard DK, Reynolds C, Grant CS, et al. Radiation-induced breast sarcoma. Am J

Surg 2002;184:356-58.

- 8. Lesueur GC, Brown RW, Bhathal PS. Incidence of perilobular hemangioma in the female breast. Arch Pathol lab Med 1983;107:308-10.
- 9. Montgomery EA, Meis JM. Nodular fasciitis. Its morphologic spectrum and immunohistochemical profile. Am J Surg Pathol 1983;15:942-8.
- Wargotz ES, Norris HJ. Metaplastic carcinomas of the breast. Cancer 1990;65:272-6.
- Trojani M, Guiu M, Trouette H, et al. Malignant adenomyoepithelioma of the breast. An immunohistochemical, cytophotometric, and ultrastructural study of a case with lung metastases. Am J Clin Pathol 1992;598-02.
- 12. Downes KA, Hart WR. Bizarre leiomyomas of the uterus: a comprehensive pathologic study of 24 cases with long-term follow-up. Am J Surg Pathol 1997;21:1261-70.
- 13. Watanabe K, Tajino T, Sekiguchi M, Suzuki T. h-caldesmon as a specific marker for

smooth muscle tumors. Comparison with other smooth muscle markers in bone tumors. Am J Clin Pathol 2000;113:663-8.

- 14. Makretsov NA, Hayes M, Carter BA et al. Stromal CD10 expression in invasive breast carcinoma correlates with poor prognosis, estrogen receptor negativity, and high grade. Mod Pathol 2007;20:84-9.
- Iwaya K, Ogawa H, Izumi M, et al. Stromal expression of CD10 in invasive breast carcinoma: a new predictor of clinical outcome. Virchows Arch 2002;440:589-93.
- Smollich M, Gotte M, Yip GW, et al. On the role of endothelin-converting enzyme-1 (ECE-1) and neprilysin in human breast cancer.Breast Cancer Res Treat 2007;106: 361-9.
- Desmedt C, Majjaj S, Khedoummi N, et al. Characterization and clinical evaluation of CD10+ stroma cells in the breast cancer microenvironment. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18:1004-14.

