Traditional Herbal Medicine, Sipjeondaebo-Tang, for Cancer-Related Fatigue: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Preliminary Study

Integrative Cancer Therapies Volume 20: I–9 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/15347354211040830 journals.sagepub.com/home/ict

Jee Young Lee, KMD, PhD^{1,2}, Eun Hye Kim, KMD, BS¹, Jee-Hyun Yoon, KMD, MS¹, Wankyu Eo, MD, PhD¹, and Seong Woo Yoon, KMD, PhD¹

Abstract

Purpose: Sipjeondaebo-tang (SDT) is a widely used traditional herbal medicine for relieving fatigue. This randomized, placebo-controlled, preliminary study evaluated SDT for cancer-related fatigue, which is the most common symptom experienced by patients with cancer. **Patients and Methods:** Patients with a Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI) score of at least 4 were randomly assigned in a double-blinded manner to receive SDT (3 g 3 times daily) or placebo orally for 3 weeks. The BFI was the primary outcome measure and secondary outcome measures included the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30), immunoregulatory tests, and safety. **Results:** A total of 50 participants were randomly assigned and 48 patients completed the trial. Based on intention-to-treat analysis, fatigue, which was the primary outcome, was improved in both arms compared with the baseline, and was significantly better in the SDT group than in the placebo group at week 3 (3.56 ± 1.18 vs 4.63 ± 1.83 , P=.019). Secondary outcomes, including anxiety, depression, and immunoregulatory tests, did not improve significantly in either group. However, quality of life measured using the EORTC QLQ-C30 improved in both arms compared with the baseline, and the global health subscale was significantly better in the SDT group than in the placebo group than in the placebo group than in the placebo group tests, did not improve significant toxicities were observed. **Conclusion:** SDT may improve cancer-related fatigue and quality of life in patients with cancer. A further randomized clinical trial with large sample size is warranted.

Keywords

cancer-related symptoms, cancer-related fatigue, Sipjeondaebo-tang, traditional herbal medicine, brief fatigue inventory

Submitted March 4, 2021; revised July 1, 2021; accepted August 3, 2021

Introduction

Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is a distressing, persistent, subjective sense of physical, emotional, and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or cancer treatment that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning. It is also the most prevalent symptom experienced by patients with cancer that affects quality of life.¹⁻⁴ CRF is estimated to occur in up to 90% of patients during active cancer treatment⁵ and 27% to 82% of patients after treatment.⁶ Multidimensional factors of physical and psychosocial mechanisms can cause CRF, which starts before diagnosis and persists for months or years after treatment completion.^{4,7-9} CRF may also be a predictor of shorter survival.¹⁰ The National Comprehensive Cancer Network⁴ guidelines recommend non-pharmacological and pharmacological interventions for CRF after managing concurrent symptoms and treatable contributing factors including pain, emotional distress, anemia, sleep disturbance, nutritional deficit, and comorbidities. Despite a variety of interventions

¹Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong, Seoul, Republic of Korea ²Jaseng Medical Foundation, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Corresponding Author:

Seong Woo Yoon, Department of Korean Internal Medicine, Korean Medicine Cancer Center, Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong, Dongnamro 892, Gangdonggu, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Email: stepano212@hanmail.net

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). that have been beneficial to patients with CRF, there is currently no gold standard in its treatment.¹¹

Sipjeondaebo-tang (Juzentaihoto in Japanese, Shi-Quan-Da-Bu-Tang in Chinese, SDT) is a traditional herbal medicine comprised of 10 herbs and has been widely used for relieving fatigue in East Asia.¹² In previous studies, SDT was shown to be an immune-augmentative drug that induced natural killer cell activity and decreased regulatory T cells.^{13,14} In human study, SDT has an adjuvant effect on maintenance of antibody on influenza vaccination in elderly.¹⁵ SDT has also been shown to improve chronic fatigue syndrome and the quality of life and anorexia in patients with cancer.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ To the best of our knowledge, no double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy of SDT in CRF has been published.

With the high prevalence of CRF and the limited number of therapeutic options, the purpose of this double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, preliminary trial was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of SDT on CRF.

Patients and Methods

Study Design

This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, preliminary study was conducted to investigate the efficacy of 3 weeks of SDT treatment on CRF. The accrual occurred from May 2018 to June 2020 at Kyung Hee University Hospital in Gangdong, Republic of Korea. Participants who met the eligibility criteria and voluntarily signed the informed consent were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio into the SDT and placebo groups. A permuted-block randomization sequence using a 2×2 block based on a computer-generated table of random digits was used for group assignment. Random assignment was implemented via another statistician who was not associated with the current study. Only pharmacies had access to the randomization sequence. The random list ensured full allocation concealment, which was concealed in an opaque envelope prior to the first visit of the subject. The professional clinical research coordinator enrolled the participants and recorded the case report form from every patient-reported questionnaire. Both the researchers and the participants were blinded, and the analysis was performed by a separate statistician, who belongs to the Kyung Hee Medical Science Research Institute.

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyung Hee University Hospital at Gangdong (KHNMC-OH-IRB 2017-08-006). The protocol was registered in the Clinical Research Information Service (KCT0003442). All research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was performed according to the Good Clinical Practice guidelines. The study proposal has not changed since the allocation started.

Eligibility

Patients were eligible to participate in the trial if they had a confirmed malignant solid tumor, were older than 19 years; were at least 1 month past their last major treatment (ie, surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy); complained of moderate or severe fatigue, defined as a Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI) score of 4 or more; had fatigue that started or got worse according to cancer progression or cancer-related treatment and lasted more than 1 month; and had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of below 2. Patients were excluded if they had moderate to severe pain (Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) of 4 or more); had at least one of the following: pleural effusion, ascites, or edema on the extremities with a severity of grade 3 or more, according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) ver. 4.03; had anemia that required a transfusion (hemoglobin $\leq 7 \text{ g/dL}$); were diagnosed with hypothyroidism, a psychological or mental disorder, nutritional dystrophy, or paralytic or atrophic myopathy including myasthenia gravis; had a history of alcoholic or psychotropic drug abuse; were pregnant or breast-feeding; planned to receive surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy during the study period; were 2 times higher or more than normal serum levels of aspartate transaminase (AST) or alanine transferase (ALT); or were one and a half times higher or more for their serum creatinine level. The eligibility criteria did not change from the registration of the study proposal to the end of the study. All participants signed an informed consent form before participating in the study.

Any kind of concurrent use of medication or dietary supplements that could have potentially affected fatigue was allowed only when the participants maintained the same type and dosage of medication for more than 2 weeks before the study initiation.

At baseline, the following data were recorded: demographic data, information on cancer and treatment history for cancer, comorbidities, BFI score, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) score.

Intervention

Patients enrolled in the study were randomly assigned to receive either SDT (3 g) or matched placebo orally 3 times per day for 3 weeks. All participants took fatigue-relieving education by a professional nurse.

SDT (Desiten, Hanpoong Pharm & Foods Co., Seoul, Korea) was an already approved product for chronic fatigue or remnant fatigue after disease by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety (MFDS) in Korea. SDT granules were a dark brown mixture of spray-dried hot water extracts of 10 medicinal plants consisting of Astragali Radix (10.5%), Panax ginseng radix (10.5%), Atractylodes Rhizoma Alba (10.5%), Poria sclerotium (10.5%), Rehmanniae Radix (10.5%), Angelicae Gigantis Radix (10.5%), Paeonia Radix (10.5%), Cnidii Rhizoma (10.5%), Glycyrrhizae Radix et Rhizoma (5.3%), and Cinnamomi Ramulus (10.5%). Each herb in SDT was quality controlled from their places of origin to the final product by the manufacturing company. A placebo consisting of lactose, corn starch, and caramel coloring was prepared by the same pharmaceutical company, and it has a similar appearance, dose, and scent as SDT.

An education in fatigue relief consisted of 7 domains: knowing reasons for fatigue, gaining insight into fatigue state, energy conservation, nutrition coaching, sleep hygiene education, exercise coaching, and additional methods the patient can try. Participants were educated by a professional nurse and recommended to maintain the education throughout the study period.

Participants in both groups were recommended to maintain the dosage of SDT or placebo throughout the trial period. Dose modification was not scheduled. If participants failed to take more than 70% of SDT or placebo during the trial, the participant was dropped from the study out due to low compliance. If fatigue was completely resolved within the study period, early cessation of the study was also permitted.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measure was the BFI to evaluate the efficacy of 3 weeks of SDT in improving CRF. Patients completed the BFI at baseline, and after week 1, week 2, week 3 (treatment completion), and week 6. The BFI is a 9-item scale validated in the cancer population for the assessment of fatigue severity and impact on function.^{19,20} The secondary outcome measures were depression and anxiety using the HADS, quality of life using the EORTC QLQ-C30, immunoregulatory tests of cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), and lymphocyte subsets (CD3⁺, CD4⁺, CD8⁺, CD4⁺/CD8⁺, and CD16⁺56⁺), and safety. The HADS consists of a 14-item questionnaire measuring depression and anxiety validated in a number of clinical situations and has been widely used for patients with advanced diseases.^{21,22} The EORTC QLQ-C30 is a 30-item measurement that evaluates the overall quality of life in patients with cancer and consists of functional, symptom, and global health status subscales.^{23,24} Peripheral blood samples for lymphocyte subset and cytokine tests were taken from each patient at baseline and after week 3. Cell preparation and assay procedures were conducted by Green Cross Laboratories[©].

Safety Evaluation

Any adverse events were assessed using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE, version 4.1). Laboratory tests, including those for hemoglobin, white blood cell count, platelet count, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, total bilirubin, blood urea nitrogen, and serum creatinine, were performed at the baseline and end of the study. We also followed the World Health Organization-Uppsala Monitoring Center (WHO-UMC) causality assessment system.

Sample Size

As a reference study using the BFI score for SDT was absent when we built the study structure, we calculated the sample size based on the study conducted by Chen²⁵ which used a similar traditional herbal medicine, Bojungikki-tang, for CRF. Both herbal medications share several major ingredients, especially Panax ginseng Radix and Astragalli Radix, and are traditionally used for fatigue. The standard deviation in the former study was 1.95. We estimated that a total of 50 evaluable participants were needed for the 0.05 alpha, 80% power, and 20% dropout rate to detect a difference between groups of 1.8 score in this 1:1 study.

Statistical Analysis

An independent statistician performed the statistical analyses. The intention-to-treat (ITT) principle was used with the last-observation-carried-forward (LOCF) method. All values are presented as mean and standard deviation or N (%) unless stated otherwise. Baseline variables were compared between groups using the independent *t*-test, chi-square test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, or Fisher's exact test. The duration of illness was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The primary outcome was analyzed with the corresponding one-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), considering the baseline scores as covariates. Repeated measures of ANOVA were used to analyze the serial change of BFI to detect inter-group and inter-time differences and group-by-time interactions for ITT analysis. An independent t-test was used to compare changes in scores after performing the Shapiro-Wilk test to confirm the normality of the distribution. If a normal distribution was not achieved, the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. All statistical analyses were 2-sided, and statistical significance was set at 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS), version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 50 patients were recruited for the study and randomly allocated to the SDT and placebo groups in a 1:1 ratio. Three participants in the placebo group discontinued the intervention, as detailed in Figure 1. In total, 47 patients completed



Figure 1. CONSORT diagram.

Abbreviations: SDT, Sipjeondaebo-tang; ITT, intention to treat.

| Table I. | Baseline | Demographic | and Clinical | Characteristics |
|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|

| | SDT arm (n=25) | Placebo arm (n=25) | P-value |
|---|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD) | 56.6 (11.6) | 58.7 (12.5) | .537 |
| Gender, male (n(%)) | 6 (24) | 7 (28) | .747 |
| Weight, mean (SD) (kg) | 60.3 (11.0) | 60.2 (10.4) | .707 |
| BMI, mean (SD) | 23.5 (4.6) | 23.3 (3.6) | .795 |
| Initial BFI | 5.65 (1.11) | 6.03 (1.05) | .225 |
| Duration of illness, median (95%Cl) (m) | 31 (17.5-44.5) | 34 (22.6-45.4) | .913 |
| History of major treatment (Op/CTx/RTx) | 25/18/10 | 23/15/10 | |
| CCI | | | 1.000 |
| 0-3 | 22 | 21 | |
| 4-7 | 3 | 4 | |
| ≥8 | 0 | 0 | |
| Type of cancer (n(%)) | | | .412 |
| Breast | 9 | 10 | |
| Lung | 3 | 0 | |
| Gastrointestinal | 8 | 10 | |
| Head and neck | 2 | 3 | |
| Urogenital | 3 | 2 | |
| Stage | | | 1.000 |
| Locoregional | 24 | 25 | |
| Metastatic | Ι | 0 | |

(continued)

| | SDT arm (n=25) | Placebo arm (n=25) | P-value |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| TNM stage | | | .143 |
| I | 7 | 14 | |
| II | 11 | 6 | |
| 111 | 6 | 5 | |
| IV | I | 0 | |

Table I. (continued)

Abbreviations: n, number; BMI, body mass index; BFI, Brief fatigue inventory; m, months; Op, operation; CTx, chemotherapy; RTx, radiotherapy; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index.

| | SDT arm (n=25) | Placebo arm (n=25) | <i>P</i> -value [‡] |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| BFI | | | |
| Baseline | 5.65 ± 1.11 | 6.03 ± 1.05 | .039* |
| EOT | 3.56 ± 1.18 | 4.63 ± 1.83 | |
| Δ^{\dagger} | $-2.09 \pm 1.74^{*}$ | $-1.40 \pm 1.42^{*}$ | |
| HADS | | | |
| Anxiety | | | |
| Baseline | 7.00 ± 3.21 | $\textbf{7.76} \pm \textbf{3.65}$ | .710 |
| EOT | $\textbf{6.32} \pm \textbf{3.67}$ | 7.24 ± 4.10 | |
| Δ^{\dagger} | -0.68 ± 2.94 | -0.52 ± 2.3 l | |
| Depression | | | |
| Baseline | $\textbf{8.28} \pm \textbf{2.70}$ | 9.16±3.37 | .480 |
| EOT | $\textbf{7.48} \pm \textbf{3.32}$ | $\textbf{8.80} \pm \textbf{4.19}$ | |
| Δ^{\dagger} | -0.80 ± 2.92 | -0.36 ± 2.46 | |
| EORTC-QLQ-C30 | | | |
| Function | | | |
| Baseline | 67.91 ± 12.94 | $\textbf{56.98} \pm \textbf{19.39}$ | .946 |
| EOT | $\textbf{74.26} \pm \textbf{16.63}$ | $\textbf{67.02} \pm \textbf{18.70}$ | |
| Δ^{\dagger} | 6.35 ± 18.00^{st} | 10.05 ± 9.94* | |
| Symptom | | | |
| Baseline | 31.49 ± 11.72 | $\textbf{33.85} \pm \textbf{19.06}$ | .814 |
| EOT | 25.95 ± 14.01 | 28.21 ± 15.63 | |
| Δ^{\dagger} | -5.54 \pm 12.92 st | $-5.64 \pm 11.23^{*}$ | |
| Global health | | | |
| Baseline | $\textbf{46.33} \pm \textbf{15.04}$ | $\textbf{49.00} \pm \textbf{16.19}$ | .020* |
| EOT | $\textbf{61.60} \pm \textbf{18.11}$ | 51.67 ± 19.24 | |
| Δ^\dagger | $15.27 \pm 13.45^{*}$ | 2.67 ± 21.34 | |

Table 2. Outcomes at Baseline and Week 3 for Fatigue, Anxiety, Depression and Quality of Life.

Abbreviations: BFI, brief fatigue inventory; EOT, end of treatment; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; EORTC-QLQ-C30, The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer quality of life questionnaire.

 Δ Changes in scores from baseline.

P-value * means P < .05.

P-value[†] was the P-value analyzed by independent t test or Wilcoxon signed rank test.

P-value[‡] was the P-value analyzed by ANCOVA, considering the baseline scores as covariates.

the trial and 50 were included in the ITT analysis for the primary endpoint. Baseline patient characteristics are provided in Table 1, illustrating that there were no statistically significant differences between the arms in baseline assessed variables.

Efficacy

After 3 weeks of treatment, mean BFI scores decreased significantly in both arms compared with the baseline, and decreased significantly in the SDT arm compared with the placebo arm adjusted for baseline fatigue (P=.04; Table 2). Anxiety and depression did not improve significantly in both arms compared with the baseline, and there was no significant difference between the arms. Patient quality of life, as assessed through the EORTC QLC-C30, improved significantly in both arms compared with the baseline in terms of the functional and symptom scales, but there was no significant difference between the arms. The global



Figure 2. Changes in brief fatigue inventory scores from baseline. Abbreviations: EOT, end of treatment; SDT, Sipjeondaebo-tang.

health status scale improved significantly only in the SDT arm compared with the baseline, and showed a significant difference between the arms (P=.02).

The fatigue level in both arms gradually decreased from the baseline and was at a minimum after week 3, and then rebounded after week 6 (Figure 2). After performing a repeated measures ANOVA, inter-group and inter-time differences of the BFI score from the baseline to week 6 showed significant differences (P=.007 and P<.001, respectively). However, the group-by-time interaction difference did not show a significant difference (P=.487).

Lymphocyte subset and cytokine tests showed no significant differences in both arms compared with the baseline, and between the arms adjusted for baseline, as shown in Table 3.

Safety

No serious adverse events related to SDT or placebo administration occurred during the study period. All laboratory results, including liver and renal function, were normal after SDT administration. However, 1 participant in the SDT group experienced grade 1 dyspepsia, which subsided within 3 days without any rescue treatment. One participant in the placebo group complained of pruritus, assessed as grade 1 according to the CTCAE. Although this was the reason why the participant in the placebo group ended the clinical trial, the pruritus also subsided within 3 days.

Discussion

In this randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, preliminary study, we found that SDT administration for 3 weeks improved CRF as measured by the BFI scale. Every participant in the SDT group ended the trial successfully without any serious adverse events.

Fatigue is the most common cancer-related symptom, and persistent fatigue can present in cancer survivors, patients with geriatric cancer, patients with cancer who received surgery or chemotherapy, and patients in an advanced stage, regardless of tumor type.²⁶ As cancer progresses, the incidence of CRF typically increases. At the end of life, it is estimated that 90% of patients with cancer experience fatigue.²⁷ As pharmacological interventions for CRF, erythropoietin and methylphenidate have shown some efficacy in fatigue reduction; however, concerns about the safety of these agents, including tumor progression, sleep disruption, and decreased appetite, might limit their usefulness.²⁸⁻³⁰

SDT has been used to improve the following conditions; poor physical strength, fatigue/malaise, loss of appetite, night sweats, coldness of hands and feet, and anemia.¹² SDT alleviated hematotoxicity in patients with breast cancer receiving chemotherapy without counteracting the effect of their treatment,³¹ and improved the progression-free survival of patients with postoperative recurrence of non-small cell lung cancer by preventing nutritional deterioration induced by adverse effects of anti-cancer drugs.¹²

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | SDT arm (n=25) | Placebo arm (n=25) | <i>P</i> -value [‡] |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Baseline 2.28 ± 2.55 2.35 ± 2.67 $.676$ EOT 1.98 ± 2.00 2.21 ± 2.26 676 COT 1.98 ± 2.00 2.21 ± 2.26 676 Baseline 0.79 ± 0.29 0.82 ± 0.28 663 EOT 0.83 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 663 EOT 0.83 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 633 CD3 (court) Baseline 1311.08 ± 410.52 1280.44 ± 401.47 618 CD1 (court) Baseline 131.08 ± 232.28 131.576 ± 445.24 618 CD3 (court) Baseline 64.66 ± 11.85 68.36 ± 8.99 244 EOT 65.86 ± 1.05 68.13 ± 9.54 20 ± 3.78 -0.24 ± 3.15 CD4 (court) EOT $76.13 \pm 2.23.36$ 789.52 ± 284.77 417 Baseline 73.34 ± 8.91 40.24 ± 6.32 904 EOT 78.54 ± 279.93 756.12 ± 24.246 419 EOT 78.34 ± 8.91 40.24 ± 6.32 904 EOT 73 | IL-6 (pg/mL) | | | |
| EOT 1.98 2.00 2.21 ± 2.26 Δ^1 -0.31 \pm 1.80 -0.14 \pm 2.27 TNF-a (pg/mL) Baseline 0.79 \pm 0.29 0.82 \pm 0.28 .663 EOT 0.83 \pm 0.27 0.87 \pm 0.28 .663 EOT 0.03 \pm 0.24 0.05 \pm 0.17 .618 CD3 (count) Baseline 1311.08 \pm 410.92 1280.44 \pm 401.47 .618 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 1315.76 \pm 445.24 .633 Δ^1 5840.00 \pm 172605.45 35320.00 \pm 19710.36 CD3 (%) Baseline 64.66 \pm 11.85 68.36 \pm 8.99 .244 EOT 65.86 \pm 11.05 68.13 \pm 9.54 .41 A ¹ 1.20 \pm 3.78 -0.24 \pm 3.15 .24 CD4 (count) E E .240 \pm 12.008 3.3.40 \pm 150.51 CD4 (count) .200 \pm 12.008 3.3.40 \pm 150.51 .241 .241 CD4 (count) .200 \pm 12.008 3.3.40 \pm 150.51 .241 .241 .241 CD4 (count) .200 \pm 12.03 .604.60.60 \pm 17.56 <td>Baseline</td> <td>$\textbf{2.28} \pm \textbf{2.55}$</td> <td>$\textbf{2.35} \pm \textbf{2.67}$</td> <td>.676</td> | Baseline | $\textbf{2.28} \pm \textbf{2.55}$ | $\textbf{2.35} \pm \textbf{2.67}$ | .676 |
| Λ^{\dagger} -0.31 ± 1.80 -0.14 ± 2.27 TNF-a (pg/mL) Baseline 0.79 ± 0.29 0.82 ± 0.28 .663 EOT 0.03 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 .663 EOT 0.03 ± 0.24 .005 \pm 0.17 .618 CD3 (count) methods .1311.08 \pm 410.92 .1280.44 \pm 401.47 .618 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 .1315.76 \pm 445.24 .41 .618 CD3 (x) methods .1280.41 \pm 401.47 .618 .613 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 .313.76 \pm 445.24 .41 .613 .56 CD3 (x) methods .68.36 \pm 8.99 .244 .41 .20 \pm 37.8 .024 \pm 3.15 .41 EOT .65.86 \pm 11.05 .66.13 \pm 9.54 .41 .41 .20 \pm 37.8 .024 \pm 3.15 .41 EOT .761.36 \pm 223.36 .789.52 \pm 284.47 .41 .20 \pm 37.5 .41 .20 \pm 3.15 .21 .41 .20 \pm 3.15 .21 .41 .21 .24 .41 .24 .24 .24 .2 | EOT | 1.98 ± 2.00 | 2.21 ± 2.26 | |
| TNF-a (pg/mL) Baseline 0.79 ± 0.29 0.82 ± 0.28 6.63 EOT 0.83 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 Δ^{\dagger} 0.03 ± 0.24 0.05 ± 0.17 CD3 (count) Baseline 1311.08 ± 410.92 1280.44 ± 401.47 6.18 EOT 1316.92 ± 352.28 1315.76 ± 4452.4 Δ^{\dagger} 5840.00 ± 172.605.45 35320.00 ± 14957.0.36 CD3 (%) Baseline 64.66 ± 11.85 68.36 ± 8.99 2.44 EOT 65.86 ± 11.05 68.13 ± 9.54 Δ^{\dagger} 1.20 ± 3.78 -0.24 ± 3.15 CD4 (count) Baseline 758.56 ± 279.93 75.612 ± 242.46 4.19 EOT 761.36 ± 223.36 789.52 ± 284.77 Δ^{\dagger} 2.80 ± 120.08 33.40 ± 150.51 CD4 (%) EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 Δ^{\dagger} 0.90 ± 3.53 0.64 ± 4.71 CD8 (count) EOT 473.84 ± 231.49 46.32 .904 EOT 33.60 ± 102.08 30.8 ± 8.55 Δ^{\dagger} 0.90 ± 3.53 0.64 ± 4.71 CD8 (count) Baseline 476.44 ± 240.93 460.60 ± 217.56 .809 EOT 473.84 ± 231.49 463.86 ± 262.05 Δ^{\dagger} 0.90 ± 3.53 0.64 ± 4.71 CD8 (count) Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 .206 CD7 43.84 ± 231.49 463.86 ± 262.05 Δ^{\dagger} 0.012 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 CD6 (%) Baseline 395.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 .499 EOT 473.24 ± 20.194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} 0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 CD16 + 56 (count) Baseline 295.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 .499 EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} 0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 CD16 + 56 (count) Baseline 295.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 .499 EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} 0.13 ± 4.88 .05 ± 4.58 CD/40 rito Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.658 ± 7.37 .382 EOT 189.14 .024 16.94 ± 20.31 .342 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} 0.13 ± 4.48 .035 ± 4.58 CD/40 rito Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.658 ± 7.37 .382 EOT 189.14 ± 0.46 ± 4.94 .403 ± 0.46 ± 4.94 .403 ± 0.46 ± 4.94 .403 ± 0.46 ± 0.93 ± 0.46 ± | Δ^{\dagger} | -0.31 ± 1.80 | -0.14 ± 2.27 | |
| Baseline 0.79 ± 0.29 0.82 ± 0.28 663 EOT 0.33 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 633 CD3 (count) | TNF-a (pg/mL) | | | |
| EOT 0.83 ± 0.27 0.87 ± 0.28 Δ^1 0.03 ± 0.24 0.05 ± 0.17 Baseline 1311.08 \pm 410.92 1280.44 \pm 401.47 .618 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 1315.76 \pm 445.24 .618 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 1315.76 \pm 445.24 .618 EOT 1316.92 \pm 352.28 1315.76 \pm 445.24 .618 EOT 64.66 \pm 11.85 68.36 \pm 8.99 .244 EOT 65.86 \pm 11.05 68.13 \pm 9.54 .41 CD4 (count) | Baseline | $\textbf{0.79} \pm \textbf{0.29}$ | $\textbf{0.82}\pm\textbf{0.28}$ | .663 |
| Λ^{\dagger} 0.03 ± 0.24 0.05 ± 0.17 CD3 (count) | EOT | $\textbf{0.83}\pm\textbf{0.27}$ | $\textbf{0.87}\pm\textbf{0.28}$ | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Δ^{\dagger} | 0.03 ± 0.24 | 0.05 ± 0.17 | |
| Baseline1311.08 \pm 410.921280.44 \pm 401.47.618EOT131.63 \pm 352.281315.76 \pm 445.24 | CD3 (count) | | | |
| EOT1316.92 \pm 352.281315.76 \pm 445.24 Λ^1 5840.00 \pm 172605.4535320.00 \pm 199710.36CD3 (%)Baseline64.66 \pm 11.8568.36 \pm 8.99.244EOT65.86 \pm 11.0568.13 \pm 9.54 Λ^1 12.0 \pm 3.78-0.24 \pm 3.15CD4 (count) </td <td>Baseline</td> <td>1311.08±410.92</td> <td>1280.44 ± 401.47</td> <td>.618</td> | Baseline | 1311.08±410.92 | 1280.44 ± 401.47 | .618 |
| Δ^{\dagger} 5840.00 ± 172605.45 35320.00 ± 199710.36 CD3 (%) | EOT | 1316.92 ± 352.28 | 1315.76 ± 445.24 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} {\rm CD3} (\%) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | Δ^{\dagger} | 5840.00 \pm 172605.45 | 35320.00 ± 199710.36 | |
| Baseline 64.66 ± 11.85 68.36 ± 8.99 $.244$ EOT 65.86 ± 11.05 68.13 ± 9.54 Δ^{\dagger} 1.20 ± 3.78 -0.24 ± 3.15 CD4 (count) \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} Baseline 758.56 ± 279.93 756.12 ± 242.46 $.419$ EOT 761.36 ± 223.36 789.52 ± 284.77 \mathbf{z} CD4 (%) \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} $.904$ Baseline 37.34 ± 8.91 40.24 ± 6.32 $.904$ EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 $.064 \pm 4.71$ CD8 (count) \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} $.904 \pm 20.93$ Baseline 476.44 ± 240.93 460.60 ± 217.56 $.809$ Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 ± 68.04 $.308 \pm 98.35$ $.206$ CD8 (count) \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z} $.904 \pm 3.63 \pm 262.05$ $.809$ Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 $.206$ Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 ± 68.04 $.308 \pm 98.35$ $.206$ CD7 23.60 ± 10.44 23.85 ± 8.93 $.206$ Δ^{\dagger} -0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 $.206$ CD1 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 $.489$ EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 $.469$ EOT 18.91 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 $.47$ Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 $.035 \pm 4.58$ $.200 \pm 4.58$ CD4(8 ratio $.187 \pm 0.86$ $.186 \pm 0.79$ $.401$ EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.89 ± 0.86 $.168 \pm 0.79$ $.401$ EOT $.191 \pm 0.89$ 1.89 ± 0.86 $.168 \pm 0.7$ | CD3 (%) | | | |
| EOT 65.86 ± 11.05 68.13 ± 9.54 Δ^{\dagger} 1.20 ± 3.78 -0.24 ± 3.15 CD4 (count)Baseline 758.56 ± 279.93 756.12 ± 242.46 $A19$ EOT 761.36 ± 223.36 789.52 ± 284.77 Δ^{\dagger} Δ^{\dagger} 2.80 ± 120.08 33.40 ± 150.51 CD4 (%)Baseline 37.34 ± 8.91 40.24 ± 6.32 .904EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 .904EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 .904CD8 (count)Baseline 476.44 ± 240.93 460.60 ± 217.56 .809EOT 473.84 ± 231.49 463.68 ± 262.05 .904 Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 ± 68.04 3.08 ± 98.35 .904CD8 (%).904Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 .206EOT 23.60 ± 10.44 23.85 ± 8.93 .904 Δ^{\dagger} -0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 .904CD16 + 56 (count).926.934.28 \pm 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} $-0.24 \oplus 160.84$ 23.92 ± 129.74 .934CD16 + 56 (%).926.934.28 \pm 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} -1.3 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 .934CD16 + 56 (%).935 \pm 4.58Baseline 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 .382EOT 1.89 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 .458CD4/8 ratio.945 \pm 0.86Baseline | Baseline | 64.66 ± 11.85 | 68.36 ± 8.99 | .244 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \Delta^{\dagger} & 1.20 \pm 3.78 & -0.24 \pm 3.15 \\ \mbox{CD4 (count)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | EOT | 65.86 ± 11.05 | 68.13±9.54 | |
| $\begin{array}{c} {\rm CD4 \ (count)} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | Δ^{\dagger} | 1.20 ± 3.78 | -0.24 ± 3.15 | |
| Baseline758.56 \pm 279.93756.12 \pm 242.46.419EOT761.36 \pm 223.36789.52 \pm 284.77 | CD4 (count) | | | |
| EOT 761.36 ± 223.36 789.52 ± 284.77 Δ^{\dagger} 2.80 ± 120.08 33.40 ± 150.51 CD4 (%) $83800000000000000000000000000000000000$ | Baseline | 758.56 ± 279.93 | 756.12 ± 242.46 | .419 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | EOT | 761.36 ± 223.36 | 789.52 ± 284.77 | |
| $\begin{array}{c} {\rm CD4} (\%) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$ | Δ^{\dagger} | 2.80 ± 120.08 | 33.40 ± 150.51 | |
| Baseline 37.34 ± 8.91 40.24 ± 6.32 .904EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 Δ^{\dagger} 0.90 ± 3.53 0.64 ± 4.71 CD8 (count) $Baseline$ 476.44 ± 240.93 460.60 ± 217.56 .809EOT 473.84 ± 231.49 463.68 ± 262.05 Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 ± 68.04 3.08 ± 98.35 CD8 (%) $Baseline$ 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 CD8 (%) $Baseline$ 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 CD16 + 56 (count) $Baseline$ 395.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 Baseline 395.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 EOT 372.02 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} -23.40 ± 160.84 23.92 ± 129.74 CD16 + 56 (%) $Baseline$ 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 Baseline 20.04 ± 9.86 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 CD4/8 ratio $Baseline$ 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 CD4/8 ratio 1.91 ± 0.99 0.91 ± 0.47 Baseline 1.87 ± 0.80 1.98 ± 0.86 CDT $1.91 \pm $ | CD4 (%) | | | |
| EOT 38.24 ± 8.46 40.88 ± 8.55 Δ^{\dagger} 0.90 ± 3.53 0.64 ± 4.71 CD8 (count)Baseline 476.44 ± 240.93 460.60 ± 217.56 809 EOT 473.84 ± 231.49 463.68 ± 262.05 Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 ± 68.04 3.08 ± 98.35 CD8 (%)EOT 23.60 ± 10.44 23.85 ± 8.93 206 Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 $.206$ EOT 23.60 ± 10.44 23.85 ± 8.93 206 Δ^{\dagger} -0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 $CD16 + 56$ (count)Baseline 395.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 $.489$ EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} Δ^{\dagger} -23.40 ± 160.84 23.92 ± 129.74 $CD16 + 56$ (%)Baseline 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 $.382$ CDT 18.91 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 CD4/8 ratioEOT 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 $.401$ Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 $.401$ EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 | Baseline | 37.34 ± 8.91 | 40.24 ± 6.32 | .904 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | EOT | 38.24 ± 8.46 | 40.88 ± 8.55 | |
| $\begin{array}{c c} \text{CD8 (count)} \\ \text{Baseline} & 476.44 \pm 240.93 & 460.60 \pm 217.56 & .809 \\ \text{EOT} & 473.84 \pm 231.49 & 463.68 \pm 262.05 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -2.60 \pm 68.04 & 3.08 \pm 98.35 \\ \hline \text{CD8 (\%)} \\ \text{Baseline} & 23.72 \pm 11.17 & 24.75 \pm 8.59 & .206 \\ \text{EOT} & 23.60 \pm 10.44 & 23.85 \pm 8.93 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -0.12 \pm 1.67 & -0.09 \pm 2.37 \\ \hline \text{CD16 + 56 (count)} \\ \hline \text{Baseline} & 395.60 \pm 229.56 & 310.36 \pm 183.39 & .489 \\ \text{EOT} & 372.20 \pm 194.08 & 334.28 \pm 229.39 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -23.40 \pm 160.84 & 23.92 \pm 129.74 \\ \hline \text{CD16 + 56 (\%)} \\ \hline \text{Baseline} & 20.04 \pm 9.86 & 16.58 \pm 7.37 & .382 \\ \text{EOT} & 18.91 \pm 9.04 & 16.94 \pm 9.03 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -1.13 \pm 4.48 & 0.35 \pm 4.58 \\ \hline \text{CD4/8 ratio} \\ \hline \text{Baseline} & 1.87 \pm 0.86 & 1.86 \pm 0.79 & .401 \\ \hline \text{EOT} & 1.91 \pm 0.89 & 1.98 \pm 0.86 \\ \hline \text{t} & 0.012 \pm 0.47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Δ^{\dagger} | 0.90 ± 3.53 | 0.64 ± 4.71 | |
| Baseline476.44 \pm 240.93460.60 \pm 217.56.809EOT473.84 \pm 231.49463.68 \pm 262.05 Δ^{\dagger} -2.60 \pm 68.043.08 \pm 98.35CD8 (%)Baseline23.72 \pm 11.1724.75 \pm 8.59.206EOT23.60 \pm 10.4423.85 \pm 8.93 Δ^{\dagger} -0.12 \pm 1.67-0.09 \pm 2.37CD16 \pm 56 (count)Baseline395.60 \pm 229.56310.36 \pm 183.39.489EOT372.20 \pm 194.08334.28 \pm 229.39.489EOT372.20 \pm 194.08334.28 \pm 229.39.47CD16 \pm 56 (%) </td <td>CD8 (count)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | CD8 (count) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Baseline | 476.44 ± 240.93 | 460.60 ± 217.56 | .809 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | EOT | 473.84 ± 231.49 | 463.68 ± 262.05 | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Δ^{\dagger} | -2.60 ± 68.04 | 3.08 ± 98.35 | |
| Baseline 23.72 ± 11.17 24.75 ± 8.59 .206EOT 23.60 ± 10.44 23.85 ± 8.93 Δ^{\dagger} -0.12 ± 1.67 -0.09 ± 2.37 CD16 + 56 (count) $Baseline$ 395.60 ± 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 .489EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} -23.40 ± 160.84 23.92 ± 129.74 CD16 + 56 (%) $Baseline$ 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 .382EOT 18.91 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 CD4/8 ratio $Baseline$ 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 .401EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 47 | CD8 (%) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Baseline | 23.72 ± 11.17 | 24.75 ± 8.59 | .206 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | EOT | 23.60 ± 10.44 | 23.85 ± 8.93 | |
| $\begin{array}{c c} \text{CD16} \pm 56 \ (\text{count}) \\ \text{Baseline} & 395.60 \pm 229.56 & 310.36 \pm 183.39 & .489 \\ \text{EOT} & 372.20 \pm 194.08 & 334.28 \pm 229.39 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -23.40 \pm 160.84 & 23.92 \pm 129.74 \\ \text{CD16} \pm 56 \ (\%) \\ \text{Baseline} & 20.04 \pm 9.86 & 16.58 \pm 7.37 & .382 \\ \text{EOT} & 18.91 \pm 9.04 & 16.94 \pm 9.03 \\ \Delta^{\dagger} & -1.13 \pm 4.48 & 0.35 \pm 4.58 \\ \text{CD4/8 ratio} \\ \text{Baseline} & 1.87 \pm 0.86 & 1.86 \pm 0.79 & .401 \\ \text{EOT} & 1.91 \pm 0.89 & 1.98 \pm 0.86 \\ \pm 1.91 \pm 0.47 & 0.12 \pm 0.47 \\ \end{array}$ | Δ^{\dagger} | -0.12±1.67 | -0.09 ± 2.37 | |
| Baseline395.60 \pm 229.56 310.36 ± 183.39 .489EOT 372.20 ± 194.08 334.28 ± 229.39 Δ^{\dagger} -23.40 ± 160.84 23.92 ± 129.74 CD16 + 56 (%) $Baseline$ 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 Baseline 20.04 ± 9.86 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 CD4/8 ratio $Baseline$ 1.87 ± 0.86 Baseline 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 | CD16 + 56 (count) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Baseline | 395.60 ± 229.56 | 310.36 ± 183.39 | .489 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | EOT | 372.20 ± 194.08 | 334.28 ± 229.39 | |
| $\begin{array}{c c} {\rm CD16 \pm 56} \ (\%) \\ {\rm Baseline} & 20.04 \pm 9.86 & 16.58 \pm 7.37 & .382 \\ {\rm EOT} & 18.91 \pm 9.04 & 16.94 \pm 9.03 \\ {\rm \Delta^{\dagger}} & -1.13 \pm 4.48 & 0.35 \pm 4.58 \\ {\rm CD4/8\ ratio} \\ {\rm Baseline} & 1.87 \pm 0.86 & 1.86 \pm 0.79 & .401 \\ {\rm EOT} & 1.91 \pm 0.89 & 1.98 \pm 0.86 \\ {\rm t^{\dagger}} & 0.02 \pm 0.10 & 0.12 \pm 0.47 \\ \end{array}$ | Δ^{\dagger} | -23.40 ± 160.84 | 23.92 ± 129.74 | |
| Baseline 20.04 ± 9.86 16.58 ± 7.37 .382EOT 18.91 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 CD4/8 ratio $Baseline$ 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 | CD16 + 56 (%) | | | |
| EOT 18.91 ± 9.04 16.94 ± 9.03 Δ^{\dagger} -1.13 ± 4.48 0.35 ± 4.58 CD4/8 ratio Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 .401 EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 1.98 ± 0.47 | Baseline | 20.04 ± 9.86 | 16.58±7.37 | .382 |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \Delta^{\dagger} & -1.13 \pm 4.48 & 0.35 \pm 4.58 \\ \hline \text{CD4/8 ratio} & & & & \\ \text{Baseline} & 1.87 \pm 0.86 & 1.86 \pm 0.79 & .401 \\ \hline \text{EOT} & 1.91 \pm 0.89 & 1.98 \pm 0.86 \\ \hline \Delta^{\dagger} & & & 0.02 \pm 0.47 \end{array}$ | EOT | 18.91 ± 9.04 | 16.94 ± 9.03 | |
| CD4/8 ratio I.87 \pm 0.86 I.86 \pm 0.79 .401 Baseline I.91 \pm 0.89 I.98 \pm 0.86 .401 EOT I.91 \pm 0.89 I.98 \pm 0.86 .401 | Λ^{\dagger} | -1.13 ± 4.48 | 0.35 ± 4.58 | |
| Baseline 1.87 ± 0.86 1.86 ± 0.79 .401 EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 | CD4/8 ratio | | | |
| EOT 1.91 ± 0.89 1.98 ± 0.86 | Baseline | 1.87 ± 0.86 | l.86 ± 0.79 | .401 |
| | EOT | 1.91 ± 0.89 | 1.98 ± 0.86 | |
| Λ^{1} 0.03 ± 0.19 0.12 ± 0.47 | Λ^{\dagger} | 0.03 ± 0.19 | 0.12 ± 0.47 | |

Table 3. Lymphocyte and Cytokine Levels at Baseline and After 3 weeks of Study.

Abbreviations: IL, interleukin; EOT, end of trial; TNF-a, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; CD, cluster of differentiation.

 Δ Changes in scores from baseline.

P-value[†] was the *P*-value analyzed by independent t test or Wilcoxon signed rank test.

P-value[‡] was the P-value analyzed by ANCOVA, considering the baseline scores as covariates.

Our results support the findings of another clinical study that showed SDT to be effective in improving CRF in patients with lung cancer after chemotherapy.³² However, in contrast to this prior study, in which the control group was patients that received the usual care, our study was conducted as a placebo-controlled study with validated measures for CRF assessment.

Other prior studies showed that SDT augmented immune function by inducing natural killer cell activity, decreasing regulatory T cells, and enhancing quality of life.¹³⁻¹⁷ However,

our results showed that SDT did not improve quality of life as measured by the EORTC QLQ-C30, except for the global health status scale, and did not affect lymphocyte or cytokine levels. The median duration from cancer treatment including surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy in all participants in this study was 32.5 months, which implied that fatigue was not related to cancer treatment, and the participants had good performance status with ECOG 0-1. Future studies should address the potential effects of SDT on quality of life or immune function as primary outcome measurements.

Panax ginseng and Astragalus included in SDT are considered the main herbs for alleviating CRF. The systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials indicate that ginseng is safe and efficacious in reducing fatigue^{33,34}; however, the efficacy of ginseng against CRF is controversial and requires further research.³⁵ Moreover, Astragalus polysaccharide injections relieve CRF among patients with advanced cancer,^{36,37} and have been reported as a promising edible immunomodulatory herbal medicine.³⁸ Additionally, Astragalus-based herbal medicines combined with platinum-based chemotherapies may improve survival, increase tumor response, and reduce chemotherapy toxicity compared to platinum-based chemotherapies alone.³⁹

There are some limitations to this study. First, the enrolled patients had heterogeneity in their cancer type. Although CRF is a common cancer-related symptom regardless of cancer type, the refining criteria for eligibility should be addressed in future research. Second, the duration of SDT administration and the follow-up period in this study were short owing to concerns about low compliance.

In conclusion, we found that SDT can be an effective therapeutic option in reducing CRF in patients with cancer. Larger long-term efficacy and safety studies should be conducted in the future.

Authors' Note

This trial was registered with the Clinical Research Information Service (CRIS), Republic of Korea, ID: KCT0003442.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was supported by a grant the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (HF20C0038).

ORCID iDs

Jee Young Lee D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1080-1915 Eun Hye Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5803-1737 Seong Woo Yoon D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8001-1839

References

- Mock V, Atkinson A, Barsevick A, et al.; National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN practice guidelines for cancer-related fatigue. *Oncology*. 2000;14:151-161.
- Mock V. Fatigue management: evidence and guidelines for practice. *Cancer*. 2001;92:1699-1707.
- Fulton C, Knowles G. Cancer fatigue. Eur J Cancer Care. 2000;9:167-171.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: cancer-related fatigue. 2020. Accessed January 2, 2021. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/fatigue.pdf
- Kirshbaum M. Cancer-related fatigue: a review of nursing interventions. *Br J Commun Nurs*. 2010;15:214-NaN6, 218.
- Wu HS, Harden JK. Symptom burden and quality of life in survivorship: a review of the literature. *Cancer Nurs*. 2015;38:E29-E54.
- Sood A, Barton DL, Bauer BA, Loprinzi CL. A critical review of complementary therapies for cancer-related fatigue. *Integr Cancer Ther.* 2007;6:8-13.
- Cramp F, Byron-Daniel J. Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;11:CD006145.
- Vogelzang NJ, Breitbart W, Cella D, et al. Patient, caregiver, and oncologist perceptions of cancer-related fatigue: results of a tripart assessment survey. The fatigue coalition. *Semin Hematol.* 1997;34:4-12.
- Quinten C, Maringwa J, Gotay CC, et al. Patient self-reports of symptoms and clinician ratings as predictors of overall cancer survival. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2011;103:1851-1858.
- Bower JE. Cancer-related fatigue-mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2014;11:597-609.
- Kawai H, Saito Y. Combination of Juzentaihoto and chemotherapy improves the prognosis of patients with postoperative recurrence of non-small cell lung cancer. *Mol Clin Oncol.* 2020;13:13.
- Ishikawa S, Ishikawa T, Tezuka C, Asano K, Sunagawa M, Hisamitsu T. Efficacy of Juzentaihoto for tumor immunotherapy in B16 Melanoma metastasis model. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2017;2017:1-8.
- Ikemoto T, Shimada M, Iwahashi S, et al. Changes of immunological parameters with administration of Japanese Kampo medicine (Juzen-Taihoto/TJ-48) in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2014;19:81-86.
- Saiki I, Koizumi K, Goto H, et al. The long-term effects of a kampo medicine, juzentaihoto, on maintenance of antibody titer in elderly people after influenza vaccination. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:568074.
- Ishiura Y, Shiba Y, Terasaki Y, et al. Effect of Japanese traditional medicine, TJ-48, on the quality of life of patients with non-small cell lung cancer receiving outpatient chemotherapy. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho*. 2016;43:331-334.

- Cheon C, Yoo JE, Yoo HS, et al. Efficacy and safety of Sipjeondaebo-Tang for anorexia in patients with cancer: a pilot, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2017;2017:1-9.
- Shin S, Park SJ, Hwang M. Effectiveness a herbal medicine (Sipjeondaebo-tang) on adults with chronic fatigue syndrome: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Integr Med Res.* 2021;10:100664.
- Mendoza TR, Wang XS, Cleeland CS, et al. The rapid assessment of fatigue severity in cancer patients: use of the brief fatigue inventory. *Cancer*. 1999;85:1186-1196.
- Yun YH, Wang XS, Lee JS, et al. Validation study of the korean version of the brief fatigue inventory. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2005;29:165-172.
- Carroll BT, Kathol RG, Noyes R Jr, Wald TG, Clamon GH. Screening for depression and anxiety in cancer patients using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 1993;15:69-74.
- Oh SM, Min KJ, Park DB. A study on the standardization of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale for Koreans: a comparison of normal, depressed and anxious groups. *J Korean Neuropsychiatr Assoc.* 1999;38:289-296.
- Knobel H, Loge JH, Brenne E, Fayers P, Hjermstad MJ, Kaasa S. The validity of EORTC QLQ-C30 fatigue scale in advanced cancer patients and cancer survivors. *Palliat Med.* 2003;17:664-672.
- 24. Yun YH, Park YS, Lee ES, et al. Validation of the Korean version of the EORTC QLQ-C30. *Qual Life Res.* 2004;13: 863-868.
- Chen L. Oral administration of traditional Chinese medicine for post-operative fatigue in breast cancer patient. *J Tradit Chin Med Pharm.* 2011;17:89-90.
- 26. Cleeland CS, Zhao F, Chang VT, et al. The symptom burden of cancer: evidence for a core set of cancer-related and treatment-related symptoms from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group symptom outcomes and practice patterns study. *Cancer*. 2013;119:4333-4340.
- 27. Tsai JS, Wu CH, Chiu TY, Hu WY, Chen CY. Symptom patterns of advanced cancer patients in a palliative care unit. *Palliat Med.* 2006;20:617-622.
- Tomlinson D, Robinson PD, Oberoi S, et al. Pharmacologic interventions for fatigue in cancer and transplantation: a metaanalysis. *Curr Oncol.* 2018;25:e152-e167.

- Chan KK, Matchett KB, Coulter JA, et al. Erythropoietin drives breast cancer progression by activation of its receptor EPOR. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:38251-38263.
- Storebø OJ, Ramstad E, Krogh HB, et al. Methylphenidate for children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;11:CD009885.
- Huang SM, Chien LY, Tai CJ, Chiou JF, Chen CS, Tai CJ. Effectiveness of 3-week intervention of Shi Quan Da Bu Tang for alleviating hematotoxicity among patients with breast carcinoma receiving chemotherapy. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2013;12:136-144.
- Xiao H, Wencheng C, Xueying P, Yin T, Yu L. Effects and role mechanism of Shiquan Dabu decoction on improving cancer-related fatigue in patients with lung cancer after chemotherapy. *J Liaoning Univ.* 2019;21:139-141.
- Bach HV, Kim J, Myung SK, Cho YA. Efficacy of Ginseng supplements on fatigue and physical performance: a metaanalysis. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2016;31:1879-1886.
- Sadeghian M, Rahmani S, Zendehdel M, Hosseini SA, Zare Javid A. Ginseng and cancer-related fatigue: a systematic review of clinical trials. *Nutr Cancer*. 2021;73: 1270-1281.
- 35. Yennurajalingam S, Tannir NM, Williams JL, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of Panax Ginseng for cancer-related fatigue in patients with advanced cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2017;15:1111-1120.
- Chen HW, Lin IH, Chen YJ, et al. A novel infusible botanically-derived drug, PG2, for cancer-related fatigue: a phase II double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled study. *Clin Invest Med.* 2012;35:E1-11.
- Wang CH, Lin CY, Chen JS, et al. Karnofsky performance status as a predictive factor for cancer-related fatigue treatment with Astragalus Polysaccharides (PG2) injection-a double blind, multi-center, randomized phase IV study. *Cancers*. 2019;11:128.
- Chen Z, Liu L, Gao C, et al. Astragali Radix (Huangqi): a promising edible immunomodulatory herbal medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2020;258:112895.
- McCulloch M, See C, Shu XJ, et al. Astragalus-based Chinese herbs and platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:419-430.