#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

#### IFAR: Allergy Rhinolog

# The taste of the pandemic—contemporary review on the current state of research on gustation in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)

Constantin A. Hintschich MD<sup>1</sup> Masha Y. Niv PhD<sup>2</sup> Thomas Hummel MD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup> The Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>3</sup> Smell & Taste Clinic, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany

#### Correspondence

Constantin A. Hintschich, MD, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University of Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauss-Allee 11, 93053 Regensburg, Germany. Email: constantin.hintschich@ klinik.uni-regensburg.de

**Funding information** Thomas Hummel is funded by EXUtranscelerator B3 grant, TU Dresden.

#### Abstract

Subjectively perceived impairment of taste is a common and distinct symptom of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Large meta-analyses identified this symptom in approximately 50% of cases. However, this high prevalence is not supported by blinded and validated psychophysical gustatory testing, which showed a much lower prevalence in up to 26% of patients. This discrepancy may be due to misinterpretation of impaired retronasal olfaction as gustatory dysfunction. In addition, we hypothesized that COVID-19–associated hyposmia is involved in the decrease of gustatory function, as found for hyposmia of different origin. This indirect mechanism would be based on the central-nervous mutual amplification between the chemical senses, which fails in COVID-19–associated olfactory loss. However, further research is necessary on how severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) may directly impair the gustatory pathway as well as its subjective perception.

**K E Y W O R D S** ageusia, COVID-19, gustation, smell, taste

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus severe acute respiratory syndromecoronavirus-2(SARS-CoV-2) was described for the first time in December 2019 and still keeps the entire world in suspense with massive medical, economic, and social consequences. The symptoms of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are very diverse and not always specific, but the sudden onset of a subjective loss of smell or taste is highly suspicious of COVID-19 especially if the nose is not blocked.<sup>1–5</sup> During the last year, knowledge about olfactory loss in COVID-19 has steadily grown. Several studies confirmed self-reported hyposmia through psychophysical testing.<sup>6,7</sup> The impaired sense of smell has been shown to improve within 1 to 2 months in most of the patients<sup>8</sup> and appears to persist in 5% to 20%.<sup>9</sup> A potential pathomechanism has been proposed: The angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and the serine protease transmembrane protease, serine 2 (TMPRSS2) facilitate viral invasion of non-neuronal cells of the olfactory epithelium.<sup>10,11</sup> Olfactory neurons, at least in mice, are presumably damaged indirectly.<sup>12</sup>

This situation is less conclusive for gustatory dysfunction as a consequence of COVID-19. Many questions about this symptom remain unclear. In the following, we outline the current state of research and discuss potential pathomechanisms of hypogeusia in COVID-19.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. *International Forum of Allergy & Rhinology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Academy of Otolaryngic Allergy and American Rhinologic Society

Studies on psychophysical tested gustation in COVID-19

TABLE 1

| Study   | z                   | Prevalence of<br>psychophysically<br>tested hypogeusia<br>(%) | Prevalence of<br>subjective taste<br>impairment<br>(%) | Level of<br>evidence   | Type of test <sup>a</sup> | Test  |
|---|---------------------|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Bocksberger et al. <sup>23</sup> (2020)   | 10                  | 0   | 1  | 4                      | 1                         | 4-item suprathreshold Taste Strips test                                     |
| Cao et al. <sup>24</sup> (2021)   | 8                   | þ   | 73   | 2b                     | 1                         | 20-item threshold SA-WETT test  |
| Hintschich et al. <sup>25</sup> (2020)  | 41                  | 20  | 44   | 3b                     | 1                         | 4-item suprathreshold Taste Strips test                                     |
| Le Bon et al. <sup>27</sup> (2021)  | 93                  | 12  | 48   | 2b                     | 1                         | 16-item threshold Taste Strips test   |
| Niklassen et al. <sup>7</sup> (2021)  | 61                  | 26  | I  | 3b                     | 1                         | 16 item threshold Taste Strips test / 4-item suprathreshold taste spay test |
| Singer-Cornelius et al. <sup>30</sup><br>(2021)   | 39                  | 26  | 100  | 2b                     | 1                         | 16-item threshold Taste Strips test   |
| Konstantinidis et al. <sup>26</sup><br>(2020)   | 39                  | I   | 1  | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Mazzatenta et al. <sup>28</sup> (2020)  | 100                 | 47  | 85   | 4                      | 0                         | 2-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Petrocelli et al. <sup>29</sup> (2020)  | 300                 | 61  | I  | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Vaira et al. <sup>31</sup> (2020)   | 33                  | 48  | 52   | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Vaira et al. <sup>32</sup> (2020)   | 256                 | 45  | 68   | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Vaira et al. <sup>33</sup> (2020)   | 138                 | 68  | I  | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Vaira et al. <sup>34</sup> (2020)   | 72                  | 46  | 54   | 4                      | 0                         | 4-item homemade suprathreshold test   |
| Abbreviations: COVID-19. coronavirus disease 2019: SA-WETT. self-administered version of the Waterless Empirical Taste Test: SARS-CoV-2. severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2. | us disease 2019: S/ | A-WETT. self-administered ve                                  | rsion of the Waterless Em                              | pirical Taste Test: SA | RS-CoV-2. severe acute re | spiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2.   |

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; SA-WETT, self-administered version of the Waterless Empirical Taste Test; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2.

<sup>a</sup>Standardized, prefabricated test = 1; homemade, unblinded = 0. <sup>b</sup>No difference compared to SARS-CoV-2 negative control group.

IFAR: Allergy Rhinology

# 2 | PREVALENCE OF SELF-REPORTED GUSTATORY LOSS

According to a meta-analysis including more than 38,000 patients, 43% and 45% of SARS-CoV-2–positive patients exhibit hyposmia and hypogeusia, respectively.<sup>13</sup> In another meta-analysis, the prevalence of gustatory dysfunction was reported to be even higher, at 48%.<sup>14</sup> Affected patients typically report the simultaneous occurrence of a sudden loss of smell and taste during the acute infection.<sup>15</sup> However, when patients describe a "loss of taste," they might indicate an issue with flavor perception rather than impaired gustation, which encompasses only a limited number of sensations, including sweet, sour, salty, bitter, umami, and fatty.<sup>16,17</sup>

Much of the sensory experience during eating is not only due to the gustatory qualities but results from retronasal olfaction.<sup>18–20</sup> In a rat model, retronasal olfactory information but not orthonasal olfactory input was shown to be processed in the gustatory cortex.<sup>21</sup> In humans, orthonasal olfactory stimulation with chocolate can stimulate the insular gustatory cortex in a magnitude comparable to sweet taste.<sup>22</sup>

In an effort to disentangle the complaints of COVID-19 patients on taste from effects of smell loss, some studies asked about specific taste qualities. For example, Parma et al.<sup>15</sup> found that around 65% of COVID-19 patients reported an impairment of at least one of the taste modalities: sweet, bitter, salty, and sour. This was reported only by ~10% of patients with other respiratory disorders. In another study, COVID-19 patients were given the option to report changes in specific taste qualities (i.e., salty, sour, sweet, bitter, or umami/savory) as a "check all that apply" (CATA) question. Eleven percent (11%) reported impairment of a single taste quality and 48% reported impairment of two or more taste qualities.<sup>4</sup>

As already mentioned above, the majority of these publications are based on the patient's self-reported taste perception. They were collected during the acute infection or retrospectively through telephone surveys, online, or mail questionnaires.<sup>13</sup> In their meta-analysis, von Bartheld et al.<sup>13</sup> included 60 studies based on rated gustatory function and only five studies based on various psychophysical measures of gustatory function in COVID-19. The authors found the prevalence of psychophysically diagnosed hyposmia to be 42%, almost the same as the prevalence of self-reported gustatory dysfunction (45%). However, it has to be kept in mind that only one of the five studies used validated psychophysical tests, whereas the remaining studies were based on improvised tests of gustatory function.

The limited number of studies which used psychophysical tests to evaluate gustatory function during acute COVID-19 is largely due to the challenges of chemosensory testing in COVID-19 patients.<sup>23–34</sup> During the acute infection with SARS-CoV2, patients are quarantined because of the high risk of spreading the infection. They cannot visit ear nose and throat (ENT) departments to undergo validated, mostly operator-performed testing. And because chemosensory dysfunction improves in most cases quickly, testing after infections may not capture the worst impairment experienced by the patient during the disease. The delay between onset of COVID-19–associated gustatory dysfunction and gustatory testing might account for portions of the observed difference between reported and measured gustatory function.

# 3 | IMPROVISED GUSTATORY TESTING DURING COVID-19

To allow gustatory testing in quarantine, Vaira et al.<sup>31</sup> established an improvised suprathreshold taste test (Table 1). Quarantined patients were asked to prepare water-based solutions using salt, sugar, lemon juice, and decaffeinated coffee. After putting 1 teaspoon of each sample in the mouth, the patient had to self-evaluate the four major gustatory qualities sweet, sour, salty, and bitter using a scale from 0 to 10.29,31 Results from the "homemade" self-administered test were compared to scores obtained in a situation where the same taste test was administered by an operator in an outpatient clinic. Although different protocols in terms of testing and scoring were used, similar gustatory scores were found in both trials.<sup>31</sup> These four studies—two of which included also hospitalized patients-found an impaired overall taste function in up to 64% of participants.<sup>29,31–33</sup> Similarly, Konstantinidis et al.<sup>26</sup> used an improvised home-prepared taste test to assess the course of gustatory dysfunction after COVID-19. They also found impaired gustation during the acute infection and an improvement after 4 weeks.<sup>26</sup> In another study, patients self-prepared water-based solutions to test for the qualities "salty" and "sweet." With this very simple two-item self-test, gustatory dysfunction was identified in 47% of COVID-19 patients.<sup>28</sup>

However, these improvised, nonvalidated tests have significant weaknesses: First, some of the tests used ingredients that have a strong smell; for example, lemon for sour or decaffeinated coffee for bitter. This potentially biases the identification and evaluation of the respective taste, because if something smells like coffee, it probably is also bitter. Second, testing is not blinded so that participants know exactly what they are confronted with. Third, subjective identifications of suprathreshold tastes are to some degree questionable because many individuals have difficulties in the correct identification of tastes.<sup>35</sup>

## 4 | VALIDATED PSYCHOPHYSICAL GUSTATORY TESTING DURING COVID-19

Different from improvised taste tests mentioned above, six studies used blinded and validated psychophysical tests to assess gustatory function during COVID-19.7,23-25,27,30 Bocksberger et al.<sup>23</sup> performed a suprathreshold taste test (modified, four-item version of "Taste Strip" test<sup>36</sup>) in 10 hospitalized COVID-19 patients. They found normogeusia in all tested patients.<sup>23</sup> Hintschich et al.<sup>25</sup> sent the same test to home guarantined patients who used a standardized, blinded protocol to test themselves. Again, there were no significant differences in tested gustatory function between the study group 5 days after a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR (n = 41) and SARS-CoV-2 antibody negative controls (n = 30)<sup>25</sup> Cao et al.<sup>24</sup> found similar taste scores for both the SARS-CoV-2-positive patients and a control group. Singer et al.<sup>30</sup> sent the more sophisticated 16-item "Taste Strip" test to home quarantined patients who tested themselves.<sup>36</sup> Even though all 39 patients subjectively reported decreased taste sensitivity, testing confirmed hypogeusia only in 26%. Two studies performed an operator-performed taste test: Le Bon et al.<sup>27</sup> tested gustatory function through the 16-item "Taste Strips" and found a preserved gustation in 88% of patients. Twelve percent (12%) were hyposmic, whereas none were anosmic.<sup>27</sup> Similarly, Niklassen et al.<sup>7</sup> showed hypogeusia in 26% of 61 patients.

Hence, there is a discrepancy between the prevalence of tested gustatory dysfunction when using two substantially different testing methods: In improvised, often selfmade, and self-administered taste tests, the prevalence of hypogeusia was similar to rated gustatory function. It was two to three times higher compared to results obtained with validated and blinded tests. Unless validated and blinded psychophysical tests miss out on significant aspects of gustatory functions, improvised "homemade" tests appear to overestimate gustatory dysfunction during COVID-19.

# 5 | RECOVERY OF GUSTATORY FUNCTION

Many studies reported a recovery of gustatory function following COVID-19.<sup>7,37,38</sup> Using "homemade" tests, a recovery of gustation could be shown in two studies.<sup>26,33</sup> Taste recovery may occur faster than smell recovery.<sup>9</sup> One case report even mentioned recovery of taste in a chronically hyposmic patient.<sup>39</sup> This could suggest that people are able to differentiate between olfactory and gustatory sensations, and that both recovery processes are different. However, again, these publications on recovery did not use validated psychophysical tests and were based on small samples/case reports.

IFAR:

# 6 | POSSIBLE PATHOMECHANISMS

Validated and blinded gustatory testing found a lower prevalence of taste loss compared to subjective reports and to "homemade" gustatory testing. As mentioned in the paragraph "Prevalence of self-reported gustatory loss", flavor is often mistaken as taste, because "flavor" integrates taste, sounds of mastication, (retronasal) smell, and trigeminal activation, including temperature, spiciness, food texture, and mouthfeel.<sup>18,19,40</sup> Having said that, there is clear psychophysical evidence that COVID-19 can affect gustatory perception. Two studies<sup>7,30</sup> used the established 16-item Taste-Strip test and showed the prevalence of taste dysfunctions to be increased in comparison with the general population.<sup>41</sup> Similarly, gustatory dysfunction does occur in postviral, non-COVID-19 conditions, although the rate of occurrence is low.<sup>18,42</sup>

There are various hypotheses on possible pathomechanisms of COVID-19–related gustatory dysfunction<sup>43–47</sup>:

- ACE2 allows the cell invasion of SARS-CoV-2.<sup>48</sup> Although the oral mucosa also expresses ACE2, it was unclear whether taste buds also do.<sup>43,49,50</sup> A very recent publication showed not only the expression of ACE2 in Type II taste receptor cells, but also SARS-CoV-2 in the same subtype of cells.<sup>51</sup> This agrees with the findings of deteriorated gustatory function after pharmacological intervention with angiotensin II receptor blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.<sup>52,53</sup>
- The salivary glands express high levels of ACE2 and TMPRSS2.<sup>50,54</sup> Moreover, the glandular epithelium is an early target of SARS-CoV-2.<sup>55</sup> Consequently, composition and amount of saliva could change and affect gustation.<sup>56</sup> However, in rats, the glandular mucosa regenerates within approximately 7 days and saliva changes are not expected to be long-lasting.<sup>57</sup> This is in contrast with the subjective gustatory dysfunction in COVID-19, which has been found to last a mean of 18 days and in single cases even longer than 6 months.<sup>9</sup>
- Another possible pathomechanism could be due to the much-discussed central neurotropism of COVID-19.
  SARS-CoV-2 was detected in vivo in the cerebrospinal fluid and postmortem in various central nervous system (CNS) structures.<sup>58,59</sup> Clinically, this manifests itself in various neurological symptoms such as encephalitis, seizure, and Guillain-Barré syndrome. However, the prevalence of neuronal symptoms other than chemosensory dysfunction as well as the detection of SARS-CoV-

2 is much lower than the prevalence of self-reported hypogeusia.<sup>43,58,60</sup>

The so-called "cytokine storm" due to an overshooting inflammatory response with high levels of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), interferon γ (IFN-γ), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) could affect gustation. Because these cytokines can impair stem cell function, they could also decelerate cell renewal.<sup>43,61,62</sup>

# 7 | COMPLEMENTARY HYPOTHESIS TO HYPOGEUSIA RELATED TO COVID-19

Flavor perception includes the integration of gustatory, olfactory, and trigeminal information.<sup>63</sup> Even though their afferents enter the CNS through different channels, these senses share distinct brain projection areas and integrative processing.<sup>40,64–66</sup> They mutually amplify each other and do not exhibit strong compensation for the impairment of other chemical senses, as is known for other senses such as vision and hearing.<sup>67</sup>

For pre-COVID-19 conditions, it has been shown that an impaired sense of smell is associated with a decrease in gustation. In general, in lasting hyposmia, gustatory function of sweet, sour, salty, and bitter is impaired.<sup>68–70</sup> Moreover, Han et al.<sup>71</sup> showed impaired gustation for umami in hyposmic patients. This was confirmed through a decreased sensitivity to electrogustometric stimuli in patients with psychophysically confirmed hyposmia compared to a healthy control group.<sup>71</sup> However, when acute hyposmia was induced through mechanical obstruction of the olfactory cleft, this effect could not be observed.<sup>69,72</sup> This gustatory dysfunction in association with lasting hyposmia is most likely due to a reduced amplification of gustatory input on a central nervous level.

After COVID-19, impaired olfaction improves in most cases.<sup>73</sup> However, some patients still suffer from olfactory dysfunction weeks after the infection, which has been confirmed through psychophysical testing.<sup>38</sup> Persisting hyposmia due to COVID-19 could potentially lead to a consecutive dysfunction in gustation as shown before in posttraumatic, idiopathic, postinfectious, and chronic rhinosinusitis-related hyposmia.<sup>15,70</sup> This is in line with Huart et al.,<sup>74</sup> who conducted psychophysical tests 2 weeks after the acute SARS-CoV-2 infection and revealed a significantly decreased taste function in a group of hyposmic patients. Moreover, this idea is supported by recent work from Le Bon et al.<sup>27</sup> showing that 48 of 93 patients (52%) considered themselves to have abnormal taste function right before testing, whereas only 12% of them were found to be dysgeusic following psychophysical evaluation. In their study, they also observed that the olfactory

score—rather than the gustatory score—correlated better with the patients' self-assessed taste rating.

#### 8 | CONCLUSION

A sudden onset of olfactory and gustatory dysfunction was characterized as highly suspicious for COVID-19. Their prevalence was shown to be approximately 50%. However, it appears that hyposmia and not hypogeusia is the leading chemosensory symptom in acute COVID-19. Although taste dysfunction clearly is part of the COVID-19 symptoms, up to now, psychophysical evidence confirms the decreased taste function at a relatively low prevalence. The pathomechanism of COVID-19-related gustatory dysfunction due to changes at the level of the oral cavity is still unclear. However, olfactory dysfunction due to COVID-19 could be associated indirectly with gustatory dysfunction, as shown for non-COVID-19 hyposmia. Further research using validated chemosensory tests in combination; for example, with histopathological methods, are needed to further explore gustatory involvement in COVID-19.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Masha A. Niv and Thomas Hummel are both funded by ISF grant #1129/19 and EXU-transcelerator B3 grant, TU Dresden.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the authors declare a conflict of interest.

#### ORCID

Constantin A. Hintschich MD D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5500-600X

Masha Y. Niv PhD D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8275-8795

#### REFERENCES

- Gane SB, Kelly C, Hopkins C. Isolated sudden onset anosmia in COVID-19 infection. A novel syndrome?. *Rhinology*. 2020;58(3):299-301.
- 2. Gerkin RC, Ohla K, Veldhuizen MG, et al. Recent smell loss is the best predictor of COVID-19 among individuals with recent respiratory symptoms. *Chem Senses*. 2021;46:1-12.
- 3. Haehner A, Draf J, Dräger S, De With K, Hummel T. Predictive value of sudden olfactory loss in the diagnosis of COVID-19. *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec.* 2020;82(4):175-180.
- 4. Karni N, Klein H, Asseo K, et al. Self-rated smell ability enables highly specific predictors of COVID-19 status: a case-control study in Israel. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020;8(2):ofaa589.
- Lan F-Y, Filler R, Mathew S, et al. COVID-19 symptoms predictive of healthcare workers' SARS-CoV-2 PCR results. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0235460.
- Moein ST, Hashemian SMR, Mansourafshar B, Khorram-Tousi A, Tabarsi P, Doty RL. Smell dysfunction: a biomarker for COVID-19. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2020;10(8):944-950.

- 7. Niklassen AS, Draf J, Huart C, et al. COVID-19: recovery from chemosensory dysfunction. A multicentre study on smell and taste. *Laryngoscope*. 2021;131(5):1095-1100.
- 8. Amer MA, Elsherif HS, Abdel-Hamid AS, Elzayat S. Early recovery patterns of olfactory disorders in COVID-19 patients; a clinical cohort study. *Am J Otolaryngol.* 2020:41(6):102725.
- Klein H, Asseo K, Karni N, et al. Onset duration and unresolved symptoms, including smell and taste changes, in mild COVID-19 infection: a cohort study in Israeli patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2021;27(5):769-774.
- Sato T, Ueha R, Goto T, Yamauchi A, Kondo K, Yamasoba T. Expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 proteins in the upper and lower aerodigestive tracts of rats: implications on COVID 19 infections. *Laryngoscope*. 2021;131:E932-E939.
- Ueha R, Kondo K, Kagoya R, Shichino S, Ueha S, Yamasoba T. ACE2, TMPRSS2, and Furin expression in the nose and olfactory bulb in mice and humans. *Rhinology*. 2021;59(1):105-109.
- Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-associated anosmia. *Sci Adv.* 2020;6(31):eabc5801.
- von Bartheld CS, Hagen MM, Butowt R. Prevalence of chemosensory dysfunction in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis reveals significant ethnic difference. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2020;11:2944-2961.
- Saniasiaya J, Islam A, Abdullah B. Prevalence of olfactory dysfunction in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a metaanalysis of 27,492 patients. *Laryngoscope*. 2021;131(4):865-878.
- 15. Parma V, Ohla K, Veldhuizen MG, et al. More than smell— COVID-19 is associated with severe impairment of smell, taste, and chemesthesis. *Chem Senses*. 2020;45(7):609-622.
- Chaudhari N, Roper SD. The cell biology of taste. J Cell Biol. 2010;190(3):285-296.
- 17. Roper SD, Chaudhari N. Taste buds: cells, signals and synapses. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2017;18:485-497.
- Deems DA, Doty RL, Settle RG, et al. Smell and taste disorders, a study of 750 patients from the University of Pennsylvania Smell and Taste Center. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 1991;117:519-528.
- Negoias S, Meves B, Zang Y, Haehner A, Hummel T. Characteristics of olfactory disorder with and without reported flavor loss. *Laryngoscope*. 2020;13(12):2869-2873.
- Rozin P. Taste-smell confusions" and the duality of the olfactory sense. *Percept Psychophys*. 1982;31(4):397-401.
- Blankenship ML, Grigorova M, Katz DB, Maier JX. Retronasal odor perception requires taste cortex, but orthonasal does not. *Curr Biol.* 2019;29:62-69.
- Veldhuizen MG, Nachtigal D, Teulings L, Gitelman DR, Small DM. The insular taste cortex contributes to odor quality coding. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2010;4(58):1-11.
- 23. Bocksberger S, Wagner W, Hummel T, et al. [Temporary hyposmia in COVID-19 patients]. *HNO*. 2020;68:440-443. German.
- 24. Cao AC, Nimmo ZM, Mirza N, Cohen NA, Brody RM, Doty RL. Objective screening for olfactory and gustatory dysfunction during the COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective study in healthcare workers using self-administered testing. *World J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Published online February 12, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.wjorl.2021.02.001

- Hintschich CA, Wenzel JJ, Hummel T, et al. Psychophysical tests reveal impaired olfaction but preserved gustation in COVID-19 patients. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2020;10(9):1105-1107.
- Konstantinidis I, Delides A, Tsakiropoulou E, Maragoudakis P, Sapounas S, Tsiodras S. Short-term follow-up of self-isolated COVID-19 patients with smell and taste dysfunction in Greece: two phenotypes of recovery. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 2020;82(6):295-303.
- Le Bon S-D, Payen L, Steffens Y, et al. Making scents of loss of taste in COVID-19: is self-reported loss of taste due to olfactory dysfunction?. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. Published online May 19, 2021. doi:10.1002/alr.22815.
- Mazzatenta A, Neri G, D'Ardes D, et al. Smell and taste in severe COVID-19: self-reported vs. testing. *Front Med (Lau-sanne)*. 2020;7:589409.
- Petrocelli M, Ruggiero F, Baietti AM, Pandolfi P, Salzano G, Salzano FA. Remote psychophysical evaluation of olfactory and gustatory functions in early-stage coronavirus disease 2019 patients: the Bologna experience of 300 cases. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2020;134:571-576.
- Singer-Cornelius T, Cornelius J, Oberle M, Metternich FU, Singer T, Brockmeier J. Objective gustatory and olfactory dysfunction in COVID-19 patients: a prospective cross-sectional study. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2021;278(9):3325-3332.
- Vaira LA, Salzano G, Petrocelli M, Deiana G, Salzano FA, DeRui G. Validation of a self-administered olfactory and gustatory test for the remotely evaluation of COVID-19 patients in home quarantine. *Head Neck*. 2020;42(7):1570-1576.
- Vaira LA, Hopkins C, Salzano G, et al. Olfactory and gustatory function impairment in COVID-19 patients: Italian objective multicenter-study. *Head Neck.* 2020;42(7):1560-1569.
- 33. Vaira LA, Hopkins C, Petrocelli M, et al. Smell and taste recovery in coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a 60-day objective and prospective study. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2020;134(8):703-709.
- Vaira LA, Deiana G, Giuseppe A, et al. Objective evaluation of anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 patients: single-center experience on 72 cases. *Head Neck*. 2020;42(6):1252-1258.
- Soter A, Kim J, Jackman A, Tourbier I, Kaul A, Doty RL. Accuracy of self-report in detecting taste dysfunction. *Laryngoscope*. 2008;118(4):611-617.
- Landis BN, Welge-Luessen A, Brämerson A, Mueller CA, Nordin S, Hummel T. "Taste Strips"—a rapid, lateralized, gustatory bedside identification test based on impregnated filter papers. *J Neu*rol. 2009;256:242-248.
- Biadsee A, Dagan O, Ormianer Z, Kassem F, Masarwa S. Eight-month follow-up of olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions in recovered COVID-19 patients. *Am J Otolaryngol.* 2021;42(4):103065.
- Boscolo-Rizzo P, Menegaldo A, Fabbris C, et al. Six-month psychophysical evaluation of olfactory dysfunction in patients with COVID-19. *Chem Senses*. 2021;46:bjab006.
- 39. Klein H, Karni N, Israel S, Gross M, Muszkat M, Niv MY. Reversible taste loss in a COVID-19 patient with preexisting chronic smell impairment. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep.* 2021;9:2324709621990765.
- Spence C. Multisensory flavour perception: blending, mixing, fusion, and pairing within and between the senses. *Foods*. 2020;9(4):407.

<sup>216</sup> IFAR: Allergy

- Welge-Lüssen A, Dörig P, Wolfensberger M, Krone F, Hummel T. A study about the frequency of taste disorders. *J Neurol.* 2011;258:386-392.
- Fark T, Hummel C, Hähner A, Nin T, Hummel T. Characteristics of taste disorders. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2013;270:1855-1860.
- Cooper KW, Brann DH, Farruggia MC, et al. COVID-19 and the chemical senses: supporting players take center stage. *Neuron*. 2020;107:219-233.
- 44. Matsuo R. Role of saliva in the maintenance of taste sensitivity. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 2000;11(2):216-229.
- 45. Meunier N, Briand L, Jacquin-Piques A, Brondel L, Curtis KS. COVID 19-induced smell and taste impairments: putative impact on physiology. *Front Physiol*. 2021;11:625110.
- 46. Mutiawati E, Fahriani M, Mamada SS, et al. Anosmia and dysgeusia in SARS-CoV-2 infection: incidence and effects on COVID-19 severity and mortality, and the possible pathobiology mechanisms - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *F1000Research*. 2021;10:40.
- Tsuchiya H. Oral symptoms associated with COVID-19 and their pathogenic mechanisms: a literature review. *Dent J.* 2021; 9:32.
- 48. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020;181:271-280.
- 49. Wang Z, Zhou J, Marshall B, Rekaya R, Ye K, Liu H-X. SARS-CoV2 receptor ACE2 is enriched in a subpopulation of mouse tongue epithelial cells in nongustatory papillae but not in taste buds or embryonic oral epithelium. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2020;3:749-758.
- Xu H, Zhong L, Deng J, et al. High expression of ACE2 receptor of 2019-nCoV on the epithelial cells of oral mucosa. *Int J Oral Sci.* 2020;12(8):1-5.
- Doyle ME, Appleton A, Liu QR, Yao Q, Mazucanti CH, Egan JM. Human taste cells express ACE2: a portal for SARS-CoV-2 infection. *bioRxiv*. Preprint posted April 21, 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.04.21.440680.
- Tsuruoka S, Wakaumi M, Araki N, Ioka T, Sugimoto K, Fujimura A. Comparative study of taste disturbance by losartan and perindopril in healthy volunteers. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2005;45:1319-1323.
- 53. Tsuruoka S, Wakaumi M, Ioka T, et al. Angiotensin II receptor blocker-induces blunted taste sensitivity: comparison of candesartan and valsartan. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2005;60(2):204-207.
- 54. Huang N, Pérez P, Kato T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the oral cavity and saliva. *Nat Med.* 2021;27(5):892-903.
- 55. Liu L, Wei Q, Alvarez X, et al. Epithelial cells lining salivary gland ducts are early target cells of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in the upper respiratory tracts of rhesus macaques. *J Virol.* 2011;85(8):4025-4030.
- 56. Matsuo R. Role of saliva in the maintenance of taste sensitivity. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med.* 2000;11(2):216-229.
- Burford-Mason AP, Cummins MM, Brown DH, MacKay AJ, Dardick I. Immunohistochemical analysis of the proliferative capacity of duct and acinar cells during ligation-induced atrophy and subsequent regeneration of rat parotid gland. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 1993;22:440-446.
- Lewis A, Frontera J, Placantonakis DG, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid in COVID-19: a systematic review of the literature. *J Neurol Sci.* 2021;421:117316.

- Matschke J, Lütgehetmann M, Hagel C, et al. Neuropathology of patients with COVID-19 in Germany: a post-mortem case series. *Lancet Neurol.* 2020;19:919-929.
- Favas TT, Dev P, Chaurasia RN, et al. Neurological manifestations of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of proportions. *Neurol Sci.* 2020;41(12):3437-3470.
- Eshraghi AA, Mirsaeidi M, Davies C, Telischi FF, Chaudhari N, Mittal R. Potential mechanisms for COVID-19 induced anosmia and dysgeusia. *Front Physiol.* 2020;11:1039.
- 62. Lozada-Nur F, Chainani-Wu N, Fortuna G, Sroussi H. Dysgeusia in COVID-19: possible mechanisms and implications. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2020;130(3):344-346.
- Dalton P, Doolittle N, Nagata H, Breslin PAS. The merging of the senses: integration of subthreshold taste and smell. *Nat Neurosci*. 2000;3(5):431-432.
- 64. Rolls ET. Taste, olfactory, and food texture processing in the brain, and the control of food intake. *Physiol Behav.* 2005;85:45-56.
- Lundström JN, Boesveldt S, Albrecht J. Central processing of the chemical senses: an overview. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2011;2:5-16.
- Taylor AJ, Beauchamp JD, Briand L, et al. Factors affecting flavor perception in space : does the spacecraft environment influence food intake by astronauts?. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf.* 2020;19:3439-3475.
- Voss P, Lassonde M, Gougoux F, et al. Early- and late-onset blind individuals show supra-normal auditory abilities in far-space. *Curr Biol.* 2004;14:1734-1738.
- Gudziol H, Rahneberg K, Burkert S. [Anosmics are more poorly able to taste than normal persons]. *Laryngorhinootologie*. 2007;86:640-643. German.
- 69. Landis BN, Scheibe M, Weber C, et al. Chemosensory interaction: acquired olfactory impairment is associated with decreased taste function. *J Neurol.* 2010;257:1303-1308.
- Migneault-Bouchard C, Hsieh JW, Hugentobler M, Frasnelli J, Landis BN. Chemosensory decrease in different forms of olfactory dysfunction. *J Neurol.* 2020;267:138-143.
- Han P, Georgi M, Cuevas M, Haehner A, Gudziol V, Hummel T. Decreased electrogustometric taste sensitivity in patients with acquired olfactory dysfunction. *Rhinology*. 2018;56(2):158-165.
- Stinton N, Atif MA, Barkat N, Doty RL. Influence of smell loss on taste function. *Behav Neurosci.* 2010;124(2):256-264.
- Moein ST, Hashemian SMR, Tabarsi P, Doty RL. Prevalence and reversibility of smell dysfunction measured psychophysically in a cohort of COVID-19 patients. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2020;10(10):1127-1135.
- Huart C, Philpott C, Konstantinidis I, et al. Comparison of COVID-19 and common cold chemosensory dysfunction. *Rhinology*. 2020;58(6):623-625.

**How to cite this article:** Hintschich CA, Niv MY, Hummel T. The taste of the pandemic contemporary review on the current state of research on gustation in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2022;12:210–216. https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22902