RESEARCH

Open Access

Posterior versus lateral surgical approach: functionality and quality of life after total hip arthroplasty in a matched cohort study



Davide Castioni¹, Olimpio Galasso¹, Bruno Ianno², Michele Mercurio^{1*} and Giorgio Gasparini¹

Abstract

Background: One of the most controversial aspects for maximizing outcomes after total hip arthroplasty (THA) remains the surgical approach to the hip joint. The posterior (PA) and lateral approaches (LA) are the two most commonly performed approaches used worldwide, but sparse data are available for their comparison in terms of health-related quality-of-life (HRQoL). The aim of this study was to assess the role of the PA and LA in the HRQoL and hip functionality of patients who underwent primary and elective THA for osteoarthritis, after a minimum 2-year follow-up.

Methods: One hundred twenty-eight patients (140 THAs: 68 with PA and 72 with LA) were evaluated in a matched cohort study. Data gathered included the body mass index, the American Society of Anesthesiologists score, surgery time, serum creatine phosphokinase (CpK) levels, estimated intraoperative blood loss and intra- or postoperative complications. Preoperatively and at the last follow-up, the activities of daily living, and the instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) scales, the Western Ontario and Mac Master University (WOMAC) Questionnaire, the Harris Hip Score (HHS) and the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) were used to assess HRQoL and functionality. The Short Form-36 Health Survey (SF-36) Questionnaire was administered at the last follow-up.

Results: Postoperatively, CpK was higher in the LA group compared to the PA (695 ± 648 vs. 447 ± 326 Ul/L, p < 0.001). At a mean follow-up of 47 ± 22 months for the LA group and 42 ± 29 months for the PA group, IADL, VAS, HHS and WOMAC scores significantly improved for both groups (all p < 0.001), but PA reported better VAS, residual pain and WOMAC scores (p = 0.002, p = 0.004 and p = 0.018, respectively). The PA group demonstrated a significant higher mental SF-36 subscale values than the LA group (49 ± 13 vs. 42 ± 19, p = 0.001). The LA group showed a higher number of Trendelenburg signs (p = 0.029). On the contrary, the PA group showed a higher number of leg lengthening (p = 0.020); however, most of these cases was less than the clinically significant value of 10 mm (p = 0.738).

Conclusions: Patients who underwent THA performed with the PA reported greater improvement in HRQoL with lower residual pain, postoperative muscle damage and Trendelenburg signs than those who underwent the LA.

Keywords: Total hip arthroplasty, Surgical approach; health-related quality-of-life, Complications, Trendelenburg; residual pain, Serum creatine phosphokinase, Activities of daily living, WOMAC, SF-36

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



[©] The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons.Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: mercuriomi@gmail.com

¹Department of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, "Magna Græcia"

University, "Mater Domini" University Hospital, V.le Europa, (loc. Germaneto), 88100 Catanzaro, Italy

Background

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a successful and safe procedure for managing patients with disabling articular pain and functional limitations caused by a number of diseases, such as osteoarthritis (OA), inflammatory arthritis, avascular necrosis, and fractures.

Despite these excellent results, after THA, from 7 to 15% of patients report dissatisfaction [1-3], posing a challenge to physicians. The muscle damage associated with surgery, restoration of function, relief from pain and quality of life are critical for maximizing outcomes [1, 4].

In this light, one of the most controversial aspects remains the surgical approach to the hip joint. Different approaches have been proposed and refined, including anterior, anterolateral, lateral, and posterior approaches. The posterior approach (PA) and the lateral approach (LA) are the two most commonly performed approaches used worldwide [5]. The PA is associated with fewer problems regarding gait since the abductor is not dissected; however, there is a risk of damage to the sciatic nerve during dissection or compression with retractors, as it lies over the overturned external rotator muscles of the hip. In the PA, cup positioning is often more difficult; thus, increased rates of dislocation have been reported in comparison with the LA [6]. Moreover, if the external rotators and the capsule are not repaired, instability rates can be increased. In contrast, the LA is associated with injury to the superior gluteal nerve and heterotopic ossifications (HOs). Systematic reviews examining the comparison of the PA and LA for THA have been published with specific regard to complications and functional outcomes [6, 7]. However, sparse data are available for the comparison of these surgical approaches in terms of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [8–11]; only one study [11] assessed HRQoL using the Short Form-36 Health Survey (SF-36), but the assessment was performed at a short-term follow-up of 12 weeks.

The aim of this study was to assess the role of the PA and LA in the HRQoL and hip functionality of patients who underwent THA after a minimum 2-year follow-up.

Methods

A retrospective matched-cohort study was performed with collection of prospective data on 150 patients who underwent primary THA for OA at our institution between January 2008 and January 2018. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee, and the research was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. The inclusion criteria were (1) primary and elective THA for OA, (2) surgery performed using the PA or LA and (3) participation in a minimum 2-year follow-up. The exclusion criteria were (1) conversion THA with removal of hardware, (2) revision THA, (3) THA performed for any diagnosis other than primary OA, (4) concomitant neurological and orthopedic diseases of the lower limbs and (5) failure to understand or complete the questionnaires. Twelve patients died of causes unrelated to the procedure, and 10 did not participate in a follow-up; therefore, 128 of 150 patients (140 THAs: 68 with the PA and 72 with LA) were enrolled and evaluated.

Data gathered included the age of the patient, body mass index (BMI), American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, surgery time, estimated intraoperative blood loss (EBL) using the following formula: [EBL= (preoperative hemoglobin (Hb) – postoperative Hb)/pre-operative Hb x 100] [12], and intra- or postoperative complications.

To assess muscle damage, serum creatine phosphokinase (CpK) levels were measured preoperatively and 48 h after surgery when the maximum increase in values was expected [13]. It has been reported that after appropriate correction for BMI, an increase in CpK level following trauma, exercise, or surgery directly correlates with muscle damage, resulting in an objective method with which to evaluate the impact of surgical approaches in arthroplasty [14].

Surgical technique

All surgical procedures were performed by three surgeons (GG, OG and BI) with high levels of experience in hip arthroplasty. All patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position, which was carefully checked to ensure the pelvis was perpendicular to the ground. Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis was carried out by administration of low-molecular-weight heparin [15], antibiotic prophylaxis was administered intravenously as recommended [16], and in the absence of contraindications, either spinal or epidural anesthesia was performed for all procedures.

The PA was described by Gibson [17] and popularized by Moore in the 1950 s [18]; this approach employs superficial plane splitting through the gluteus maximus and requires a tenotomy of the short external rotators of the hip and a posterior capsulotomy. The femoral head is then dislocated by internally rotating the hip, and the neck is osteotomized.

The LA described by Hardinge in 1982 has undergone numerous modifications [19, 20]. This approach makes use of the superficial interval between the tensor fasciae latae and gluteus maximus. In addition, the gluteus medius and vastus lateralis are split in continuity, leaving a cuff of the gluteus medius tendon for repair following the procedure. The gluteus minimus and joint capsule are incised in line with the neck of the femur. The femoral head is then dislocated by externally rotating and flexing the hip and knee.

In this study, regardless of the approach used, all THAs were performed using a cementless, proximally hydroxyapatite-coated, tapered stem with a cementless, hemispherical acetabular shell, a ceramic femoral head, and a polyethylene acetabular liner (*Corail*[®] femoral stems and *Pinnacle*[®] acetabular component; *DePuy International Ltd*, Leeds, England). A closed-suction drain was applied and removed within 24 h.

Postoperatively, no specific prophylaxis against HOs was performed. A multimodal analgesia strategy combining an intravenous formulation of acetaminophen (1 g every 12 h for 5 days), an injectable nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (i.e., diclofenac 75 mg every 12 h for 4 days), and an oral opioid (i.e., tapentadol 50 mg every 12 h for 3 days) was used in the absence of specific contraindications to improve postoperative pain and to reduce the consumption of each agent. Postoperatively, patients underwent early weight-bearing the same day of surgery and mobilization and ambulation with walking aids and muscle strengthening exercises after the removal of the drain.

Functional independence and health-related quality of life assessment

Preoperatively and at the last follow-up, each patient was evaluated with the activities of daily living (ADL) and the instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) scales and the Italian version of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) questionnaire [21–23].

The term ADL was first coined by Katz in 1950 [21], and it is used to describe the collective fundamental skills that are required for independent self-care. Katz's ADL scale is used to measure six tasks in hierarchical order of decreasing difficulty as follows: bathing, dressing, toileting, transferring to and from a chair, maintaining continence and feeding. Responses to each of the six tasks in the scale are coded as 0 (dependent) or 1 (independent), and the responses are summed. A score of 6 points indicates independent functioning, and a score of 0 points indicates full dependence.

The IADL is used to assess different activities than those assessed with the ADL, with the former measuring the activities that allow an individual to live independently in a community, thereby improving quality of life. Lawton's IADL Scale [22] is composed of 8 items and assesses a person's ability to perform tasks such as using a telephone or handling finances. Responses to each of the eight items in the scale are coded as 0 (unable or partially able) or 1 (able), and the responses are summed. The summary score ranges from 0 (low functioning, dependent) to 8 (high functioning, independent). The WOMAC questionnaire is a self-administered disease-specific validated outcome measure, and it was used to assess pain, stiffness, and physical function disability in patients suffering from knee and hip osteoarthritis [23]. The WOMAC questionnaire provides either single domain scores or a total score (0-100); lower scores are associated with less pain and stiffness and better function.

At the last follow-up, the Italian version of the SF-36 questionnaire [24] was also assessed. The SF-36 is a generic measure of health status that contains 36 questions measuring the physical, social, and mental components of respondents. This questionnaire yields an eight-scale profile of scores (i.e., physical functioning, PF; role physical, RP; bodily pain, BP; general health, GH; vitality, VT; social functioning, SF; role emotional, RE; and mental health, MH) as well as physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary (MCS) measures. The SF-36 results were compared to normative data [24, 25].

Functional assessment

Preoperatively and at the last follow-up, each patient was evaluated with the Harris Hip Score (HHS) and the Visual Analog Scale (VAS).

The HHS is a disease-specific test to evaluate hip disability [26]; scores range between 0 and 100 and include evaluations of pain, function, deformity, and motion domains. A total score of <70 was considered a poor result, 70–79 fair, 80–89 good, and 90–100 excellent [20]. To assess the degree of HHS improvement, a recovery rate (RR) was computed utilizing the following formula: [RR = (postoperative value – preoperative value)/postoperative value x 100] [27].

The VAS was used as a subjective measure of pain perception. A VAS score > 3 at the last follow-up indicated residual pain [28]; THAs with known causes of pain (e.g., infection, stiffness or loosening, instability, a fracture or neurovascular injury, or comorbidities) were excluded for the purpose of residual pain analysis only.

Leg length discrepancy (LLD) was assessed using Xrays film showing standing full-length AP of both lower extremities. As previously reported, we considered a clinically significant LLD when shortening exceeds 10 mm and lengthening exceeds 6 mm[29]. The presence of the Trendelenburg sign was also clinically evaluated. Preoperative and postoperative patient assessments were performed by trained physicians (DC and MM) who were not involved in the primary care of the patient.

Statistical analysis

All data were measured, collected, and reported to onedecimal accuracy. The mean, standard deviation, and range were noted for the continuous variables, counts for the categorical variables were recorded. The distribution of the numeric samples was assessed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test. Based on this preliminary analysis, parametric tests were adopted. To evaluate the significance of differences between preoperative and postoperative values, a two-tailed pairedsample Student's t-test was performed.

IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 26, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and G*Power (version 3.1.9.2, Institut für Experimentelle Psychologie, Heinrich Heine Universität, Düsseldorf, Germany) were used for database construction and statistical analysis. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Seventy-two (51.4%) hips underwent THA through the LA, and the PA was used in 68 operations (48.6%). Table 1 shows and compares the demographics and characteristics of the included cases at baseline. No significant differences were found for most of the variables between the two groups; the PA group presented a significantly higher preoperative ASA score (p = 0.030) than the LA group. The mean operation time was $148.5 \pm$ 30.4 min for the LA group and 132.6 ± 29.9 min for the PA group (p = 0.002). In our study, we also considered the preoperative and postoperative values of some laboratory parameters. The measurements of EBL were not different between the LA and PA groups (30.1 %±8.1 and 33.2 %±10.9 %, respectively); however, a trend indicated that the LA group had a higher EBL score (p =0.059). For the LA group, serum CpK levels increased from 117.7 ± 68.9 to 694.6 ± 647.6 UI/L; for the PA group, they increased from 116.5 ± 69.3 to 447.4 ± 325.5 UI/L. This increase was expected, with peaks on the second postoperative day for both the LA and PA groups (p < 0.001). Although no preoperative differences between the two groups were noticed, postoperative CpK values were significantly higher in the LA group than in the PA group (p = 0.049).

Table 2 shows the differences between ADL, IADL, VAS, HHS and WOMAC scores before surgery and at follow-up. At a mean follow-up of 46.8 ± 22.2 months for the LA group and 42.1 ± 28.7 months for the PA group (p = 0.279), the preoperative ADL score had significantly improved at the follow-up only in the PA group (p < 0.001). IADL, VAS, HHS and WOMAC scores significantly improved at the follow-up for both the LA and PA groups (all p < 0.001). Specifically, the improvement in HHS values was 57.5 ± 17.9 with an RR of 379% for the PA group.

At follow-up, we found lower VAS and WOMAC scores in the PA group than in the LA group (p = 0.002 and p = 0.018, respectively). A VAS score > 3 was noted in 23 cases (32.4 %) in the LA group and in 8 (11.8 %) in the PA group; thus, residual pain was significantly higher in the LA group (p = 0.004).

As shown in Table 3, in both the LA and PA groups, the mean SF-36 scores for all eight dimensions were slightly lower than the mean of the age-matched healthy population [24, 25]; the mean SF-36 summary component scores for the LA group were also lower than the normative values: 39.4 ± 13 vs. 42.7 ± 9 for the PCS-36 and 41.9 ± 13 vs. 45.8 ± 9 for the MCS-36. In the PA group, the mean SF-36 PCS was lower than the normative values (42.0 ± 13 vs. 42.7 ± 19), and the mean SF-36 MCS value was higher than the normative values (48.8 ± 13.0 vs. 45.8 ± 9). The PA group demonstrated a significantly higher SF-36 MCS score than the LA group (48.8 ± 13 vs. 41.9 ± 19 , p = 0.001).

Complications are reported in Table 4: a higher number of Trendelenburg signs was noted in the LA group

Table 1 Demographics of lateral and posterior approach groups

	LA mean \pm SD or % (No.)	PA mean ± SD or % (No.)	p-value
operation	51.4 % (72)	48.6 % (68)	ns
age (years)	65.3 ± 8.8	68.1 ± 7.8	ns
gender <i>M:F</i>	54 %:46 % (39:33)	49 %:51 % (33:35)	ns
BMI <i>(kg/m2)</i>			
preoperative	29.4 ± 6.0	29.3 ± 6.2	ns
follow-up	29.2 ± 5.4	29.3 ± 5.8	ns
p-value	ns	ns	
side			
right	61.1 % (44)	63.2 % (43)	ns
left	38.9 % (28)	36.8 % (25)	ns
ASA score [1-5]	2.0 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.8	0.030

LA means lateral approach, PA posterior approach, SD standard deviation, M male, F female, BMI body mass index, ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists grade, ns not significant

	LA (patients = 72) mean \pm SD	PA (patients = 68) mean \pm SD	p-value
preop ADL	5.2 ± 1.2	5.0 ± 1.3	ns
ADL at follow-up	5.2 ± 1.3	5.5 ± 1.1	ns
p-value	ns	< 0.001	
preop IADL	6.0 ± 1.9	5.6 ± 2.1	ns
IADL at follow-up	6.8 ± 1.9	6.8 ± 2.0	ns
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	
preop WOMAC	70.7 ± 13.6	76.1 ± 14.9	ns
WOMAC at follow-up	20.9 ± 20.7	14.5 ± 17.5	0.018
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	
preop VAS	8.7 ± 1.5	9.2 ± 1.2	ns
VAS at follow-up	2.5 ± 2.5	1.3 ± 1.9	0.002
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	
preop HHS	33.9 ± 15.3	28.5 ± 14.8	0.036
HHS at follow-up	82.9 ± 20.3	86.0 ± 16.6	ns
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001	

Table 2 Differences in scores over time and between the two groups

LA means lateral approach, PA posterior approach, SD standard deviation, ADL Activities of Daily Living, IADL Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, WOMAC Western Ontario and McMaster University, VAS Visual Analogue Scale, HHS Harris Hip Score, ns not significant

(p = 0.029). In contrast, a higher number patients with leg lengthening was noted in the PA group (p = 0.020); however, most of the lengthening was less than the clinically significant value (i.e., 12 of 17 patients in the LA group and 22 of 29 patients in the PA group; p = 0.738) [29].

Discussion

In the current study, after a minimum 2-year follow-up, patients who underwent THA using the PA reported greater improvement in HRQoL as assessed by SF-36 MCS and WOMAC scores and lower residual pain than those who underwent THA with the LA. Higher CpK

levels and more patients showing Trendelenburg signs were observed in the LA group after surgery.

The PA and LA are the two most common surgical approaches performed worldwide for THA [5], but a limited number of comparative studies on the PA versus LA [8–11] have evaluated the impact on HRQoL after the index procedure. The development of HRQoL instruments has made it possible to obtain an objective assessment of the impact of surgical procedures taking into consideration the physical, psychological, and social aspects of the patient's everyday activities. We administered two widely used and validated HRQoL questionnaires, namely, the SF-36 and WOMAC, which have been recommended for studying THA patients [30];

Table 3 SF-36 scores among lateral and posterior approach groups, and normative data [25]

SF-36 scale	LA (patients = 72) mean \pm SD	PA (patients = 68) mean \pm SD	population norms ^a mean ± SD
PF	49.3 ± 26	61.8±24	71.7 ± 24
RP	61.6 ± 40	63.8 ± 40	65.9 ± 38
BP	50.8 ± 26	64.5 ± 24	67.6 ± 26
GH	54.7 ± 18	60.8 ± 16	55.4 ± 19
VT	43.6 ± 19	60.6 ± 18	59.3 ± 19
SF	78.7 ± 25	82.4 ± 24	75.8 ± 23
RE	61.6 ± 36	72.0 ± 34	73.5 ± 34
MH	51.9±21	66.5 ± 18	64.7 ± 19
PCS-36	39.4 ± 13	42.0 ± 13	42.7 ± 9
MCS-36	41.9 ± 19	48.8 ± 13	45.8 ± 9

LA lateral approach, PA posterior approach, SD standard deviation, SF-36 Short Form-36 Health Survey, PF physical functioning, RP role physical, BP bodily pain, GH general health, VT vitality, SF social functioning, RE role emotional, MH mental health, PCS-36 Physical Component Summary, MCS-36 Mental Component Summary

^a65–74 years old individuals

	LA (patients = 72) No. (%)	PA (patients = 68) No. (%)	p-value
dislocation	1 (1.4 %)	0 (0 %)	ns
trochanteric pain	0 (0 %)	2 (2.9 %)	ns
Trendelemburg sign	29 (32.2 %)	15 (22.0 %)	0.029
aseptic loosening	3 (4.2 %)	1 (1.5 %)	ns
periprosthetic fracture	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	ns
intraoperative fracture	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	ns
nerve palsy	1 (1.4/%)	1 (1.5 %)	ns
infection	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	ns
postop hematoma	4 (5.6 %)	2 (2.9 %)	ns
leg length discrepancy	17 (18.9 %)	29 (42.7 %)	0.020
deep vein thrombosis	0 (0 %)	2 (2.9 %)	ns

Table 4 Comparison of complications between the lateral and posterior approach

LA means lateral approach, PA posterior approach; ns, not significant

notably, both questionnaires are recommended when different techniques are compared [31]. Postoperatively, we detected greater SF-36 MCS and lower WOMAC scores in the PA group than in the LA group. Witzleb et al. [11] compared the short-term outcomes of THA using both surgical approaches in a randomized controlled trial of 60 patients and found that the SF-36 MCS and PCS and WOMAC scores showed no differences 12 weeks after surgery. Among other general quality of life outcome questionnaires, the EuroQol-5D can be used for evaluating HRQoL in a THA population [32]. Jameson et al. [8] showed that the LA group was associated with significantly lower improvement in the EuroQol-5D index than the PA group.

A meta-analysis revealed that the most effective approach for improving VAS scores was the LA [33]. We noted that the PA group had lower residual pain than the LA group at follow-up. However, the reported significant difference in VAS score between the two approaches (it was higher in the PA group, at 1.2) was lower than the minimal clinically important difference for the VAS score after THA (i.e., 1.9) [34]. Further analysis of the residual pain confirmed better results in the PA group, with only 8 cases (11.8 %) in comparison with 23 cases (32.4 %) in the LA group.

In our sample, the postoperative HHS was comparable between the two approaches even though the LA group showed a higher preoperative HHS. The HHS significantly improved after surgery, with good to excellent clinical results observed in 73.5 and 72.2 % of patients in the PA and LA groups, respectively, and no significant differences were noted between the two groups. Furthermore, the improvement in HHSs was higher for both surgical approaches than the minimal clinically important difference reported by Singh et al. after primary THA [35]. Ji et al. [36] showed similar findings with comparable mean postoperative HHSs between the two approaches.

Gore et al. [37] found reduced abductor muscle strength in the LA group, and Roselund et al. [38] showed that patients in the PA group self-reported fewer Trendelenburg signs at the 12-month follow-up. These findings are in agreement with our results. Bahl et al. [39] suggested that there is a common risk of superior gluteal nerve damage regardless of the surgical approach used, including retraction, direct dissection, compression due to hematoma or scar tissue, and thermal injury. Equal muscle strength between the two approaches was reported by Downing et al. [40] and Kiyama et al. [41]. A Cochrane review based on four nonrandomized cohort studies found no differences in limping between patients who underwent surgery via the LA or with the PA, as measured with the Trendelenburg test [6].

Distinct muscles are disrupted with the LA (the gluteus medius and minimus) and the PA (the gluteus maximus, short lateral rotators, and piriformis), potentially leading to different patterns of muscle weakness [42]. In the current study, surgical trauma was quantified by measuring CpK levels, and the PA group reported lower serum levels after surgery than the LA group. Muscle injury is a result of multifactorial synergy; however, it is generally accepted that surgical dissection of the hip abductors in the PA results in the least severe muscle injury [43].

We next reported that, except for a lower LLD rate for the LA group, other intra- and postoperative complications, including dislocation and reoperation, were comparable between the two approaches. Notably, the majority of patients in both groups (71 and 76 % in the LA and PA groups, respectively) reported leg lengthening; however, the postoperative difference in length was less than the clinically significant value [29], and several studies have shown that up to 10 mm of LLD is well tolerated [44] without impaired function [45]. In our sample, only one dislocation occurred in the LA group. Ji et al. [36] compared the dislocation rate of THA in a prospective randomized trial: 3 dislocations occurred in 97 hips that were subjected to a modified LA, whereas no dislocation occurred in 99 hips subjected to the PA. A systematic review on complications following THA [7] reported that the PA was not associated with an increased dislocation rate compared to that associated with the LA. Skoogh et al. [46] conducted an observational study of the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register including 156,979 hips, investigating how the relationship between surgical approach and risk of reoperation has evolved over time and found no significant difference in the risk of reoperation due to dislocation. The authors suggested that increased awareness of the historically higher dislocation risk of the PA, enhanced soft tissue repair and improved surgical techniques for the PA may explain these findings.

Given the retrospective nature of the design of this study, potential bias cannot be excluded. The relatively small sample size for the subgroup analysis may explain our inability to identify significant differences in intra- or postoperative complications between the two groups. The lack of a radiological evaluation and angle calculation of the implant's position represents a further limitation of the study considering that the PA has been related to a more challenging positioning of the components in comparison to the LA [6]. The prospective nature of the data collection methods, the use of a validated and standardized HRQoL and functional assessment, the statistical reliability, and both the sample size and follow-up, comparable with the largest and longest comparative series available[11, 36, 38, 47], represent considerable strengths of the present study.

Conclusions

Patients who underwent THA performed with the PA reported greater improvement in HRQoL with lower residual pain, postoperative muscle damage and Trendelenburg signs than those who underwent the LA. No clinically significant differences in functionality and complications were found between the two surgical approaches. Future randomized controlled trials with larger sample sizes may help to confirm the effects of the surgical approaches on HRQoL, functionality and complications following THA.

Abbreviations

THA: Means total hip arthroplasty; OA: Osteoarthritis; PA: Posterior approach; LA: Lateral approach; HO: Heterotopic ossification; HRQoL: Health-related quality-of-life; SF-36: Short Form-36 Health Survey; BMI: Body mass index; ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; EBL: Estimated intraoperative blood loss; Hb: Hemoglobin; CpK: Creatine phosphokinase; DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; WOMAC: Western Ontario and Mac Master University; PCS: Physical component summary; MCS: Mental component summary; HHS: Harris Hip score; VAS: Visual Analogue scale; RR: Recovery rate; LLD: Leg length discrepancy

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Giuseppe Marafioti, MD, for the contribution in data collection.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders Volume 22 Supplement 2 2021: All about the hip. The full contents of the supplement are available at https://bmcmusculoskeletdisord.biomedcentral. com/articles/supplements/volume-22-supplement-2.

Authors' contributions

Each author fulfils each of the authorship requirements. DC performed data collection and interpretation, performed statistical analysis, wrote the paper, and drafted the final manuscript as submitted; OG conceptualized and designed the study, performed the surgery, and critically revised the manuscript as submitted; BI performed the surgery, and made critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content; MM contributed to the interpretation and analysis of data, wrote the paper, and drafted the final manuscript as submitted; GG conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated data collection, performed the surgery, and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Funding

Publication costs are funded by *Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore* (Rome, Italy).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Mater Domini Ethics committee, reference number 11/2007), and the research was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery, "Magna Græcia" University, "Mater Domini" University Hospital, V.le Europa, (loc. Germaneto), 88100 Catanzaro, Italy. ²Department of Surgery, Division of Orthopedics and Trauma Surgery, "G. Jazzolino" Hospital, Piazza Fleming, 89900 Vibo Valentia, Italy.

Received: 31 August 2021 Accepted: 1 September 2021 Published online: 08 November 2021

References

- Anakwe RE, Jenkins PJ, Moran M. Predicting dissatisfaction after total hip arthroplasty: a study of 850 patients. J Arthroplasty. 2011;26:209–13.
- Jones CA, Beaupre LA, Johnston DWC, Suarez-Almazor ME. Total joint arthroplasties: current concepts of patient outcomes after surgery. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2007;33:71–86.
- Mercurio M, Gasparini G, Carbone EA, Galasso O, Segura-Garcia C. Personality traits predict residual pain after total hip and knee arthroplasty. Int Orthop. 2020;44:1263–70.

- Bremer AK, Kalberer F, Pfirrmann CWA, Dora C. Soft-tissue changes in hip abductor muscles and tendons after total hip replacement: comparison between the direct anterior and the transgluteal approaches. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2011;93:886–9.
- Chechik O, Khashan M, Lador R, Salai M, Amar E. Surgical approach and prosthesis fixation in hip arthroplasty world wide. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013;133:1595–600.
- Jolles BM, Bogoch ER. Posterior versus lateral surgical approach for total hip arthroplasty in adults with osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006; 3:CD003828. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003828.pub3.
- Berstock JR, Blom AW, Beswick AD. A systematic review and meta-analysis of complications following the posterior and lateral surgical approaches to total hip arthroplasty. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2015;97:11–6.
- Jameson SS, Mason J, Baker P, Gregg PJ, McMurtry IA, Deehan DJ, et al. A comparison of surgical approaches for primary hip arthroplasty: a cohort study of patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) and early revision using linked national databases. J Arthroplasty. 2014;29:1248–55.e1.
- Kristensen TB, Vinje T, Havelin LI, Engesæter LB, Gjertsen J-E. Posterior approach compared to direct lateral approach resulted in better patientreported outcome after hemiarthroplasty for femoral neck fracture. Acta Orthop. 2017;88:29–34.
- Rosenlund S, Broeng L, Jensen C, Holsgaard-Larsen A, Overgaard S. The effect of posterior and lateral approach on patient-reported outcome measures and physical function in patients with osteoarthritis, undergoing total hip replacement: a randomised controlled trial protocol. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2014;15:354.
- Witzleb W-C, Stephan L, Krummenauer F, Neuke A, Günther K-P. Short-term outcome after posterior versus lateral surgical approach for total hip arthroplasty - A randomized clinical trial. Eur J Med Res. 2009;14:256–63.
- Galasso O, Castioni D, Mercurio M, Sticozzi M, Porco E, De Gori M, et al. Reinfusion-drains reduce blood transfusions in total joint arthroplasty. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2018;32(6 Suppl. 1):131–8.
- Manner P. Good start on using biochemical markers to compare surgical trauma in total hip replacement approaches: commentary on an article by Patrick Bergin F, MD, et al.: "Comparison of minimally invasive direct anterior versus posterior total hip arthroplasty based on inflammation and muscle damage markers." J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93:e89.
- Bergin PF, Doppelt JD, Kephart CJ, Benke MT, Graeter JH, Holmes AS, et al. Comparison of minimally invasive direct anterior versus posterior total hip arthroplasty based on inflammation and muscle damage markers. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2011;93:1392–8.
- Falck-Ytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, Curley C, Dahl OE, Schulman S, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012;141(2 Suppl):e2785-e3255.
- Fillingham YA, Della Valle CJ, Suleiman LI, Springer BD, Gehrke T, Bini SA, et al. Definition of Successful Infection Management and Guidelines for Reporting of Outcomes After Surgical Treatment of Periprosthetic Joint Infection: From the Workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS). J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019;101:e69.
- 17. Gibson A. Posterior exposure of the hip joint. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1950;32-B:183–6.
- Moore AT. The self-locking metal hip prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1957;39-A:811–27.
- Hardinge K. The direct lateral approach to the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1982;64:17–9.
- Learmonth ID, Allen PE. The omega lateral approach to the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1996;78:559–61.
- Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW, Jackson BA, Jaffe MW, STUDIES OF ILLNESS IN THE AGED. The index of ADL: a standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. JAMA. 1963;185:914–9.
- 22. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist. 1969;9:179–86.
- Salaffi F, Leardini G, Canesi B, Mannoni A, Fioravanti A, Caporali R, et al. Reliability and validity of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) Osteoarthritis Index in Italian patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2003;11:551–60.
- 24. Apolone G, Mosconi P. The Italian SF-36 Health Survey: translation, validation and norming. J Clin Epidemiol. 1998;51:1025–36.

- Mariconda M, Galasso O, Costa GG, Recano P, Cerbasi S. Quality of life and functionality after total hip arthroplasty: a long-term follow-up study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2011;12:222.
- Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1969;51:737–55.
- Grappiolo G, Bruno CF, Loppini M, Mercurio M, Castioni D, Gasparini G, Galasso O. Conversion of Fused Hip to Total Hip Arthroplasty: Long-Term Clinical and Radiological Outcomes. J Arthroplasty. 2021;36:1060-66. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.09.030.
- Erlenwein J, Müller M, Falla D, Przemeck M, Pfingsten M, Budde S, et al. Clinical relevance of persistent postoperative pain after total hip replacement - a prospective observational cohort study. J Pain Res. 2017;10: 2183–93.
- 29. Sarangi PP, Bannister GC. Leg length discrepancy after total hip replacement. HIP Int. 1997;7:121–4.
- Nilsdotter AK, Roos EM, Westerlund JP, Roos HP, Lohmander LS. Comparative responsiveness of measures of pain and function after total hip replacement. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;45:258–62.
- SooHoo NF, Zingmond DS, Ko CY. Comparison of reoperation rates following ankle arthrodesis and total ankle arthroplasty. J Bone Jt Surg. 2007;89:2143–9.
- 32. Ashby E, Grocott MPW, Haddad FS. Outcome measures for orthopaedic interventions on the hip. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008;90:545–9.
- Putananon C, Tuchinda H, Arirachakaran A, Wongsak S, Narinsorasak T, Kongtharvonskul J. Comparison of direct anterior, lateral, posterior and posterior-2 approaches in total hip arthroplasty: network meta-analysis. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol Orthop Traumatol. 2018;28:255–67.
- Danoff JR, Goel R, Sutton R, Maltenfort MG, Austin MS. How much pain is significant? Defining the minimal clinically important difference for the visual analog scale for pain after total joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2018; 33:S71–5 e2.
- Singh JA, Schleck C, Harmsen S, Lewallen D. Clinically important improvement thresholds for Harris Hip Score and its ability to predict revision risk after primary total hip arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2016;17:256.
- Ji H-M, Kim K-C, Lee Y-K, Ha Y-C, Koo K-H. Dislocation after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial of a posterior approach and a modified lateral approach. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27:378–85.
- Gore DR, Murray MP, Sepic SB, Gardner GM. Anterolateral compared to posterior approach in total hip arthroplasty: differences in component positioning, hip strength, and hip motion. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1982;165: 180–7.
- Rosenlund S, Broeng L, Overgaard S, Jensen C, Holsgaard-Larsen A. The efficacy of modified direct lateral versus posterior approach on gait function and hip muscle strength after primary total hip arthroplasty at 12months follow-up. An explorative randomised controlled trial. Clin Biomech Bristol Avon. 2016;39:91–9.
- Bahl JS, Nelson MJ, Taylor M, Solomon LB, Arnold JB, Thewlis D. Biomechanical changes and recovery of gait function after total hip arthroplasty for osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2018;26:847–63.
- Downing ND, Clark DI, Hutchinson JW, Colclough K, Howard PW. Hip abductor strength following total hip arthroplasty: a prospective comparison of the posterior and lateral approach in 100 patients. Acta Orthop Scand. 2001;72:215–20.
- Kiyama T, Naito M, Shinoda T, Maeyama A. Hip abductor strengths after total hip arthroplasty via the lateral and posterolateral approaches. J Arthroplasty. 2010;25:76–80.
- 42. Petis S, Howard JL, Lanting BL, Vasarhelyi EM. Surgical approach in primary total hip arthroplasty: anatomy, technique and clinical outcomes. Can J Surg J Can Chir. 2015;58:128–39.
- Wang T, Shao L, Xu W, Chen H, Huang W. Comparison of morphological changes of gluteus medius and abductor strength for total hip arthroplasty via posterior and modified direct lateral approaches. Int Orthop. 2019;43: 2467–75.
- 44. Ranawat CS. The pants too short, the leg too long! Orthopedics. 1999;22: 845–6.
- Bolink SAAN, Lenguerrand E, Brunton LR, Hinds N, Wylde V, Heyligers IC, et al. The association of leg length and offset reconstruction after total hip arthroplasty with clinical outcomes. Clin Biomech Bristol Avon. 2019;68:89–95.

- 46. Skoogh O, Tsikandylakis G, Mohaddes M, Nemes S, Odin D, Grant P, et al. Contemporary posterior surgical approach in total hip replacement: still more reoperations due to dislocation compared with direct lateral approach? An observational study of the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register including 156,979 hips. Acta Orthop. 2019;90:411–6.
- Aggarwal VK, Elbuluk A, Dundon J, Herrero C, Hernandez C, Vigdorchik JM, et al. Surgical approach significantly affects the complication rates associated with total hip arthroplasty. Bone Jt J. 2019;101-B:646–51.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

