

## Role of Low-Molecular-Mass Penicillin-Binding Proteins, NagZ and AmpR in AmpC β-lactamase Regulation of *Yersinia enterocolitica*

Chang Liu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Chuchu Li<sup>1,2†</sup>, Yuhuang Chen<sup>2</sup>, Huijing Hao<sup>2</sup>, Junrong Liang<sup>2</sup>, Ran Duan<sup>2</sup>, Zhaoke Guo<sup>2</sup>, Jing Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Zhongzhi Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Huaiqi Jing<sup>2</sup>, Xin Wang<sup>2\*</sup> and Shihe Shao<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pathogenic Biology, School of Medical Science, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China, <sup>2</sup> National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> Qinghai Institute for Endemic Diseases Prevention and Control, Xining, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Dongsheng Zhou, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, China

#### Reviewed by:

Fang Huang, Beijing Center for Disease Prevention and Control, China L. F. Wu, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France

#### \*Correspondence:

Xin Wang wangxin@icdc.cn Shihe Shao shaoshihe2006@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Received: 20 July 2017 Accepted: 14 September 2017 Published: 27 September 2017

#### Citation:

Liu C, Li C, Chen Y, Hao H, Liang J, Duan R, Guo Z, Zhang J, Zhao Z, Jing H, Wang X and Shao S (2017) Role of Low-Molecular-Mass Penicillin-Binding Proteins, NagZ and AmpR in AmpC β-lactamase Regulation of Yersinia enterocolitica. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 7:425. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00425 Yersinia enterocolitica encodes a chromosomal AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase under the regulation of the classical *ampR-ampC* system. To obtain a further understanding to the role of low-molecular-mass penicillin-binding proteins (LMM PBPs) including PBP4, PBP5, PBP6, and PBP7, as well as NagZ and AmpR in *ampC* regulation of *Y. enterocolitica*, series of single/multiple mutant strains were systematically constructed and the *ampC* expression levels were determined by *luxCDABE* reporter system, reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) and  $\beta$ -lactamase activity test. Sequential deletion of PBP5 and other LMM PBPs result in a continuously growing of *ampC* expression level, the  $\beta$ -lactamse activity of quadruple deletion strain YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 (*pbp4*, *pbp5*, *pbp6*, and *pbp7* inactivated) is approached to the YE $\Delta$ D123 (*ampD1*, *ampD2*, and *ampD3* inactivated). Deletion of *nagZ* gene caused two completely different results in YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7, NagZ is indispensable for YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 *ampC* derepression phenotype but dispensable for YE $\Delta$ D123. AmpR is essential for *ampC* hyperproduction in these two types of strains, inactivation of AmpR notable reduced the *ampC* expression level in both YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7.

#### Keywords: Yersinia enteocolitica, AmpC $\beta$ -lactamase, AmpD, PBPs, NagZ, AmpR

### INTRODUCTION

Yersinia enterocolitica, a member of Enterobacteriaceae, is a zoonotic pathogen widely distributed in nature (Wang et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2012). Most Y. enterocolitica exhibits intrinsic resistance to  $\beta$ -lactm antibiotics by the production of chromosomally encoded  $\beta$ -lactamases called BlaA (a class A enzyme showing constitutive expression) and BlaB (an inducible AmpC-type  $\beta$ -lactamase), respectively (Cornelis and Abraham, 1975; Bent and Young, 2010).

The process of *ampC* (*blaB*) regulation is tightly linked to the peptidoglycan recycling and controlled by AmpG, AmpD, AmpR, and NagZ (Vollmer et al., 2008; Zeng and Lin, 2013). Briefly, peptidoglycan degradation products including GlcNAc-1,6-anhydromuropeptide is transported into the cytoplasm by AmpG and further hydrolyzedcosaminidase) to yielding 1,6-anhydromuropeptides, which is the AmpR activator ligand for *ampC* derepression (Zamorano et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). On the other hand,

the stem peptides of GlcNAc-1,6-anhydromuropeptide and 1,6-anhydromuropeptides can be removed by AmpD (N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase) and eventually recycled into UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide, which is the AmpR repressor ligand to repress *ampC* expression level (Juan et al., 2006; Balasubramanian et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). Penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) also play an important role in *ampC* regulation (Sanders et al., 1997; Pfeifle et al., 2000). Recent studies have found that in *P. aeruginosa*, PBP4 (DacB), PBP5 (DacC), and PBP7 (PbpG) are involved in *ampC* regulation, and PBP4 is the major cause of *ampC* derepressed in clinical strains (Moya et al., 2009; Ropy et al., 2015).

Theoretically, NagZ is indispensable in chromosomal *ampC* derepression. In *P. aeruginosa*, *nagZ* inactivation dramatically reduces the  $\beta$ -lactam resistance of both PAO $\Delta$ ampD (*ampD* inactivation) and PAO $\Delta$ dacB (*pbp4* inactivation; Zamorano et al., 2010). However, although *nagZ* inactivation nearly abolished the basal-level derepressed  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of KJ $\Delta$ ampDI (*ampD* inactivation), it did not affect the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of KJ $\Delta$ mrcA (*pbp1a* inactivation) in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (Huang et al., 2012).

Since the effects of the above-mentioned genes in *Y. enterocolitica* were seldom reported, we elucidated the role of low-molecular-mass penicillin-binding proteins (LMM PBPs) (PBP4, PBP5, PBP6, and PBP7), NagZ and AmpR in the *Y. enterocolitica* ampC regulation. Firstly, we investigated the effects of each LMM PBP on the expression of AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase by monitoring the *ampC* promoter activity from a series of LMM PBPs mutant strains and confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Secondly, *nagZ* gene was deleted in two *ampC* derepressed strains YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 7 to determine the role for *ampC* expression.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, Primers, and Growth Conditions

Strains and plasmids used in this study were listed in **Table 1**. Individual genes were deleted initially from *Y. enterocolitica* subsp. palearctica 105.5R(r) (Wang et al., 2011). Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates and broth were used as culture media for *Y. enterocolitica* ( $28^{\circ}$ C) and *Escherichia coli* ( $37^{\circ}$ C). For induction assay, cefoxitin was used according to the references (Guerin et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016).

# Construction of *Y. enterocolitica* Mutant Strains

Knockout mutant strains were constructed using the method described previously (Chen et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016). Briefly, the deletion mutants were constructed by double-crossover homologous recombination between wild-type strain chromosome and plasmids  $p\Delta NagZ$ ,  $p\Delta AmpR$ ,  $p\Delta PBP4$ ,  $p\Delta PBP5$ ,  $p\Delta PBP6$ l, and  $p\Delta PBP7$ . To evaluate the role of PBP4 (WP\_005175403.1), PBP5 (WP\_005158391.1) PBP6 (WP\_023160783.1), and PBP7 (WP\_005158897.1) in *Y. enterocolitica* 105.5R(r) *ampC* regulation, we constructed four

TABLE 1 | Strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strains or<br>plasmid   | Genotype or relevant characteristics   | Source or references     |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Yersinia enterocolitica |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105.5R(r)               | Wild type; completely sequenced  | Wang et al., 2011        |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔΖ                    | 105.5R(r) nagZ deletion mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| YEAD123                 | 105.5R(r) <i>ampD1, ampD2, ampD3</i><br>triple mutant  | Liu et al., 2016         |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔD123ΔΖ               | 105.5R(r) <i>ampD1, ampD2, ampD3, nagZ</i> quadruple mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| YE∆D123∆R               | 105.5R(r) <i>ampD1, ampD2, ampD3, ampR</i> quadruple mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4                    | 105.5R(r) pbp4 deletion mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ5                    | 105.5R(r) pbp5 deletion mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ6                    | 105.5R(r) pbp6 deletion mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ7                    | 105.5R(r) pbp7 deletion mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5                  | 105.5R(r) pbp4, pbp5 double mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ6                  | 105.5R(r) pbp4, pbp6 double mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ7                  | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4, pbp7</i> double mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ6                  | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp5, pbp6</i> double mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ7                  | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp5, pbp7</i> double mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ6Δ7                  | 105.5R(r) pbp6, pbp7 double mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6                | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4, pbp5, pbp6</i> triple mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ7                | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4, pbp5, pbp7</i> triple<br>mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ6Δ7                | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4, pbp6, pbp7</i> triple mutant  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ6Δ7                | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp5, pbp6, pbp7</i> triple<br>mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6Δ7              | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4</i> , pbp5, <i>pbp6</i> , <i>pbp7,</i> quadruple mutant                                      | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6Δ7ΔΖ            | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4</i> , pbp5, <i>pbp6</i> , <i>pbp7, nagZ</i> quintuple mutant                                 | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6Δ7ΔR            | 105.5R(r) <i>pbp4</i> , pbp5, <i>pbp6, pbp7, ampR</i> quintuple mutant   | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. coli                 |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| S17 λpir                | λ-pir R6K( <i>thi thr leu ton lacY supE</i><br><i>recA</i> ::RP4-2Tc::Mu)                                      | Simon et al., 1983       |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLASMIDS                |  |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| pDS132                  | CmR; Conditionally replicating vector;<br>R6K origin, mobRK4 transfer origin,<br>sucrose-inducible <i>sacB</i> | Philippe et al.,<br>2004 |  |  |  |  |  |
| p∆NagZ                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>nagZ</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ρΔΡΒΡ4                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>pbp4</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ρΔΡΒΡ5                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>pbp5</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ρΔΡΒΡ6                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>pbp6</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| ρΔΡΒΡ7                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>pbp7</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| p∆AmpR                  | CmR; pDS132 containing 5' and 3' flanking sequence of <i>ampR</i>  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |
| pLUX <i>ampC</i>        | CmR; pBBRlux containing promoter sequence of <i>ampC</i>   | Liu et al., 2016         |  |  |  |  |  |
| pNagZ                   | TcR; pSRKTc containing 105.5R(r)<br>nagZ gene  | This work                |  |  |  |  |  |

single mutant strains: YE $\Delta 4$  (*pbp4* inactivation), YE $\Delta 5$  (*pbp5* inactivation), YE $\Delta 6$  (*pbp6* inactivation), and YE $\Delta 7$  (*pbp7* inactivation); six double mutant strains: YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 6$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 7$ , YE $\Delta 5\Delta 6$ , YE $\Delta 5\Delta 7$ , and YE $\Delta 6\Delta 7$ ; four triple mutant strains: YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 7$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 6\Delta 7$ , and YE $\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$ ; and one quadruple mutant strain: YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$  (**Table 1**). The deletion mutants were identified by colony PCR firstly and then sequenced to confirm the in-frame deletion. Multiple deletion strains were sequentially constructed from the single mutant by use of the same procedure.

## Measurement of the *ampC* Promoter Activity

The method of measuring the *ampC* promoter activity with the *luxCDABE* reporter system was reported previously (Liu et al., 2016). The reporter plasmid pLUX*ampC* was transferred into the tested strains, and the luminescence was measured by using an Infinite M200 Pro spectrophotometer. The value of luminescence/OD600 was used to assess the *ampC* promoter activity.

## Determination of β-Lactamase Activity and Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Specific  $\beta$ -lactamase activities were spectrophotometrically determined with nitrocefin (Oxoid) as a substrate as previously described (Liu et al., 2016). One unit of  $\beta$ -lactamase activity (U/mg) was defined as the number of nanomoles of nitrocefin hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of protein. Antibiotic susceptibility was determined using the standard 2-fold serial broth microdilution method according to the Guidelines of the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2015).

### N-Acetyl-β-Glucosaminidase Activity Assay

The N-acetyl-glucosaminidase activity of the whole cell lysates of wild-type strain 105.5R(r) and YE $\Delta$ Z were measured using 4-nitrophenyl N-acetyl- $\beta$ -D-glucosaminide as a chromogenic substrate (Sigma). The presence of p-nitrophenol were detected by monitoring the optical density at 405 nm by 10 h continuously.

### **Complementation Assay**

The ORF of *nagZ* was amplified and cloned into the broad-hostrange expression vector pSRKTc to construct plasmid pNagZ. Transformants were selected on  $10 \,\mu$ g/ml tetracycline *Yersinia* selective LB plates, acquisition of the appropriate plasmid was confirmed by colony PCR.

### RESULTS

# Role of LMM PBPs in the Expression of AmpC $\beta$ -Lactamase

After a series of LMM PBPs mutant strains were constructed, reporter plasmid pLUX*ampC* was used to monitor the *ampC* expression level (Liu et al., 2016). As shown in Figure 1, deletion *pbp5* caused a visible increase in the *ampC* promoter activity under both basal and induced conditions; but deletion of *pbp4*, *pbp6*, and *pbp7* did not affect the AmpC expression obviously. In the group of double and triple mutant strains,

*ampC* derepression only appeared in  $\Delta pbp5$  background, the *ampC* promoter activity of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5$ , YE $\Delta 5\Delta 6$ , and YE $\Delta 5\Delta 7$  exhibited a marked rise compared with YE $\Delta 4\Delta 6$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 7$ , or YE $\Delta 6\Delta 7$ . The level of *ampC* expression keep increasing in triple mutant strains YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6$ , YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 7$ , and YE $\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$ , but not in YE $\Delta 4\Delta 6\Delta 7$ . Finally, the quadruple deletion strain YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$  displayed the highest level of *ampC* promoter activity. These results suggested that PBP5 plays the most important roles in *Y. enterocolitica ampC* regulation. The qRT-PCR assay reconfirmed the results observed from *ampC* promoter activity assay (**Table 2**).

## Role of NagZ in AmpC Derepression of *Y. enterocolitica*

In agreement with our previous data (Liu et al., 2016), AmpD deletion strain YE $\Delta$ D123 exhibit a derepression phenotype, and the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of YE $\Delta$ D123 is slightly higher than YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$  (Figure 2). To evaluate the role of NagZ in AmpC derepression, *nagZ* gene was deleted in both derepression strains to construct YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ Z and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 $\Delta$ Z. As shown in Figure 2, nagZ was indispensable for ampC over expression of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$ , the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of *nagZ* deletion strain YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7\Delta Z$  was decreased significantly, closed to the wild-type strain level. In complementation assay, YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7\Delta Z$  (pNagZ) restored the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity to the level of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$ . However, NagZ was dispensable in YE $\Delta$ D123, the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of *nagZ* deletion strain YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ Z was nearly as high as YE $\Delta$ D123 (Figure 2). These results suggested that NagZ was needed in  $\triangle PBPs$ driven AmpC derepression, but did not perform its expected function in AmpD mutation strains. Antibiotic susceptibility test was also performed, as shown in Table 3, the MIC values of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7\Delta Z$  were slightly below the wild-type strain 105.5R(r), far from its parent strain YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$  for almost all tested β-lactams; but only a marginal distinction between YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ Z was found. These results illustrated that AmpD/PBPs regulate AmpC expression through NagZ dispensable/indispensable ways in Y. enterocolitica.

### N-Acetyl-β-Glucosaminidase Activity Assay

The *nagZ* mutation strain YE $\Delta$ Z was constructed, and determined by the enzyme activity of the both wild-type strain and YE $\Delta$ Z for 10 h using N-acetyl- $\beta$ -D-glucosaminide as substrate. As shown in **Figure 3**, YE $\Delta$ Z abolished the N-acetyl- $\beta$ -glucosaminidase activity completely, it was suggested that NagZ is the only enzyme that with N-acetyl- $\beta$ -glucosaminidase activity in *Y. enterocolitica*.

# Role of AmpR in *ampC* Expression of in *Y. enterocolitica*

In the paradigm of the *ampR-ampC* system, the *ampR* gene is located immediately adjacent to *ampC*, and AmpR plays a pivotal role in the regulation of AmpC (Seoane et al., 1992). To assess the role of AmpR in *Y. enterocolitica*, we compared the  $\beta$ -lactamase activity of YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ R, YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 $\Delta$ R with their parent strains YE $\Delta$ D123, YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7, respectively. As a result, *ampR* inactivation dramatically reduced the  $\beta$ -lactamase



**TABLE 2** | Relative mRNA level of *ampC* in wild-type strain and its derived mutants.

| Strain     | Relative mRNA level of ampC <sup>a</sup> |                             |  |
|------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
|            | Basal                                    | Induced <sup>b</sup>        |  |
| WT         | 1 1.3 ± 0.4                              |                             |  |
| ΥΕΔ4       | $1 \pm 0.6$                              | $1.7\pm0.5$                 |  |
| ΥΕΔ5       | $5.8 \pm 3.5$                            | $7.8\pm3.0$                 |  |
| ΥΕΔ6       | $1.2 \pm 0.6$                            | $1.8 \pm 0.6$               |  |
| ΥΕΔ7       | $0.7 \pm 0.4$                            | $1.2 \pm 0.5$               |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5     | $10 \pm 5$                               | $31 \pm 16$                 |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ6     | 1 ± 0.2                                  | $1.4 \pm 0.4$               |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ7     | $0.7 \pm 0.2$                            | $0.7 \pm 0.2$ $1.2 \pm 0.5$ |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ6     | $11 \pm 1$                               | $15\pm 8$                   |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ7     | $7.7 \pm 1.0$                            | $12 \pm 4.8$                |  |
| ΥΕΔ6Δ7     | $1.6 \pm 0.3$                            | $2.4 \pm 1.4$               |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6   | $22 \pm 5$                               | $32\pm18$                   |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ7   | $26 \pm 4$                               | 41 ± 13                     |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ6Δ7   | $2.1\pm0.5$                              | $3.3 \pm 1.6$               |  |
| ΥΕΔ5Δ6Δ7   | $8.5 \pm 1.0$                            | $12 \pm 5$                  |  |
| ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6Δ7 | $42 \pm 23 \qquad \qquad 58 \pm 10$      |                             |  |

<sup>a</sup>Relative amount of mRNA compared to wild-type strain 105.5R(r) basal expression. <sup>b</sup>Induction assay were performance with 40  $\mu$ g/ml cefoxitin.

activity of both YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ R and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 $\Delta$ R, regardless of adding cefoxitin or not (**Figure 2**).

### DISCUSSION

The *ampR-ampC* system from *Citrobacter freundii* and *Enterobacter cloacae* has been well studied in the early 1990s (Lindberg et al., 1987; Peter et al., 1988). However, newly

discovered *ampC* regulators such as, PBP4 (DacB) or NagZ in *Enterobacteriaceae* was not yet understood. A deep study in *Y. enterocolitica ampR-ampC* system would be helpful to improve the comprehensive understanding of *Enterobacteriaceae ampC* regulation.

PBPs are a group of enzymes involved in cell-wall recycling and the processes of AmpC β-lactamases regulation. In E. coli model, deletion of three or four PBPs and the concomitant inhibition of PBP 1a, 1b, and/or 2 results in an increased level of β-lactamase induction (Pfeifle et al., 2000). However, since E. coli lacks the chromosomal ampR gene, the result may be inconsistent with other members of the Gram-negative bacteria which have a chromosome encoding the *ampR-ampC* system. In 2009, Moya et al. demonstrated the inactivation of DacB (PBP4), a nonessential low-molecular mass PBPs is the principal reason for one-step high-level ampC expression in clinical strains of P. aeruginosa (Moya et al., 2009). Interestingly, inactivation of PBP4 in E. cloacae triggered a significant increase of βlactams resistance, but without an obvious upregulation of *ampC* gene, it may be suggested that PBP4 regulates AmpC at a posttranscriptional level (Guerin et al., 2015). In this study, we found deletion of *pbp4* did not elevate the *ampC* expression level, this result is accordance with E. cloacae. After that, we deleted all four LMM PBPs one after another, and found that PBP5 is the most effective PBP involved in the regulation of *ampC* in Y. enterocolitica. Of the single-mutation strains, only the pbp5 deletion strain YE $\Delta$ 5 showed an obvious rise in *ampC* expression level. Likewise, for multi-mutation strains, the function of PBP4, PBP6, and PBP7 in *ampC* regulation were detected only if in  $\Delta pbp5$  background. According to the results shown in Figure 1 and Table 2, we deduced the hierarchy of the role of PBPs genes in *ampC* derepression: PBP5 > PBP4 > PBP7 > PBP6. Although DacB may regulates AmpC at a post-transcriptional level (Guerin



**TABLE 3** | The MIC values of  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics in wild-type strain and its derived mutants.

| Antibiotic   |        | MIC (mg/L) of antibiotic of strain <sup>a,b</sup> |           |            |                              |  |  |
|--------------|--------|---|-----------|------------|------------------------------|--|--|
|              | WT     | YEAD123   | ΥΕΔD123ΔΖ | ΥΕΔ4Δ5Δ6Δ7 | <b>ΥΕ</b> Δ4Δ5Δ6Δ7Δ <b>Ζ</b> |  |  |
| PENICILLINS  |        |   |           |            |                              |  |  |
| AMP          | 32     | 64  | 32        | 64         | 16                           |  |  |
| SAM          | 16     | 16  | 16        | 16         | 8                            |  |  |
| TIC          | 2      | 4   | 2         | 4          | 0.5                          |  |  |
| TZP          | 1      | 4   | 2         | 4          | 0.25                         |  |  |
| PIP          | 1      | 16  | 16        | 16         | 4                            |  |  |
| CEPHALOSPOR  | INS    |   |           |            |                              |  |  |
| CFZ          | 128    | 512   | 512       | 512        | 64                           |  |  |
| CAZ          | 0.25   | 2   | 1         | 2          | 0.5                          |  |  |
| FEP          | 0.25   | 0.25  | 0.125     | 0.06       | 0.03                         |  |  |
| CRO          | ≤0.125 | 0.5   | 0.25      | 0.5        | 0.125                        |  |  |
| MONOBACTAM   |        |   |           |            |                              |  |  |
| ATM          | ≤0.125 | 0.5   | 0.5       | 1          | 0.12                         |  |  |
| CARBAPENEMS  | ;      |   |           |            |                              |  |  |
| IPM          | ≤0.125 | 0.5   | 0.25      | 0.25       | 0.25                         |  |  |
| MEM          | ≤0.125 | ≤0.125  | ≤0.125    | ≤0.125     | ≤0.125                       |  |  |
| LIPOPEPTIDES |        |   |           |            |                              |  |  |
| CL           | ≤0.5   | ≤0.5  | ≤0.5      | 0.75       | ≤0.5                         |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> AMP, Ampicillin; SAM, Ampicillin-sulbactam; TIC, Ticarcillin; TZP, Piperacillin-tazobactam; PIP, Piperacillin; CFZ, Cefazolin; CAZ, Ceftazidime; FEP, Cefepime; CRO, Ceftriaxone; ATM, Aztreonam; IPM, Imipenem; MEM, Meropenem; CL, Colistin.

<sup>b</sup> MIC was determined in triplicate by standard two-fold serial broth microdilution method.



et al., 2015), but no trace of post-transcriptional mechanism has been found in *Y. enterocolitica*.

Along with the popular research of *ampC* regulation, there is growing evidence that some bacteria may regulate the expression of *ampC* through at least two different ways, one of which was NagZ-dependent, while the other worked without the participation of NagZ (Huang et al., 2012; Guerin et al., 2015). In the study on P. aeruginosa, nagZ inactivation was shown to attenuate *ampC* expression and was critical for basallevel *ampC* derepression in both  $PA\Delta D$  (*ampD* inactivation) and  $PA\Delta dB$  (*pbp4* inactivation) mutants (Asgarali et al., 2009; Zamorano et al., 2010). However,  $\Delta nagZ$  had little effect on the cefoxitin-induced ampC expression level in both PA $\Delta D$ and  $PA\Delta dB$ , which indicated that an unidentified non-NagZ product at work in this induction process. Furthermore, two different regulation ways of β-lactamase have been found in S. maltophilia, on one hand NagZ was essential for KJADI (ampD inactivation) ampC overexpression, on the other hand, *nagZ* inactivation hardly influenced the *ampC* expression level of KJAmrcA (pbp1a inactivation; Huang et al., 2012). In this study, we also found two different ampC regulation ways exist in Y. enterocolitica, the patterns of which were just the reverse of that in S. maltophilia (Huang et al., 2012). The β-lactamase activity of YEAD123 was not affected by the inactivation of the *nagZ* gene, whereas the introduction of  $\Delta nagZ$  into the PBP mutation strain  $YE\Delta4\Delta5\Delta6\Delta7$  dramatically reduced the  $\beta$ -lactamase activities at both the basal and induced level (Figure 2). As shown in Table 3, the antibiotic resistance of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$  and YE $\Delta D123$  were marked improved compare with wild-type strain, the MIC value of these two strains in TZP, PIP, CFZ, CAZ, CRO, and ATM is rising sharply. While after inactivation of nagZ gene simultaneously, only a

marginal distinction between YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ Z was found, but the MIC values of YE $\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7\Delta Z$  has shifted down significantly, far from its parent strain  $YE\Delta 4\Delta 5\Delta 6\Delta 7$ for almost all tested  $\beta$ -lactams. To further confirm the function of NagZ, we constructed a *nagZ* deletion strain YE $\Delta Z$ , and detected the N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase activity of it to compare with the wild-type strain Y. enterocolitica 105.5R(r), the results showed that the ability of hydrolysis chromogenic substrate was completely lost in *nagZ* mutation strain YE $\Delta Z$ (Figure 3), suggesting that NagZ (YE105\_RS06670) was the only enzyme that possessed N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase activity in Y. enterocolitica 105.5R(r). However, even though there is no readable N-acetyl- $\beta$ -glucosaminidase activity in YE $\Delta Z$ , we also did the bioinformatic search to look for possible NagZ homologs in genome to find the protein worked in YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ Z. According to the gene function annotation of 105.5R(r), we considered the YE105\_RS13000 may have similar function with NagZ, but it was not clear if this protein participated the *ampC* regulation or not. Therefore, further studies needed to performed to elucidate the function of YE105\_RS13000 in Y. enterocolitica *ampC* regulation.

In *Y. enterocolitica*, the function of AmpR was roughly the same as other members of *Enterobacteriaceae* or *P. aeruginosa*. The introduction of  $\Delta ampR$  into the AmpC hyperproduction strains YE $\Delta$ D123 and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 resulted in a sharp decline in the *ampC* expression (**Figure 2**). The inducibility of YE $\Delta$ D123 $\Delta$ R and YE $\Delta$ 4 $\Delta$ 5 $\Delta$ 6 $\Delta$ 7 $\Delta$ R also disappeared completely (Lindberg et al., 1985; Lindberg and Normark, 1987).

In conclusion, in terms of AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase regulation, *Y. enterocolitica* shared some common characteristics with *P. aerugiosa* and other members of *Enterobacteriaceae*, but it also had its own features. This was the first investigation to the characterization of *Y. enterocolitica ampC* regulation. It provided a more comprehensive understanding of the AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase regulation in Gram-negative bacteria.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CL, CCL, SS, HJ, and XW designed the experiment together. YC and HH performed data analysis. JL and RD participated in the manuscript translation. ZG, JZ, and ZZ contributed to finish the work. All authors contributed to writing of the manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (General Project, no. 81470092) and the National Sci-Tech Key Project (2012ZX10004201, 2013ZX10004203-002).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Liuying Tang and American Journal Experts for their critical reading and helpful comments on our manuscript (Sub ID F3Y8N2N7).

### REFERENCES

- Asgarali, A., Stubbs, K. A., Oliver, A., Vocadlo, D. J., and Mark, B. L. (2009). Inactivation of the glycoside hydrolase NagZ attenuates antipseudomonal βlactam resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 2274–2282. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01617-08
- Balasubramanian, D., Kumari, H., and Mathee, K. (2015). Pseudomonas aeruginosa AmpR: an acute-chronic switch regulator. Pathog. Dis. 73, 1–14. doi: 10.1111/2049-632X.12208
- Bent, Z. W., and Young, G. M. (2010). Contribution of BlaA and BlaB  $\beta$ -lactamases to antibiotic susceptibility of *Yersinia enterocolitica* biovar 1B. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54, 4000–4002. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01754-09
- Chen, Y., Duan, R., Li, X., Li, K., Liang, J., Liu, C., et al. (2015). Homology analysis and cross-immunogenicity of OmpA from pathogenic Yersinia enterocolitica, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, and Yersinia pestis. Mol. Immunol. 68(2 Pt A), 290–299. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2015.09.016
- CLSI (2015). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth Informational Supplement (M100-S24). Wayne, PA.
- Cornelis, G., and Abraham, E. P. (1975). Beta-lactamases from Yersinia enterocolitica. J. Gen. Microbiol. 87, 273–284. doi: 10.1099/00221287-87-2-273
- Guerin, F., Isnard, C., Cattoir, V., and Giard, J. C. (2015). Complex regulation pathways of AmpC-mediated β-lactam resistance in *Enterobacter cloacae* complex. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 7753–7761. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01729-15
- Huang, Y. W., Hu, R. M., Lin, C. W., Chung, T. C., and Yang, T. C. (2012). NagZdependent and NagZ-independent mechanisms for β-lactamase expression in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56, 1936–1941. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05645-11
- Juan, C., Moya, B., Perez, J. L., and Oliver, A. (2006). Stepwise upregulation of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chromosomal cephalosporinase conferring highlevel β-lactam resistance involves three AmpD homologues. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 50, 1780–1787. doi: 10.1128/AAC.50.5.1780-1787.2006
- Liang, J., Li, X., Zha, T., Chen, Y., Hao, H., Liu, C., et al. (2016). DTDP-rhamnosyl transferase RfbF, is a newfound receptor-related regulatory protein for phage phiYe-F10 specific for *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O:3. *Sci. Rep.* 6:22905. doi: 10.1038/srep22905
- Liang, J., Wang, X., Xiao, Y., Cui, Z., Xia, S., Hao, Q., et al. (2012). Prevalence of *Yersinia enterocolitica* in pigs slaughtered in Chinese abattoirs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 2949–2956. doi: 10.1128/AEM.07893-11
- Lindberg, F., and Normark, S. (1987). Common mechanism of ampC beta-lactamase induction in enterobacteria: regulation of the cloned *Enterobacter cloacae* P99 beta-lactamase gene. J. Bacteriol. 169, 758–763. doi: 10.1128/jb.169.2.758-763.1987
- Lindberg, F., Lindquist, S., and Normark, S. (1987). Inactivation of the ampD gene causes semiconstitutive overproduction of the inducible *Citrobacter freundii* β-lactamase. *J. Bacteriol.* 169, 1923–1928. doi: 10.1128/jb.169.5.1923-1928. 1987
- Lindberg, F., Westman, L., and Normark, S. (1985). Regulatory components in *Citrobacter freundii* ampC beta-lactamase induction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 82, 4620–4624. doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.14.4620
- Liu, C., Wang, X., Chen, Y., Hao, H., Li, X., Liang, J., et al. (2016). Three *Yersinia enterocolitica* AmpD homologs participate in the multi-step regulation of chromosomal Cephalosporinase, AmpC. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1282. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01282
- Moya, B., Dotsch, A., Juan, C., Blazquez, J., Zamorano, L., Haussler, S., et al. (2009).  $\beta\text{-lactam}$  resistance response triggered by inactivation

of a nonessential penicillin-binding protein. *PLoS Pathog.* 5:e1000353. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000353

- Peter, K., Korfmann, G., and Wiedemann, B. (1988). Impact of the ampD gene and its product on beta-lactamase production in *Enterobacter cloacae. Rev. Infect. Dis.* 10, 800–805. doi: 10.1093/clinids/10.4.800
- Pfeifle, D., Janas, E., and Wiedemann, B. (2000). Role of penicillinbinding proteins in the initiation of the AmpC β-lactamase expression in *Enterobacter cloacae*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44, 169–172. doi: 10.1128/AAC.44.1.169-172.2000
- Philippe, N., Alcaraz, J. P., Coursange, E., Geiselmann, J., and Schneider, D. (2004). Improvement of pCVD442, a suicide plasmid for gene allele exchange in bacteria. *Plasmid* 51, 246–255. doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2004.02.003
- Ropy, A., Cabot, G., Sanchez-Diener, I., Aguilera, C., Moya, B., Ayala, J. A., et al. (2015). Role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* low-molecular-mass penicillin-binding proteins in AmpC expression, β-lactam resistance, and peptidoglycan structure. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 3925–3934. doi: 10.1128/AAC.05150-14
- Sanders, C. C., Bradford, P. A., Ehrhardt, A. F., Bush, K., Young, K. D., Henderson, T. A., et al. (1997). Penicillin-binding proteins and induction of AmpC βlactamase. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41, 2013–2015.
- Seoane, A., Francia, M. V., and Garcia Lobo, J. M. (1992). Nucleotide sequence of the ampC-ampR region from the chromosome of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 36, 1049–1052. doi: 10.1128/AAC.36. 5.1049
- Simon, R., Priefer, U., and Puhler, A. (1983). A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 1, 784–791. doi: 10.1038/nbt1183-784
- Vollmer, W., Joris, B., Charlier, P., and Foster, S. (2008). Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 259–286. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00099.x
- Wang, X., Li, Y., Jing, H., Ren, Y., Zhou, Z., Wang, S., et al. (2011). Complete genome sequence of a Yersinia enterocolitica "Old World" (3/O:9) strain and comparison with the "New World" (1B/O:8) strain. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49, 1251–1259. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01921-10
- Yang, T. C., Chen, T. F., Tsai, J. J., and Hu, R. M. (2014). NagZ is required for beta-lactamase expression and full pathogenicity in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris str. 17. *Res. Microbiol.* 165, 612–619. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2014.08.008
- Zamorano, L., Reeve, T. M., Deng, L., Juan, C., Moya, B., Cabot, G., et al. (2010). NagZ inactivation prevents and reverts  $\beta$ -lactam resistance, driven by AmpD and PBP 4 mutations, in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54, 3557–3563. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00385-10
- Zeng, X., and Lin, J. (2013). Beta-lactamase induction and cell wall metabolism in Gram-negative bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:128. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00128

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Liu, Li, Chen, Hao, Liang, Duan, Guo, Zhang, Zhao, Jing, Wang and Shao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.