JUNB governs a feed-forward network of TGF β signaling that aggravates breast cancer invasion

Anders Sundqvist^{1,2,*,†}, Masato Morikawa^{1,3,†}, Jiang Ren⁴, Eleftheria Vasilaki^{1,2}, Natsumi Kawasaki³, Mai Kobayashi³, Daizo Koinuma^{1,3}, Hiroyuki Aburatani⁵, Kohei Miyazono^{1,2,3}, Carl-Henrik Heldin^{1,2}, Hans van Dam^{1,4} and Peter ten Dijke^{1,2,4,*}

¹Ludwig Cancer Research, Science for Life Laboratory, Box 595, Biomedical Center, Uppsala University, SE-751 24 Uppsala, Sweden, ²Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Science for Life Laboratory, Box 582, Biomedical Center, Uppsala University, SE-751 23 Uppsala, Sweden, ³Department of Molecular Pathology, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan, ⁴Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Cancer Genomics Centre Netherlands, Leiden University Medical Center, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC, Leiden, The Netherlands and ⁵Genome Science Division, Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8904, Japan

Received March 07, 2017; Revised October 24, 2017; Editorial Decision November 14, 2017; Accepted November 19, 2017

ABSTRACT

It is well established that transforming growth factor- β (TGF β) switches its function from being a tumor suppressor to a tumor promoter during the course of tumorigenesis, which involves both cell-intrinsic and environment-mediated mechanisms. We are interested in breast cancer cells, in which SMAD mutations are rare and interactions between SMAD and other transcription factors define pro-oncogenic events. Here, we have performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-sequencing analyses which indicate that the genome-wide landscape of SMAD2/3 binding is altered after prolonged TGF β stimulation. De novo motif analyses of the SMAD2/3 binding regions predict enrichment of binding motifs for activator protein (AP)1 in addition to SMAD motifs. TGF β induced expression of the AP1 component JUNB was required for expression of many late invasionmediating genes, creating a feed-forward regulatory network. Moreover, we found that several components in the WNT pathway were enriched among the late TGFβ-target genes, including the invasioninducing WNT7 proteins. Consistently, overexpression of WNT7A or WNT7B enhanced and potentiated TGFβ-induced breast cancer cell invasion, while inhibition of the WNT pathway reduced this process. Our study thereby helps to explain how accumulation of pro-oncogenic stimuli switches and stabilizes TGFβ-induced cellular phenotypes of epithelial cells.

INTRODUCTION

The signaling pathways triggered by the transforming growth factor β (TGF β) family members control a wide range of cellular processes. TGFB signals via heterotetrameric complexes of type I and type II serine/threonine kinase receptors. The activated receptor complex initiates intracellular signaling by phosphorylating receptorregulated (R-) SMAD proteins (SMAD2 and SMAD3). The activated R-SMADs form heteromeric complexes with SMAD4, which accumulate in the nucleus and control expression of target genes (1-3). However, SMADs have relatively weak affinity for DNA and in many cases interact with so called master transcription factors to achieve high affinity and target-gene specificity (4,5). These interactions alter the intensity, duration and specificity of the TGF_βsignaling response, in a context- and cell-type-specific manner (6-8).

TGF β plays a dual role in tumor progression. In normal or premalignant cells TGF β functions as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis. However, in late stages of tumor development, TGF β instead acts as a tumor promoter by stimulating cell motility, invasion, metastasis and tumor stem cell maintenance. This is reflected by the observation that specific types of cancers are insensitive to the cytostatic effect of TGF β due to inactivation of core components in the TGF β pathway (9,10). On the other hand, in breast cancer and certain other cancers, defects in the TGF β /SMAD signaling itself are relatively uncommon; instead tumor promoting effects of TGF β /SMAD signaling dominates (reviewed in (11,12)). In line with this, TGF β is frequently overexpressed in breast

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +46 18 4714531; Fax: +46 18 4714673; Email: anders.sundqvist@imbim.uu.se Correspondence may also be addressed to Peter ten Dijke. Tel: +31 71 5269271; Fax: +31 71 5268270; Email: P.ten_Dijke@lumc.nl [†]These authors contributed equally to this work as first authors.

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

cancer and its expression correlates with poor prognosis and metastasis (13). The influence of TGF β on tumor growth is also affected by crosstalk between the TGF β signaling pathway and a wide variety of signal transduction pathways. For example, the Ras-MAP-kinase (MAPK) pathway (14) regulates cell migration and invasion synergistically with TGF β (8,11,15,16). Interestingly, transcriptomewide analysis of mouse primary hepatocytes treated with TGF β revealed that the early TGF β response was characterized by expression of genes involved in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, while the late gene signature was associated with an aggressive and invasive tumor phenotype that effectively identified clinical relevant subgroups of hepatocellular carcinoma (17).

We previously reported that prolonged stimulation with TGFB induces mesenchymal and invasion-associated genes through interaction between SMAD and activator protein (AP)1 components, in particular JUNB (16). AP1 transcription factors are targeted by many signal transduction pathways and regulate a magnitude of cellular processes, including cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, invasion and carcinogenesis, depending on their dimer composition (18-20). SMAD and AP1 members interact at different levels. For example, TGF β induces the expression of specific AP1 components and reporter assays suggested that the AP1 components JUN and JUNB cooperate with SMAD2/3 to activate TGFB-induced promoters regulated by AP1 binding sites (21,22), while antagonizing DNA binding of the same SMADs on promoters controlled by SMAD binding sites (23). However, little is known about the SMADs and AP1 crosstalk at the genome-wide level.

Identification and characterization of signaling molecules that switch TGFB/SMAD signaling from tumor suppression to tumor promotion is critical for the development of therapies targeting the TGFB pathway (24). To identify SMAD complexes and target genes involved in tumor progression on a genome-wide scale, we performed SMAD2/3 chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by next-generation sequencing (ChIP-seq) and RNA sequencing analyses, both early and late after TGFB stimulation. Our results indicate that most of SMAD2/3 is redirected to different sites on the genome after prolonged TGFB treatment. De novo motif analyses predicted enrichment of binding motifs for AP1 and SMAD, or the SMAD Binding Element (SBE) consensus sequence CAGA, in SMAD2/3 binding regions. Moreover, our results suggest that TGFB-induced expression of JUNB via a positive feed-forward mechanism enables a switch of the early TGFB transcriptional program to a late, invasionmediating program. Furthermore, we found that genes related to WNT signaling pathways are enriched among the late TGF_β-target genes. Consistently, modulation of the WNT signaling pathway aggravated TGFβ-induced breast cancer cell invasion and metastasis. Our study thereby helps to explain how accumulation of oncogenic stimuli switches TGF^β responsiveness in epithelial cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human breast epithelial MCF10A MII cells were obtained from Dr Fred Miller (Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, USA) and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2 in DMEM/F12 (Gibco), supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HvClone). 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) (PeproTech), 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 µg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich). MCF10A MII cells are derived from MCF10A cells by transformation with Ha-Ras. Human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells and human lung cancer A549 cells were obtained from ATCC and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with 10% FBS (Bio West). Breast cancer Hs578T and BT-549 cells were obtained from ATCC, and maintained as recommended. Briefly, Hs578T cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone), and 10 µg/ml insulin (Gibco), and BT-549 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (Gibco), supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone), and 0.023 IU/ml insulin (Gibco).

Lentiviral transduction

MCF10A MII cells were infected with lentivirus encoding an shRNA sequence against human *JUNB* (TRCN00 00014943, TRCN0000014946, TRNC0000014947) selected from the MISSION shRNA library (Sigma-Aldrich). As a control an empty pLKO vector was used. Virus transduction was performed overnight and the infected cells were selected using culture medium containing Puromycin.

Reagents and antibodies

Recombinant human TGF_{β3} (a generous gift of Dr K. Iwata, OSI Pharmaceuticals, Inc, New York, USA, or purchased from R&D Systems) was used for stimulation of cells. Epithelial cells that express betaglycan respond similarly to the three TGFB isoforms. Recombinant human WNT7A was from PeproTech. The TGFB type I kinase receptor (TGFBRI) inhibitor SB505124 (ALK5i) and IWP-2 (WNTi), which is an inhibitor of WNT processing and secretion, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck Millipore, respectively. Puromycin was purchased from Invivogen and used at a concentration of 0.5 µg/ml. For siRNA-mediated knockdown, Dharmacon On Target Plus pools of four oligonucleotides (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) was transfected using siLentFect (Bio-Rad) transfection reagent according to manufacturer's instructions at 25 nM final concentration.

Antibodies against the following proteins were used: ERK1/2 (#4695, Cell Signaling Technology), phospho-Thr202/Tyr204-ERK1/2 (#4370, Cell Signaling Technology), FN1 (F3648, Sigma-Aldrich), JUN (#9164, Cell Signaling Technology), JUNB (sc-8051, Santa Cruz), FOS (sc-52, Santa Cruz), FOSB (#2251, Cell Signaling Technology), FOSL1 (sc-22794, Santa Cruz), FOSL2 (sc-604, Santa Cruz), MYC (sc-40, Santa Cruz), SMAD2/3 (#610843, BD Transduction Laboratories), phospho-Ser465/467-SMAD2 (#3108, Cell Signaling Technology), phospho-Ser423/425-SMAD3 (#9520, Cell Signaling Technology), SMAD4 (sc-7966, Santa Cruz), α -TUBULIN (sc-8035, Santa Cruz) and WNT7B (AF3460, R&D Systems). A custom-made JUND antibody was raised in chicken against a synthetic polypeptide CQLLPQHQV-PAY, corresponding to the unique C-terminal part of JUND (Immune Systems).

Plasmid construction

WNT7A and WNT7B cDNAs were kindly provided by Dr Brad St. Croix. For stable cell line establishment, cDNAs were cloned into an episomal expression vector pPyCAG-IRES-Puro, which contains polyoma Ori and can be propagated episomally in cells (25).

Western blot analysis

MCF10A MII cells were seeded in 6-well-plates $(2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$, and starved the following day for 16 h in 0.2% FBS, and cells were then stimulated with 5 ng/ml of TGF β 3 for indicated time-periods. Cells were lysed in 2× SDS Laemmli sampler buffer (5% SDS, 25% glycerol, 150 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 100 mM dithio-threitol (DTT)). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Protran, GE Healthcare Life Science), and the chemiluminescent signal was detected using the Immobilon Western kit (Merck Millipore).

3D spheroid collagen invasion assay

One thousand cells, of the indicated cell line, were trypsinized, re-suspended in medium containing 2.4 mg/ml methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich) and added into each well of a U-bottom 96-well-plate (Greiner Bio One) allowing the formation of one spheroid per well. Two days after plating, a U-bottom 96-well-plate was coated with neutralized bovine collagen-I (PureCol. Advanced BioMatrix) according to manufacturer's protocol. Spheroids were harvested and embedded in a 1:1 mix of neutralized collagen and medium supplemented with 12 mg/ml of methylcellulose and allowed to polymerize on the top of the neutralized collagen. TGFB3 and/or recombinant WNT7A were directly added to the embedding solution. After polymerization, medium supplemented with 1.6% FBS was added to the top of the collagen. SB505124 and IWP-2 were added in the medium. Pictures were taken at day 0 and day 2 after embedding and quantified by measuring the area occupied by cells using Adobe Photoshop CS3 software.

Zebrafish maintenance

This study was approved by The Institutional Committee for Animal Welfare of the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). Zebrafish and embryos were maintained according to standard procedures. The transgenic fish line Tg(fil:GFP) was used in this study as described before (26,27). All experiments were performed in accordance with approved guidelines and regulations.

Embryo preparation and tumor cell implantation

Tg(*fli1:GFP*) zebrafish embryos were dechorionated at 2 days post fertilization (dpf). Single cell suspensions of mCherry labelled MCF10A MII, MDA-MB-231 or A549 cells were re-suspended in PBS and kept at 4°C before injection. Cell suspensions were loaded into borosilicate glass capillary needles (1 mm O.D. \times 0.78 mm I.D.; Harvard Apparatus). Injections were performed with a Pneumatic Picopump and a manipulator (WPI). Dechorionated embryos were anaesthetized with 0.003% tricaine (Sigma) and mounted on 10-cm Petri dishes coated with 1% agarose. Approximately 400 cells were injected at the duct of Cuvier (DOC). Injected zebrafish embryos were maintained at 34°C. All the experiments were repeated at least two times and at least 30 embryos were analyzed per group.

Microscopy and analysis

Six days post infection (dpi) embryos were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight. Fixed embryos were analyzed and imaged in PBS with a Leica SP5 STED confocal microscope (Leica). The numbers of clusters formed in caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT) of each embryo were counted. Confocal stacks were processed for maximum intensity projections with matched software LAS AF Lite. Brightness and contrast of images were adjusted as well.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated by RNeasy Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was prepared by using iScript kit (Bio-Rad) using 0.5 μ g of total RNA, according the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA samples were diluted 10 times in water. qRT-PCR was performed using KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR kit Master Mix (KAPA Biosystems) and BioRad CFX96 real-time PCR detection system according the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR reactions were performed as follow: one cycle of 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s, followed by one cycle of 95°C for 15 s and 65°C for 5 s. Relative gene expression was determined using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The expression was normalized to the *GAPDH* gene and quantified relative to the control condition. The complete primers list can be found in Supplementary Table S1 in the Supplementary Data.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Cells were cultured in 10-cm plates to \sim 80–90% confluence, and one plate was used per immunoprecipitation. Cells were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature with swirling. Glycine was added to a final concentration of 0.125 M, and the incubation was continued for an additional 5 min. Cells were washed twice with icecold phosphate-buffered saline, harvested by scraping, pelleted, and resuspended in 1 ml of SDS lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, protease inhibitors (Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors; Roche Diagnostics)). Samples were sonicated three times for 30 s each time (output H) at intervals of 30 s with a Diagenode Bioruptor sonicator. Samples were centrifuged at 14 000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min. After removal of a control aliquot (whole-cell extract), supernatants were diluted 10-fold in ChIP dilution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100). Samples were incubated at 4°C overnight in 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine polymer-treated 15-ml polypropylene tubes (Assist, Japan) with anti-mouse IgG-Dynabeads that had been preincubated with 5 μ g of anti-SMAD2/3 antibody in phosphate buffered saline, 0.5% bovine serum albumin. The beads were then moved to 1.7-ml siliconized tubes (#3207: Corning) and washed five times with ChIP wash buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.0, 0.5 M LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.7% deoxycholate, 1% Igepal CA630) and once with TE buffer, pH 8.0. Immunoprecipitated samples were eluted and reverse cross-linked by incubation overnight at 65°C in elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS). Genomic DNA was then extracted with a PCR purification kit (Qiagen). The immunoprecipitated DNA was analyzed by qRT-PCR using locus specific primers (the complete primers list can be found in Supplementary Table S2 in the Supplementary Data) and normalized to input DNA. Relative fold enrichment corresponded to the SMAD2/3 enrichment in each locus divided by the enrichment in the negative control regions (hemoglobin β (HBB) promoter and HPRT1 first intron) and quantified relative to the control- or the siNTC-condition as indicated.

ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-seq) and data analysis

Chromatin isolation, sonication and immunoprecipitation using anti-SMAD2/3 antibody were performed essentially as described (28,29). The library was prepared using NEB-Next ChIP-Seq Library Prep Reagent Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs), KAPA DNA Library Preparation Kits for Illumina (KAPA Biosystems), or IonXpress Plus Fragment Library Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Highthroughput sequencing of the ChIP fragments was performed using Genome Analyzer IIx or HiSeq 2000 (Illumina) or Ion Proton sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocols. Reference files of the human reference sequence assembly (NCBI Build 37/hg19, February 2009) and GTF annotation file were obtained from iGenomes (http://support.illumina.com/ sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html). All ChIPseq data sets were aligned using Bowtie (version 1.1.0) (30) with the command '-S -a -best -strata -v 1 -m 1'. SMAD2/3 binding regions were identified using MACS software (Model based analysis of ChIP-seq) (version 1.4.2) (31) with a P-value threshold of 1e-5. Assigning a binding site to the nearest gene within 100 kb from a peak was performed using CisGenome ver2 (32). De novo motif prediction was performed by MEME-ChIP with a slight modification of the default settings (maximum width: 10) (MEME-ChIP version 4.10; http://meme.nbcr.net/meme/cgi-bin/meme-chip. cgi) (33). The logo plots were generated using the R package seqLogo. Mapping of TFBSs to the specific genomic regions were calculated by the CisGenome. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID v6.7; http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov) (34). Biological functions associated with the SMAD2/3 binding sites were predicted using GREAT (Genomic Regions Enrichment of Annotations Tool) (35). The ChIP-Seq data of H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and corresponding control input DNA of MCF10A cells (SRA045635) (36) were obtained from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/sra). The ChIP-Seq data of H3K4me1 and H3K4me3 of HMEC were generated and available from ENCODE consortium (37).

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) and data analysis

RNA-seq libraries were prepared essentially as described (38). In short, mRNA was isolated from 1 μ g total RNA using Dynabeads Oligo(dT)25 (Life Technologies) and fragmented to 150–200 nt in first strand buffer for 3 min at 94°C. Random hexamer primed first strand was generated in presence of dATP, dGTP, dCTP and dTTP. Second strand was generated using dUTP instead of dTTP to tag the second strand. Subsequent steps to generate the sequencing libraries were performed with the NEBNext kit for Illumina sequencing (New England Biolabs) with minor modifications; after indexed adapter ligation to the dsDNA fragments, the library was treated with USER (Uracil-Specific Excision Reagent) Enzyme (New England Biolabs) in order to digest the second strand derived fragments. After amplification of the libraries, samples with unique sample indexes were pooled and sequenced using HiSeq 2000 with TruSeq SBS Kit v3 reagent or HiSeq 2500 with TruSeq SBS Kit v4 reagent (Illumina) following the manufacturer's protocols.

Gene expression levels in fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped (FPKM) were estimated using Tophat/Cufflinks (version 2.0.13 and 2.2.1, respectively) with the default parameter settings (39). For the analysis and visualization of the data generated by Cufflinks, we used the R package cummeRbund.

Analysis of Breast Cancer clinical datasets

For the analysis of patient datasets from Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) (40), all statistical tests were performed using R software (version 3.2.5, https://www.r-project.org/) as described previously (41). Z-scored expression values of mRNA were obtained from cBioPortal (42,43) in September 2017. Patients were divided into low and high expressers using the median values of mRNA expression. The overall survival was estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method and differences between groups were evaluated by the log-rank test, using the R package cmprsk. *P*-values were calculated using Welch's *t*-test, or unequal variance *t*-test (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

Meta-analysis of Breast Cancer datasets were performed using KM plotter (http://kmplot.com) (44) with default settings; all subtypes, n = 3557; ER+ subjects, n = 2036; ERsubjects, n = 807; luminal A subtype, n = 2069; luminal B subtype, n = 1166; HER2-subtype, n = 239; basal-like subtype, n = 668), and the data sets includes E-MTAB-365, GSE11121, GSE12093, GSE12276, GSE1456, GSE16391, GSE16446, GSE17705, GSE17907, GSE19615, GSE20194, GSE20271, GSE2034, GSE20685, GSE20711, GSE21653, GSE2603, GSE26971, GSE2990, GSE31448, GSE31519, GSE3494, GSE5327, GSE6532, GSE7390 and GSE9195.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) analyses were performed using the tool available at http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp (45). In brief, fold change (\log_2) in gene expression from two experimental conditions were calculated and the list was then used as a ranked list in the Pre-Ranked function of the GSEA software.

Statistical analysis

For ChIP-qPCR and qRT-PCR at least three independent experiments were performed and results are shown by dot plot chart. The differences between experimental groups were analyzed using Welch's *t*-test, with *P < 0.05, **P < 0.050.01 and ***P < 0.001 being considered significant. Collagen invasion assays contained $n \ge 6$ spheroids for each condition, and was repeated at least twice with similar results. Data are presented as means \pm SD. The differences between experimental groups were analyzed using Welch's *t*-test, with *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 being considered significant. For the zebrafish experiments statistical analysis was performed using Prism 4 software (GraphPad La Jolla, USA). Results are expressed as the mean \pm SEM. Student's *t*-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed followed by the Tukey's method for multiple comparison. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (*0.01 < P < 0.05, **0.001 <P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).

RESULTS

SMAD2/3 are redirected to different sites after prolonged TGF β treatment

To identify both early and late SMAD-containing complexes and target genes involved in tumor progression, we first conducted SMAD2/3 ChIP-seq in MCF10A MII breast cancer cells after 1.5 and 16 h of TGFB treatment. Analysis of three well known TGFB/SMAD target genes, SERPINE1, laminin β (LAMB)3 and matrix metalloprotease (MMP)2, as expected, showed enriched SMAD2/3 binding in specific regions of the gene loci, including the SMAD2/3 binding site that was previously identified in the SERPINE1 promoter in HaCaT keratinocytes (46) (Figure 1A). TGF β -dependent SMAD2/3 binding to these three genes was also detected by ChIP-qPCR analysis (Supplementary Figure S1A). Interestingly, at the late time point SMAD2/3 was found to bind to different regions of the SERPINE1 and LAMB3 loci, whereas in the MMP2 gene locus SMAD2/3 binding to the binding site located 40 kb upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) was lost (Figure 1A). Moreover, overall SMAD2/3 recognized more target sites after 16 h of TGFB stimulation (3280 sites) compared to 1.5 h stimulation (2206 sites), and only \sim 700 SMAD2/3 binding sites overlapped between the two time points (Figure 1B), suggesting that the activated SMAD2/3 proteins (Figure 1C) were redirected to different binding sites over the genome at the late time point. Furthermore, there were no differences in preferences of SMAD2/3 binding sites on the genome between the two conditions; $\sim 35\%$ of the SMAD2/3 binding sites were located in the introns of known genes and $\sim 10\%$ in the promoter regions within 10 kb upstream of known TSSs (Figure 1D).

We next compared our SMAD2/3 binding data with previously reported enhancer data in non-stimulated normal human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) and parental MCF10A cells (36,37). The SMAD2/3 binding sites shared between cells stimulated 1.5 and 16 h overlapped well with the previously identified enhancer regions characterized by H3K4me1 (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure S1B). The 1.5 h-only sites also overlapped with these H3K4me1 marks, but the 16 h-only sites did not (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure S1B). In contrast, fewer SMAD2/3 peaks overlapped with the previously reported promoter regions characterized by H3K4me3. This could mean that after 1.5 h TGFβ stimulation, SMAD2/3 preferentially binds to enhancer regions already accessible in non-stimulated normal mammary epithelial cells, but after 16 h prefers different regions. In fact, distinct gene ontologies (GOs) were enriched in the genes associated with 16 h-only sites compared with those of 1.5 h-only sites (Supplementary Figure S1C).

To validate whether the changes in SMAD2/3 binding indeed result in changes in target gene programs, we performed RNA-seq transcriptome analysis after short (1.5 h) and long (16 h) periods of TGF β stimulation of MCF10A MII cells and compared with unstimulated cells. Consistent with the SMAD2/3 binding profiles, RNA-seq data revealed that more genes were strongly induced at the late time point compared to the early time point (Figure 2A). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) based on Kyoto encyclopedia genes and genomes (KEGG)-defined pathways confirmed that genes associated with GOs like the TGF β signaling pathway were enriched among the early TGF β target genes with SMAD2/3 binding sites, whereas genes within Focal adhesion and MAPK signaling pathways were enriched among the late TGF β target genes (Figure 2B–E).

JUNB is a critical AP1 component for SMAD2/3 binding after TGF β stimulation

An explanation for the changes in SMAD2/3 binding at 16 h might be that DNA binding factors that are modulated by TGF β -SMAD signaling at early time points subsequently redirect SMAD2/3 to different binding sites on the genome as a part of a feed-forward loop, e.g. by interacting with SMAD2/3 and/or affecting its chromatin accessibility. To obtain more clues on this, we performed *de novo* motif prediction analysis. Interestingly, AP1 binding motifs were identified as the major recognition elements among both the early and late sites, with higher significance than SBEs (Figure 3A).

We next analyzed the expression profiles of AP1 at protein and mRNA levels (Figures 3B and Supplementary Figure S2A). Both JUN, JUNB, FOS, FOSB and FOSL2 were strongly induced after TGF β treatment, while FOSL1 was suppressed at the mRNA level but unaffected at the protein level, in line with our previous findings (16). Moreover, in these cells JUNB was most critical for TGF β -induced invasion as well as induction of some invasion-associated genes (16). It is also of note that JUNB gene amplifica-



Figure 1. SMAD2/3 are redirected to different sites in MCF10A MII after prolonged TGF β treatment. (A) Genomic loci of *SERPINE1 (plasminogen activator inhibitor 1*, or *PAI-1*), *MMP2* and *LAMB3* genes are shown together with the results of SMAD2/3 ChIP-seq data. The direction of transcription is shown by the arrow beginning at the transcription start site (TSS). Statistically significant regions are marked by a gray-colored box. (B) A Venn diagram indicating overlap of SMAD2/3 binding sites of MCF10A MII cells after 1.5 and 16 h TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment. The numbers of overlapped regions are not identical, since some of the peaks are not on a one-by-one correspondence. (C) Western blots for phospho-SMAD2/3 in MCF10A MII cells after 0, 1.5 and 16 h TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment. (D) Distribution of SMAD2/3 binding sites in MCF10A MII cells relative to known genes in the human genome (hg19). (E) Heat map representation of the location of the indicated histone marks in breast HMEC and MCF10A epithelial cells within the 10-kb region surrounding the center of the SMAD2/3 peaks. SMAD2/3 binding sites were ordered based on the strength of binding (y axis). The presence of epigenetic marker (36,37) is displayed.

tion occurred in 1–14% of breast cancer patients (Supplementary Figure S2B) (40,42,43). In addition, patients with *JUNB* amplification had a trend of poorer prognosis (Supplementary Figure S2C), although this was not statistically significant because of the small number of cases. We therefore decided to functionally assess the role of JUNB in the recruitment of SMAD2/3 to the late TGF β -induced gene program.

We first analyzed again the three well known TGF β /SMAD target genes, *SERPINE1*, *LAMB3*, and *MMP2*. Knock-down of JUNB strongly inhibited the

recruitment of SMAD2/3 to the *SERPINE1* and *LAMB3* gene loci after 16 h of TGF β stimulation (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S2D), while SMAD2/3 recruitment to the *MMP2* gene locus was not affected. Moreover, knock-down of JUNB inhibited TGF β -induced mRNA expression of *SERPINE1* and *LAMB* after prolonged TGF β stimulation, but not of *MMP2*, and phosphorylation of SMAD 2 and 3 was hardly influenced (Figure 3D). The late JUNB-dependent binding of SMAD2/3 to the *SERPINE1* and *LAMB3* gene loci (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S1A), correlated with enhanced binding



(n=1,481 genes, with SMAD2/3-binding after TGF β 16 h)

Figure 2. Identification of a late TGF β target gene signature. (A) Scatter plot representing fold change after TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment. Each point represents values of a gene. Genes with a SMAD2/3 binding within 50 kb from gene bodies after 16 h TGF β treatment are colored red. A dot square represents 2-fold change of gene expression. (**B**–**E**) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of expression changes of SMAD2/3 target genes after 1.5 h (**B** and **C**) and 16 h (**D** and **E**) of TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment. The SMAD2/3 target genes were pre-rank-ordered according to their fold change (log₂) after TGF β treatment for the indicated time periods, and analyzed based on KEGG signaling pathway enrichment. Gene sets with a *P*-value < 5% and an FDR *q*-value < 25% were considered significant. (**C** and **E**) Enrichment score (ES) is plotted on the y axis.



Figure 3. JUNB is a critical AP1 component for SMAD2/3 binding after TGF β stimulation. (A) Motifs enriched in the SMAD2/3 binding sites. Motifs which resemble the motif of AP1 were identified as well as SBE. (B) Western blots of various AP1 components in MCF10A MII cells after no TGF β treatment (-), or TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment for 1.5 or 16 h. (C) ChIP-qPCR showing SMAD2/3 binding to the indicated gene loci in MCF10A MII cells after no TGF β treatment stream to the indicated gene loci in MCF10A MII cells after no TGF β (5 ng/ml). Results of the independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; ****P* < 0.001 versus siNTC. (D) qRT-PCR analysis (top) and Western blot control (bitom) to investigate the role of JUNB in TGF β -induced gene expression. MCF10A MII cells were transfected with non-targeting control (siNTC) or specific JUNB siRNA and stimulated for 1.5 or 16 h with TGF β (5 ng/ml). Results of five independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; ****P* < 0.001 versus siNTC. (D) qRT-PCR analysis (top) and Western blot control (bitom) to investigate the role of JUNB in TGF β -induced gene expression. MCF10A MII cells were transfected with non-targeting control (siNTC) or specific JUNB siRNA and stimulated for 1.5 or 16 h with TGF β (5 ng/ml). Results of five independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. (E) ChIP-qPCR showing time-dependent recruitment of JUNB to the indicated gene loci in MCF10A MII cells before (-) or after TGF β treatment (1.5 or 16 h). Results of three independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.001.

of JUNB to the same gene loci (Figure 3E). Based on these results, we hypothesized that JUNB may determine the target- and time-specificity of SMAD complexes as a co-binding factor for a specific subset of invasion genes.

A JUNB-mediated feed-forward mechanism regulates genes associated with cell adhesion and invasion, and controls invasion in zebrafish xenograft models

To characterize the significance of JUNB for TGFB-SMAD-target genes on a genome-wide scale, we performed RNA-seg transcriptome analysis in JUNB-knock-down MCF10A MII cells (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure S3A). We found that several well-characterized TGFβ-SMAD-target genes associated with cell adhesion, invasion and mesenchymal phenotype, e.g. *fibronectin* (FN)1 and integrin α (ITGA)2, were dependent on JUNB-induction (Supplementary Figure S3B), which was also confirmed by GO analysis (Supplementary Figure S3C). Interestingly, 20 genes appeared in the core-enriched genes of the pathway 'Pathways in cancer' in GSEA analysis (Figure 4B and C), at least 8 of which, FN1, ITGA2, ITGA6, LAMA3, LAMB3, LAMC2, collagen (COL)4A1, and COL4A2, are known target genes of TGF β (8,47–49). In addition, genes in the WNT signaling pathway were enriched, which is discussed.

Taken together, the gene set analysis presented above, and the observation that JUNB is required for efficient expression of selected TGFB-SMAD-target genes associated with cell invasion and mesenchymal phenotype ((16), Figures 3D and 4C), suggest that a late SMAD/JUNB-induced gene program is critical for TGF_β-induced invasion and cancer progression. In line with this hypothesis, we previously found transient siRNA-mediated knock-down of JUNB to result in strongly reduced TGFB-induced invasion of MCF10A MII spheroids in collagen (16). To further validate these data, we stably knocked down JUNB with lentiviral vectors, which showed that decreased levels of JUNB correlate with decreased collagen invasion (Figure 4D). To examine the importance of JUNB in breast cancer cell invasion in vivo, we used an embryonic zebrafish xenograft invasion model (27). We have previously demonstrated that TGFB signaling is critical for MCF10A MII invasion in this model (50). Importantly, knock-down of JUNB with siRNA resulted in reduced invasion compared to non-targeting siRNA control groups (Figure 4E). Moreover, knock-down of JUNB also resulted in reduced zebrafish invasion of the TGFB-dependent metastatic human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 (51,52) (Figure 4F). These results confirm that JUNB is important for breast cancer invasion.

Since tumorigenesis is a long-term event, we next verified whether a more extended TGF β exposure, up to 72 h, results in a similar 'late-stage' TGF β -induced gene expression program as 16 h treatment. As exemplified in Supplementary Figure S4A, the data obtained for these later time points were consistent with the data obtained at 16 h. In addition, since we identified the mesenchymal marker fibronectin as one of the main JUNB-dependent genes (Figure 4A, C and Supplementary Figure S3B), we examined the effect of JUNB depletion in the human pulmonary adenocarcinoma cancer cell line A549, which undergoes epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in response to prolonged TGF β stimulation. The expression of various TGF β -induced mesenchymal and/or EMT controlling genes was severely reduced by *JUNB* knock-down in these pulmonary adenocarcinoma cells (Supplementary Figure S4B), and JUNB was also found to be critical for invasion of A549 cells in the zebrafish xenograft model (Supplementary Figure S4C), This further confirms the prooncogenic protential of JUNB in TGF β induced invasion.

Activation of the WNT signaling pathway strengthens the TGFβ-induced migratory phenotype

Interestingly, we also found that genes related to the WNT signaling pathway were enriched among the late TGFB target genes, in addition to the genes associated with adhesion and invasion (Figures 2E and 4B). We therefore focused on the most prominent JUNB-dependent WNT pathway and breast cancer associated gene in the list, WNT7B, and examined its importance in TGFβ-induced cell migration and invasion. Our SMAD2/3 ChIP-seq and -qPCR analysis showed enhanced TGFβ-induced binding of SMAD2/3 to the WNT7B locus in a time-dependent manner (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S5A). In line with this, WNT7B expression was preferably induced after prolonged TGF_βtreatment (Figure 5B). Moreover, WNT7B was induced after prolonged TGFB stimulation in a SMAD4- and JUNBdependent manner (Figure 5C). The late JUNB-dependent expression of WNT7B and the time-dependent recruitment of SMAD2/3 to the WNT7B locus (Figure 5A), correlated with enhanced binding of JUNB to the same gene locus after 16 h of TGF β stimulation (Figure 5D). Together, these results identify WNT7B as a JUNB-mediated late TGFB-SMAD-target gene.

To directly test if WNT7B is important for TGFβinduced invasion, we performed collagen invasion assays. Addition of the TGF β type I kinase receptor (TGF β RI) inhibitor SB505124 almost completely blocked TGFβinduced collagen invasion of MCF10A MII spheroids, as expected (Figure 5E). Addition of the general WNTinhibitor IWP-2 (53) also significantly inhibited TGF_βinduced invasion. To directly evaluate the role of WNT7B, we generated MCF10A MII cells stably expressing WNT7B (Supplementary Figure S5B). Exogenous expression of WNT7B enhanced both basal and TGF_β-induced invasion (Figure 5E). Consistent with this finding, addition of recombinant WNT7A, which was also one of the late TGFB target genes (Figure 4C) and shares 82% amino acid identity with WNT7B, or expression of WNT7A, enhanced both basal and TGF\beta-induced invasion (Supplementary Figure S5C and S5D). Interestingly, addition of the TGFBRI inhibitor SB505124 strongly inhibited TGFBinduced invasion also in WNT7B expressing cells (Figure 5E), suggesting that WNT7B stabilizes the TGFβ-induced migratory phenotype of epithelial cells, rather than merely functioning as a downstream mediator of TGFB signaling. In line with this notion, we found enhanced levels of TGF_β-induced phospho-SMAD2 and 3 in WNT7B overexpressing cells, whereas the general WNT-inhibitor IWP-2 reduced this phosphorylation, and also in the parental cells (Figure 5F). In addition, the WNT7B overexpressing



Figure 4. A JUNB-mediated feed-forward mechanism regulates genes associated with cell adhesion, invasion and controls invasion in a zebrafish model. (A) Scatter plot representing fold change after TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment. Each point represents values of a gene. Genes whose induction after 16 h TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment was attenuated more than 50% with siJUNB treatment are colored red. (B) GSEA of expression changes of SMAD2/3 target genes after manipulation of JUNB expression. The SMAD2/3 target genes were pre-rank-ordered according to their fold change (log2) between siNTC and siJUNB, and analyzed based on KEGG signaling pathway enrichment. Gene sets with *P*-value < 5% and FDR q-value < 25% were considered significant. Enrichment score (ES) is plotted on the y axis. (C) A list of core-enriched genes of the pathway 'Pathways in cancer', which contribute most to the enrichment score of the pathway. (D) Stable knock-down of JUNB in MCF10A MII cells with three distinct shJUNB expressing lentiviral vectors. Whereas #1 is efficient, #3 does not inhibit JUNB expression. Left: Western blot analysis. Right: collagen invasion of MCF10A MII spheroids stably expressing the sh control (Ctrl) or three distinct shJUNB lentiviral constructs. Spheroids were embedded in collagen in the absence or presence of TGF β (5 ng/ml) as indicated. Relative invasion was quantified as the mean area that the spheroids occupied 36 h after being embedded in collagen. Data represent means \pm SD ($n \ge 6$ spheroids per condition) and are representative of three independent experiments; ***P < 0.001. (E and F) MCF10A MII (E) or MDA-MB-231 (F) mCherry cells transfected with non-targeting control (siNTC) or specific JUNB siRNA (siJUNB) were injected into the ducts of Cuvier (DoC) of 48 h post-fertilization (hpf) zebrafish embryos. Left: representative inages of zebrafish at 6 days post-injection (dpi). Right: quantification of invasive cell cluster numbers in non-targeting and JUNB knock-down cells injected zebrafish at 6 days post-injec



Figure 5. Activation of the WNT signaling pathway strengthens the TGFβ-induced migratory phenotype. (A) ChIP-qPCR showing time-dependent recruitment of SMAD2/3 binding to the WNT7B gene locus in MCF10A MII before (-) or after TGFB (5 ng/ml) treatment (1.5 and 16 h). Results of four independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; *P < 0.05. (B) qRT-PCR analysis showing time-dependent WNT7B mRNA expression in MCF10A MII before (–) or after TGF β (5 ng/ml) treatment (1.5 or 16 h). Results of six independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; **P < 0.01. (C) Left: qRT-PCR analysis of WNT7B mRNA expression in MCF10A MII cells transfected with the indicated control (siNTC) or JUNB and SMAD4 specific siRNAs, and stimulated for 16 h with TGF β (5 ng/ml). Results of four independent experiments are shown by dot plot chart; **P < 0.01 versus siNTC TGF_β 16 h. Right: Western blot control of knock-down efficiency. (D) ChIP-qPCR showing time-dependent recruitment of JUNB to the WNT7B gene locus in MCF10A MII before (-) or after TGFB (5 ng/ml) treatment (1.5 and 16 h). (E) Collagen invasion assay of MCF10A MII spheroids stably expressing control GFP or ectopic WNT7B-MYC. Spheroids were embedded in collagen in the absence or presence of TGFB, the TGFBRI inhibitor (ALK 5i) SB505124 (2.5 µM) or the WNT inhibitor (WNTi) IWP-2 (5 µM), as indicated. Left: representative pictures of spheroids taken 36 h after being embedded in collagen. Right: relative invasion was quantified as the mean area that the spheroids occupied 36 h after being embedded in collagen. Data represent means \pm SD ($n \ge 6$ spheroids per condition) and are representative of three independent experiments; ***P < 0.001. (F) Western blot analysis of the MCF10A MII cells stably expressing control GFP or ectopic WNT7B-MYC. Cells were treated for 12 h with TGFβ (5 ng/ml) in the absence or presence of DMSO control, the TGFβRI inhibitor (ALK5i) SB505124 (2.5 μM) or the WNT inhibitor (WNTi) IWP-2 (5 μM), as indicated. (G) qRT-PCR target gene analysis of the cells shown in E and F, treated for 16 h with TGF β (5 ng/ml) as indicated. A representative results of three independent experiments is shown.

cells contained increased levels of activated phosphorylated ERK1/2 and the expression of various TGF β /SMAD-induced invasion genes was enhanced (Figure 5G). This indicates that WNT7B increases invasion/migration to a large extent by enhancing TGF β type I receptor mediated signaling.

WNT7B promotes breast cancer cell invasion

To investigate the role of WNT7B in invasion and metastasis *in vivo*, we again used the zebrafish embryo xenograft model. Embryos injected with MCF10A MII cells stably expressing WNT7B showed a significant increase in invasive cell numbers compared to control cells (Figure 6A). This result demonstrates that WNT7B expression stimulates MCF10A MII invasion in zebrafish.

To further address the clinical significance of WNT7B expression in breast cancers, we analyzed patient datasets from the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) (40). We found that higher expression of the WNT7B gene was linked with shorter overall survival (Figure 6B). Moreover, high expression of WNT7B correlated with poorer prognosis in a cohort of ER+ tumors, especially in those of luminal type, but not of basal-like or triple negative breast cancers (TNBC). The WNT7B-high subgroup had higher mRNA expression of FN1 and COL1A1, well-established markers for the mesenchymal phenotype or tumor invasiveness (Figures 4A and 6C). In addition, we performed in silico metaanalysis of published microarray datasets using the Kaplan-Meier plots website (44), which also indicated that mRNA expression of WNT7B predicted poorer outcome especially in ER+ patients (Supplementary Figure S6A).

To verify whether ER-negative tumor cells have a similar genome-wide SMAD2/3 binding landscape as ER+ cells, we performed SMAD2/3 ChIP-seq analysis in the TNBC lines Hs-578-T and BT-549 (Supplementery Figure S6B). In Hs-578-T cells SMAD2/3 did not bind the *WNT7B* locus (Supplementery Figure S6B), while SMAD2/3 binding was observed in the *WNT7B* locus of BT-549 cells. However, in contrast to MCF10A MII cells, the number of SMAD2/3 binding sites was higher at 1.5 h than at 16 h with about 50% overlap (Supplementary Figure S6C). Moreover, although the AP1 motif was enriched in the SMAD2/3 binding sites in BT-549 (Supplementary Figure S6D), the data suggests that there is no JUNB-mediated redirection of SMAD2/3 in BT-549. Thus, our data showed heterogeneity among the TNBC cell lines.

The selective association in the ER+ group may be explained by the finding that TGF β mainly functions as a tumor suppressor in the ER+ group, but as a tumor promoter in the ER- group of the breast cancer patients (13). Our data thus suggest that inhibition of the JUNB-mediated feed-forward loop may restore the tumor suppressive roles of TGF β . It also implies that the feed-forward loop and/or activation of WNT7B signaling pathway may be a biomarker for the use of TGF β inhibitors for tumor treatment.

DISCUSSION

It is well established that during the later stages of tumorigenesis TGF β promotes tumor progression by enhancing migration, invasion and survival of tumor cells, by stimulating extracellular matrix deposition and tissue fibrosis. perturbing immune surveillance, stimulating angiogenesis and promoting EMT (8,11,15). One of the contributing factors is the effect of TGF β on the tumor microenvironment, which in turn affects the tumor cells. In addition, sequential acquisition of genomic mutations changes the TGFB responsiveness of cancer cells in a cell-intrinsic manner (54). For instance, in pancreatic cancer where SMAD4 mutations are common, loss of SMAD4 enables escape from cytostatic TGFB effects or lethal effects associated with TGFBinduced-EMT (55). In breast cancer cells, however, SMAD mutations are rare (56, 57). This suggests that DNA-binding co-factors for SMADs, including JUNB, cause quantitative and/or qualitative changes in SMAD signaling and thereby play essential roles in the switch of the cancer-associated functions of TGFB, from cytostasis/apoptosis to tumorpromotion.

We have previously demonstrated that SMAD3, SMAD4 and the AP1 components JUN, JUNB, FOS and FOSL1 cooperatively regulate several established TGFB-target genes with a known function in EMT and invasion, including MMP1, MMP9, SNAI1 and SERPINE1, and enhance TGFβ-induced collagen invasion of MCF10A MII spheroids (16). The ChIP-seq and RNA-seq analyses in the current study show that the strong and prolonged induction of JUNB by TGFB redirects SMAD2/3 to different target sites and thereby plays a major role in the activation of late TGFβ target genes as critical component of a feed-forward regulatory network. Interestingly, AP1 has previously been reported to potentiate chromatin accessibility of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) in a murine mammary epithelial cells (58), and in human breast cancer cells to colocalize on the genome with YAP/TAZ/TEAD, Hippo pathway transducers and transcription factors (59). Since critical roles of AP1 components in breast cancer have been well documented, especially in the aggressive clinical subtype TNBC (60), induction of AP1 by TGF β may potentiate aggressive phenotypes of breast cancer cells through other signaling pathways in vivo, in addition to the feed-forward network of TGF_β.

Interestingly, our list of late TGFB target genes was enriched with signaling components of the WNT pathway (Figures 2E and 4B). It has been reported that a small portion of breast cancers ($\sim 10\%$) express 30-fold higher levels of WNT7B compared with normal or benign breast tissues (61). In addition, recent data suggest that WNT7B is associated with anchorage-independent growth of breast cancer cells (62). The importance of crosstalk between TGF β and WNT signaling pathways has been established (63, 64). For acquisition of mesenchymal phenotypes in the breast TGF β and WNT signaling pathways (both canonical and non-canonical) collaborate to activate mesenchymal genes and function in an autocrine fashion (65). Similarly, activation of canonical WNT signaling is required for TGFβmediated fibrosis (66). Furthermore, it was recently shown that WNT7A is secreted by breast tumor cells that promote fibroblast recruitment and conversion to a cancerassociated fibroblast (CAF) phenotype, which promotes metastasis (67). WNT7A-mediated CAF activation was mediated via enhanced TGFB receptor signaling and not via



В

С

Breast Cancer (METABRIC, n=1,904)



Figure 6. WNT7B promotes breast cancer cell invasion. (**A**) MCF10A MII mCherry stably expressing control GFP (MII GFP) or ectopic WNT7B-MYC (MII WNT7B) were injected into the DoC of 48-hpf zebrafish embryos. Left: representative images of zebrafish at 6 days post-injection (dpi). Right: quantification of invasive cell cluster numbers in GFP or WNT7B-MYC expressing MCF10A MII cells injected zebrafish larvae. (**B**) Kaplan-Meyer analysis of overall survival of breast cancer datasets from Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) (40); all subtypes, n = 1904; ER⁺ subjects, n = 1445; ER⁻ subjects, n = 429; luminal A subtype, n = 679; luminal B subtype, n = 461; HER2- subtype, n = 220; basal-like subtype, n = 199). Survival analysis was performed using a log-rank test. (**C**) *Z*-scored expression values of mRNA were obtained with cBioPortal (42,43). (***P < 0.001; n.s. not significant, Welch's *t*-test).

classical WNT receptor signaling. This suggests that the JUNB-mediated feed-forward network of TGF β is further stabilized by WNT ligands, resulting in more migratory and mesenchymal cell phenotypes. In line with this, we found enhanced ERK1/2 and SMAD2/3 phosphorylation, and enhanced TGF β target gene expression in cells stably expressing WNT7B (Figure 5F and G), indicating that WNT7B increases invasion/migration in part by enhancing TGF β type I receptor mediated signaling.

It should be noted that when we examined the role of canonical WNT signaling, as measured by TCF/LEF-dependent transcriptional reporter activity, we only found less than a two-fold increase by WNT7B (Supplementary Figure S5E). However, MII cells show autocrine TGF β (-related) signaling (16,68) and our RNA sequencing analysis showed that both *WNT7A*, *WNT7B* and *WNT9A* besides being induced by TGF β (Figure 4C) already show relatively high basal expression.

In accordance with our analysis, high expression of *WNT7B* mRNA was associated with poorer outcomes of ER+ breast cancer patients in a recent large-scale clinical study and meta-analysis (Figure 6B and C, and Supplementary Figure S6A). In line with this, SMAD2/3 ChIP-seq analysis in the TNBC lines Hs-578-T and BT-549 (Supplementary Figure S6B and C) showed that the binding patterns of SMAD2/3 in these TNBC cell lines are different from MII cells and, in addition, heterogeneity among the TNBC cell lines.

In summary, our study presents a model how JUNB mediates a TGF β signaling feed-forward network in which WNT7B plays an effector role in specific breast cancer subtypes to promote breast cancer invasion (Supplementary Figure S7).

AVAILABLITY

ChIP- and RNA-seq raw data are available in Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE83788.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank our colleagues, in particular Aristidis Moustakas and Oleksander Voytyuk, for their valuable discussion, Martijn Rabelink, Sijia Liu, Kaori Shiina and Hiroko Meguro for technical assistance, the ENCODE Consortium for data use, and Leiden Genome Technology Center in Leiden University Medical Center for sequencing.

FUNDING

Swedish Cancer Foundation [09 0773, 10 0452, 2016/445]; Swedish Research Council [2015-02757]; Kanae Foundation for Research Abroad; ITO Genboku and SAGARA Chian Memorial Scholarship (to M.M.); The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (to N.K.); KAK-ENHI Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) [15H05774] (to K.M.). Funding for open access charge: Swedish Research Council [2015-02757]. Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Heldin,C.H., Miyazono,K. and ten Dijke,P. (1997) TGF-β signalling from cell membrane to nucleus through SMAD proteins. *Nature*, 390, 465–471.
- Kang,J.S., Liu,C. and Derynck,R. (2009) New regulatory mechanisms of TGF-β receptor function. *Trends Cell Biol.*, **19**, 385–394.
- 3. Shi,Y. and Massagué,J. (2003) Mechanisms of TGF- β signaling from cell membrane to the nucleus. *Cell*, **113**, 685–700.
- 4. Massagué, J., Seoane, J. and Wotton, D. (2005) Smad transcription factors. *Genes Dev.*, **19**, 2783–2810.
- 5. Ross, S. and Hill, C.S. (2008) How the Smads regulate transcription. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, **40**, 383–408.
- 6. Akhurst,R.J. and Padgett,R.W. (2015) Matters of context guide future research in TGFβ superfamily signaling. *Sci. Signal.*, **8**, re10.
- Ikushima,H. and Miyazono,K. (2012) TGF-β signal transduction spreading to a wider field: a broad variety of mechanisms for context-dependent effects of TGF-β. *Cell Tissue Res.*, 347, 37–49.
- Massagué, J. (2012) TGFβ signalling in context. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 13, 616–630.
- Roberts,A.B. and Wakefield,L.M. (2003) The two faces of transforming growth factor β in carcinogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 100, 8621–8623.
- Akhurst, R.J. and Derynck, R. (2001) TGF-β signaling in cancer-a double-edged sword. *Trends Cell Biol.*, 11, S44–S51.
- Ikushima, H. and Miyazono, K. (2010) TGFβ signalling: a complex web in cancer progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 10, 415–424.
- Padua,D. and Massagué,J. (2009) Roles of TGFβ in metastasis. *Cell Res.*, 19, 89–102.
- 13. Moses,H. and Barcellos-Hoff,M.H. (2011) TGF-β biology in mammary development and breast cancer. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.*, **3**, a003277.
- Garraway,L.A. and Lander,E.S. (2013) Lessons from the cancer genome. *Cell*, 153, 17–37.
- Sundqvist,A., en Dijke,P. and van Dam,H. (2012) Key signaling nodes in mammary gland development and cancer: Smad signal integration in epithelial cell plasticity. *Breast Cancer Res.*, 14, 204.
- Sundqvist,A., Zieba,A., Vasilaki,E., Herrera Hidalgo,C., Soderberg,O., Koinuma,D., Miyazono,K., Heldin,C.H., Landegren,U., en Dijke,P. *et al.* (2013) Specific interactions between Smad proteins and AP-1 components determine TGFβ-induced breast cancer cell invasion. *Oncogene*, **32**, 3606–3615.
- Coulouarn, C., Factor, V.M. and Thorgeirsson, S.S. (2008) Transforming growth factor-β gene expression signature in mouse hepatocytes predicts clinical outcome in human cancer. *Hepatology*, 47, 2059–2067.
- van Dam, H. and Castellazzi, M. (2001) Distinct roles of Jun: Fos and Jun: ATF dimers in oncogenesis. *Oncogene*, 20, 2453–2464.
- Ozanne,B.W., Spence,H.J., McGarry,L.C. and Hennigan,R.F. (2007) Transcription factors control invasion: AP-1 the first among equals. *Oncogene*, 26, 1–10.
- Shaulian, E. and Karin, M. (2002) AP-1 as a regulator of cell life and death. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 4, E131–E136.
- Qing, J., Zhang, Y. and Derynck, R. (2000) Structural and functional characterization of the transforming growth factor-β-induced Smad3/c-Jun transcriptional cooperativity. J. Biol. Chem., 275, 38802–38812.
- Zhang,Y., Feng,X.H. and Derynck,R. (1998) Smad3 and Smad4 cooperate with c-Jun/c-Fos to mediate TGF-β-induced transcription. *Nature*, **394**, 909–913.
- Javelaud, D. and Mauviel, A. (2005) Crosstalk mechanisms between the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways and Smad signaling downstream of TGF-beta: implications for carcinogenesis. *Oncogene*, 24, 5742–5750.
- Akhurst, R.J. and Hata, A. (2012) Targeting the TGFβ signalling pathway in disease. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 11, 790–811.
- Niwa,H., Masui,S., Chambers,I., Smith,A.G. and Miyazaki,J. (2002) Phenotypic complementation establishes requirements for specific POU domain and generic transactivation function of Oct-3/4 in embryonic stem cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 22, 1526–1536.

- Lawson, N.D. and Weinstein, B.M. (2002) In vivo imaging of embryonic vascular development using transgenic zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.*, 248, 307–318.
- 27. He,S., Lamers,G.E., Beenakker,J.W., Cui,C., Ghotra,V.P., Danen,E.H., Meijer,A.H., Spaink,H.P. and Snaar-Jagalska,B.E. (2012) Neutrophil-mediated experimental metastasis is enhanced by VEGFR inhibition in a zebrafish xenograft model. *J. Pathol.*, 227, 431–445.
- Isogaya, K., Koinuma, D., Tsutsumi, S., Saito, R.A., Miyazawa, K., Aburatani, H. and Miyazono, K. (2014) A Smad3 and TTF-1/NKX2-1 complex regulates Smad4-independent gene expression. *Cell Res.*, 24, 994–1008.
- 29. Morikawa, M., Koinuma, D., Mizutani, A., Kawasaki, N., Holmborn, K., Sundqvist, A., Tsutsumi, S., Watabe, T., Aburatani, H., Heldin, C.H. *et al.* (2016) BMP sustains embryonic stem cell self-renewal through distinct functions of different Krüppel-like factors. *Stem Cell Rep.*, 6, 64–73.
- Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.*, 10, R25.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W. *et al.* (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.*, 9, R137.
- Ji,H., Jiang,H., Ma,W., Johnson,D.S., Myers,R.M. and Wong,W.H. (2008) An integrated software system for analyzing ChIP-chip and ChIP-seq data. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 26, 1293–1300.
- Machanick, P. and Bailey, T.L. (2011) MEME-ChIP: motif analysis of large DNA datasets. *Bioinformatics*, 27, 1696–1697.
- Huang da,W., Sherman,B.T. and Lempicki,R.A. (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.*, 4, 44–57.
- McLean, C.Y., Bristor, D., Hiller, M., Clarke, S.L., Schaar, B.T., Lowe, C.B., Wenger, A.M. and Bejerano, G. (2010) GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 28, 495–501.
- Hong, C.P., Choe, M.K. and Roh, T.Y. (2012) Characterization of chromatin structure-associated histone modifications in breast cancer cells. *Genomics Inform.*, 10, 145–152.
- The ENCODE Project Consortium (2012) An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*, 489, 57–74.
- Parkhomchuk, D., Borodina, T., Amstislavskiy, V., Banaru, M., Hallen, L., Krobitsch, S., Lehrach, H. and Soldatov, A. (2009) Transcriptome analysis by strand-specific sequencing of complementary DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 37, e123.
- Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D.R., Pimentel, H., Salzberg, S.L., Rinn, J.L. and Pachter, L. (2012) Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.*, 7, 562–578.
- Pereira, B., Chin, S.F., Rueda, O.M., Vollan, H.K., Provenzano, E., Bardwell, H.A., Pugh, M., Jones, L., Russell, R., Sammut, S.J. *et al.* (2016) The somatic mutation profiles of 2,433 breast cancers refines their genomic and transcriptomic landscapes. *Nat. Commun.*, 7, 11479.
- Vasilaki,E., Morikawa,M., Koinuma,D., Mizutani,A., Hirano,Y., Ehata,S., Sundqvist,A., Kawasaki,N., Cedervall,J., Olsson,A.K. *et al.* (2016) Ras and TGF-β signaling enhance cancer progression by promoting the ΔNp63 transcriptional program. *Sci. Signal.*, 9, ra84.
- Cerami, E., Gao, J., Dogrusoz, U., Gross, B.E., Sumer, S.O., Aksoy, B.A., Jacobsen, A., Byrne, C.J., Heuer, M.L., Larsson, E. *et al.* (2012) The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.*, 2, 401–404.
- 43. Gao, J., Aksoy, B.A., Dogrusoz, U., Dresdner, G., Gross, B., Sumer, S.O., Sun, Y., Jacobsen, A., Sinha, R., Larsson, E. *et al.* (2013) Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci. Signal.*, 6, pl1.
- 44. Gyorffy,B., Lanczky,A., Ekłund,A.C., Denkert,C., Budczies,J., Li,Q. and Szallasi,Z. (2010) An online survival analysis tool to rapidly assess the effect of 22,277 genes on breast cancer prognosis using microarray data of 1,809 patients. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, **123**, 725–731.

- 45. Mootha, V.K., Lindgren, C.M., Eriksson, K.F., Subramanian, A., Sihag, S., Lehar, J., Puigserver, P., Carlsson, E., Ridderstrale, M., Laurila, E. *et al.* (2003) PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat. Genet.*, 34, 267–273.
- 46. Koinuma,D., Tsutsumi,S., Kamimura,N., Taniguchi,H., Miyazawa,K., Sunamura,M., Imamura,T., Miyazono,K. and Aburatani,H. (2009) Chromatin immunoprecipitation on microarray analysis of Smad2/3 binding sites reveals roles of ETS1 and TFAP2A in transforming growth factor β signaling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 29, 172–186.
- 47. Roberts, A.B., Sporn, M.B., Assoian, R.K., Smith, J.M., Roche, N.S., Wakefield, L.M., Heine, U.I., Liotta, L.A., Falanga, V., Kehrl, J.H. *et al.* (1986) Transforming growth factor type β: rapid induction of fibrosis and angiogenesis in vivo and stimulation of collagen formation in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **83**, 4167–4171.
- Ignotz,R.A. and Massagué,J. (1986) Transforming growth factor-β stimulates the expression of fibronectin and collagen and their incorporation into the extracellular matrix. J. Biol. Chem., 261, 4337–4345.
- Pickup, M., Novitskiy, S. and Moses, H.L. (2013) The roles of TGFβ in the tumour microenvironment. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 13, 788–799.
- Drabsch,Y., He,S., Zhang,L., Snaar-Jagalska,B.E. and ten Dijke,P. (2013) Transforming growth factor-β signalling controls human breast cancer metastasis in a zebrafish xenograft model. *Breast Cancer Res.*, 15, R106.
- Petersen, M., Pardali, E., van der Horst, G., Cheung, H., van den Hoogen, C., van der Pluijm, G. and en Dijke, P. (2010) Smad2 and Smad3 have opposing roles in breast cancer bone metastasis by differentially affecting tumor angiogenesis. *Oncogene*, 29, 1351–1361.
- 52. Deckers, M., van Dinther, M., Buijs, J., Que, I., Löwik, C., van der Pluijm, G. and ten Dijke, P. (2006) The tumor suppressor Smad4 is required for transforming growth factor β-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition and bone metastasis of breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res.*, 66, 2202–2209.
- Chen, B., Dodge, M.E., Tang, W., Lu, J., Ma, Z., Fan, C.W., Wei, S., Hao, W., Kilgore, J., Williams, N.S. *et al.* (2009) Small molecule-mediated disruption of Wnt-dependent signaling in tissue regeneration and cancer. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 5, 100–107.
- Vogelstein, B., Papadopoulos, N., Velculescu, V.E., Zhou, S., Diaz, L.A. Jr and Kinzler, K.W. (2013) Cancer genome landscapes. *Science*, 339, 1546–1558.
- 55. David,C.J., Huang,Y.H., Chen,M., Su,J., Zou,Y., Bardeesy,N., Iacobuzio-Donahue,C.A. and Massagué,J. (2016) TGF-β tumor suppression through a lethal EMT. *Cell*, **164**, 1015–1030.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2012) Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*, 490, 61–70.
- Stephens, P.J., Tarpey, P.S., Davies, H., Van Loo, P., Greenman, C., Wedge, D.C., Nik-Zainal, S., Martin, S., Varela, I., Bignell, G.R. *et al.* (2012) The landscape of cancer genes and mutational processes in breast cancer. *Nature*, 486, 400–404.
- Biddie,S.C., John,S., Sabo,P.J., Thurman,R.E., Johnson,T.A., Schiltz,R.L., Miranda,T.B., Sung,M.H., Trump,S., Lightman,S.L. *et al.* (2011) Transcription factor AP1 potentiates chromatin accessibility and glucocorticoid receptor binding. *Mol. Cell*, 43, 145–155.
- Zanconato, F., Forcato, M., Battilana, G., Azzolin, L., Quaranta, E., Bodega, B., Rosato, A., Bicciato, S., Cordenonsi, M. and Piccolo, S. (2015) Genome-wide association between YAP/TAZ/TEAD and AP-1 at enhancers drives oncogenic growth. *Nat. Cell Biol.*, 17, 1218–1227.
- Zhao, C., Qiao, Y., Jonsson, P., Wang, J., Xu, L., Rouhi, P., Sinha, I., Cao, Y., Williams, C. and Dahlman-Wright, K. (2014) Genome-wide profiling of AP-1-regulated transcription provides insights into the invasiveness of triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Res.*, 74, 3983–3994.
- Huguet, E.L., McMahon, J.A., McMahon, A.P., Bicknell, R. and Harris, A.L. (1994) Differential expression of human Wnt genes 2, 3, 4, and 7B in human breast cell lines and normal and disease states of human breast tissue. *Cancer Res.*, 54, 2615–2621.
- Ni,M., Chen,Y., Lim,E., Wimberly,H., Bailey,S.T., Imai,Y., Rimm,D.L., Liu,X.S. and Brown,M. (2011) Targeting androgen receptor in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*, 20, 119–131.

- Labbé, E., Letamendia, A. and Attisano, L. (2000) Association of Smads with lymphoid enhancer binding factor 1/T cell-specific factor mediates cooperative signaling by the transforming growth factor-beta and Wnt pathways. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 97, 8358–8363.
- 64. Nishita,M., Hashimoto,M.K., Ogata,S., Laurent,M.N., Ueno,N., Shibuya,H. and Cho,K.W. (2000) Interaction between Wnt and TGF-β signalling pathways during formation of Spemann's organizer. *Nature*, 403, 781–785.
- 65. Scheel, C., Eaton, E. N., Li, S. H., Chaffer, C.L., Reinhardt, F., Kah, K.J., Bell, G., Guo, W., Rubin, J., Richardson, A.L. *et al.* (2011) Paracrine and autocrine signals induce and maintain mesenchymal and stem cell states in the breast. *Cell*, **145**, 926–940.
- 66. Akhmetshina,A., Palumbo,K., Dees,C., Bergmann,C., Venalis,P., Zerr,P., Horn,A., Kireva,T., Beyer,C., Zwerina,J. *et al.* (2012) Activation of canonical Wnt signalling is required for TGF-β-mediated fibrosis. *Nat. Commun.*, **3**, 735.
- Avgustinova, A., Iravani, M., Robertson, D., Fearns, A., Gao, Q., Klingbeil, P., Hanby, A.M., Speirs, V., Sahai, E., Calvo, F. *et al.* (2016) Tumour cell-derived Wnt7a recruits and activates fibroblasts to promote tumour aggressiveness. *Nat. Commun.*, 7, 10305.
- 68. Wiercinska,E., Naber,H.P., Pardali,E., van der Pluijm,G., van Dam,H. and ten Dijke,P. (2011) The TGF-β/Smad pathway induces breast cancer cell invasion through the up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinase 2 and 9 in a spheroid invasion model system. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, **128**, 657–666.