

MICROSATELLITES FOR *OENOTHERA GAYLEANA* AND *O. HARTWEGII* SUBSP. *FILIFOLIA* (ONAGRACEAE), AND THEIR UTILITY IN SECTION *CALYLOPHUS*¹

EMILY M. LEWIS^{2,3}, JEREMIE B. FANT², MICHAEL J. MOORE⁴, AMY P. HASTINGS⁵,
ERICA L. LARSON^{5,6}, ANURAG A. AGRAWAL⁵, AND KRISSA A. SKOGEN^{2,7}

²Plant Biology and Conservation, Chicago Botanic Garden, 1000 Lake Cook Road, Glencoe, Illinois 60022 USA; ³Program in Plant Biology and Conservation, Northwestern University, 2205 Tech Drive, Evanston, Illinois 60208 USA; ⁴Department of Biology, Oberlin College, 119 Woodland Street, Oberlin, Ohio 44074 USA; ⁵Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853; and ⁶Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, 32 Campus Drive HS104, Missoula, Montana 59812

- **Premise of the study:** Eleven nuclear and four plastid microsatellite markers were screened for two gypsum endemic species, *Oenothera gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*, and tested for cross-amplification in the remaining 11 taxa within *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus* (Onagraceae).
- **Methods and Results:** Microsatellite markers were tested in two to three populations spanning the ranges of both *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*. The nuclear microsatellite loci consisted of both di- and trinucleotide repeats with one to 17 alleles per population. Several loci showed significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, which may be evidence of chromosomal rings. The plastid microsatellite markers identified one to seven haplotypes per population. The transferability of these markers was confirmed in all 11 taxa within *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*.
- **Conclusions:** The microsatellite loci characterized here are the first developed and tested in *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*. These markers will be used to assess whether pollinator foraging distance influences population genetic parameters in predictable ways.

Key words: gypsum endemism; microsatellites; *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*; Onagraceae; population genetics.

The genus *Oenothera* L. (Onagraceae) has diversified across diverse habitats of North America with conservative shifts in pollinators (primarily between bees and hawkmoths; Raven, 1979) and more dramatic shifts in life history traits (Evans et al., 2009). *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus* (Spach) Torr. & A. Gray (Onagraceae) consists of seven recognized species (13 taxa) divided into subsections *Calylophus* (Spach) W. L. Wagner & Hoch (*O. capillifolia* Scheele, *O. gayleana* B. L. Turner & M. J. Moore, and *O. serrulata* Nutt.) and *Salpingia* (Torr. & A. Gray) W. L. Wagner & Hoch (*O. hartwegii* Benth., *O. lavandulifolia* Torr. & A. Gray, *O. toumeyi* (Small) Tidestr., and *O. tubicula* A. Gray) (Wagner et al., 2007; Turner and Moore, 2014). Ring chromosomes have been documented in all taxa in sect.

Calylophus (Towner, 1977), with only *O. serrulata* exhibiting permanent translocation heterozygosity (Johnson et al., 2014).

Oenothera gayleana and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* (Eastw.) W. L. Wagner & Hoch are gypsum endemics that often co-occur in eastern New Mexico and western Texas, easily distinguished by floral characteristics associated with bee pollination and hawkmoth pollination, respectively (Towner, 1977; Turner and Moore, 2014). Because bees forage close to nesting sites (Greenleaf et al., 2007) while hawkmoths can travel great distances (Stockhouse, 1973; Alarcón et al., 2008), differentiation between populations is expected to differ between these two plant species (Finger et al., 2014). Here, we characterize 11 nuclear and four plastid microsatellite loci to be used to contrast pollen and seed dispersal patterns in *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*. We also describe the transferability of these markers to all 11 other taxa in sect. *Calylophus*.

¹Manuscript received 18 September 2015; revision accepted 16 October 2015.

The authors thank W. Daichendt, J. Medina, J. M. Keller, H. Flores Olvera, H. Ochoterena, and N. Douglas for laboratory and field assistance; the U.S. Bureau of Land Management and the USDA Forest Service for permits; and the Ecological Genetics Core Facility at Cornell University, specifically S. Bogdanowicz. Funding was provided by the National Science Foundation (DEB 1342873 to K.A.S. and J.B.F., DEB 1054539 to M.J.M., and DEB-1513839 to A.A.A.), the National Geographic Society (M.J.M.), Oberlin College, a Botanical Society of America Graduate Student Research Award (E.M.L.), and the Chicago Botanic Garden.

⁷Author for correspondence: kskogen@chicagobotanic.org

doi:10.3732/apps.1500107

METHODS AND RESULTS

We tested a combination of nuclear and plastid microsatellite loci. We screened 36 unpublished nuclear microsatellite markers that were originally developed for *O. biennis* L., using the microsatellite library prepared by Larson et al. (2008) for studies of genotypic identification and herbivory (Agrawal et al., 2012). In addition, the plastid genome of *O. elata* Kunth subsp. *hookeri* (Torr. & A. Gray) W. Dietr. & W. L. Wagner (GenBank accession no. AJ271079; Hupfer et al., 2000) was screened for large strings of single nucleotide repeats. The plastid primers were designed for 12 microsatellite regions using the following settings in Primer3: optimum primer size 20 bp, melting temperature 60°C, and product size range of 100–300 bp (Untergasser et al., 2012).

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 11 nuclear and four plastid microsatellite loci tested in *Oenothera gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*.

| Locus | Primer sequences (5'–3') | Repeat motif | Allele size range ^a (bp) | T _a (°C) | Reaction mix | Fluorescent dye | GenBank accession no. |
|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Nuclear | | | | | | | |
| Oenb2diA_C10 ^b | F: AGGAGCAAACTGAAGCAGGA R: TTGCAGAAACCAGAAATCTGTT | (GA) ₂₀ | 181–205 (a) 167–179 (b) | 56 | B | D2 | KT1762972 |
| Oenb2diA_E9 | F: TTTGTCAAATCTATCCCTAACAGC R: TGAGAAAACGTTGGCAAGTG | (CA) ₁₁ | 122–191 | 56 | C | D4 | KT1762971 |
| Oenb2triA_A1 | F: CCACGCATCACAAAATCTTACTT R: GGGGCCCAGGTATTGTCG | (TTC) ₈ | 307–338 | 52 | C | D4 | KT1762970 |
| Oenb2triA_A5 | F: GCTTCGACCCATTAATCACTACA R: AACAGCAAAGTTGAGAAAGGG | (GCT) ₁₀ | 173–185 | 56 | A | D2 | KT1762969 |
| Oenb2triA_C6 | F: CCGCAGAGCTAACACCCAAC R: CCAGCTTTTCCAGTATTTCCCTA | (TGA) ₁₆ | 82–97 (a) | 56 | A | D4 | KT1762968 |
| Oenb2triA_D3 ^c | F: CAGATTACGGCGAAAGAGAGCAAC R: CGCTCAGGCATCGCATCTC | (ATG) ₉ | 250–271 | 52 | B | D4 | KT1762967 |
| Oenb2triA_E4 | F: CTCTACCCCTGCAGTTACCCAAAA R: GAGAGGATTC AACGGCAGCAACT | (TCT) ₁₀ | 232–323 | 56 | A | D4 | KT1762966 |
| Oenb2triA_F5 ^c | F: GGGACCGACCTCAGATTC R: CGCTCAGGCATCGCATCTC | (GAT) ₈ | 185–197 | 56 | A | D3 | KT1762965 |
| Oenb2triA_H1 | F: GAGCCGGAATAAACTGATACCAC R: AGCAGAGAAGGGTCAACCATAAT | (GCT) ₁₄ | 185–218 | 56 | B | D3 | KT1762964 |
| Oenb2triA_H2 | F: TATCTCAGCACTAAAAGCCCTCCTC R: GCTTGGGTTGGTGCTAAT | (CAT) ₁₂ | 167–194 | 56 | C | D2 | KT1762963 |
| Oenb39tri10 | F: AACAAAATTAATGCGATTTCCGC R: CTGGAAGGGGGCGACTGAAAC | (CTT) ₆ | 125–177 | 52 | B | D4 | KT900894 |
| Plastid^d | | | | | | | |
| OenelCp3 | F: CGGGTTTGAGGTTGAATCAT R: GGGTGGAGTCCGAGAAAATA | (A) ₁₃ + (A) ₁₁ | 262–269 | 52 | D | D4 | AJ271079 ^e |
| OenelCp5 ^b | F: GATATAGTTTCAATGGCTATTAGAGTT R: TGATCGAGTACATTTGCTTCTT | (CAGAAGATGAGGAAGGAGAGG) ₆ + (CAGAAGAGGAAGTAGAAGGGA) ₁₂ | 291–438 (a) 319–451 (b) | 52 | D | D3 | AJ271079 |
| OenelCp11 | F: GTTATCCGGCACTTGGGAAGA R: GGATTCGCTACAAAAGGGTTG | (A) ₉ + (A) ₈ (G) ₈ | 184–198 | 52 | D | D2 | AJ271079 |
| OenelCp12 | F: CGAACCGTAGACCTTCTCGG R: GCACAGGGGCCATCTCCTTA | (A) ₁₅ | 193–199 | 52 | D | D2 | AJ271079 |

Note: T_a = annealing temperature when run individually.

^aAll values based on 13 taxa listed in Appendix 1.

^bAmplified two regions.

^cThese primers share a reverse primer sequence and are likely to be amplifying the same region.

^dIn the *O. elatza* chloroplast genome, OenelCp5 begins at 86,105 bp, OenelCp11 at 165,472 bp, and OenelCp12 at 12,302 bp.

Both nuclear and plastid microsatellite regions were initially screened using three randomly selected individuals of three species in sect. *Calylophus*: *O. serrulata* (Crosbyton, TX), *O. lavandulifolia* (Iraan, TX), and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* (Caballo Mountains, NM) (Appendix 1). DNA was extracted from field-collected leaf tissue (Appendix 1) using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle, 1987). For nuclear microsatellite marker amplification, we used a 10- μ L reaction containing 5 μ L MyTaq DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, United Kingdom), plus 0.125 μ L bovine serum albumin (BSA; 0.5 ng/ μ L), 3.375 μ L DNase-free water, 1 μ L template DNA, and 0.25 μ L of both forward and reverse primers. The forward primers were fluorescently labeled with WellRed D2 (black), D3 (green), or D4 (blue) (Sigma-Proligo, St. Louis, Missouri, USA). PCRs were run at 95°C for 2 min, then 30 cycles of 50 s at 95°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 1 min at 72°C, with a 10-min extension at 72°C. The plastid microsatellite primers were not fluorescently labeled but instead were amplified and labeled in two steps (Schuelke, 2000). The first PCR reaction mix was identical to above except that the forward primer was designed with an M13 sequence (5'-CACGACGTTGTA-AAACGAC-3') added to the 5' end. The PCR protocol was as follows: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 13 cycles of 40 s at 94°C, 40 s at 52°C, and 2 min at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. For the second step, an additional 2.5 μ L MyTaq DNA polymerase, 2.0 μ L DNase-free water, and 0.5 μ L of a labeled M13 forward primer (D2, D3, and D4) was added to each reaction to label any PCR products that contained M13 sequences. The second PCR performed another 27 cycles. The resulting PCR products were analyzed and scored using a 400-bp size standard on a CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System version 9.0 (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA).

Of the 36 nuclear primer pairs screened, 14 did not amplify (GenBank accession no.: KT762974–KT762987), 10 amplified unreliably (GenBank accession no.: KT62988–KT62997), one was monomorphic (GenBank accession no.: KT762973), and 11 were polymorphic, one of which (Oenbi2diA_C10; Table 1) amplified two regions in *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*. These 11 polymorphic markers were further characterized using three populations of *O. gayleana* and two populations of *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* (10–30 individuals per population; Table 2). To test for cross-amplification, they were also tested on three to five individuals from one population of each of the remaining 11 taxa in *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus* (Tables 3 and 4, Appendix 1).

For the nuclear microsatellites, we report the following parameters for two to three populations of *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*: sample size (*N*), number of alleles (*A*), number of private alleles (*A_p*), observed heterozygosity (*H_o*), expected heterozygosity (*H_e*), and deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) (Table 2, calculated using GenAlEx; Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Significant deviation from HWE was observed in at least one population for eight primer pairs in *O. gayleana* and in four primer pairs in both populations of *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* (Table 2). Primer pairs were tested for linkage disequilibrium for each pair of loci within and across all populations using the log likelihood ratio statistic and Fisher's method in GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). No significant linkage disequilibrium (*P* < 0.01) was detected in either species, except two primer pairs (Oenbi2triA_D3 and Oenbi2triA_F5; Table 1) that share a reverse primer sequence and therefore are likely to be amplifying the same region. For each population, the presence of null alleles at each locus was determined using exact tests in MICRO-CHECKER (van Oosterhout et al., 2004). Any potential null alleles detected in MICRO-CHECKER corresponded with a primer pair that showed deviation from HWE (e.g., Oenbi2diA_E9). We suspect that these anomalies may be due to the presence of ring chromosomes, documented throughout sect. *Calylophus* (Towner, 1977), or the small number of samples included.

Of the 12 plastid regions tested, four amplified reliably and were polymorphic in the two focal species (Table 1). One region (OenCp5) occasionally produced two peaks; this may be due to stutter or because this region is located within the inverted repeat in the plastid genome. The peak pairs were repeatable and consistent across individuals, hence only the largest peak was scored. Across all species, these four primer pairs identified 28 haplotypes, with one to seven haplotypes per population. Most haplotypes were unique to each population with the exception of one shared haplotype between *O. lavandulifolia* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *maccartii* (Shinners) W. L. Wagner & Hoch and one between two populations of *O. gayleana* (Yeso 62/180 and Fort Sumner; Tables 3 and 4).

CONCLUSIONS

The 11 nuclear and four plastid microsatellite markers were polymorphic and reliable in *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii*

TABLE 2. Results of initial primer screening of 11 polymorphic nuclear microsatellite markers developed in *Oenothera gayleana* (three populations) and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* (two populations).

| Locus | <i>O. gayleana</i> | | | | | | | | | | | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>filifolia</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----|----|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|----|----|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Yeso Hills | | | | | Yeso 62/180 | | | | | Fort Sumner | | | | | Yeso Hills | | | | | Caballo Mountains | | | | | | | | |
| | N | A | A _p | H _o | H _e | N | A | A _p | H _o | H _e | HWE ^b | N | A | A _p | H _o | H _e | HWE ^b | N | A | A _p | H _o | H _e | HWE ^b | N | A | A _p | H _o | H _e | HWE ^b |
| Oenbi2diA_C10 ^a | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | ns | 10 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | ns | 26 | 6 | 2 | 0.385 | 0.768 | ** | 25 | 7 | 3 | 0.28 | 0.678 | *** |
| Oenbi2diA_E9 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0.32 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0.34 | *** | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.265 | * | 26 | 3 | — | 0.276 | 0.276 | ns | 28 | 4 | 2 | 0.179 | 0.167 | ns |
| Oenbi2triA_A1 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ns | 10 | 3 | — | 0.1 | 0.265 | * | 26 | 17 | 11 | 0.423 | 0.875 | *** | 26 | 7 | 2 | 0.385 | 0.75 | *** |
| Oenbi2triA_A5 | 15 | 3 | — | 0.333 | 0.384 | 10 | 4 | — | 0.3 | 0.415 | ns | 10 | 3 | — | 0.5 | 0.405 | ns | 27 | 9 | 2 | 0.444 | 0.764 | ** | 26 | 10 | 4 | 0.269 | 0.715 | *** |
| Oenbi2triA_C6 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0.625 | 0.469 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.636 | ** | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.508 | ns | 27 | 4 | 2 | 0.259 | 0.233 | ns | 24 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | ns |
| Oenbi2triA_D3 | 16 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | — | 0.1 | 0.185 | *** | 10 | 2 | — | 0 | 0.18 | ** | 29 | 6 | 1 | 0.517 | 0.56 | ns | 24 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | ns |
| Oenbi2triA_E4 | 15 | 3 | — | 0.067 | 0.127 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.198 | *** | 9 | 4 | — | 0.222 | 0.519 | *** | 29 | 3 | — | 0.31 | 0.445 | * | 26 | 7 | 4 | 0.423 | 0.49 | *** |
| Oenbi2triA_F5 | 29 | 3 | — | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | — | 0 | 0.18 | ** | 10 | 1 | — | 0 | 0 | ns | 28 | 5 | 1 | 0.357 | 0.364 | ns | 23 | 4 | — | 0.261 | 0.303 | ns |
| Oenbi2triA_H1 | 16 | 3 | — | 0.313 | 0.648 | 10 | 3 | — | 0.3 | 0.515 | ns | 10 | 3 | — | 0.6 | 0.54 | ns | 29 | 5 | 1 | 0.759 | 0.6308 | ns | 29 | 7 | 3 | 0.724 | 0.666 | ns |
| Oenbi2triA_H2 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0.188 | 0.17 | 10 | 4 | — | 0.4 | 0.415 | ns | 10 | 3 | — | 0.2 | 0.445 | ns | 29 | 11 | 5 | 0.724 | 0.782 | ns | 29 | 7 | 2 | 0.655 | 0.665 | ns |
| Oenbi39tri10 | 15 | 2 | — | 0.067 | 0.064 | 10 | 4 | — | 0.2 | 0.27 | ** | 10 | 2 | — | 0.6 | 0.42 | ns | 27 | 11 | — | 0.889 | 0.874 | ns | 28 | 11 | — | 0.893 | 0.881 | ns |

Note: — = not applicable; A = number of alleles; A_p = number of private alleles; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; HWE = departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; N = number of individuals sampled.

^a Amplified two regions.

^b Significant departures from HWE are indicated at the following levels: **P* = 0.05, ***P* = 0.01, ****P* = 0.001; ns = not significant.

TABLE 3. Results of cross-amplification of nuclear microsatellites in the 11 additional taxa within *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*. Results from *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* are included for comparison.

| Subsection | Species | Population | N nuc | Oenbi2d1A_C1 ^a | Oenbi2d1A_E9 | Oenbi2triA_A1 | Oenbi2triA_A5 | Oenbi2triA_C6 | Oenbi2triA_D3 | Oenbi2triA_E4 | Oenbi2triA_F5 | Oenbi2triA_H1 | Oenbi2triA_H2 | Oenbi39tri10 | |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| <i>Calylophus</i> | <i>O. capillifolia</i> subsp. <i>berlandieri</i> | Monahans | 8 | — | 181–195 | 120–130 | 320–323 | 176–185 | — | 265–268 | 232–253 | 191–194 | 200–209 | 191–194 | 131 |
| | <i>O. capillifolia</i> subsp. <i>capillifolia</i> | Uvalde | 5 | — | 183 | 122–130 | 310–323 | 176–188 | 100–115 | 265–268 | 314–355 | 194 | 200–206 | 155–188 | 134–158 |
| | <i>O. gayleana</i> | Yeso Hills | 16 | — | 183 | 122–153 | 316 | 179–185 | 94–103 | 268 | 244–320 | 194 | 203–218 | 191–194 | 131–171 |
| | | Yeso 62/180 | 10 | 177 | 183 | 122–153 | 316 | 176–185 | 82–94 | 250–268 | 244–320 | 188–194 | 203–218 | 182–194 | 131–177 |
| <i>Salpingia</i> | <i>O. serrulata</i> | Fort Summer | 10 | — | 183 | 122–130 | 313–326 | 176–185 | 85–97 | 265–268 | 235–323 | 194 | 203–218 | 185–191 | 131–134 |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>hendleri</i> | Crosbyton | 5 | — | 170–183 | 120–130 | 313–323 | 176–185 | 85 | 268 | 235–320 | 194–200 | 188–209 | 188–191 | 131 |
| | | Gallisteo Dam | 5 | — | 179–191 | 155–161 | 274 | 176 | 94–97 | 259–265 | 244 | 188–194 | 197 | 185–194 | 155–170 |
| <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>filifolia</i> | | Yeso Hills | 30 | 169–179 | 191–205 | 143–191 | 307–335 | 173–182 | 94 | 256–271 | 241–250 | 185–197 | 197–206 | 167–191 | 152–177 |
| | | Caballo Mtn. | 30 | 167–177 | 185–205 | 149–181 | 310–338 | 176 | 94 | 250–271 | 232–250 | 188–197 | 185–215 | 167–188 | 152–177 |
| <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>macarratii</i> | | Mazapil | 5 | 177–179 | 189–193 | 153–171 | 313–332 | 176 | 94 | 262–265 | 244–247 | 188–194 | 197–218 | 182–188 | 149–177 |
| | | Zapata | 5 | 177 | 183–195 | 137–153 | 320–332 | 176 | 94 | 262–278 | 235–250 | 188–204 | 197–203 | 182–188 | 149–161 |
| <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>pubescens</i> | | Ranch 7 | 5 | 177 | 185–193 | 153–173 | 271–320 | 176 | 94 | 259 | 244–247 | 188–194 | 203–209 | 182–185 | 161–168 |
| | | Iraan | 5 | 177 | 187–193 | 124–159 | 292–320 | 176 | 94 | 262–268 | 241–250 | 188–197 | 200–203 | 179–191 | 152–180 |
| <i>O. tubicula</i> subsp. <i>srigulosa</i> | | Pinery Canyon | 5 | — | 183 | 157–169 | 304–307 | 176 | 94–112 | 259–274 | 241–244 | 185–200 | 206–212 | 188 | 149–152 |
| | | La Ascension | 5 | 177 | 189–197 | 143–157 | 313 | 176–188 | 94 | 262–265 | 244 | 188–191 | 197–206 | 176–206 | 152–174 |
| <i>O. tubicula</i> subsp. <i>tubicula</i> | | Black River Rd. | 5 | 167–183 | 189 | 143–189 | 310–332 | 176 | 94–97 | 250–262 | 244–247 | 188 | 182–209 | 197 | 155–171 |

Note: N nuc = number of individuals tested with nuclear microsatellite markers.

^aAmplified two regions.

TABLE 4. Results of cross-amplification of plastid microsatellites in the 11 additional taxa within *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*. Results from *O. gayleana* and *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia* are included for comparison.

| Subsection | Species | Population | N cp | OenelCp3 | OenelCp5 ^a | OenelCp11 | OenelCp12 | N cp haplotypes |
|---|---|--------------|------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| <i>Calylophus</i> | <i>O. capillifolia</i> subsp. <i>berlandieri</i> | Monahans | 3 | 266 | 338–362 | 192 | 195–199 | 2 |
| | <i>O. capillifolia</i> subsp. <i>capillifolia</i> | Uvalde | 3 | 264 | 338 | 192 | 196–199 | 1 |
| | <i>O. gayleana</i> | Yeso Hills | 12 | 263–269 | 315–380 | 184–197 | 193–199 | 7 |
| <i>Salpingia</i> | | Yeso 62/180 | 3 | 265 | 380 | 193 | 196 | 1 |
| | | Fort Summer | 3 | 265 | 380–383 | 193 | 196 | 2 |
| | | Crosbyton | 3 | 265 | 280 | 191 | 195 | 1 |
| | <i>O. serrulata</i> | | na | na | na | na | na | |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>fendleri</i> | Galisteo Dam | na | na | na | na | na | |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>filifolia</i> | Yeso Hills | 27 | 263–269 | 354–438 | 195–198 | 193–195 | 7 |
| | | Caballo Mtn. | 10 | 262–267 | 291–297 | — | 195 | |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>hartwegii</i> | Mazapil | 3 | 262–265 | 330–392 | 196 | 192–193 | 2 |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>maccartii</i> | Zapata | 3 | 263 | 311 | 193 | 192 | 1 |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> subsp. <i>pubescens</i> | Ranch 7 | 3 | 267 | 371 | 196 | 195 | 1 |
| <i>O. lavandulifolia</i> | Iraan | 3 | 263 | 311 | 195 | 192 | 1 | |
| <i>O. toumeyi</i> | Pinery Canyon | 3 | 269 | 372 | 196 | 194 | 1 | |
| <i>O. tubicula</i> subsp. <i>strigulosa</i> | La Ascension | 3 | 263 | 290 | 196 | 196 | 1 | |
| <i>O. tubicula</i> subsp. <i>tubicula</i> | Black River Rd. | 3 | 264 | 372–413 | 195 | 195 | 2 | |

Note: N cp = number of individuals tested with chloroplast microsatellite markers; na = not available.

^aAmplified two regions.

subsp. *filifolia* and in some populations of the remaining 11 taxa within *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*. These markers will be used in future studies of genetic differentiation between populations in the bee-pollinated *O. gayleana* and the hawkmoth-pollinated *O. hartwegii* subsp. *filifolia*. In addition, they will be useful for investigations into gene flow within and among other taxa in sect. *Calylophus* and may help identify populations and species that exhibit translocation heterozygotes in this group.

LITERATURE CITED

- AGRAWAL, A. A., A. P. HASTINGS, M. T. JOHNSON, J. L. MARON, AND J. P. SALMINEN. 2012. Insect herbivores drive real-time ecological and evolutionary change in plant populations. *Science* 338: 113–116.
- ALARCÓN, R., G. DAVIDOWITZ, AND J. BRONSTEIN. 2008. Nectar usage in a southern Arizona hawkmoth community. *Ecological Entomology* 33: 503–509.
- DOYLE, J. J., AND J. L. DOYLE. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19: 11–15.
- EVANS, M., S. A. SMITH, R. S. FLYNN, AND M. J. DONOGHUE. 2009. Climate, niche evolution, and diversification of the “Bird-Cage” evening primroses (*Oenothera*, sections *Anogra* and *Kleinia*). *American Naturalist* 173: 225–240.
- FINGER, A., C. KAISER-BUNBURY, C. KETTLE, T. VALENTIN, AND J. GHAZOUL. 2014. Genetic connectivity of the moth pollinated tree *Glionnetia sericea* in a highly fragmented habitat. *PLoS ONE* 9: e111111.
- GREENLEAF, S. S., N. M. WILLIAMS, R. WINFREE, AND C. KREMEN. 2007. Bee foraging ranges and their relationship to body size. *Oecologia* 153: 589–596.
- HUPFER, H., M. SWIATEK, S. HORNING, R. G. HERRMANN, R. M. MAIER, W.-L. CHIU, AND B. SEARS. 2000. Complete nucleotide sequence of the *Oenothera elata* plastid chromosome, representing plastome I of the five distinguishable *Euoenothera* plastomes. *Molecular & General Genetics* 263: 581–585.
- JOHNSON, M. T. J., A. R. IVES, J. AHERN, AND J.-P. SALMINEN. 2014. Macroevolution of plant defenses against herbivores in the evening primroses. *New Phytologist* 203: 267–279.
- LARSON, E. L., S. M. BOGDANOWICZ, A. A. AGRAWAL, M. T. J. JOHNSON, AND R. G. HARRISON. 2008. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in common evening primrose (*Oenothera biennis*). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 434–436.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- RAVEN, P. H. 1979. A survey of reproductive biology in Onagraceae. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 17: 575–593.
- RAYMOND, M., AND F. ROUSSET. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86: 248–249.
- SCHUELKE, M. 2000. An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 233–234.
- STOCKHOUSE, R. E. 1973. Biosystematic studies of *Oenothera* L. subgenus *Pachylophus*. Ph.D. dissertation, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- TOWNER, H. F. 1977. The biosystematics of *Calylophus* (Onagraceae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 64: 48–120.
- TURNER, B. L., AND M. J. MOORE. 2014. *Oenothera gayleana* (*Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus*, Onagraceae), a new gypsophile from Texas, New Mexico, and Oklahoma. *Phytologia* 96: 200–206.
- UNTERGASSER, A., I. CUTCUTACHE, T. KORESSAAR, J. YE, B. C. FAIRCLOTH, M. REMM, AND S. G. ROZEN. 2012. Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40: e115.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.
- WAGNER, W. L., P. C. HOCH, AND P. H. RAVEN. 2007. Revised classification of the Onagraceae. *Systematic Botany Monographs* 83: 1–240.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher information, mating system, and primary pollinator for all *Oenothera* sect. *Calylophus* taxa used in this study.

| Subsection | Species | Population locality | Latitude | Longitude | Voucher collector no. ^a | Mating system ^b | Primary pollinator ^c |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Calylophus</i> | <i>O. capitifolia</i> Scheele subsp. <i>berlandieri</i> (Spach) W. L. Wagner & Hoch | Monahans, TX, USA | 31°36'58.2"N | -102°48'29.3"W | M. J. Moore 757 | SI | B |
| | <i>O. capitifolia</i> Scheele subsp. <i>capitifolia</i> | Uvalde, TX, USA | 29°14'45.3"N | -99°47'23.6"W | M. J. Moore 1040 | SI | B |
| | <i>O. gayleana</i> B. L. Turner & M. J. Moore | Yeso Hills, NM, USA | 32°02'13.9"N | -104°27'18.8"W | M. J. Moore 2286 | SI | B |
| <i>Salpingia</i> | | Yeso 62/180, NM, USA | 32°02'36.9"N | -104°28'10.3"W | M. J. Moore 653 | SI | B |
| | | Fort Summer, NM, USA | 34°09'17.7"N | -104°28'51.6"W | M. J. Moore 669 | SI | B |
| | <i>O. serrulata</i> Nutt. | Crosbyton, TX, USA | 33°40'21.1"N | -101°10'27.5"W | M. J. Moore 798 | SC | Self |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> Benth. subsp. <i>fendleri</i> (A. Gray) | Galisteo Dam, NM, USA | 35°27'27.7"N | -106°13'08.8"W | M. J. Moore 928 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> Benth. subsp. <i>filifolia</i> (Eastw.) W. L. Wagner & Hoch | Yeso Hills, NM, USA | 32°02'13.9"N | -104°27'18.8"W | M. J. Moore 2285 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> Benth. subsp. <i>hartwegii</i> | Caballo Mountains, NM, USA | 33°00'23.4"N | -107°09'25.1"W | M. J. Moore 2260 | SI | HM |
| | Mazapil, Zacatecas, Mexico | 24°38'58.2"N | -101°34'36.7"W | M. J. Moore 1400 | SI | HM | |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> Benth. subsp. <i>maccartii</i> (Shimmers) W. L. Wagner & Hoch | Zapata, TX, USA | 26°51'45.0"N | -99°14'48.1"W | M. J. Moore 997 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. hartwegii</i> Benth. subsp. <i>pubescens</i> (A. Gray) W. L. Wagner & Hoch | Ranch 7, TX, USA | 30°14'51.9"N | -103°33'56.6"W | M. J. Moore 601 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. lavandulifolia</i> Torr. & A. Gray | Iraan, TX, USA | 30°52'29.1"N | -102°05'10.2"W | M. J. Moore 623 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. toumeyi</i> (Small) Tidestr. | Pinery Canyon, AZ, USA | 31°56'10.2"N | -109°16'53.8"W | M. J. Moore 857 | SI | HM |
| | <i>O. tubicula</i> A. Gray subsp. <i>strigulosa</i> (Towner) W. L. Wagner & Hoch | La Ascensión, Nuevo León, Mexico | 24°18'15.2"N | -99°53'28.3"W | M. J. Moore 1367 | SI | B |
| | <i>O. tubicula</i> A. Gray subsp. <i>tubicula</i> | Black River Rd., NM, USA | 32°14'20.3"N | -104°12'16.4"W | M. J. Moore 1077 | SI | B |

^a Herbarium vouchers deposited at the U.S. National Herbarium (US).

^b SC = self-compatible; SI = self-incompatible.

^c B = bee; HM = hawkmoth; Self = autogamous.