LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Emergency Department Admissions During COVID-19: Implications from the 2002-2004 SARS Epidemic

Muhammad M. Munir* Russell S. Martins* Asad I. Mian, MD, PhD† *Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi City, Sindh, Pakistan

†Aga Khan University Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine, Karachi City, Sindh, Pakistan

Section Editor: Mark I. Langdorf, MD, MHPE

Submission history: Submitted May 17, 2020; Revision received May 17, 2020; Accepted May 18, 2020

Electronically published June 23, 2020

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2020.5.48203

[West J Emerg Med. 2020;21(4)744-745.]

Disclaimer: Due to the rapidly evolving nature of this outbreak, and in the interests of rapid dissemination of reliable, actionable information, this paper went through expedited peer review. Additionally, information should be considered current only at the time of publication and may evolve as the science develops.

Dear Editor:

The emergency department (ED) represents a frontline in the response to the COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) pandemic. This is similar to the 2002-2004 SARS-CoV-1 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus) epidemic, where EDs played an important role in triage and screening of patients presenting to hospitals. In this letter, we review the impact of the SARS epidemic on hospital ED admissions, and discuss implications for COVID-19 to enable healthcare systems to better anticipate and manage the effects of the current pandemic on the ED.

During the peak of the SARS outbreak, studies from affected countries (predominantly high-income countries such as Taiwan, Singapore, Hong Kong, and Canada) reported overall declining ED visits, especially for high-acuity and nonrespiratory emergencies.¹⁻⁹ Rates of acute myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, and gastrointestinal bleeding presenting to EDs declined, indicating that some seriously ill patients did not get access to appropriate medical care. Possible reasons for declining ED visits included patient fear of contracting SARS from EDs, official announcements deterring ED visits, and the media's portrayal of the disease. ^{2,3,10} However, some EDs reported an increase in patients harboring concerns of SARS infection, occasionally even without any respiratory symptoms. Symptomless patients posed a challenge to EDs, as overburdened healthcare workers often delayed the full assessment of these patients although they could represent asymptomatic but infective sources of SARS. Moreover, the increase in potential SARS patients visiting EDs deterred not only healthcare-seeking behavior, but also healthcare-providing

behavior due to fear of nosocomial transmission and insufficient isolation facilities.³ However, despite a decline in number of visits, ED staff were increasingly overburdened with the triage and management of the influx of potential SARS patients.³ As a result, EDs also saw a drop in performance and quality of care indicators, such as length of stay and early return to the ED.⁴ Moreover, although expenses in the ED fell, the increased per patient expenditures (up to 35.9%), decreased reimbursements (up to 21.7%), operational disruptions, and decreased surgical procedures placed hospitals under major financial stress.^{3,7} Hospital recovery time, in terms of ED visits, ranged from months to years.⁵

Despite most SARS data discussed in this letter originating from high-income countries, we expect the COVID-19 pandemic to produce similar – though perhaps more augmented - effects on ED trends worldwide. The public's fear of COVID-19 resulting in decreased ED visits for emergencies risks serious health consequences that must not be overlooked. Hospitals must explore ways to reduce these unfortunate consequences, such as the use of telephone helplines encouraging the use of hospital services when appropriate. Telehealth also enables hospitals to continue providing consultations for other medical specialties, thereby reducing financial losses. Reducing the likelihood of a nosocomial COVID-19 outbreak, while also alleviating the public's fear of visiting an ED, may be achieved through better infection control measures and availability of appropriate personal protective equipment. Where possible, the construction of isolation centers (away from existing EDs), and designation of specific public hospitals for the testing and management of COVID-19 patients, could also offer potential solutions. Additionally, it is also important for public health systems to maintain constant, positive, yet transparent, communication with patients and families through the pandemic. Lastly, decreased revenue from declining visits to EDs may cripple a hospital financially and quickly render it incapable of continuing health provision

during the pandemic. To negate this, it is important for governments to mobilize financial resources to compensate hospitals and healthcare workers, ensuring their ability and motivation to continue fighting COVID-19.

In conclusion, the 2002-2004 SARS outbreak showed how the current COVID-19 pandemic may lead to considerable ramifications for emergency care in the population, as well as hospitals' long-term operational and financial capabilities. Lessons learned from the SARS outbreak show the need for extensive telehealth services, designated COVID-19 management facilities, higher sanitary and infection control standards, and better communication with the general population. This letter aims to guide public health officials to prevent avoidable, yet potentially dire, consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic on ED accessibility and utilization.

Address for Correspondence: Asad I. Mian, MD, PhD, Aga Khan University, Department of Emergency Medicine, Stadium Road, Karachi 74800, Pakistan. Email: asad.mian@aku.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. No author has professional or financial relationships with any companies that are relevant to this study. There are no conflicts of interest or sources of funding to declare.

Copyright: © 2020 Munir et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (<u>CC BY 4.0</u>) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Schull MJ, Stukel TA, Vermeulen MJ, et al. Effect of widespread restrictions on the use of hospital services during an outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome. CMAJ. 2007;176(13):1827-32.
- Boutis K, Stephens D, Lam K, et al. The impact of SARS on a tertiary care pediatric emergency department. *CMAJ*. 2004;171(11):1353-8.
- Chen WK, Cheng YC, Chung YT, et al. The impact of the SARS outbreak on an urban emergency department in Taiwan. *Med Care*. 2005;43(2):168-72.
- Chen T, Lai K, Chang H. Impact of a severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak in the emergency department: an experience in Taiwan. Emerg Med J. 2004;21(6):660-2.
- Chu D, Chen RC, Ku CY, et al. The impact of SARS on hospital performance. BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;8(1):228.
- Huang CC, Yen DHT, Huang HH, et al. Impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreaks on the use of emergency department medical resources. J Chin Med Assoc. 2005;68(6):254-9.
- Huang HH, Yen DHT, Kao WF, et al. Declining emergency department visits and costs during the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak. J Form Med Assoc. 2006;105(1):31-7.
- Man CY, Yeung RS, Chung JY, et al. Impact of SARS on an emergency department in Hong Kong. *Emerg Med (Fremantle)*. 2003;15(5-6):418-22.
- 9. Lateef F. SARS changes the ED paradigm. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2004;22(6):483-7.
- Heiber M, Lou WY. Effect of the SARS outbreak on visits to a community hospital emergency department. CJEM. 2006;8(5):323-8.