Histone acetyltransferases and histone deacetylases in B- and T-cell development, physiology and malignancy

Leila Haery¹, Ryan C. Thompson¹ and Thomas D. Gilmore¹

¹ Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence to: Thomas D. Gilmore, email: gilmore@bu.edu Keywords: HAT, HDAC, acetylation, B cells, T cells Received: May 1, 2015 Accepted: May 12, 2015

Published: May 15, 2015

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The development of B and T cells from hematopoietic precursors and the regulation of the functions of these immune cells are complex processes that involve highly regulated signaling pathways and transcriptional control. The signaling pathways and gene expression patterns that give rise to these developmental processes are coordinated, in part, by two opposing classes of broad-based enzymatic regulators: histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). HATs and HDACs can modulate gene transcription by altering histone acetylation to modify chromatin structure, and by regulating the activity of non-histone substrates, including an array of immune-cell transcription factors. In addition to their role in normal B and T cells, dysregulation of HAT and HDAC activity is associated with a variety of B- and T-cell malignancies. In this review, we describe the roles of HATs and HDACs in normal B- and T-cell physiology, describe mutations and dysregulation of HATs and HDACs that are implicated lymphoma and leukemia, and discuss HAT and HDAC inhibitors that have been explored as treatment options for leukemias and lymphomas.

INTRODUCTION

B and T cells have a variety of cellular subtypes that arise through a complex series of developmental events. The function of these various immune cell subtypes can be altered by numerous extracellular factors, including antigens, cytokines, and growth factors. Many of these developmental and functional processes are controlled by large-scale changes in gene expression, either due to epigenetic changes in chromatin structure or to the activity of specific transcription factors (TFs). Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) are two opposing classes of enzymes that play widespread roles in regulating transcription either by altering chromatin structure or by modulating the activity of specific TFs. Thus, it is perhaps not surprising that HATs and HDACs play roles in maintaining hematopoietic precursors and in coordinating their maturation into various subtypes of B and T cells.

As with many proteins that have important roles in normal developmental and cell-specific proliferation and survival processes, HAT and HDAC activity is altered in many B- and T-cell malignancies. Moreover, several HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) have been found to reduce the proliferation of B and T cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. As an outcome of such basic research, there are four FDA-approved HDACi being used clinically to treat T-cell lymphoma and multiple myeloma, and there are several clinical trials using HDACi for the treatment of B- and T-cell cancers.

In this review, we describe the roles of HATs and HDACs in normal B- and T-cell development and function, and also discuss alterations in HAT/HDAC activity in B- and T-cell malignancies. Finally, we summarize the current status of HAT and HDAC inhibitors as potential therapies for cancers affecting B and T cells.

Overview of the Regulation of Transcription by HATs and HDACs

HATs and HDACs carry out acetylation and deacetylation, respectively, of the ε -amino group of specific lysine residues on target proteins. The addition of

an acetyl group prevents the formation of positive charges on the lysine amino group, and thus, can affect protein activity. Through this reversible catalytic event, HATs and HDACs can regulate transcription in two general ways: 1) by altering histone acetylation patterns, thereby modulating chromatin structure and its accessibility to transcriptional regulatory proteins [1, 2], and 2) by acetylating and affecting the activity of non-histone substrates that directly regulate transcription, including a diverse array of TFs [3].

HATs are a subtype of transcriptional coactivators, in that they possess intrinsic acetyltransferase activity and can enhance the ability of a TF to activate transcription. In general, HAT-mediated acetylation of nucleosomal histones increases the accessibility of DNA to TFs and leads to increased transcription at a given DNA locus. Acetylation of specific TFs by HATs can also increase their ability to bind DNA, resist proteasomal degradation, or interact with other TFs or coactivators, and consequently, direct acetylation of TFs can also be a transcriptional activating event [3]. In addition, by serving as protein scaffolds, HATs can promote the formation of transcriptional activating complexes near a gene promoter. This scaffolding function does not necessarily require HAT enzymatic activity, but rather is defined by the proteininteraction domains of these relatively large molecules.

HDACs, on the other hand, generally act as transcriptional corepressors by deacetylating nucleosomal histones, which can lead to chromosomal condensation and the exclusion of transcriptional activating complexes. Additionally, large HDAC-containing repressor complexes can localize to specific gene loci and exclude activating molecules, including HATs, from interacting with TFs. HDACs can also deacetylate specific TFs, decreasing their DNA-binding activity, inducing their degradation, or changing their subcellular localization or protein-protein interactions [4].

Families of Human HATs and HDACs

HAT families

There are 17 human HATs, which are divided into five families based primarily on the extent of sequence similarity [5] (Figure 1). Although HATs can act on a broad range of substrates *in vitro*, HATs are usually directed to specific targets *in vivo*, and thus, HAT families generally have distinct biological functions. The noncatalytic domains of HATs are responsible for dictating this substrate specificity, and HAT families generally have conserved protein-protein interaction and reader domains (e.g., bromodomains, PHD fingers), which enable them to localize to particular genomic sites and recognize specific chemical or epigenetic modifications. The size of the catalytic HAT domain and the mechanism of catalysis also differ between HAT families. For example, CBP and p300 utilize a "hit and run" kinetic model defined by an initial binding of acetyl-CoA followed by transient binding to the target lysine [6, 7], whereas the GNAT family HATs adopt a ternary complex during catalysis [8].

GNAT family HATs (GCN5, HAT1, PCAF, ATF2) are generally part of large, multi-protein complexes that contain TBP-associated factors (TAFs) and a single catalytic HAT subunit (reviewed in [9]). Two wellcharacterized complexes found in humans are the 700 kDa (i.e., ATAC) and 2 MDa (i.e., TFTC, STAGA, and PCAF) complexes. These large HAT-containing complexes play roles in global chromatin acetylation (i.e., the deposition of acetyl marks on histones) and as coactivators of genes when recruited to DNA by specific TFs or regulatory proteins. Members of the GNAT family, especially PCAF, also acetylate specific TFs and modulate their activity (e.g., p53, BRCA2, PTEN). GNAT family members have a conserved C-terminal bromodomain, which has been shown to be an acetyl-lysine targeting motif. GNAT family member ATF2 is the only sequence-specific DNA-binding transcriptional activator with intrinsic HAT activity.

The CBP/p300 HATs are large (~300 kDa), highly related proteins with a single HAT domain, a bromodomain, and several cysteine–histidine-rich (CH) domains that participate in a variety of protein-protein interactions [5]. Indeed, CBP/p300 have been shown to acetylate over 75 target proteins, including all histone proteins, as well as numerous TFs. By virtue of their multiple protein-protein interaction domains, CBP/p300 can also promote transcriptional activation by nucleating transcriptional complexes at promoters in a non-enzymatic manner. Although they generally act as coactivators, in some cases, CBP/p300 appear to be involved in transcriptional repression [10].

The MYST family of HATs (TIP60, MOZ, MORF, HBO1, MOF) is characterized by a conserved MYST domain that contains the catalytically active HAT domain. The two largest family members, MOZ and MORF, also have a PHD zinc finger domain, which recognizes methyl-lysine-containing motifs [11], and a C-terminal transactivation domain that interacts with various transcription factors, including hematopoietic cell regulators PU.1 and Runx1 [12-14]. Most MYST family HATs act as catalytic subunits of large multiprotein complexes, including the ING family of tumor suppressors [15].

The steroid receptor coactivators (SRCs) include three HATs (NCOA1, NCOA2, NCOA3) that enhance transcription of genes responsive to liganded nuclear receptors [16]. In addition to the HAT domain, SRCs contain three conserved domains: 1) an N-terminal bHLH-PAS (basic helix-loop-helix-Per/ARNT/Sim), which is necessary for interaction with other coactivators; 2) one or more LXXL repeats, which mediate interactions

GNAT



p300/CBP



MYST

Tip60 (KAT5) 494 aa MOZ* (KAT6A) 1890 aa MORF (KAT6B) 1890 aa HBO1 (KAT7) 581 aa MOF (KAT8) 458 aa



SRC (nuclear receptor coactivators)

NCOA1* (KAT13A) 1441 aa NCOA2 (KAT13C) 1423 aa NCOA3 (KAT13B) 581 aa



Other

CLOCK (KAT13D) 846 aa TAF1 (KAT4) 1895 aa TFIIIC90 (KAT12) 822 aa

Class	HDAC	localization	size (aa)	Structure and DAC domain
- I	HDAC1	nuclear	482	
	HDAC2	nuclear	488	
' I	HDAC3	nuclear	428	
	HDAC8	nuclear	377	
- I	HDAC4	nuc/cyt	972	
	HDAC5	nuc/cyt	1122	
2a	HDAC7	nuc/cyt	954	
	HDAC9	nuc/cyt	1011	
	HDAC6	cytoplasmic	1215	
2b	HDAC10	nuc/cyt	649	
- I	SIRT1	nuc/cyt	747	
	SIRT2	nuc/cyt	352	
	SIRT3	mitochondrial	399	
3	SIRT4	mitochondrial	314	
	SIRT5	mitochondrial	310	
	SIRT6	nuclear	355	
- 1	SIRT7	nuclear	400	
4	HDAC11	nuclear	225	

Figure 1: The general structures of human HATs and HDACs. Schematic representations are drawn approximately to scale. The catalytically active domains and other conserved domains are shown: acetyltransferase domain (KAT), bromodomain (Br), cysteine/ histidine domain (CH), KIX domain, PH-D finger (PHD), helix-loop-helix domain (HLH), LXXLL motif (LX), PAS domain (PAS). All human HATs are listed with the gene alias in parentheses. Size of each HAT is shown as number of amino acids. A representative HAT (indicated by asterisk) is shown for each family. All HDACs are listed with their predominant subcellular localizations; those that shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm are indicated as nuc/cyt. Catalytic domains are indicated with green boxes.

with other nuclear receptors and cofactors; and 3) two C-terminal transcriptional activation domains (AD1 and AD2). Although SRCs have been associated with various human cancers, currently they are not known to have a role in hematopoiesis or B-/T-cell function.

Other HATs that are not clearly part of a family include the following; TAF1 (TAF_{II}250), a subunit of the TFIID general TF complex; CLOCK, which is primarily involved in circadian rhythm; and the 90 kDa subunit of TFIIIC, which is involved in the control of general transcription in a complex with RNA polymerase III.

HDAC families

To counterbalance the impact of HATs on protein function and genome structure, there are 18 human HDACs, which are commonly divided into four major classes based on homology to yeast orthologs (Figure 1): class 1 (HDAC1, 2, 3 and 8), class 2 (HDAC4, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10), class 3 (aka sirtuins; SIRT1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7), and class 4 (HDAC11) [17-20]. HDAC classes differ in their structure, substrate specificity, enzymatic mechanism, subcellular localization, and tissue-specific expression. Even though most HDACs contain a nuclear localization signal (NLS) and, in some cases, a nuclear export signal (NES), HDACs often localize to specific subcellular regions due to protein-protein interactions with proteins that direct their cellular localization.

The "classical" HDACs are those in classes 1, 2a, 2b, and 4, and they have a conserved \sim 390 aa catalytic domain and Zn²⁺-dependent deacetylase activity. The conserved, \sim 275 aa catalytic domain of the class 3 sirtuins is NAD⁺-dependent and unrelated to the catalytic domain of the classical HDACs [21, 22]. These differences in their catalytic mechanisms have implications for inhibition of HDAC activity, and thus, many of the HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) used in cancer therapeutics target the classical HDACs (discussed below).

Class 1 HDACs are ubiquitously expressed and localize almost exclusively to the nucleus. The class 2 HDACs are generally much larger than class 1 HDACs. show tissue-specific expression patterns, and often shuttle in and out of the nucleus. In general, HDACs in both classes 1 and 2 are found in large transcriptional repressing complexes, and are recruited to DNA either by other proteins in those complexes or by other DNAbinding proteins. These large protein complexes play roles in HDAC localization and substrate specificity, can act as scaffolds to recruit DNA-binding proteins, and provide the cofactors required for HDAC function. Indeed, lack of these cofactors limits the activity of some recombinant HDACs [23]. HDAC 11 is the only class 4 HDAC, and although it shows sequence similarity to class 1 and 2 HDACs, it does not exist within any of the known HDAC complexes.

Class 3 sirtuins vary in their subcellular localization

and interact with a diverse array of TFs and other, primarily non-histone, substrates [24]. For example, SIRT1 can directly interact with substrates involved in the stress response, including p53, FOXO proteins, and NF- κ B. The mitochondrially-localized sirtuins (SIRT3, 4, 5) can regulate mitochondrial function, respiration, and energy consumption [25]. Some sirtuins (SIRT4 and 6) lack deacetylase activity, but possess ADP-ribosyltransferase activity and play roles in metabolism and DNA repair.

HATs and HDACs in B- and T-cell Development

HATs and HDACs in early hematopoietic development

B- and T-cell development involves controlled stages of gene expression programs and genomic instability, which ultimately give rise to the diversity of cells that provide adaptive immunity. These developmental stages are tightly regulated by a large variety of TFs and are coupled with the accessibility of DNA to factors that coordinate chromosomal rearrangements. HATs and HDACs play major roles in normal B- and T-cell development because they can interact with hematopoietic regulators and TFs, as well as affect DNA accessibility by modifying chromatin structure near relevant target genes. In this section, some roles of HATs and HDACs in normal hematopoiesis, lymphopoiesis, and B- and T-cell function are discussed (summarized in Table 1).

Much of what is known about the role of HATs and HDACs in the development of mammalian B and T cells comes from the study of whole knockout (KO) mice and of mice with tissue-specific inactivation of individual HATs and HDACs. In most cases, whole-mouse HAT and HDAC KOs are embryonic lethal. Therefore, to explore the roles of these HATs/HDACs in hematopoiesis, either hematopoietic progenitors have been isolated from KO mice or hematopoietic lineage-specific gene KOs have been generated.

Mice with cell-specific KOs of CBP or p300 have defects in maintenance and differentiation of hematopoietic stems cells (HSCs) [26, 27]. The defect in hematopoiesis in p300-null stem cell lines can be rescued by re-expression of wild-type p300 or when an extra copy of CBP is placed under control of the p300 locus, suggesting that the total dosage of HAT activity by CBP/p300 is critical for hematopoietic maintenance and differentiation rather than the specific activity of either individual HAT [26, 27]. Likewise, in the MYST family, whole animal KO of MOZ is embryonic or perinatal lethal, and MOZ KO embryos show a dramatic reduction in the number and repopulation capacity of hematopoietic progenitors, whereas mice with heterozygous KO or

Table 1: Roles of HATs and HDACs in B- and T-cell development and function

HAT or HDAC	Role in early hematopoiesis	Role in B cell	Role in T cell
GCN5		Regulates transcription of IgM H-chain. Activates IRF4 (Required for B-cell differentiation)	
PCAF		HSC maintenance (via TRAPP), acetylates E2A (major role in B-cell differentiation)	Positively regulates FOXP3 expression
CBP	HSC maintenance	Development of peripheral B cells	
p300	HSC maintenance	Development of peripheral B cells	Regulates CCR9 expression during thymocyte migration. Acetylates FOXP3, which is required for survival of Tregs
TIP60	HSC maintenance		Acetylates FOXP3, and is important for survival of Tregs
MOZ	HSC maintenance	Enhances HOXA9 expression, activates PU.1	
HBO1			Regulates CD4/CD8 expression patterns in thymocytes. Activates CD8 expression via global H3K14 acetylation
MOF	HSC maintenance and expression of hematopoietic regulators		
HDAC1	HSC maintenance. ERK1/2 repression via SMAD1/5		No effect on T-cell development, but leads to upregulation of HDAC2. Represses cytokine production (IL-4, IL-5, IL-10) in activated T cells and during T effector cell differentiation. Negatively regulates FOXP3 expression.
HDAC2	HSC maintenance		
HDAC3	Repopulation of B and T cells and HSC self-renewal		Deacetylates FOXP3, which reduces Treg development and suppressive function
HDAC4		Deacetylates BCL6, activating genes (lymphocyte activation, differentiation, apoptosis)	
HDAC5		Phosphorylated by protein kinases D1 and D3 and exported as a result of BCR signaling. Deacetylates BCL6, which activates genes for lymphocyte activation, differentiation, and apoptosis	
HDAC7		Phosphorylated by protein kinases D1 and D3 and exported as a result of BCR signaling. Deacetylates BCL6, which activates genes for lymphocyte activation, differentiation, and apoptosis	Represses Nur77 expression during TCR negative selection. Regulates gene expression during TCR positive selection
HDAC9			Deacetylates FOXP3, which reduces Treg development and immunosuppressive activity
HDAC6			Controls IgM and IgG levels upon antigen stimulation. T-cell migration. Immune synapse formation. Deacetylates FOXP3, which reduces Treg development and immunosuppressive activity
HDAC11			Represses IL-10 expression in APCs
SIRT1			Deacetylates FOXP3, which reduces Treg cell development and immunosuppressive activity

with a HAT deletion of MOZ often show intermediate phenotypes, suggesting a dose-dependent requirement for activity of this HAT [13, 28].

To study the role of specific p300 domains in hematopoiesis, a series of p300 deletion mutants were re-expressed in p300-null embryonic stem cells (ESCs), and their ability to contribute to hematopoiesis was analyzed. These studies showed that p300 mutants lacking the KIX or CH1 domain had reduced abilities to induce hematopoiesis, and these defects were similar to the parental p300-null cells [27]. This reduction in hematopoiesis is thought to be due to an inability of KIX and CH1 deletion mutants to interact with the TF MYB [27, 29]. Interestingly, the presence of a functional HAT domain in p300 appears to play a role in limiting the proliferation of hematopoietic precursors, in that expression of a HAT-deficient p300 mutant in p300null cells leads to increased numbers of hematopoietic cell populations, as compared to re-expression of wildtype p300 [27]. The dispensability of the CBP/p300 HAT domain for hematopoiesis may be due to their interaction with the HAT PCAF, which provides catalytic HAT activity in some CBP/p300 signaling contexts (e.g., myogenic differentiation) [30]. Thus, unlike the MYST family, which relies on HAT activity for proper proliferation of HSCs (described below), the HAT domains of CBP/p300 may actually reduce the proliferation of some hematopoietic cell types.

Among the MYST family proteins, the role of MOZ in hematopoiesis was determined by analyzing the hematopoietic progenitors in MOZ KO mice. Wholemouse MOZ KO reduces the number of HSCs, and also affects the ability of these stem cells to renew and reconstitute the hematopoietic system [13]. HSCs from MOZ KO mice have reduced HOXA9 expression, which is known to reduce the differentiation potential of HSCs. MOZ is also a transcriptional coactivator of PU.1, and reduced PU.1 activity can explain many of the phenotypes seen in MOZ KO mice [13, 31, 32]. In mice expressing HAT-deficient MOZ, hematopoietic progenitors are severely defective in competitive repopulation assays, demonstrating a critical role of the MOZ catalytic domain in HSC functionality [33]. These defects were linked to a marked deficiency in the proliferative capacity of HATdeficient MOZ precursors [33]. Loss of MYST family member MOF in ESCs is associated with a reduction in the expression of some hematopoietic genes, suggesting a role for MOF in hematopoiesis [34]. Additionally, conditional KO of the coactivator TRRAP, which can act as a subunit of TIP60 and PCAF HAT-containing complexes, leads to loss of HSCs [35].

HDAC1 and 2 have overlapping critical roles in early hematopoiesis and HSC homeostasis, largely by acting in a SIN3A/HDAC1/2-repressor complex. While mice with bone marrow-specific deletions of either HDAC1 or HDAC2 show only moderate phenotypes, the simultaneous deletion of HDAC1 and HDAC2 (or SIN3A alone) leads to nearly complete loss of hematopoietic progenitors, causing severe reduction in the numbers of spleen, thymic and bone marrow cells [36-38]. During HSC emergence in zebrafish, HDAC1 is recruited to the *erk* promoter by SMAD1/5, and represses *erk1/2* expression by deacetylating H3K9 and H3K27 [39]. Conditional KO studies have shown that HDAC3 is required for DNA replication in HSCs, which is essential for their ability to produce B- and T-cell progenitors [40].

HATs and HDACs in B-cell development and function

Disruption of p300 or CBP at the pro-B cell stage results in a 25-50% reduction in the number of B cells in the peripheral blood; however, the number of pro-B, pre-B, and immature B cells in the bone marrow is unaffected [41]. Loss of CBP at this stage does not drastically perturb gene expression in resting B cells, as ~99% of microarray transcripts measured in CBP-null cells were within 1.7fold of controls [41]. These results indicate that loss of either p300 or CBP starting at the pro-B cell stage is not required for B-cell function, possibly due to functional redundancy of these two HATs. In contrast to the single KOs, the double KO of CBP and p300 in pro-B cells causes a dramatic reduction in the number of peripheral B cells [41].

With the exception of mature B cells, the HAT activity of MOZ is required for the cell proliferation required to maintain healthy numbers of hematopoietic precursors. That is, mice expressing a HAT-deficient MOZ protein show an approximately 50% reduction in the numbers of pro/pre-B cells and immature B cells, whereas the number of mature B cells and their ability to carry out antibody responses is unaffected [33].

KO of GCN5 in the chicken immature B-cell line DT40 showed that GCN5 regulates transcription of the IgM H-chain gene, and GCN5 deficiency decreased membrane-bound and secreted forms of IgM proteins [42]. GCN5 also directly activates expression of the TF IRF4, which is required for B-cell differentiation [43]. PCAF acetylates the TF E2A, which plays a major role in the differentiation of B lymphocytes [44].

HDACs also appear to play a role in signaling from the B-cell receptor (BCR). During BCR activation, HDACs 5 and 7 are phosphorylated by protein kinases D1 and D3 and exported from the nucleus, suggesting a link between BCR function and epigenetic regulation of chromatin structure [45].

A major regulator of B-cell differentiation is the TF BCL6, which represses a set of target genes during proper germinal center (GC) development [46]. BCL6 also serves as an anti-apoptotic factor during an immune response, which enables DNA-remodeling processes to occur without eliciting an apoptotic DNA damage response [47, 48]. To achieve GC-specific gene expression, BCL6 is recruited to a large repressor complex that contains HDAC4, 5, and 7, and localizes to the nucleus to regulate its target genes [49]. Treatment of cells with an HDACi results in hyper-acetylation of BCL6, which derepresses expression of BCL6 target genes involved in lymphocyte activation, differentiation, and apoptosis [50, 51].

In B cells, HDAC1 and 2 play a key, redundant role in cell proliferation and at certain stages of development. That is, in early B cells the combined KO of HDAC1 and 2 results in a loss of further B-cell development and the few surviving pre-B cells undergo apoptosis due to a cell cycle block in G1, whereas individual KOs of these HDACs has no effect [52]. In mature B cells, the combined KO of HDAC1 and 2 has no effect on cell survival or function in the resting state, but these double KO cells fail to proliferate in response to lipopolysaccharide and IL-4 [52].

HATs and HDACs in T-cell development and function

HATs and HDACs also play roles in T-cell development and function. For example, the HAT p300 is important for the expression of chemokine CCR9, which is expressed in thymocytes during their migration and development into mature T cells [53]. Early in this developmental process, NOTCH signaling prevents p300 recruitment to, and acetylation of, core histones at two CCR9 enhancers, thus reducing CCR9 expression [53]. This NOTCH-dependent repression of CCR9 occurs via effects on p300 in multipotent progenitor cells and is also observed in T-lymphoma cell lines [53].

Thymus-specific deletion of the bromodomaincontaining protein BRD1, which is a subunit of the HAT HBO1 complex [54], alters the pattern of CD4/CD8 expression in thymocytes and decreases the abundance of CD8⁺ mature T cells in the periphery [55]. Furthermore, the HBO1-BRD1 complex is responsible for activating CD8 expression by increasing global acetylation of H3K14 in developing T cells [55].

T cell-specific KO of HDAC1 does not affect late T-cell development or the number of T cells in the periphery [56]. The lack of an effect is likely due to compensation by HDAC2, whose expression is increased when HDAC1 is inactivated [56]. Moreover, T cellspecific KO of both HDAC1 and 2 results in arrested T-cell development [57], similar to what is seen in HSCs and early B cells (see above). Nevertheless, T cell-specific KO of HDAC1 alone does cause an increased Th2-type inflammatory response in a mouse model of asthma, which is characterized by elevated expression of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10, suggesting that HDAC1 represses cytokine production in activated T cells and during T effector (T_{eff}) cell differentiation [56]. Of note, the HDAC1deficient increased expression of IL-4 in T cells is seen only after several rounds of cell division, suggesting that the effect of HDAC1 on IL-4 expression occurs via an epigenetic mechanism, and that the removal of repressive marks occurs during DNA replication [56]. In T cells, an HDAC1/mSIN3A complex represses IL-2 expression [58], and during T-cell activation, HDAC1-mediated repression of IL-2 is relieved by phosphorylation of mSIN3A by CDK5, which disrupts the formation of the HDAC1/ mSIN3A complex [58].

HDAC6-null mice exhibit normal B-cell development, but have reduced IgM and IgG levels following antigen stimulation [59]. This defect may be due to the role of HDAC6 in immune synapse formation and T-cell migration [60, 61].

In developing T cells, the interaction of class 2 HDACs with the TF MEF2D plays a major role in regulating T-cell receptor (TCR)-mediated apoptosis during negative selection of T cells with a TCR that interacts with self-antigen. For example, HDAC7 is recruited to the NUR77 promoter by MEF2D, where it acetylates chromatin and represses the expression of this apoptotic regulator [62]. In T cells undergoing negative selection, HDAC7 or class 2 HDACs become phosphorylated near their N-termini by protein kinase D, which allows recognition by 14-3-3, disruption of the interaction with MEF2D, and results in nuclear export of the repressive HDAC [62-64]. In addition to its role in negative selection, HDAC7 regulates the expression of genes involved in positive thymic selection. For example, HDAC7 KO and mutation studies in mouse thymocytes have shown that transcription of HDAC5 is regulated by MEF2D but not by NUR77; thus, HDAC5 may be a direct target for transcriptional regulation by HDAC7 during positive, but not negative T-cell selection [65, 66]

Class 4 HDAC11 represses the expression of IL-10 in antigen-presenting cells (APCs) by interacting with the distal region of the IL-10 promoter [67]. HDAC11 localization at the IL-10 distal promoter is coupled to increased binding of the transcriptional repressor PU.1 at the distal promoter and decreased acetylation of histones H3 and H4 at the proximal IL-10 promoter [67]. APCs that overexpress HDAC11 are able to restore the responsiveness of tolerant CD4⁺ T cells [67].

HATs and HDACs in the development of T-regulatory cells

T-regulatory cells (Tregs) play an important role in limiting T-cell immune responses, and HATs and HDACs have a variety of roles in Treg function. p300 and other HATs maintain the stability and function of Tregs by acetylating the TF FOXP3, whose transcriptional output is required for Treg-mediated immunosuppression [68]. FOXP3 expression in Tregs can be either positively or negatively regulated by the TF KLF10 through its association with PCAF or SIN3-HDAC1, respectively [69]. Interestingly, p300-deficient Tregs show many of the same defects in activity, survival and proliferation that occur in FOXP3-deficient Tregs [68], suggesting that the effects of p300 deficiency on Treg function are due to a reduction in FOXP3 activity. FOXP3 has also been found in a transcriptional regulatory complex with TIP60, HDAC7, HDAC9, and other proteins [70].

The acetylation of FOXP3 by either p300 or TIP60 both protects FOXP3 from degradation and increases its DNA-binding activity, and as a result, p300- or TIP60deficient Tregs have defects in activity, survival, and proliferation [68, 71]. Deleting either p300 or CBP in FOXP3⁺ Tregs in mice does not affect the overall proportion of T cells under basal conditions, and thus, these two HATs appear to have redundant roles in Treg production under resting conditions [72, 73]. When the Tregs are activated, however, p300- or CBP-deficient FOXP3⁺ Tregs undergo apoptosis, are unable to suppress homeostatic T_{eff} cell proliferation, and reject transplanted allografts [72, 73]. Mice with simultaneous Treg-specific deletion of p300 and CBP develop severe autoimmunity, as both p300 and CBP interact not only with FOXP3, but also with many FOXP3-regulating TFs including NFAT, STAT1, FOXO1, FOXO3, NF-KB, RUNX1, and STAT5 [72, 74-78]. Nevertheless, p300 and CBP also have distinct roles in Tregs; for example, only p300 is required for efficient GATA-3 expression, which is important for FOXP3 expression and Treg accumulation [72].

Countering the HATs, the HDACs deacetylate FOXP3, which reduces Treg development and immunosuppressive function, and also provides a therapeutic target for enhancing immunosuppressive (and potentially anti-tumor) activity in patients [79-81]. FOXP3 can be deacetylated by certain HDACs (i.e., HDAC3, 6, 7, 9 and SIRT1), which decreases FOXP3 protein levels and activity [80, 82, 83]. Of note, HDAC6, which is normally cytoplasmic, translocates to the nucleus of some Tregs where it can deacetylate FOXP3 [81]. Treg-specific deletion of these HDACs or treatment with HDACi has been shown to enhance immunosuppressive activity and Treg function [79]. Taken together, these results suggest that acetylation of FOXP3 favors Treg development. However, while Treg development is important in limiting host autoimmunity, it may also reduce host immune responses and anti-tumor activity. Thus, inhibition of FOXP3 acetylation is a promising anti-tumor strategy [73].

Regulation of Immune Cell-Related TFs by Acetylation

The activities of several TFs that play key roles in immune responses are affected directly and indirectly by HATs/HDACs. In most cases, the ability of CBP/ p300 to acetylate a given TF and affect its activity has been investigated (summarized in Table 2). There are four general ways that direct acetylation has been shown to affect TF function: 1) lysine acetylation can increase protein stability by blocking ubiquitination of the same lysines that promote proteasome-mediated degradation; 2) lysine acetylation within the DNAbinding domain can decrease the ability of the TF to bind DNA; 3) lysine acetylation can increase (or decrease) protein-protein interactions with TF regulators; and 4) acetylated lysines on TFs can serve as a docking domain for the bromodomain of HATs, which can increase their transactivation activity [18]. For example, NF-κB and STAT subunits are important regulators of B- and T-cell development and function. Both of these TFs undergo acetylation/deacetylation at several lysines, and acetylation at different residues can positively or negatively impact their activity in distinct ways depending on the lysine residue [84, 85]. Furthermore, the activity of NF-kB and STAT can be indirectly affected by acetylation, for example, by acetylation of their specific co-activators, the TFs that interact with them, or histones at their target gene promoters. Moreover, the ability of acetylation to affect NF-kB and STAT activity can depend on the specific target gene studied.

In a small number of cases, it is known how acetylation alters the activity of a TF in a way that affects B- or T-cell function. As described above, the TF FOXP3 is a direct substrate of p300 and other HATs, and acetylation of FOXP3 plays a key role in Treg development and maintenance [68]. Acetylation increases FOXP3 activity by stabilizing the protein and enhancing its DNA-binding activity at certain promoters [68].

BCL6 is a transcriptional repressor that is essential for GC formation and lymphocyte function and proliferation [86, 87]. p300 can directly bind to and acetylate BCL6, which interferes with its ability to bind HDAC-containing complexes and consequently inactivates its repressor activity [50]. CBP/p300 mutant proteins found in some diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (DLBCLs) show a reduced ability to acetylate BCL6 [88], and therefore such lymphoma cells have increased BCL6 activity, which is related to the oncogenic state of these cells.

GATA-3 plays a key role in T-cell differentiation and survival, and acetylation has been shown to increase GATA-3 transactivation activity [89]. Moreover, overexpression of an acetylation-defective GATA3 protein affects T-cell homing to lymph nodes and increases T-cell survival after antigen stimulation [89].

HATs and HDACs in B- and T-cell Malignancy

Given their broad role in control of lymphoid cell gene expression and TF activity, it is not surprising that misregulated acetylation is found in many cancers.

Transcription Factor	Effect of Acetylation	Mechanism of Action	Refs
C/EBPβ	Activation	Transactivation	[155]
E2A	Activation	Transactivation, protein stability	[156, 157]
E47	Activation	Transactivation	[157]
FOXP3	Activation	DNA binding, protein stability	[68]
GATA-2	Activation	DNA binding	[158]
GATA-3	Activation	Transactivation	[89]
IRF2	Activation	Transactivation	[159]
JUN	Activation	Protein-protein interaction	[160]
MYB	Activation	Transactivation	[161]
MYC	Activation	Protein stability	[162]
NFATe1	Activation	DNA binding	[163]
NOTCH1	Activation	Protein stability	[164]
NOTCH3	Activation	Protein stability	[165]
p53	Activation	Protein stability	[166]
PAX5	Activation	Transactivation	[167]
PU.1	Activation	Transactivation	[168]
RUNX1	Activation	DNA binding/transactivation	[169]
RUNX2	Activation	Protein stability	[170]
RUNX3	Activation	Protein stability	[171]
SMAD3	Activation	Transactivation	[172]
SP3	Activation	Transactivation	[173]
STAT3	Activation	DNA binding, transactivation; protein-protein interactions	[85]
STAT5	Activation	Dimerization, transactivation	[174]
TCF4	Activation	Protein-protein interaction	[175]
YY1	Activation	DNA binding	[176]
NF-κB	Activation Inhibition	DNA binding, IkB binding, transactivation DNA binding; IkB binding, nuclear export	[84]
BCL6	Inhibition	HDAC recruitment interference	[50]
CIITA	Inhibition	Protein degradation	[177]
ETS-1	Inhibition	DNA binding	[178]
HIF-1a	Inhibition	Protein degradation	[179]

Table 2: B- and T-cell transcription factors that are regulated by acetylation

As described in more detail below, in B- and T-cell cancers one often finds gene deletions and mutations that inactivate or reduce HAT activity (e.g., in CBP/ p300) or overexpression of non-mutant forms of HDACs. As a consequence, reduction of global histone and TF acetylation appears to be correlated with B- and T-cell proliferation and survival, whereas increased acetylation is associated with B- and T-cell tumor growth arrest and cell death.

Mutations of HATs in B- and T-cell leukemia/ lymphoma

Although chromosomal translocations involving p300, CBP and MYST are well-documented in acute myeloid leukemia [90], they have not been found in Band T-cell malignancies. However, other types of HAT gene mutations are common in certain types of B- and T-cell cancers. Namely, the genes encoding CBP and p300 harbor point mutations or deletions in approximately 20-40% of DLBCL [88, 91, 92], about 70% of follicular lymphomas (FL) [93], and less frequently in T-cell leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and myelodysplastic syndrome [94, 95]. The TIP60 gene frequently suffers mono-allelic loss and reduced expressed in several types of B-cell lymphoma [95]. Moreover, our analysis of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) database [96] finds that mutations in CBP/p300 and other HATs (especially MORF) are common in a variety of Band T-cell cancer cell lines (Table 3). With CBP and p300, the majority of these lymphoma mutations occur within or near the HAT domain or introduce frame-shifts or stop codons N-terminal to the HAT domain (see Figure 2). Thus, many of the CBP/p300 mutations found in DLBCL and FL are predicted to reduce acetyltransferase activity [88]. Indeed, several of these point mutations have been demonstrated to impair the affinity of CBP for acetyl-CoA and consequently compromise the ability of CBP to acetylate the TFs BCL6 and p53 [88]. Of note, acetylation of BCL6 decreases its gene repressing activity, whereas acetylation of p53 is required for its gene activation function (Table 2) [50, 97]. Thus, DLBCL cells with HAT gene mutations have higher levels of active BCL6 and lower levels of active p53 [88], consistent with decreased acetylation being associated with increased tumor cell growth.

In contrast to the more common point mutations, genomic alterations that completely remove the HAT domain in CBP or p300 are present in a minority of DLBCL and FL tumors and cell lines [88, 93]. Expression of C-terminally truncated CBP/p300 proteins missing the HAT domain has been demonstrated in some DLBCL cell lines [88, 98, 99]. A variety of evidence suggests that these HAT-deficient p300 mutants play an active

role in lymphomagenesis. First, expression of the HATdeficient p300 proteins is preferentially retained in these cell lines, whereas the wild-type allele is silenced [98, 99]. Second, knockdown of p300 mutant protein expression reduces the growth of some DLBCL cell lines [98, 99]. Third, HAT-deficient p300 mutants localize to sites of active transcription in cell nuclei, interact with the lymphomagenic TF REL, and affect transcription of REL target genes [98-100]. Moreover, expression of a HAT-deficient mutant of p300 increases the proliferation of HSCs that lack wild-type p300 [27]. DLBCL cell lines that express HAT-defective p300 mutants have generally lower levels of histone H3 acetylation at K14 and K18 [99]. Low levels of H3K14 and H3K18 acetylation have been associated with proliferation in other cell types [101], and CBP/p300 catalyze nearly all H3K18 acetylation in mice [102]. Thus, even though they are defective for HAT activity, C-terminally truncated p300 proteins appear to contribute to B-cell transformation, at least in part, by acting as aberrant scaffolds that organize altered transcription complexes at a variety of gene promoters/ enhancers to cause a broad-range of transcriptional deregulation. For example, we have previously suggested that dampening of global REL/NF-kB-dependent gene transcription is one oncogenic effect of p300 mutants in DLBCL [99].

Similar to the effects of mutations on HAT activity in lymphoma, the HBZ protein of Human T-cell Leukemia Virus type 1 (HTLV-1) binds to and inactivates the HAT domains of CBP and p300, and consequently reduces cellular levels of H3K18 acetylation [103, 104]. Thus, inhibition of CBP/p300 HAT activity may also be important for HTLV1-induced T-cell leukemia.

Interestingly, the TF BCL6, which is upregulated in and required for the growth of approximately 70%



Figure 2: CBP/p300 mutations reported in CCLE in B- and T-cell cancer cell lines. Schematic diagram of the CBP/p300 proteins with conserved domains indicated in the shaded regions as follows: cysteine/histidine domain (CH), KIX domain, bromodomain (Br), acetyltransferase domain (KAT). Symbol shapes designate types of mutations as follows: missense (circle); nonsense (triangle); and frameshift, splice site, or deletion (square). Symbol color indicates the disease type: DLBCL (red); Hodgkin's lymphoma (blue); T-cell leukemia (acute lymphoblastic or anaplastic large cell) (green); plasma cell myeloma (yellow); acute lymphoblastic B-cell leukemia (purple); B-cell lymphoma unspecified (black); and Burkitt's lymphoma (white). CBP mutations are (in order, left to right) Q170*, M395T, L470fs, A620V, Q790*, P901L, P928A, P975L, S1108L, K1203 splice, E1238*, T1332I, R1360*, S1432P, D1435E, F1440L, R1446L, Q1491K, S1680del, and S1687P. p300 mutations are Q160*, M165I, V279I, S281T, L415P, M514V, R728W, E1011*, E1160V, A1268V, R1391 splice, H1415P, G1506V, L1520V, K1546fs, R1627W, S1650F, R1773W, Q1904P, A2259T, P2358L, P2367L.

НАТ	Percent of B- and T- cell lines with HAT gene mutations from CCLE [96]	Percent of HAT gene mutations in leukemia/ lymphoma from select genome-wide studies
ATF2	0.6	
СВР	13.3	DLBCL, 19% (443) [88, 91-93, 180, 181] FL, 50% (52) [92, 93], Relapsed ALL, 18% (71) [182]
p300	10.5	DLBCL, 11% (546) [88, 91-93, 106, 180] FL, 19% (52) [92, 93]
TIP60	0	DLBCL, 2% (49) [180]
MOZ	7.7	DLBCL, 4% (53) [181]
MORF	27.6	
HBO1	0.6	
NCOA1	2.2	
NCOA2	3.9	DLBCL, 2% (53) [181]
NCOA3	39.8	DLBCL, 4% (53) [181]
CLOCK	0	DLBCL, 2% (102) [180, 181]
TAF1	3.3	FL, 10% (39) [93] DLBCL, 5% (102) [180, 181]

Table 3: HAT gene mutations in B- and T-cell malignancies

Percentage of cell lines mutated in CCLE (Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia) indicates the percentage of 181 hematopoietic and lymphoid cell lines that had a mutation in the indicated HAT gene. DLBCL and FL genomic studies indicate the percentage of cases reported to have mutations in the indicated HAT gene in either DLBCL (diffuse large B-cell lymphoma) or FL (follicular lymphoma) patient samples and/or cell lines, with the total number of samples analyzed shown in parentheses.

of DLBCLs [105], appears to be a direct transcriptional repressor of the p300 gene [106]. Furthermore, induced expression of p300 is required for the anti-proliferative effects of BCL6 inhibitors on DLBCL cell lines [106]. Consequently, DLBCL cell lines with defective p300 proteins are resistant to the anti-growth effects of BCL6 inhibitors, and in these cell lines, HDACi synergize with BCL6 inhibitors for inhibition of DLBCL cell growth [106].

Overall, there are no good mouse models for HAT gene mutations in B- and T-cell malignancy. In one report [107], a single mouse reconstituted with CBP-null HSCs developed a thymic lymphoma that arose from the CBP-null cells, but that mouse has not been further characterized. Based on the inactivation of the wild-type *EP300* allele in DLBCLs containing certain p300 mutations [98, 99], expression of truncated or mutant p300 proteins (from human DLBCLs) in p300^{-/-} B-cell precursors may lead to B-cell malignancy in a transgenic mouse model.

HDAC dysregulation in B- and T-cell lymphoma/ leukemia

Unlike the case with HATs, mutations in genes encoding HDACs have not been found in any B- and T-cell malignancies. However, HDACs have been reported to have altered (usually increased) expression in a variety of B- and T-cell malignancies, including DLBCL, FL, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (Table 4). For example, HDAC1 is overexpressed in some T-cell lymphomas [108-112], while HDAC6 has been reported to be both overexpressed [110, 113] and underexpressed [114] in DLBCL.

At this point, two of the most relevant questions are whether altered expression of a specific HDAC contributes to the growth or survival of the tumor cells (and how it does so) and whether altered HDAC expression can be prognostic for therapy. In a smattering of cases, there are data addressing these questions, but the overall picture is still not clear. Inhibition of HDAC8 induces apoptosis in T cell-derived lymphoma and leukemic cells, but not in solid tumors [115]. High HDAC4 expression is associated with a poor response to prednisone in ALL, and siRNA-mediated inhibition of HDAC4 has been shown to sensitize a T-ALL cell line to etoposide-induced cell death [116]. Moreover, the interaction of HDAC4 with the leukemic PLZF-RARa fusion protein contributes to oncogenesis because it is required for the repression of differentiation-associated genes [117].

In childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), high HDAC3 expression has been associated with a better prognosis, whereas overexpression of HDAC7 and 9 have been associated with a poorer prognosis [118]. A study of over 200 adult CLL B-cell tissue samples reported that overexpression of HDAC7 and 10 and underexpression of HDAC6 and SIRT3 are correlated with a poor prognosis

HDAC	Disease	Expression	Refs
1	T-ALL	Increased	[118]
	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
	ALL	Increased	[116]
	HL	Increased	[120]
	DLBCL	Increased	[110]
	CLL	Increased	[183]
2	ALL	Increased	[116, 118]
	B-ALL	Reduced	[119]
	HL	Increased	[120]
	DLBCL	Increased	[110]
3	ALL	Increased	[118]
	CLL	Increased	[183]
	DLBCL	Increased	[184]
	HL	Increased	[120]
4	T-ALL	Increased	[118]
5	B-ALL	Increased	[118]
6	ALL	Increased	[118]
	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
	CLL	Increased	[183]
	DLBCL	Increased	[110]
7	ALL	Increased	[118]
	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
	CLL	Increased	[183]
8	ALL	Increased	[116, 118]
9	CLL	Increased	[183]
10	CLL	Increased	[183]
11	B-ALL	Reduced	[119]
	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
SIRT1	CLL	Increased	[183]
SIRT3	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
SIRT4	B-ALL	Decreased	[119]
SIRT5	B-ALL	Decreased	[119]
SIRT6	B-ALL	Increased	[119]
	CLL	Increased	[183]
SIRT11	B-ALL	Increased	[119]

Table 4: Misregulated expression of HDACs in B- and T-cell malignancies

ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; HL, Hodgkin's lymphoma.

[119]. HDAC6 overexpression correlates with a more favorable outcome in DLBCL, but with a negative

outcome in peripheral T-cell lymphoma [110]. Although HDAC1, 2 and 3 are all overexpressed in Hodgkin's

lymphoma tissue samples, only high HDAC1 expression is correlated with a worse outcome [120].

As with HATs, there are no good mouse models for the role of HDACs in cancer. Based on most evidence, it is unlikely that overexpression of any HDAC would, by itself, be oncogenic. Thus, one method for evaluating the molecular mechanisms by which increased HDAC expression contributes to oncogenesis might be to create transgenic mice with B and/or T cell-specific expression of a relevant HDAC (e.g., HDAC6) and cross such mice to other common transgenic mouse tumor models (e.g., E μ -MYC mice). One could then determine whether increased HDAC expression leads to enhanced tumor development or if such mice develop chemo- or HDACi-resistant tumors.

HAT and HDAC Inhibitors in the Treatment of B- and T-cell Cancers

Given that mutations and dysregulation of HATs and HDACs occur in many B- and T-cell cancers, as well as their global effects on protein activity and gene expression, these enzymes have been investigated for therapeutic targeting. Below we discuss the types of compounds that have been found to inhibit HAT and HDAC activity, and examples of such molecules being used in the treatment of lymphoid cancer cells. Overall, HDACi have been more useful in such settings than HATi, and HDACi are being used in the clinic to treat lymphoid cell cancers.

HAT inhibitors (HATi)

Several types of compounds have been characterized as HAT inhibitors, including a variety of synthetic compounds and natural products and their derivatives. In general, such compounds are pan-HAT inhibitors or inhibitors of GCN5 or CBP/p300 [121, 122].

There are few reports of HATi as inhibitors of B- or T-cell cancers, and no HATi are currently FDA approved. Anacardic acid, found in cashew nuts, is a potent inhibitor of p300, PCAF, and TIP60 [123, 124]. Anacardic acid and derivatives have been shown to inhibit Jurkat T-cell leukemia cells at micromolar concentrations [125]. Of note, Jurkat cells have been shown to express two TIP60 variants, including one with a deleted HAT domain [126]. The natural products gallic acid and curcumin have both been shown to act as HAT inhibitors [127, 128], and can induce proliferation arrest and apoptosis in lymphoma cells [129, 130]. However, gallic acid and curcumin are not especially potent inhibitors of lymphoma cell growth and both have many protein targets [131, 132]; thus, it is not clear that their effects on lymphoma cell growth are due to their anti-HAT activity. The synthetic compound C646 is a specific p300 inhibitor, however, it was not especially effective against leukemia cell lines in a screen

of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) 60-cell line panel [133].

HDAC inhibitors (HDACi)

Given that increased HDAC expression and activity is found is many lymphoid malignancies (see above), it is perhaps not surprising that HDACs should emerge as targets for therapy. In contrast to HATi, HDACi have been extensively studied for anti-cancer activity. Indeed, since 2001, a number of HDACi have been used in the clinic for the treatment of various cancers, including Band T-cell cancers [134]. Alone or in combination with other anti-cancer agents, a variety of HDACi have been shown to induce apoptosis in many different types of Band T-cell lymphoma and leukemia cell lines (Table 5). Following from those studies, several HDACi have been tested clinically for the treatment of such human cancers, including cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), DLBCL, multiple myeloma (MM), FL, Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL), and several others (Table 6), and as of April 2015, there are at least 12 ongoing clinical trials testing HDACi alone or in combination with other cancer therapeutics for the treatment of several B- and T-cell malignancies (Table 7).

HDACi fall into five main classes, based in part on their chemical structures and in part on their specificity. These include the following: 1) hydroxyamic acids, 2) cyclic tetrapeptides, 3) benzamides, 4) ketones, and 5) aliphatic acids. In addition, HDACi can have broad-based pan-HDAC inhibitory activity, have class specificity, or even isozyme specificity. Currently, four HDACi have received FDA approval for clinical use. The first two FDA-approved inhibitors are the pan-HDACi vorinostat (aka suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid [SAHA]), which is available as an oral medication, and the class I HDACi romidepsin (a bacterial cyclic peptide), which is administered intravenously. HDACi treatment is especially effective in the treatment of CTCL, with favorable response rates (from a number of trials) of approximately 70% when using romidepsin (Table 6). Although it is not known why CTLC responds well to HDACi treatment, increased expression of HDAC2 and histone H4 acetylation have been correlated with aggressive CTCL [110]. The HDACi belinostat was approved in 2014 for relapsed and refractory peripheral T-cell lymphomas [135]. Finally, the HDACi panobinostat, another hydroxamate, has been approved by the FDA for refractory multiple myeloma [136]. Because of the role of HDACs in normal immune cell function, one problem with using HDACi treatment for anti-cancer therapy is the concomitant suppression of host immune responses required for antitumor therapy (see also HATs and HDACs in development of T-regulatory cells section above) [137].

In the simplest scenario, HDACi treatment increases the level of acetylation of histones on chromatin, thereby increasing gene expression, and HDACi also increase the

Fable 5: HDACi compounds	that induce	apoptosis in B	B- and T-cell	cancer cells

HDACi	Class	Target of HDACi	Clinical trial stage	Hematopoietic malignancy (Patient and Cell Line)	Combination treatments that induce apoptosis	Refs
Butyrate (NaB)	Short-chain fatty acid	Class 1, 2a	Phase I, II	B-lymphoma, BL	Cisplatin, Etoposide	[142, 185, 186]
Valproic Acid (VPA)	Short-chain fatty acid	HDAC1-5, 7, 8, 10	Phase I, II, III	AML, CML, CLL, DLBCL, HL, MM, NHL, NK cell lymphoma, SLL, T-cell lymphoma	5-Azacytidine, ATRA, Bortezomib, Cambinol, Cyclophosphamide, Decitabine, Enzastaurin, Etoposide, EX527, Imatinib, Pioglitazone, Prednisone, Rituximab, Sirtinol, Temozolomide, Vincristine	[142, 187- 193]
Tricostatin A (TSA)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4		AML, B-lymphoma, DLBCL, EBV+ BL, NHL	Decitabine	[142, 146, 186, 194- 197]
Vorinostat, Suberoylanilide hydroxamate (SAHA)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I, II, III	ALL, AML, CLL, CML, CTCL, DLBCL, HL, MCL, MM, NHL	17-AAG, ABT-737, Azacitidine, Bexarotene, Bortezomib, Carboplatin, Carfilzomib, Cladribine, Cyclophosphamide, Decitadine, Eltrombopag, Enzastaurin, Etoposide, Ifosfamide, Lenalidomide, Melphalan, NPI-0052, Prednisone, Rituximab	[67, 134, 142, 146, 198- 209]
Belinostat (PDX101)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I, II, III	ALL, AML, CLL, MM, MCL, NHL, PTCL, T-cell lymphoma	17-AAG, Azacitidine, Bortezomib	[142, 188, 206, 210- 214]
Dacinostat (LAQ824)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I	Acute eukemia, AML progenitor cells, CLL, meyloid leukemias	Decitabine	[142, 215- 219]
Panobinostat (LBH589)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I, II, III	AML, CLL, CML, CTCL, DLBCL, HL, MCL, MM, NHL, NK/T-cell lymphoma, PTCL	17-AAG, Bortezomib, Carboplatin, Cytarabine, Etoposide, Everolimus, Idarubicin, Imatinib, Ifosfamide, Lenalidomide, Pemetrexed, Rituximab	[134, 142, 203, 220- 224]
Suberic bishydroxamate (SBHA)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4		ALL, Leukemia, MM	ABT-737	[142, 145, 225, 226]
Azelaic bishydroxamate (ABHA)	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4		EBV+ B-cell lines	ABT-737	[142, 227]
SK-7041	Hydroxamate	HDAC1, 2		Meyloid leukemia	Imatinib	[228, 229]
ITF-A and ITF-B	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I	DLBCL, MCL, SMZL		[151]
Tubacin	Hydroxamate	Class 2b		ALL, AML, CML, EBV+ BL, MM	17-AAG, Bortezomib	[142, 230- 233]
JNJ 26481585	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I	CTCL, Leukemia, MM	Bortezomib, Dexamethasone	[234]
PCI-24781	Hydroxamate	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I	HL, NHL	Bortezomib, Pazopanib	[235, 236]

Entinostat (MS- 275)	Benzamide	HDAC1-3	Phase I, II	ALL, AML, CML, HL, MM	Azacitadine, Imatinib, Isotretinoin, Sargramostim, Sorafenib, Rapamycin, Rituximab	[142, 237- 241]
Mocetinostat (MGCD-0103)	Benzamide	HDAC1-3, 10, 11	Phase I, II	AML, CLL HL, NHL	5-azacitadine, Bortezomib, Docetaxel, Gemcitabine, GX15-070	[242- 250]
Romidepsin (FK228)	Cyclic tetrapeptide	Class 1, 2, 4	Phase I, II, III	ALL, AML, CLL, CTCL, DLBCL, MM, MCL, NHL, PTCL, SLL	Bortezomib, Carboplatin, Cyclophosphamide, Decitadine, Etoposide, Ifosfamide, Prednisone, Rituximab, Vincrstine	[206, 210, 223, 251- 260]
Apicidin	Cyclic tetrapeptide	HDAC1, 3		APL, CML	Imatinib, TRAIL	[142, 261- 264]
Nicotinamide	Vitamin B member	Sirtuins		CLL		[265]
Tenovin-6 (TV- 6)	Small Molecule	SIRT1		CML	Imatinib	[266]
Amurensin G	Natural Product	SIRT1		TRAIL-resistant leukemia		[267]

Cell types: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; BL, Burkitt's lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CML, chronic myelogenous leukemia; CTCL, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; EBV+ BL, Epstein-Barr virus-positive BL; HL, Hodgkin's lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MM, multiple myeloma; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma. Drug type: 17-AAG (Hsp90 inhibitor); ABT-737 (BH3-mimetic); ATRA (all-trans retinoic acid); azacitidine (DNA methyltransferase inhibitor); bexarotene (antineoplastic agent); bortezomib (proteasome inhibitor); cambinol (sirtuin inhibitor); carboplatin (antineoplastic agent); carfilzomib (proteasome inhibitor); cisplatin (alkylating agent); cladribine (adenosine deaminase inhibitor); cyclophosphamide (alkylating agent); cytarabine (DNA synthesis inhibitor); decitabine (DNA methyltransferase inhibitor); dexamethasone (glucocorticoid steroid); docetaxel (anti-mitotic agent); eltrombopag (thrombopoeitin receptor agonist); enzastaurin (PKCβ inhibitor); etoposide (topoisomerase inhibitor); everolimus (mTOR inhibitor); EX527 (sirtuin inhibitor); gemcitabine (nucleoside analog); GX15-070 (BH3-mimetic); idarubicin (topoisomerase II inhibitor); imatinib (tyrosine kinase inhibitor); ifosfamide (alkylating agent); sortetinoin (retinoic acid analog); lenalidomide (tumor necrosis factor [TNF] inhibitor); melphalan (alkylating agent); NPI-0052 (proteasome inhibitor); pazopanib (tyrosine kinase inhibitor); sargramostin (recominant GM-CSF); sirtinol (sirtuin inhibitor); sorafenib (tyrosine kinase inhibitor); temozolomide (alkylating agent); TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand); vincristine (mitotic inhibitor).

acetylation of non-histone proteins. For lymphoid cell TFs, increased acetylation can either increase or decrease their activity (Table 2). Because of the myriad effects of acetylation/deacetylation on gene expression and protein activity, it is almost certain that the effects of HDACi on tumor cell growth and survival are not through single or even a small number of targets. Moreover, the effects of HDACi would be expected to vary among tumor cell types, within a given tumor type, and, due to tumor cell heterogeneity, even within a given tumor.

Consistent with those hypotheses, treatment of CTCL cell lines with vorinostat showed that HDACi treatment leads to hyperacetylation of all core histones, which is associated with changes in the expression of genes involved in regulation of the G1/S and G2/M transitions, apoptosis, anti-proliferation, and MAPK signaling [138]. Overall, gene expression profiling showed that up to 22% of genes are altered by HDACi as early as four hours post treatment in several cell types [139-141]. Nevertheless, there does appear to be a common set of genes that change expression in response to HDACi treatment, and these genes include several cyclins,

the cell-cycle inhibitor p21, p53, BAX, BCL2, MYC, PKCô, ICAM-1, IL-6 receptor, IL-2, IL-8, IL-10, VEGF, NOTCH, GADD45 and GADD45, TGF receptor, CTP synthase, and TYMS (reviewed by [17, 134, 142]. At least in part, HDACi induce cell-cycle arrest by causing accumulation of hyperacetylated p53, which then induces expression of p21, leading to inhibition of cyclins D and A, which are required for cell-cycle progression (reviewed by [134, 142]). However, because CTCL tumors typically grow quite slowly in patients, it is unclear how the reported effects of HDACi on cell-cycle progression in rapidly growing cell lines *in vitro* reflect its effects on CTCL tumors *in vivo*.

HDACi treatment of tumor cells has been frequently linked to the modulation of BCL2 family expression to favor a pro-apoptotic expression pattern (reviewed by [134, 142, 143]). In many cases, HDACi-induced apoptosis occurs via increased expression of pro-apoptotic BCL2 family members BIM, BAX, PUMA, and NOXA (reviewed by [142, 144, 145]). Moreover, resistance to HDACi-induced apoptosis can be achieved in DLBCL cells lines by artificial or induced expression of anti-

HDACi	Trial phase	Combination drug	Cancer type	No. of patients	CR	PR	SD	% Response	Refs
Vorinostat	Ι		DLBCL, HL, MM, T-cell lymphoma, MCL, SLL, ML	35	1	4	3	23	[268]
	Ι		FL, MCL, DLBCL, CTCL	10	3	1	3	70	[269]
	Ι	Idarubicin	Relapsed or refractory leukemia	41	3	10		32	[270]
	Ι		Advanced MM	13		1	9	77	[271]
	Ι	Bortezomib	Relapsed or refractory MM	21		9		43	[272]
	Ι		Advanced leukemias, myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS)	41	4	3		17	[273]
	Ι		Advanced CTCL	74		22		30	[204]
	Ι		HL, NHL, DLBCL, SLL, MCL, CTCL, PTCL, Myeloma, AML, MDS	23	1	1	4	26	[274]
	II	Idarubicin, Cytarabine	AML, MDS	75	57	7		85	[275]
	II	Gemtuzumab ozogamicin	AML	31	6	1		23	[276]
	II		Relapsed or refractory HD	25		1	7	32	[277]
	II		NHL, MCL, relapsed or refractory FL, MZL	35	5	5	1	31	[278]
	II		Refractory CTCL	33		8	1	27	[279]
	IIb		Advanced CTCL	6		5	1	100	[280]
	IIb		Refractory CTCL	74		22		30	[281]
		Bortezomib	Relapsed or refractory MM	6		5	1	100	[282]
			TOTALS	543	80	105	30		
Romidepsin	Ι		CTCL	4	1	3		100	[258]
	II		PTCL	130	33	14	33	62	[283]
	II	Low-dose beam radiation	CTCL	5		4		80	[284]
	II		PTCL	45	8	9	5	49	[256]
	II		Refractory CTCL	96	6	27	45	81	[260]
	II		CTCL	71	4	20	26	70	[257]
			TOTALS	351	52	77	109		
Panobinostat	Ι		CTCL	10	2	4	1		[221]
	Ι	Ifosfamide, Carboplatin, Etoposide	Relapsed or refractory cHL	21				86	[285]
	Ι	Everolimus	Relapsed or refractory lymphoma	30	3	7		33	[286]
	Ib	Bortezomib	Relapsed or refractory MM	62	2	34		61	[287]
	Ia/II		Refractory HL	13		13			[220]
	I/II	Melphalan	Relapsed or refractory MM	40		3	23		[288]
	II		Relapsed or refractory MM	38		2	9	5	[289]
	п	Bortezomib, Dexamethasone	Relapsed or refractory myeloma	55	1	28	8	35	[290]

Table 6: Summary of published clinical trials of FDA-approved HDACi in lymphoma, leukemia, and myeloma

	II		Refractory CTCL	139	2	22	29	17	[291]
	II		Relapsed or refractory HL	129	5	30	71	27	[292]
	II	Melphalan, Thalidomide, Prednisone	Relapsed or refractory MM	31	2	10	11	39	[293]
	III	Bortezomib, Dexamethasone	Relapsed or refractory MM	387	42	216	65	67	[136]
			TOTALS	955	59	369	217		
Belinostat	Ι		NHL, HL, MM, CLL	16			5		[213]
	II		Relapsed or refractory CTCL	29	3	1	10	4	[294]
	II		Recurrent PTCL	24	2	4	4	6	[294]
	III		Relapsed or refractory CTCL	120	11	15]	26	[135]
			TOTALS	189	16	20	19		

CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Table 7: Ongoing clinical trials using HDACi for treatment of lymphoma, leukemia, and myeloma

Trial title	HDACi	Combination target	Phase
WEE1 Inhibitor MK-1775 and Belinostat in Treating Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Myeloid Malignancies or Untreated Acute Myeloid Leukemia	Belinostat	WEE1	Ι
Belinostat and Yttrium Y 90 Ibritumomab Tiuxetan in Patients W/ Relapsed Aggressive B-Cell NHL	Belinostat	Radiotherapy	II
Panobinostat and Everolimus in Treating Patients With Recurrent Multiple Myeloma, Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, or Hodgkin Lymphoma	Panobinostat	mTOR	I, II
Panobinostat in Treating Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	Panobinostat		II
Romidepsin in Treating Patients With Lymphoma, Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia, or Solid Tumors With Liver Dysfunction	Romidepsin		Ι
Alisertib and Romidepsin in Treating Patients With Relapsed or Refractory B-Cell or T-Cell Lymphomas	Romidepsin	Aurora Kinase	Ι
Rituximab, Romidepsin, and Lenalidomide in Treating Patients With Recurrent or Refractory B-cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	Romidepsin	CD20, immunomodulation, proliferation, angiogenesis	I, II
Romidepsin and Lenalidomide in Treating Patients With Previously Untreated Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma	Romidepsin	Immunomodulation, proliferation, angiogenesis	Π
Alisertib in Combination With Vorinostat in Treating Patients With Relapsed or Recurrent Hodgkin Lymphoma, B-Cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, or Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma	Vorinostat	Aurora Kinase	Ι
Vorinostat and Combination Chemotherapy With Rituximab in Treating Patients With HIV-Related Diffuse Large B-Cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma or Other Aggressive B-Cell Lymphomas	Vorinostat	CD20	I, II
Bortezomib and Vorinostat as Maintenance Therapy After Autologous Stem Cell Transplant in Treating Patients With Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	Vorinostat	Proteasome	II
Cytarabine and Daunorubicin Hydrochloride or Idarubicin and Cytarabine With or Without Vorinostat in Treating Younger Patients With Previously Untreated Acute Myeloid Leukemia	Vorinostat	DNA synthesis, Topoisomerase II	III

Summary of ongoing clinical trials using HDACi taken from the National Cancer Institute at the National Institute of Health (www.cancer. gov/clinicaltrials). HDACi lists the specific HDACi being tested and combination target describes the target of the other (non-HDACi) drug used in the study.

apoptotic protein BCL- X_L [146]. Increased activity of BCL2, thioredoxin, and CHK1 has also been associated with the development of HDACi resistance in lymphoma [147].

There is considerable interest in identifying markers that can predict responsiveness to HDACi therapy [148]. Markers that have been reported to predict better response to HDACi treatment include high levels of shuttling protein HR23B [149] and several induced mRNAs, including cyclin D1 [150] for CTCL and CDKN1A [151] for DLBCL. Interestingly, it has been reported that DLBCLs with mutations in p300 or CBP are more responsive to HDACi treatment [152-154], suggesting that decreased HAT activity makes HDACi treatment more successful and that combined treatment with HATi and HDACi could be a useful strategy.

One note of caution in the use of HDACi is the finding that loss of HDAC1/2 activity by gene KO in mouse T cells has been reported to lead to T-cell malignancy, and these malignant cells show increased expression of the oncoprotein MYC and aneuploidy [57].

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The role of acetylation in regulating chromatin structure, gene expression, and protein activity will undoubtedly continue to receive much attention. Given the complex signaling and gene expression changes that occur in B- and T-cell development, there is much more to be learned about the role of regulated acetylation in these processes.

Although the use of HATi for therapy is at an early stage, HDACi treatment is likely to continue for the treatment of B- and T-cell malignancies and certain immune diseases. Thus, a deeper understanding of the proteins, genes, and pathways affected by deregulated acetylation will be crucial to applying HDACi in the clinic. Given the wide range of transcriptional regulators affected by acetylation, there are clearly many targets affected by HDACi treatment and these targets no doubt vary among different cancers. Thus, HDACi may be most effective when combined with therapeutics that target specific pathways in individual cancers. The use of HDACi in combination with other therapeutics is a strategy that is being used in many ongoing clinical trials (Table 7), and the ability to prescribe appropriate combined HDACitargeted drug regimens will improve as better ways are developed to molecularly profile pathways that are driving individual cancers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Gerald Denis and Adam Lerner for comments on the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

FUNDING

L.H. and R.C.T. were supported by NHLBI Hematology training grant T32 HL007501. L.H. was also supported by NSF GK-12 grant DGE-0947950. Research in our lab on the role of HATs and HDACs in B-cell lymphoma was supported by NIH grant CA047763, NIH ARRA supplement CA047763-21S3 (both to T.D.G.), and administrative funds from Boston University.

REFERENCES

- Brownell JE, Zhou J, Ranalli T, Kobayashi R, Edmondson DG, Roth SY, Allis CD. Tetrahymena histone acetyltransferase A: a homolog to yeast Gcn5p linking histone acetylation to gene activation. Cell. 1996; 84: 843-851.
- Taunton J, Hassig CA, Schreiber SL. A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. Science. 1996; 272: 408-411.
- Glozak MA, Sengupta N, Zhang X, Seto E. Acetylation and deacetylation of non-histone proteins. Gene. 2005; 363: 15-23.
- Rundlett SE, Carmen AA, Suka N, Turner BM, Grunstein M. Transcriptional repression by UME6 involves deacetylation of lysine 5 of histone H4 by RPD3. Nature. 1998; 392: 831-835.
- 5. Marmorstein R. Structure and function of histone acetyltransferases. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2001; 58: 693-703.
- Liu X, Wang L, Zhao K, Thompson PR, Hwang Y, Marmorstein R, Cole PA. The structural basis of protein acetylation by the p300/CBP transcriptional coactivator. Nature. 2008; 451: 846-850.
- Wang L, Tang Y, Cole PA, Marmorstein R. Structure and chemistry of the p300/CBP and Rtt109 histone acetyltransferases: implications for histone acetyltransferase evolution and function. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2008; 18: 741-747.
- Poux AN, Cebrat M, Kim CM, Cole PA, Marmorstein R. Structure of the GCN5 histone acetyltransferase bound to a bisubstrate inhibitor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002; 99: 14065-14070.
- Vetting MW, S de Carvalho LP, Yu M, Hegde SS, Magnet S, Roderick SL, Blanchard JS. Structure and functions of the GNAT superfamily of acetyltransferases. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2005; 433: 212-226.
- 10. Guidez F, Howell L, Isalan M, Cebrat M, Alani RM, Ivins

S, Hormaeche I, McConnell MJ, Pierce S, Cole PA, Licht J, Zelent A. Histone acetyltransferase activity of p300 is required for transcriptional repression by the promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein. Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 25: 5552-5566.

- 11. Kouzarides T. Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell. 2007; 128: 693-705.
- Kitabayashi I, Aikawa Y, Nguyen LA, Yokoyama A, Ohki M. Activation of AML1-mediated transcription by MOZ and inhibition by the MOZ-CBP fusion protein. The EMBO journal. 2001; 20: 7184-7196.
- Katsumoto T, Aikawa Y, Iwama A, Ueda S, Ichikawa H, Ochiya T, Kitabayashi I. MOZ is essential for maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells. Genes Dev. 2006; 20: 1321-1330.
- Pelletier N, Champagne N, Stifani S, Yang XJ. MOZ and MORF histone acetyltransferases interact with the Runtdomain transcription factor Runx2. Oncogene. 2002; 21: 2729-2740.
- Doyon Y, Cayrou C, Ullah M, Landry AJ, Cote V, Selleck W, Lane WS, Tan S, Yang XJ, Cote J. ING tumor suppressor proteins are critical regulators of chromatin acetylation required for genome expression and perpetuation. Mol Cell. 2006; 21: 51-64.
- Xu J, Wu RC, O'Malley BW. Normal and cancer-related functions of the p160 steroid receptor co-activator (SRC) family. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9: 615-630.
- de Ruijter AJ, van Gennip AH, Caron HN, Kemp S, van Kuilenburg AB. Histone deacetylases (HDACs): characterization of the classical HDAC family. Biochem J. 2003; 370: 737-749.
- Yang XJ, Gregoire S. Class II histone deacetylases: from sequence to function, regulation, and clinical implication. Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 25: 2873-2884.
- Yang XJ, Seto E. The Rpd3/Hda1 family of lysine deacetylases: from bacteria and yeast to mice and men. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 9: 206-218.
- Wang Z, Zang C, Cui K, Schones DE, Barski A, Peng W, Zhao K. Genome-wide mapping of HATs and HDACs reveals distinct functions in active and inactive genes. Cell. 2009; 138: 1019-1031.
- 21. Min J, Landry J, Sternglanz R, Xu RM. Crystal structure of a SIR2 homolog-NAD complex. Cell. 2001; 105: 269-279.
- 22. Grozinger CM, Schreiber SL. Deacetylase enzymes: biological functions and the use of small-molecule inhibitors. Chem Biol. 2002; 9: 3-16.
- Guenther MG, Barak O, Lazar MA. The SMRT and N-CoR corepressors are activating cofactors for histone deacetylase
 Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 21: 6091-6101.
- 24. Yao Y, Yang Y, Zhu WG. Sirtuins: nodes connecting aging, metabolism and tumorigenesis. Curr Pharm Des. 2014; 20: 1614-1624.
- 25. Shi T, Wang F, Stieren E, Tong Q. SIRT3, a mitochondrial sirtuin deacetylase, regulates mitochondrial function and

thermogenesis in brown adipocytes. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280: 13560-13567.

- Kung AL, Rebel VI, Bronson RT, Ch'ng LE, Sieff CA, Livingston DM, Yao TP. Gene dose-dependent control of hematopoiesis and hematologic tumor suppression by CBP. Genes Dev. 2000; 14: 272-277.
- 27. Kimbrel EA, Lemieux ME, Xia X, Davis TN, Rebel VI, Kung AL. Systematic *in vivo* structure-function analysis of p300 in hematopoiesis. Blood. 2009; 114: 4804-4812.
- Thomas T, Corcoran LM, Gugasyan R, Dixon MP, Brodnicki T, Nutt SL, Metcalf D, Voss AK. Monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein is essential for the development of long-term reconstituting hematopoietic stem cells. Genes Dev. 2006; 20: 1175-1186.
- Kasper LH, Boussouar F, Ney PA, Jackson CW, Rehg J, van Deursen JM, Brindle PK. A transcription-factor-binding surface of coactivator p300 is required for haematopoiesis. Nature. 2002; 419: 738-743.
- Puri PL, Sartorelli V, Yang XJ, Hamamori Y, Ogryzko VV, Howard BH, Kedes L, Wang JY, Graessmann A, Nakatani Y, Levrero M. Differential roles of p300 and PCAF acetyltransferases in muscle differentiation. Mol Cell. 1997; 1: 35-45.
- Kim HG, de Guzman CG, Swindle CS, Cotta CV, Gartland L, Scott EW, Klug CA. The ETS family transcription factor PU.1 is necessary for the maintenance of fetal liver hematopoietic stem cells. Blood. 2004; 104: 3894-3900.
- 32. Iwasaki H, Somoza C, Shigematsu H, Duprez EA, Iwasaki-Arai J, Mizuno S, Arinobu Y, Geary K, Zhang P, Dayaram T, Fenyus ML, Elf S, Chan S, et al. Distinctive and indispensable roles of PU.1 in maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells and their differentiation. Blood. 2005; 106: 1590-1600.
- 33. Perez-Campo FM, Borrow J, Kouskoff V, Lacaud G. The histone acetyl transferase activity of monocytic leukemia zinc finger is critical for the proliferation of hematopoietic precursors. Blood. 2009; 113: 4866-4874.
- 34. Li X, Li L, Pandey R, Byun JS, Gardner K, Qin Z, Dou Y. The histone acetyltransferase MOF is a key regulator of the embryonic stem cell core transcriptional network. Cell Stem Cell. 2012; 11: 163-178.
- Loizou JI, Oser G, Shukla V, Sawan C, Murr R, Wang ZQ, Trumpp A, Herceg Z. Histone acetyltransferase cofactor Trrap is essential for maintaining the hematopoietic stem/ progenitor cell pool. J Immunol. 2009; 183: 6422-6431.
- 36. Heideman MR, Lancini C, Proost N, Yanover E, Jacobs H, Dannenberg JH. Sin3a-associated Hdac1 and Hdac2 are essential for hematopoietic stem cell homeostasis and contribute differentially to hematopoiesis. Haematologica. 2014; 99: 1292-1303.
- Wilting RH, Yanover E, Heideman MR, Jacobs H, Horner J, van der Torre J, DePinho RA, Dannenberg JH. Overlapping functions of Hdac1 and Hdac2 in cell cycle regulation and haematopoiesis. EMBO J. 2010; 29: 2586-2597.

- 38. Guan JS, Haggarty SJ, Giacometti E, Dannenberg JH, Joseph N, Gao J, Nieland TJ, Zhou Y, Wang X, Mazitschek R, Bradner JE, DePinho RA, Jaenisch R, et al. HDAC2 negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity. Nature. 2009; 459: 55-60.
- Zhang C, Lv J, He Q, Wang S, Gao Y, Meng A, Yang X, Liu F. Inhibition of endothelial ERK signalling by Smad1/5 is essential for haematopoietic stem cell emergence. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 3431.
- 40. Summers AR, Fischer MA, Stengel KR, Zhao Y, Kaiser JF, Wells CE, Hunt A, Bhaskara S, Luzwick JW, Sampathi S, Chen X, Thompson MA, Cortez D, et al. HDAC3 is essential for DNA replication in hematopoietic progenitor cells. J Clin Invest. 2013; 123: 3112-3123.
- 41. Xu W, Fukuyama T, Ney PA, Wang D, Rehg J, Boyd K, van Deursen JM, Brindle PK. Global transcriptional coactivators CREB-binding protein and p300 are highly essential collectively but not individually in peripheral B cells. Blood. 2006; 107: 4407-4416.
- 42. Kikuchi H, Nakayama M, Kuribayashi F, Imajoh-Ohmi S, Nishitoh H, Takami Y, Nakayama T. GCN5 is involved in regulation of immunoglobulin heavy chain gene expression in immature B cells. Gene. 2014; 544: 19-24.
- 43. Kikuchi H, Nakayama M, Kuribayashi F, Imajoh-Ohmi S, Nishitoh H, Takami Y, Nakayama T. GCN5 is essential for IRF-4 gene expression followed by transcriptional activation of Blimp-1 in immature B cells. J Leukoc Biol. 2014; 95: 399-404.
- 44. Bradney C, Hjelmeland M, Komatsu Y, Yoshida M, Yao TP, Zhuang Y. Regulation of E2A activities by histone acetyltransferases in B lymphocyte development. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278: 2370-2376.
- 45. Matthews SA, Liu P, Spitaler M, Olson EN, McKinsey TA, Cantrell DA, Scharenberg AM. Essential role for protein kinase D family kinases in the regulation of class II histone deacetylases in B lymphocytes. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26: 1569-1577.
- Okada T, Moriyama S, Kitano M. Differentiation of germinal center B cells and follicular helper T cells as viewed by tracking Bcl6 expression dynamics. Immunol Rev. 2012; 247: 120-132.
- Arguni E, Arima M, Tsuruoka N, Sakamoto A, Hatano M, Tokuhisa T. JunD/AP-1 and STAT3 are the major enhancer molecules for high Bcl6 expression in germinal center B cells. Int Immunol. 2006; 18: 1079-1089.
- 48. Basso K, Dalla-Favera R. BCL6: master regulator of the germinal center reaction and key oncogene in B cell lymphomagenesis. Adv Immunol. 2010; 105: 193-210.
- Lemercier C, Brocard MP, Puvion-Dutilleul F, Kao HY, Albagli O, Khochbin S. Class II histone deacetylases are directly recruited by BCL6 transcriptional repressor. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 22045-22052.
- 50. Bereshchenko OR, Gu W, Dalla-Favera R. Acetylation inactivates the transcriptional repressor BCL6. Nat Genet.

2002; 32: 606-613.

- Pasqualucci L, Migliazza A, Basso K, Houldsworth J, Chaganti RS, Dalla-Favera R. Mutations of the BCL6 protooncogene disrupt its negative autoregulation in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2003; 101: 2914-2923.
- Yamaguchi T, Cubizolles F, Zhang Y, Reichert N, Kohler H, Seiser C, Matthias P. Histone deacetylases 1 and 2 act in concert to promote the G1-to-S progression. Genes Dev. 2010; 24: 455-469.
- 53. Krishnamoorthy V, Carr T, de Pooter RF, Akinola EO, Gounari F, Kee BL. Repression of Ccr9 transcription in mouse T lymphocyte progenitors by the Notch signaling pathway. J. Immunol. 2015; 194: 3191-3200.
- 54. Mishima Y, Miyagi S, Saraya A, Negishi M, Endoh M, Endo TA, Toyoda T, Shinga J, Katsumoto T, Chiba T, Yamaguchi N, Kitabayashi I, Koseki H, et al. The Hbo1-Brd1/Brpf2 complex is responsible for global acetylation of H3K14 and required for fetal liver erythropoiesis. Blood. 2011; 118: 2443-2453.
- 55. Mishima Y, Wang C, Miyagi S, Saraya A, Hosokawa H, Mochizuki-Kashio M, Nakajima-Takagi Y, Koide S, Negishi M, Sashida G, Naito T, Ishikura T, Onodera A, et al. Histone acetylation mediated by Brd1 is crucial for Cd8 gene activation during early thymocyte development. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 5872.
- 56. Grausenburger R, Bilic I, Boucheron N, Zupkovitz G, El-Housseiny L, Tschismarov R, Zhang Y, Rembold M, Gaisberger M, Hartl A, Epstein MM, Matthias P, Seiser C, et al. Conditional deletion of histone deacetylase 1 in T cells leads to enhanced airway inflammation and increased Th2 cytokine production. J Immunol. 2010; 185: 3489-3497.
- 57. Dovey OM, Foster CT, Conte N, Edwards SA, Edwards JM, Singh R, Vassiliou G, Bradley A, Cowley SM. Histone deacetylase 1 and 2 are essential for normal T-cell development and genomic stability in mice. Blood. 2013; 121: 1335-1344.
- Lam E, Pareek TK, Letterio JJ. Cdk5 controls IL-2 gene expression via repression of the mSin3a-HDAC complex. Cell Cycle. 2015; 14: 1327-1336.
- 59. Zhang Y, Kwon S, Yamaguchi T, Cubizolles F, Rousseaux S, Kneissel M, Cao C, Li N, Cheng HL, Chua K, Lombard D, Mizeracki A, Matthias G, et al. Mice lacking histone deacetylase 6 have hyperacetylated tubulin but are viable and develop normally. Mol Cell Biol. 2008; 28: 1688-1701.
- Cabrero JR, Serrador JM, Barreiro O, Mittelbrunn M, Naranjo-Suarez S, Martin-Cofreces N, Vicente-Manzanares M, Mazitschek R, Bradner JE, Avila J, Valenzuela-Fernandez A, Sanchez-Madrid F. Lymphocyte chemotaxis is regulated by histone deacetylase 6, independently of its deacetylase activity. Mol Biol Cell. 2006; 17: 3435-3445.
- 61. Serrador JM, Cabrero JR, Sancho D, Mittelbrunn M, Urzainqui A, Sanchez-Madrid F. HDAC6 deacetylase activity links the tubulin cytoskeleton with immune synapse organization. Immunity. 2004; 20: 417-428.

- 62. Dequiedt F, Kasler H, Fischle W, Kiermer V, Weinstein M, Herndier BG, Verdin E. HDAC7, a thymus-specific class II histone deacetylase, regulates Nur77 transcription and TCR-mediated apoptosis. Immunity. 2003; 18: 687-698.
- Calnan BJ, Szychowski S, Chan FK, Cado D, Winoto A. A role for the orphan steroid receptor Nur77 in apoptosis accompanying antigen-induced negative selection. Immunity. 1995; 3: 273-282.
- 64. Woronicz JD, Calnan B, Ngo V, Winoto A. Requirement for the orphan steroid receptor Nur77 in apoptosis of T-cell hybridomas. Nature. 1994; 367: 277-281.
- 65. Kasler HG, Verdin E. Histone deacetylase 7 functions as a key regulator of genes involved in both positive and negative selection of thymocytes. Mol Cell Biol. 2007; 27: 5184-5200.
- 66. Kasler HG, Young BD, Mottet D, Lim HW, Collins AM, Olson EN, Verdin E. Histone deacetylase 7 regulates cell survival and TCR signaling in CD4/CD8 double-positive thymocytes. J Immunol. 2011; 186: 4782-4793.
- 67. Villagra A, Cheng F, Wang HW, Suarez I, Glozak M, Maurin M, Nguyen D, Wright KL, Atadja PW, Bhalla K, Pinilla-Ibarz J, Seto E, Sotomayor EM. The histone deacetylase HDAC11 regulates the expression of interleukin 10 and immune tolerance. Nat Immunol. 2009; 10: 92-100.
- van Loosdregt J, Coffer PJ. Post-translational modification networks regulating FOXP3 function. Trends Immunol. 2014; 35: 368-378.
- 69. Xiong Y, Svingen PA, Sarmento OO, Smyrk TC, Dave M, Khanna S, Lomberk GA, Urrutia RA, Faubion WA, Jr. Differential coupling of KLF10 to Sin3-HDAC and PCAF regulates the inducibility of the FOXP3 gene. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2014; 307: R608-620.
- Li B, Greene MI. FOXP3 actively represses transcription by recruiting the HAT/HDAC complex. Cell Cycle. 2007; 6: 1432-1436.
- 71. Li B, Samanta A, Song X, Iacono KT, Bembas K, Tao R, Basu S, Riley JL, Hancock WW, Shen Y, Saouaf SJ, Greene MI. FOXP3 interactions with histone acetyltransferase and class II histone deacetylases are required for repression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007; 104: 4571-4576.
- 72. Liu Y, Wang L, Han R, Beier UH, Akimova T, Bhatti T, Xiao H, Cole PA, Brindle PK, Hancock WW. Two histone/ protein acetyltransferases, CBP and p300, are indispensable for Foxp3+ T-regulatory cell development and function. Mol Cell Biol. 2014; 34: 3993-4007.
- 73. Liu Y, Wang L, Predina J, Han R, Beier UH, Wang LC, Kapoor V, Bhatti TR, Akimova T, Singhal S, Brindle PK, Cole PA, Albelda SM, et al. Inhibition of p300 impairs Foxp3⁺ T regulatory cell function and promotes antitumor immunity. Nat Med. 2013; 19: 1173-1177.
- 74. Kitoh A, Ono M, Naoe Y, Ohkura N, Yamaguchi T, Yaguchi H, Kitabayashi I, Tsukada T, Nomura T, Miyachi Y, Taniuchi I, Sakaguchi S. Indispensable role of the Runx1-Cbfβ transcription complex for *in vivo*-suppressive

function of FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cells. Immunity. 2009; 31: 609-620.

- Ruan Q, Kameswaran V, Tone Y, Li L, Liou-HC, Greene MI, Tone M, Chen YH. Development of Foxp3⁺ regulatory t cells is driven by the c-Rel enhanceosome. Immunity. 2009; 31: 932-940.
- Burchill MA, Yang J, Vogtenhuber C, Blazar BR, Farrar MA. IL-2 receptor β-dependent STAT5 activation is required for the development of Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells. J Immunol. 2007; 178: 280-290.
- Ouyang W, Beckett O, Ma Q, Paik JH, DePinho RA, Li MO. Foxo proteins cooperatively control the differentiation of Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol. 2010; 11: 618-627.
- Rudra D, Egawa T, Chong MM, Treuting P, Littman DR, Rudensky AY. Runx-CBFβ complexes control expression of the transcription factor Foxp3 in regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol. 2009; 10: 1170-1177.
- de Zoeten EF, Wang L, Sai H, Dillmann WH, Hancock WW. Inhibition of HDAC9 increases T regulatory cell function and prevents colitis in mice. Gastroenterology. 2010; 138: 583-594.
- Tao R, de Zoeten EF, Ozkaynak E, Wang L, Li B, Greene MI, Wells AD, Hancock WW. Histone deacetylase inhibitors and transplantation. Curr Opin Immunol. 2007; 19: 589-595.
- Beier UH, Wang L, Han R, Akimova T, Liu Y, Hancock WW. Histone deacetylases 6 and 9 and sirtuin-1 control Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cell function through shared and isoform-specific mechanisms. Sci Signal. 2012; 5: ra45.
- Samanta A, Li B, Song X, Bembas K, Zhang G, Katsumata M, Saouaf SJ, Wang Q, Hancock WW, Shen Y, Greene MI. TGF-β and IL-6 signals modulate chromatin binding and promoter occupancy by acetylated FOXP3. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105: 14023-14027.
- Wang L, Liu Y, Han R, Beier UH, Bhatti TR, Akimova T, Greene MI, Hiebert SW, Hancock WW. FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cell development and function require histone/protein deacetylase 3. J Clin Invest. 2015: 125: 1111-1123.
- Ghizzoni M, Haisma HJ, Maarsingh H, Dekker FJ. Histone acetyltransferases are crucial regulators in NF-κB mediated inflammation. Drug Discov Today. 2011; 16: 504-511.
- Zhuang S. Regulation of STAT signaling by acetylation. Cell Signal. 2013; 25: 1924-1931.
- 86. Ye BH, Cattoretti G, Shen Q, Zhang J, Hawe N, de Waard R, Leung C, Nouri-Shirazi M, Orazi A, Chaganti RS, Rothman P, Stall AM, Pandolfi PP, et al. The BCL-6 protooncogene controls germinal-centre formation and Th2-type inflammation. Nat Genet. 1997; 16: 161-170.
- Dent AL, Shaffer AL, Yu X, Allman D, Staudt LM. Control of inflammation, cytokine expression, and germinal center formation by BCL-6. Science. 1997; 276: 589-592.
- Pasqualucci L, Dominguez-Sola D, Chiarenza A, Fabbri G, Grunn A, Trifonov V, Kasper LH, Lerach S, Tang H,

Ma J, Rossi D, Chadburn A, Murty VV, et al. Inactivating mutations of acetyltransferase genes in B-cell lymphoma. Nature. 2011; 471: 189-195.

- Yamagata T, Mitani K, Oda H, Suzuki T, Honda H, Asai T, Maki K, Nakamoto T, Hirai H. Acetylation of GATA-3 affects T-cell survival and homing to secondary lymphoid organs. EMBO J. 2000; 19: 4676-4687.
- 90. Shima Y, Kitabayashi I. Deregulated transcription factors in leukemia. Int J Hematol. 2011; 94: 134-141.
- Pasqualucci L, Trifonov V, Fabbri G, Ma J, Rossi D, Chiarenza A, Wells VA, Grunn A, Messina M, Elliot O, Chan J, Bhagat G, Chadburn A, et al. Analysis of the coding genome of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Nat Genet. 2011; 43: 830-837.
- 92. Morin RD, Mendez-Lago M, Mungall AJ, Goya R, Mungall KL, Corbett RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, Chiu R, Field M, Jackman S, Krzywinski M, Scott DW, et al. Frequent mutation of histone-modifying genes in non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Nature. 2011; 476: 298-303.
- Pasqualucci L, Khiabanian H, Fangazio M, Vasishtha M, Messina M, Holmes AB, Ouillette P, Trifonov V, Rossi D, Tabbo F, Ponzoni M, Chadburn A, Murty VV, et al. Genetics of follicular lymphoma transformation. Cell Rep. 2014; 6: 130-140.
- 94. Shigeno K, Yoshida H, Pan L, Luo JM, Fujisawa S, Naito K, Nakamura S, Shinjo K, Takeshita A, Ohno R, Ohnishi K. Disease-related potential of mutations in transcriptional cofactors CREB-binding protein and p300 in leukemias. Cancer Lett. 2004; 213: 11-20.
- 95. Inthal A, Zeitlhofer P, Zeginigg M, Morak M, Grausenburger R, Fronkova E, Fahrner B, Mann G, Haas OA, Panzer-Grumayer R. CREBBP HAT domain mutations prevail in relapse cases of high hyperdiploid childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia. 2012; 26: 1797-1803.
- 96. Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, Venkatesan K, Margolin AA, Kim S, Wilson CJ, Lehar J, Kryukov GV, Sonkin D, Reddy A, Liu M, Murray L, et al. The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature. 2012; 483: 603-607.
- Avantaggiati ML, Ogryzko V, Gardner K, Giordano A, Levine AS, Kelly K. Recruitment of p300/CBP in p53dependent signal pathways. Cell. 1997; 89: 1175-1184.
- 98. Garbati MR, Alço G, Gilmore TD. Histone acetyltransferase p300 is a coactivator for transcription factor REL and is C-terminally truncated in the human diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cell line RC-K8. Cancer Lett. 2010; 291: 237-245.
- 99. Haery L, Lugo-Picó, J.G., Henry, R.A., Andrews, A.J., Gilmore, T.D. Histone acetyltransferase-deficient p300 mutants in diffuse large B cell lymphoma have altered transcriptional regulatory activities and are required for optimal cell growth. Mol Cancer. 2014; 13: 29.
- 100. Garbati MR, Thompson RC, Haery L, Gilmore TD. A

rearranged *EP300* gene in the human B-cell lymphoma cell line RC-K8 encodes a disabled transcriptional co-activator that contributes to cell growth and oncogenicity. Cancer Lett. 2011; 302: 76-83.

- 101. Barber MF, Michishita-Kioi E, Xi Y, Tasselli L, Kioi M, Moqtaderi Z, Tennen RI, Paredes S, Young NL, Chen K, Struhl K, Garcia BA, Gozani O, et al. SIRT7 links H3K18 deacetylation to maintenance of oncogenic transformation. Nature. 2012; 487: 114-118.
- 102. Jin Q, Yu LR, Wang L, Zhang Z, Kasper LH, Lee JE, Wang C, Brindle PK, Dent SY, Ge K. Distinct roles of GCN5/PCAF-mediated H3K9ac and CBP/p300-mediated H3K18/27ac in nuclear receptor transactivation. EMBO J. 2011; 30: 249-262.
- 103. Wurm T, Wright DG, Polakowski N, Mesnard JM, Lemasson I. The HTLV-1-encoded protein HBZ directly inhibits the acetyl transferase activity of p300/CBP. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: 5910-5925.
- 104. Clerc I, Polakowski N, Andre-Arpin C, Cook P, Barbeau B, Mesnard JM, Lemasson I. An interaction between the human T cell leukemia virus type 1 basic leucine zipper factor (HBZ) and the KIX domain of p300/CBP contributes to the down-regulation of tax-dependent viral transcription by HBZ. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283: 23903-23913.
- 105. Ci W, Polo JM, Melnick A. B-cell lymphoma 6 and the molecular pathogenesis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Curr Opin Hematol. 2008; 15: 381-390.
- 106. Cerchietti LC, Hatzi K, Caldas-Lopes E, Yang SN, Figueroa ME, Morin RD, Hirst M, Mendez L, Shaknovich R, Cole PA, Bhalla K, Gascoyne RD, Marra M, et al. BCL6 repression of EP300 in human diffuse large B cell lymphoma cells provides a basis for rational combinatorial therapy. J Clin Invest. 2010; 120: 4569–4582.
- 107. Rebel VI, Kung AL, Tanner EA, Yang H, Bronson RT, Livingston DM. Distinct roles for CREB-binding protein and p300 in hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002; 99: 14789-14794.
- 108. Choi JH, Kwon HJ, Yoon BI, Kim JH, Han SU, Joo HJ, Kim DY. Expression profile of histone deacetylase 1 in gastric cancer tissues. Jpn J Cancer Res. 2001; 92: 1300-1304.
- 109. Halkidou K, Gaughan L, Cook S, Leung HY, Neal DE, Robson CN. Upregulation and nuclear recruitment of HDAC1 in hormone refractory prostate cancer. Prostate. 2004; 59: 177-189.
- 110. Marquard L, Poulsen CB, Gjerdrum LM, de Nully Brown P, Christensen IJ, Jensen PB, Sehested M, Johansen P, Ralfkiaer E. Histone deacetylase 1, 2, 6 and acetylated histone H4 in B- and T-cell lymphomas. Histopathology. 2009; 54: 688-698.
- 111. Wilson AJ, Byun DS, Popova N, Murray LB, L'Italien K, Sowa Y, Arango D, Velcich A, Augenlicht LH, Mariadason JM. Histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) and other class I HDACs regulate colon cell maturation and p21 expression

and are deregulated in human colon cancer. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281: 13548-13558.

- 112. Zhang Z, Yamashita H, Toyama T, Sugiura H, Ando Y, Mita K, Hamaguchi M, Hara Y, Kobayashi S, Iwase H. Quantitation of HDAC1 mRNA expression in invasive carcinoma of the breast*. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2005; 94: 11-16.
- 113. Zhang Z, Yamashita H, Toyama T, Sugiura H, Omoto Y, Ando Y, Mita K, Hamaguchi M, Hayashi S, Iwase H. HDAC6 expression is correlated with better survival in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10: 6962-6968.
- 114. Gloghini A, Buglio D, Khaskhely NM, Georgakis G, Orlowski RZ, Neelapu SS, Carbone A, Younes A. Expression of histone deacetylases in lymphoma: implication for the development of selective inhibitors. Br J Haematol. 2009; 147: 515-525.
- 115. Lee H, Sengupta N, Villagra A, Rezai-Zadeh N, Seto E. Histone deacetylase 8 safeguards the human ever-shorter telomeres 1B (hEST1B) protein from ubiquitin-mediated degradation. Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 26: 5259-5269.
- 116. Gruhn B, Naumann T, Gruner D, Walther M, Wittig S, Becker S, Beck JF, Sonnemann J. The expression of histone deacetylase 4 is associated with prednisone poor-response in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leuk Res. 2013; 37: 1200-1207.
- 117. Chauchereau A, Mathieu M, de Saintignon J, Ferreira R, Pritchard LL, Mishal Z, Dejean A, Harel-Bellan A. HDAC4 mediates transcriptional repression by the acute promyelocytic leukaemia-associated protein PLZF. Oncogene. 2004; 23: 8777-8784.
- 118. Moreno DA, Scrideli CA, Cortez MA, de Paula Queiroz R, Valera ET, da Silva Silveira V, Yunes JA, Brandalise SR, Tone LG. Differential expression of HDAC3, HDAC7 and HDAC9 is associated with prognosis and survival in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2010; 150: 665-673.
- 119. Van Damme M, Crompot E, Meuleman N, Mineur P, Bron D, Lagneaux L, Stamatopoulos B. HDAC isoenzyme expression is deregulated in chronic lymphocytic leukemia B-cells and has a complex prognostic significance. Epigenetics. 2012; 7: 1403-1412.
- 120. Adams H, Fritzsche FR, Dirnhofer S, Kristiansen G, Tzankov A. Class I histone deacetylases 1, 2 and 3 are highly expressed in classical Hodgkin's lymphoma. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2010; 14: 577-584.
- 121. Dekker FJ, Haisma HJ. Histone acetyl transferases as emerging drug targets. Drug Discov Today. 2009; 14: 942-948.
- 122. Dekker FJ, van den Bosch T, Martin NI. Small molecule inhibitors of histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases are potential drugs for inflammatory diseases. Drug Discov Today. 2014; 19: 654-660.
- 123. Balasubramanyam K, Swaminathan V, Ranganathan A, Kundu TK. Small molecule modulators of histone

acetyltransferase p300. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278: 19134-19140.

- 124. Sun Y, Jiang X, Chen S, Price BD. Inhibition of histone acetyltransferase activity by anacardic acid sensitizes tumor cells to ionizing radiation. FEBS Lett. 2006; 580: 4353-4356.
- 125. Eliseeva ED, Valkov V, Jung M, Jung MO. Characterization of novel inhibitors of histone acetyltransferases. Mol Cancer Ther. 2007; 6: 2391-2398.
- 126. Hlubek F, Lohberg C, Meiler J, Jung A, Kirchner T, Brabletz T. Tip60 is a cell-type-specific transcriptional regulator. J Biochem. 2001; 129: 635-641.
- 127. Choi KC, Lee YH, Jung MG, Kwon SH, Kim MJ, Jun WJ, Lee J, Lee JM, Yoon HG. Gallic acid suppresses lipopolysaccharide-induced nuclear factor-kappaB signaling by preventing RelA acetylation in A549 lung cancer cells. Mol Cancer Res. 2009; 7: 2011-2021.
- 128. Balasubramanyam K, Varier RA, Altaf M, Swaminathan V, Siddappa NB, Ranga U, Kundu TK. Curcumin, a novel p300/CREB-binding protein-specific inhibitor of acetyltransferase, represses the acetylation of histone/ nonhistone proteins and histone acetyltransferase-dependent chromatin transcription. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279: 51163-51171.
- 129. Uddin S, Khan AS, Al-Kuraya KS. Developing curcumin into a viable therapeutic for lymphoma. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2009; 18: 57-67.
- 130. Kim NS, Jeong SI, Hwang BS, Lee YE, Kang SH, Lee HC, Oh CH. Gallic acid inhibits cell viability and induces apoptosis in human monocytic cell line U937. J Med Food. 2011; 14: 240-246.
- Aggarwal BB, Deb L, Prasad S. Curcumin differs from tetrahydrocurcumin for molecular targets, signaling pathways and cellular responses. Molecules. 2015; 20: 185-205.
- 132. Verma S, Singh A, Mishra A. Gallic acid: molecular rival of cancer. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2013; 35: 473-485.
- 133. Yan G, Eller MS, Elm C, Larocca CA, Ryu B, Panova IP, Dancy BM, Bowers EM, Meyers D, Lareau L, Cole PA, Taverna SD, Alani RM. Selective inhibition of p300 HAT blocks cell cycle progression, induces cellular senescence, and inhibits the DNA damage response in melanoma cells. J Invest Dermatol. 2013; 133: 2444-2452.
- 134. Zain J, O'Connor OA. Targeting histone deacetyalses in the treatment of B- and T-cell malignancies. Invest New Drugs. 2010; 28 Suppl 1: S58-78.
- 135. Lee HZ, Kwitkowski VE, Del Valle PL, Ricci MS, Saber H, Habtemariam BA, Bullock J, Bloomquist E, Shen YL, Chen XH, Brown J, Mehrotra N, Dorff S, et al. FDA approval: Belinostat for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; in press
- 136. San-Miguel JF, Hungria VT, Yoon SS, Beksac M, Dimopoulos MA, Elghandour A, Jedrzejczak WW, Gunther

A, Nakorn TN, Siritanaratkul N, Corradini P, Chuncharunee S, Lee JJ, et al. Panobinostat plus bortezomib and dexamethasone versus placebo plus bortezomib and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2014; 15: 1195-1206.

- 137. Kelly-Sell MJ, Kim YH, Straus S, Benoit B, Harrison C, Sutherland K, Armstrong R, Weng WK, Showe LC, Wysocka M, Rook AH. The histone deacetylase inhibitor, romidepsin, suppresses cellular immune functions of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma patients. Am J Hematol. 2012; 87: 354-360.
- 138. Richon VM, Sandhoff TW, Rifkind RA, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitor selectively induces p21WAF1 expression and gene-associated histone acetylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97: 10014-10019.
- 139. Halsall J, Gupta V, O'Neill LP, Turner BM, Nightingale KP. Genes are often sheltered from the global histone hyperacetylation induced by HDAC inhibitors. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7: e33453.
- 140. Peart MJ, Tainton KM, Ruefli AA, Dear AE, Sedelies KA, O'Reilly LA, Waterhouse NJ, Trapani JA, Johnstone RW. Novel mechanisms of apoptosis induced by histone deacetylase inhibitors. Cancer Res. 2003; 63: 4460-4471.
- 141. Van Lint C, Emiliani S, Verdin E. The expression of a small fraction of cellular genes is changed in response to histone hyperacetylation. Gene Expr. 1996; 5: 245-253.
- 142. Bolden JE, Peart MJ, Johnstone RW. Anticancer activities of histone deacetylase inhibitors. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006; 5: 769-784.
- 143. Hagelkruys A, Sawicka A, Rennmayr M, Seiser C. The biology of HDAC in cancer: the nuclear and epigenetic components. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2011; 206: 13-37.
- 144. Xu Y. Regulation of p53 responses by post-translational modifications. Cell Death Differ. 2003; 10: 400-403.
- 145. Chen S, Dai Y, Pei XY, Grant S. Bim upregulation by histone deacetylase inhibitors mediates interactions with the Bcl-2 antagonist ABT-737: evidence for distinct roles for Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1. Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 29: 6149-6169.
- 146. Thompson RC, Vardinogiannis I, Gilmore TD. The sensitivity of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cell lines to histone deacetylase inhibitor-induced apoptosis is modulated by BCL-2 family protein activity. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e62822.
- Lee JH, Choy ML, Marks PA. Mechanisms of resistance to histone deacetylase inhibitors. Adv Cancer Res. 2012; 116: 39-86.
- 148. Stimson L, La Thangue NB. Biomarkers for predicting clinical responses to HDAC inhibitors. Cancer Lett. 2009; 280: 177-183.
- 149. Khan O, Fotheringham S, Wood V, Stimson L, Zhang C, Pezzella F, Duvic M, Kerr DJ, La Thangue NB. HR23B is

a biomarker for tumor sensitivity to HDAC inhibitor-based therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107: 6532-6537.

- 150. Ellis L, Pili R. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: advancing therapeutic strategies in hematological and solid malignancies. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2010; 3: 2411-2469.
- 151. Mensah AA, Kwee I, Gaudio E, Rinaldi A, Ponzoni M, Cascione L, Fossati G, Stathis A, Zucca E, Caprini G, Bertoni F. Novel HDAC inhibitors exhibit pre-clinical efficacy in lymphoma models and point to the importance of CDKN1A expression levels in mediating their anti-tumor response. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 5059-5071.
- 152. ASCO Post. (2014). FDA grants orphan drug designation to Mocetinostat for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Retrieved from www.ascopost.com/ViewNews.aspx?nid=17491
- 153. Mirati Therapeutics I. (2014). Mirati Therapeutics Receives Orphan Drug Designation from U.S. Food & Drug Administration for Mocetinostat in Myelodysplastic Syndrome.
- 154. Andersen CL, Asmar F, Klausen T, Hasselbalch H, Gronbaek K. Somatic mutations of the *CREBBP* and *EP300* genes affect response to histone deacetylase inhibition in malignant DLBCL clones. Leuk Res Rep. 2012; 2: 1-3.
- 155. Cesena TI, Cui TX, Subramanian L, Fulton CT, Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Kwok RP, Schwartz J. Acetylation and deacetylation regulate CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta at K39 in mediating gene transcription. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2008; 289: 94-101.
- 156. Holmlund T, Lindberg MJ, Grander D, Wallberg AE. GCN5 acetylates and regulates the stability of the oncoprotein E2A-PBX1 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia. 2013; 27: 578-585.
- 157. Hyndman BD, Thompson P, Denis CM, Chitayat S, Bayly R, Smith SP, LeBrun DP. Mapping acetylation sites in E2A identifies a conserved lysine residue in activation domain 1 that promotes CBP/p300 recruitment and transcriptional activation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012; 1819: 375-381.
- 158. Hayakawa F, Towatari M, Ozawa Y, Tomita A, Privalsky ML, Saito H. Functional regulation of GATA-2 by acetylation. J Leukoc Biol. 2004; 75: 529-540.
- 159. Masumi A, Yamakawa Y, Fukazawa H, Ozato K, Komuro K. Interferon regulatory factor-2 regulates cell growth through its acetylation. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278: 25401-25407.
- 160. Vries RG, Prudenziati M, Zwartjes C, Verlaan M, Kalkhoven E, Zantema A. A specific lysine in c-Jun is required for transcriptional repression by E1A and is acetylated by p300. EMBO J. 2001; 20: 6095-6103.
- 161. Sano Y, Ishii S. Increased affinity of c-Myb for CREBbinding protein (CBP) after CBP-induced acetylation. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276: 3674-3682.
- 162. Vervoorts J, Luscher-Firzlaff J, Luscher B. The ins and outs of MYC regulation by posttranslational mechanisms. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281: 34725-34729.
- 163. Meissner JD, Freund R, Krone D, Umeda PK, Chang KC,

Gros G, Scheibe RJ. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2-mediated phosphorylation of p300 enhances myosin heavy chain I/β gene gene expression via acetylation of nuclear factor of activated T cells c1. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39: 5907-5925.

- 164. Guarani V, Deflorian G, Franco CA, Kruger M, Phng LK, Bentley K, Toussaint L, Dequiedt F, Mostoslavsky R, Schmidt MH, Zimmermann B, Brandes RP, Mione M, et al. Acetylation-dependent regulation of endothelial Notch signalling by the SIRT1 deacetylase. Nature. 2011; 473: 234-238.
- 165. Palermo R, Checquolo S, Giovenco A, Grazioli P, Kumar V, Campese AF, Giorgi A, Napolitano M, Canettieri G, Ferrara G, Schinina ME, Maroder M, Frati L, et al. Acetylation controls Notch3 stability and function in T-cell leukemia. Oncogene. 2012; 31: 3807-3817.
- 166. Feng L, Lin T, Uranishi H, Gu W, Xu Y. Functional analysis of the roles of posttranslational modifications at the p53 C terminus in regulating p53 stability and activity. Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 25: 5389-5395.
- 167. He T, Hong SY, Huang L, Xue W, Yu Z, Kwon H, Kirk M, Ding SJ, Su K, Zhang Z. Histone acetyltransferase p300 acetylates Pax5 and strongly enhances Pax5-mediated transcriptional activity. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 14137-14145.
- 168. Bai Y, Srinivasan L, Perkins L, Atchison ML. Protein acetylation regulates both PU.1 transactivation and and Igκ 3' enhancer activity. J Immunol. 2005; 175: 5160-5169.
- 169. Goyama S, Huang G, Kurokawa M, Mulloy JC. Posttranslational modifications of RUNX1 as potential anticancer targets. Oncogene. 2014; 0.
- 170. Park OJ, Kim HJ, Woo KM, Baek JH, Ryoo HM. FGF2activated ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase enhances Runx2 acetylation and stabilization. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285: 3568-3574.
- 171. Bae SC, Lee YH. Phosphorylation, acetylation and ubiquitination: the molecular basis of RUNX regulation. Gene. 2006; 366: 58-66.
- 172. Inoue Y, Itoh Y, Abe K, Okamoto T, Daitoku H, Fukamizu A, Onozaki K, Hayashi H. Smad3 is acetylated by p300/CBP to regulate its transactivation activity. Oncogene. 2007; 26: 500-508.
- 173. Waby JS, Bingle CD, Corfe BM. Post-translational control of Sp-family transcription factors. Curr Genomics. 2008; 9: 301-311.
- 174. Kosan C, Ginter T, Heinzel T, Kramer OH. STAT5 acetylation: Mechanisms and consequences for immunological control and leukemogenesis. JAKSTAT. 2013; 2: e26102.
- 175. Elfert S, Weise A, Bruser K, Biniossek ML, Jagle S, Senghaas N, Hecht A. Acetylation of human TCF4 (TCF7L2) proteins attenuates inhibition by the HBP1 repressor and induces a conformational change in the TCF4::DNA complex. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e61867.

- 176. Yao YL, Yang WM, Seto E. Regulation of transcription factor YY1 by acetylation and deacetylation. Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 21: 5979-5991.
- 177. Wu X, Kong X, Chen D, Li H, Zhao Y, Xia M, Fang M, Li P, Fang F, Sun L, Tian W, Xu H, Yang Y, et al. SIRT1 links CIITA deacetylation to MHC II activation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39: 9549-9558.
- 178. Dong Z. Acetylation of Ets-1 is the key to chromatin remodeling for miR-192 expression. Sci Signal. 2013; 6: pe21.
- 179. Keith B, Johnson RS, Simon MC. HIF1α and HIF2α: sibling rivalry in hypoxic tumour growth and progression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012; 12: 9-22.
- 180. Lohr JG, Stojanov P, Lawrence MS, Auclair D, Chapuy B, Sougnez C, Cruz-Gordillo P, Knoechel B, Asmann YW, Slager SL, Novak AJ, Dogan A, Ansell SM, et al. Discovery and prioritization of somatic mutations in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) by whole-exome sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012; 109: 3879-3884.
- 181. Morin RD, Mungall K, Pleasance E, Mungall AJ, Goya R, Huff RD, Scott DW, Ding J, Roth A, Chiu R, Corbett RD, Chan FC, Mendez-Lago M, et al. Mutational and structural analysis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma using wholegenome sequencing. Blood. 2013; 122: 1256-1265.
- 182. Mullighan CG, Zhang J, Kasper LH, Lerach S, Payne-Turner D, Phillips LA, Heatley SL, Holmfeldt L, Collins-Underwood JR, Ma J, Buetow KH, Pui CH, Baker SD, et al. CREBBP mutations in relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature. 2011; 471: 235-239.
- 183. Wang JC, Kafeel MI, Avezbakiyev B, Chen C, Sun Y, Rathnasabapathy C, Kalavar M, He Z, Burton J, Lichter S. Histone deacetylase in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Oncology. 2011; 81: 325-329.
- 184. Gupta M, Han JJ, Stenson M, Wellik L, Witzig TE. Regulation of STAT3 by histone deacetylase-3 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: implications for therapy. Leukemia. 2012; 26: 1356-1364.
- 185. Dos Santos Ferreira AC, Fernandes RA, Kwee JK, Klumb CE. Histone deacetylase inhibitor potentiates chemotherapy-induced apoptosis through Bim upregulation in Burkitt's lymphoma cells. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2012; 138: 317-325.
- 186. Piotrowska H, Jagodzinski PP. Trichostatin A, sodium butyrate, and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine alter the expression of glucocorticoid receptor α and β isoforms in Hut-78 T- and Raji B-lymphoma cell lines. Biomed Pharmacother. 2007; 61: 451-454.
- 187. Aouali N, Palissot V, El-Khoury V, Moussay E, Janji B, Pierson S, Brons NH, Kellner L, Bosseler M, Van Moer K, Berchem G. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonists potentiate the cytotoxic effect of valproic acid in multiple myeloma cells. Br J Haematol. 2009; 147: 662-671.
- 188. Bodo J, Sedlak J, Maciejewski JP, Almasan A, Hsi

ED. HDAC inhibitors potentiate the apoptotic effect of enzastaurin in lymphoma cells. Apoptosis. 2011; 16: 914-923.

- 189. Cea M, Soncini D, Fruscione F, Raffaghello L, Garuti A, Emionite L, Moran E, Magnone M, Zoppoli G, Reverberi D, Caffa I, Salis A, Cagnetta A, et al. Synergistic interactions between HDAC and sirtuin inhibitors in human leukemia cells. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6: e22739.
- 190. Duenas-Gonzalez A, Candelaria M, Perez-Plascencia C, Perez-Cardenas E, de la Cruz-Hernandez E, Herrera LA. Valproic acid as epigenetic cancer drug: preclinical, clinical and transcriptional effects on solid tumors. Cancer Treat Rev. 2008; 34: 206-222.
- 191. Iwata S, Saito T, Ito Y, Kamakura M, Gotoh K, Kawada J, Nishiyama Y, Kimura H. Antitumor activities of valproic acid on Epstein-Barr virus-associated T and natural killer lymphoma cells. Cancer Sci. 2012; 103: 375-381.
- 192. Kuendgen A, Gattermann N. Valproic acid for the treatment of myeloid malignancies. Cancer. 2007; 110: 943-954.
- 193. Nie D, Huang K, Yin S, Li Y, Xie S, Ma L, Wang X, Wu Y, Xiao J. Synergistic/additive interaction of valproic acid with bortezomib on proliferation and apoptosis of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012; 53: 2487-2495.
- 194. Duan H, Heckman CA, Boxer LM. Histone deacetylase inhibitors down-regulate bcl-2 expression and induce apoptosis in t(14;18) lymphomas. Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 25: 1608-1619.
- 195. Son YO, Choi KC, Lee JC, Kook SH, Lee HJ, Jeon YM, Kim JG, Kim J, Lee WK, Jang YS. Involvement of caspase activation and mitochondrial stress in trichostatin A-induced apoptosis of Burkitt's lymphoma cell line, Akata. J Cell Biochem. 2006; 99: 1420-1430.
- 196. Sun C, Liu X, Chen Y, Liu F. Anticancer activities of trichostatin A on maligant lymphoid cells. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci. 2006; 26: 538-541.
- 197. Yu WP, Scott SA, Dong WF. Induction of ID1 expression and apoptosis by the histone deacetylase inhibitor (trichostatin A) in human acute myeloid leukaemic cells. Cell Prolif. 2008; 41: 86-97.
- 198. Al-Janadi A, Chandana SR, Conley BA. Histone deacetylation : an attractive target for cancer therapy? Drugs R D. 2008; 9: 369-383.
- 199. Buglio D, Georgakis GV, Hanabuchi S, Arima K, Khaskhely NM, Liu YJ, Younes A. Vorinostat inhibits STAT6-mediated TH2 cytokine and TARC production and induces cell death in Hodgkin lymphoma cell lines. Blood. 2008; 112: 1424-1433.
- 200. Crump M, Coiffier B, Jacobsen ED, Sun L, Ricker JL, Xie H, Frankel SR, Randolph SS, Cheson BD. Phase II trial of oral vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid) in relapsed diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. Ann Oncol. 2008; 19: 964-969.
- 201. Dasmahapatra G, Lembersky D, Kramer L, Fisher RI, Friedberg J, Dent P, Grant S. The pan-HDAC inhibitor

vorinostat potentiates the activity of the proteasome inhibitor carfilzomib in human DLBCL cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Blood. 2010; 115: 4478-4487.

- 202. Dokmanovic M, Clarke C, Marks PA. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: overview and perspectives. Mol Cancer Res. 2007; 5: 981-989.
- 203. Frew AJ, Johnstone RW, Bolden JE. Enhancing the apoptotic and therapeutic effects of HDAC inhibitors. Cancer Lett. 2009; 280: 125-133.
- 204. Mann BS, Johnson JR, He K, Sridhara R, Abraham S, Booth BP, Verbois L, Morse DE, Jee JM, Pope S, Harapanhalli RS, Dagher R, Farrell A, et al. Vorinostat for treatment of cutaneous manifestations of advanced primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13: 2318-2322.
- 205. Marks PA, Breslow R. Dimethyl sulfoxide to vorinostat: development of this histone deacetylase inhibitor as an anticancer drug. Nat Biotechnol. 2007; 25: 84-90.
- 206. Paoluzzi L, Scotto L, Marchi E, Zain J, Seshan VE, O'Connor OA. Romidepsin and belinostat synergize the antineoplastic effect of bortezomib in mantle cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2010; 16: 554-565.
- 207. Pitts TM, Morrow M, Kaufman SA, Tentler JJ, Eckhardt SG. Vorinostat and bortezomib exert synergistic antiproliferative and proapoptotic effects in colon cancer cell models. Mol Cancer Ther. 2009; 8: 342-349.
- 208. Whitecross KF, Alsop AE, Cluse LA, Wiegmans A, Banks KM, Coomans C, Peart MJ, Newbold A, Lindemann RK, Johnstone RW. Defining the target specificity of ABT-737 and synergistic antitumor activities in combination with histone deacetylase inhibitors. Blood. 2009; 113: 1982-1991.
- 209. Wiegmans AP, Alsop AE, Bots M, Cluse LA, Williams SP, Banks KM, Ralli R, Scott CL, Frenzel A, Villunger A, Johnstone RW. Deciphering the molecular events necessary for synergistic tumor cell apoptosis mediated by the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat and the BH3 mimetic ABT-737. Cancer Res. 2011; 71: 3603-3615.
- 210. Dai Y, Chen S, Kramer LB, Funk VL, Dent P, Grant S. Interactions between bortezomib and romidepsin and belinostat in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 549-558.
- 211. Feng R, Oton A, Mapara MY, Anderson G, Belani C, Lentzsch S. The histone deacetylase inhibitor, PXD101, potentiates bortezomib-induced anti-multiple myeloma effect by induction of oxidative stress and DNA damage. Br J Haematol. 2007; 139: 385-397.
- 212. Gimsing P. Belinostat: a new broad acting antineoplastic histone deacetylase inhibitor. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2009; 18: 501-508.
- 213. Gimsing P, Hansen M, Knudsen LM, Knoblauch P, Christensen IJ, Ooi CE, Buhl-Jensen P. A phase I clinical trial of the histone deacetylase inhibitor belinostat in patients with advanced hematological neoplasia. Eur J Haematol. 2008; 81: 170-176.

- 214. Ma BB, Sung F, Tao Q, Poon FF, Lui VW, Yeo W, Chan SL, Chan AT. The preclinical activity of the histone deacetylase inhibitor PXD101 (belinostat) in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. Invest New Drugs. 2010; 28: 107-114.
- 215. Dubovsky JA, Wang D, Powers JJ, Berchmans E, Smith MA, Wright KL, Sotomayor EM, Pinilla-Ibarz JA. Restoring the functional immunogenicity of chronic lymphocytic leukemia using epigenetic modifiers. Leuk Res. 2011; 35: 394-404.
- 216. Guo F, Sigua C, Tao J, Bali P, George P, Li Y, Wittmann S, Moscinski L, Atadja P, Bhalla K. Cotreatment with histone deacetylase inhibitor LAQ824 enhances Apo-2L/tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis inducing ligand-induced death inducing signaling complex activity and apoptosis of human acute leukemia cells. Cancer Res. 2004; 64: 2580-2589.
- 217. Romanski A, Bacic B, Bug G, Pfeifer H, Gul H, Remiszewski S, Hoelzer D, Atadja P, Ruthardt M, Ottmann OG. Use of a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor to induce apoptosis in cell lines of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica. 2004; 89: 419-426.
- 218. Schwarz K, Romanski A, Puccetti E, Wietbrauk S, Vogel A, Keller M, Scott JW, Serve H, Bug G. The deacetylase inhibitor LAQ824 induces notch signalling in haematopoietic progenitor cells. Leuk Res. 2011; 35: 119-125.
- 219. Weisberg E, Catley L, Kujawa J, Atadja P, Remiszewski S, Fuerst P, Cavazza C, Anderson K, Griffin JD. Histone deacetylase inhibitor NVP-LAQ824 has significant activity against myeloid leukemia cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Leukemia. 2004; 18: 1951-1963.
- 220. Dickinson M, Ritchie D, DeAngelo DJ, Spencer A, Ottmann OG, Fischer T, Bhalla KN, Liu A, Parker K, Scott JW, Bishton M, Prince HM. Preliminary evidence of disease response to the pan deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat (LBH589) in refractory Hodgkin Lymphoma. Br J Haematol. 2009; 147: 97-101.
- 221. Ellis L, Pan Y, Smyth GK, George DJ, McCormack C, Williams-Truax R, Mita M, Beck J, Burris H, Ryan G, Atadja P, Butterfoss D, Dugan M, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat induces clinical responses with associated alterations in gene expression profiles in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 4500-4510.
- 222. Giles F, Fischer T, Cortes J, Garcia-Manero G, Beck J, Ravandi F, Masson E, Rae P, Laird G, Sharma S, Kantarjian H, Dugan M, Albitar M, et al. A phase I study of intravenous LBH589, a novel cinnamic hydroxamic acid analogue histone deacetylase inhibitor, in patients with refractory hematologic malignancies. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12: 4628-4635.
- 223. Villagra A, Sotomayor EM, Seto E. Histone deacetylases and the immunological network: implications in cancer and inflammation. Oncogene. 2010; 29: 157-173.
- 224. Zhang B, Strauss AC, Chu S, Li M, Ho Y, Shiang KD,

Snyder DS, Huettner CS, Shultz L, Holyoake T, Bhatia R. Effective targeting of quiescent chronic myelogenous leukemia stem cells by histone deacetylase inhibitors in combination with imatinib mesylate. Cancer Cell. 2010; 17: 427-442.

- 225. Shao N, Ma D, Wang J, Lu T, Guo Y, Ji C. Notch1 signaling is irresponsible to the anti-leukemic effect of HDACis in B-ALL Nalm-6 cells. Ann Hematol. 2013; 92: 33-39.
- 226. Shao N, Zou J, Li J, Chen F, Dai J, Qu X, Sun X, Ma D, Ji C. Hyper-activation of WNT/beta-catenin signaling pathway mediates anti-tumor effects of histone deacetylase inhibitors in acute T lymphoblastic leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012; 53: 1769-1778.
- 227. Sculley TB, Buck M, Gabrielli B, Parsons PG, Krauer KG. A histone deacetylase inhibitor, azelaic bishydroxamic acid, shows cytotoxicity on Epstein-Barr virus transformed B-cell lines: a potential therapy for posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease. Transplantation. 2002; 73: 271-279.
- 228. Kim BS, Bae E, Kim YJ, Ahn KS, Park J, Rhee JY, Lee YY, Kim Y, Lee D, Kim BK, Yoon SS. Combination of SK-7041, one of novel histone deacetylase inhibitors, and STI571-induced synergistic apoptosis in chronic myeloid leukemia. Anticancer Drugs. 2007; 18: 641-647.
- 229. Park JH, Jung Y, Kim TY, Kim SG, Jong HS, Lee JW, Kim DK, Lee JS, Kim NK, Kim TY, Bang YJ. Class I histone deacetylase-selective novel synthetic inhibitors potently inhibit human tumor proliferation. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10: 5271-5281.
- 230. Aldana-Masangkay GI, Rodriguez-Gonzalez A, Lin T, Ikeda AK, Hsieh YT, Kim YM, Lomenick B, Okemoto K, Landaw EM, Wang D, Mazitschek R, Bradner JE, Sakamoto KM. Tubacin suppresses proliferation and induces apoptosis of acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells. Leuk Lymphoma. 2011; 52: 1544-1555.
- 231. Hideshima T, Bradner JE, Wong J, Chauhan D, Richardson P, Schreiber SL, Anderson KC. Small-molecule inhibition of proteasome and aggresome function induces synergistic antitumor activity in multiple myeloma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005; 102: 8567-8572.
- 232. Kawada J, Zou P, Mazitschek R, Bradner JE, Cohen JI. Tubacin kills Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-Burkitt lymphoma cells by inducing reactive oxygen species and EBV lymphoblastoid cells by inducing apoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284: 17102-17109.
- 233. Rao R, Fiskus W, Yang Y, Lee P, Joshi R, Fernandez P, Mandawat A, Atadja P, Bradner JE, Bhalla K. HDAC6 inhibition enhances 17-AAG—mediated abrogation of hsp90 chaperone function in human leukemia cells. Blood. 2008; 112: 1886-1893.
- 234. Stuhmer T, Arts J, Chatterjee M, Borawski J, Wolff A, King P, Einsele H, Leo E, Bargou RC. Preclinical anti-myeloma activity of the novel HDAC-inhibitor JNJ-26481585. Br J Haematol. 2010; 149: 529-536.

- 235. Bhalla S, Balasubramanian S, David K, Sirisawad M, Buggy J, Mauro L, Prachand S, Miller R, Gordon LI, Evens AM. PCI-24781 induces caspase and reactive oxygen species-dependent apoptosis through NF-κB mechanisms and is synergistic with bortezomib in lymphoma cells. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15: 3354-3365.
- 236. Rivera-Del Valle N, Gao S, Miller CP, Fulbright J, Gonzales C, Sirisawad M, Steggerda S, Wheler J, Balasubramanian S, Chandra J. PCI-24781, a novel hydroxamic acid HDAC inhibitor, exerts cytotoxicity and histone alterations via aaspase-8 and FADD in leukemia cells. Int J Cell Biol. 2010; 2010: 207420.
- 237. Hess-Stumpp H, Bracker TU, Henderson D, Politz O. MS-275, a potent orally available inhibitor of histone deacetylases—the development of an anticancer agent. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2007; 39: 1388-1405.
- 238. Nishioka C, Ikezoe T, Yang J, Koeffler HP, Yokoyama A. Blockade of mTOR signaling potentiates the ability of histone deacetylase inhibitor to induce growth arrest and differentiation of acute myelogenous leukemia cells. Leukemia. 2008; 22: 2159-2168.
- 239. Frys S, Simons Z, Hu Q, Barth MJ, Gu JJ, Mavis C, Skitzki J, Song L, Czuczman MS, Hernandez-Ilizaliturri FJ. Entinostat, a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor is active in B-cell lymphoma and enhances the anti-tumour activity of rituximab and chemotherapy agents. Br J Haematol. 2015: 169: 506-519.
- 240. Rosato RR, Almenara JA, Grant S. The histone deacetylase inhibitor MS-275 promotes differentiation or apoptosis in human leukemia cells through a process regulated by generation of reactive oxygen species and induction of p21^{CIP/WAF1}. Cancer Res. 2003; 63: 3637-3645.
- 241. Simmons JK, Patel J, Michalowski A, Zhang S, Wei BR, Sullivan P, Gamache B, Felsenstein K, Kuehl WM, Simpson RM, Zingone A, Landgren O, Mock BA. TORC1 and class I HDAC inhibitors synergize to suppress mature B cell neoplasms. Mol Oncol. 2014; 8: 261-272.
- 242. Bonfils C, Kalita A, Dubay M, Siu LL, Carducci MA, Reid G, Martell RE, Besterman JM, Li Z. Evaluation of the pharmacodynamic effects of MGCD0103 from preclinical models to human using a novel HDAC enzyme assay. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 3441-3449.
- 243. Buglio D, Mamidipudi V, Khaskhely NM, Brady H, Heise C, Besterman J, Martell RE, MacBeth K, Younes A. The class-I HDAC inhibitor MGCD0103 induces apoptosis in Hodgkin lymphoma cell lines and synergizes with proteasome inhibitors by an HDAC6-independent mechanism. Br J Haematol. 2010; 151: 387-396.
- 244. El-Khoury V, Moussay E, Janji B, Palissot V, Aouali N, Brons NH, Van Moer K, Pierson S, Van Dyck E, Berchem G. The histone deacetylase inhibitor MGCD0103 induces apoptosis in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells through a mitochondria-mediated caspase activation cascade. Mol Cancer Ther. 2010; 9: 1349-1360.
- 245. Fournel M, Bonfils C, Hou Y, Yan PT, Trachy-Bourget MC,

Kalita A, Liu J, Lu AH, Zhou NZ, Robert MF, Gillespie J, Wang JJ, Ste-Croix H, et al. MGCD0103, a novel isotypeselective histone deacetylase inhibitor, has broad spectrum antitumor activity *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008; 7: 759-768.

- 246. Garcia-Manero G, Assouline S, Cortes J, Estrov Z, Kantarjian H, Yang H, Newsome WM, Miller WH, Jr., Rousseau C, Kalita A, Bonfils C, Dubay M, Patterson TA, et al. Phase 1 study of the oral isotype specific histone deacetylase inhibitor MGCD0103 in leukemia. Blood. 2008; 112: 981-989.
- 247. Le Tourneau C, Siu LL. Promising antitumor activity with MGCD0103, a novel isotype-selective histone deacetylase inhibitor. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2008; 17: 1247-1254.
- 248. Liu HB, Mayes PA, Perlmutter P, McKendrick JJ, Dear AE. The anti-leukemic effect and molecular mechanisms of novel hydroxamate and benzamide histone deacetylase inhibitors with 5-aza-cytidine. Int J Oncol. 2011; 38: 1421-1425.
- 249. Wei Y, Kadia T, Tong W, Zhang M, Jia Y, Yang H, Hu Y, Viallet J, O'Brien S, Garcia-Manero G. The combination of a histone deacetylase inhibitor with the BH3-mimetic GX15-070 has synergistic antileukemia activity by activating both apoptosis and autophagy. Autophagy. 2010; 6: 976-978.
- 250. Younes A, Oki Y, Bociek RG, Kuruvilla J, Fanale M, Neelapu S, Copeland A, Buglio D, Galal A, Besterman J, Li Z, Drouin M, Patterson T, et al. Mocetinostat for relapsed classical Hodgkin's lymphoma: an open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2011; 12: 1222-1228.
- 251. Campas-Moya C. Romidepsin for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Drugs Today (Barc). 2009; 45: 787-795.
- 252. Furumai R, Matsuyama A, Kobashi N, Lee KH, Nishiyama M, Nakajima H, Tanaka A, Komatsu Y, Nishino N, Yoshida M, Horinouchi S. FK228 (depsipeptide) as a natural prodrug that inhibits class I histone deacetylases. Cancer Res. 2002; 62: 4916-4921.
- 253. Hartlapp I, Pallasch C, Weibert G, Kemkers A, Hummel M, Re D. Depsipeptide induces cell death in Hodgkin lymphoma-derived cell lines. Leuk Res. 2009; 33: 929-936.
- 254. Kim YH, Demierre MF, Kim EJ, Lerner A, Rook AH, Duvic M, Robak T, Samtsov A, McCulloch W, Chen SC, Waksman J, Nichols J, Whittaker S. Clinically meaningful reduction in pruritus in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma treated with romidepsin. Leuk Lymphoma. 2013; 54: 284-289.
- 255. Lech-Maranda E, Robak E, Korycka A, Robak T. Depsipeptide (FK228) as a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor: mechanism of action and anticancer activity. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2007; 7: 1062-1069.
- 256. Piekarz RL, Frye R, Prince HM, Kirschbaum MH, Zain J, Allen SL, Jaffe ES, Ling A, Turner M, Peer CJ, Figg WD, Steinberg SM, Smith S, et al. Phase 2 trial of romidepsin

in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2011; 117: 5827-5834.

- 257. Piekarz RL, Frye R, Turner M, Wright JJ, Allen SL, Kirschbaum MH, Zain J, Prince HM, Leonard JP, Geskin LJ, Reeder C, Joske D, Figg WD, et al. Phase II multiinstitutional trial of the histone deacetylase inhibitor romidepsin as monotherapy for patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27: 5410-5417.
- 258. Piekarz RL, Robey R, Sandor V, Bakke S, Wilson WH, Dahmoush L, Kingma DM, Turner ML, Altemus R, Bates SE. Inhibitor of histone deacetylation, depsipeptide (FR901228), in the treatment of peripheral and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: a case report. Blood. 2001; 98: 2865-2868.
- 259. Rangwala S, Zhang C, Duvic M. HDAC inhibitors for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphomas. Future Med Chem. 2012; 4: 471-486.
- 260. Whittaker SJ, Demierre MF, Kim EJ, Rook AH, Lerner A, Duvic M, Scarisbrick J, Reddy S, Robak T, Becker JC, Samtsov A, McCulloch W, Kim YH. Final results from a multicenter, international, pivotal study of romidepsin in refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28: 4485-4491.
- 261. Huang HM, Liu JC. c-Jun blocks cell differentiation but not growth inhibition or apoptosis of chronic myelogenous leukemia cells induced by STI571 and by histone deacetylase inhibitors. J Cell Physiol. 2009; 218: 568-574.
- 262. Kim JS, Jeung HK, Cheong JW, Maeng H, Lee ST, Hahn JS, Ko YW, Min YH. Apicidin potentiates the imatinib-induced apoptosis of Bcr-Abl-positive human leukaemia cells by enhancing the activation of mitochondria-dependent caspase cascades. Br J Haematol. 2004; 124: 166-178.
- 263. Kwon SH, Ahn SH, Kim YK, Bae GU, Yoon JW, Hong S, Lee HY, Lee YW, Lee HW, Han JW. Apicidin, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, induces apoptosis and Fas/Fas ligand expression in human acute promyelocytic leukemia cells. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 2073-2080.
- 264. Park SJ, Kim MJ, Kim HB, Sohn HY, Bae JH, Kang CD, Kim SH. Cotreatment with apicidin overcomes TRAIL resistance via inhibition of Bcr-Abl signaling pathway in K562 leukemia cells. Exp Cell Res. 2009; 315: 1809-1818.
- 265. Audrito V, Vaisitti T, Rossi D, Gottardi D, D'Arena G, Laurenti L, Gaidano G, Malavasi F, Deaglio S. Nicotinamide blocks proliferation and induces apoptosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells through activation of the p53/miR-34a/SIRT1 tumor suppressor network. Cancer Res. 2011; 71: 4473-4483.
- 266. Li L, Wang L, Li L, Wang Z, Ho Y, McDonald T, Holyoake TL, Chen W, Bhatia R. Activation of p53 by SIRT1 inhibition enhances elimination of CML leukemia stem cells in combination with imatinib. Cancer Cell. 2012; 21: 266-281.
- 267. Kim HB, Kim MJ, Lee SH, Lee JW, Bae JH, Kim DW, Dao TT, Oh WK, Kang CD, Kim SH. Amurensin G, a

novel SIRT1 inhibitor, sensitizes TRAIL-resistant human leukemic K562 cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis. Biochem Pharmacol. 2012; 84: 402-410.

- 268. O'Connor OA, Heaney ML, Schwartz L, Richardson S, Willim R, MacGregor-Cortelli B, Curly T, Moskowitz C, Portlock C, Horwitz S, Zelenetz AD, Frankel S, Richon V, et al. Clinical experience with intravenous and oral formulations of the novel histone deacetylase inhibitor suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid in patients with advanced hematologic malignancies. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24: 166-173.
- 269. Watanabe T, Kato H, Kobayashi Y, Yamasaki S, Morita-Hoshi Y, Yokoyama H, Morishima Y, Ricker JL, Otsuki T, Miyagi-Maesima A, Matsuno Y, Tobinai K. Potential efficacy of the oral histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat in a phase I trial in follicular and mantle cell lymphoma. Cancer Sci. 2010; 101: 196-200.
- 270. Kadia TM, Yang H, Ferrajoli A, Maddipotti S, Schroeder C, Madden TL, Holleran JL, Egorin MJ, Ravandi F, Thomas DA, Newsome W, Sanchez-Gonzalez B, Zwiebel JA, et al. A phase I study of vorinostat in combination with idarubicin in relapsed or refractory leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2010; 150: 72-82.
- 271. Richardson P, Mitsiades C, Colson K, Reilly E, McBride L, Chiao J, Sun L, Ricker J, Rizvi S, Oerth C, Atkins B, Fearen I, Anderson K, et al. Phase I trial of oral vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA) in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2008; 49: 502-507.
- 272. Badros A, Burger AM, Philip S, Niesvizky R, Kolla SS, Goloubeva O, Harris C, Zwiebel J, Wright JJ, Espinoza-Delgado I, Baer MR, Holleran JL, Egorin MJ, et al. Phase I study of vorinostat in combination with bortezomib for relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15: 5250-5257.
- 273. Garcia-Manero G, Yang H, Bueso-Ramos C, Ferrajoli A, Cortes J, Wierda WG, Faderl S, Koller C, Morris G, Rosner G, Loboda A, Fantin VR, Randolph SS, et al. Phase 1 study of the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid [SAHA]) in patients with advanced leukemias and myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood. 2008; 111: 1060-1066.
- 274. Kelly WK, O'Connor OA, Krug LM, Chiao JH, Heaney M, Curley T, MacGregore-Cortelli B, Tong W, Secrist JP, Schwartz L, Richardson S, Chu E, Olgac S, et al. Phase I study of an oral histone deacetylase inhibitor, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, in patients with advanced cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23: 3923-3931.
- 275. Garcia-Manero G, Tambaro FP, Bekele NB, Yang H, Ravandi F, Jabbour E, Borthakur G, Kadia TM, Konopleva MY, Faderl S, Cortes JE, Brandt M, Hu Y, et al. Phase II trial of vorinostat with idarubicin and cytarabine for patients with newly diagnosed acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30: 2204-2210.

- 276. Walter RB, Medeiros BC, Powell BL, Schiffer CA, Appelbaum FR, Estey EH. Phase II trial of vorinostat and gemtuzumab ozogamicin as induction and post-remission therapy in older adults with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. 2012; 97: 739-742.
- 277. Kirschbaum MH, Goldman BH, Zain JM, Cook JR, Rimsza LM, Forman SJ, Fisher RI. A phase 2 study of vorinostat for treatment of relapsed or refractory Hodgkin lymphoma: Southwest Oncology Group Study S0517. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012; 53: 259-262.
- 278. Kirschbaum M, Frankel P, Popplewell L, Zain J, Delioukina M, Pullarkat V, Matsuoka D, Pulone B, Rotter AJ, Espinoza-Delgado I, Nademanee A, Forman SJ, Gandara D, et al. Phase II study of vorinostat for treatment of relapsed or refractory indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29: 1198-1203.
- 279. Duvic M, Talpur R, Ni X, Zhang C, Hazarika P, Kelly C, Chiao JH, Reilly JF, Ricker JL, Richon VM, Frankel SR. Phase 2 trial of oral vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA) for refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL). Blood. 2007; 109: 31-39.
- 280. Duvic M, Olsen EA, Breneman D, Pacheco TR, Parker S, Vonderheid EC, Abuav R, Ricker JL, Rizvi S, Chen C, Boileau K, Gunchenko A, Sanz-Rodriguez C, et al. Evaluation of the long-term tolerability and clinical benefit of vorinostat in patients with advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma. 2009; 9: 412-416.
- 281. Olsen EA, Kim YH, Kuzel TM, Pacheco TR, Foss FM, Parker S, Frankel SR, Chen C, Ricker JL, Arduino JM, Duvic M. Phase IIb multicenter trial of vorinostat in patients with persistent, progressive, or treatment refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25: 3109-3115.
- 282. Mazumder A, Vesole DH, Jagannath S. Vorinostat plus bortezomib for the treatment of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma: a case series illustrating utility in clinical practice. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2010; 10: 149-151.
- 283. Coiffier B, Pro B, Prince HM, Foss F, Sokol L, Greenwood M, Caballero D, Borchmann P, Morschhauser F, Wilhelm M, Pinter-Brown L, Padmanabhan S, Shustov A, et al. Results from a pivotal, open-label, phase II study of romidepsin in relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma after prior systemic therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30: 631-636.
- 284. Akilov OE, Grant C, Frye R, Bates S, Piekarz R, Geskin LJ. Low-dose electron beam radiation and romidepsin therapy for symptomatic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma lesions. Br J Dermatol. 2012; 167: 194-197.
- 285. Oki Y, Fanale MA, Westin JR, Fowler N, Neelapu SS, Hagemeister FB, Rodriguez MA, Younes A. A phase I study of panobinostat in combination with ICE (Ifosfamide, Carboplatin and Etoposide) in patients with relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL). Clin Adv Hematol Oncol. 2014; 12: 5-6.

- 286. Oki Y, Buglio D, Fanale M, Fayad L, Copeland A, Romaguera J, Kwak LW, Pro B, de Castro Faria S, Neelapu S, Fowler N, Hagemeister F, Zhang J, et al. Phase I study of panobinostat plus everolimus in patients with relapsed or refractory lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 19: 6882-6890.
- 287. San-Miguel JF, Richardson PG, Gunther A, Sezer O, Siegel D, Blade J, LeBlanc R, Sutherland H, Sopala M, Mishra KK, Mu S, Bourquelot PM, Victoria Mateos M, et al. Phase Ib study of panobinostat and bortezomib in relapsed or relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2013; 31: 3696-3703.
- 288. Berenson JR, Hilger JD, Yellin O, Boccia RV, Matous J, Dressler K, Ghazal HH, Jamshed S, Kingsley EC, Harb WA, Noga SJ, Nassir Y, Swift RA, et al. A phase 1/2 study of oral panobinostat combined with melphalan for patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. Ann Hematol. 2014; 93: 89-98.
- 289. Wolf JL, Siegel D, Goldschmidt H, Hazell K, Bourquelot PM, Bengoudifa BR, Matous J, Vij R, de Magalhaes-Silverman M, Abonour R, Anderson KC, Lonial S. Phase II trial of the pan-deacetylase inhibitor panobinostat as a single agent in advanced relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012; 53: 1820-1823.
- 290. Richardson PG, Schlossman RL, Alsina M, Weber DM, Coutre SE, Gasparetto C, Mukhopadhyay S, Ondovik MS, Khan M, Paley CS, Lonial S. PANORAMA 2: panobinostat in combination with bortezomib and dexamethasone in patients with relapsed and bortezomib-refractory myeloma. Blood. 2013; 122: 2331-2337.
- 291. Duvic M, Dummer R, Becker JC, Poulalhon N, Ortiz Romero P, Grazia Bernengo M, Lebbe C, Assaf C, Squier M, Williams D, Marshood M, Tai F, Prince HM. Panobinostat activity in both bexarotene-exposed and -naive patients with refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: results of a phase II trial. Eur J Cancer. 2013; 49: 386-394.
- 292. Younes A, Sureda A, Ben-Yehuda D, Zinzani PL, Ong TC, Prince HM, Harrison SJ, Kirschbaum M, Johnston P, Gallagher J, Le Corre C, Shen A, Engert A. Panobinostat in patients with relapsed/refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma after autologous stem-cell transplantation: results of a phase II study. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30: 2197-2203.
- 293. Offidani M, Polloni C, Cavallo F, Liberati AM, Ballanti S, Pulini S, Catarini M, Alesiani F, Corvatta L, Gentili S, Caraffa P, Boccadoro M, Leoni P, et al. Phase II study of melphalan, thalidomide and prednisone combined with oral panobinostat in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. Leuk Lymphoma. 2012; 53: 1722-1727.
- 294. Foss F, Advani R, Duvic M, Hymes KB, Intragumtornchai T, Lekhakula A, Shpilberg O, Lerner A, Belt RJ, Jacobsen ED, Laurent G, Ben-Yehuda D, Beylot-Barry M, et al. A Phase II trial of Belinostat (PXD101) in patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral or cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Br J Haematol. 2015; 168: 811-819.