

Comparative diagnostic utility of different urinary biomarkers during pre-albuminuric stages of non-hypertensive type 2 diabetic nephropathy

Himansu Sekhar Mahapatra¹, Bindu Kulshreshtha², Parul Goyal³, Anubhuti Chitkara⁵, Anamika Kumari¹, Arpita Arora¹, Venkatesan Sekhar⁴ & Yadunandan Prasad Gupta⁶

Departments of ¹Nephrology, ²Endocrinology & ³Biochemistry, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences & Dr Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, ⁴All India Management Association, New Delhi, ⁵Department of Biochemistry, Maulana Azad Medical College, Delhi & ⁶Central Health Education Bureau, Government of India

Received February 12, 2021

Background & objectives: Activation of renin-angiotensin system and tubulointerstitial damage might be seen in pre-albuminuria stage of diabetic nephropathy (DN). Here, diagnostic utility of four urinary biomarkers [Angiotensinogen (Angio), Interleukin (IL)-18, Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) and Cystatin] during pre-albuminuria stages of non-hypertensive type 2 diabetes patients was studied.

Methods: A total of 952 type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients were screened for nephropathy [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) \geq 120 ml/min and albumin–creatinine ratio (ACR) \geq 30], and 120 patients were followed up for one year. At one year, they were classified into hyperfiltration (43), normoalbuminuria (29) and microalbuminuria (48) groups. Another 63 T2DM patients without nephropathy were included as controls. Hypertension, patients on angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker, eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m² and all proteinuric conditions were excluded. All were subjected to testing for urine protein, ACR, HbA₁C, eGFR, along with urinary biomarkers (IL-18, cystatin-C, NGAL and AGT). Comparative analysis of all the diagnostic tests among different subgroups, correlation and logistic regression was done.

Results: Urinary IL-18/Cr, cystatin/creatinine (Cr) and AGT/Cr levels were higher in groups of hyperfiltration (13.47, 12.11 and 8.43 mg/g), normoalbuminuria (9.24, 11.74 and 9.15 mg/g) and microalbuminuria (11.59, 14.48 and 10.24 mg/g) than controls (7.38, 8.39 and 1.26 mg/g), but NGAL/Cr was comparable. The area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and sensitivity of AGT to detect early CKD were higher than ACR and eGFR (0.91 and 90.4%, 0.6 and 40% and 0.6 and 37%, respectively). AUC values of other biomarkers, namely IL-18/Cr, cystatin/Cr and NGAL/Cr, were 0.65, 0.64 and 0.51, respectively. Angio/Cr and IL-18/Cr showed correlation with log albuminuria (r=0.3, P=0.00, and r=0.28, P=0.00, respectively). NGAL showed correlation with log eGFR (r=0.28 P=0.00). Multivariate logistic analysis showed that odds ratio of developing nephropathy was 7.5 times with higher values of log Angio/Cr.

Interpretation & conclusions: Urinary AGT showed a higher diagnostic value than ACR and eGFR followed by IL-18 and cystatin to diagnose DN during pre-albuminuric stages.

Key words Diagnostic tests - early diabetic nephropathy - pre-albuminuric stage - urinary angiotensinogen - urinary biomarkers

© 2022 Indian Journal of Medical Research, published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow for Director-General, Indian Council of Medical Research

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) progresses through stages of hyperfiltration, microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria and declining glomerular filtration rate (GFR) to reach end-stage kidney disease. At present, the most commonly used clinical index for evaluation and strong predictor of DN is albumin excretion rate or microalbumin which also reflects the decline in GFR¹. However, microalbuminuria is diagnosed once significant glomerular damage has occurred and it does not necessarily lead to renal dysfunction; again, nephropathy sometimes occurs in the normoalbuminuric patients^{2,3}.

During pre-albuminuric stage, intraglomerular hypertension (HTN) and hypertrophy have been demonstrated as a cause of initial hyperfiltration. Furthermore, the role of tubulointerstitium has also been increasingly appreciated. It may be due to involvement of peritubular vessels induced by hypoxia or other antiangiogenic stimuli and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by tubular epithelial cells. Involvement of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) might be activated before microalbuminuria and cause the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis in the normoalbuminuric patients⁴. Angiotensin II also participates in cytokine- and chemokine-mediated recruitment of inflammatory cells into the kidney¹. A study in 102 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and 18 healthy controls showed that urinary angiotensinogen (UAGT) might potentially serve as an early marker to determine intrarenal RAS activity and predict progressive kidney disease in T2DM patients without HTN⁵. Saito et al⁶ have shown that urinary angiotensinogen (AGT) may function as an early marker of DN in patients with type 1 diabetes. Further, urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) has been found to be positively correlated with urinary IL-18 and AGT which supports involvement of RAS which might be a cause for the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis7. Profile of urinary markers at early stage may reflect inflammatory process by activation of the intrarenal RAS and its progression. Hence, identifying nephropathy at pre-albuminuric stage by urinary biomarkers and their diagnostic utility is challenging.

In our previous study comprising 61 T2DM patients and 30 pre-diabetic patients, it was found that urinary NGAL and cystatin-C were significantly higher in microalbuminuria group compared to normoalbuminuria group; the area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of urinary NGAL/creatinine (Cr) was found to be better than

urinary cystatin/Cr in estimating microalbuminuria⁸. Others have shown that cystatin-C, NGAL and kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1) were sensitive and specific in detecting early renal damage9,10. Satirapoj et al11 found that the AUC of urine AGT (ng/mg Cr) was 0.62, 0.85 and 0.96 in established normoalbuminuric. microalbuminuric and macroalbuminuric T2DM patients, respectively. However, another study has shown that diagnostic accuracy of albumin creatinine ratio (ACR) is better than individual biomarker of DN when compared to both NGAL and IL-18¹². The objective of this study was, therefore, to assess the diagnostic utility of four urinary biomarkers (Angio, IL-18, NGAL and cystatin) during pre-albuminuria stages of non-hypertensive T2DM patients.

Material & Methods

This one year prospective study (April 2017 to April 2018) was performed in the departments of Nephrology and Endocrinology, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences & Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Hospital, New Delhi, India. The study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Screening and data collection: All consecutive T2DM patients of age above 30 yr attending the outpatient departments of Endocrinology, Internal Medicine and Nephrology, were screened for history and previous records. Inclusion criteria were (*i*) *e*-GFR \geq 120 ml/min/1.73 m² with unrestricted ACR value, and (*ii*) estimated GFR (eGFR) 60-120 ml/min/1.73 m² and ACR 30-300 mg. Exclusion criteria were urinary tract infections, urinary stones, HTN, pregnancy, genital or any systemic infections, thyroid disease, nephrotoxic medications or steroids, patients on dialysis or post-renal transplant. Diabetes patients with 90-120 ml/min eGFR without microalbuminuria were considered as controls with exclusion criteria as above.

After performing urine ACR and eGFR (by MDRD4 formula), the patients were enrolled and were classified into four groups – glomerular hyperfiltration, normoalbuminuria, microalbuminuria and control groups as defined. All were subjected to routine biochemistry, namely; haemogram, kidney function test, liver function test, plasma glucose, HbA_{1c} , lipid profile after obtaining venous blood (7 ml) from each participant. Further, routine urine examination, urine for microalbumin

(spot urine for protein and Cr ratio), ultrasound abdomen, electrocardiogram, echocardiogram and fundus examination were also done. Standard definitions were used for defining DN, normoalbuminuria, micro - albuminuria and overt nephropathy¹³⁻¹⁵.

These investigations were repeated at the third month for confirmation of nephropathy, thereafter at the 6th and 12th months. At the 12th month, extra urinary samples were collected in Eppendorf tubes and stored at -20° C for the estimation of urinary biomarkers. A total of 63 controls were enrolled from the same outpatient departments for clinical, biochemical and biomarker measurements for comparison.

Laboratory procedures: Urine routine examination was performed by urinary dipstick method by using Siemens Multistick® 10 SG Reagent Strips. For microalbumin estimation, Randox kits (Beckman Coulter AU 400, Danaher Corp, Brea, Calif) were used. Both microalbumin and spot urine creatinine were run on a fully automated clinical chemistry analyzer Olympus AU400 (Block Scientific, Bellport NY 11713, USA). Microalbumin-creatinine ratio was calculated manually which was expressed as mg of albumin per gram of creatinine. For NGAL, Human NGAL ELISA kit (96T; Epitope Diagnostic Inc, San Diego, CA 92130, USA) with detection range for plasma 0.48-3.9 ng/ml (normal urinary detection range is not available in the literature) was used. Cystatin was estimated using Cystatin-C-EIA-BEST kit (VECTOR best, Russia; detection range: 0-12 µg/ml). Angiotensinogen (AGT) and IL18 were estimated using Human Angiotensin ELISA kit (96T) Type 2 (Catalogue no. E13652264; dectection range: 2.74-200 ng/ml) and IL-18 ELISA kit type 2 (Catalogue no. E13651008; detection range: 13.7-1000 pg/ml), respectively (Sincere Biotech, China). Estimation HbA_{1c} by using was done HPLC of (high-performance liquid chromatography) method on Tosoh G8 analyzer (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics -Vitros 5.1, USA). Other tests were also done on a fully automated dry clinical chemistry analyzer.

Statistical analysis: All data were entered in Excel and analysis was done by using SPSS version 23 (IBM Corp. Released 2015. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY, USA). Data normality and homogeneity of variance were assessed by using Levene's test. Arithmetic means and percentages were obtained for continuous and categorical data,

respectively. Independent t test was used for comparing arithmetic means. Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparing median values of urine albumin, ACR and biomarkers. Diagnostic tests using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for all biomarkers, urine albumin and ACR were individually carried out to identify their ability to classify individuals to cases or controls with a chosen cut-off value to attain acceptable sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity and specificity, AUC and higher and lower confidence intervals were also calculated. The Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between log ACR, log urine albumin and log eGFR with different biomarkers for each stage. Logistic regression analysis was carried out to classify the individuals between cases and controls based on eGFR and ACR.

Results

A total of 952 pre-diabetic and T2DM patients were screened based on history and previous records. On six monthly follow up, at one year, 120 patients were available; 63 T2DM patients without nephropathy were also enrolled as controls (Fig. 1). The baseline characteristics and investigations including biomarkers of controls and patients groups are detailed in Table I. Patients groups and controls were found matching with respect to age, sex and body mass index (BMI). The glycaemic control was significantly better in controls than in microalbuminuric group. Median values of urine albumin, ACR, IL-18 and AGT, cystatin, IL-18/Cr and Angio/Cr were higher in hyperfiltration and microalbuminuric groups with respect to controls. AGT/Cr and urinary IL-18/Cr ratio were significantly higher in hyperfiltration and microalbuminuric subgroups compared to controls. High levels were significant in all except cystatin/Cr and IL-18/Cr in normoalbuminuric group. It was observed that all biomarkers except NGAL were high at hyperfiltration stage even before development of microalbuminuria (Table II and Fig. 2A-D).

ROC analysis of ACR, eGFR and different biomarkers with their urine Cr ratio at 12th month is shown in Table III. AGT/Cr and AGT had the highest AUC of 0.91 and 0.92. The cut-off value, sensitivity, specificity and AUC of AGT/Cr were 4.4 mg/g, 90.4 per cent, 86 per cent and 0.91, respectively. With the cut-off value of urine (ACR: 29.95 mg/g), sensitivity was poor at 40 per cent and specificity 100 per cent, whereas with the cut-off value of eGFR 119.5 ml/min, the sensitivity was 36 per cent and specificity 100 per cent.



Fig. 1. Flowchart showing the total number of patients with diabetes with nephropathy (cases) and those without nephropathy (controls) during the study.

Following AGT, AUC of other biomarkers, namely IL-18, IL-18/Cr, cystatin, cystatin/Cr, NGAL and NGAL/Cr, were also in decreasing order. Both NAGL and NGAL/Cr had poor AUC (Fig. 3A-D).

Correlation analysis was performed between logarithmic transformation of ACR, urine albumin and eGFR with the four different biomarkers. Log urinary albumin showed a significant correlation with AGT/Cr (r=0.3, P=0.00) and IL-18/Cr (r=-0.28, P=0.01) at 12 months. There was a significant correlation of HbA_{1c} with log urine albumin (r=0.24, P=0.00) and log ACR (r=0.3, P=0.00). Log eGFR showed a significant correlation with NGAL (r=-0.28, P=0.00). All other biomarkers did not show any correlation.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was carried out with independent variables age, BMI, mean blood pressure, cholesterol, HbA_{1c} and all ratios of log-transformed biomarkers with Cr. The odds of contracting DN was 7.5 and was 1.6 times greater in non-nephropathy patients having higher values of log AGT/Cr and IL-18/Cr, respectively, than non-nephropathy patients with low values (Table IV).

Discussion

The present study showed diagnostic test comparison of four different novel urinary biomarkers in hyperfiltration, normoalbuminuria and microalbuminuria stages of DN. Among all urinary biomarkers, AGT and IL-18 levels were higher in patients than controls and had a higher AUC and strong association with pre-albuminuria nephropathy. AGT showed a greater discriminatory value in terms of sensitivity and specificity compared to conventional ACR, urinary albumin and eGFR.

Being a hospital based study, it showed lower pre-diabetic prevalence (7.5%), uncontrolled diabetes status (HbA_{1e}: 8.4), 40 per cent prevalence of microalbuminuria and 24 per cent hyperfiltration. There were significantly higher uncontrolled blood glucose levels in microalbuminuria group, establishing the relation of nephropathy with the diabetic status. Similar to our study, Chowta et al¹⁶ showed the prevalence of microalbuminuria of 37 per cent. There was no effect of BMI and sex on the prevalence of microalbuminuria similar to the present study, but there was a significant correlation of microalbuminuria with duration of diabetes¹⁶. Kundu *et al*¹⁷ also found that uncontrolled glycaemic control and duration of diabetes were associated with significant elevations in urinary microalbumin levels.

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with diabeticnephropathy completing follow ups (n=120) | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameters | Mean±SD, n (%) | | | | | |
| Age (yr) | 49.2±9.39 | | | | | |
| Sex (male) | 75 (62.5) | | | | | |
| Married | 115 (95.83) | | | | | |
| Doing physical exercise | 64 (53.33) | | | | | |
| Vegetarian | 45 (37.5) | | | | | |
| Smoker | 28 (23.33) | | | | | |
| Alcoholic | 28 (23.33) | | | | | |
| Family income (million) | | | | | | |
| <0.2 | 68 (56.66) | | | | | |
| 0.2-0.6 | 25 (20.83) | | | | | |
| >0.6 | 27 (22.49) | | | | | |
| Diabetes status | | | | | | |
| Pre-diabetic | 9 (7.5) | | | | | |
| Diabetic | 111 (92.5) | | | | | |
| Duration of DM (yr) | | | | | | |
| <1 | 38 (31.6) | | | | | |
| 1-5 | 27 (22.5) | | | | | |
| >5 | 55 (45.83) | | | | | |
| DM treatment | | | | | | |
| No treatment | 10 (8.33) | | | | | |
| Diet | 5 (4.16) | | | | | |
| OHA | 90 (75) | | | | | |
| Insulin | 12 (10) | | | | | |
| Diabetes under control | 49 (40.83) | | | | | |
| Positive family history | 52 (43.33) | | | | | |
| Old history | | | | | | |
| Renal stone disease | 4 (3.33) | | | | | |
| Taking pain killers | 3 (2.5) | | | | | |
| H/o of swelling | 34 (28.33) | | | | | |
| Investigations | | | | | | |
| FBS (mg/dl) | 153.58±72.67 | | | | | |
| PPBS (mg/dl) | 225.97±95.45 | | | | | |
| HbA ₁ c (%) | 8.41±2.22 | | | | | |
| ACR (mg/g) | 101.17±232.54 | | | | | |
| Spot urine creatinine (mg/dl) | 67.64±32.48 | | | | | |
| Spot urine albumin (mg/l) | 60.62±108.51 | | | | | |
| Blood urea (mg/dl) | 25.06±7.91 | | | | | |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.71±0.17 | | | | | |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 5.05±1.15 | | | | | |
| e-GFR (ml/min) | 113.47±27.17 | | | | | |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 163.45±42.63 | | | | | |
| | Contd | | | | | |

| Parameters | Mean±SD, n (%) | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| HDL (mg/dl) | 44.44±11.56 | | | | | |
| LDL (mg/dl) | 84.41±30.87 | | | | | |
| VLDL (mg/dl) | 34.60±19.28 | | | | | |
| TG (mg/dl) | 171.56±94.86 | | | | | |
| Serum albumin (g/dl) | 4.51±0.32 | | | | | |
| DM, diabetes mellitus; OHA, oral hypoglycaemic agent; FBS, fasting blood sugar; PPBS, post-prandial blood sugar; ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TG, triglyceride; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; VLDL, verv-low-density lipoprotein | | | | | | |

Compared to controls, both AGT and IL-18 were significantly increased in hyperfiltration stage but not NGAL or cystatin. ACR was in higher range than controls but did not significantly progress to the next stage similar to an earlier study where elevated GFR occurred without worsening of albuminuria¹⁸. At single time point, our study showed a significant difference of AGT and IL-18 in hyperfiltration, and microalbuminuria groups, but not cystatin and NGAL. Contrary to our observations, one-year follow up study showed that there was an increased tendency of urine NGAL, from normoalbuminuria group to macroalbuminuria group¹⁹. Some studies^{20,21} showed an increased level of NGAL in diabetic normoalbuminuric patients than healthy controls, which was in contrast to our study where NGAL levels were not significantly different from non-nephropathy diabetic controls. Patients with T2DM with high levels of baseline urine tubular biomarkers (cystatin-C, AGT, KIM-1 and NGAL) had a greater incidence of end-stage renal disease and rapid GFR decline²². We observed that NGAL had a poor ROC of 0.51 with 65 per cent sensitivity and 35 per cent specificity in the present study. Sueud et al¹² similarly reported poor AUC of 0.54 with low specificity of 30 per cent for NGAL in their patients with DN. They concluded that urinary ACR was a better predictor of renal damage than NGAL. The diagnostic accuracy of ACR was better than individual biomarker of DN when compared to both NGAL and IL-1812. Contrary to our findings, Assal et al23 reported an AUC of 0.75 for NGAL for diabetic patients with early renal disease; their control group included normal patients unlike our study where T2DM patients without nephropathy were included as controls. They indicated urinary N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase that (NAG) was the most sensitive marker for early renal damage in diabetic patients. However, for damage progress, serum cystatin-C was the most sensitive

| I | able II. Comparison of c | linical and biochemic | al parameters between co | ontrol and patients group | S | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Parameter | Control (n=63) | | Case (groups) (n=120) | | P^{**} | P^{***} |
| | | Hyperfiltration, n=29 (24.2%) | Normoalbuminuria, n=43 (35.9%) | Microalbuminuria, n=48 (40%) | | |
| Age (yr) | 49.62±10.26 | 47.38±9.83 | 49.58±9.68 | 50.50±8.83 | 0.593 | |
| BMI | 27.65±15.32 | 26.54 ± 4.66 | 25.06 ± 3.87 | 25.75±4.23 | 0.550 | |
| MBP (mmHg) | 90.42 ± 8.36 | 88.96±6.94 | 90.16 ± 10.98 | 92.22±6.45 | 0.392 | |
| FBS (mg/dl) | 131.25 ± 36.33 | 139.03 ± 47.68 | 146.21 ± 59.50 | 168.96 ± 91.82 | 0.017° | 0.011° |
| PPBS (mg/dl) | 183.32±51.61 | 225.28±96.92 | 210.77 ± 84.33 | 240 ± 103.45 | 0.004° | 0.003° |
| HbA_{lc} (%) | 7.69±1.64 | 8.17±2.33 | 7.70 ± 1.66 | 9.18 ± 2.38 | $0.001^{\rm c,f}$ | $0.001^{\circ}, 0.003^{f}$ |
| Serum creatinine (mg/dl) | 0.71 ± 0.11 | 0.58 ± 0.06 | 0.75 ± 0.12 | 0.75 ± 0.21 | $0.001^{\rm a,d,e}$ | $0.001^{a}, 0.001^{d}, 0.001^{e}$ |
| e-GFR (ml/min) | 102.67 ± 13.71 | 140.76 ± 15.21 | 101.07 ± 11.99 | 108.08 ± 31.18 | $0.001^{\rm a,d,e}$ | $0.001^{a}, 0.001^{d}, 0.001^{e}$ |
| Uric acid (mg/dl) | 5.35 ± 1.31 | 5.08 ± 1.03 | 5.12 ± 1.04 | 4.96 ± 1.31 | 0.394 | |
| Serum albumin (g/dl) | 4.53 ± 0.36 | 4.58±0.29 | 4.50 ± 0.30 | 4.46 ± 0.35 | 0.453 | |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 168.19 ± 37.63 | 162.93 ± 41.33 | 160.88 ± 29.16 | 166.06 ± 53.01 | 0.822 | |
| TG (mg/dl) | 165.09 ± 108.13 | 165.17 ± 84.05 | 164.93 ± 87.36 | 181.35±107.64 | 0.815 | |
| ACR (mg/g)* | 9.90 (27.40) | 11.60 (22.80) | 10.30 (88.30) | 115.50 (1743.30) | 0.001 ^{c, e, f} | $0.001^{\circ}, 0.001^{\circ}, 0.001^{f}$ |
| Spot urine albumin (mg/l)* | 7.60 (84.8) | 7.40 (21.3) | 5.50 (43.1) | 85.40 (454.6) | 0.001 ^{c, e, f} | $0.001^{\circ}, 0.001^{\circ}, 0.001^{f}$ |
| Spot urine Cr. (mg/dl)* | 84.80 (198.30) | 62.20 (128) | 63.90 (135.60) | 70.45 (112.70) | 0.133 | |
| Cystatin-C (ug/ml)* | 0.06 (0.682) | 0.06 (0.21) | 0.07 (0.68) | 0.09 (0.60) | 0.096 | |
| IL-18 (pg/ml)* | 579.50 (1284.18) | 702.08 (621.60) | 701.45 (582.80) | 705.41 (656.50) | 0.012 ^{a, c} | $0.033^{a}, 0.005^{c}$ |
| NGAL (ng/ml)* | 10.10 (206.70) | 7.15 (71.94) | 7.78 (152.96) | 7.37 (68.56) | 0.801 | |
| Angiotensinogen (ng/ml)* | 7.32 (119.98) | 58.72 (78.85) | 60.75 (94.55) | 60.45 (89.20) | 0.001 ^{a, b, c} | $0.001^{a}, 0.001^{b}, 0.001^{c}$ |
| Cystatin-C/Ur. creat. (mg/g)* | 8.39 (78.09) | 12.11 (78.39) | 11.74 (340.63) | 14.48 (211.82) | 0.010° | 0.002° |
| IL-18/Ur. creat. (mg/g)* | 7.38 (55.16) | 13.47 (69.94) | 9.24 (35.69) | 11.59 (47.61) | 0.008 ^{a, c} | $0.021^{a}, 0.002^{c}$ |
| NGAL/Ur. creat.(mg/g)* | 1.54(11.90) | 1.44(19.75) | 1.62(19.85) | 1.74 (18.72) | 0.796 | |
| Angiotensinogen/Ur. creat. (mg/g)* | 1.26 (20.51) | 8.43 (88.77) | 9.15 (33.39) | 10.24 (42.68) | 0.001 ^{a, b, c} | $0.001^{a}, 0.001^{b}, 0.001^{c}$ |
| Statistical analysis of control and all pa non-parametric ordinal level data, **P v | atients groups was done h values between control an | by one-way ANOVA fractional patients groups. | or continuous parametric Mann-Whitney U test w | : data followed by <i>post h</i> as done to estimate P va | <i>oc</i> and *Kruska lues of non-par | 1-Wallis test for ametric data between |
| vo groups, r vances between connervers verween connervers, rormoalbuminuria, "Hyperfiltration | n and padent groups. Co | Jormoalbuminuria vs. | microalbuminuria. Cont | rol: ACR <30 and eGFR | : 60-120, patien | a, "rrypennuauon it groups: (<i>i</i>) |
| Hyperfiltration: ACR <30 and eGFR \ge | 120, (ii) Normoalbuminu | ıria: ACR <30 and e-G | JFR 60-120, (iii) Microa | lbuminuria: ACR ≥30 an | d eGFR 60-12 |). BMI, body |
| mass index; MBP, mean blood pressure albumin-to-creatinine ratio; NGAL, ne | e; FBS, fasting blood sug utrophil gelatinase-assoc | ar; PPBS, post-prandi iated lipocalin; IL-18, | ial blood sugar; eGFR, ei, interleukin 18; Ur. Crea | stimated glomerular filtra t., urine creatinine; Cr., c | ation rate; TG, creatinine; alb, | triglyceride; ACR, albumin |



Fig. 2. (A-D) Box plots showing median values, range and significance of various biomarkers such as urine cystatin-C/urine creatinine, angiotensinogen/urine creatinine, interleukin-18/urine creatinine and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin/urine creatinine levels between controls (ACR <30 and eGFR <120) and patient groups: (*i*) Hyperfiltration: ACR <30 and eGFR ≥ 120 , (*ii*) normoalbuminuria: ACR <30 and eGFR <120, and (*iii*) microalbuminuria: ACR ≥ 30 and eGFR >120. ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; IL-18, interleukin 18.

| Table III. Performance of different biomarkers/urine creatinine in diagnosing diabetic nephropathy at 12 th month in ROC analysis | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|------------------------|-------|--|
| Biomarkers | Different | Sensitivity | Specificity | Р | AUC | Range (95 per cent CI) | | |
| | cut-off value | (%) | (%) | | | Lower | Upper | |
| Angiotensinogen/Ur. Creat. (mg/g) | 4.4 | 90.4 | 86 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.96 | |
| Cystatin/Ur. Creat. (mg/g) | 9.35 | 70 | 56 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0.73 | |
| IL-18/Ur. Creat. (mg/g) | 7.8 | 75 | 56 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.75 | |
| NGAL/Ur. Creat. (mg/g) | 1.19 | 65 | 34.3 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.61 | |
| ACR (mg/g) | 29.95 | 40 | 100 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.76 | |
| e-GFR (ml/min) | 119.5 | 37 | 100 | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.68 | |
| Cystatin-C (ug/ml) | 0.06 | 67 | 51 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.68 | |
| IL-18 (pg/ml) | 625.50 | 76 | 60 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.75 | |
| NGAL (ng/ml) | 6.76 | 65 | 40 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.56 | |
| Angiotensinogen (ng/ml) | 34.19 | 92 | 88 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.98 | |
| AUC, area under the curve; Ur. creat, urine creatinine; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; IL-18, interleukin 18; CI, confidence interval; ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ROC, receiver operating | | | | | | | | |

characteristic

and specific marker for follow up and monitoring renal dysfunction²³. Cystatin had an ROC of 0.64 with

70 per cent sensitivity and 56 per cent specificity. These results were different from our previous study, where



Fig. 3. (**A-D**) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of all four biomarkers/urine creatinine considering control reference (albuminto-creatinine ratio <30 and estimated glomerular filtration rate <120) to determine the discriminatory power of biomarkers for the diagnosis of diabetic with nephropathy. (**A**) Angiotensinogen/urine creatinine, (**B**) cystatin-C/urine creatinine, (**C**) IL-18/urine creatinine and (**D**) NGAL/ urine creatinine. ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; IL-18, interleukin 18.

| Table IV. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis outcome | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Parameters | | Univ | ariate | | Multivariate | | | | | |
| | Odds ratio | Р | 95 per cent CI for Exp (B) | | Odds P ratio | | 95 per ce Exp | 95 per cent CI for Exp (B) | | |
| | | | Lower | Upper | | | Lower | Upper | | |
| Age | 0.998 | 0.893 | 0.967 | 1.030 | 0.973 | 0.341 | 0.919 | 1.030 | | |
| BMI | 0.977 | 0.286 | 0.936 | 1.020 | 0.998 | 0.947 | 0.947 | 1.053 | | |
| MBP (mmHg) | 1.004 | 0.834 | 0.968 | 1.041 | 1.019 | 0.675 | 0.934 | 1.111 | | |
| HbA _{1c} (%) | 1.210 | 0.027 | 1.021 | 1.433 | 1.039 | 0.833 | 0.727 | 1.485 | | |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl) | 0.997 | 0.457 | 0.990 | 1.005 | 0.990 | 0.161 | 0.976 | 1.004 | | |
| Log cystatin-C/Ur. creat. (mg/g) | 1.777 | 0.002 | 1.236 | 2.557 | 0.512 | 0.086 | 0.239 | 1.099 | | |
| Log IL-18/Ur. creat. (mg/g) | 2.063 | 0.003 | 1.279 | 3.327 | 1.628 | 0.281 | 0.671 | 3.948 | | |
| Log NGAL/Ur. creat. (mg/g) | 1.148 | 0.424 | 0.818 | 1.610 | 0.982 | 0.954 | 0.540 | 1.788 | | |
| Log angiotensinogen/Ur. creatinine (mg/g) | 7.770 | 0.000 | 3.844 | 15.706 | 7.502 | 0.000 | 3.238 | 17.381 | | |
| BMI, body mass index; MBP, mean blood pressure; Ur. creat., urine creatinine; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; IL-18, interleukin 18; CI, confidence interval | | | | | | | | | | |

both cystatin and NGAL had a higher AUC of 0.86 and 0.95, respectively⁸. Further, controls in our previous study had a lower BMI (23 kg/m² previous study *vs*.

27 kg/m² in the present study) and cases had a higher ACR (more marked albuminuria) compared to cases in the present study (112.1+68 mg/g vs. 20 mg/g) in

the present study. Our previous study⁸ showed a higher AUC of urinary NGAL/Cr than urinary cystatin/Cr for estimating microalbuminuria. Assal *et al*²³ reported a AUC of 0.72 for cystatin; however, their control group was normal individuals unlike our controls. Sueud *et al*¹² had showed that diagnostic accuracy of ACR was better than individual biomarker of DN when compared to both NGAL and IL-18.

Satirapoj et al¹¹ observed that the AUC of urinary AGT-Cr were 0.85 and 0.96 in their patients with T2DM with microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria, respectively. They reported a high sensitivity of 80-90 per cent, but a lower specificity of 75-80 per cent for the diagnosis of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria, respectively. It was observed that AGT with urine albumin creatinine (ACR) ratio was the significant biomarker to identify DN at early stage. However, unlike our study, they included patients on renin angiotensinogen system (RAS) blockers which could have confounded their results¹¹. Ba Aqeel et al²⁴ observed that AGT/Cr had a high AUC of 0.92 and AUC of ACR of 0.94 in T2DM patients with CKD. The better discriminatory value of ACR in comparison to AGT/Cr ratio could be because of definition of their cases, which was CKD stage 3 or higher. Sueud et al¹² observed that urinary levels of IL-18 predicted the presence of nephropathy with a 72 per cent sensitivity and 53.33 per cent specificity with AUC of 0.59. Non-haemodynamic effects of angiotensin II may contribute to the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis, which may be the reason for early-stage higher AGT and its higher AUC. Non-haemodynamic effects of angiotensin II appear to contribute to the development of tubulointerstitial fibrosis, which may be the reason for early-stage higher AGT and its higher AUC as seen in our cases^{25,26}.

We have observed a significant correlation of urinary albumin with IL-18–Cr ratio and AGT-Cr ratio. Other researchers have also observed a significant correlation of AGT and albuminuria^{11,27}. However, there was no significant correlation of eGFR or ACR with any of the three biomarkers, except NGAL which correlated with eGFR. Sueud *et al*¹² observed no correlation between NGAL and IL-18 with ACR, whereas Vijay *et al*²⁸ showed a positive correlation of urine NGAL and cystatin-C levels with urine ACR. A meta-analysis of 28 studies observed a significant negative correlation of eGFR with urinary NGAL (r=-0.34)²⁹ as found in our study.

There was a significant association of HbA_{1c}, log AGT, IL-18 and cystatin with CKD as the dependent variable in our study. In multivariate regression analysis, AGT was the only parameter associated with the presence of CKD. Some previous studies on type 1 as well as type 2 diabetes observed that increase in AGT preceded the albumin excretion, suggesting that urinary AGT may function as an early marker of DN^{6,11}. In contract to our study, a review including 42 studies showed high levels and association of NGAL and cystatin-C with early DN compared with non-diabetic controls³⁰. The use of diabetic controls might be the reason to show high AGT and IL-18 but not NGAL and cystatin in the present study.

The present findings are preliminary in nature and cannot be translated into the routine diagnostic situations. Larger studies with a longer follow up of both cases and diabetes controls (at least 1:2 ratios) will be required for validation of the present study.

Among all four biomarkers, AGT/Cr ratio showed a greater diagnostic value in terms of sensitivity and specificity than ACR for diagnosing early diabetic nephropathy (EDN). Urinary AGT and IL-18 may be used as biomarker to diagnose EDN at pre-albuminuric stage. These biomarkers may also have the potential to identify patients at high risk of progression in non-proteinuric DN which would have been missed by conventional ACR.

Financial support & sponsorship: Authors acknowledge the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India, for providing financial support (vide project no.: 5/4/7-7/13/NCD-II).

Conflicts of Interest: None.

References

- Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ, Balkau B, Chadban SJ, Tonkin AM, *et al.* Albuminuria is evident in the early stages of diabetes onset: Results from the Australian Diabetes, Obesity, and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab). *Am J Kidney Dis* 2004; 44: 792-8.
- Barratt J, Topham P. Urine proteomics: The present and future of measuring urinary protein components in disease. *CMAJ* 2007; 177: 361-8.
- Retnakaran R, Cull CA, Thorne KI, Adler AI, Holman RR; UKPDS Study Group. Risk factors for renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 74. *Diabetes* 2006; 55: 1832-9.
- Ruiz-Ortega M, Rupérez M, Esteban V, Rodríguez-Vita J, Sánchez-López E, Carvajal G, *et al.* Angiotensin II: A key factor in the inflammatory and fibrotic response in kidney diseases. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21: 16-20.

- Zhuang Z, Bai Q, Lata A, Liang Y, Zheng D, Wang Y. Increased urinary angiotensinogen precedes the onset of albuminuria in normotensive type 2 diabetic patients. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* 2015; 8 : 11464-9.
- Saito T, Urushihara M, Kotani Y, Kagami S, Kobori H. Increased urinary angiotensinogen is precedent to increased urinary albumin in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Am J Med Sci* 2009; 338: 478-80.
- Kim SS, Song SH, Kim IJ, Yang JY, Lee JG, Kwak IS, *et al.* Clinical implication of urinary tubular markers in the early stage of nephropathy with type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; 97 : 251-7.
- Garg V, Kumar M, Mahapatra HS, Chitkara A, Gadpayle AK, Sekhar V. Novel urinary biomarkers in pre-diabetic nephropathy. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2015; 19: 895-900.
- Jeon YK, Kim MR, Huh JE, Mok JY, Song SH, Kim SS, et al. Cystatin C as an early biomarker of nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Korean Med Sci* 2011; 26: 258-63.
- Nielsen SE, Schjoedt KJ, Astrup AS, Tarnow L, Lajer M, Hansen PR, *et al.* Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) and Kidney Injury Molecule 1 (KIM1) in patients with diabetic nephropathy: A cross-sectional study and the effects of lisinopril. *Diabet Med* 2010; 27 : 1144-50.
- Satirapoj B, Siritaweesuk N, Supasyndh O. Urinary angiotensinogen as a potential biomarker of diabetic nephropathy. *Clin Kidney J* 2014; 7: 354-60.
- Sueud T, Hadi NR, Abdulameer R, Jamil DA, Al-Aubaidy HA. Assessing urinary levels of IL-18, NGAL and albumin creatinine ratio in patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2019; 13: 564-8.
- 13. Gross JL, de Azevedo MJ, Silveiro SP, Canani LH, Caramori ML, Zelmanovitz T. Diabetic nephropathy: Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28 : 164-76.
- Kidney Disease Quality Outcome Index. Clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2007; 49: S12-154.
- Mogensen CE, Christensen CK, Vittinghus E. The stages in diabetic renal disease. With emphasis on the stage of incipient diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes* 1983; 32 (Suppl 2): 64-78.
- 16. Chowta NK, Pant P, Chowta MN. Microalbuminuria in diabetes mellitus: Association with age, sex, weight, and creatinine clearance. *Indian J Nephrol* 2009; *19*: 53-6.
- Kundu D, Roy A, Mandal T, Bandyopadhyay U, Ghosh E, Ray D. Relation of microalbuminuria to glycosylated hemoglobin and duration of type 2 diabetes. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2013; *16*: 216-20.

- Moriya T, Tsuchiya A, Okizaki S, Hayashi A, Tanaka K, Shichiri M. Glomerular hyperfiltration and increased glomerular filtration surface are associated with renal function decline in normo- and microalbuminuric type 2 diabetes. *Kidney Int* 2012; *81*: 486-93.
- Yang YH, He XJ, Chen SR, Wang L, Li EM, Xu LY. Changes of serum and urine neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin in type-2 diabetic patients with nephropathy: One year observational follow-up study. *Endocrine* 2009; 36: 45-51.
- Kaul A, Behera MR, Rai MK, Mishra P, Bhaduaria DS, Yadav S, *et al.* Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin: As a predictor of early diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Nephrol* 2018; 28: 53-60.
- 21. Fu WJ, Li BL, Wang SB, Chen ML, Deng RT, Ye CQ, *et al.* Changes of the tubular markers in type 2 diabetes mellitus with glomerular hyperfiltration. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012; 95 : 105-9.
- 22. Satirapoj B, Pooluea P, Nata N, Supasyndh O. Urinary biomarkers of tubular injury to predict renal progression and end stage renal disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus with advanced nephropathy: A prospective cohort study. *J Diabetes Complications* 2019; *33* : 675-81.
- Assal HS, Tawfeek S, Rasheed EA, El-Lebedy D, Thabet EH. Serum cystatin C and tubular urinary enzymes as biomarkers of renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin Med Insights Endocrinol Diabetes* 2013; 6 : 7-13.
- Ba Aqeel S, Ye M, Wysocki J, Sanchez A, Khattab A, Lores E, et al. Urinary angiotensinogen antedates the development of stage 3 CKD in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Physiol Rep* 2019; 7 : e14242.
- Lautrette A, Li S, Alili R, Sunnarborg SW, Burtin M, Lee DC, et al. Angiotensin II and EGF receptor cross-talk in chronic kidney diseases: A new therapeutic approach. Nat Med 2005; 11: 867-74.
- Chen J, Chen JK, Neilson EG, Harris RC. Role of EGF receptor activation in angiotensin II-induced renal epithelial cell hypertrophy. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; *17*: 1615-23.
- Juretzko A, Steinbach A, Hannemann A, Endlich K, Endlich N, Friedrich N, *et al.* Urinary angiotensinogen and renin excretion are associated with chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Blood Press Res* 2017; *42*: 145-55.
- Vijay S, Hamide A, Senthilkumar GP, Mehalingam V. Utility of urinary biomarkers as a diagnostic tool for early diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2018; 12: 649-52.
- 29. Guo L, Zhao Y, Yong Z, Zhao W. Evaluation value of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin for the renal dysfunction of patients with chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Aging Med (Milton)* 2018; *1* : 185-96.
- 30. Fiseha T. Urinary biomarkers for early diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetic patients. *Biomark Res* 2015; *3* : 16.

For correspondence: Dr Himansu Sekhar Mahapatra, 307, Admn Block, PGIMER Building, Atal Bihari Vajpayee Institute of Medical Sciences & Dr R.M.L Hospital, New Delhi 110 001, India e-mail: hsmnephro@gmail.com