# Tre 1 GPCR initiates germ cell transepithelial migration by regulating Drosophila melanogaster E-cadherin 
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Despite significant progress in identifying the guidance pathways that control cell migration, how a cell starts to move within an intact organism, acquires motility, and loses contact with its neighbors is poorly understood. We show that activation of the $G$ protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) trapped in endoderm 1 (Tre 1) directs the redistribution of the $G$ protein $G \beta$ as well as adherens junction proteins and Rho guanosine triphosphatase from the cell periphery to the lagging tail of germ cells at the onset of Drosophila melanogaster germ cell migration. Subsequently, Trel activity triggers germ cell
dispersal and orients them toward the midgut for directed transepithelial migration. A transition toward invasive migration is also a prerequisite for metastasis formation, which often correlates with down-regulation of adhesion proteins. We show that uniform down-regulation of E-cadherin causes germ cell dispersal but is not sufficient for transepithelial migration in the absence of Tre 1. Our findings therefore suggest a new mechanism for GPCR function that links cell polarity, modulation of cell adhesion, and invasion.

## Introduction

Cell migration plays a very important role during a variety of processes such as development, immune defense, and metastasis (Franz et al., 2002; Horwitz and Webb, 2003; Ridley et al., 2003). The coordinated migration of different kinds of cells in space and time gives rise to the three germ layers and the threedimensional architecture of different organs and organisms. Cells of the immune system migrate through blood vessels and tissues to reach infected sites; and cancer cells migrate away from their tissues of origin to ectopic places during metastasis (Friedl and Wolf, 2003; Sahai, 2005). Thus far, the basic mech-

[^0]anisms of cell migration have been elucidated mostly from in vitro studies in solitary cells (Chung et al., 2001; Iijima et al., 2002; Ridley et al., 2003; Van Haastert and Devreotes, 2004). Cell migration in living, multicellular organisms, however, is likely much more complex (Rorth, 2002; Kunwar et al., 2006; Montell, 2006; Raz and Reichman-Fried, 2006). At the onset of directed migration, cells not only have to acquire motility but also have to be able to perceive specific, directional migration cues. During their journey, migrating cells may be required to detect and interpret multiple, possibly conflicting guidance cues, and must coordinate their adhesion to surrounding cells to reorient, pause, and move in a directed fashion while targets change. Finally, at the end, cells have to know when they have reached their target and cease their motility.

Significant progress has been made in identifying guidance molecules, receptors, and intracellular mediators that act during directed migration. G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) have been widely studied for their role in directional migration
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Figure 1. Live imaging of germ cell migration through the midgut in wild-type and tre 1 mutant embryos. (A-F) Migration of germ cells in the wild type. (A and C) Wild-type embryos at stages 9 and 10. Germ cells (anti-Vasa antibody, brown) form a tight cluster inside the midgut (dotted lines) at stage 9 (A), then disperse and migrate through the midgut to reach the basal side of the midgut cell layer at stage 10 (C). (B and D) High-magnification confocal images of the regions in the boxes in A and C, respectively, showing the midgut regions of stage 9 (B) and stage 10 embryos (D). Germ cells are shown in green, and the midgut cell membrane was detected with anti-neurotactin antibody (red). (E) Time-lapse analysis of germ cell migration during stages 9 and 10 with two-photon microscopy. Shown are still images from a time-lapse video (Video 3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/icb.200807049/DC1). Germ cells lose adhesion with other germ cells just before the onset of migration through the midgut (E 3 and 4). (F) Trajectory of germ cells shows radial dispersion. (G-K) Migration of germ cells in tre 1 mutants. ( $G$ and I) tre 1 mutant embryos at stages 9 and 10. tre 1 germ cells (brown) form a tight cluster in the midgut at stage $9(G)$ similar to the wild
(Doitsidou et al., 2002; Ara et al., 2003; Knaut et al., 2003; Kunwar and Lehmann, 2003; Molyneaux et al., 2003; Kunwar et al., 2006). Cells use GPCRs to detect and migrate toward higher concentrations of chemoattractants. Immune cells and germ cells, for example, express the chemokine receptor CXCR4 and follow the distribution of the chemokine SDF1 (stromal cell-derived factor 1; Doitsidou et al., 2002; Ara et al., 2003; Knaut et al., 2003; Kunwar and Lehmann, 2003; Molyneaux et al., 2003; Kunwar et al., 2006; Boldajipour et al., 2008).

Lymphocytes use sphingosine-1-phosphate receptors to egress from lymphoid tissues, where S1P levels are higher (Zou et al., 1998; Moser et al., 2004; Schwab et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2005). Despite significant progress in identifying the guidance molecules, receptors, and intracellular mediators that act during directed migration, the cellular and molecular mechanisms that initiate cell migration are only poorly understood. At the start of migration, cells need to acquire motility, may lose cell adhesion with neighboring cells, and are required to gain the ability to respond directionally to external cues. The detailed cellular transformations, the temporal sequence of these events, and the relative influence caused by intrinsic and extrinsic cell information are the focus of our study.

Drosophila melanogaster germ cells provide a genetically tractable system to visualize and follow individual germ cells as they start directed migration (Santos and Lehmann, 2004; Sano et al., 2005; Kunwar et al., 2006). The onset of directed germ cell migration coincides with the transepithelial migration of germ cells through the primordium of the future midgut. Evidence for a germ cell autonomous function for transepithelial migration came from the identification of a novel GPCR trapped in endoderm 1 (trel; Kunwar et al., 2003). Maternal trel RNA is present in germ cells, and trel function is required there. General cell motility and the movements of germ cells toward the gonad do not depend on Tre1, which suggests that Tre1 specifically regulates the onset of migration.

To understand the cellular mechanisms underlying the onset of directed migration, we used two-photon imaging to visualize the cellular transformations that occur in vivo as germ cells migrate through the midgut epithelium. Comparison of wild-type and trel mutant germ cells suggests that regulated activation of the Tre 1 GPCR controls three phases of early migration: polarization of germ cells, dispersal into individual cells, and transepithelial migration. Germ cell polarization leads to a redistribution of cell-cell adherens proteins, such that D. melanogaster E-cadherin (DE-cadherin) levels are reduced from the leading edge of the migrating cells and accumulate in the tail region. Tre 1 likely signals via the G proteins $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1$ and $\mathrm{G} \beta 13 \mathrm{f}$ as well as Rho-1, as we detect $\mathrm{G} \beta$ and Rho- 1 protein localization in the tail region, and deletion of their function specifically in germ cells causes the same phenotype as mutation in
tre 1. Our results suggest a novel function for GPCR signaling in initiating cell migration by polarizing the migrating cell. This polarization leads to the redistribution of signaling components and adherens proteins and may trigger cell dispersal and directed migration.

## Results

## Live imaging of early steps in germ cell migration

To visualize germ cell migration in developing embryos, we used two-photon microscopy and a germ cell-specific expression system, which translates the actin-binding domain of Moesin fused to EGFP under the control of nanos regulatory sequences (Sano et al., 2005). Germ cells appeared motile soon after their formation at the blastoderm stage (stage 5, 2 h and 10 min to 2 h and 50 min after egg laying [AEL]), as they produced small protrusions away from their neighbors (Video 1, available at http:// www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1). Despite this apparent motility, germ cells only rarely (1-2 germ cells per embryo) separated from their neighbors and migrated directly through the underlying blastoderm cells (Video 1). Subsequently, during gastrulation (stage 7-8, 3 h to 3 h and 40 min AEL), as germ cells were internalized together with the invaginating posterior midgut primordium, they rounded up and showed less protrusive activity (Video 2). At stage 9 (3 h and 40 min to 4 h and 20 min AEL), germ cells were found inside the midgut primordium in a tight cluster (Fig. 1, A and B); they were in close contact with each other and showed little contact with the surrounding somatic midgut cells (Fig. 1, A and E, 1 and 2; and Video 3). During this stage, germ cells started to reorganize, changed their shape, and took on a highly polarized morphology. Using electron microscopy, a radial organization of germ cells within the midgut was clearly visible, with the large germ cell nuclei pointed toward the midgut while fine membranous material, apparently corresponding to the tail region, filled the inside of the cluster (see Fig. 3 A ). This organization oriented the leading edge of each germ cell toward the surrounding midgut primordium. Next, the germ cells lost adhesion to each other, and extensions reached from the germ cells toward the midgut epithelium (Fig. 1 E , 3 and 4; and Fig. S1 A).

Subsequently, germ cells dispersed as they migrated through the midgut primordium to reach the basal side of the midgut cells by stage 10 ( 4 h and 20 min to 5 h and 20 min AEL; Fig. 1, C, D, and E 5). Long cytoplasmic extensions connected germ cells with each other immediately after the onset of transepithelial migration (Fig. S2 and Video 3, available at http://www.jcb .org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1). As germ cells transmigrated through the midgut epithelium, they appeared completely individualized, displayed amoeboid behavior, and were
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Figure 2. $\mathbf{G} \beta 13$ fand $\mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\gamma} 1$ act downstream of tre 1 in transepithelial migration. (A and $B$ ) Phenotype of maternal $G \beta 13 f$ and $G \gamma 1$ mutants. Loss of maternal $G \beta 13 f$ and $G \gamma 1$ results in gastrulation defects and prevents normal germ cell migration. (C) A strategy to rescue the gastrulation phenotype by overexpression of $G$ proteins in the somatic tissue. In the wild type, the product of the $G$ protein $X$ (green) is provided maternally in germ cells and the soma, and is lost from both tissues in maternal $G$ protein $X$ mutants. $G$ protein $X$ product is restored only in the soma by using a soma-specific Gal4 transgene, nulloGAL4 (yellow), which binds to UAS to turn on transcription in the soma but not in germ cells. (D-O) Phenotype of germ cell migration in the wild type (D-F) and maternal $G \beta 13 f(G-I)$ and $G \gamma 1(J-L)$ mutants with somatic rescue. In these mutants, germ cells (brown, anti-Vasa antibody) display a transepithelial migration defect similar to tre 1 mutants (M-O). Embryos are oriented anterior to the left, lateral views except for stage 13 embryos, which are oriented dorsally. Bar, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
polarized with a broad lagging edge and actin localized at the leading edge (Fig. 1, D and E 5; Fig. S2; and Videos S3 and S4). On average, individual germ cells transmigrated the midgut within 40 min from the onset of polarization. Tracking of individual germ cells showed that they dispersed radially and transmigrated in all directions through the pocket of the midgut epithelium (Fig. 1 F). After transmigration, germ cells reoriented on the midgut toward the dorsal side of the embryo, sorted into two bilateral groups, and migrated toward the gonadal mesoderm, which forms on either side of the embryo, as described previously (Sano et al., 2005).

## Tre 1 GPCR signaling is required for germ

 cell polarization and dispersalTrel encodes an orphan GPCR that is required maternally in germ cells for their migration through the midgut epithelium (Kunwar et al., 2003). In embryos from tre1 mutant females, (hereafter referred to as "mutant embryos"), germ cells failed to cross the midgut epithelium (Fig. 1, G-J). This phenotype could result from a defect in the acquisition of motility by germ cells or in their ability to polarize, disperse, or transmigrate. To dis-
tinguish between these possibilities, we observed trel mutant germ cells live by in vivo imaging. At stage 5, tre 1 germ cells showed small protrusions and sporadically crossed the blastoderm with a similar frequency to the wild type (unpublished data; Kunwar et al., 2003). In striking difference to the wild type, however, the trel germ cell cluster did not reorganize at stage 9 and failed to transmigrate to the midgut (compare Fig. 1 K , 1-6, to Fig. 1 E, 1-5; Video 5, available at http://www.jcb.org/ cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1). Mutant germ cells did not polarize, and remained in a tight, disorganized group in which germ cells failed to interact with the surrounding midgut cells (see Figs. 3 B and S1).

## G proteins are downstream mediators of Tre1 signaling

To begin to understand how Tre1, an orphan GPCR, initiates germ cell migration, we asked whether Tre 1 function was mediated by trimeric G protein activation in germ cells (Table S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1). We found that only a single $\mathrm{G} \gamma(\mathrm{G} \gamma 1)$ and a single $\mathrm{G} \beta(\mathrm{G} \beta 13 \mathrm{f})$ subunit are provided maternally (Table S1; Fuse et al., 2003).

Loss of maternal G $\beta 13 \mathrm{f}$ or $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1$ function causes defects in gastrulation, which precluded an immediate analysis of germ cell migration (Fig. 2, A and B; Fuse et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005). However, we were able to rescue the gastrulation defect through early zygotic, soma-specific expression of the respective G protein (see Materials and methods and Fig. 2 C). This genetic manipulation allowed us to test for a germ cell-specific role of these G proteins, as early D. melanogaster germ cells are transcriptionally silent, and germ cells thus depend completely on the maternally provided G proteins. In embryos rescued for the gastrulation defect, $G \beta 13 f$ mutant germ cells were unable to disperse and migrate through the midgut epithelium, and thus resembled the trel phenotype (Fig. 2, G-I). $G \gamma 1$ mutants showed a similar although slightly weaker phenotype likely caused by residual function of the $G \gamma 1^{N 159}$ allele used, which lacks the C-terminal isoprenylation site required for membrane anchoring (Fig. 2, J-L; Izumi et al., 2004). These results suggest that germ cell transepithelial migration requires Tre1mediated canonical $G$ protein signaling.

For $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ proteins, we focused in particular on the role of the single $D$. melanogaster $\mathrm{G} \alpha 12 / 13 \mathrm{~A}$ homologue, encoded by concertina (cta), because this subfamily of $G$ proteins has been shown to regulate cell migration and metastatic invasion and to directly interact with E-cadherin and Rho1 (Table S1; Huber et al., 2005; Kelly et al., 2006a,b). Cta protein is present in the germ cells and maternal loss of cta causes a gastrulation defect similar to $G \beta 13 f$ and $G \gamma 1$ (Parks and Wieschaus, 1991). Again, we were able to rescue the gastrulation phenotype by early, somatic Cta expression, as described for $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1$ and $\mathrm{G} \beta 13 \mathrm{f}$ (Fig. 2 C ). In contrast to our findings with $G \beta$ and $G \gamma$ mutants, however, cta mutant germ cells migrated normally to the gonad (Table S1). To confirm this result, we transplanted mutant cta germ cells derived from cta mutant mothers into wild-type embryos. We found that cta germ cells migrated to the gonad with similar efficiency as transplanted wild-type control germ cells (unpublished data). Thus, G $\alpha 12 / 13$ does not act as the sole mediator of Tre1 GPCR activation. Our analysis of the available mutants in other $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ proteins did not reveal a single $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ protein that mediates the Tre1 signal, which perhaps indicates that redundant or overlapping functions of $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ proteins act downstream of Tre1 (for details see Table S1).

## G $\beta 13$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\gamma} 1$ signaling is required for germ cell polarization, dispersal, and transepithelial migration

Our observation that both $\mathrm{G} \beta 13 \mathrm{f}$ and $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1$ are required for germ cell dispersal and transepithelial migration suggests that Tre1 function in germ cells is mediated by a G protein-dependent pathway, akin to the requirement for GPCR signaling seen during the directed migration of Dictyostelium discoideum amoeba and in neutrophils toward a chemokine gradient. To determine how Tre1 signaling may affect downstream components, we analyzed the localization of G $\beta 13 \mathrm{f}$ protein as well as the localization of Rho1, which we had previously shown to affect germ cell transepithelial migration in wild-type and trel mutant germ cells (Kunwar et al., 2003). We found that Gß13f and Rhol proteins were localized uniformly along the cell membrane of wild-
type germ cells at the blastoderm stage (stage 5; Fig. 3, C, D, K, and L). At stage 9, as wild-type germ cells polarize, Gß13f and Rho1 proteins were down-regulated along the germ cell membranes facing the midgut, and became highly enriched in the tail region (Fig. 3, E, F, M, and N). In early germ cells, Gß13f and Rhol proteins were uniformly distributed in trel mutants similar to the wild type (Fig. 3, G, H, O, and P); in contrast to the wild type, however, this uniform distribution persisted during stage 9 (Fig. 3, I, J, Q, and R). These results suggest that Tre 1 receptor activation leads to germ cell polarization in part by causing the redistribution of downstream signaling molecules away from the leading edge and accumulation in the tail.

## Tre1 GPCR signaling controls localization of DE-Cadherin

As shown in Fig. 1, trel mutant germ cells failed to disperse at the onset of the migration, which suggests that trel regulates adhesion molecules in germ cells. DE-cadherin is a good candidate, as it is deposited maternally in the early embryo. We first tested the role of DE-cadherin in the adhesion of wild-type germ cells. For this analysis, we used a newly identified partial loss-of-function allele of $D$. melanogaster E-cadherin encoded by the shotgun (shg) gene, which allows normal oogenesis (see Materials and methods; Tepass et al., 1996; Uemura et al., 1996). In embryos derived from $\operatorname{sh} g^{A 9-49}$ mutant ovaries, germ cells did not organize into a radial cluster (Fig. 4, G and H). Instead, germ cells separated from one another prematurely, at early stage 8 ( 3 h and 10 min to 3 h and 40 min AEL) compared with stage 10 in the wild type ( 4 h and 20 min to 5 h and 20 min AEL; Fig. 4, A-F). This dispersal phenotype was observed in embryos from homozygous germ line clones, in which embryonic patterning defects were rescued by a wild-type $s h g^{+}$copy from the father $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-} \mathrm{Z}^{+}\right)$. This suggests that DE-cadherin is required autonomously in germ cells, as they are transcriptionally quiescent and thus likely depend exclusively on maternally contributed DE-cadherin (Van Doren et al., 1998; Martinho et al., 2004). These results indicate that DE-cadherin is required for germ cell-germ cell adhesion in the wild-type embryo.

To understand how DE-cadherin is regulated in the dispersal step, we analyzed the distribution of DE-cadherin in wildtype germ cells. We found that DE-cadherin as well as $\alpha$ and $\beta$ catenins were initially uniformly present along the germ cell membrane but became enriched in the tail region during germ cell polarization (Fig. 5, A-C and G-I; and Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1). In stark contrast, DE-cadherin remained uniformly distributed along the cell surface in trel mutant embryos (Fig. 5, D-F and $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{L})$. To quantitate the levels, we compared the fluorescent intensity of DE-cadherin staining on the cell membrane of wildtype and trel mutant germ cells. We found that DE-cadherin was distributed uniformly and that levels were similar in wildtype and mutant germ cells at stage 5, before migration, whereas the levels were reduced along the leading edge membrane of wild-type germ cells compared with trel mutant germ cells at stage 9 (Fig. 5 M ). These results suggest that trel activation leads to a reduction of DE-cadherin along the leading edge and restricts it in the tail region.


Figure 3. Trel regulates germ cell polarization and $\mathbf{G}$ protein localization. (A and B) Electron micrograph images of wild-ype and tre 1 germ cells at stage 9 . Germ cells were identified by the presence of a large nucleus and the lack of white lipid droplets. (A) In wild-type embryos at stage 9, germ cells are organized into a group with little interaction with the surrounding midgut. Germ cells display polarized morphology, with their nuclei facing the midgut and their tails toward the center of the cluster. (B) In tre 1 mutants at stage 9, germ cells are not well organized into a radial cluster and are not polarized like the wild type. ( $(-J) G \beta 13 f$ protein localization in the wild type and tre 1 mutants. At stage $5, G \beta 13 f$ protein (red) is uniformly distributed along the cell surface in wild-type ( $C$ and $D$ ) and tre 1 mutant ( $G$ and $H$ ) germ cells (green). At stage $9, G \beta 13 f$ protein is localized to the tail region of wild-type germ cells (E and F) but is uniformly distributed in tre 1 mutant ( $I$ and J) germ cells ( $G \beta 13$ channel shown in $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}$, and J). ( $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R}$ ) Rhol protein localization in the wild type and tre 1 mutants. At stage 5 , Rhol protein (red) is uniformly distributed along the cell surface in wild-type ( K and L ) and tre 1 mutant ( O and P ) germ cells (green). At stage 9, Rhol protein is localized to the tail region of wild-type germ cells ( $M$ and $N$ ) but is uniformly distributed in tre 1 mutant ( $Q$ and $R$ ) germ cells (Rhol channel shown in L, P, N, and R). Germ cells are visualized by anti-Vasa antibody (green). Bars, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 4. DE-cadherin is required for germ cell-germ cell adhesion. (A, C, and E) Wildtype germ cells (brown) form a tight cluster inside the posterior midgut primordium (dotted lines) during stage 8-9 (A and C). Germ cells disperse just before the onset of migration at stage $10(E)$. (B, D, and F) In maternal shg ${ }^{\text {A9-49 }}$ mutants, germ cells precociously disperse within the midgut, yet they do not initiate premature transmigration ( $B$ and $D$ ). $s^{2} g^{A 9-49} \mathrm{mu}-$ tant germ cells have a slight delay in crossing the midgut, and $38 \%$ of embryos displayed partial or no transmigration of germ cells at stage $10(n=39$; arrow in F). (G and H) High-magnification confocal images of germ cells in wild-type ( G ) and shg ${ }^{\text {A9-49 }}$ mutants (H) at stage 9. Note the lack of clear polarization and radial organization in $s h g^{A 9-49}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{-}, \mathrm{z}^{+}\right)$ mutant germ cells (H). Germ cells were labeled with anti-Vasa antibody (green), and nuclei were labeled with DAPI (blue). Embryos in A, $B, E$, and $F$ are oriented anterior to the left, lateral view. Embryos in C and D are oriented anterior to the left, dorsal view. Bars, (F) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (H) $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Reduction in germ cell-germ cell adhesion is necessary but not sufficient for

## transepithelial migration

In shg mutants, early dispersal of germ cells did not lead to premature migration through the midgut, as would be expected if release of germ cell-germ cell adhesion via E-cadherin was the only trigger for transepithelial migration. Instead, shg mutant germ cells moved through the midgut slightly later during stage 10 than wild-type germ cells. This delay phenotype is less penetrant ( $38 \%, n=39$ ) compared with the precocious dispersal phenotype $(93 \%, n=30)$ and could be caused by an impaired ability of the $s h g^{A 9-49}$ mutant germ cell to migrate at this and subsequent stages (see also Fig. S4, available at http://www.jcb .org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200807049/DC1).

To test directly if Tre1 acts via DE-cadherin in transepithelial migration, we generated embryos that lacked trel and maternal $\operatorname{sh} g^{A 9-49}$ function. The germ cells in these embryos dispersed early, thus displaying a phenotype similar to $\operatorname{sh} g^{A 9-49} \mathrm{mu}-$ tants (compare Fig. 6, C and D, to Fig. 4, B, D, and F); $80 \%$ of trel, $s h g^{A 9-49}$ double mutant embryos showed precocious dispersal as opposed to $0 \%$ in the trel mutant embryos $(n=42)$. However, even these dispersed germ cells were not able to transmigrate through the midgut in tre $1, s h g^{A 9-49}$ double mutant embryos, thereby resembling trel mutant germ cells (Fig. 6, A-D).

This suggests that loss of germ cell-germ cell contact may not be sufficient to trigger transepithelial migration. To test this idea further, we disrupted germ cell-germ cell contact independent of E-cadherin function by reducing the germ cell number. We used alleles of the maternal effect gene tudor (tud) to reduce the number of germ cells in the embryo to a single germ cell (Arkov et al., 2006). Such single, tud mutant germ cells migrated through the midgut and invariably reached the gonad ( $100 \%$, $n=10$; Fig. 6, E and F). These germ cells had normal morphology and appeared polarized (Fig. 6, G-I). Next, we analyzed mutant embryos lacking both trel and maternal tud. In the absence of trel, single germ cells were left inside the midgut and did not migrate to the gonad $(84 \%, n=38$; Fig. 6, J and K). Thus, whereas germ cell individualization requires Tre1-mediated down-regulation of DE-cadherin, Trel activity has additional roles in transepithelial migration.

## Discussion

We have used live imaging to explore the mechanisms by which germ cells acquire motility and traverse the midgut epithelium. We found that before transepithelial migration, germ cells polarize toward the midgut and down-regulate E-cadherin from the leading edge and accumulate E-cadherin in the tail region.

Figure 5. trel regulates DE-cadherin localization in the tail of germ cells. (A-F) DE-cadherin localization in wild-type and tre 1 germ cells at stage 5 Embryos were stained with anti-DE-cadherin (red) and anti-Vasa antibodies (green). DE-cadherin is distributed uniformly in the germ cell membrane in the wild type ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ ) and tre 1 mutants (D-F). (G-L) DE-cadherin localization in wild-type and trel germ cells at stage 9. In wild-type germ cells, DE-cadherin is enriched in the tail region (G-II). In tre 1 mutant germ cells, DE-cadherin is evenly dis tributed in the cell membrane ( $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{L}$ ). Vasa channel is shown in $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}$, and K , and the DE-cadherin channel is shown in $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{I}$, and L . (M) Quantifica tion of DE-cadherin levels in the cell body membrane of stage 5 and 9 germ cells. Normalized intensity of the DE-cadherin staining is shown (see Materials and methods). DE-cadherin levels are similar in wild-type and tre 1 germ cells at stage 5 At stage 9, DE-cadherin levels in tre 1 mutant germ cells are significantly higher than wild-type cells. Error bars indicate standard error. Bar, $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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This polarization requires Trel GPCR activity. We propose that GPCR-mediated polarization triggers germ cell dispersal and orients germ cells toward the midgut for directed transepithelial migration.

A requirement for GPCR signaling during the directed migration toward a chemokine gradient has been described in detail in D. discoideum amoeba and in mammalian neutrophils.





Figure 6. Reduction of DE-cadherin function or adhesion between germ cells does not rescue the tre 1 phenotype. (A and B) In tre 1 mutants, germ cells (brown) form a tight cluster inside the midgut (white dotted lines in A-F, J, and K) during stages 8-11. (C and D) In trel and maternal shg ${ }^{A 9-49}$ double mutants, germ cells disperse within the midgut ( $C$, arrow); however, they are not able to migrate through the midgut ( $D$, arrow). ( E and $F$ ) Single germ cells in maternal tud ${ }^{A 36-38}$ mutants cross the midgut normally ( E , arrow) to reach the gonad ( F , yellow dotted circle). (G-l) Polarity of single germ cells. Maternal tud ${ }^{A 36-38}$ mutant embryos were stained with anti-Vasa (green) and anti-DE-cadherin antibodies (red). Single germ cells display a polarized morphology with a tail at stage 9. Vasa channel is shown in H, and the DE-cadherin channel is shown in I. (J and K) Single germ cells (arrows) in tre 1 and maternal tud ${ }^{A 36 \cdot 38}$ double mutants fail to migrate through the midgut. Embryos are oriented anterior to the left, lateral view in all panels, except for embryos in A and C, which are oriented dorsally. Bars: (G) $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (K) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

The events underlying signal transduction leading to the polarization of migrating cells have been worked out extensively in these cells. The first localized response to receptor activation is the enrichment of the activated $G$ protein $\beta \gamma$ subunits, which results in the activation of phosphoinositide 3 (PI3) kinase. As a consequence of chemokine sensing, the PI3 kinase product phosphatidylinositide 3,4,5-tris phosphate (PIP3) becomes localized to the leading edge, and the phosphatase PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) moves to the lagging edge in a Rho dependent manner (for review see Affolter and Weijer, 2005). These signaling events organize the cytoskeleton leading to cellular polarization and di-
rectional movement. Our studies suggest a new mechanism by which GPCR signaling initiates directed cell migration. We find that activation of Tre1 causes a redistribution of $G$ protein $\beta$, the GTPase Rho1, DE-cadherin, and other adherens junction components to a small region in the tail of the germ cells. The decrease in DE-cadherin from the leading edge of germ cells causes a loss of adhesion across the broad leading edge of the germ cells and causes germ cell polarization toward the midgut. This localization event may thereby convert an adherent group of cells into directionally migrating individuals. Tre1 belongs to a family of GPCRs that includes Moody in D. melanogaster and GPR84 in
mouse and human (Bainton et al., 2005; Bouchard et al., 2007). Based on our results with Tre1, this family may act to regulate cellular polarity and adhesion, a view in line with the proposed function of Moody in epithelial morphology at the blood-brain barrier, and with GPR84, which was recently described to be upregulated in microglia upon infection (Schwabe et al., 2005; Bouchard et al., 2007).

How could Tre1 activation cause DE-cadherin redistribution? Regulation of E-cadherin is widely attributed to play an important role in metastasis and in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition that occurs during gastrulation and neural crest migration. In these systems, it has been proposed that E-cadherin is regulated by transcriptional repression or by $\mathrm{G} \alpha 12 / 13$-mediated uptake and turnover (Huber et al., 2005; Kelly et al., 2006a,b). Our data suggest the presence of a different mode of regulation, as neither transcriptional regulation nor G $\alpha 12 / 13$ activity seem to be required for the regulation of DE-cadherin in germ cells. An attractive mechanism for DE-cadherin down-regulation could be the control of its endocytosis by Tre1. During zebrafish gastrulation, Rab GTPases have been shown to control E-cadherin turnover and the adhesion of mesendodermal cells (Ulrich et al., 2005). A role for Rab proteins in germ cell migration has yet to be demonstrated. We find the same localization pattern for Gß13f, Rho1, and DE-cadherin in the wild type, and this pattern is disrupted in trel mutant germ cells. This suggests a role for G protein and Rho1 activation in the polarization of DEcadherin in germ cells.

Tre1 also affects transepithelial migration independently of global DE-cadherin regulation. We show that uniform downregulation of DE-cadherin or loss of germ cell-germ cell contact in single cells are neither sufficient to trigger precocious transepithelial migration in the wild type nor able to suppress the trel transepithelial migration phenotype. One possibility is that the localized activation of Tre1 and polarized down-regulation of DE-cadherin at the leading edge would orient germ cells radially toward the midgut. This radial orientation would allow germ cells to respond to additional guidance cues required for directed transepithelial migration. Although these additional guidance cues may not depend on DE-cadherin, they require G protein signaling and Tre1.

A function for E-cadherin in controlling adhesion and migration has been studied extensively in the progression of tumor metastasis and the development of epithelial-mesenchymal transitions (EMTs; Radisky, 2005; Thiery and Sleeman, 2006). Cells undergoing metastasis and EMTs express lower levels of E-cadherin, and the loss of E-cadherin promotes invasion of tumor cells (Yang et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2006). The loss of E-cadherin in these cases promotes the disruption of E-cadherinmediated cell adhesion between epithelial cells, allowing these cells to spread and migrate, and is often triggered through induction of the transcriptional repressors Twist and Snail in response to inductive signals (Yang et al., 2004). However, in the case of germ cell dispersal, the effect of Tre 1 on DE-cadherin is not transcriptional because DE-cadherin is provided maternally in the germ cells. Our data suggest that Tre1 GPCR signaling might regulate the turnover or cellular distribution of DE-cadherinmediated adhesion complexes in a polarized fashion. It is pos-
sible that in addition to transcriptional mechanisms, such a polarized regulation also functions during EMT and metastasis.

## Materials and methods

## Fly stocks and genetics

$\mathrm{P}_{\text {nos }}:$ : $:$ gfp-moe:: $:$ nos $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region was used to label germ cells with EGFP for live imaging (Sano et al., 2005). tre $1^{\triangle E P 5}$ is a deletion lacking the first exons of the trel and the Gr5a genes (Ueno et al., 2001; Kunwar et al., 2003). The polarity defect in trel germ cells was rescued by a genomic fragment including the tre 1 gene but not the $\mathrm{Gr} 5 a$ gene. tud ${ }^{A 36 \cdot 38}$ was identified in a maternal-effect screen on the 2R chromosome (Barbosa et al., 2007). tud ${ }^{436 \cdot 38}$ females produce embryos with reduced numbers of germ cells. $G \beta 13^{\wedge 15}$ was generated by imprecise excision of $1(1)$ G0369 and is a null allele deleting the open reading frame of the $G \beta 13 f$ gene (provided by N. Fuse, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; Fuse et al., 2003). $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1^{1159}$ is a nonsense mutation in the $\mathrm{G} \gamma 1$ gene (provided by F. Matsuzaki, Center for Developmental Biology, Riken, Kobe, Japan; Izumi et al., 2004). Upstream activation sequence (UAS)-G $13 f$ and UAS-G $\gamma 1$ were used to rescue the gastrulation defects in maternal $G \beta 13 f$ and $G \gamma 1$ mutants (Fuse et al., 2003). UAS-cta used to rescue the gastrulation defects in maternal concertina mutants was provided by N. Fuse. nullo-GAL4 flies were obtained from E. Wieschaus (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ) and W. Gehring (Biozentrum, Basel, Switzerland; Kunwar et al., 2003). shg ${ }^{A 9-49}$ was isolated in a 2R maternal-effect screen (Barbosa et al., 2007). shg ${ }^{49.49}$ was identified as an allele of shg based on noncomplementation for lethality of shg ${ }^{P 341}$ and shg ${ }^{1 H}$, and the deficiencies Exel 16071 and Exel16072, which uncover only shg (Tepass et al., 1996; Uemura et al., 1996). Sequencing of the genomic DNA identified a missense mutation in the second extracellular cadherin domain (K336E) in the shg ${ }^{49.49}$ allele. shg ${ }^{49.49}$ germ line clones were induced by the flipase recombination target (FLP) OvoD method (Chou and Perrimon, 1996). To generate shg ${ }^{99.49}$ germ line clones in a tre 1 mutant background, hs-flp 22 on the $\times$ chromosome was recombined with tre $l^{\Delta \text { SPP }}$.

## Live imaging

Live imaging was performed as described previously (Sano et al., 2005). Embryos were collected at room temperature $\left(22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and dechorionated with $50 \%$ bleach for 5 min . The dechorionated embryos were mounted in Halocarbon 200 oil (Halocarbon) on an oxygen permeable membrane (YSL Inc.) and covered with a $1.5-\mu \mathrm{M}$ coverslip. Images were acquired in multiphoton system (Radiance; BioRad Laboratories) with a microscope (Eclipse E600FN; Nikon) and a 10-W pumped Tsunami laser (Newport Corp.) controlled by Laser Sharp software (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Objectives used were $40 \times$ (Plan Fluor, water, 0.75 NA; Nikon) and 60x (Plan Apo, water, 1.2 NA; Nikon). The time-lapse images were processed to make videos using the Volocity 2.6.1 software (Improvision). Migratory paths of germ cells were traced manually using Photoshop soffware (Adobe).

## Electron microscopy

A detailed procedure for electron microscopy has been described previously (Arkov et al., 2006). 1-h egg layings were aged for 4-5 hat room temperature before fixation. The stage and orientation of the embryo were determined in $2-\mu \mathrm{m}$ semithin sections. Ultrathin ( $80-\mathrm{nm}$ ) sections were cut on an ultramicrotome (Ultracut UCT; Reichert), stained with uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate, and viewed on a transmission electron microscope (1200EX; JEOL Ltd.) at 80 kV .

## Immunohistochemistry

Antibody staining of embryos was performed as described previously (Stein et al., 2002), except for anti-DE-cadherin staining, in which we fixed embryos with calcium and devitellinized embryos with ethanol (Oda et al., 1993). The following antibodies were used (dilutions follow in parenthesis): rabbit anti-Vasa (1:10,000; provided by A. Williamson and H. Zinszner, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY), rat anti-DEcadherin (DCAD2; 1:50; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-G $\beta 13 f(1: 500$; provided by N. Fuse; Fuse et al., 2003), Rhol antibody, and mouse anti-neurotactin (BP106; 1:200; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank). For staining with anti-neurotactin, embryos were heat-fixed as described previously (Eldon and Pirrotta, 1991; Stein et al., 2002). Secondary antibodies used were: biotinylated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:500; Inviitrogen), Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rat
antibody (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse antibody (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). DAPI staining was done after the secondary antibody reaction (1:1,000; Roche). Antibody detection for the biotinylated antibody was performed with the Vectastain Elite ABC Standard kit (Vector Laboratories). Embryos were observed with an Axiophoto microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) and were photographed with a charge-coupled device camera (14.2 Color Mosaic; Insight 4; Diagnostics Instruments, Inc.) using Spot version 4.5 software (Diagnostics Instruments, Inc.). Fluorescent signal was observed with a confocal microscope (LSM510; Carl Zeiss, Inc.).

## Quantification of the DE-cadherin staining

Wild-type and tre 1 mutant embryos were stained with anti-DE-cadherin and anti-Vasa antibodies. Embryos were scanned with an LSM510 confocal microscope, and the intensity of the DE-cadherin staining in the germ cell membrane was measured with LSM510 soffware. The intensity of DE-cadherin staining in the germ cell membrane was normalized by an internal standard, namely the intensity of DE-cadherin at the apical membrane of polarized somatic cells (blastoderm cells for stage 5 or posterior midgut cells for stage 9), which seemed unaffected in tre 1. An arbitrary intensity scale, set as 1 for the intensity of the internal standard, was used in Fig. 5. Four germ cells and two midgut areas were analyzed per embryo, and 14 wild-type and 15 tre 1 mutant embryos were analyzed each for stages 5 and 9 in Fig. 5 M .

## Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows electron micrographs demonstrating germ cell dispersal, interaction between germ cells and posterior midgut in the wild-type embryo, and tight association between germ cells, as well as the failure of germ cells to interact with midgut in tre 1 mutant. Fig. S2 shows still images of a video showing dispersal and amoeboid migration of wild-type germ cells at the onset of transepithelial migration. Fig. S3 show that, like E-cadherin, $\beta$-catenin and $\alpha$-catenin also accumulate in the tail of wild-type germ cells at stage 9. Fig. S4 shows additional phenotypes of shg $^{49.49}$ during later stages of embryogenesis, when germ cells separate into two bilateral clusters and associate with the somatic gonad. Table S1 summarizes the expression patterns of the $D$. melanogaster $\mathrm{G} \alpha, \mathrm{G} \beta$, and $\mathrm{G} \gamma$ proteins and describes the respective loss-offfunction phenotypes in general and in germ cells. Video 1 shows behavior of wild-type germ cells during the blastoderm stage. Video 2 shows behavior of wild-type germ cells during the gastrulation stage. Video 3 shows polarization and transepithelial migration of germ cells in a wild-type embryo. Video 4 shows the transepithelial migration of germ cells with long extensions in a wild-type embryo. Video 5 shows transepithelial migration of germ cells in an embryo from a tre 1 mutant female. Online supplemental material is available at htrp://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/ jcb.200807049/DC1.
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[^1]:    type. However, tre 1 mutant germ cells are unable to disperse and remain in the midgut (I). High-magnification confocal images of regions in the boxes in $G$ and I, respectively, showing the midgut regions of stage $9(\mathrm{H})$ and stage 10 tre 1 mutant embryos (J). Germ cells are shown in green and the membranes of the midgut cells are labeled in red. (K) Still images of tre 1 mutant embryo during stages 9 and 10 from time-lapse analysis shown in Video 5. Germ cells are motile and change their positions; however, they are not able to disperse and remain in a tight group in the midgut. Embryos are oriented anterior to the left, dorsal view in A-D and G-J. Embryos in E and K are oriented anterior to the top, dorsal view. Bars, $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

