DOI: 10.1002/ccr3.3495

CASE REPORT

Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor-producing uterine cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy: A case report with mutation analysis and literature review

Shintaro Shiba^{1,2} | Takahiro Oike^{1,2} | Ken Ando¹ | Yuya Yoshimoto³ | Yoshiyasu Takayama⁴ | Tatsuya Ohno¹

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Gunma University Graduate School of Medicine, Maebashi, Japan

²Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center, Maebashi, Japan

³Department of Radiation Oncology, School of Medicine, Fukushima Medical University, Hikarigaoka, Japan

⁴Department of Pathology, Gunma University Hospital, Maebashi, Japan

Correspondence

Takahiro Oike, Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center, 3-39-22, Showa-machi, Maebashi, Gunma, 371-8511, Japan. Email: oiketakahiro@gmail.com

Funding information JSPS KAKENHI, Grant/Award Number: Grant Number 16H06279 (PAGS)

1 **INTRODUCTION**

Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF)-producing uterine cervical cancer (UCC) is a rare aggressive disease. We report a case of G-CSF-producing UCC treated with chemoradiotherapy. Target-capture sequencing results indicate that G-CSF-producing UCC is genetically distinct from other UCCs, warranting further research to establish specific treatment strategies.

UCC is a common cancer that causes >311 000 deaths worldwide each year.¹ Advances in radiotherapy and chemotherapy have markedly improved the treatment outcomes of UCC.² Translational research efforts have provided information on the biological properties of tumors associated with treatment responses in patients with UCC,³⁻⁵ which may help to personalize treatment strategies.

Although UCC is a common cancer, a subset that produces G-CSF is rare. G-CSF-producing UCCs are highly aggressive.⁶⁻¹³ However, specific patterns of care for G-CSF-producing UCCs have not been established, and treatment outcomes remain unknown because of the limited number of reported cases. Moreover, the mutation profile of G-CSF-producing UCC has not been analyzed. Here, we report a case of G-CSF-producing UCC, and the results of mutational analysis and literature review.

Abstract

Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor-producing uterine cervical cancer is a rare aggressive disease, which may be genetically distinct from other uterine cervical cancers.

KEYWORDS

granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, radiotherapy, somatic mutations, uterine cervical cancer

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2020 The Authors. Clinical Case Reports published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

2 | CASE REPORT

A 43-year-old Japanese woman with UCC was referred to the Department of Radiation Oncology from the Department of Gynecology for definitive radiotherapy. Histological analysis of the biopsy specimen showed the presence of tumor cells with enlarged nuclei and pale-to-clear cytoplasm exhibiting sheet-like growth and stromal infiltration (Figure 1). Immunohistochemical analysis of the biopsy specimen showed positivity for p16, p53, and p63, leading to the diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma. In line with the pathological diagnosis, the patient showed high levels of serum squamous carcinoma antigen (SCC, 19.0 ng/mL; normal, <1.5 ng/mL). Genotyping of the biopsy specimen showed positivity for human papillomavirus (HPV) type 31. Bimanual pelvic examination revealed bilateral parametrium involvement not reaching the pelvic sidewalls and the absence of vaginal involvement. Magnetic resonance imaging detected a tumor ($61 \times 60 \times 75$ mm) located in the uterine cervix with slightly increased intensity on T2-weighted images extending to the bilateral parametria (Figure 2A,B). Computed tomography (CT) showed lymphadenopathy in the external iliac, common iliac, and para-aortic lymph node (PALN) regions (Figure 3A-C). 2-deoxy-2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-Dglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET) showed abnormal FDG uptake in the primary tumor (Figure 2C) and in the involved lymph nodes (Figure 3D-F). The CT and FDG-PET examinations showed no evidence of metastasis to distant organ sites. The patient was diagnosed as stage IIB based on the 2008 definition by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

The patient had a high-grade fever (39.1 °C) with no symptoms of infection. Blood tests showed a high white blood cell (WBC) count (28.3 × 10^3 /mm³; normal, 3.0-9.0 × 10^3 /mm³) and high levels of serum C-reactive protein (CRP, 6.21 mg/



FIGURE 1 Hematoxylin-eosin staining of biopsied tumor tissue (×400)

dL; normal, <1.5 mg/dL). Immature granulocytes suggestive of leukemia were not detected in the peripheral blood. Serum G-CSF was abnormally high (323 pg/mL; normal, <39 pg/mL). Based on these data, the clinical diagnosis was G-CSF-producing tumor.

The patient received concurrent chemoradiotherapy using cisplatin. Radiotherapy consisted of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT). EBRT was administered as follows: (a) whole pelvic irradiation with 50 Gy in 25 fractions (the last 20 Gy was delivered using a central shielding technique); (b) prophylactic irradiation targeting PALN regions at a dose of 40 Gy in 20 fractions; and (c) boost irradiation to the enlarged lymph nodes (8 Gy in four fractions for pelvic lymph nodes and 16 Gy in eight fractions for PALNs). ICBT was delivered at a dose of 24 Gy in four fractions. Cisplatin (40 mg/m²) was administered weekly for a total of three cycles starting on day 29 after improvement of the fever and inflammatory response. The patient completed the radiotherapy regimen as planned (Figure 4). The overall treatment time was 49 days.

After completion of the treatment, the tumor achieved almost complete response as assessed by the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (version 1.1) (Figure 5). Serum G-CSF and SCC levels improved to within-normal limits (36.5 pg/mL and 0.8 ng/mL, respectively). The patient experienced the following acute adverse effects as assessed by the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects (version 4.0): nausea (Grade 3), leukopenia (Grade 3), neutropenia (Grade 3), diarrhea (Grade 2), anemia (Grade 2), and thrombocytopenia (Grade 1). These adverse effects improved to Grade 0 within 2 months after completion of the treatment.

The patient developed a fever (38.3°C) 2 months after completion of the treatment. CT examination showed multiple metastases to a left supraclavicular lymph node, the liver, and the lung (Figure 6). The patient was considered ineligible for chemotherapy because of the high inflammatory response (CRP, 18.30 mg/dL) and was provided with best supportive care. CT performed 4 months after completion of the treatment showed no evidence of infield recurrence. Serum G-CSF was not assessed after the metastases; however, a remarkably high WBC count (104.8×10^3 /mm³) suggested that the G-CSF-producing property of the tumor was preserved at the metastatic sites (Figure 7). The patient expired from disease progression 5 months after completion of the treatment. Permission for an autopsy was not granted from the relatives at the time of mortality.

To better understand the biological basis of this aggressive tumor, we analyzed mutation profiles. DNA was extracted from a pretreatment biopsy specimen in which the tumor cell content was higher than 50%. The exons of 409 cancer-related genes (Table S1) were sequenced using the Ion AmpliSeq Comprehensive Cancer Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After quality filtering, the number of sequencing



FIGURE 2 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ¹⁸fluoro-2-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) showing the primary tumor before the initiation of chemoradiotherapy. A, MRI axial plane. B, MRI sagittal plane. C, FDG-PET axial plane

FIGURE 3 Computed tomography (CT) and ¹⁸fluoro-2-deoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) showing multiple lymphadenopathy before the initiation of chemoradiotherapy. A-C, CT image showing enlarged lymph nodes in the external iliac, common iliac, and para-aortic lymph node regions, respectively. D-F, FDG-PET images corresponding to A-C



FIGURE 4 Schematic presentation of the treatment protocol. CS, central shielding; CRP, serum C-reactive protein; fr, fractions; G-CSF, serum granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; ICBT, intracavitary brachytherapy; LN, lymph node; PALN, para-aortic lymph node; Prophyl, prophylactic; RT, radiotherapy; WBC, white blood cell count in the peripheral blood; WP, whole pelvis

Week	1	2	3	4
Whole pelvic RT (50 Gy/25fr.)	ÎÎÎÎ	ÎÎÎÎÎ	ÎÎÎÎÎ	<mark> </mark>
Prophyl PALN RT (40 Gy/20fr.) WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³) CRP (mg/dl) G-CSE (ng/ml)	28.3 6.21	WP (30Gy/15fr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) U U U U Sfr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) U U U U Sfr.) Sfr.) WP (30Gy/15fr.) U U U U Sfr.) Sfr	1 1 1 1 1 21.9 16.98	CS-WP (20Gy/10fr.)
Week	525	6	7	8
Whole pelvic RT (50 Gy/25fr.) Boost RT for pelvic LN (8 Gy/4fr.) Prophyl PALN RT (40 Gy/20fr.) Boost RT for PALN (16 Gy/8fr.) ICBT (24 Gy/4fr.) Cisplatin (40 mg/m ²) WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³)	↓↓↓↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ 5.4	↓↓↓ ↓↓↓↓↓ ↓ 2.7	↓↓ ↓ ↓ 2.1	1.3
CRP (mg/dl) G-CSF (pg/ml)	0.69	0.32	0.23	0.31 36.5

reads per sample was 15.8 million, and the coverage depth was 945 reads per base (Table S2). Somatic mutations were identified using the analytical pipeline described previously.¹⁴ Sixteen nonsynonymous (Table 1) and four synonymous

mutations were identified. The mutation spectrum in a threebase context did not represent the APOBEC signature (ie, enrichment of substitution of a C preceded by a T into either T, G, or A) typical of HPV-associated cancers¹⁵ (Figure 8).

187

WILEY



FIGURE 5 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) after completion of chemoradiotherapy. A, B, MRI of axial and sagittal planes, respectively, showing the uterine cervix. C-E, CT image showing the external iliac, common iliac, and para-aortic lymph node regions, respectively



FIGURE 6 Computed tomography (CT) at 2 mo after completion of chemoradiotherapy. Metastatic tumors (arrows) in (A) the left supraclavicular lymph node, (B) the liver, and (C, D) the lung

Of the 16 nonsynonymous mutations identified, *PIK3C2B* (T879N), *KDR* (V297I), and *TET2* (P29R) were registered in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer¹⁶ as recurrent mutations.

3 | **DISCUSSION**

Production of G-CSF by cancer cells was first reported in 1977 by Asano et al¹⁷ In that report, the diagnostic criteria for G-CSF-producing cancer were as follows: (a) abnormally high WBC count in the peripheral blood with no evidence of infection; (b) abnormally high serum G-CSF level; (c) decrease in the WBC count or G-CSF level after cancer treatment; and (d) G-CSF expression in tumor tissues. The authenticity of criterion *d* is controversial because studies indicate that the sensitivity for this criterion is not high (ie, approximately 70%).^{18,19} Based on criteria a-c, a literature

search using PubMed with the search terms "*uterine cervical cancer*" AND "granulocyte colony stimulating factor", followed by full-manuscript examination, identified only 14 cases of G-CSF-producing UCC reported to date (

Table 1). The median age of patients was 64 years, and the histopathological type was squamous cell carcinoma in 64% of the cases. These patient demographics were in line with those reported for UCC in general.^{20,21} Seventy-five percent of the patients exhibited elevated serum CRP levels before the initial treatment. Seventy-five percent of the patients experienced local recurrence or distant metastasis within 6 months after the initial treatment, suggesting resistance to conventional treatment and poor prognosis. The present case had a stage IIB tumor with PALN involvement and expired 5 months after completion of chemoradiotherapy because of multiple distant metastases. Previous studies show that the 5-year overall survival rate of cohorts predominantly composed of PALN-positive stage IIB tumors treated with **FIGURE 7** Kinetics of laboratory markers during the clinical course. CRP, serum C-reactive protein; G-CSF, serum granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; SCC, serum squamous cell carcinoma antigen; and WBC, white blood cell count in the peripheral blood



 TABLE 1
 Somatic nonsynonymous mutations identified in this study

Gene	Mutation	Mt count in COSMIC
IGF2R	L252V	0
	S1194L	0
	L2008V	0
KDR	V297I	16
MTR	N919G	0
NOTCH4	L13_L16del	0
РІКЗС2В	T879N	2
PLEKHG5	I156T	0
PTPRT	D530A	0
RNF213	H5074T	0
SAMD9	V549L	0
SYNE1	A2795V	0
TET1	D162G	0
TET2	P29R	8
	V218M	0
UBR5	A1245S	0

Abbreviations: COSMIC, Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer; MT, mutation.

chemoradiotherapy is approximately 40%-60%,^{22,23} highlighting the aggressive behavior of the tumor in the present case.

The mutational landscape of G-CSF-producing cancer remains unclear. A small number of studies have analyzed a few genes of interest in G-CSF-producing lung cancers.



FIGURE 8 Mutation spectrum in a three-base context¹⁵

Preclinical studies identified inactivating mutations in TP53 and RASSF1A,²⁴ and reported conflicting results on the presence of activating mutations in KRAS, 24,25 whereas activating mutations in EGFR were identified in a clinical tumor.²⁶ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the mutation profiles of G-CSF-producing cancer using a clinically available sequencing panel containing hundreds of genes. In the present case, the mutation spectrum in a three-base context was not typical for UCC.¹⁵ None of the mutations in PIK3C2B, KDR, and TET2 identified in the present case were reported in two previous large-scale landmark studies of UCC-mutation profiles.^{27,28} By contrast, mutations in genes such as PIK3CA, PTEN, STK11, KRAS, ARID1A, EP300, and FBXW7, which were recurrently identified in previous landmark studies, were absent in the present case. Taken together, these data suggest that G-CSF-producing UCC is genetically distinct

	•	ı	1								
Age	Stage	Histology	G-CSF (pg/ mL)	WBC (×10 ³ / µL)	CRP (mg/dL)	Initial Tx	PFS (M)	Rec site	Rec Tx	(M) 0	Reference
2		5		12.0		ŭ					
90	182	Sq	197	12.9	0.7	Surgery	c.0	Parametrium	BSC	7	0
39	IB2	Sq	50	13.9	1.8	Surgery-RT	0.25	Brain, skin, lung	CT	5	7
72	IB2	Sq	248	20.6	4.8	CCRT	9	Uterus. lung	S-CT	15	7
64	IIA2	Cs	1500	48.0	NA	RT	0.25	Skin, bone	RT	2	8
64	IIB	PA	148	11.8	3.8	RT	0.25	SCLN, liver,lung	BSC	б	6
43	IIB	Sq	323	28.3	6.2	CCRT	2	SCLN, liver,lung	BSC	5	Present case
58	IIB	Sq	58	22.5	6.6	CCRT	NA	NA	NA	24	10
75	IIB	NA	223	31.7	2.6	CIRT			ı	108 +	11
41	IIIB	Sq	106	34.4	1.5	CCRT	3	Liver	CT	6	7
76	IIIB	Sq	642	31.1	5.4	CIRT	1	1	ı	30+	11
71	IIIB	Sq	195	30.0	NA	RT			ı	8+	12
59	IVB	Sq	875	13.7	0.6	RT-AC	1	Lung, LN	BSC	3	7
70	IVB	Sm	269	17.1	0.8	CT-RT-CT	0	Liver	BSC	11	13
<i>Note</i> : Labor Abbreviatio	atory test results ators	before initial treatmer Ad, adenocarcinoma;	nt are shown. BSC, best supportiv	e care; CCRT, concu	rrent chemo-radiothe	rapy; CIRT, carbon io	n radiotherapy;	CRP, serum C-reactive prote	sin before initial	treatment; Cs	, carcinosarcom

TABLE 2 Summary of reports on G-CSF-producing UCC.

la; CT, chemotherapy; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; LN, lymph node; M, months; NA, not available; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; Rec, recurrence; RT, radiotherapy; SC, supraclavicular; Sm, small cell carcinoma; Sq, squamous cell carcinoma; Tx, treatment; UCC, uterine cervical cancer; WBC, white blood cell count before initial treatment.

190

-WILEY-

from other UCCs. Further compilation of clinical sequencing data is needed to elucidate the mutational landscape of G-CSF-producing cancer.

G-CSF-producing UCCs show resistance to conventional treatment (Table 2). Therefore, treatment strategies specific for this type of cancer need to be established. One candidate strategy is the use of molecular-targeted drugs selected based on actionable mutation profiles. PIK3C2B and KDR encode class II phosphoinositide-3-kinase isoform C2^β and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2, respectively. Therefore, cases such as the present case, which harbor somatic mutations in these genes, could be treated with inhibitors of the relevant PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway.^{29,30} Another candidate strategy is carbon ion radiotherapy. Patients with stages IIB and IIIB disease treated with carbon ion radiotherapy survived 108 and 30 months. respectively, with no evidence of recurrence.¹¹ The efficacy of the precision medicine approach and that of carbon ion radiotherapy for G-CSF-producing UCCs should be further investigated.

As a limitation of this study, we were unable to assess the expression of G-CSF in the tumor tissue using immunohistochemistry because of insufficient sample material.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

We reported a case of G-CSF-producing UCC that showed rapid disease progression after definitive chemoradiotherapy leading to an overall survival period of 5 months. The aggressive behavior of this tumor against conventional treatment was in line with the findings described in previous reports on G-CSF-producing UCCs. This study is the first report describing the somatic mutation profile of G-CSF-producing UCC. The data presented will expand our biological understanding of this cancer subset, which warrants further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr Yasushi Sasaki and Dr Takashi Tokino of Sapporo Medical University, and Ms Yuka Hirota of Gunma University, for technical support. This study was supported by Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center and by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16H06279 (PAGS). Published with written consent of the patient.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SS and KA: treated the patient and drafted the manuscript; YY: analyzed sequencing data; YT: performed pathological review; T. Oike: analyzed sequencing data and finalized the manuscript; T. Ohno: supervised the study.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The enrollment of the patient was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Committee of Gunma University Hospital (approval number: 1109). The Institutional Ethical Review Committee waived the requirement for written informed consent from the patient because of the retrospective and noninvasive design of the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

ORCID

Takahiro Oike D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1332-4306

REFERENCES

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68:394-424.
- Sturdza A, Pötter R, Fokdal LU, et al. Image guided brachytherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer: improved pelvic control and survival in RetroEMBRACE, a multicenter cohort study. *Radiother Oncol.* 2016;120:428-433.
- McIntyre JB, Wu JS, Craighead PS, et al. PIK3CA mutational status and overall survival in patients with cervical cancer treated with radical chemoradiotherapy. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;128:409-414.
- Kang S, Kim HS, Seo SS, et al. Inverse correlation between RASSF1A hypermethylation, KRAS and BRAF mutations in cervical adenocarcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2007;105:662-666.
- Miyasaka Y, Yoshimoto Y, Murata K, et al. Treatment outcomes of patients with adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix after definitive radiotherapy and the prognostic impact of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ lymphocytes in pre-treatment biopsy specimens: a multi-institutional retrospective study. *J Radiat Res.* 2020;61:275-284.
- Kyo S, Kanaya T, Takakura M, et al. A case of cervical cancer with aggressive tumor growth: possible autocrine growth stimulation by G-CSF and II-6. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2000;78:383-387.
- Matsumoto Y, Mabuchi S, Muraji M, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix producing granulocyte colony-stimulating factor: a report of 4 cases and a review of the literature. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2010;20:417-421.
- Connor JP. Aggressive carcinosarcoma of the uterine cervix associated with high levels of granulocyte colony stimulating factor: case report and laboratory correlates. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2006;103:349-353.
- Mabuchi S, Matsumoto Y, Morii E, et al. The first 2 cases of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor producing adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2010;29:483-487.
- Yabuta M, Takeuchi K, Kitazawa S, et al. Leukocytosis as an initial sign of aggressive growth of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-producing cervical cancer. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2010;111:181-182.
- 11. Ohkubo Y, Kato S, Kiyohara H, et al. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor-producing cervical cancers treated with carbon-ion irradiation. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2013;39:1111-1115.
- Nasu K, Inoue C, Takai N, et al. Squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix producing granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004;104:1086-1088.

- 13. Watanabe A, Wachi T, Omi H, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-producing small-cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix: report of a case. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2000;23:269-274.
- Nakagaki T, Tamura M, Kobashi K, et al. Profiling cancer-related gene mutations in oral squamous cell carcinoma from Japanese patients by targeted amplicon sequencing. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:59113-59122.
- Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Polak P, et al. Mutational heterogeneity in cancer and the search for new cancer-associated genes. *Nature*. 2013;499:214-218.
- 16. COSMIC. Catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer. https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic. Accessed April 1, 2020.
- 17. Asano S, Urabe A, Okabe T, et al. Demonstration of granulopoietic factor(s) in the plasma of nude mice transplanted with a human lung cancer and in the tumor tissue. *Blood.* 1977;49:845-852.
- ShimamuraK FJ, Hata J, et al. Establishment of specific monoclonal antibodies against recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (hG-CSF) and their application for immunoperoxidase staining of paraffin-embedded sections. *J Histochem Cytochem*. 1990;38:283-286.
- Nomura H, Imazeki I, Oheda M, et al. Purification and characterization of human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF). *EMBO J.* 1986;5:871-876.
- Vinh-Hung V, Bourgain C, Vlastos G, et al. Prognostic value of histopathology and trends in cervical cancer: a SEER population study. *BMC Cancer*. 2007;7:164.
- Arbyn M, Weiderpass E, Bruni L, et al. Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: a worldwide analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020;8:e191-e203.
- 22. Liu X, Wang W, Meng Q, et al. Extended-field intensity-modulated radiation therapy combined with concurrent chemotherapy for cervical cancer with para-aortic lymph nodes metastasis. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2019;49:263-269.
- 23. Yoon HI, Cha J, Keum KC, et al. Treatment outcomes of extended-field radiation therapy and the effect of concurrent chemotherapy on uterine cervical cancer with para-aortic lymph node metastasis. *Radiat Oncol.* 2015;10:18.

- 24. Sekido Y, Sato M, Usami N, et al. Establishment of a large cell lung cancer cell line (Y-ML-1B) producing granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet*. 2002;137:33-42.
- Oshika Y, Nakamura M, Hatanaka H, et al. A human lung cancer xenograft producing granulocyte-colony stimulating factor and parathyroid hormone-related protein. *Oncol Rep.* 1998;5:359-362.
- Tsutsumi H, Yoneshima Y, Ota K, et al. Multiclonality and radiosensitivity of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor-producing lung adenocarcinoma positive for an activating EGFR mutation. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2020;21:e21-e24.
- Ojesina AI, Lichtenstein L, Freeman SS, et al. Landscape of genomic alterations in cervical carcinomas. *Nature*. 2014;506:371-375.
- The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic and molecular characterization of cervical cancer. *Nature*. 2017;543:378-384.
- Chatterjee S, Heukamp LC, Siobal M, et al. Tumor VEGF: VEGFR2 autocrine feed-forward loop triggers angiogenesis in lung cancer. J Clin Invest. 2013;123:1732-1740.
- Zhang Y, Kwok-Shing Ng P, Kucherlapati M, et al. A pan-cancer proteogenomic atlas of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway alterations. *Cancer Cell*. 2017;31(6):820-832.e3.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Shiba S, Oike T, Ando K, Yoshimoto Y, Takayama Y, Ohno T. Granulocytecolony stimulating factor-producing uterine cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy: A case report with mutation analysis and literature review. *Clin Case Rep.* 2021;9:185–192. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.3495