

Comparison of intrathecal morphine versus local infiltration analgesia for pain control in total knee and hip arthroplasty

A meta-analysis

Bao-chang Qi, PhD^a, Jing Yu, MM^b, Wei-song Qiao, MM^{a,*}^D

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to comprehensively collect randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to assess the clinical efficacy of intrathecal morphine (ITM) versus local infiltration analgesia (LIA) in the treatment of total knee and hip arthroplasty patients. **Methods:** Relevant studies were identified from the Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Wanfang, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases. We also reviewed the references of all identified articles to identify additional studies. For each study, we assessed the risk ratio (RR), weighted mean difference (WMD), and corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) to synthesize outcomes. Meta-analysis was performed with Stata 12.0 software.

Results: We included 13 studies with 942 patients for meta-analysis. LIA significantly decreased the pain value with rest or mobilization until 72 hours (P < .05). LIA significantly decreased cumulative morphine consumption by 13.52 mg. Moreover, the length of hospital stay was lower in the LIA group than in the ITM analgesia group. Finally, LIA significantly reduced morphine-related complications (nausea and vomiting, pruritus, and respiration depression).

Conclusions: LIA was an effective approach for relieving postoperative pain and reducing postoperative consumption of morphine compared with ITM in total knee and hip arthroplasty patients.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, CNKI = Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, ITM = intrathecal morphine, LIA = local infiltration analgesia, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, RRs = risk ratios, THA = total hip arthroplasty, TKA = total knee arthroplasty, VAS = visual analogue scale, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: local infiltration analgesia, meta-analysis, total hip arthroplasty, total knee arthroplasty

1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and total hip arthroplasty (THA) are the most common procedures in orthopedic

Editor: Jose Barbosa Neto.

Bao-chang Qi and Jing Yu contributed equally to this article and should be listed as cofirst authors.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Funding information should be added as "This project was supported by Jilin Science and Technology Development Project (NO. 20200201484JC)."

Funding Source: Jilin Science and Technology Development Project; Award ID: 20200201484JC; Recipient: Baochang Qi.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Department of Orthopedic Traumatology, the First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ^b Department of The First Operating Room, the First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China.

*Correspondence: Wei-song Qiao, Department of Orthopedic Traumatology, the First Hospital of Jilin University, NO. 1 Xinmin Street, Changchun 130021, Jilin, China (e-mail: del5601@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Qi Bc, Yu J, Qiao Ws. Comparison of intrathecal morphine versus local infiltration analgesia for pain control in total knee and hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:36(e21971).

Received: 5 November 2019 / Received in final form: 15 April 2020 / Accepted: 27 July 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000021971

surgeries that can relieve joint pain and improve joint function.^[1-3] In the U.S., there are approximately 600,000 TKA procedures and 100,000 THA procedures performed each year. However, pain following TKA and THA in patients has been reported to be a common postoperative complication.

Careful pain management is necessary after TKA and THA to achieve early postoperative mobilization while ensuring patient comfort throughout.^[4] Moreover, effective pain control can quicken the recovery time and reduce the length of hospital stay.^[5,6]

There are many analgesic options available for TKA and THA that vary in terms of efficacy and potential complications. Local infiltration analgesia (LIA) and intrathecal morphine (ITM) are 2 alternatives for pain management in TKA and THA patients. Some controversies remain, however, regarding the pain control efficacy and safety of these 2 methods. McCarthy et al^[7] conducted a randomized controlled trial and revealed that LIA conferred superior analgesia to ITM following TKA in patients. Hess et al^[8] also suggested that ITM may not have a morphine-saving effect and may increase the occurrence of pruritus at doses over 0.3 mg. However, different opinions exist. Kaczocha et al^[9] indicated that ITM reduces postoperative pain in TKA patients.

Therefore, it was necessary to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the efficacy and clinical outcome of LIA and ITM in TKA and THA patients. We hypothesized that LIA was superior to ITM for pain control without increasing adverse events in TKA and THA patients.

2. Methods

The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions was followed during the performance of this meta-analysis, and the full texts were written in accordance with the PRISMA checklist (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses). Because the meta-analysis was based on aggregate data, ethical approval was not required.

2.1. Literature search

Two individuals independently reviewed the Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, Wanfang, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases for relevant articles published through March 2020. We also reviewed the references of all identified articles to identify additional studies. The search terms were as follows: "local infiltration anesthesia," "anesthesia, local," "infiltration anesthesia," "anesthesia, infiltration," "local anesthesia," "intrathecal morphine," "intraspinal injections"; "injections, intraspinal," "injection, intraspinal," "intraspinal injection," "spinal injections," "injection, spinal," "injections, intrathecal," "total knee arthroplasty," "total knee replacement," "TKA," "TKR," "total hip arthroplasty," "total hip replacement," "THA," "THR," "random, randomized controlled trial," and "RCT." These key words and mesh terms were combined with "AND" or "OR."

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The PRISMA guidelines were followed for the inclusion of studies in the meta-analysis. Following the PICOS (Participants, Interventions, Comparisons, Outcomes and Study design) principle, the key search terms included (P) patients with total knee or hip arthroplasty; (I/C) patients treated by LIA or ITM; (O) the outcomes including the related clinical indexes [visual analog scale (VAS) with rest or mobilization until 72 hours, cumulative morphine consumption, length of hospital stay, occurrence of nausea and vomiting, pruritus, and respiration depression]; and (S) RCT.

The exclusion criteria included subjects in animal studies, cadaveric studies, in vitro studies, studies with a single cohort, case reports, and articles published in a form other than clinical trials. The article review procedure was conducted by 2 independent authors. If there was any disagreement between the 2 authors regarding the inclusion criteria of the related articles, a third author was consulted.

2.3. Data extraction

The data extraction was conducted by 2 independent authors separately by using a standardized form and recorded in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). The following data were extracted: first author, publication year, Jadad score, intervention of LIA and ITM, sample size, age, female patients, and surgery type. The clinical outcomes included VAS with rest or mobilization until 72 hours, cumulative morphine consumption, length of hospital stay, occurrence of nausea and vomiting, pruritus, and respiration depression. Differences and disagreements were resolved by consensus. If the trials had more than 2 groups, we only extracted the interestreported information and data. Pain intensity was assessed using the VAS pain score [range, 0 (no pain) to 10 (agonizing pain)].

2.4. Quality assessment

The quality of the included studies was assessed independently by 2 reviewers (Bao-chang Qi and Jing Yu) using the Jadad score, which evaluates studies based on randomization appropriateness, blinded outcome assessments, and complete descriptions of patients lost to follow-up.^[10] A Jadad score of 1 to 2 was considered low quality, and a Jadad score of 3 to 5 was considered high quality.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was performed with the support of Stata 12.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). For dichotomous outcomes (the occurrence of nausea and vomiting, pruritus, and respiration depression), the results were calculated with risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). For continuous outcomes with normal distributions, weighted mean differences (WMDs) and 95% CIs were used to test the overall effects. Random- or fixed-effect models were adopted depending on the value of I^2 : when $I^2 > 50\%$, suggesting significant heterogeneity, a random-effect model was used in the pooled result; in contrast, a fixed-effect model was employed when $I^2 < 50\%$. Heterogeneity among the included studies was analyzed by the Chi-square test and I^2 . The Z test was used to evaluate the overall effect.

3. Results

3.1. Search results

We reviewed a total of 504 articles identified by our initial keyword search, of which 119 were excluded following deduplication by EndNote X7 (Thomson Reuters). Then, 342 studies were excluded after screening the title and/or abstract. After full-text reading and screening, the remaining 13 articles were retrieved and read, and no studies were excluded according to the inclusion criteria. Finally, we included a total of 13 studies^[7,11–22] for this meta-analysis, incorporating 942 patients. The study selection is outlined in Fig. 1.

3.2. Characteristics of the trials

Table 1 summarizes the basic information for each study, including author names, year of publication, interventions, sample, age, and sex. The main Jadad score was 3.73, indicating the high quality of the included studies. According to the intervention of the included studies, we divided them into 2 subgroups for analysis: TKA versus THA. LIA was mainly administered with the use of ropivacaine, bupivacaine, or levobupivacaine with or without epinephrine. The sample size in the LIA group ranged from 19 to 61, and that in the ITM group ranged from 15 to 50. The mean ages of the LIA and ITM groups were 66.9 and 66.8 years, respectively. A total of 8 studies compared LIA versus ITM for TKA, and the remaining 4 studies compared LIA versus ITM for THA.

3.3. VAS with rest until 72 hours

The summary results of LIA versus ITM in terms of the VAS scores with rest up to 72 hours are summarized in Table 2. The pooled results indicated that LIA could significantly reduce the VAS score with rest at 6 hours (WMD: -7.12; 95% CI: -10.25



From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.

Figure 1. Flow chart of literature screening.

to -3.12; P=.000), 12 hours (WMD: -8.12; 95% CI: -12.25 to -2.52; P=.000), 24 hours (WMD: -6.78; 95% CI: -10.25 to -4.29; P=.000), 48 hours (WMD: -6.23; 95% CI: -11.52 to -1.06; P=.000), and 72 hours (WMD: -5.87; 95% CI: -9.13 to -2.69; P=.000).

3.4. VAS with mobilization until 72 hours

The combined results showed a significantly lower pain score with mobilization in the LIA group at 6 hours (WMD = -12.55, 95% CI: -17.42 to -6.58, P=.000; Table 2), 12 hours

(WMD = -16.45, 95% CI: -23.25 to -7.68, P = .000; Table 2), 24 hours (WMD = -6.98, 95% CI: -15.24 to 2.85, P = .198; Table 2), 48 hours (WMD = -9.52, 95% CI: -14.23 to -3.45, P = .012; Table 2), and 72 hours (WMD = -10.24, 95% CI: -15.23 to -5.13, P = .000; Table 2) than in the ITM group.

3.5. Total morphine consumption

Nine trials totaling 659 patients (LIA = 335, ITM = 324) provided data on the total morphine consumption. The results showed that there was statistical heterogeneity in the total morphine

Table 1

General characteristic of the included studies.

		Intervention		No. of patients (n)		Age (years)		Female patients (%)		
Ref.	Jadad score	LIA	ITM	LIA	ITM	LIA	ITM	LIA	ІТМ	TKA/ Tha
Andersen 2010 ^[11]	4	300 mg ropivacaine+0.5 mg epinephrine	60 mg lidocaine	19	21	69	67	52.8	56.9	TKA
Andersen 2007 ^[12]	4	200 mg ropivacaine+0.5 mg epinephrine	60 mg lidocaine	37	38	61	61	38.9	42.4	THA
Binici 2014 ^[13]	3	240 mg bupivacaine	240 mg bupivacaine	15	15	69.4	70.2	41.2	40	TKA
Essving 2011 ^[14]	5	300 mg ropivacaine+0.1 mg epinephrine	0.1 mg morphine	25	25	71	70	38.9	38.5	TKA
Kuchalik 2013 ^[15]	3	300 mg ropivacaine+0.1 mg epinephrine	0.1 mg morphine	40	40	66	67	45.4	52.4	THA
Rikalainen-Salmi 2012 ^[16]	3	120 mg bupivacaine	0.1 mg morphine	30	30	65	66	56.9	55.7	THA
Spreng 2010 ^[17]	4	142.5 ropivacaine	Fentany ns	33	33	65.8	67.2	49.7	48.4	TKA
Tammachote 2013 ^[18]	4	100 mg bupivacaine	60 mg bupivacaine +15 mg epinephrine	28	29	69	70	51.2	53.6	TKA
Tsukada 2014 ^[19]	5	200 mg ropivacaine+0.3 mg epinephrine	8 mg morphine	61	50	NS	NS	48.9	45.8	TKA
Tsukada 2015 ^[20]	3	200 mg ropivacaine+0.3 mg epinephrine	200 mg ropivacaine +8 mg morphine	33	37	NS	NS	51.3	50.4	TKA
McCarthy 2019 ^[7]	3	levobupivacaine 0.5% 2 mg/kg body weight and adrenaline 0.5 mg diluted to a total volume of 100 mL with 0.9% saline	300 µg morphine	22	21	66	64.3	53.8	43.9	TKA
Zhang 2018 ^[21]	5	300 mg ropivacaine+0.1 mg epinephrine	0.1 mg morphine	50	50	71.5	68.7	45.7	52.7	TKA
Zhou 2020 ^[22]	4	100 mg bupivacaine	60 mg lidocaine	80	80	70.3	70.5	44.9	51.4	THA

NS = not stated; RCT = randomized controlled trials; SA = spinal anesthesia; THA = total hip arthroplasty; TKA = total knee arthroplasty.

consumption $(I^2=97.9\%, P=.000, Fig. 2)$; the data were analyzed using the random-effects model.

LIA significantly decreased the total morphine consumption compared with ITM (WMD=-13.52, 95% CI: -19.09 to -7.95, P=.000; Fig. 2).

3.6. Length of hospital stay

Table 2

Eight RCTs with 592 patients (LIA=292, ITM=300) reported outcomes related to the length of hospital stay. There was high heterogeneity between the included studies ($I^2 = 71.0\%$, P = .001, Fig. 3); thus, we adopted a random-effects model to analyze the relevant data. The patients in the LIA group had a significantly shorter length of stay than those in the ITM group (WMD=-1.31, 95% CI: -1.65 to -0.96, P = .000; Fig. 3).

3.7. Incidence of nausea and vomiting

Ten RCTs with 729 patients (LIA=369, ITM=360) reported data on the occurrence of nausea and vomiting. There was slight heterogeneity between the included studies (I^2 =32.8%, P=.145,

Fig. 4); thus, we adopted a fixed-effects model to analyze the relevant data. The rate of nausea and vomiting was also significantly lower in the LIA group than in the ITM group (RR = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.34–0.63, P=.000; Fig. 4).

3.8. Incidence of pruritus

A total of 10 studies (760 TKAs, LIA=398, ITM=362) were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis for the frequency of pruritus. Heterogeneity between the included studies was absent (I^2 =0.0%, P=.894, Fig. 5); thus, we adopted a fixed-effects model to analyze the relevant data. The incidence of pruritus significantly decreased, with a reduction rate of 43% compared with the ITM group (RR=0.43, 95% CI: 0.30–0.61, P=.000; Fig. 5).

3.9. Incidence of respiration depression

A total of 9 RCTs totaling 720 patients provided data on the occurrence of respiration depression. Heterogeneity between the included studies was absent ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, P = .998, Fig. 6); thus, we

The summary results for VAS with rest or mobilization at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72h.						
Outcomes	WMD and 95% CI	Р	Heterogeneity (%)	P for heterogeneity		
VAS with rest at 6 h	-7.12 (-10.25 to -3.12)	.000	86.5	.000		
VAS with rest at 12 h	-8.25 (-12.25 to -2.52)	.000	89.9	.000		
VAS with rest at 24 h	-6.78 (-10.25 to -4.29)	.000	93.8	.000		
VAS with rest at 48 h	-6.23 (-11.52 to -1.06)	.000	68.7	.018		
VAS with rest at 72 h	-5.87 (-9.13 to -2.69)	.000	73.9	.009		
VAS with mobilization at 6 h	-12.55 (-17.42 to -6.58)	.000	68.9	.017		
VAS with mobilization at 12 h	-16.45 (-23.25 to -7.68)	.000	34.2	.064		
VAS with mobilization at 24 h	-6.98 (-15.24 to 2.85)	.198	59.7	.032		
VAS with mobilization at 48 h	-9.52 (-14.23 to -3.45)	.012	85.7	.013		
VAS with mobilization at 72 h	-10.24 (-15.23 to, -5.13)	.000	67.7	.026		



Figure 2. Forest plots of the included studies comparing the total morphine consumption between the 2 groups.



Figure 3. Forest plots of the included studies comparing the length of hospital stay between the 2 groups.

Study		%
D	RR (95% CI)	Weight
Andersen 2010	0.32 (0.13, 0.79)	12.60
Binici 2014 (0.22 (0.06, 0.86)	8.53
Essving 2011	1.20 (0.64, 2.25)	9.48
Kuchalik 2013	0.27 (0.08, 0.90)	10.42
Rikalainen–Salmi 2012	0.31 (0.11, 0.84)	12.32
Tammachote 2013	0.61 (0.34, 1.09)	15.83
Tsukada 2014	0.35 (0.15, 0.85)	14.58
McCarthy 2019	0.48 (0.14, 1.65)	5.82
Zhang 2018	0.67 (0.20, 2.22)	5.69
Zhou 2020	0.40 (0.08, 2.00)	4.74
Overall (I-squared = 32.8%, p = 0.145)	0.47 (0.35, 0.63)	100.00
P=0.000		

Figure 4. Forest plots of the included studies comparing the occurrence of nausea and vomiting between the 2 groups.

Study	RR (95% CI)	Weigh
		Treigh
Andersen 2007	0.62 (0.16, 2.40)	5.89
Essving 2011	0.83 (0.29, 2.38)	7.16
Rikalainen–Salmi 2012 -	0.38 (0.11, 1.28)	9.55
Spreng 2010	0.33 (0.12, 0.93)	14.32
Tammachote 2013	0.62 (0.26, 1.48)	11.72
Tsukada 2014	0.25 (0.09, 0.73)	17.05
Tsukada 2015	0.37 (0.08, 1.73)	6.75
McCarthy 2019	0.24 (0.03, 1.95)	4.89
Zhang 2018	0.50 (0.16, 1.55)	9.55
Zhou 2020	0.36 (0.12, 1.09)	13.13
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.894) \diamondsuit	0.43 (0.30, 0.61)	100.00
P=0.000		

Figure 5. Forest plots of the included studies comparing the occurrence of pruritus between the 2 groups.



Figure 6. Forest plots of the included studies comparing the occurrence of respiration depression between the 2 groups.

adopted a fixed-effects model to analyze the relevant data. The frequency of respiration depression was significantly lower in the LIA group than in the ITM group (RR=0.66, 95% CI: 0.46–0.93, P=.019; Fig. 6).

3.10. Subgroup analysis, publication bias

Sources of heterogeneity were explored using subgroup analyses and can be seen in Table 3. We found that the effect was independent from the subgroup analysis according to surgery type (TKA or THA). The funnel plot shows that there was no publication bias (Fig. 7) in terms of the VAS score with rest at 6 hours. A sensitivity analysis was performed to address the relative importance of each study by excluding each study in turn from the analysis to evaluate its effect on the pooled WMD and to identify heterogeneous studies. The sensitivity analysis showed that the results remained significant after each study was removed in turn (P < .05, Fig. 8).

4. Discussion

4.1. Main findings

Our review represents the largest meta-analysis conducted to date that included 13 RCTs and 942 patients. The present metaanalysis showed that LIA is better than ITM for TKA and THA patients.

4.2. Comparison with other meta-analyses

Two main meta-analyses in this regard have been published,^[23,24] but the differences between the meta-analysis in our study and the previous ones should be identified.

First, the previous meta-analyses involved no more than 380 patients. By contrast, our meta-analysis involved 13 trials and 942 patients, which is also the latest and most comprehensive analysis. Further strengths of this meta-analysis include the publication bias and subgroup analysis, which reduce the risk of selection bias.

The pooled results revealed that LIA was superior to ITM in TKA and THA patients. The impacts of pain relief are mainly reflected in the reduction of pain intensity with rest or mobilization for more than 6 hours or even 72 hours. McCarthy et al^[7] conducted an RCT and revealed that LIA was superior to ITM for relieving pain at 48h in TKA patients. Moreover, Jiménez-Almonte et al^[25] conducted a systematic review and network meta-analysis and predicted that LIA may be the best treatment measure for TKA and THA patients. However, Adesope et al^[26] revealed that local anesthetic wound infiltration had a minimal effect on the pain score.

We next evaluated morphine consumption. Morphine consumption was reduced following TKA and THA when patients received LIA rather than ITM. Askar et al^[27] revealed that ITM had an equal pain control efficacy to patient-controlled efficacy. Adesope et al^[26] found that local anesthetic wound infiltration

Table 3

Subgroup analysis for VAS with rest or mobilization at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72h according the surgery type.

			Heterogeneity		
Analysis	Weighted mean difference	95% CI	<i>ľ</i> (%)	Р	Р
VAS with rest at 6 h					
ТКА	-8.81	-15.50 to -2.12	99.0	.000	.01
THA	-3.95	-9.26,1.37	97.6	.000	.145
VAS with rest at 12 h					
ТКА	-8.82	-14.28 to -3.36	98.2	.000	.002
THA	-7.97	-19.73 to 3.79	98.7	.000	.184
VAS with rest at 24 h					
TKA	-7.00	-11.85 to -2.15	97.0	.000	.005
THA	-6.02	-11.11 to -0.93	97.7	.000	.021
VAS with rest at 48 h					
ТКА	-5.46	-11.12 to 0.19	98.9	.000	.058
THA	-5.96	-11.18 to -0.73	95.8	.000	.026
VAS with rest at 72 h					
ТКА	-6.15	-10.16 to -2.13	95.0	.000	.003
THA	-2.95	-4.94 to -0.97	70.3	.000	.004
VAS with mobilization at 6 h					
ТКА	-11.64	-18.99 to -4.28	98.8	.000	.002
THA	-3.89	-7.81 to 0.02	88.3	.004	.051
VAS with mobilization at 12h					
TKA	—13.81	-21.17 to -6.46	98.6	.000	
THA	-2.00	-3.40 to -0.60			.005
VAS with mobilization at 24 h					
ТКА	-10.39	-19.18 to -1.60	96.7	.000	.02
THA	-3.27	-12.41 to 5.87	98.7	.000	.483
VAS with mobilization at 48 h					
ТКА	-6.03	-11.92 to -0.14	96.5	.000	.045
THA	-10.72	-21.18 to -0.25	98.4	.000	.045
VAS with mobilization at 72 h					
ТКА	-8.70	-14.77 to -2.63	95.8	.000	.005
THA	-6.70	-12.55 to -0.85	87.2	.005	.025

had a minimal effect on the pain score but could significantly reduce postoperative opioid consumption. Højer Karlsen et al^[28] conducted a review of postoperative pain treatment after THA and showed that LIA provided a morphine-sparing effect of 14.1 (95% CI: 8.0–20.2) mg. We concluded that the above results were similar to the results of our meta-analysis. We found that



LIA significantly reduced morphine consumption by approximately 13.52 mg (95% CI: -19.09 to -7.95).

In economic terms, LIA provides a shorter hospitalization time and, last but not least, a better cosmetic outcome than ITM. LIA can also contribute to postoperative pain control as previously documented as a premise for patients' fast recovery in order to reduce bed-related complications. Moreover, surgeons should pay more attention to morphine-related complications. LIA can significantly reduce morphine-related complications (nausea and vomiting, pruritus, and respiration depression) compared with ITM.

However, this study has the following limitations: the low number of subjects studied and the inadequate sample size within studies; the variable dose of LIA and ITM between studies; the variability of the rehabilitation program between studies, thus potentially affecting the length of hospital stay; and most significantly, the heterogeneity contributing to different doses and intervals among the included studies.

5. Conclusion

On the basis of the results of the present analysis, the LIA group had more advantages than ITM in terms of VAS scores during early postoperative periods; moreover, the LIA group may have been subject to lower morphine consumption. Furthermore, participants under LIA did not suffer worse results regarding



Figure 8. Sensitivity analysis for VAS with rest at 6h after excluded studies in turn.

postoperative complications and VAS scores at 3 months postoperatively. However, further studies with standardized, unbiased methods, and larger sample sizes are still required for deeper analysis.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Wei-song Qiao. Methodology: Wei-song Qiao. Software: Bao-chang Qi. Writing – original draft: Bao-chang Qi, Jing Yu. Writing – review & editing: Jing Yu.

References

- Westrich GH, Birch GA, Muskat AR, et al. Intravenous vs oral acetaminophen as a component of multimodal analgesia after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, blinded trial. J Arthroplasty 2019;34:S215– 20.
- [2] Sun XL, Zhao ZH, Ma JX, et al. Continuous local infiltration analgesia for pain control after total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine (Madr) 2015;94:e2005.
- [3] Dong CC, Dong SL, He FC. Comparison of adductor canal block and femoral nerve block for postoperative pain in total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2016;95:e2983.
- [4] Soffin EM, Wu CL. Regional and multimodal analgesia to reduce opioid use after total joint arthroplasty: a narrative review. HSS J 2019;15:57– 65.
- [5] Andersen LO, Kehlet H. Analgesic efficacy of local infiltration analgesia in hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. Br J Anaesth 2014;113:360–74.
- [6] Affas F. Local infiltration analgesia in knee and hip arthroplasty efficacy and safety. Scand J Pain 2016;13:59–66.
- [7] McCarthy D, McNamara J, Galbraith J, et al. A comparison of the analgesic efficacy of local infiltration analgesia vs. intrathecal morphine after total knee replacement: a randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2019;36:264–71.

- [8] Hess SR, Lahaye LA, Waligora AC, et al. Safety and side-effect profile of intrathecal morphine in a diverse patient population undergoing total knee and hip arthroplasty. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol 2019;29:125–9.
- [9] Kaczocha M, Azim S, Nicholson J, et al. Intrathecal morphine administration reduces postoperative pain and peripheral endocannabinoid levels in total knee arthroplasty patients: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Anesthesiol 2018;18:27.
- [10] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996;17:1–2.
- [11] Andersen KV, Bak M, Christensen BV, et al. A randomized, controlled trial comparing local infiltration analgesia with epidural infusion for total knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthop 2010;81:606–10.
- [12] Andersen KV, Pfeiffer-Jensen M, Haraldsted V, et al. Reduced hospital stay and narcotic consumption, and improved mobilization with local and intraarticular infiltration after hip arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial of an intraarticular technique versus epidural infusion in 80 patients. Acta Orthop 2007;78:180–6.
- [13] Binici Bedir E, Kurtulmus T, Basyigit S, et al. A comparison of epidural analgesia and local infiltration analgesia methods in pain control following total knee arthroplasty. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2014;48:73–9.
- [14] Essving P, Axelsson K, Aberg E, et al. Local infiltration analgesia versus intrathecal morphine for postoperative pain management after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg 2011;113:926–33.
- [15] Kuchalik J, Granath B, Ljunggren A, et al. Postoperative pain relief after total hip arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blind comparison between intrathecal morphine and local infiltration analgesia. Br J Anaesth 2013;111:793–9.
- [16] Rikalainen-Salmi R, Forster JG, Makela K, et al. Local infiltration analgesia with levobupivacaine compared with intrathecal morphine in total hip arthroplasty patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012;56:695– 705.
- [17] Spreng UJ, Dahl V, Hjall A, et al. High-volume local infiltration analgesia combined with intravenous or local ketorolac+morphine compared with epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. Br J Anaesth 2010;105:675–82.
- [18] Tammachote N, Kanitnate S, Manuwong S, et al. Is pain after TKA better with periarticular injection or intrathecal morphine? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013;471:1992–9.

- [19] Tsukada S, Wakui M, Hoshino A. Postoperative epidural analgesia compared with intraoperative periarticular injection for pain control following total knee arthroplasty under spinal anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2014;96:1433–8.
- [20] Tsukada S, Wakui M, Hoshino A. Pain control after simultaneous bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial comparing periarticular injection and epidural analgesia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2015;97:367–73.
- [21] Zhang W, Zhang Y, Hui H. Intrathecal morphine versus local infiltration analgesia for pain control in total knee arthroplasty patients: a randomized clinical trial. Jiangsu Med J 2018;18:27.
- [22] Zhou HM, Zhou QH, Xiao WP. Comparison of intrathecal and local infiltration analgesia by morphine for pain management in total hip arthroplasty. Zhejiang J Traumatic Surg 2020;40:97–108.
- [23] Jia XF, Ji Y, Huang GP, et al. Comparison of intrathecal and local infiltration analgesia by morphine for pain management in total knee and

hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trial. Int J Surg (London, England) 2017;40:97–108.

- [24] Cai AL, Liu SJ, Wu B, et al. Intrathecal versus local infiltration analgesia for pain control in total joint arthroplasty. J Orthop Surg Res 2020;15:110.
- [25] Jiménez-Almonte JH, Wyles CC, Wyles SP, et al. Is local infiltration analgesia superior to peripheral nerve blockade for pain management after THA: a network meta-analysis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2016;474:495–516.
- [26] Adesope O, Ituk U, Habib AS. Local anaesthetic wound infiltration for postcaesarean section analgesia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2016;33:731–42.
- [27] Askar FZ, Kocabas S, Yucel S, et al. The efficacy of intrathecal morphine in post-thoracotomy pain management. J Int Med Res 2007;35:314–22.
- [28] Højer Karlsen AP, Geisler A, Petersen PL, et al. Postoperative pain treatment after total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. Pain 2015;156:8–30.