

Psychometrics properties of the Iranian version of fertility quality of life tool: A cross-sectional study

Seyedeh-Fatemeh Hekmatzadeh¹ M.Sc., Fatemeh Bazarganipour¹ Ph.D., Nazafarin Hosseini¹ Ph.D., Helen Allan² Ph.D., Somayeh Jalali³ B.Sc., Zahra Abbasian³ B.Sc., Akram Barani³ B.Sc., Fereshteh Balochi³ B.Sc., Saeideh Khademi³ B.Sc., Tahereh Mahmoudi³ B.Sc., Roghayeh Niknam³ B.Sc., Zahra Khashavi⁴ M.D., Seyed Abdolvahab Taghavi¹ Ph.D.

1. Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran.
2. Centre for Critical Research in Nursing and Midwifery, School of Health and Education, Middlesex University, London, UK.
3. Student Research Committee, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran.
4. Hazrate-zahra Infertility Center, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

Corresponding Author:

Seyed Abdolvahab Taghavi, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Shahid Montazeri Ave., Yasuj, Iran.
Email: vahab.taghavi@gmail.com
Tel: (+98) 7433334948

Received: 10 May 2017
Revised: 30 October 2017
Accepted: 16 November 2017

Abstract

Background: Clinical measurement of quality of life (QoL) for assessing reproductive problems should be considered as a standard investigation at the initial and continuing medical consultations with infertile people.

Objective: The purpose of this study was comprehensive testing the psychometric properties of the Iranian version of fertility quality of life (FertiQoL).

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 300 women referred to infertility clinic. After linguistic validation, a semi-structured interview was conducted to assess face validity. Consequently exploratory factor analysis was performed to indicate the scale constructs. Discriminate validity was assessed using the known groups comparison. Convergent validity was evaluated by assessing the correlation between similar content on the 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF12), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and FertiQoL. In addition, reliability analysis was carried out with internal consistency.

Results: The reliability of the Iranian version of the FertiQoL was satisfactory in all dimensions (0.77-0.83). Six factors (emotional, mind/body, relational, social, environmental, and tolerability) were extracted from the results of exploratory factor analysis. Discrimination validity showed that FertiQoL can differentiate between female patients with differing duration of infertility and number of children. Moreover, the results of convergent validity showed a favorable correlation between the related dimensions of SF12 (0.43-0.68), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (0.47-0.52) and FertiQoL.

Conclusion: The Iranian version of FertiQoL is valid and reliable for assessing infertility problems and the effects of treatment on QoL of infertile patients referred for diagnosis and treatment at infertility clinic.

Key words: Fertility, Quality of life, Validity, Reliability, Iran

Introduction

In Iran, as in many developing countries, fertility as a social value and a necessary condition for married women considered. So, infertility usually as unpleasant features intended for couples. The sensitivity of childlessness is also evident in the support of Iranian families. According to Article nine of the law, infertility can justify the dissolution of marriage by divorce (1). In Iranian culture, patriarchal beliefs to the need to reproduce, lack of social and financial support for many women, the chances of a second marriage for infertile women and

social opposition of single-lives are some of the factors that may be caused to the mental suffering of infertile women (2).

Health-related quality of life (QoL) is currently considered as a major tool for measuring outcome in infertile couples. Given the different physical, psychological, and social adverse effects of infertility, evaluating the components of QoL in these couples may lead to identify different aspects of lifestyle in these populations and help them to planning appropriate treatment with greater efficiency (3, 4). In addition, despite development of various techniques for treatment of infertility and achieving reproductive health, concerns

about QoL in infertile couples has been clearly decreased due to the nature of the problem as well as to its complex relationship with mental status (5, 6).

Clinical measurement of quality of life for assessing reproductive problems should therefore be considered as a standard investigation at the initial and continuing medical consultations with infertile people. Boivin and colleagues have developed a specialized tool for the measurement of fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) (7). This questionnaire has been translated into 20 languages around the world and is considered valid and reliable in those versions. Although the Iranian version of the questionnaire is available, Maroufizadeh and colleagues have found the psychometric properties of the Iranian version of the questionnaire (8). Although there are some notices that needed to more attention. This study sample size is 120 participates considering 5 patients were necessary for each item (subject-to-item ratio: 5:1) (8). However, the FertiQoL have 36 questions and at least 180 participants are needed for evaluation of psychometrics properties.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was comprehensive testing the psychometric properties of the Iranian version of FertiQoL as its use could be considerable due to the prevalence of infertility in Iran and the importance of evaluation of QOL in patients with infertility.

Materials and methods

Design and data collection

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 300 women who referred to the Omeleila infertility clinic (only referral infertility clinic in Hormozgan), Hormozgan, Iran between April 2015 to September 2016. The inclusion criteria for the women were being married, have a desire to participate in the study, age range between 15-45 yr, have been

diagnosed with infertility by an experienced physician, having no severe psychological experiences over the past six months (loss of a close relative, surgery), and using no psychiatric medications at the time of participation in the study. After explaining the purpose of the study and obtaining written consent from participants who were eligible to enter the study, women were asked to complete the questionnaire in the waiting room before their medical consultation. The average time taken to complete the questionnaires was 30 min.

FertiQoL questionnaire is a self reported measure for assessment of QoL? in infertile patients developed by the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. The questionnaire consists of two general questions 1) how would you rate your health? 2) Are you satisfied with your quality of life? And two modules measuring QoL 3) optional treatment 4) core module. The core module included 24 specific questions about fertility and consisted of four domains (emotional, social, mind/ body, relation) with six questions in each field, the optional treatment module measures quality of life in relation to infertility treatment and consisted of two domains, environmental and toleration for infertility treatment. The answers are rated 0-4 based on a Likert scale. The score of each field and the total score were collated and converted to a 0-100 spectrum based on the questionnaire grading instructions. The higher scores indicated better quality of life (7).

Convergent validity

It is assumed that similar domains of different tools show a high level of correlation (9). For testing convergent validity, it is assumed that there is a significant correlation between the similar domains of 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF12), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and FertiQoL. Correlation >0.4 was considered desirable

with the following measures (10). HADS questionnaire: this questionnaire includes 14 questions consisted of two subscales of depression (seven questions) and anxiety (seven questions). Grading is based on a Likert scale (0-3) for each component. Therefore, the score of the two subscales is in the range of 0-21 (11). The validity and reliability of this questionnaire are approved in Iran (12).

SF12: This questionnaire was used to evaluate quality of life. SF12 includes 12 questions related to the eight domains of vitality, physical functioning, bodily pain, general health perceptions, physical role functioning, emotional role functioning, social role functioning, and mental health. The scoring is based on the RAND system from zero to 100. The score of each domain is obtained by aggregating the scores of every domain and dividing the resulting number by the number of questions in the same domain. A higher score indicates better quality of life (13). The validity and reliability of the questionnaire are approved for the Iranian setting (14).

Discrimination validity

Measurement of this validity indicates to what extent a tool can differentiate between different groups that are different in special features. In this study, discrimination validity assessment was evaluated by considering to what extent FertiQoL could differentiate between groups of patients who are different in terms of infertility duration and the number of children.

Factorial validity

In order to discover underlying variables of FertiQoL, we used exploratory factor analysis. First, the Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin test of adequacy index was completed where the value of greater than 0.7 was considered acceptable. Correlation between the questions was determined using the Kruit-Bartlett test. We

used specific value to determine the number of constructor factors of FertiQoL. Varimax rotation was used to simplify data and the interpretation of factor component. After rotation, factors were nominated according to the questions existing in each factor. The factors which loaded greater than 0.3 were used for factor preservation (15).

In order to reduce statistical error, it is suggested that the number of subjects per question has at least a ration of 5:1. FertiQoL have 36 questions and at least 180 participate are needed for evaluation of psychometrics properties. Therefore, for reduced statistical error, the number of 300 patients was considered suitable to achieve this ration in this study.

Reliability

Reliability predicts the consistency and stability of a measure. In the present study, reliability was determined using an internal consistency method. In order to determine internal consistency, Cronbach's alpha was calculated for the whole questionnaire and also for each of the factors. Cronbach's alpha >0.7 was considered desirable (16).

Ethical consideration

The Ethics Committee of the Yasuj University of Medical Sciences reviewed the study (IR.YUMS.REC.1392.30). A written informed consent was obtained from each participants who was asked to complete the measures. All participants' information remained confidential.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was completed using SPSS 21 (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 21.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) for both descriptive and inferential statistics methods. For descriptive statistics, the mean \pm SD and number (%) were used for quantitative and qualitative variables respectively. Intergroup comparison for

quantitative variables was done using *t*-test. The significant level of $p < 0.05$ was considered acceptable.

Results

The study sample

300 women with infertility entered the study during a six months period. The mean age of them was 30.65 ± 5.43 yr. Clinical and demographic characteristics of participants are presented in Table I.

Score of FertiQoL

The score distribution of FertiQoL and SF12 are presented in Table II. The lowest scores were allocated to emotional domain of FertiQoL and mental subscale component of SF12. Comparing floor and ceiling effect between the two questionnaires shows that the percentage of respondents with minimum and maximum scores to FertiQoL was less than SF12 (Table II).

Convergent validity

The correlation between the emotional domain of FertiQoL and depression ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.47$) and anxiety ($p = 0.001$, $r = 0.52$) domain of HADS was desirable. Moreover, the emotional domain of FertiQoL showed a desirable correlation with the role limitations due to emotional problems ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.44$), mental health ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.43$) and vitality ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.50$) in SF12 questionnaire.

The social domain of FertiQoL showed a favorable correlation with the social functioning domain of SF12 ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.49$). In addition, the correlation between the mind/body domain of FertiQoL and role limitations due to physical problems (RP) ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.47$), physical functioning ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.68$) was desirable.

Discrimination validity

FertiQoL can differentiate well between infertile women who are different in respect of infertility duration and number of children. The results of table III show that women with more children and shorter duration of infertility have a better quality of life.

Factor analysis

The Iranian version of FertiQoL was analyzed using principal component factor analysis with varimax rotation. The value of Kaiser-Mayer-Olkin test, an indicative of sample quality, was 0.89. Factor analysis of FertiQoL revealed six factors that are responsible for 52.91% of variance: emotional (17.24%), mind/body (10.76%), relational (10.52%), social (5.52%), environmental (4.59%), tolerability (4.26%). Table IV shows the factors loaded in each question. Factor loading was more than 0.3 in all questions, so all questions were preserved.

Reliability

Cronbach's alpha was 0.82 for the whole questionnaire indicating a desirable reliability for FertiQoL. Data related to reliability of all fields of FertiQoL is presented in Table II.

Table I. Demographic and clinical characterizes of participants

Variables	Mean \pm SD
Age (yr)*	30.65 \pm 5.93
Education (yr)*	11.41 \pm 4.15
Marriage (yr)*	7.64 \pm 5.03
BMI (kg/m ²)*	25.63 \pm 11.83
Cause of infertility	n (%)
Tubal factors	21 (7)
Uterus	10 (3.3)
PCOS	63 (21.1)
POF	1 (0.3)
Ovulation dysfunction	31 (10.4)
Endometriosis	3 (22)
Unexplained	132 (44.1)
Male infertility	132 (44.1)

BMI: Body mass index

PCOS: Polycystic ovary syndrome

POF: Premature ovarian failure

Table II. FertiQoL and SF12 scores, Cronbach's alpha, and floor and ceiling effects

Subscales	Cronbach's alpha	Mean ± SD of FertiQoL and SF12 scores	Minimum (% floor)	Maximum (% ceiling)
FertiQoL				
Emotional	0.77	53.53 ± 19.05	4.6 (0.3)	99.84 (0.3)
Mind/body	0.78	62.07 ± 20.83	0 (0.3)	78.08 (0.3)
Relational	0.79	61.16 ± 17.06	12.48 (0.3)	99.84 (22)
Social	0.77	57.61 ± 17.68	20.80 (0.3)	99.84 (22)
Environmental	0.83	57.69 ± 15.74	4.16 (0.7)	95.68 (0.7)
Tolerability	0.79	59.33 ± 20.18	0 (0.7)	100 (0.3)
Total	0.82	59.73 ± 13.48	22.88 (0.3)	92.93 (1.3)
SF12				
Physical functioning	-	67.51 ± 31.52	0 (5.7)	100 (12.3)
Role limitations due to physical problems	-	56.34 ± 25.32	0 (1.7)	100 (38.7)
Role limitations due to emotional problems	-	54.41 ± 25.25	0 (1.7)	100 (3.7)
Vitality	-	54.58 ± 25.98	0 (1.7)	100 (12.3)
Social functioning	-	39.33 ± 32.02	0 (3.7)	100 (6)
Bodily pain	-	65.62 ± 29.84	0 (19.3)	100 (26)
General health perception	-	46.92 ± 30.91	0 (1.7)	100 (8.7)
Mental health	-	58.06 ± 16.77	0 (0.3)	100 (3)
Physical subscale component	-	59.19 ± 19.57	5.63 (0.3)	100 (2)
Mental subscale component	-	51.59 ± 11.07	15.63 (3)	87.50 (3)

FertiQoL: Fertility quality of life

SF12: 12-Item Short Form Health Survey

Table III. Discrimination validity: Scores in subscales of FertiQoL in different groups of infertile women

Groups	Domains of FertiQoL					
	Emotional	Mind/body	Relational	Social	Environmental	Tolerability
Child						
None	52.02 ± 18.67	60.38 ± 19.51	60.62 ± 17.01	63.14 ± 17.37	57.64 ± 16.18	58.13 ± 20.17
≥1	59.60 ± 19.47	68.99 ± 24.53	63.45 ± 16.89	70.50 ± 18.85	59.24 ± 13.88	64.25 ± 19.64
p-value	0.001	0.005	0.2	0.004	0.49	0.04
Duration of infertility						
<1 yr	53.82 ± 19.38	63.28 ± 20.47	61.57 ± 17.11	65.18 ± 17.77	57.37 ± 15.75	59.28 ± 20.74
≥1 yr	50.40 ± 15.62	50.40 ± 18.35	56.96 ± 16.33	58.72 ± 15.93	64.06 ± 14.85	50.40 ± 18.35
p-value	0.38	0.002	0.18	0.07	0.04	0.85

Data presented as Mean± standard deviation.

**Student t-test

FertiQoL: Fertility quality of life

Table IV. Factor loadings from the FertiQoL principal component analysis

Item of FertiQoL *	Factor					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Q4	0.70	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.02	0.18
Q7	0.62	0.13	0.31	0.14	0.11	0.04
Q8	0.72	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.14	0.04
Q9	0.71	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.008	0.08
Q16	0.76	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.007	0.08
Q23	0.69	0.01	0.21	0.07	0.07	0.15
Q1	0.006	0.66	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.007
Q2	0.011	0.69	0.22	0.10	0.02	0.07
Q3	0.04	0.65	0.27	0.25	0.13	0.08
Q12	0.11	0.67	0.31	0.07	0.05	0.08
Q18	0.22	0.59	0.21	0.09	0.08	0.08
Q24	0.12	0.35	0.19	0.08	0.12	0.29
Q11	0.15	0.07	0.54	0.48	0.16	0.07
Q15	0.01	0.07	0.57	0.001	0.14	0.19
Q19	0.30	0.10	0.70	0.15	0.08	0.07
Q20	0.15	0.05	0.62	0.14	0.13	0.14
Q21	0.04	0.04	0.50	0.48	0.14	0.28
Q5	0.15	0.21	0.007	0.65	0.03	0.07
Q10	0.30	0.07	0.004	0.41	0.29	0.20
Q13	0.37	0.13	0.04	0.59	0.03	0.04
Q14	0.09	0.01	0.05	0.73	0.30	0.04
Q17	0.14	0.39	0.15	0.51	0.25	0.03
Q22	0.34	0.12	0.12	0.39	0.34	0.03
T2	0.20	0.05	0.07	0.29	0.29	0.34
T5	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.12	0.36	0.40
T7	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.35	0.31	0.42
T8	0.00	0.12	0.03	0.07	0.005	0.83
T9	0.008	0.2	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.82
T10	0.08	0.005	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.79
T1	0.29	0.07	0.05	0.20	0.34	0.29
T3	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.12	0.40	0.36
T4	0.31	0.35	0.14	0.05	0.42	0.07
T6	0.02	0.09	0.17	0.20	0.51	0.31

*Item numbers refer to question number in the original questionnaire.

Discussion

The results show that the Iranian version of FertiQoL is a valid and reliable measure for assessing infertility problems and the effects of treatment on QoL of infertile patients. The results of discriminate validity indicate that the dimensions in FertiQoL can differentiate well for quality of life between patient groups based on the number of children and duration of infertility. According to the results of our study, patients with more children and shorter duration of infertility have better quality of life scores in all domains of FertiQoL. The results of the present study are similar to Karabulut and colleagues using Turkish version showed a longer duration of infertility was related to lower scores in the domain mind/body, social, tolerability and the total score of the questionnaire ($p < 0.05$) (17). Moreover, the results of Boivin and co-worker showed that the score of core FertiQoL was significantly lower in patients without children compared with those with children ($p < 0.001$) (7).

In the present study, interval reliability of FertiQoL was reported desirable and all dimensions had $\alpha > 0.7$. However, in a study conducted in Taiwan, Cronbach's level was unfavorable in the domains of relational, social and environmental which suggests that in Taiwan and China, perhaps due to the cultural differences with the English version of FertiQoL, it may be better to removed Q13 and T5 questions so that internal consistency is improved (18). The results of Boivin and co-worker indicated a desirable reliability with Cronbach's' alpha greater than 0.7 for all dimensions of FertiQoL (7). The reliability of Iranian version of FertiQoL was desirable (0.72-0.91).

The results of present study showed that Iranian version of FertiQoL is six-factorial with factor loading more than 0.3 for each factor. Item loading of the present study is similar to study of Boivin and co-worker (7). Although in Boivin and co-worker study, all questions had

factor loading of more than 0.3 and preserved the questions Q1 (concentration) and Q2 (lie on hold) of mind/ body dimensions, Q10 (isolation) and Q13 (shame) of social dimension showed a cross-loading effect. In the study conducted in Taiwan, it is also reported that exclusion of question Q13 leads to improvement of Cronbach's alpha of social dimension (10). In Maroufizadeh and co-worker study, all factor loadings were significant, except for Q15 and T2 (8). These findings indicated that some modifications for these items might be needed in the scale to yield better internal consistency. A cross-cultural difference might cause to these differences.

FertiQoL dimensions showed an intermediate correlation with similar dimensions of SF12 and HADS. Aarts and co-worker reported that there is a significant correlation between the Dutch version of FertiQoL and the scores of HADS questionnaire (0.29-0.71) indicating desirable convergent validity of FertiQoL (19).

Our finding showed that there is a poor floor and ceiling effect in all dimensions of FertiQoL compared with SF12. The lower prevalence of floor and ceiling effect may be due to the fact that a tool is more responsive to clinical changes and it is suggested that general tools with their specific dimensions are less than specific tools. It is suggested that if the distribution of scores of a tool is more homogenous and less floor and ceiling effects exist, the tool is capable of better measurement (9, 20). More studies are recommended to evaluate the responsiveness FertiQoL. In Maroufizadeh and co-worker there are significant correlations between Core FertiQoL and Satisfaction with Life Scale. Moreover, the Core FertiQoL and its subscales negatively correlated with anxiety and depression (8).

Generally, the results of the present study show that the Iranian version of FertiQoL has a six factorial construction with convergent

validity, discrimination validity and a desirable reliability. Present study sample size is 300 participates considering 5 patients were necessary for each item (subject-to-item ratio: 5:1). However, the FertiQoL have 36 questions and at least 180 participate are needed for evaluation of psychometrics properties. Therefore our results have high statistical power and more reliable than previous studies.

Limitation

There are some limitations to our study. The present study is limited to the patients recruited from the only referral hospital for infertility clinic; this may limit the generalizability of our findings. Furthermore, all of the participants in this study were married for cultural reasons in Iran. Although FertiQoL is a tool for measurement of quality of life in men and women, this study was conducted only on women. More studies on other psychometric properties of FertiQoL such as responsiveness, longitudinal validity and minimal important change are recommended.

Conclusion

The Iranian version of FertiQoL is recommended to be used in Iran for assessment of quality of life of infertile patients in research, clinical environments and for evaluation of treatment success. The Iranian version of FertiQoL is a valid and reliable tool for measuring overall quality of life and several aspects of specific quality of life in infertile patients.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a grant from Yasuj University Medical of Sciences, Yasuj, Iran. We are extremely grateful to the women who participated in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Abbasi Shavazi MJ, Asgari Khanghah A, Razeghi Nasrabad HB. Women and the infertility experience: A case study in Tehran. *Woman in Development and Politics (Women's Res)* 2005; 3: 91-114.
2. Fahami F, Hoseini Quchani S, Ehsanpour S, Zargham A. Women's lived experiences of female infertility. *Iran J Obstet Gynecol Infertil* 2010; 13: 45-53
3. Schanz S, Reimer T, Eichner M, Hautzinger M, Häfner HM, Fierlbeck G. Long-term life and partnership satisfaction in infertile patients: a 5-year longitudinal study. *Fertil Steril* 2011; 96: 416-421.
4. Chachamovich JR, Chachamovich E, Ezer H, Fleck MP, Knauth D, Passos EP. Investigating quality of life and health-related quality of life in infertility: a systematic review. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol* 2010; 31: 101-110.
5. Chachamovich J, Chachamovich E, Fleck MP, Cordova FP, Knauth D, Passos E. Congruence of quality of life among infertile men and women: findings from a couple-based study. *Hum Reprod* 2009; 24: 2151-2157.
6. Fisher JR, Baker GH, Hammarberg K. Long-term health, well-being, life satisfaction, and attitudes toward parenthood in men diagnosed as infertile: challenges to gender stereotypes and implications for practice. *Fertil Steril* 2010; 94: 574-580.
7. Boivin J, Takefman J, Braverman A. The fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) tool: development and general psychometric properties. *Fertil Steril* 2011; 96: 409-415.
8. Maroufizadeh S, Ghaheri A, Amini P, Samani RO. Psychometric properties of the fertility quality of life instrument in infertile Iranian women. *Int J Fertil Steril* 2017; 10: 371-379.
9. Bazarganipour F, Ziaei S, Montazeri A, Foroozanfard F, Faghihzadeh S. Iranian version of modified polycystic ovary syndrome health-related quality of Life questionnaire: Discriminant and convergent validity. *Iran J Reprod Med* 2013; 11: 753-760.
10. Kaasa S, Bjordal K, Aaronson N, Moum T, Wist E, Hagen S, et al. The EORTC core quality of life questionnaire (QLQ-C30): validity and reliability when analysed with patients treated with palliative radiotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 1995; 31: 2260-2263.
11. Herrmann C. International experiences with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale--a review of validation data and clinical results. *J Psychosom Res* 1997; 42: 17-41.
12. Montazeri A, Vahdaninia M, Ebrahimi M, Jarvandi S. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS): translation and validation study of the Iranian version. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2003; 1: 14.
13. Singh A, Gnanalingham K, Casey A, Crockard A. Quality of life assessment using the Short Form-12 (SF-12) questionnaire in patients with cervical

- spondylotic myelopathy: comparison with SF-36. *Spine* 2006; 31: 639-643.
14. Montazeri A, Vahdaninia M, Mousavi SJ, Omidvari S. The Iranian version of 12-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12): factor structure, internal consistency and construct validity. *BMC Public Health* 2009; 9: 341.
 15. Bazarganipour F, Ziaei S, Montazeri A, Faghihzadeh S, Frozanfard F. Psychometric properties of the Iranian version of modified polycystic ovary syndrome health-related quality-of-life questionnaire. *Hum Reprod* 2012; 27: 2729-2736.
 16. Dewey KG, Lovelady CA, Nommsen-Rivers LA, McCrory MA, Lönnerdal B. Randomized study of the effect of aerobic exercise by lactating women on breast-milk volume and composition. *N Engl J Med* 1994; 330: 449-453.
 17. Karabulut A, Ozkan S, Oguz N. Predictors of fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) in infertile women: analysis of confounding factors. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2013; 170: 193-197.
 18. Hsu PY, Lin MW, Hwang JL, Lee MS, Wu MH. The fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) questionnaire in Taiwanese infertile couples. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2013; 52: 204-209.
 19. Aarts JW, van Empel IW, Boivin J, Nelen WL, Kremer JA, Verhaak CM. Relationship between quality of life and distress in infertility: a validation study of the Dutch FertiQoL. *Hum Reprod* 2011; 26: 1112-1118.
 20. Unalan D, Soyuer F, Ozturk A, Mistik S. Comparison of SF-36 and WHOQOL-100 in patients with stroke. *Neurol India* 2008; 56: 426-432.