

Clinical Impact and Costs of Echocardiographic Screening for Rheumatic Heart Disease

James N. Kirkpatrick, MD; Catherine M. Otto, MD

n 18-year-old lies in bed in a lower-income country. He has just experienced an embolic stroke that originated on his infected mechanical mitral prosthesis, placed 2 years prior for severe rheumatic mitral stenosis. This true story is paradigmatic of the burdens created by rheumatic heart disease (RHD) in developing nations and underserved regions of developed nations. What if this tragedy could have been prevented by simple diagnosis and treatment of streptococcal pharyngitis so he never developed RHD? What if he had been started on secondary prophylaxis for rheumatic fever after echocardiographic screening for early RHD when he was in grade school? The basic issue is whether we can prevent RHD in low-resource settings by a combination of primary prevention, screening for early disease, and secondary therapy to prevent progressive valve damage. However, there are many other public health needs and not enough funding in lowresource settings. Agencies tasked with finding best use of limited resources often have little data to help guide difficult decisions.

RHD is rare in higher-income countries but still ravages the populations of lower/middle-income countries, afflicting patients from grade school age to older adulthood. There are upwards of 33 million patients with RHD, 275 000 deaths per year, and over 9 million Disability-Adjusted Life Years lost.¹ The prevalence peaks between 25 and 40 years of age, with a female predominance.^{2,3} Heart failure symptoms, infective endocarditis, sudden death, atrial fibrillation, and embolic stroke are frequent complications.⁴ RHD is caused by repeated bouts of acute rheumatic fever with a subsequent

J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005666. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005666.

immunological reaction to group A *Streptococcus* infection. Damage to heart values, most often the mitral valve, is characterized by thickening of the valve leaflets tips, fusion of the commissures between the leaflets, and chordal shortening, thickening, and fusion. Penicillin prophylaxis is indicated in patients with subclinical and clinical RHD to prevent repeat episodes of acute rheumatic fever and thereby circumvent or delay progression to more severe disease.⁵

In this context, echocardiographic screening for RHD makes sense: The burden of disease is considerable, there is an intervention that is indicated to alleviate the burden, and echocardiographic screening identifies more candidates for the intervention than other screening methods.^{6–14} But money is always a factor, and the 2 papers by Cannon et al^{15,16} in this issue of *JAHA* provide important insights into disease progression and cost utility of echocardiographic screening.

Disease Progression

In the first study,¹⁵ the authors examined a registry from the Northern Territories region of Australia with data from 1999 to 2012, focusing on 591 patients ages 5 to 24 with RHD, to investigate disease progression using a multistate model. In patients with severe RHD, there were high rates of progression to valve surgery by 2 years and death within 6 years. In patients with moderate RHD, there were equivalent rates of regression and progression. Most patients (64%) with mild RHD were stable over 10 years, though 11.4% progressed to severe RHD.

This study provides insights on RHD progression and uses a model that accounts for differences in disease state, as well as competing outcomes, unlike prior work.⁴ The authors considered both surgical intervention (including valve repair, percutaneous mitral balloon valvuloplasty, and valve replacement) and death as poor outcomes. Atrial fibrillation, stroke, endocarditis, and heart failure were not included. The most important limitation of this study, appropriately acknowledged by the authors, is the method of determining disease severity. Clinicians subjectively assigned a level of severity, integrating

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

From the University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

Correspondence to: Catherine M. Otto, MD, Division of Cardiology, Box 356422, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195. E-mail: cmotto@uw.edu

^{© 2017} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley Blackwell. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

clinical and imaging data according to Australian guidelines, but it is not clear to what extent guidelines were followed and whether different clinicians assigned different levels to the same patient over time.

Echocardiographic Screening for RHD

In the second study,¹⁶ the authors used these RHD progression data in a simulation of 2 grade-school echocardiographic screening algorithms with different populations ("Echo A": 8- and 12-year-olds versus "Echo B": 5 through 12-year-olds) and different timing ("Echo A": annually versus "Echo B": alternate years) of screening. Both models assumed that a sonographer performed the screening with a portable machine in the community, detecting findings consistent with World Heart Federation criteria for Definite RHD.¹⁷ Positive scans would be reviewed by a pediatric cardiologist, who would then determine appropriate follow-up.

The authors found that "Echo B" met standard costeffectiveness criteria for Disability-Adjusted Life Years saved, primarily by uncovering more cases of RHD at an earlier stage, with treatment reducing subsequent costs related to morbidity and mortality. Cost effectiveness was sustained despite varying multiple assumptions but was sensitive to assumptions about numbers screened and costs incurred by follow-up for positive scans. The analyses also included considerable costs for staff salaries and travel. Although these findings may not be generalizable to other settings, the fact that Echo B demonstrated favorable cost utility suggests that even greater cost effectiveness could be achieved in settings with lower travel costs and lower salaries for sonographers and pediatric cardiologists. The use of less expensive echocardiography machines by less experienced users^{18,19} may further lower costs. However, as the authors note, such a strategy may result in more overcalls and/or inadequate images, leading to more referrals for cardiology follow-up, thus raising cost. It remains to be seen whether these increased expenses would be offset by lower follow-up costs in lower/middle-income countries.

Conclusion

Understanding disease progression and optimal ways to identify RHD at an early stage to prevent progression will help guide public health budget processes. Ideally, future cost utility simulations that account for factors specific to individual countries could determine optimal screening algorithms. The studies by Cannon et al^{15,16} provide important data to support echocardiographic screening. Although much work remains to be done, particularly in regard to promoting adherence to secondary prophylaxis, cost-effective

echocardiographic screening is a crucial step toward preventing the tragic and expensive sequelae of RHD for many patients.

Disclosures

None.

References

- Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2015;386:743–800.
- Dougherty S, Khorsandi M, Herbst P. Rheumatic heart disease screening: current concepts and challenges. Ann Pediatr Cardiol. 2017;10:39–49.
- Lawrence JG, Carapetis JR, Griffiths K, Edwards K, Condon JR. Acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease: incidence and progression in the Northern Territory of Australia, 1997 to 2010. *Circulation*. 2013;128:492–501.
- 4. Zühlke L, Karthikeyan G, Engel ME, Rangarajan S, Mackie P, Cupido-Katya Mauff B, Islam S, Daniels R, Francis V, Ogendo S, Gitura B, Mondo C, Okello E, Lwabi P, Al-Kebsi MM, Hugo-Hamman C, Sheta SS, Haileamlak A, Daniel W, Goshu DY, Abdissa SG, Desta AG, Shasho BA, Begna DM, ElSayed A, Ibrahim AS, Musuku J, Bode-Thomas F, Yilgwan CC, Amusa GA, Ige O, Okeahialam B, Sutton C, Misra R, Abul Fadl A, Kennedy N, Damasceno A, Sani MU, Ogah OS, Elhassan TO, Mocumbi AO, Adeoye AM, Mntla P, Ojji D, Mucumbitsi J, Teo K, Yusuf S, Mayosi BM. Clinical outcomes in 3343 children and adults with rheumatic heart disease from 14 low- and middle-income countries: two-year follow-up of the Global Rheumatic Heart Disease Registry (the REMEDY Study). *Circulation*. 2016;134:1456–1466.
- Carapetis JR, Beaton A, Cunningham MW, Guilherme L, Karthikeyan G, Mayosi BM, Sable C, Steer A, Wilson N, Wyber R, Zühlke L. Acute rheumatic fever and rheumatic heart disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2016;2:15084.
- Saxena A, Ramakrishnan S, Roy A, Seth S, Krishnan A, Misra P, Kalaivani M, Bhargava B, Flather MD, Poole-Wilson PP. Prevalence and outcome of subclinical rheumatic heart disease in India: the RHEUMATIC (Rheumatic Heart Echo Utilisation and Monitoring Actuarial Trends in Indian Children) study. *Heart*. 2011;97:2018–2022.
- Bhaya M, Panwar S, Beniwal R, Panwar RB. High prevalence of rheumatic heart disease detected by echocardiography in school children. *Echocardiography*. 2010;27:448–453.
- Carapetis JR, Hardy M, Fakakovikaetau T, Taib R, Wilkinson L, Penny DJ, Steer AC. Evaluation of a screening protocol using auscultation and portable echocardiography to detect asymptomatic rheumatic heart disease in Tongan schoolchildren. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med.* 2008;5:411–417.
- Marijon E, Ou P, Celermajer DS, Ferreira B, Mocumbi AO, Jani D, Paquet C, Jacob S, Sidi D, Jouven X. Prevalence of rheumatic heart disease detected by echocardiographic screening. N Engl J Med. 2007;357:470–476.
- Beaton A, Okello E, Lwabi P, Mondo C, McCarter R, Sable C. Echocardiography screening for rheumatic heart disease in Ugandan schoolchildren. *Circulation*. 2012;125:3127–3132.
- Roberts K, Colquhoun S, Steer A, Remenyi B, Carapetis J. Screening for rheumatic heart disease: current approaches and controversies. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2013;10:49–58.
- Beaton A, Aliku T, Okello E, Lubega S, McCarter R, Lwabi P, Sable C. The utility of handheld echocardiography for early diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2014;27:42–49.
- Beaton A, Lu JC, Aliku T, Dean P, Gaur L, Weinberg J, Godown J, Lwabi P, Mirembe G, Okello E, Reese A, Shrestha-Astudillo A, Bradley-Hewitt T, Scheel J, Webb C, McCarter R, Ensing G, Sable C. The utility of handheld echocardiography for early rheumatic heart disease diagnosis: a field study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2015;16:475–482.
- Godown J, Lu JC, Beaton A, Sable C, Mirembe G, Sanya R, Aliku T, Yu S, Lwabi P, Webb CL, Ensing GJ. Handheld echocardiography versus auscultation for detection of rheumatic heart disease. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135:e939–e944.
- Cannon J, Roberts K, Milne C, Carapetis JR. Rheumatic heart disease severity, progression and outcomes: a multi-state model. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6: e003498. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003498.
- Cannon J, Roberts K, Brown A, Maguire G, Reményi B, Carapetis J. Echocardiographic screening for rheumatic heart disease in indigenous Australian children: a cost utility analysis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6: e004515. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004515.

- Remenyi B, Wilson N, Steer A, Ferreira B, Kado J, Kumar K, Lawrenson J, Maguire G, Marijon E, Mirabel M, Mocumbi AO, Mota C, Paar J, Saxena A, Scheel J, Stirling J, Viali S, Balekundri VI, Wheaton G, Zuhlke L, Carapetis J. World Heart Federation criteria for echocardiographic diagnosis of rheumatic heart disease-an evidence-based guideline. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2012;9:297–309.
- Ploutz M, Lu JC, Scheel J, Webb C, Ensing GJ, Aliku T, Lwabi P, Sable C, Beaton A. Handheld echocardiographic screening for rheumatic heart disease by nonexperts. *Heart*. 2016;102:35–39.
- Nascimento BR, Nunes MC, Lopes EL, Rezende VM, Landay T, Ribeiro AL, Sable C, Beaton AZ. Rheumatic heart disease echocardiographic screening: approaching practical and affordable solutions. *Heart*. 2016;102:658–664.

Key Words: Editorials • echocardiography • rheumatic heart disease