

MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS

Validation of the One Broth One Plate for *Salmonella* Method for Detection of *Salmonella* Spp. in Select Food and Environmental Samples: AOAC Performance Tested MethodSM 102002

Susan Alles,¹ Brooke Roman,^{1,*} Quynh-Nhi Le,¹ Magdalena Kurteu,² Ezzeddine Elmerhebi,² Christopher Potter,² Mark Mozola,¹ Wesley Thompson,³ Benjamin Bastin,³ and Robert Donofrio¹

¹Neogen Corp., 620 Leshler Pl., Lansing, MI 48912, USA, ²Neogen Corp., Moss Hall Rd, Heywood, Bury BL9 7JJ, UK, ³Q Laboratories, 1930 Radcliff Dr., Cincinnati, OH 45204, USA

*Corresponding author's e-mail: broman@neogen.com

Abstract

Background: One Broth One Plate for *Salmonella* (OBOP *Salmonella*) is a rapid and simple method for detection of *Salmonella* spp. in food and environmental samples using traditional culture methodology. The method utilizes single-step enrichment followed by plating to a selective/differential, chromogenic agar.

Objective: The purpose of the validation study was to measure the effectiveness of the OBOP *Salmonella* method in comparison to reference culture procedures.

Method: Performance of the OBOP *Salmonella* method was compared to that of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration *Bacteriological Analytical Manual* Chapter 5 reference method for queso fresco, smoked salmon, cantaloupe, chocolate, black pepper, chili powder, dry pet food, and sponge samples from a stainless steel surface, or to that of the U.S. Department of Agriculture *Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook* Chapter 4.10 method for raw ground turkey, chicken carcass rinse, and pasteurized liquid egg. Inclusivity/exclusivity, robustness, and stability/lot-to-lot consistency testing was also performed.

Results: In the matrix study, there were no statistically significant differences in performance between the OBOP *Salmonella* and reference methods, as determined by probability of detection analysis ($P < 0.05$), for any of the matrixes examined. All 104 *Salmonella* spp. strains produced positive results in inclusivity testing, and all 33 non-salmonellae exclusivity strains tested negative with the OBOP *Salmonella* method.

Conclusions: Results of the validation study show that the OBOP *Salmonella* method is a reliable procedure for detection of *Salmonella* spp. in select matrixes. The method is simple to perform, requires no specialized equipment, and produces results in as little as 37 h.

Highlights: The OBOP *Salmonella* method was awarded AOAC PTMSM (#102002) for detection of *Salmonella* in queso fresco, smoked salmon, cantaloupe, chocolate, black pepper, chili powder, dry pet food, sponge samples on a stainless steel

Received: 27 October 2020; Accepted: 27 October 2020

© AOAC INTERNATIONAL 2021.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

surface, raw ground turkey, chicken carcass rinse, and pasteurized liquid egg. The method is also approved by MicroVal[®] for a broad range of foods under certification number 2019LR88.

Scope of Method

- Analytes.**—*Salmonella enterica* and *S. bongori*.
- Matrixes.**—Queso fresco, smoked salmon, cantaloupe, dry pet food, chocolate, black pepper, chili powder, raw ground turkey, chicken carcass rinse, pasteurized liquid egg, and sponge samples from stainless steel surfaces.
- Summary of validated performance claims.**—No statistically significant differences in performance between the One Broth One Plate for *Salmonella* (OBOP *Salmonella*) method and the following reference methods: U.S. Food and Drug Administration *Bacteriological Analytical Manual* (FDA/BAM), Chapter 5 (1), *Salmonella*; U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service *Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook* (USDA/MLG), Chapter 4.10, Isolation and Identification of *Salmonella* from Meat, Poultry, Pasteurized Egg, and Siluriformes (Fish) Products and Carcass and Environmental Sponges (2).

Definitions

- Probability of detection (POD).**—The proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration. POD is concentration dependent. Several POD measures can be calculated; POD_R (reference method POD), POD_C (confirmed candidate method POD), POD_{CP} (candidate method presumptive result POD), and POD_{CC} (candidate method confirmation result POD).
- Difference of probabilities of detection (dPOD).**—Difference of probabilities of detection is the difference between any two POD values. If the confidence interval of the dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level (3, 4).

Principle

One Broth One Plate for *Salmonella* (OBOP *Salmonella*) is a rapid and simple method based on traditional culture methodology. Samples are enriched in buffered peptone water (BPW) containing a *Salmonella* selective supplement for 18 ± 2 h at 41.5 ± 1°C. After incubation, a 10 µL aliquot is streaked to Harlequin Chromogenic Agar for *Salmonella* esterase (CASE agar) and incubated for 24 ± 3 h at 37 ± 2°C. CASE agar uses a dual chromogenic system to differentiate *Salmonella* spp. from non-salmonellae. One chromogen is a target for esterase activity common to *Salmonella* spp., resulting in blue-green colonies. The second chromogen is a target for β-glucosidase activity present in some non-target organisms. Non-target organisms that are able to grow and that also possess esterase activity will form black colonies on CASE agar due to the β-glucosidase activity, masking the blue-green color formed by esterase activity. Other non-target organisms are either inhibited or form colorless colonies. Non-motile salmonellae (e.g., *Salmonella enterica* ser. Pullorum and *S. enterica* ser. Gallinarum), monophasic variants (e.g., *S. enterica* I 4,[5],12: i-), strains with weak esterase activity (e.g., *S. enterica* ser. Dublin), lactose-positive strains (e.g., *S.*

enterica subsp. *arizonae*), and serovars of *S. bongori* are all detectable on CASE agar.

Materials and Methods

Test Information

- Test name.**—One Broth One Plate for *Salmonella* (OBOP *Salmonella*).
- Enrichment media.**—BPW HQ (ISO), Cat. No. NCM0270.
- Enrichment supplement.**—Neogen *Salmonella* Selective Supplement, Cat. No. NCM4000-10.
- Selective agar.**—Harlequin[®] Chromogenic Agar for *Salmonella* Esterase (CASE), Cat. No. NCM1006.
- Ordering information.**—In the United States: Neogen Corp., 620 Leshler Pl., Lansing, MI 48912, Tel: 800-234-5333 or 517-372-9200, Fax: 517-372-2006, Website: www.neogen.com. Outside the United States: Contact U.S. office for ordering or distributor information.

Additional Supplies and Reagents

- Stomacher-type bags for sample enrichment.**—With filters, Cat. No. 6827 or equivalent.
- Purified water.**
- Graduated cylinder.**—250 mL, Cat. No. 9368 or equivalent.
- Pipets.**—Sterile, 10 mL.
- Micropipettor and tips.**—1 mL.
- Petri dishes.**—Sterile.
- Inoculation loops.**—10 µL, sterile.
- Media and reagents as required for confirmation of presumptive *Salmonella* spp. colonies** (1, 2).

Apparatus

- Balance.**—1 kg capacity, ± 0.1 g.
- Food homogenizer.**—Stomacher or equivalent.
- Incubators.**—Two, 41.5 ± 1°C and 37 ± 2°C.

Reference Materials

Organisms used in the validation study were obtained from the following sources: American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA), Q Laboratories (Cincinnati, OH, USA), National Collection of Type Cultures (Salisbury, UK), U.S. Food and Drug Administration (College Park, MD, USA), and University of Pennsylvania (State College, PA, USA).

Safety Precautions

Use of this test should be restricted to individuals with appropriate laboratory training in microbiology. *Salmonella* spp. is a Biosafety Level 2 organism. Reagents are for laboratory use only. All pipetting transfers must be made using either a disposable pipet and pipetting aid or micropipettor with disposable tips. Culture media contains antimicrobial selective agents and dyes. Wear appropriate PPE and avoid contact with skin and mucous membranes. Refer to the Safety Data Sheet available from Neogen Corp. for more information. Used enrichment

cultures and agar media should be handled and disposed of as potentially infectious material. The preferred method for disposal of contaminated material is autoclaving. Items that cannot be autoclaved may be decontaminated by using a disinfectant solution, e.g., 10% household bleach, followed by rinsing with water. Consult with your facility safety director for specific instructions.

General Preparation

- (a) Use aseptic technique.
- (b) Use filter bags for enrichment to minimize particulates.
- (c) Do not reuse disposable supplies.
- (d) Change pipet tips between samples.
- (e) Avoid shaking the enrichment bag and collecting large food fragments. For fatty foods, collect the sample just below the fat layer.
- (f) Reconstitution of *Salmonella* Selective Supplement.
 - (1) Add 10 mL BPW or purified water to one vial of selective supplement.
 - (2) Swirl to dissolve.
- (g) Preparation of CASE agar.
 - (1) Dissolve 49.9 g CASE agar dehydrated medium in 1.0 L purified water and mix thoroughly.
 - (2) Bring rapidly to a boil with frequent agitation, then temper in a water bath to 50°C.

Note: Medium must sufficiently boil or a white precipitate will be seen in the agar. This does not affect performance and can be avoided by sufficiently boiling the medium.
 - (3) Dispense into sterile Petri dishes and allow to cool.

Sample Preparation

- (a) Sample enrichment.
 - (1) 25 g samples (chili powder, black pepper, queso fresco, chocolate, dry pet food, cantaloupe, smoked salmon, raw ground turkey).—Homogenize 25 g sample in 225 mL BPW. Up to 1 h later, add 1.0 mL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.
 - (2) 325 g raw ground turkey samples.—Homogenize 325 g sample in 1300 mL BPW. Add 5.8 mL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.
 - (3) 375 g dry pet food samples.—Homogenize 375 g in 1875 mL BPW. Add 8.3 mL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.
 - (4) 100 g pasteurized liquid egg samples.—Homogenize 100 g sample in 900 mL BPW. Add 4.0 mL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.
 - (5) Chicken carcass rinse samples.—Rinse the whole chicken carcass with 400 mL BPW following the USDA/MLG procedure (2). Remove a 30 mL aliquot of the rinse. To the 30 mL aliquot, add 30 mL BPW and 133 µL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.
 - (6) Sponge samples from stainless steel surfaces.—Add sponge sample to 100 mL BPW and add 440 µL reconstituted *Salmonella* selective supplement. Mix thoroughly. Incubate at 41.5 ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 h.

Analysis

Using a sterile inoculating loop, streak 10 µL of the enrichment culture to a CASE agar plate. A four-quadrant streak method is recommended. Incubate the plate at 37 ± 2°C for 24 ± 3 h.

Interpretation of Results

- (a) Blue-green color colonies are presumptive *Salmonella* spp.
- (b) Certain other *Enterobacteriaceae* will form black (e.g., *Enterobacter* spp., *Klebsiella* spp.), brown (*Proteus* spp.), or colorless (e.g., *Escherichia* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Shigella* spp.) colonies. Other organisms will be inhibited.

Confirmation

Presumptive *Salmonella* colonies may be confirmed following standard biochemical, serological, nucleic acid-based, and/or mass spectrometric procedures (1, 2).

Validation Study

The study was conducted in accordance with the current AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces (3) and ISO 16140-2:2016, Microbiology of the Food Chain—Method Validation—Part 2: Protocol for the Validation of Alternative (Proprietary) Methods Against a Reference Method (5) as part of a harmonized study with MicroVal. Inclusivity/exclusivity and matrix studies were conducted to satisfy both AOAC and MicroVal requirements. In addition to inclusivity/exclusivity and matrix testing, the study also included method robustness testing and stability/lot-to-lot consistency testing. The following matrixes were tested in the method developer laboratory: chili powder, black pepper, queso fresco, chocolate, and pasteurized liquid egg. Testing of the following matrixes was conducted independently by a third-party laboratory per MicroVal requirements: smoked salmon, cantaloupe, dry pet food, raw ground turkey, chicken carcass rinse, and sponge samples from a stainless steel surface. Additional independent laboratory testing was performed by Q Laboratories and included two of the claimed matrixes (queso fresco and pasteurized liquid egg).

Method Developer Studies

Inclusivity testing

Methods.—One hundred and four *Salmonella* spp. strains were tested, representing *S. bongori*, the six recognized subspecies of *S. enterica*, and the major somatic (O) groups. Test strains were grown in BPW overnight. Two hundred and twenty-five mL BPW plus *Salmonella* selective supplement was inoculated with 10–100 CFU of the test strain and incubated at 41.5 ± 1°C for 16 h. Cultures were plated to CASE agar and incubated at 37 ± 2°C for 21 h. Presumptive *Salmonella* spp. colonies were plated to xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) agar and confirmed using standard methods (1, 2). The MALDI Biotyper® (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) was used for final confirmation (6).

Results.—Results are shown in Table 1. All 104 inclusivity strains produced blue-green colonies on CASE agar and confirmed positive as *Salmonella* spp.

Table 1. Inclusivity testing results for the OBOP Salmonella method

Species and subspecies	Serovar name	O group or antigenic formula	Source and strain No.	Origin	OBOP Salmonella result
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Arizonae</i>		51	ATCC 13314 ^a	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Arizonae</i>			ATCC BAA-1577	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Diarizonae</i>			ATCC BAA-639	Human feces	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Diarizonae</i>		O	ATCC BAA-216	Human blood	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Houtenae</i>	Halmstad	3,15; g, s, t:-	QL 024.1 ^b	Clinical isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Houtenae</i>	Harmelen	51	ATCC 15783	Boa constrictor	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Houtenae</i>	Ochsenzoll	I	ATCC 29932	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Indica</i>	Ferlac	H	ATCC 43976	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Indica</i>	Ferlac	H	NCTC 10458 ^c	Ceylonese desiccated coconut	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Indica</i>		W	ATCC BAA-1578	India	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Salamae</i>	Artis	56	ATCC 700149	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Salamae</i>	Basel	58	ATCC 700151	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Enterica</i>	Abaetetuba	F	ATCC 35640	Creek water	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>Enterica</i>	Abortusequi	B	FDA 9842 ^d	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Abortusovis	B	NCTC 10241	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Abony	B	NCTC 6017	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Adelaide	O	UPenn STS 2 ^e	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Agona	B	ATCC 51957	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Agama	B	UPenn STS 3	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Agoueve	G	UPenn STS 5	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Alachua	O	UPenn STS 6	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Albany	C ₂	UPenn STS 7	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Anatum	E ₁	ATCC 9270	Pork liver	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Arkansas	E ₃	UPenn STS 11	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Bareilly	C ₁	FDA 1206H	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Berta	D ₁	UPenn STS 13	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Binza	E ₂	UPenn STS 14	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Bovismorbificans	C ₂	UPenn STS 16	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Brandenburg	B	UPenn STS 18	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Bredeney	B	NCTC 5731	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	California	B	NCTC 6018	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Cerro	K	UPenn STS 22	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Choleraesuis	C ₁	ATCC 10708	Equine isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Choleraesuis var Kunzendorf	C ₁	ATCC 12011	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Cubana	G	UPenn STS 24	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Derby	B	NCTC 5721	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Drypool	E ₁	UPenn STS 26	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Dublin	D ₁	UPenn STS 27	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Eastbourne	D ₁	FDA 4017H	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Enteritidis	D ₁	ATCC 13076	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Galiema	C ₁	QL024.2	Environmental isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Give	E ₁	UPenn STS 42	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Haardt	C ₂	UPenn STS 44	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Hadar	C ₂	ATCC 51956	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Havana	G	UPenn STS 47	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Heidelberg	B	ATCC 8326	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Illinois	E ₃	ATCC 11646	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Indiana	B	NCTC 11304	Turkey	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Infantis	C ₁	ATCC 51741	Pasta	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Javiana	D ₁	ATCC 10721	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Jerusalem	C ₁	QL024.12	Pet food	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Johannesburg	R	UPenn STS 56	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Kahla	T	ATCC 17980	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Kaitaan	H	QL024.7	Pet food	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Kentucky	C ₂	ATCC 9263	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Krefeld	E ₄	UPenn STS 58	Not available	Positive

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Species and subspecies	Serovar name	O group or antigenic formula	Source and strain No.	Origin	OBOP <i>Salmonella</i> result
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Lille	C ₁	UPenn STS 59	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Livingstone	C ₁	UPenn STS 63	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	London	E ₁	UPenn STS 64	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Manhattan	C ₂	UPenn STS 65	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Mbandaka	C ₁	FDA 37 N	Low moisture ingredient	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Menden	C ₁	ATCC 15992	Feces	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Meleagridis	E ₁	QL12074-1	Environmental isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Minnesota	L	UPenn STS 70	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Montevideo	C ₁	ATCC 8387	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Muenchen	C ₂	ATCC BAA-1594	Human stool	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Neasden	D ₁	QL024.4	Raw material	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Newington	E ₂	QL024.8	Fish oil	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Newport	C ₂	ATCC 6962	Food poisoning	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Ohio	C ₁	UPenn STS 81	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Oranienburg	C ₁	ATCC 9239	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Orthmarshen	C ₁	QL024.13	Pet kibble	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Paratyphi A	A	ATCC 9150	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Paratyphi B	B	ATCC 10719	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Paratyphi C	C ₁	ATCC 13428	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Pomona	M	ATCC 10729	Clinical isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Poona	G	NCTC 4840	Infant enteritis	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Potsdam	C ₁	QL15091-1A	Pet food	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Preston	B	QL024.16	Low moisture product	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Pullorum	D ₁	ATCC 13036	Egg	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Rubislaw	F	UPenn STS 92	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Saintpaul	B	ATCC 9712	Cystitis	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Sandiego	B	UPenn STS 94	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Schalkwijk	H	QL024.10	Cat food	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Schwarzengrund	B	UPenn STS 95	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Senftenberg	E ₄	ATCC 43845	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Stanley	B	ATCC 7308	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Sylvania	H	QL091313.4	Raw dog food	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Tallahassee	C ₂	ATCC 12002	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Tennessee	C ₁	QL024.6	Clinical isolate	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Thompson	C ₁	FDA 2051H	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Tranoroa	55	NCTC 10252	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Typhi	D ₁	ATCC 6539	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Typhimurium	B	ATCC 14028	Animal tissue	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Utrecht	52	NCTC 10077	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Urbana	N	UPenn STS 110	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Vellore	B	ATCC 15611	Rectal swab	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Virchow	C ₁	ATCC 51955	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Volta	F	QL024.9	Raw material	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Westhampton	E ₁	QL024.14	Dog kibble	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Worthington	G	UPenn STS 114	Not available	Positive
<i>S. enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i>	Zwickau	I	NCTC 15805	Not available	Positive
<i>S. bongori</i>		66	ATCC 43975	Not available	Positive
<i>S. bongori</i>	Brookfield	66	NCTC 12419	Not available	Positive

^aATCC = American Type Culture Collection.

^bQL = Q Laboratories Culture Collection.

^cNCTC = National Collection of Type Cultures.

^dFDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration Culture Collection.

^eUPenn = University of Pennsylvania Culture Collection.

Exclusivity testing

Method.—Thirty-three exclusivity strains were tested, comprised primarily of non-*Salmonella* members of the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Test strains were grown in brain heart infusion broth at $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 16 h. Dilutions were made and BPW was inoculated at a level of approximately 1×10^5 CFU/mL,

followed by incubation at $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 21 h. Cultures were plated to CASE agar and incubated at $37 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for 21 h.

Results.—Results are presented in Table 2. None of the test strains produced presumptive (blue-green) colonies on CASE agar, therefore results were negative for all 33 exclusivity strains.

Table 2. Exclusivity testing results for the OBOP *Salmonella* method

Organism	Source and strain No.	Origin	OBOP <i>Salmonella</i> result
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	ATCC 49140 ^a	Clinical Isolate	Negative—No growth
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i>	ATCC 8750	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	ATCC 6051	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	ATCC 33560	Feces, bovine	Negative—No growth
<i>Candida albicans</i>	ATCC 24433	Nail infection	Negative—Colorless colonies
<i>Carnobacterium maltaromaticum</i>	ATCC 43224	Vacuum-packed beef	Negative—No growth
<i>Citrobacter youngae</i>	ATCC 11102	Not available	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Citrobacter farmer</i>	ATCC 51112	Feces, human	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	ATCC 8090	Not available	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	ATCC 15947	Feces, human	Negative—No growth
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	ATCC 13048	Sputum	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	ATCC 23355	Not available	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	ATCC 29212	Urine	Negative—No growth
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	ATCC 25922	Clinical isolate	Negative—Colorless colonies
<i>Escherichia hermannii</i>	ATCC 33651	Arm wound	Negative—Colorless colonies
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	ATCC 51813	Milk	Negative—Colorless colonies
<i>Lactobacillus kefir</i>	ATCC 35411	Kefir	Negative—No growth
<i>Lactobacillus lactis</i>	ATCC 4794	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	ATCC 7644	Human isolate	Negative—No growth
<i>Kluyvera intermedia</i>	ATCC 33110	Surface water	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	ATCC 13883	Not available	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Kocuria rhizophila</i>	ATCC 9341	Soil	Negative—No growth
<i>Morganella morganii</i>	ATCC 25829	Human	Negative—Colorless colonies
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	ATCC 7002	Urine	Negative—No growth
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	ATCC 6380	Clinical isolate	Negative—No growth
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	ATCC 27853	Clinical isolate	Negative—No growth
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	ATCC 14756	Fort Detrick, MD	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	ATCC 13880	Human	Negative—Black colonies
<i>Shigella sonnei</i>	ATCC 29930	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Shigella boydii</i>	ATCC 9207	Pork liver	Negative—No growth
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	ATCC 10832	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	ATCC 12228	Not available	Negative—No growth
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	ATCC 6302	Not available	Negative—No growth

^a ATCC = American Type Culture Collection.

Matrix testing

Methods.—For chili powder, black pepper, queso fresco, smoked salmon, cantaloupe, chocolate, dry pet food, and sponge samples from a stainless steel surface, performance of the OBOP *Salmonella* method was compared to that of the FDA/BAM reference culture procedure (1). For raw ground turkey, chicken carcass rinse, and liquid pasteurized egg, performance of the OBOP *Salmonella* method was compared to that of the USDA/MLG reference culture procedure (2). Food items and chicken carcasses used for rinse testing were obtained from local suppliers.

Dilutions of overnight cultures were used as inocula. Test strains (Table 3) were taken from frozen stocks and grown on tryptic soy agar (TSA) with 5% sheep blood at 35 ± 1°C for 24 ± 2 h. A single colony was then transferred to BPW and incubated for 35 ± 1°C for 24 ± 2 h, after which appropriate dilutions were made in BPW.

For heat-processed foods (smoked salmon, queso fresco, liquid pasteurized egg), the *Salmonella* spp. inoculum was heated at 50–55°C for 5–10 min and the degree of injury was assessed by plating to non-selective (TSA) and selective (XLD) media. For all food matrixes other than dry pet food, black pepper, chili powder, and chicken carcass rinse, the liquid inoculum was applied to the matrix in bulk, followed by extensive manual mixing and division into individual test portions. Chocolate was melted, the inoculum mixed in, and then allowed to re-solidify. Chicken carcasses were inoculated by applying 1 mL of liquid

inoculum to the carcass cavity. For low-moisture food matrixes (dry pet food, black pepper, chili powder), bulk product was inoculated with a lyophilized cell pellet of the test strain. After equilibration, the seeded material was mixed with additional, uninoculated material to achieve the desired contamination level. Low moisture matrixes (and controls) were allowed to equilibrate for 14 days at 20–25°C before analysis. All other matrixes were allowed to equilibrate for 48–72 h at 2–8°C.

For all food matrixes except chicken carcasses, a most probable number (MPN) analysis was conducted on the inoculated and control matrixes on the day of analysis to determine the level of surviving salmonellae. The MPN analysis was performed in accordance with the AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Annex A (3), and included use of an MPN calculator to determine MPN values and confidence intervals (7). For chicken carcasses, the inoculation level was determined by performing a standard plate count on the inoculum culture.

For stainless steel, 10 × 10 cm surface areas were inoculated with a culture dilution. In addition to the *Salmonella* spp. target strain, the inoculum included the competitor organism *Citrobacter freundii* at a level approximately 10-fold higher than that of the *Salmonella* inoculum. Standard plate counts were performed on the inoculum cultures to determine the actual levels. The inoculum was allowed to dry for 16–24 h at 20–25°C. Surface

Table 3. Method comparison results: OBOP *Salmonella* presumptive versus confirmed

Matrix	Strain	Level (CFU/portion) ^a	N ^b	OBOP <i>Salmonella</i> presumptive			OBOP <i>Salmonella</i> confirmed			dPOD _{CP} ^f	95% CI ^g
				x ^c	POD _{CP} ^d	95% CI	x	POD _{CC} ^e	95% CI		
Chili powder	<i>Salmonella</i> Oranienberg	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	ATCC 9239 ^h	0.47 (0.25, 0.78)	30	6	0.20	0.10, 0.37	6	0.20	0.10, 0.37	0	–0.09, 0.09
Black pepper	<i>Salmonella</i> Weltevreden	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	CDC 147 ⁱ	0.43 (0.21, 0.71)	30	10	0.33	0.19, 0.51	10	0.33	0.19, 0.51	0	–0.09, 0.09
Queso fresco	<i>Salmonella</i>	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	Agona	1.1 (0.71, 1.8)	30	14	0.47	0.30, 0.64	14	0.47	0.30, 0.64	0	–0.09, 0.09
Queso fresco ^j	CDC 1201–82	6.0 (1.7, 22)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.47, 0.47
	<i>Salmonella</i>	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Chocolate	Agona	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	0	–0.13, 0.13
	ATCC 51957	2.6 (1.1, 5.8)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.47, 0.47
Dry pet food, 25 g ^k	<i>Salmonella</i> Senftenberg	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	ATCC 8400	1.3 (0.85, 2.0)	30	17	0.57	0.39, 0.73	17	0.57	0.39, 0.73	0	–0.09, 0.09
Dry pet food, 375 g ^k	<i>Salmonella</i> Othmarschen	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	QL 024.16 ^l	0.70 (0.42, 1.1)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0	–0.13, 0.13
Cantaloupe ^k	<i>Salmonella</i>	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	Newport	0.55 (0.29, 0.93)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0	–0.13, 0.13
Smoked salmon ^k	ATCC 6962	3.7 (1.0, 9.0)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.47, 0.47
	<i>Salmonella</i> Montevideo	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Raw ground turkey, 25 g ^k	ATCC 8387	0.50 (0.25, 0.86)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0	–0.13, 0.13
	<i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Raw ground turkey, 325 g ^k	ATCC 14028	1.0 (0.61, 1.7)	20	14	0.70	0.48, 0.85	14	0.70	0.48, 0.85	0	–0.13, 0.13
	<i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Pasteurized liquid egg	ATCC 14028	1.0 (0.61, 1.7)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0	–0.13, 0.13
	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Pasteurized liquid egg ^j	ATCC 13076	0.35 (0.14, 0.62)	30	9	0.30	0.17, 0.48	9	0.30	0.17, 0.48	0	–0.09, 0.09
	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Chicken carcass rinse ^k	ATCC 13076	0.75 (0.44, 1.2)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0	–0.13, 0.13
	<i>Salmonella</i> Kentucky	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
Stainless steel ^k	ATCC 9263	26 ^m	20	10	0.50	0.30, 0.70	10	0.50	0.30, 0.70	0	–0.13, 0.13
	<i>Salmonella</i> Derby	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	NCTC5721	59/720 ^o	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0	–0.13, 0.13
	+ <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> ⁿ	240/3100 ^o	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.47, 0.47
	ATCC 8090	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47

^aFrom MPN analysis.^bN = Number of test portions.^cx = Number of positive test portions.^dPOD_{CP} = Candidate method presumptive positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.^ePOD_{CC} = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.^fdPOD_{CP} = Difference between the candidate method presumptive result and candidate method confirmed result POD values.^g95% CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.^hATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA.ⁱCDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA.^jTrial performed by the independent laboratory.^kTrial performed by an independent third-party laboratory as part of the MicroVal study.^lQL = Q Laboratories, Cincinnati, OH.^mCFU per carcass, determined by plate count of the inoculum culture.ⁿNCTC = National Collection of Type Cultures, Salisbury, UK.^oCFU per test area, determined by plate count of the inoculum culture.‘–’ means samples were not spiked with *Salmonella*.

areas were sampled with sponges following standard procedures.

For each matrix, 40 (or in some cases 60) test portions were prepared at an inoculation level (0.2–2 CFU/test portion) intended to produce a fractional positive data set (25–75% of test portions positive). Ten test portions were prepared at a level of approximately 2–10 CFU/test portion; this level is expected to produce 100% positive results. Ten uninoculated test portions were prepared as controls. For this unpaired study, from each group, half of the test portions were tested by the OBOP *Salmonella* method and half by the appropriate reference method. In the case of 325 g (raw ground turkey) and 375 g (dry pet food) test portions, test portions were prepared by mixing 25 g of inoculated product with an additional 300 or 350 g of product.

The OBOP *Salmonella* method was performed as described in *Materials and Methods*. All OBOP *Salmonella* method enrichment cultures were subject to confirmation following the relevant reference method procedures (including secondary enrichment, if applicable), regardless of whether they yielded presumptive positive colonies on CASE agar. Reference methods were performed as described in the current versions of the published procedures (1, 2).

POD analysis at $P < 0.05$ (3, 4) was used to determine if differences in the number of positive results between methods was significant. OBOP *Salmonella* presumptive and confirmed results were compared using a paired POD model and OBOP *Salmonella* final and reference method results were compared using an unpaired POD model.

Results.—Results are shown in Tables 3 and 4. The desired fractional data sets for the low-level inoculated test portions were obtained for all matrixes. All presumptive positive OBOP *Salmonella* results were confirmed; there were no false-positive results in the study (Table 3). In the 13 trials encompassing 10 foods and 1 environmental surface, there were no significant differences in the number of positive results obtained with the OBOP *Salmonella* and reference culture methods (Table 4). For all matrixes, all high-level inoculated test portions produced confirmed positive results by both methods, and all uninoculated control test portions produced negative results by both methods.

Robustness testing

Methods.—Robustness of the OBOP *Salmonella* method was assessed in a nine-condition matrix experiment with variations introduced to three method parameters (Table 5). Method variables examined included: (1) enrichment culture incubation temperature, (2) CASE agar incubation time, and (3) CASE agar incubation temperature. Queso fresco inoculated with *S. enterica* ser. Agona was used as the test matrix. Results from the nine conditions were compared by POD analysis at $P < 0.05$ to determine if any conditions with variables produce results significantly different from those of the standard condition.

Results.—Results are shown in Table 5. For the positive samples, there were no significant differences in the number of positive results obtained with any of the eight conditions with variations to the normal method operating parameters compared with the nominal condition, as determined by unpaired POD analysis at $P < 0.05$. The largest difference was with condition five, where one positive result was obtained in comparison to five positive results for the nominal condition [(dPOD = -0.40 (-0.68 , 0.00)). For the negative samples, all conditions produced 100% negative results except for condition two (three positive results) and

condition four (one positive result). The differences between these results and those of the nominal condition are not significant by POD analysis; for condition two versus the nominal condition, dPOD = 0.30 (-0.04 , 0.60). The cause of the unexpected positive results on negative samples is not known, but they could have resulted from accidental contamination in the laboratory due to the large number of samples being processed.

Stability and lot-to-lot consistency testing

Methods.—A study was conducted to establish the stability of CASE agar as the dehydrated medium of 2 years when stored at 2–8°C. The agar was tested at time 0, stored at 30°C for 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 days (to simulate shipping conditions), stored for 2 years at 2–8°C, then re-tested. All testing points included three *Salmonella* strains and five exclusive strains.

An accelerated stability study of the *Salmonella* selective supplement in vials was conducted to establish stability of 1 year when stored at 2–8°C. Vials were held at 37°C for periods of time to simulate storage at 2–8°C for 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. At all time points, the supplement was tested for successful recovery of three *Salmonella* serovars on CASE agar, and additionally for recovery of a single *Salmonella* serovar in the presence of a large excess of competing bacteria.

A lot-to-lot consistency study with OBOP *Salmonella* was performed on three manufactured lots, including three lots of BPW, three lots of selective supplement, and three lots of CASE agar. Queso fresco served as the test matrix. Product was inoculated in bulk with *S. enterica* ser. Agona at a level expected to produce a fractional positive data set. A second bulk portion of product was inoculated at a level 5 to 10-fold higher, a level expected to produce 100% positive results. Additional product served as uninoculated control material. At each level (fractional, high, and control), 10 25 g test portions were prepared and enriched in accordance with the test instructions. Each of the 30 test portions were tested with the OBOP *Salmonella* method. For each method component and at each level, paired POD analyses at $P < 0.05$ were performed to determine if there were significant differences in the number of positive results obtained between the three lots.

Results.—For the CASE agar stability study, no obvious differences in performance were noted comparing the initial and final time points for either the control sample or for any of the media samples held at elevated temperature for 1–5 days (data not shown). Stability of 2 years has been established for CASE agar dehydrated medium when stored at 2–8°C.

For the *Salmonella* selective supplement stability study, recovery of the three *Salmonella* serovars was consistent in all test samples throughout the time course of the study, as was recovery of *S. enterica* ser. Virchow in the presence of high levels of competing bacteria (data not shown). Stability of 1 year has been established for the *Salmonella* selective supplement when stored in vials at 2–8°C.

BPW HQ (ISO) stability is based on the shelf life of the standard, 4 years from manufacture.

In the lot-to-lot consistency trial, for the low-level samples, lots 1, 2, and 3 produced 70, 70, and 80% positive results, respectively. The difference between results for lots 1 and 2 versus lot 3 is not significant by POD analysis [dPOD = -0.10 (-0.44 , 0.26)]. All high-level samples produced positive results and all negative control samples produced negative results for all lots.

Table 4. Method comparison results: OBOP Salmonella confirmed vs. reference method

Matrix	Strain	Level (CFU/portion) ^a	N ^b	OBOP Salmonella Confirmed			Reference Method				
				x ^c	POD _C ^d	95% CI	x	POD _R ^e	95% CI	dPOD _C ^f	95% CI ^g
Chili powder	Salmonella Oranienberg	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	ATCC 9239 ^h	0.47 (0.25, 0.78)	30	6	0.20	0.10, 0.37	10	0.33	0.19, 0.51	–0.13	–0.34, 0.09
Black pepper	Salmonella Weltevreden	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	CDC 147 ⁱ	0.43 (0.21, 0.71)	30	10	0.33	0.19, 0.51	10	0.33	0.19, 0.51	0	–0.23, 0.23
Queso fresco	Salmonella	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	Agona	1.1 (0.71, 1.8)	30	14	0.47	0.30, 0.64	21	0.70	0.52, 0.83	–0.23	–0.44, 0.01
Queso fresco ^j	CDC 1201-82	6.0 (1.7, 22)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.43, 0.43
	Salmonella	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Chocolate	Agona	0.45 (0.22, 0.78)	20	9	0.45	0.26, 0.66	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.10	–0.19, 0.37
	ATCC 51957	2.6 (1.1, 5.8)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.43, 0.43
Dry pet food, 25 g ^k	Salmonella Senftenberg	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	0	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.47, 0.47
	ATCC 8400	1.3 (0.85, 2.0)	30	17	0.57	0.39, 0.73	22	0.73	0.56, 0.86	–0.17	–0.38, 0.07
Dry pet food, 375 g ^k	Salmonella Othmarschen	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	QL 024.16 ^l	0.70 (0.42, 1.1)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	–0.23, 0.32
Cantaloupe ^k	Salmonella	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	Newport	0.55 (0.29, 0.93)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.15	–0.15, 0.41
Smoked salmon ^k	ATCC 6962	3.7 (1.0, 9.0)	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.43, 0.43
	Salmonella Montevideo	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Raw ground turkey, 25 g ^k	ATCC 8387	0.50 (0.25, 0.86)	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0	–0.28, 0.28
	Salmonella Typhimurium	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Raw ground turkey, 325 g ^k	ATCC 14028	1.0 (0.61, 1.7)	20	14	0.70	0.48, 0.85	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0.15	–0.14, 0.41
	Salmonella Typhimurium	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Pasteurized liquid egg	ATCC 14028	1.0 (0.61, 1.7)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	0	–0.28, 0.28
	Salmonella Enteritidis	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Pasteurized liquid egg ^j	ATCC 13076	0.35 (0.14, 0.62)	30	9	0.30	0.17, 0.48	13	0.43	0.27, 0.61	–0.13	–0.35, 0.11
	Salmonella Enteritidis	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Chicken carcass rinse ^k	ATCC 13076	0.75 (0.44, 1.2)	20	11	0.55	0.34, 0.74	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	0.15	–0.15, 0.41
	Salmonella Kentucky	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
Stainless steel ^k	ATCC 9263	26 ^m	20	10	0.50	0.30, 0.70	6	0.30	0.15, 0.52	0.20	–0.10, 0.45
	Salmonella Derby	–	5	0	0	0, 0.43	5	0	0, 0.43	0	–0.43, 0.43
	NCTC5721 + Citrobacter freundii ⁿ	59/720 ^o	20	8	0.40	0.22, 0.61	7	0.35	0.18, 0.57	0.05	–0.23, 0.32
	ATCC 8090	240/3100 ^o	5	5	1	0.57, 1	5	1	0.57, 1	0	–0.43, 0.43

^aFrom MPN analysis.^bN = Number of test portions.^cx = Number of positive test portions.^dPOD_C = Candidate method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.^ePOD_R = Reference method confirmed positive outcomes divided by the total number of trials.^fdPOD_C = Difference between the candidate method and reference method POD values.^g95% CI = If the confidence interval of a dPOD does not contain zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level.^hATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA.ⁱCDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA.^jTrial performed by the independent laboratory.^kTrial performed by an independent third-party laboratory as part of the MicroVal study.^lQL = Q Laboratories, Cincinnati, OH.^mCFU per carcass, determined by plate count of the inoculum culture.ⁿNCTC = National Collection of Type Cultures, Salisbury, UK.^oCFU per test area, determined by plate count of the inoculum culture.

‘–’ means samples were not spiked with Salmonella.

Table 5. Results of robustness testing for the OBOP *Salmonella* method

Condition	Enrichment incubation temperature, °C	CASE agar incubation time, h	CASE agar incubation temperature, °C	Positive results, % ^a	
				Negative sample ^b	Positive sample ^c
1	40.5	21	35	0	50
2	40.5	21	39	30	20
3	42.5	21	35	0	20
4	42.5	21	39	10	70
5	40.5	27	35	0	10
6	40.5	27	39	0	60
7	42.5	27	35	0	50
8	42.5	27	39	0	20
9 ^d	41.5	24	37	0	50

^a10 replicates tested.^bEnriched uninoculated queso fresco.^cQueso fresco inoculated with *S. enterica* ser. Agona at ~0.5–1.0 CFU/test portion.^dStandard conditions for the OBOP *Salmonella* method.

Independent Laboratory Testing

Method. Independent laboratory testing was performed with two matrixes (queso fresco and pasteurized liquid egg) to verify results obtained in the method developer laboratory. Procedures for analysis were consistent with those of the method developer testing.

Results.—Results are shown in Tables 3 and 4. For queso fresco, there were nine presumptive positive results by the OBOP *Salmonella* method, and all were confirmed. There were seven positive results by the FDA/BAM reference method. This difference was not significant by POD analysis at $P < 0.05$. For pasteurized liquid egg, there were 11 presumptive positive results by the OBOP *Salmonella* method, and all were confirmed. There were eight positive results by the USDA/MLG reference method. Again, this difference was not significant by POD analysis at $P < 0.05$. Results of independent laboratory testing verify those of the method developer laboratory for these two matrixes.

Discussion

Results of the validation study demonstrate that the OBOP *Salmonella* method is an effective procedure for detection of *Salmonella* spp. in select food and environmental samples. Sensitivity is equivalent to that of the FDA/BAM and USDA/MLG reference culture methods as determined by probability of detection analysis. Overall, in 15 trials with 10 foods and one environmental surface, there were 229 positive results (all confirmed) by the OBOP *Salmonella* method versus 231 positive results by the reference culture procedures. Specificity of the OBOP *Salmonella* method was 100%; there were no false-positive results. Inclusivity and exclusivity were both 100%. Results of robustness testing showed that the method can withstand modest perturbations to multiple operating parameters simultaneously and still produce accurate results.

The OBOP *Salmonella* method requires only traditional culture media. No diagnostic test kits or specialized equipment are needed. The method is simple to perform and provides results in as little as 37 h.

Conclusions

Based on results of the validation study reported herein, the OBOP *Salmonella* method was granted *Performance Tested Method* status by AOAC INTERNATIONAL (PTM # 102002).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Jeremiah Helsius, Kathryn Page, and Nancy Beck (all of Neogen Corp.) for technical assistance.

Submitting Company

Neogen Corp., 620 Leshner Pl., Lansing, MI 48912, USA

Independent laboratory

Q Laboratories, Inc., 1930 Radcliff Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45204, USA

Reviewers

Thomas Hammack

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy, College Park, MD 20740, USA

Michael Brodsky

Brodsky Consultants, 73 Donnamora Crescent, Thornhill, Ontario L3T 4K6, Canada

Maria Cristina Fernandez

Universidad Maimonides, Hidalgo 775 (esq. Felipe Vallese), C1405BCK Buenos Aires, Argentina

References

1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2019) *Bacteriological Analytical Manual*, Chapter 5, *Salmonella*, <https://www.fda.gov/food/laboratory-methods-food/bam-chapter-5-salmonella> (accessed December 2019)
2. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food Safety Inspection Service (2019) *Microbiology Laboratory Guidebook*, Chapter 4.10, Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* from meat, poultry, pasteurized egg, and siluriformes (fish) products and carcass and environmental sponges, <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/700c05fe-06a2-492a-a6e1-3357f7701f52/mlg-4.pdf?MOD=AJPERES> (accessed January 2020)
3. Official Methods of Analysis (2016) 20th Ed., Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of *Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces*,

- AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD. http://www.eoma.aoc.org/app_j.pdf
4. Wehling, P., LaBudde, R.A., Brunelle, S.L., & Nelson, M.T. (2011) *J. AOAC Int.* **94**, 335–347
 5. ISO 16140-2:2016: Microbiology of the Food Chain—Method Validation—Part 2: Protocol for the Validation of Alternative (Proprietary) Methods Against a Reference Method, Brussels, Belgium
 6. Bastin, B., Bird, P., Benzinger, M.J., Crowley, E., Agin, J., Goins, D., Sohler, D., Timke, M., Shi, G., & Kostrzewa, M. (2018) *J. AOAC Int.* **101**, 1593–1609
 7. Least Cost Formulations, Ltd., MPN Calculator, Version 1.6