

repeated measurements in the clinical setting. A noninvasive test of neutrophil exocytosis would be required before this biomarker could be translated to the clinical setting.

Neutrophil exocytosis–specific inhibitors with antiinflammatory activity have been developed and tested in animal models (10). Could these small-molecule drugs have therapeutic potential in CF lung disease? Although this is an enticing prospect, our understanding of the process of neutrophil degranulation is still in its infancy; therefore, it is difficult to predict whether the neutrophil activation process would be an appropriate therapeutic target. If the major driver for enzyme release is cell death rather than exocytosis from live neutrophils, then pursuing the antiprotease shield mechanism would likely be a more successful approach. Ultimately, longitudinal studies directly assessing neutrophil degranulation will be required to determine exactly how NE and other neutrophil-derived products damage the airways, to better define the best targets for antiinflammatory treatment in patients with CF. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

Lucy Perrem, M.D., M.Sc.
Division of Respiratory Medicine
The Hospital for Sick Children
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Felix Ratjen, M.D., Ph.D.
Division of Respiratory Medicine
The Hospital for Sick Children
Toronto, Ontario, Canada
Department of Pediatrics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

and
Translational Medicine
and
Research Institute
The Hospital for Sick Children
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-4970-8889 (L.P.).

References

1. Khan TZ, Wagener JS, Bost T, Martinez J, Accurso FJ, Riches DW. Early pulmonary inflammation in infants with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995;151:1075–1082.
2. Lacy P, Eitzen G. Control of granule exocytosis in neutrophils. *Front Biosci* 2008;13:5559–5570.
3. Mott LS, Park J, Murray CP, Gangell CL, de Klerk NH, Robinson PJ, et al.; AREST CF. Progression of early structural lung disease in young children with cystic fibrosis assessed using CT. *Thorax* 2012;67:509–516.
4. Sly PD, Gangell CL, Chen L, Ware RS, Ranganathan S, Mott LS, et al.; AREST CF Investigators. Risk factors for bronchiectasis in children with cystic fibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1963–1970.
5. Sagel SD, Wagner BD, Anthony MM, Emmett P, Zemanick ET. Sputum biomarkers of inflammation and lung function decline in children with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;186:857–865.
6. Cohen-Cymbberknoh M, Kerem E, Ferkol T, Elizur A. Airway inflammation in cystic fibrosis: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. *Thorax* 2013;68:1157–1162.
7. Margaroli C, Garratt LW, Horati H, Dittrich AS, Rosenow T, Montgomery ST, et al.; AREST-CF, and IMPEDE-CF. Elastase exocytosis by airway neutrophils is associated with early lung damage in children with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;199:873–881.
8. Sly PD, Brennan S, Gangell C, de Klerk N, Murray C, Mott L, et al.; Australian Respiratory Early Surveillance Team for Cystic Fibrosis (AREST-CF). Lung disease at diagnosis in infants with cystic fibrosis detected by newborn screening. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:146–152.
9. Rosenow T, Oudraad MC, Murray CP, Turkovic L, Kuo W, de Bruijne M, et al.; Australian Respiratory Early Surveillance Team for Cystic Fibrosis (AREST CF). PRAGMA-CF. A quantitative structural lung disease computed tomography outcome in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:1158–1165.
10. Johnson JL, Ramadass M, He J, Brown SJ, Zhang J, Abgaryan L, et al. Identification of neutrophil exocytosis inhibitors (Nexinhibs), small molecule inhibitors of neutrophil exocytosis and inflammation: druggability of the small GTPase Rab27a. *J Biol Chem* 2016;291:25965–25982.

Copyright © 2019 by the American Thoracic Society

Associations between Ozone and Fine Particulate Matter and Respiratory Illness Found to Vary between Children and Adults Implications for U.S. Air Quality Policy

Exposure to particulate matter and ozone has severe repercussions on public health in the United States and globally. Fine particulate matter (or PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 μm or less) has been associated with

exacerbations of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiovascular disease mortality, and lung cancer (1–4). Ozone exposure has been linked to increased risks for asthma exacerbations and other respiratory illnesses, as well as to cardiovascular disease mortality (5, 6). Fossil fuel combustion–related emissions of particulate matter and precursors of ozone have been estimated to cause approximately 210,000 premature deaths annually in the United States (7). Further, combustion-related emissions that affect air quality also are the major drivers of climate change. There is new evidence that the effect of climate change on wildfires could double the

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI:10.1164/rccm.201811-2106ED on November 28, 2018

numbers of premature deaths resulting from fine particulate matter exposure by 2100 (8).

The National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the Clean Air Act, are the federal standards for air quality levels that are designed to protect the health of even the most vulnerable populations with a margin of safety. As part of the process for establishing the NAAQS, the U.S. EPA reviews and evaluates the “most policy-relevant science, including key science judgments that are important to inform the development of the risk and exposure assessments,” in a process called an Integrated Science Assessment (9).

U.S. EPA review of the science has relied on investigations such as the Six Cities Study, which initially found that exposure to fine particulate matter was associated with premature mortality and later found that reductions in fine particulate matter concentrations with EPA enforcement were associated with a decrease in mortality (10). The U.S. EPA estimated in 2011 that control of particulate matter will result in 230,000 adult lives saved by 2020 (11).

Multicity studies have provided evidence for the associations of fine particulate matter and ozone exposures with cardiopulmonary mortality, but not for morbidity. National data on hospitalizations are only available from Medicare, the U.S. system that provides health insurance for adults 65 years and older, and therefore may not be representative of other populations. As noted in this issue of the *Journal* by Strosnider and colleagues (pp. 882–890), evidence for morbidity effects of these pollutants on populations not covered by Medicare has only been found in single-city studies (12). Reliance on these single-city studies for this purpose has been problematic, however, as the characteristics of air pollution and population characteristics vary by city, along with each study’s methodology, which makes it difficult to pool these studies to form an evidence base that can be generalized to reflect the U.S. population, let alone populations in other countries.

Strosnider and colleagues have accessed a unique database, maintained by the National Environmental Public Health Tracking Network (13), which contains emergency room visit data for conditions related to environmental exposures, such as respiratory illness. Using daily data at the county level from 17 states, representing 45% of the U.S. population, they were able to analyze associations among ozone, fine particulate matter, and respiratory emergency room visits for all ages in this sample. Ambient air pollution levels were modeled and downscaled to census tract centroids and population-weighted to the county level. Using a two-stage process, the authors fit time-series models and then distributed lag models to account for air pollution effects up to 1 week after exposure, adjusting for pollutants, temperature, dew point, and other variables.

In general, the results of Strosnider and colleagues support the U.S. EPA’s determination of a likely causal link between exposures to either fine particulate matter or ozone and respiratory illness (12). However, for the first time, they found that fine particulate matter exposure was more strongly associated with respiratory emergency department visits among children, rather than adults. In contrast, effects were stronger among adults than children for ozone exposure–respiratory emergency department visit relationships. Other pollutant/illness associations they examined also yielded differences by age group. These findings provide

evidence that reliance on Medicare data for estimating effects of ozone and fine particulate matter may not accurately estimate effects on populations younger than 65 years. As noted by the authors, age differences in the pollution effects of respiratory illness are supported by varying rates of emergency department visits for respiratory outcomes by age, disease pathology, age-specific patterns of exposure, and even pollutant characteristics.

There are limitations in any study. Although the authors used a robust approach in their analyses, model misspecification, that is, that their statistical model inadvertently missed important variables or proposed a wrong statistical functional form, could always be a possibility. However, the authors conducted sensitivity analyses that indicated that this is unlikely. Misclassification of the respiratory outcomes, if present, also does not appear to be a likely candidate to bias the study results in a particular direction. Exposure error in the pollution model (but likely random with respect to the outcome) and the national representativeness of the final sample are also concerns that may limit the usefulness of the study. However, the estimates provided here are the best evidence to date of age differences in the effects of ozone and fine particulate matter on respiratory illness, which will be very valuable in assessing whether the NAAQS need to be reevaluated.

Ideally, the results of this study would be used in U.S. EPA rulemaking, but it is important to note that these data are based on confidential emergency room visit records, which are maintained by state agencies and were aggregated to protect patient privacy. The currently proposed transparency (so-called “Secret Science”) rule by the U.S. EPA would prohibit regulators from considering studies for review in rulemaking that do not provide the underlying data (14). If this rule is approved, then it is possible that these study findings might not be taken into consideration for any changes in the NAAQS on fine particulate matter or ozone, as they are based on personal patient data. In fact, the seminal Six Cities Study results on fine particulate matter and mortality would probably also not be able to be used under the proposed rule. This would be unfortunate, as the best available science would not be included in U.S. EPA’s rulemaking, and thus would not provide the best NAAQS to protect the nation’s public health. Other recent actions by the U.S. EPA, including disbanding expert science panels that made recommendations on new standards for ozone and particulate matter, as well as moves to eliminate consideration of health cobenefits in calculating costs of pollution rule-making, also bring into question the agency’s commitment to defend the public from exposure to harmful levels of these contaminants. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

Paul English, Ph.D., M.P.H.
California Department of Public Health
Richmond, California

John Balmes, M.D.*
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco, California

*J.B. is Associate Editor of *AJRCCM*. His participation complies with American Thoracic Society requirements for recusal from review and decisions for authored works.

References

1. Khreis H, Kelly C, Tate J, Parslow R, Lucas K, Nieuwenhuijsen M. Exposure to traffic-related air pollution and risk of development of childhood asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int* 2017;100:1–31.
2. Atkinson RW, Kang S, Anderson HR, Mills IC, Walton HA. Epidemiological time series studies of PM_{2.5} and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2014;69:660–665.
3. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA III, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, *et al.*; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease; Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2010;121:2331–2378.
4. Turner MC, Krewski D, Pope CA III, Chen Y, Gapstur SM, Thun MJ. Long-term ambient fine particulate matter air pollution and lung cancer in a large cohort of never-smokers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;184:1374–1381.
5. Strickland MJ, Darrow LA, Klein M, Flanders WD, Sarnat JA, Waller LA, *et al.* Short-term associations between ambient air pollutants and pediatric asthma emergency department visits. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182:307–316.
6. Turner MC, Jerrett M, Pope CA III, Krewski D, Gapstur SM, Diver WR, *et al.* Long-term ozone exposure and mortality in a large prospective study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:1134–1142.
7. Caiazzo F, Ashok A, Waitz IA, Yim SH, Barrett SR. Air pollution and early deaths in the United States: part I: quantifying the impact of major sectors in 2005. *Atmos Environ* 2013;79:198–208.
8. Ford B, Val Martin M, Zelasky SE, Fischer EV, Anenberg SC, Heald CL, *et al.* Future fire impacts on smoke concentrations, visibility, and health in the contiguous United States. *GeoHealth* 2018;2:229–247.
9. U.S. EPA. Process of reviewing the national ambient air quality standards [accessed 2018 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/process-reviewing-national-ambient-air-quality-standards>.
10. Laden F, Schwartz J, Speizer FE, Dockery DW. Reduction in fine particulate air pollution and mortality: extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;173:667–672.
11. U.S. EPA Office of Air and Radiation. The benefits and costs of the Clean Air Act from 1990 to 2020: final report: rev. A. 2011 April [accessed 2018 Oct 9]. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-07/documents/fullreport_rev_a.pdf.
12. Strosnider HM, Chang HH, Darrow LA, Liu Y, Vaidyanathan A, Strickland MJ. Age-specific associations of ozone and fine particulate matter with respiratory emergency department visits in the United States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;199:882–890.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National environmental public health tracking [accessed 2018 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/tracking/>.
14. US EPA Programs of the Office of Science Advisor. Strengthening transparency in regulatory science [accessed 2018 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://www.epa.gov/osa/strengthening-transparency-regulatory-science>.

Copyright © 2019 by the American Thoracic Society

BMP9 Morphs into a Potential Player in Portopulmonary Hypertension

The last 30 years of pulmonary hypertension research is a qualified translational success story. Patients with portopulmonary hypertension (PoPH) have benefited from inclusion in the licensed indications for novel therapies, despite small numbers of patients enrolled in studies (1). There has, however, been very little movement in our parallel understanding of the pathophysiology. Perhaps as a consequence of this gap between evolving treatments aimed primarily at other disease causes, as well as our lack of mechanistic insight in PoPH, outcomes for patients remain poor. Modern registry data demonstrate 5-year survival stuck around 40% for patients with PoPH, in contrast to the improving survival in other disease forms (2). With a paucity of funded research, limited preclinical modeling, and no real external drivers for industry to engage in this question rather than focus resources on subsets of patients in phase 3 trials, there has been little in the way of new hypotheses to consider. An added complication is that patients have two disease processes, pulmonary hypertension and liver disease, and the relationship between the degree and nature of liver disease and splanchnic and pulmonary pressures has not been clearly resolved (3).

In this edition of the *Journal* (pp. 891–902), Nikolic and colleagues report a potentially fundamental advance in our understanding of disease (4). BMP9 (bone morphogenetic protein 9), a ligand of the TGF- β (transforming growth factor- β) superfamily that has a selective binding affinity to the BMPR2 (bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 2)/ALK1 (activin receptor-like kinase 1) complex, is significantly reduced in PoPH but not in other forms of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). BMP9 is emerging as an important and novel regulator of vascular homeostasis (5). The concept that BMP signaling may be important in the liver vasculature has clear precedent. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) is characterized by arteriovenous malformations that affect organs heterogeneously. They are found commonly in the liver, and HHT is associated in around 80% of individuals with mutations in ALK1 and the circulating coreceptor endoglin (6). In addition to the established link between HHT, BMP signaling, and PAH, the genetics of PAH have been pointing for some time to the critical importance of this specific ligand and its receptor complex. Completing the tertiary receptor/ligand complex, mutations in BMPR2 and BMP9 cause PAH (7). Fitting beautifully with the human genetics, the BMPR2/ALK1/endoglin tertiary complex is highly expressed in the pulmonary endothelium, and BMP9 circulates at physiological levels (8). To complete the background story, BMP9 is produced predominantly by the liver (9).

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201810-1886ED on November 1, 2018