#### **Open Access Full Text Article**

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Caused DNA Damage in Lung and Extra-Pulmonary Organs Through ROS-Activated FOXO3a Signaling Pathway After Intratracheal Administration in Rats

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: International Journal of Nanomedicine

Bin Han,<sup>1,\*</sup> Zijie Pei,<sup>2,\*</sup> Lei Shi,<sup>3</sup> Qian Wang,<sup>4</sup> Chen Li,<sup>1</sup> Boyuan Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Xuan Su,<sup>1</sup> Ning Zhang,<sup>1</sup> Lixiao Zhou,<sup>1</sup> Bo Zhao,<sup>5</sup> Yujie Niu, 10<sup>3,6</sup> Rong Zhang<sup>1,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Toxicology, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050017, Hebei, People's Republic of China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Medical School, China Three Gorge University, Yichang 443002, People's Republic of China; <sup>3</sup>Occupational Health and Environmental Health, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050017, Hebei, People's Republic of China; <sup>4</sup>Experimental Center, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050017, Hebei, People's Republic of China; <sup>5</sup>Department of Laboratory Diagnosis, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050017, Hebei, People's Republic of China; <sup>6</sup>Hebei Key Laboratory of Environment and Human Health, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050017, Hebei, People's Republic of China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Rong Zhang Department of Toxicology, Hebei Medical University, 361 Zhongshan East Road, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050017, People's Republic of China Fax +86-311-86265605 Email rongzhang@hebmu.edu.cn



Introduction: Because of the increased production and application of manufactured Nano- $TiO_2$  in the past several years, it is important to investigate its potential hazards.  $TiO_2$  is classified by IARC as a possible human carcinogen; however, the potential mechanism of carcinogenesis has not been studied clearly. The present study aimed to investigate the mechanism of DNA damage in rat lung and extra-pulmonary organs caused by TiO<sub>2</sub>nanoparticles.

Methods: In the present study, SD rats were exposed to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> by intratracheal injection at a dose of 0, 0.2, or 1 g/kg body weight. The titanium levels in tissues were detected by ICP-MS. Western blot was used to detect the protein expression levels. The DNA damage and oxidative stress were detected by comet assay and ROS, MDA, SOD, and GSH-Px levels, respectively.

**Results:** The titanium levels of the 1 g/kg group on day-3 and day-7 were significantly increased in liver and kidney as well as significantly decreased in lung compared to day-1. ROS and MDA levels were statistically increased, whereas SOD and GSH-Px levels were statistically decreased in tissues of rats in dose-dependent manners after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment. PI3K, p-AKT/AKT, and p-FOXO3a/FOXO3a in lung, liver, and kidney activated in dose-dependent manners. The levels of DNA damage in liver, kidney, and lung in each Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment group were significantly increased and could not recover within 7 days. GADD45a, ChK2, and XRCC1 in liver, kidney, and lung of rats exposed to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> statistically increased, which triggered DNA repair.

Conclusion: This work demonstrated that Ti could deposit in lung and enter extra-pulmonary organs of rats and cause oxidative stress, then trigger DNA damage through activating the PI3K-AKT-FOXO3a pathway and then promoting GADD45a, ChK2, and XRCC1 to process the DNA repair.

Keywords: Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, DNA damage, PI3K/AKT/FOXO3a signaling pathway, DNA repair, GADD45a/ChK2/XRCC1 signaling pathway

#### Introduction

Nanoparticle titanium dioxide (Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>) is a common nanomaterial with a size less than 100 nm. Because of its photocatalytic properties, chemical resistance, and thermal stability, Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> has been widely used in medicine, wastewater treatment, coatings, sterilization, cosmetics, food additives, biomedical ceramics, etc.  $TiO_2$  is generally considered to be a low-toxic chemical, but  $TiO_2$  is classified by IARC as a group 2B, possible human carcinogen.<sup>1</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles could generate amounts of free radicals, which induced indirect genotoxicity mainly by

© 2020 Han et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

DNA-adduct formation.<sup>2</sup> The rutile-based Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, as a result of photocatalysis, have been shown to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), then causing DNA damage in vitro.<sup>3,4</sup> Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could exist in the air, and enter the body through the respiratory tract, and caused hyperplasia and inflammation in mice.<sup>5</sup> National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) lists recommend airborne exposure limits of 0.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for ultrafine TiO<sub>2</sub> as time-weighted average concentrations in the workplace.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, intratracheal instillation of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to translocate to organs such as the liver<sup>7,8</sup> and induce renal fibrosis in mice,<sup>9</sup> but the toxicological mechanism in distant organs is still unclear.

Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure by intranasal administration for 90 days could induce ROS accumulation, lead to oxidation of lipids, proteins, and DNA, then trigger brain injury in mice.<sup>10</sup> ROS possess the ability to damage the DNA nucleobases.<sup>11</sup> Shukla et al<sup>12</sup> found that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> induced ROS and oxidative stress in human epidermal cells (A431), leading to DNA oxidative damage and micronuclei formation. Previous studies have reported that Fork head O (FOXO) factors are involved in oxidative stress responses,<sup>13</sup> which increases cellular antioxidant activity.<sup>14,15</sup> Furthermore, FOXO3a, a member of the FOXO subfamily, could provide protection against ROSinduced damage<sup>13</sup> and protects alveolar epithelial cells from oxidative stress.<sup>16</sup> The FOXO subfamily of transcription factors has been implicated in diverse physiologic processes, including cell cycle arrest, cell death, stress resistance, and apoptosis.<sup>17</sup> With ROS-induced DNA damage, Forkheads could contribute secondarily to defense through regulating the expression of proteins involved in the repair process.<sup>18</sup> FOXO3 has important downstream targets of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-protein kinase B (AKT) pathway.<sup>19</sup> ROS triggers PI3K kinase activation, which subsequently regulates AKT activation, leading to cell survival, proliferation, protein synthesis, and transcription.<sup>20,21</sup> In addition, the oxidative stress response is regulated in part by FOXO induction of *MnSOD*, catalase, and growth arrest and DNA damage  $\alpha$  $(GADD45\alpha)$ .<sup>13,14,18</sup> The function of GADD is to protect cells and ensure survival by inducing cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and promoting apoptosis. Furthermore, decreased  $GADD45\alpha$  expression is also considered a survival mechanism, which is essential for escape from programmed cell death.<sup>22</sup> Checkpoint kinase (ChK2) is involved in the ataxia telangiectasia mutation (ATM) signaling pathway, which is activated as a downstream effector associated with DNA damage under oxidative stress conditions.<sup>23,24</sup> Many studies have shown that Xray repair cross complementing gene 1(*XRCC1*) plays a key role in DNA damage caused by oxidative stress.<sup>25,26</sup> Previous studies have found that *XRCC1* gene deletion exacerbates  $\gamma$ -ray-induced DNA damage and cell cycle arrest in liver cancer cells.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, it is hypothesized that the *PI3K/AKT/FOXO3a* signaling pathway was activated in lung, liver, and kidney when ROS increased after intratracheal instillation of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>. Then the DNA repair was triggered to defense of the DNA damages.

In this study, a Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure rat model through tracheal perfusion was established to evaluate the effects of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> on oxidative stress and DNA damage in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats. We found *FOXO3a* did trigger the DNA-repair mechanism after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure. Our results might provide a theoretical basis for the future research and find the potentially therapeutic target for DNA damages induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### **Materials and Methods** Characterization of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>

The anatase-phase Titanium (IV) oxide (Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, 99.7% purity) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). The size and morphology of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were evaluated by Tecnai G220 transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI, USA) and Sirion 200 scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI, Holland). Before SEM analysis, the nano-particles were sputter-coated with gold and then dispersed in buffer and cast onto a carbon-coated copper grid sample holder followed by evaporation at room temperature. The specific surface area of the Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> particles was calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) adsorption isotherm.

The size and zeta potential of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were performed on Delsa<sup>TM</sup> Nano particle analysis instrument (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). Additionally, the materials were examined for endotoxin content using a Toxin Sensor<sup>TM</sup> Chromogenic LAL Endotoxin Assay Kit (Genscript, USA). No endotoxins were detected in Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Animals and Treatment

Forty-five male laboratory-bred *SD* rats weighing 200  $\pm$ 20 g were purchased from the Experimental Animal Center of Hebei Medical University (Shijiazhuang, China). Before experiment, the animals were fed in the experimental room for 2–3 days to habituate them to the

environment of the laboratory. An ambient temperature of  $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C, relative humidity of  $50\pm2\%$ , and photoperiod of 12 hours were maintained throughout the study. All animals were fed a diet and water ad libitum in stainless cages, and they received humane treatment in compliance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care formulated by the National Society for Medical Research. The present protocol was approved by the Committee of the Ethics Animal Experiments of Hebei Medical University (IACUC-Hebmu-20,160,048). The food was purchased from the Experimental Animal Center of Hebei Medical University.

The rats were randomly divided into three groups (15 rats/group): the control group, and two TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment groups (0.2 and 1.0 g/kg body weight). The suspensions of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were sonicated for 20 minutes and administrated to rats via one intratracheal injection at a dose of 0.6 mL/200 g body weight, respectively.<sup>28</sup> Rats in the control group were instilled with 0.6 mL PBS per 200 g body-weight. Before injection, the rats were anesthetized by ether. Food and water were provided 2 hours later. On the 1st, 3rd, and 7th days after exposure, five rats were sacrificed in each dose group. After anesthetizing by pentobarbital sodium, rats were sacrificed. Lung, liver, and kidney were separated to further detect at days 1, 3, and 7 after instillation. After weighting the body and tissues, the coefficients of lung, liver, and kidney were calculated as the ratio of tissues (wet weight, mg) to body weight (g).

#### **Titanium Burden Analysis**

About 0.1-0.3 g of lung, liver, and kidney tissue was digested and analyzed for titanium contents, respectively. Tissues were digested in nitric acid (ultrapure grade, Beijing Fine Chemical Ltd., Beijing, China) overnight. After adding 0.5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the mixed solution was completely digested by a microwave digestion system (MDS, Sineo Microwave Chemistry Technology Co., Ltd., China). Then, the solution was heated at 120°C to remove the remaining nitric acid until it was colorless and clear. The remaining solutions were diluted to 3 mL with 2% nitric acid. Then, the concentration in digested samples was detected by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, ELAN DRC-e, PerkinElmer Co., USA). Then 0.5 µg/L Rhodium was chosen as an internal standard element. Data were expressed as ppb (nanograms per gram fresh tissue, ng/g).

The deposited alveolar fraction was predicted using the "multiple path particle dosimetry (MPPD) model" version 2.11, which is based on the aerosol characteristics.<sup>29</sup> The initial baseline sets of MPPD inputs based on data from the ICRP report (ICRP 1994) are listed in <u>Supplementary Table 1</u>. The maximum reported Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> concentration  $(0.3 \text{ mg/m}^3)$  was referred to as an input parameter for the MPPD model to estimate the retained dose in the rat lung.

#### Histopathology

The lung, liver, and kidney tissues were separated and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned into 5  $\mu$ m-thick slices. The resulting slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) for histological examination according to the standard techniques. After staining, the histopathological changes were observed by optical microscope (Olympus, Japan).

#### **Oxidative Stress Detection**

The activities of anti-oxidase glutathione peroxidase (*GSH-Px*) and superoxide dismutase (*SOD*), and the levels of the lipid peroxidation product malonaldehyde (*MDA*) in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats were determined according to the methods described in our previous study using commercial kits (Nanjing JianchengBioeng Inst., China).<sup>30</sup> The data is expressed as units of activity or nanomoles per milligram of protein.

The intracellular ROS was measured using 2',7'dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) as a probe.<sup>31</sup> About 50 mg of lung, liver, and kidney tissue were cut into pieces on the ice, and then incubated with 0.25% trypsin for 30 minutes at 37°C. After adding 250 µL FBS in order to stop digestion, the suspension was filtered through a nylon filter to yield individual cells. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 800 r/min for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. Thereafter, 1 mL of PBS containing 1 µL DCFH-DA dye was added to each tube. All the tubes were incubated for 30 minutes at 37° C and then DCFH-DA was discarded. After washing three times with PBS, 1 mL of PBS was added to each tube and fluorescence intensity was measured in flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) using software at excitation and emission wavelengths of 488 nm and 525 nm, respectively.

## **DNA** Damage Detection

DNA damages induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were detected by Comet assay. Then 100 mg lung, liver, and kidney samples

from each group were cut into pieces on ice, and then incubated with 0.25% trypsin for 30 minutes at 37°C. After adding 250  $\mu$ L FBS to stop digestion, the suspension was filtered at nylon filter to yield individual cells. Then, cells suspended in 0.5% low melting agarose were spread on the normal melting agarose-coated (1%) slides. After lysing at 4°C overnight, the slides were soaked in electrophoretic buffer solution for 30 minutes and electrophoresed for 25 minutes at 25 V (300 mA) at 4°C. The cells were stained with 10 mg/mL ethidium bromide. Two hundred randomly chosen cells were scored visually using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Japan) coupled with a 515– 560 nm wavelength excitation filter and 590 nm wavelength emission filter. The olive tail moment (OTM) of each comet was calculated using CASP analyzed software.

#### Western Blot Analysis

Tissue lysates were homogenized with modified RIPA buffer containing 1% phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) using a high throughput tissue grinder (Scientz, Ningbo, China). Total protein extracts were determined by using a Pierce®BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). An equal amount of lysate proteins was loaded onto 10 or 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Danvers, MA) using a wet transfer system (Liuyi, Beijing, China). After blocking with 5% nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) for 3 hours at room temperature, membranes were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C: including anti-FOXO3a antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA, USA) at 1:1000, rabbit anti-GADD45a antibody (Proteintech, Wuhan, China) at 1:200, rabbit anti-AKT antibody (Proteintech) at 1:2000, rabbit anti-XRCC1 antibody (Bioworld, Nanjing, China) at 1:500, rabbit anti-ChK2 antibody (Proteintech) at 1:600, rabbit anti-PI3K antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) at 1:5000, rabbit anti-phosphor-AKT antibody (Abcam) at 1:5000, rabbit anti-phosphor-FOXO3a antibody (AffinityBiosciences, USA) at 1:1000, and monoclonal rabbit anti-GAPDH antibody (Proteintech) was used at 1:20,000. GAPDH served as an internal standard for Western blot analysis. After washing three times with TBST, all membranes were incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies) for 1 hour at 37°C. After being washed three times with TBST, the antibody-bound proteins were detected using enhanced chemiluminescence (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). Analysis of the Western blot was performed using Chemiluminescent Imaging System (Tanon, China) and quantified by Gel-Pro Analyzer 3.0.

#### Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE and data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison tests to determine significance. Homogeneity of variance between all groups was ascertained. In all cases, *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

#### Characterization of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>

SEM and TEM analysis clearly showed a three-dimensional nanostructure of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, which consisted of globular shaped particles (Figure 1A and B). The size reported by SEM and TEM ranged from 10–25 nm. The larger particles showed many spherical protrusions on the surface, which indicates that they were formed by fusing many smaller particles during the spray drying process. The BET results showed that the surface area of the particles was about 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g, and the diameter of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> in PBS was 392.7±99.4 nm. The zeta potential of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were scattered in PBS.

## Body Weight Coefficients of Liver, Kidney, and Lung

After treatment for 1, 3, and 7 days, rats were sacrificed and the body weight and tissues/organs weight were determined. The weight of rats is shown in <u>Supplementary</u> <u>Figure 1</u>. No obvious differences were found in the body weight coefficient between the control and treatment groups (Supplementary Table 2).

## Titanium Burden After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> Treatment

We measured the content of Ti in tissues in different exposure groups after 7 days of exposure. The contents of titanium in tissues are shown in Figure 1C. After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> post-treatment for 7 days, the titanium levels in liver and kidney were significantly increased in the 1 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> group (P<0.05), respectively, whereas no significantly changes in the 0.2 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> group compared with the control.



**Figure I** Characterization of Ti and the contents of Ti in organs. (**A** and **B**) Scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy images of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>. The powder was deposited on 200-mesh copper grids. The particle primary structure was 10–30 nm and globular. (**C**) On the 7th day after instillation, the contents of Ti in tissues of rats in the 0, 0.2, and 1 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment groups. N=5, \*P<0.05, in comparison to the control group. (**D**) The contents of Ti in tissues of rats after 1 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment for 1, 3, and 7 days. N=5, \*P<0.05, in comparison to the 1-day group.

In order to detect the time-response and the clearance in different tissues after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment, we measured contents of Ti in tissues of rats after 1 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment for 1, 3, and 7 days (Figure 1D). Compared with post-treatment for 1 day, the titanium levels in lung were significantly decreased in post-treatment for 3 and 7 days, respectively (P<0.05). Moreover, the titanium levels in liver and kidney were significantly increased in post-treatment for 7 days compared with 1 day (P<0.05). The titanium standard curve and response analysis of ICP-MS are shown in <u>Supplementary</u> <u>Figure 2</u>.

For the MPPD model, a steady-state retention dose would take 26.74 days or 4.3 months to achieve for 0.2 g/kg (about 40 mg) or 1 g/kg (about 200 mg) based on inhalation of an aerosol concentration of 0.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (Time-weighted average concentrations in workplace) for 8 hours/day, 5 days/week.

## Histopathological Changes of Liver, Kidney, and Lung Tissue in Rats After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> Exposure

After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, there appeared to be histopathologic changes in the liver, kidney, and lung of rats.

Lung: In the control group, we can observe the neat bronchial epithelium and regular lumen, intact alveolar ducts and alveolar sacs, and normal elastic fibers and reticular fibers of alveolar septa with the capillary network normal dyed (Figure 2A1). In the 0.2 g/kg group, inflammatory cell infiltration in the bronchus and irregular bronchial lumen could be found (Figure 2A2). In the 1 g/kg group, aggravating irregular bronchial lumen, thickening of the alveolar wall, and alveolar septal were observed (Figure 2A3).

Liver: In the control group, the hepatocytes were arranged regularly and neatly around the central vein. In the 0.2 g/kg group, the cells were disordered, and distal cells of the central vein were stained more darkly than in the control group (Figure 2B1 and B2). In the 1 g/kg group, the vacuoles were observed in the cytoplasm and punctate necrosis appeared in the inflammatory cells (Figure 2B3).

Kidney: In the control group, the cells were arranged regularly, and the glomeruli and tubules were closely arranged. In the 0.2 g/kg group, the renal tubular gap increased compared with the control group (Figure 2C1 and C2). In the 1 g/kg group, the renal tubular showed edema accompanied with partial necrosis (Figure 2C3).

#### Changes of Oxidative Stress Levels Induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>Rats

Lung: Compared with the control group, *SOD*, *GSH-Px* activities were significantly decreased (P<0.05) and *MDA* content was significantly increased (P<0.05) in a concentration dependent manner. Compared with that on day 1

after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, *SOD* activity was significantly decreased in the 0.2 and 1.0 g/kg groups at 1, 3, and 7 days post-exposure (P < 0.05). There was no significant change in *GSH-Px* activity in each dose group at 3 days and 7 days post-exposure compared to 1 day. *MDA* content was significantly increased in the 0.2 g/kg group at 7 days post-exposure (P < 0.05), but no significant changes were found in the 1 g/kg group at 3 days and 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure compared to 1 day (Figure 3A–C).

Liver: SOD, GSH-Px activities were significantly decreased (P<0.05) and MDA content was significantly increased (P<0.05) in a dose dependent manner compared with the control group. Compared with that on day 1 after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, SOD activity was significantly increased (P<0.05) in the 0.2 g/kg group at 3 days and 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure; SOD activity was significantly increased in the 1 g/kg group at 7 days after



**Figure 2** Effects of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure on the histopathological changes in the lung, liver, and kidney at 7 days after treatment. (**A**) The representative images of lung tissue of rats stained with HE. (**B**) The representative images of liver tissue of rats stained with HE. (**C**) The representative images of kidney tissue of rats stained with HE. Scale bar represents 50  $\mu$ m. The arrow in A<sub>2</sub> indicated irregular luminal inflammatory cell infiltration; The arrow in A<sub>3</sub> indicated the alveolar wall thickening. The arrow in B<sub>2</sub> indicated the spotty necrosis. The arrow in C<sub>2</sub> indicated the gap increases. The arrow in C<sub>3</sub> indicated necrosis. I: Control group, 2: 0.2 g/kg group, 3: 1 g/kg group.



**Figure 3** The oxidative stress levels in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment. (**A**–**C**) The SOD, GSH-Px activities, and MDA content in lung of rats. (**D**–**F**) The SOD, GSH-Px activities, and MDA content in liver of rats. (**G**–**I**) The SOD, GSH-Px activities, and MDA content in kidney of rats. (**J**–**L**) ROS levels induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> in organs of rats. N=5, \*P<0.05, in comparison to the control group;  ${}^{\#}P$ <0.05, in comparison to the 0.2 g/kg group. **Abbreviations:** SOD, superoxide dismutase; GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase; MDA, malonaldehyde.

Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure (P<0.05); *GSH-Px* activity was significantly increased in each group at 3 days and 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, (P<0.05); *MDA* content was not significantly changed in each group at 3 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure compared to 1 day, whereas *MDA* content was significantly decreased in each group at 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure (P<0.05) (Figure 3D–F).

Kidney: Compared with the control group, SOD, GSH-Px activities were significantly decreased (P<0.05), MDA content was significantly increased (P<0.05). Compared with that on day 1 after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, SOD activity was

significantly increased in the 0.2 g/kg and 1 g/kg groups at 3 days and 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure (P<0.05); GSH-Px activity was significantly increased in each dose at 3 days and 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure (P<0.05); *MDA* content showed no significant change in each dose at 3 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure compared to 1 day; *MDA* content was significantly decreased in the 0.2 g/kg and 1 g/kg groups at 7 days after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure (P<0.05) (Figure 3G–I).

Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure resulted ina significant increase in ROS, which was evident through increased fluorescence intensity in lung and liver compared to the control group

(Figure 3J and K). A significant increase was noted in these groups in a dose dependent manner. In our study, although an increase of 10.39% and 19.08% at 0.2 and 1 g/kg, respectively, was noted at 7 days post-treatment in kidney, only the 1 g/kg group was statistically significant compared with the control group (Figure 3L).

#### DNA Damage Induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>

A significant induction (P < 0.05) in DNA damage was observed in the liver, kidney, and lung of rats exposed to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> at 0.2 and 1 g/kg concentrations compared to control, as evidenced by the Comet assay parameters ie OTM and tail DNA (%). Compared with that on day 1 after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, OTM and Tail DNA% in the lung (Figure 4A and B), kidney (Figure 4C and D), and liver (Figure 4E and F) tissue of all dose group showed no significant differences at 3 days and 7 days post-exposure. There was an apparent dose-response relationship and representative pictures of the lung are shown in Figure 4G1–G3.

# Activation of PI3K-AKT-FOXO3a Signal Pathway in Tissues of Rats After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> Treatment

The *PI3K/AKT* pathway is well known to be involved in endothelial cell proliferation and cell survival and also plays an important role in DNA repair. To investigate whether Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could induce the activation of the *PI3K/AKT/FOXO3a* signaling pathway, we examined the amount of *PI3K, AKT, phosphorylated AKT, FOXO3a*, and phosphorylated *FOXO3a* 



Figure 4 The DNA damage levels in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment. (**A** and **B**): The OTM value and Tail DNA% value changed in the lung after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment at different times. (**C** and **D**) The OTM value and Tail DNA% value changed in the liver after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment at different times. (**E** and **F**) The OTM value and Tail DNA% value changed in the lung after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment at different times. (**E** and **F**) The OTM value and Tail DNA% value changed in the lung after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment at different times. (**G**) Representative images of DNA damage in the lung of rats after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment for 7 days (400x). (G<sub>1</sub>: control group; G<sub>2</sub>: 0.2 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment group; G<sub>3</sub>: 1 g/kg Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment group). The result was the average of at least three independent experiments. N=5, \*P<0.05: day 1 in comparison to the control group;  $^{#P}$ <0.05: day 3 in comparison to the control group.

in the lung, liver, and kidney after single treatment with Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> for 7 days and the control group. Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment had no effect on *AKT* protein expression, but it increased the expression of the *PI3K*, phosphorylated *AKT*, and phosphorylated *FOXO3a* after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment (Figure 5). As shown in Figure 5, the ratios of *p*-*AKT/AKT* and *p*-*FOXO3a*/*FOXO3a* in the lung (Figure 5C and D), liver (Figure 5G and H), and kidney (Figure 5K and L) were significantly increased in a dose-dependent manner after exposure to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>. These results suggested that DNA damage induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> might relate with the activation of the *PI3K-AKT-FOXO3a* signaling pathway.

## Changes of DNA Repair Signal-Related Proteins in Tissues of Rats Exposed to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>

 $GADD45\alpha$  as a downstream signal molecule of FOXO3a can reflect DNA damage repair. Further DNA repair

pathways were confirmed through Western blot analysis of various DNA damage repair markers such as *XRCC1* and *ChK2* (Figure 6A). Significant increases of *XRCC1* (Figure 6B), *ChK2* (Figure 6C), and *GADD45a* (Figure 6D) expression were found in lung of rats in a dose dependent manner after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment.

In the liver and kidney of rats, Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure resulted in dose-dependent increases of *XRCC1*, *ChK2*, and *GADD45a* expression (P<0.05) (Figure 6E–L).

#### Discussion

In recent years, with the rapid development of nanotechnology, Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> has been widely used in coatings, paints, and other fields.<sup>32</sup> The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) lists Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> as a potential occupational carcinogen<sup>6</sup> and recommends airborne exposure limits of 2.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for fine TiO<sub>2</sub> and 0.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for ultrafine (including engineered



Figure 5 Activation of the PI3K-AKT-FOXO3a signal pathway in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment for 7 days. (**A**) The represented protein bands of PI3K, AKT, FOXO3a, p-AKT, and p-FOXO3a in the lung. (**B–D**) The fold changes of PI3K, p-AKT/AKT, and p-FOXO3a/FOXO3a levels in the lung. (**E–H**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of PI3K, AKT, FOXO3a, p-AKT, and p-FOXO3a in the liver. (**I–L**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of PI3K, AKT, FOXO3a, p-AKT, and p-FOXO3a in the liver. (**I–L**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of PI3K, AKT, FOXO3a, p-AKT, and p-FOXO3a in the liver. (**I–L**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of PI3K, AKT, FOXO3a, p-AKT, and p-FOXO3a in the kidney. N=5, \*P<0.05, in comparison to the control group. #P<0.05, in comparison to the 0.2 g/kg group. **Abbreviations:** PI3K, phosphatidyl inositol 3-kinase; AKT, protein kinase B; FOXO3a, the Fork head box class O 3a.



**Figure 6** Changes of DNA repair proteins in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats exposed to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> for 7 days. (**A–D**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of XRCCI, ChK2, and GADD45 $\alpha$  in the lung, (**E–H**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of XRCCI, ChK2, and GADD45 $\alpha$  in the lung, (**E–H**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of XRCCI, ChK2, and GADD45 $\alpha$  in the lung, (**E–H**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of XRCCI, ChK2, and GADD45 $\alpha$  in the lung. (**E–H**) The represented protein bands and fold changes of XRCCI, ChK2, and GADD45 $\alpha$  in the kidney. N=5, \**P*<0.05, in comparison to the control group. \**P*<0.05, in comparison to the 0.2 g/kg group. **Abbreviations:** XRCCI, x-ray repair cross complementing gene 1; ChK2, checkpoint kinase 2; GADD45 $\alpha$ , growth arrest and DNA damage  $\alpha$ .

nanoscale)  $TiO_2$  as time-weighted average concentrations. Although the most reliable studies for exploring the pulmonary toxicity of nanomaterials are inhalation studies, intratracheal instillation studies are useful for examining the dose-dependent manner of nanomaterials.<sup>33,37</sup>

The pattern of pulmonary inflammation ranking of nanomaterials is the same in inhalation studies and intratracheal instillation studies. Therefore, intratracheal instillation studies might be useful for ranking the harmful effects of nanomaterials.<sup>34,37,38</sup>

In the present study, we observed Ti in the liver and kidney in the 0.2 g/kg and 1 g/kg groups after intratracheal injection of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, in which the accumulated deposition in the lung was equal to about 26.74 days or 4.3 months exposure in human (8 hours per day, 0.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, limitation by NIOSH) for Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> calculated by the MPPD model.<sup>29,39</sup>

It was shown that ultrafine TiO<sub>2</sub> particles could induce oxidative bronchial epithelial cell DNA damage.<sup>40</sup> Besides, TiO<sub>2</sub> could cause DNA double-strand breaks via the generation of a continued increased level of ROS.<sup>41</sup> Proven pulmonary carcinogen could induce greater levels of ROS, leading to enhanced DNA damage and apoptosis. Amounts of evidence implicate ROS-induced DNA double-strand breaks as a signal, which activates transcription factors and leads to cell proliferation and carcinogenesis. The markers of oxidative stress in exhaled breath condensate samples were significantly higher in TiO<sub>2</sub> production workers than in unexposed controls.<sup>42</sup> Furthermore, several lipid oxidative markers (including malondialdehyde) were elevated in TiO<sub>2</sub> production workers relative to the controls.1 Zhao et al43 found oxidative stress markers (SOD and MDA), and inflammation markers (IL-8, IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-10) were associated with occupational exposure to Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>. The toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles could mainly depend on the structural characteristics.<sup>44</sup> Zhang et al<sup>45</sup> detected the cytotoxicity of different-sized TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. They found that the 25-nm anatase-phase  $TiO_2$  induced stronger cytotoxicity and oxidative stress than those of 5- and 100- nm anatasephase TiO<sub>2</sub>. The 5- and 100-nm anatase-phase TiO<sub>2</sub> had similar toxicity. Besides, anatase particles caused higher toxicity than rutile particles. Anatase-phase TiO<sub>2</sub> induce observable DNA stress and membrane damage.<sup>46</sup> Xiong et al<sup>47</sup> found the correlation between cytotoxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and particle size. This is because smaller particles have larger specific surface area, which could absorb more biomolecules in the environment. The biological effects might associate with particle size, dry particle size, and surface area.<sup>48</sup>

Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> usually enters the body through the skin, respiration, or digestion. TiO<sub>2</sub> suspension (5 g/kg body weight) was given to mice by a syringe via gastrointestinal tract for 2 weeks, and TiO<sub>2</sub> could accumulate in the liver, spleen, kidney, and lung tissues.<sup>49</sup> Shinohara et al<sup>50</sup> reported 94%, 2.0%, 0.17%, and 0.023% Ti were observed in the liver, spleen, lung, and kidney at 6 hours after intratracheal injection of Nano-TiO2, respectively. After 2, 10, and 50 mg/ m<sup>3</sup> Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> inhalation for 6 hours/day and continuing for 5 days, Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> burdens in the lung were 118.4, 544.9, and 1635 µg, respectively. After 16-day recovery, Nano- $TiO_2$  burdens decreased to 25, 144.5, and 295 µg in the lung, respectively.<sup>51</sup> Oberdörster et al<sup>52</sup> reported that retention half times were 117 days for those exposed to fine particles (250 nm  $TiO_2$ ), and 541 days for those exposed to ultrafine particles (20 nm TiO<sub>2</sub>). In the 1 g/kg group of the present study, there was a significant increase in titanium content in the liver and kidney tissues with time, which might be related to the longer half-life period of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Our data suggested that slowly clearance of Ti and low particle translocation might partly explain that titanium was higher in lung tissue compared with liver and kidney. Accumulation of titanium in lung tissue caused continuous damage to the respiratory system. The titanium content in liver tissue increased, resulting in decreased liver detoxification ability. The accumulation of titanium in the kidney causes kidney damage and reduces excretion.

Studies have shown that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> can cause significant inflammatory damage and pathological changes in the lungs and extra pulmonary organs. Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> inhalation resulted in alveolar macrophage and neutrophil infiltration in rats.<sup>51</sup> On the 7th day after 5 nm TiO<sub>2</sub> particles treatment via tracheal instillation, proliferation of macrophages was observed, as well as massive particulate deposition in gaps and the alveolar cavity of lung tissue in rats were found.<sup>53</sup> Similarly, in the present study, we found inflammatory cells infiltrated in the lungs. Bronchus and the alveolar wall became thickened. Tracheal perfusion of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> for 4

weeks showed pathological damage to lung tissue,<sup>54</sup> and renal fibrosis.<sup>9</sup> However, in our study, we did not find renal fibrosis, which might be due to the acute exposure by one-time intratracheal instillation. In addition, we found the disordered arrangement of liver cells and inflammatory cells in livers. Similarly, Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> caused serious damage to the liver, and the indicators of liver function, such as alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase, leucine acid peptide, pseudocholinesterase, total protein, and albumin level, were enhanced significantly.<sup>32,55</sup>

Our previous studies  $^{30}$  showed that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could induce liver and kidney function damage in mice, which is closely related to the increase of ROS and the decline of antioxidant capacity. The increase of ROS might lead to an imbalance in the oxidative metabolism of the cell and cause tissue damage.<sup>56,58</sup> In the present study, we found that after treatment with Nano-TiO2, there was a dosedependent ROS increase in the lung and liver. In kidney, only the 1 g/kg group was statistically significant compared with the control. This may be due to the fact that most of the ROS had been eliminated by the cellular antioxidant system,<sup>59</sup> or low (0.2 g/kg) concentrations of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> were not sufficient to cause mitochondrial damage, thereby failing to increase the ROS. After being ingested by cells, the nanoparticles enter the mitochondria,<sup>60</sup> interfering with the antioxidant defense mechanism of the cells or increasing the content of reactive oxygen species inside the mitochondria, but low levels of oxidative stress induce protective responses. Studies have shown that the reduction of antioxidant enzymes (such as glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, catalase) is related to oxidative damage in the body.<sup>61</sup>

After Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment by subcutaneous injection, the *MDA* content in the liver of mice increased, whereas *SOD* and *GSH-Px* activity decreased.<sup>62</sup> The results of our study showed that the levels of *MDA* in liver, kidney, and lung tissues of rats were significantly increased, and the activities of *SOD* and *GSH-Px* were significantly decreased. On the 3th day and 7th day in the 0.2 g/kg and 1 g/kg groups, the activity of *SOD*, *GSH-Px*, and *MDA* in rats recovered partially, suggesting that the antioxidant system of the body played its own protective role. Thus, the observed Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>-induced genotoxicity in the present study could be attributed to the accumulation of ROS generated by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, as indicated by the significant elevations of *MDA* levels that depleted cellular *GSH* and resisted the defensive effects of cellular antioxidant enzymes including *SOD* and *GPx* in a dose-

dependent manner leading to oxidative stress that causes lipid peroxidation and can damage DNA (Figure 7).

It has been documented that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> induced oxidative stress<sup>63,65</sup> and DNA damage in mouse brain, liver, and bone marrow cells<sup>66</sup> and human kidney embryo cells.<sup>67</sup> The oxidative stress can induce oxidative DNA lesions such as DNA strand breaks.<sup>68,69</sup> In this study, the contents of OTM and Tail DNA in the liver, kidney, and lung tissues of the rats in each dose group were significantly increased compared with the control group, indicating that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> damaged the DNA, which was consistent with the above results. There was no difference of the OTM and Tail DNA between the 1st, 3rd, and 7th days, suggesting that the damage induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could be irreversible, which might have contributed to the dysfunction of repair ability.

When cells are under oxidative stress, the expression of *FOXO3a* was significantly increased, and further activated downstream signaling pathways for anti-oxidation and DNA repair functions.<sup>70</sup> *FOXO3a* resist oxidative stress through phosphorylation at T32, S253, and S315, which is activated by the *PI3K/AKT* pathway.<sup>71</sup> By catalyzing the

phosphorylation of *FOXO3a*, *AKT* blocks *FOXO3a*-dependent transcription primarily by promoting the exclusion of *FOXO3a* from the nucleus, rendering the transcription factor unable to activate its target gene.<sup>71</sup> Previous studies suggested that ROS could activate the *PI3K/AKT* pathway,<sup>20,72,73</sup> leading to cell proliferation, translocation, and activation of serine/ threonine kinases.<sup>74,75</sup> Our results showthat the *PI3K* and *p-AKT* expression increased whereas the ratio of *p-FOXO3a/FOXO3a* decreased after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> tracheal instillation in a dose-dependent manner. These data suggested that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could inhibit the activity of *FOXO3a* protein by activating the *PI3K/AKT* signaling pathway.

*FOXO* can regulate DNA repair by activating downstream *GADD45a* and forms the *FOXO3a/GADD45a* complex, which further initiates the process of downstream DNA damage repair to protect damaged cells.<sup>18</sup> *GADD45a* is a member of the *GADD45* gene family and can be rapidly induced by a variety of injury factors, playing an important role in the cellular response to stress at the G<sub>2</sub>-M checkpoint and maintaining genomic stability. Low doses of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> up-regulated the expression



Figure 7 A proposed action model for the PI3K/AKT/FoxO3a pathway via ROS regulating DNA damage in the lung, liver, and kidney induced by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> intratracheal administration.

of GADD45a in HepG2 cells.<sup>76</sup> GADD45a was increased in mice after oxidative stress,<sup>77,78</sup> and DNA damage repair was abnormal in GADD45α knockout mice.79 Our results indicated that the expression of  $GADD45\alpha$ increased after Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> exposure, suggesting that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> induced the expression of  $GADD45\alpha$  in the lung, liver, and kidney, thereby promoting the DNA damage repair function. The formation of DNA strand breaks in turn activates a number of downstream targets, including histone H2AX, as well as the cellular checkpoint kinase Chk2.<sup>80,81</sup> DNA damage consequently activated the ATM-H2AX/Chk2-p53 pathway.<sup>82</sup> Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> can activate the human fibroblast DNA damage response to the ATM-ChK2 pathway, thereby promoting ChK2 expression.<sup>83</sup> The cell cycle monitoring point regulatory gene ChK2 participated in the repair of DNA damage through the regulation of XRCC1.<sup>84</sup> XRCC1 is a key gene in the base excision repair (BER) pathway and is highly reactive to a variety of DNA damaging agents, including oxidants, alkylating agents, radiation, etc. Reduced XRCC1 function or XRCC1 deficiency might exacerbate genomic instability and increased the risk of asbestos-related malignancy.<sup>85</sup> Our previous study found that XRCC1 deficiency sensitizes HepG2 cells to cisplatin, leading to cell cycle disorder and decreased DNA repair capacity.<sup>86</sup> In the present study, the expression of ChK2 and XRCC1 in the lung, liver, and kidney increased in dose-dependent manners after intratracheal instillation of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could induce DNA damage by ChK2 related cell-cycle arrest.

## Conclusion

Ti could distribute in main tissues of rats after intratracheal instillation of Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>. Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> could produce oxidative stress in the lung, liver, and kidney, and then caused DNA damage, which could not recover within 7 days. Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> activated the *PI3K/AKT/FOXO3a* pathway and increased the expression of *GADD45a*, *ChK2*, and *XRCC1* in the lung, liver, and kidney of rats responding to DNA damage. This study might help to deepen the understanding of the regulation mechanism of DNA damage caused by Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

## **Abbreviations**

ROS, reactive oxygen species; FOXO, Fork head O; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; AKT, protein kinase B; GADD45 $\alpha$ , growth arrest and DNA damage  $\alpha$ ; ChK2, checkpoint kinase; ATM, ataxia telangiectasia mutation; XRCC1, x-ray repair cross complementing gene 1; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; HE, hematoxylin and eosin; GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase; SOD, superoxide dismutase; MDA, malonaldehyde; DCFH-DA, 2', 7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate; OTM, olive tail moment; PMSF, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride; ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; BER, base excision repair.

## Ethics Approval

The animal use protocol has been reviewed and approved by the Laboratory Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China. Approval No. is IACUC-Hebmu-20,160,048.

## Acknowledgments

Skilled technical assistance from Tianxu Liu and Chunfang Zhao is greatly appreciated.

## **Author Contributions**

All authors contributed to data analysis, drafting, or revising the article, have agreed on the journal to which the article will be submitted, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81102151; 91643108).

## Disclosure

We declare that we do not have any commercial or associative interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the work submitted. The authors report no conflicts of interest for this work.

## References

- Pelclova D, Zdimal V, Kacer P, et al. Markers of lipid oxidative damage in the exhaled breath condensate of nano TiO2 production workers. *Nanotoxicology*. 2017;11(1):52–63. doi:10.1080/17435390. 2016.1262921
- Bhattacharya K, Davoren M, Boertz J, Schins RP, Hoffmann E, Dopp E. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce oxidative stress and DNAadduct formation but not DNA-breakage in human lung cells. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2009;6:17. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-6-17
- Brezová V, Gabčová S, Dvoranová D, Staško A. Reactive oxygen species produced upon photoexcitation of sunscreens containing titanium dioxide (an EPR study). *J Photochem Photobiol B*. 2005;79 (2):121–134. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2004.12.006
- Dunford R, Cai LSN, Horikoshi S, Hidaka HKJ, Salinaro A. Chemical oxidation and DNA damage catalysed by inorganic sunscreen ingredients. *FEBS Lett.* 1997;418(2):87–90. doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(97) 01356-2

- Yu KN, Sung JH, Lee S, et al. Inhalation of titanium dioxide induces endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated autophagy and inflammation in mice. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2015;85:106–113. doi:10.1016/j. fct.2015.08.001
- Stapleton PA, Hathaway QA, Nichols CE, et al. Maternal engineered nanomaterial inhalation during gestation alters the fetal transcriptome. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2018;15(1):3. doi:10.1186/s12989-017-0239-8
- Shinohara N, Oshima Y, Kobayashi T, et al. Dose-dependent clearance kinetics of intratracheally administered titanium dioxide nanoparticles in rat lung. *Toxicology*. 2014;325(1):1–11. doi:10.1016/j. tox.2014.08.003
- Husain M, Wu D, Saber AT, et al. Intratracheally instilled titanium dioxide nanoparticles translocate to heart and liver and activate complement cascade in the heart of C57BL/6 mice. *Nanotoxicology*. 2015;9(8):1013–1022. doi:10.3109/17435390.2014.996192
- Huang KT, Wu CT, Huang KH, et al. Titanium nanoparticle inhalation induces renal fibrosis in mice via an oxidative stress upregulated transforming growth factor-beta pathway. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 2015;28 (3):354–364. doi:10.1021/tx500287f
- Ze Y, Zheng L, Zhao X, et al. Molecular mechanism of titanium dioxide nanoparticles-induced oxidative injury in the brain of mice. *Chemosphere*. 2013;92(9):1183–1189. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.094
- Berquist BR, Iii DMW. Pathways for repairing and tolerating the spectrum of oxidative DNA lesions. *Cancer Lett.* 2012;327(1–2):61– 72. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2012.02.001
- Shukla RK, Vyom S, Pandey AK, Shashi S, Sarwat S, Alok D. ROSmediated genotoxicity induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles in human epidermal cells. *Toxicol in Vitro*. 2011;25(1):231–241. doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2010.11.008
- Kops GJPL, Dansen TB, Polderman PE, et al. Forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a protects quiescent cells from oxidative stress. *Nature*. 2002;419(6904):316–321. doi:10.1038/nature01036
- Nemoto S, Finkel T. Redox regulation of forkhead proteins through a p66shc-dependent signaling pathway. *Science*. 2002;295(5564):24 50–2452. doi:10.1126/science.1069004
- Naoya M, Hiroto I, Rie M, et al. Nipradilol and timolol induce Foxo3a and peroxiredoxin 2 expression and protect trabecular meshwork cells from oxidative stress. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2009;50 (6):2777. doi:10.1167/iovs.08-3061
- Wu D, Liang M, Dang H, Fang F, Xu F, Liu C. Hydrogen protects against hyperoxia-induced apoptosis in type II alveolar epithelial cells via activation of PI3K/Akt/Foxo3a signaling pathway. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2018;495(2):1620–1627. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.11.193
- Greer EL, Brunet A. FOXO transcription factors at the interface between longevity and tumor suppression. *Oncogene*. 2005;24 (50):7410–7425. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209086
- Tran H, Brunet A, Grenier JM, et al. DNA repair pathway stimulated by the forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a through the Gadd45 protein. *Science*. 2002;296(5567):530–534. doi:10.1126/science.1068712
- Arden KC. Multiple roles of FOXO transcription factors in mammalian cells point to multiple roles in cancer. *Exp Gerontol.* 2006;41 (8):709–717. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2006.05.015
- 20. Papaiahgari S, Zhang QS, Cho HY, Reddy SP. Hyperoxia stimulates an Nrf2-ARE transcriptional response via ROS-EGFR-PI3K-Akt/ ERK MAP kinase signaling in pulmonary epithelial cells. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2006;8(2):43–52. doi:10.1089/ars.2006.8.43
- Sundaresan NR, Madhu G, Rajamohan SB, Ayman I, Gupta MP. Sirt3 blocks the cardiac hypertrophic response by augmenting Foxo3adependent antioxidant defense mechanisms in mice. *J Clin Invest.* 2009;119(9):2758–2771. doi:10.1172/JCI39162
- 22. Siafakas AR, Richardson DR. Growth arrest and DNA damage-45 alpha (GADD45α). *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2009;41(5):986–989. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2008.06.018
- Kastan MB, Bartek J. Cell-cycle checkpoints and cancer. *Nature*. 2004;432(7015):316–323. doi:10.1038/nature03097

- 24. Oner D, Ghosh M, Bove H, et al. Differences in MWCNT- and SWCNT-induced DNA methylation alterations in association with the nuclear deposition. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2018;15(1):11. doi:10.1186/s12989-018-0244-6
- 25. Wang S, Gong Z, Chen R, et al. JWA regulates XRCC1 and functions as a novel base excision repair protein in oxidative-stress-induced DNA single-strand breaks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009;37(6):1936– 1950. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp054
- 26. Avanti K, Mcneill DR, Marc G, Mattson MP, Wilson DM. XRCC1 protects against the lethality of induced oxidative DNA damage in nondividing neural cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008;36(15):5111–5121. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn480
- 27. Niu Y, Zhang X, Zheng Y, Zhang R. XRCC1 deficiency increased the DNA damage induced by γ-ray in HepG2 cell: involvement of DSB repair and cell cycle arrest. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2013;36 (2):311–319. doi:10.1016/j.etap.2013.04.009
- King J, Deboisblanc BP, Mason CM, et al. Effect of granulocyte colonystimulating factor on acute lung injury in the rat. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1995;151(2 Pt 1):302–309. doi:10.1164/ajrccm.151.2.7531097
- Asgharian B, Anjilvel S. A multiple-path model of fiber deposition in the rat lung. *Toxicol Sci.* 1998;44(1):80–86. doi:10.1093/toxsci/44.1.80
- Zhang R, Niu Y, Li Y, et al. Acute toxicity study of the interaction between titanium dioxide nanoparticles and lead acetate in mice. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2010;30(1):0–60. doi:10.1016/j. etap.2010.03.015
- Wang H, Joseph JA. Quantifying cellular oxidative stress by dichlorofluorescein assay using microplate reader 1. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 1999;27(5–6):612–616. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(99)00107-0
- 32. Shi H, Magaye R, Castranova V, Zhao J. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles: a review of current toxicological data. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2013;10:15. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-10-15
- 33. Kobayashi T, Oshima Y, Tsubokura Y, et al. rats. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2016;81:233–241. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2016.08.018
- 34. Baisch BL, Corson NM, Wade-Mercer P, et al. Equivalent titanium dioxide nanoparticle deposition by intratracheal instillation and whole body inhalation: the effect of dose rate on acute respiratory tract inflammation. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2014;11:5. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-11-5
- Prodan AM, Ciobanu CS, Popa CL, Iconaru SL, Predoi D. rats. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:134260. doi:10.1155/2014/134260
- Yoshiura Y, Izumi H, Oyabu T, et al. Pulmonary toxicity of welldispersed titanium dioxide nanoparticles following intratracheal instillation. J Nanopart Res. 2015;17(6):241. doi:10.1007/s11051-015-3054-x
- Morimoto Y, Izumi H, Yoshiura Y, Fujishima K, Yatera K, Yamamoto K. Usefulness of intratracheal instillation studies for estimating nanoparticle-induced pulmonary toxicity. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016;17(2).
- Morimoto Y, Izumi H, Yoshiura Y, Fujisawa Y, Fujita K. Significance of intratracheal instillation tests for the screening of pulmonary toxicity of nanomaterials. *J UOEH*. 2017;39(2):123–132. doi:10.78 88/juoeh.39.123
- 39. Gangwal S, Brown JS, Wang A, et al. Informing selection of nanomaterial concentrations for ToxCast in vitro testing based on occupational exposure potential. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119 (11):1539–1546. doi:10.1289/ehp.1103750
- Hart GA, Hesterberg TW. In vitro toxicity of respirable-size particles of diatomaceous earth and crystalline silica compared with asbestos and titanium dioxide. J Occup Environ Med. 1998;40(1):29–42. doi:10.1097/00043764-199801000-00008
- Msiska Z, Pacurari M, Mishra A, Leonard SS, Castranova V, Vallyathan V. DNA double-strand breaks by asbestos, silica, and titanium dioxide: possible biomarker of carcinogenic potential? *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2010;43(2):210–219. doi:10.1165/rcmb.2009-0062OC
- Pelclova D, Zdimal V, Fenclova Z, et al. Markers of oxidative damage of nucleic acids and proteins among workers exposed to TiO2 (nano) particles. *Occup Environ Med.* 2016;73(2):110–118. doi:10.1136/oemed-2015-103161

- Zhao L, Zhu Y, Chen Z, et al. Cardiopulmonary effects induced by occupational exposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *Nanotoxicology.* 2018;12(2):169–184. doi:10.1080/17435390.2018. 1425502
- 44. Zhang X, Li W, Yang Z. Toxicology of nanosized titanium dioxide: an update. Arch Toxicol. 2015;89(12):2207–2217. doi:10.1007/s00204-015-1594-6
- Zhang J, Song W, Guo J, et al. Cytotoxicity of different sized TiO2 nanoparticles in mouse macrophages. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2013;29 (6):523–533. doi:10.1177/0748233712442708
- Gou N, Gu AZ. A new transcriptional effect level index (TELI) for toxicogenomics-based toxicity assessment. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2011;45(12):5410–5417. doi:10.1021/es200455p
- Xiong S, George S, Yu H, et al. Size influences the cytotoxicity of poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) and titanium dioxide (TiO(2)) nanoparticles. *Arch Toxicol.* 2013;87(6):1075–1086. doi:10.1007/s00204-012-0938-8
- Thai SF, Wallace KA, Jones CP, et al. Differential genomic effects of six different TiO2 nanomaterials on human liver HepG2 cells. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2016;30(7):331–341. doi:10.1002/jbt.21798
- 49. Jiangxue W, Guoqiang Z, Chunying C, et al. Acute toxicity and biodistribution of different sized titanium dioxide particles in mice after oral administration. *Toxicol Lett.* 2007;168(2):176–185. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2006.12.001
- Shinohara N, Danno N, Ichinose T, et al. Tissue distribution and clearance of intravenously administered titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles. *Nanotoxicology*. 2014;8(2):132–141. doi:10.3109/ 17435390.2012.763001
- Ma-Hock L, Burkhardt S, Strauss V, et al. Development of a shortterm inhalation test in the rat using nano-titanium dioxide as a model substance. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2009;21(2):102–118. doi:10.1080/0895837 0802361057
- Oberdorster G, Ferin J, Lehnert BE. Correlation between particle size, in vivo particle persistence, and lung injury. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1994;102(Suppl 5):173–179. doi:10.1289/ehp.102-1567252
- 53. Tang M, Zhang T, Xue Y, et al. Metabonomic studies of biochemical changes in the serum of rats by intratracheally instilled TiO2 nanoparticles. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2011;11(4):3065–3074. doi:10.116 6/jnn.2011.3604
- 54. Tang M, Zhang T, Xue Y, et al. Dose dependent in vivo metabolic characteristics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. J Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2010;10(12):8575–8583. doi:10.1166/jnn.2010.2482
- 55. Liu H, Ma L, Zhao J, et al. Biochemical toxicity of nano-anatase TiO2 particles in mice. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2009;129(1–3):170– 180. doi:10.1007/s12011-008-8285-6
- Maziere C, Floret S, Santus R, Morliere P, Marcheux V, Maziere JC. Impairment of the EGF signaling pathway by the oxidative stress generated with UVA. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2003;34(6):629–636. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(02)01329-1
- Kawanishi S, Oikawa S, Inoue S, Nishino K. Distinct mechanisms of oxidative DNA damage induced by carcinogenic nickel subsulfide and nickel oxides. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002;110(Suppl 5):789– 791. doi:10.1289/ehp.02110s5789
- Gottschling BC, Maronpot RR, Hailey JR, et al. rats. *Toxicol Sci.* 2001;64(1):28–40. doi:10.1093/toxsci/64.1.28
- AshaRani PV, Low Kah Mun G, Hande MP, Valiyaveettil S. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in human cells. ACS Nano. 2009;3(2):279–290. doi:10.1021/nn800596w
- Li N, Sioutas C, Cho A, et al. Ultrafine particulate pollutants induce oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2003;111(4):455–460. doi:10.1289/ehp.6000
- Michiels C, Raes M, Toussaint O, Remacle J. Importance of Seglutathione peroxidase, catalase, and Cu/Zn-SOD for cell survival against oxidative stress. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 1994;17(3):235–248. doi:10.1016/0891-5849(94)90079-5

- 62. Shakeel M, Jabeen F, Qureshi NA, Fakhr EAM. Toxic effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles and titanium dioxide bulk salt in the liver and blood of male sprague-dawley rats assessed by different assays. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2016;173(2):405–426. doi:10.1007/ s12011-016-0677-4
- Aitken RJ, Buckingham D, West K, Wu FC, Zikopoulos K, Richardson DW. Differential contribution of leucocytes and spermatozoa to the generation of reactive oxygen species in the ejaculates of oligozoospermic patients and fertile donors. *J Reprod Fertil*. 1992;94 (2):451. doi:10.1530/jrf.0.0940451
- 64. Tamburrino L, Marchiani S, Montoya M, et al. Mechanisms and clinical correlates of sperm DNA damage. *Asian J Androl.* 2012;14 (1):24–31. doi:10.1038/aja.2011.59
- 65. Sharma R, Masaki J, Agarwal A Sperm DNA Fragmentation Analysis Using the TUNEL Assay. 2013.
- 66. Noshy AEG, Galal A, Mohamed HR. Normalization of nano-sized TiO2-induced clastogenicity, genotoxicity and mutagenicity by chlorophyllin administration in mice brain, liver, and bone marrow cells. *Toxicol Sci.* 2014;142(1):21. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfu157
- Meena R, Rani M, Pal R, Rajamani P. Nano-TiO 2 -induced apoptosis by oxidative stress-mediated DNA damage and activation of p53 in human embryonic kidney cells. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2012;167 (4):791–808. doi:10.1007/s12010-012-9699-3
- Bonetta S, Gianotti V, Bonetta S, et al. DNA damage in A549 cells exposed to different extracts of PM(2.5) from industrial, urban and highway sites. *Chemosphere*. 2009;77(7):1030–1034. doi:10.1016/j. chemosphere.2009.07.076
- 69. Danielsen PH, Loft S, Kocbach A, Schwarze PE, Møller P. Oxidative damage to DNA and repair induced by Norwegian wood smoke particles in human A549 and THP-1 cell lines. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen*. 2009;674(1):116–122. doi:10.1016/j. mrgentox.2008.10.014
- Zuzana T, Ramya K, Huntly BJ, et al. FoxOs are critical mediators of hematopoietic stem cell resistance to physiologic oxidative stress. *Cell*. 2007;128(2):325–339. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.003
- Brunet A, Bonni A, Zigmond MJ, et al. Akt promotes cell survival by phosphorylating and inhibiting a forkhead transcription factor. *Cell*. 1999;96(6):857–868. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80595-4
- 72. Kwon J, Lee SR, Yang KS, et al. Reversible oxidation and inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN in cells stimulated with peptide growth factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(47):16419– 16424. doi:10.1073/pnas.0407396101
- Leslie NR. The redox regulation of PI 3-kinase-dependent signaling. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2006;8(9–10):1765–1774. doi:10.1089/ ars.2006.8.1765
- Finkel T, Holbrook NJ. Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. *Nature*. 2000;408(6809):239–247. doi:10.1038/35041687
- Kamata H, Hirata H. Redox regulation of cellular signalling. Cell Signal. 1999;11(1):1–14. doi:10.1016/S0898-6568(98)00037-0
- 76. Jana P, Bojana Z, Magdalena S, et al. DNA damage and alterations in expression of DNA damage responsive genes induced by TiO2 nanoparticles in human hepatoma HepG2 cells. *Nanotoxicology*. 2011;5 (3):341–353. doi:10.3109/17435390.2010.507316
- Hye Joung C, Ki Sung K, Masayuki F, Ting ZB. Critical role of the JNKp53-GADD45α apoptotic cascade in mediating oxidative cytotoxicity in hippocampal neurons. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2015;162(1):175–192.
- Srivastava AK, Srivastava PK, Al-Khedhairy AA, Musarrat J, Shukla Y. Allethrin-induced genotoxicity and oxidative stress in Swiss albino mice. *Mutat Res.* 2012;747(1):22–28. doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2012.03.003
- Guillermo B, Andrea SF, Joachim M, et al. Gadd45a promotes epigenetic gene activation by repair-mediated DNA demethylation. *Nature*. 2007;445(7128):671–675. doi:10.1038/nature05515
- Tanaka T, Huang X, Halicka H, et al. Cytometry of ATM activation and histone H2AX phosphorylation to estimate extent of DNA damage induced by exogenous agents. *Cytometry A*. 2010;71A (9):648–661. doi:10.1002/cyto.a.20426

- Yosef S. ATM and related protein kinases: safeguarding genome integrity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2003;3(3):155–168. doi:10.1038/nrc1011
- Bartkova J, Horejsi Z, Koed K, et al. DNA damage response as a candidate anti-cancer barrier in early human tumorigenesis. *Nature*. 2005;434(7035):864–870. doi:10.1038/nature03482
- Prasad RY, Chastain PD, Nana NF, Lisa S, Kaufmann WK, Fry RC. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles activate the ATM-Chk2 DNA damage response in human dermal fibroblasts. *Nanotoxicology*. 2013;7 (6):1111–1119. doi:10.3109/17435390.2012.710659
- Wen-Cheng C, Hui-Chun W, Fen-Hwa W, et al. Chk2-dependent phosphorylation of XRCC1 in the DNA damage response promotes base excision repair. *EMBO J.* 2014;27(23):3140–3150.
- Pietruska JR, Tatiana J, Anatoly Z, Kane AB. XRCC1 deficiency sensitizes human lung epithelial cells to genotoxicity by crocidolite asbestos and Libby amphibole. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118 (12):1707–1713. doi:10.1289/ehp.1002312
- 86. Rong Z, Yujie N, Yikai Z. Increase the cisplatin cytotoxicity and cisplatin-induced DNA damage in HepG2 cells by XRCC1 abrogation related mechanisms. *Toxicol Lett.* 2010;192(2):108–114. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2009.10.012

#### International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch<sup>®</sup>, Current Contents<sup>®</sup>/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

**Dove**press