Cite this article as: Maesen B, Bidar E, Luermans JG, Maessen JG. Ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation: the added value of hybrid. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2021;60:231-2.

Ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation: the added value of hybrid

Bart Maesen () ^{a,b,*}, Elham Bidar^{a,b}, Justin Glm Luermans^{b,c} and Jos G. Maessen^{a,b}

^a Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands

^b Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

^c Department of Cardiology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, Netherlands

* Corresponding author. Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Postbus 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht, Netherlands. Tel: +31-433871125; fax: +31-433875073; e-mail: b.maesen@mumc.nl (B. Maesen).

Keywords: Hybrid AF ablation • Atrial fibrillation • Thoracoscopic ablation • Transvenous ablation

Not only is there a rising enthusiasm for hybrid approaches in the treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation (AF), but also more and more reports on its efficacy and safety are being published [1-3]. The concept of *attacking the atrial substrate* from the epicardium and endocardium seems only logical given the electropathological complexity of non-paroxysmal AF and the incomplete understanding of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. Our initial report on hybrid AF ablation introduced an approach that combined epicardial thoracoscopic ablation with transvenous endocardial ablation [4]. In this and following reports, epicardial ablation was performed with the use of biparietal bipolar radiofrequency (RF) clamps and linear uniparietal bipolar RF devices [4–6]. Besides this, other epicardial ablation strategies have been incorporated in a one- or two-staged hybrid approach [1, 7].

A good example is the current report of Lapenna *et al.* [7] reporting on a staged hybrid treatment of 50 patients with nonparoxysmal AF and dilated left atria. In this study, the epicardial part consisted of a box lesion 'on bloc' using a flexible catheter that allows the application of uni- and bipolar RF (Cobra-Fusion). After a mean of 2.2 months, 92% of patients underwent the 2nd stage endocardial procedure, in which touch-up ablation of surgical lesions was performed in 54% of patients and a cavo-tricuspid isthmus line was performed in 17% of patients. At 2 years, 65% of patients were in sinus rhythm without the use of antiarrhythmic drugs or electrical cardioversion and 82% were in sinus rhythm allowing antiarrhythmic drugs or electrical cardioversion. The authors are to be congratulated on these results and the completeness of their follow-up (98%).

It is important to note that continuous implantable rhythm monitoring (ILR) was used for follow-up in this study [7]. To date, most reports on surgical or hybrid AF ablation are based on follow-up using Holter monitoring. Given the large temporal difference in rhythm assessment between both methods, the use of ILR potentially could lead to much lower success rates than the overall reported success rate of 70% after hybrid approaches using Holter monitoring [3]. It is reassuring that the current study of Lapenna *et al.* shows otherwise, and the results stand in striking contrast to those of the recent study of Haldar *et al.* [8]. The CASA-AF trial, randomizing non-paroxysmal AF patients to either thoracoscopic or catheter AF ablation, the follow-up with ILR showed that only 26% of patients were arrhythmia-free 1 year after thoracoscopic AF ablation [8]. However, a more extensive ablation strategy in the catheter group and potential limitation in surgical experience (only 20 procedures were minimally required) are important factors that contributed to these disappointing results, besides the fact that it was a none hybrid approach [8].

Despite the good results, the study of Lapenna *et al.* also has 2 major drawbacks. First, the left atrial appendage (LAA) was not addressed. It is our opinion that LAA management is key in surgical AF treatment. Next to the potential benefit on stroke rate [9], electrical isolation following LAA clipping, stapling or resection not only prevents triggers originating from the LAA but also results in mass reduction of the AF substrate, both mechanisms that can improve success rates on the long term [10]. In their study, Lapenna *et al.* could have addressed the LAA via the transverse sinus or by adding a short left thoracoscopic procedure. Second, in less than half of the patients (46%), the box was isolated during the endocardial procedure. This indicates that the Cobra-Fusion technique, despite its meticulous application, is not very effective in creating long-lasting transmural lesions but also demonstrates the added value of a hybrid approach.

REFERENCES

- DeLurgio DB, Crossen KJ, Gill J, Blauth C, Oza SR, Magnano AR et al. Hybrid convergent procedure for the treatment of persistent and longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation: results of CONVERGE clinical trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2020;13:e009288.
- [2] de Asmundis C, Varnavas V, Sieira J, Stroker E, Coutino HE, Terasawa M et al. Two-year follow-up of one-stage left unilateral thoracoscopic epicardial and transcatheter endocardial ablation for persistent and longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2020; 58:333-43.

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

- [3] van der Heijden CAJ, Vroomen M, Luermans JG, Vos R, Crijns H, Gelsomino S *et al.* Hybrid versus catheter ablation in patients with persistent and longstanding persistent atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2019;56:433-43.
- [4] Pison L, La Meir M, van Opstal J, Blaauw Y, Maessen J, Crijns HJ. Hybrid thoracoscopic surgical and transvenous catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:54-61.
- [5] Maesen B, Pison L, Vroomen M, Luermans JG, Vernooy K, Maessen JG et al. Three-year follow-up of hybrid ablation for atrial fibrillation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2018;53:i26-i32.
- [6] Maesen B, La Meir M. Unilateral left-sided thoracoscopic ablation of atrial fibrillation. Ann Thorac Surg 2020;110:e63-e66.
- [7] Lapenna E, Cireddu M, Nisi T, Ruggeri S, Forno BD, Monaco F *et al.* Heart-team hybrid approach to persistent atrial fibrillation with dilated

atria: the added value of continuous rhythm monitoring. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2021;60:222-30.

- [8] Haldar S, Khan HR, Boyalla V, Kralj-Hans I, Jones S, Lord J et al. Catheter ablation vs. thoracoscopic surgical ablation in long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation: CASA-AF randomized controlled trial. Eur Heart J 2020; 41:4471-80.
- [9] van Laar C, Verberkmoes NJ, van Es HW, Lewalter T, Dunnington G, Stark S *et al.* Thoracoscopic left atrial appendage clipping: a multicenter cohort analysis. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2018;4: 893-901.
- [10] Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Mohanty P, Sanchez J, Mohanty S, Horton R et al. Left atrial appendage: an underrecognized trigger site of atrial fibrillation. Circulation 2010;122:109–18.