

### The relationship between phytoestrogen-rich supplements and breast cancer: A multicenter case–control study in Saudi Arabia

Sahar M. Alnefaie<sup>1</sup>\*<sup>®</sup>, Nawaf M. Alwagdani<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>, Rashed A. Althobaiti<sup>3</sup><sup>®</sup>, Khaled M. Almansori<sup>3</sup><sup>®</sup>, Yousef Alalawi<sup>4</sup><sup>®</sup>, Eyad I. Al-Kharashi<sup>5</sup><sup>®</sup>, Ahmed Al-Ameer<sup>6</sup><sup>®</sup>, Maha Abdel Hadi<sup>7</sup><sup>®</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopedic Surgery, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>3</sup>Department of General Surgery, Alhada Hospital for Armed Forces, Taif, Saudi Arabia, <sup>4</sup>Department of General Surgery, King Salman Hospital for Armed Forces, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia, <sup>5</sup>Department of General Surgery, SB-Breast and Endocrine Surgery, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>6</sup>Department of General Surgery, King Abdullah Hospital, Bisha, Saudi Arabia, <sup>7</sup>Department of Surgery, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

#### Address for correspondence:

Sahar M. Alnefaie, Department of Surgery, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. Phone: (+966)557010088. E-mail: sahar.m@tu.edu.sa

WEBSITE:ijhs.org.saISSN:1658-3639PUBLISHER:Qassim University

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The prospective effect of phytoestrogen-rich supplements has been explored by many researchers in an attempt to reduce breast cancer (BC) risk worldwide. In Saudi Arabia, some widely used supplements have high phytoestrogen content. Therefore, we aimed to (1) assess the relationship between phytoestrogen supplements (PSs) that are widely used among women of Saudi Arabia and BC and (2) assess the relationship based on the menstrual status.

**Methods:** The study took place during 2020–2022 to assess several PSs using a case–control design that included five centers from different provinces around the country. A questionnaire was answered by the participants that included 381 (46%) cases (diagnosed with BC) and 449 (54%) controls (free of BC). The PS items were assessed individually and on the multivariant analysis model.

**Results:** Of the 12 phytoestrogen-rich supplements, soy milk showed a significant decrease in BC risk. However, *Echinacea* showed an increased risk of BC among its users. A subgroup analysis based on the menstrual status demonstrated an increased risk of BC among licorice root and green tea users on premenopausal and postmenopausal women, respectively.

**Conclusion:** Soy isoflavones continue to show a significant risk reduction that could be used for a modified approach to reduce the risk of BC. However, *Echinacea*, licorice root, and green tea have shown to increase the risk of BC.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Echinacea, green tea, licorice root, soy isoflavones

### Introduction

Globally, breast cancer (BC) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women and the leading cause of death among female cancer patients.<sup>[1]</sup> Even on a local scale, BC is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in Saudi Arabia, where it accounts for 16.7% of all cancers among Saudis and 30.1% of all cancers among Saudi women.<sup>[2]</sup> Arab women tend to have BC earlier than Western women by at least a decade.<sup>[3]</sup>

During the 1920s, the first studies examined the estrogenic activity of plant extracts.<sup>[4]</sup> This type of exogenous estrogen is known as phytoestrogen.<sup>[4]</sup> Phytoestrogens comprise two

groups: Flavonoids (which include isoflavones, coumestans, and prenylflavonoids) and non-flavonoids (mainly lignan).<sup>[4]</sup> A total of 70–80% of BCs have the estrogen receptor  $\alpha$  (ER $\alpha$ ), which is associated with positive or hormone-sensitive cancers.<sup>[4]</sup>

As a result of structural similarities between phytoestrogen and 17  $\beta$ -estradiol, there is controversy regarding the uses of phytoestrogen supplements (PSs) and the risk of BC.<sup>[5]</sup> Phytoestrogen might have estrogenic or anti-estrogenic effects on BC cells,<sup>[5,6]</sup> and multiple studies suggest that phytoestrogen could promote their proliferation through an interaction with the estrogenic signaling pathway.<sup>[5-7]</sup> Moreover, a study in the United Arab Emirates found that

35

the 10 most widely used phytoestrogen-containing plants in the Middle East are significantly associated with an increased risk of BC.<sup>[6]</sup>

Other studies indicate that phytoestrogen has protective or inhibitory effects against BC cells.<sup>[8-10]</sup> A recent systemic review, which included 127 articles, investigated the effects of soy isoflavones on BC. The results indicated that soy isoflavones may reduce the risk of BC and the recurrence rates among those who were previously diagnosed with BC.<sup>[8]</sup> Certain subtypes of BC cells have a higher affinity to ER- $\beta$  than ER- $\alpha$ ; therefore, anti-cancerous or anti-proliferative effects could occur through stimulating ER- $\beta$ .<sup>[9]</sup>

Saudi Arabia has the highest scientific-research output among Arab countries.<sup>[11]</sup> Therefore, the present study aims to assess (1) the relationship between phytoestrogenscontaining supplements that are widely used among women of Saudi Arabia and BC and (2) the relationship between phytoestrogens-containing supplements and BC based on the menstrual status.

### Methods

### Study design and setting

This observational retrospective case–control study assesses the risk of PSs in regard to BC. Five hospitals from different regions in Saudi Arabia participated in the study: (1) Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh; (2) Alhada Hospital for Armed Forces, Taif; (3) King Fahad Hospital of the University, Alkhobar; (4) King Salman Armed Forces Hospital, Tabuk; and (5) King Abdullah Hospital, Bisha. The institutional review board (IRB) at each of the participating hospitals approved the study. The study took place in 2021 and compared those who were diagnosed with BC to those who had been free of BC in the past 4 years.

### Study participants and case/control definition

The participants were divided into case and control groups to measure the amount of exposure per group to each specific PS that each study participant consumed. Cases consisted of women who were diagnosed with BC at breast surgery clinics and oncology departments. The controls comprised those who had not been diagnosed with BC according to general surgery and family medicine clinics.

A non-randomized consecutive approach was used to review the electronic medical records system. Reports were obtained from each of the mentioned areas and included every possible medical record number that matched the eligibility criteria: (1) Women who were Saudi Arabian residents and (2) women over the age of 20. Exclusion criteria included (1) terminally ill patients, (2) expired patients, and (3) those who were lost to follow-up in the clinic for more than 3 years. Patients were requested to fill out an online questionnaire that was used to gather information from participants through selfadministration or personal interviews. Only 830 participants out of 1400 responded and answered the questionnaire (381 [46%] cases and 449 [54%] controls); however, the number of respondents was evenly collected among the participating hospitals.

### Data source and measurement

The research team developed a self-administered questionnaire which include two sections to assess the study objectives: (1) A section that assessed the participants' risk factors from their medical history, reproductive history, and lifestyle factors (seen in Table 1) and (2) a section that assessed the frequency and amount for each PSs.

The questionnaire involved 12 PSs, and all of these PSs were in the form of phytoestrogen-rich natural food supplements, such as flaxseed, lentils, and chamomile, which are shown in Table 2. To evaluate the frequency of supplement use, participants were asked, "How often do you use the following supplements?" They could choose one of the following answers: never, 1 time per month, 2–3 times per month, 1 time per week, 2 times per week, 3–4 times per week, and daily. In addition, the portion size was estimated by asking, "How much of the chosen supplements do you use each time?" They could choose one of the following: Half cup or less and half a cup or more. Demonstrations through different alternative names and images of each supplement were used to ensure participants recognized them correctly and to eliminate bias related to the different supplements' nomenclatures.

### PSs and potential cofounders

The research team reviewed the literature to identify which plants are known for their phytoestrogen content and whether they have been used in Saudi Arabia or not. Several plants were identified and these are described in Table 2.

Many well-known relative risk factors for BC exist, which can be non-modifiable risk factors, such as genetic and family history, or modifiable risk factors, such as smoking. These risk factors were considered as confounders in this study. These factors were described and measured for both cases and controls as baseline characteristics and are described in Table 1.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistical software for Windows version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). For descriptive statistics, frequencies and percentages were used to describe the categorical variables. Pearson's Chi-square test and odds ratios were used to test and measure the association between the categorical study variables and outcome variables, cases (BC), and controls (free of BC). Multivariate binary logistic regression was used to identify

Characteristics         Cases (n=381) No. (%)         Controls (n=449) No. (%)         Odds ratios (95% C1's)         P-value           Age groups (in years)         No. (%)         No. (%)         1.0 (ref.)         <0.0001*           41-60         244 (48.3)         261 (51.7)         1.83 (1.31, 2.55)         <0.0001*           560         64 (58.7)         45 (41.3)         2.79 (1.73, 4.48)         <0.0001*           Educational level         177 (56.4)         137 (43.6)         2.18 (1.60, 2.97)         <0.0001*           High school         70 (44.9)         86 (55.1)         1.37 (0.94, 2.01)         <0.0001*           College and higher studies         134 (37.2)         226 (62.8)         1.0 (ref.)         <0.0001*	
No. (%)         No. (%)         No. (%)           Age groups (in years)         73 (33.8)         143 (66.2)         1.0 (ref.)         <0.0001*           41-60         244 (48.3)         261 (51.7)         1.83 (1.31, 2.55)         <0         <0.0001*           560         64 (58.7)         45 (41.3)         2.79 (1.73, 4.48)          <0.0001*           Educational level         177 (56.4)         137 (43.6)         2.18 (1.60, 2.97)         <0.0001*           High school         70 (44.9)         86 (55.1)         1.37 (0.94, 2.01)         <0.0001*           College and higher studies         134 (37.2)         226 (62.8)         1.0 (ref.)         <0.0001*	
Age groups (in years)       73 (33.8)       143 (66.2)       1.0 (ref.)       <0.0001*         41-60       244 (48.3)       261 (51.7)       1.83 (1.31, 2.55)          >60       64 (58.7)       45 (41.3)       2.79 (1.73, 4.48)          Educational level       1177 (56.4)       137 (43.6)       2.18 (1.60, 2.97)       <0.0001*         High school       70 (44.9)       86 (55.1)       1.37 (0.94, 2.01)          College and higher studies       134 (37.2)       226 (62.8)       1.0 (ref.)	
<40	
41-60       244 (48.3)       261 (51.7)       1.83 (1.31, 2.55)         >60       64 (58.7)       45 (41.3)       2.79 (1.73, 4.48)         Educational level       177 (56.4)       137 (43.6)       2.18 (1.60, 2.97)       <0.0001*	
>60         64 (58.7)         45 (41.3)         2.79 (1.73, 4.48)           Educational level	
Educational level         177 (56.4)         137 (43.6)         2.18 (1.60, 2.97)         <0.0001*           High school         70 (44.9)         86 (55.1)         1.37 (0.94, 2.01)         <0.0001*	
Intermediate or below         177 (56.4)         137 (43.6)         2.18 (1.60, 2.97)         <0.0001*           High school         70 (44.9)         86 (55.1)         1.37 (0.94, 2.01) </td <td></td>	
High school         70 (44.9)         86 (55.1)         1.37 (0.94, 2.01)           College and higher studies         134 (37.2)         226 (62.8)         1.0 (ref.)           Smoking         10 (ref.)         10 (ref.)         10 (ref.)	
College and higher studies     134 (37.2)     226 (62.8)     1.0 (ref.)       Smoking     20 (10.2)     20 (10.2)     1.0 (ref.)	
Smoking	
Yes 29 (49.2) 30 (50.8) 1.15 (0.68, 1.95) 0.603	
No 352 (45.7) 419 (54.3) 1.0 (ref.)	
Physical activity	
Light or none 223 (54.7) 185 (45.3) 3.53 (1.87, 6.68) <0.0001*	
Moderate 144 (39.2) 223 (60.8) 1.89 (0.99, 3.59)	
Heavy 14 (25.5) 41 (74.5) 1.0 (ref.)	
Body mass index	
Underweight 7 (41.2) 10 (58.8) 1.0 (ref) 0.170	
Normal 76 (40.6) 111 (59.4) 0.98 (0.36, 2.68)	
Overweight 134 (45.7) 159 (54.3) 1.20 (0.45, 3.25)	
Obese 161 (50.6) 157 (49.4) 1.46 (0.54, 3.94)	
Age at Menarche (years)	
≤12 14 (53.9) 124 (46.1) 1.61 (1.20, 2.16) 0.001*	
>12 236 (42.1) 325 (57.9) 1.0 (ref.)	
Pregnancy	
Never been pregnant 31 (36.0) 55 (64.0) 1.0 (ref.) 0.053	
One or more times 350 (47.0) 394 (53.0) 1.58 (0.99, 2.50)	
Age at $1^{\text{st}}$ child-birth (years) ( $n=325;359$ )	
≤30 294 (46.3) 341 (53.7) 1.0 (ref.) <0.0001*	
>30 31 (63.3) 18 (36.7) 1.99 (1.10, 3.64)	
Status of menstrual cycle	
Premenopausal 157 (34.5) 298 (65.5) 1.0 (ref.) <0.0001*	
Postmenopausal 224 (59.7) 151 (40.3) 2.82 (2.12, 3.74)	
Hormonal replacement therapy	
Yes 70 (76.9) 21 (23.1) 4.59 (2.76, 7.63) <0.0001*	
No 311 (42.1) 428 (57.9) 1.0 (ref.)	
Radiation exposure	
Yes 75 (76.5) 23 (23.5) 4.54 (2.78, 7.41) <0.0001*	
No 306 (41.8) 426 (58.2) 1.0 (ref.)	
Family history of breast cancer	
Yes 121 (51.5) 114 (48.5) 1.37 (1.01, 1.85) 0.042*	
No 260 (43.7) 335 (56.3) 1.0 (ref.)	

\*Statistically significant

the independent risk factors associated with BC. A  $P \le 0.05$  and 95% confidence intervals were used to report the statistical significance and precision of results.

#### Results

# Association of sociodemographic and clinical characteristics with BC

A total of 830 study subjects were used in this analysis, where there were 381 cases (BC) and 449 controls (free of BC). The bivariate analysis for the association between sociodemographic and clinical characteristics and BC shows highly statistically significant associations between age groups, educational level, physical activity, age at menarche (in years), age at first childbirth, status of menstrual cycle, hormonal replacement therapy, radiation exposure, and family history of BC. The odds ratios of two age groups (41–60 and

>60 years) indicated that subjects in the age range of these two groups having BC were 1.83 times and 2.79 times higher, respectively, than subjects who were under 40 years of age. The odds ratios of subjects with an intermediate educational level having BC were 2.18 times higher when compared with those who had college and higher education. The other level of education (high school) was not statistically significantly associated with BC.

Regarding the two levels of physical activity (light or none and moderate), the odds ratios indicated that subjects who had light or none and moderate physical activity were 3.52 times and 1.89 times more likely, respectively, to have BC, when compared with subjects who did heavy physical activity. The odds ratio of subjects whose age at menarche was  $\leq$ 12 years having BC was 1.61 times greater when compared with subjects whose age at menarche was >12 years. Subjects who had their first childbirth >30 years were 1.99 times more likely

Fable 2: Association between items of phytoestrogen supplement consumption and breast cancer				
Items of phytoestrogen supplement	Cases No. (%)	Controls No. (%)	Odds ratios (95% CI's)	<i>P</i> -value
Flex seeds				
Yes	75 (39.7)	114 (60.3)	1.39 (0.99, 1.93)	0.051
No	306 (47.7)	335 (52.3)	1.0 (ref.)	
Lentils		, í		
Yes	317 (471.1)	356 (52.9)	1.29 (0.91, 1.84)	0.151
No	64 (40.8)	93 (59.2)	1.0 (ref.)	
Ginseng				
Yes	20 (35.7)	36 (64.3)	0.64 (0.36, 1.12)	0.113
No	361 (46.6)	413 (53.4)	1.0 (ref.)	
Chamomile				
Yes	153 (42.6)	206 (57.4)	0.79 (0.60, 1.04)	0.097
No	228 (48.4)	243 (51.6)	1.0 (ref.)	
Echinacea				
Yes	22 (66.7)	11 (33.3)	2.44 (1.17, 5.10)	0.015*
No	359 (45.0)	438 (55.0)	1.0 (ref.)	
Mary's Herbs				
Yes	20 (40.0)	30 (60.0)	0.77 (0.43, 1.39)	0.388
No	361 (46.3)	419 (53.7)	1.0 (ref.)	
Common Marjoram				
Yes	87 (46.3)	101 (53.7)	1.02 (0.74, 1.41)	0.907
No	294 (45.4)	348 (54.2)	1.0 (ref.)	
Green tea				
Yes	239 (46.4)	276 (53.6)	1.05 (0.80, 1.40)	0.709
No	142 (45.1)	173 (54.9)	1.0 (ref.)	
Soy milk				
Yes	45 (36.3)	79 (63.7)	0.63 (0.42, 0.93)	0.021*
No	336 (47.6)	370 (52.4)	1.0 (ref.)	
Anise				
Yes	257 (47.2)	287 (52.8)	1.17 (0.88, 1.56)	0.286
No	124 (43.4)	162 (56.6)	1.0 (ref.)	
Hibiscus				
Yes	132 (43.7)	170 (56.3)	0.87 (0.65, 1.16)	0.007
No	249 (47.1)	279 (52.8)	1.0 (ref.)	0.337
Licorice root	AL (55 C)	25 (12.1)		0.071
Yes	34 (57.6)	25 (42.4)	1.66 (0.97, 2.84)	0.061
No	347 (45.0)	424 (55.0)	1.0 (ref.)	

\*Statistically significant

to have BC compared with those whose age at first childbirth was >30 years.

The odds ratios of subjects with postmenopausal menstrual cycle having BC were 2.82 times greater when compared with subjects who were premenopausal. Subjects who had received hormonal replacement therapy were 4.59 times more likely to have BC compared with subjects who did not receive hormonal replacement therapy. The odd ratios of subjects who exposed to radiation having BC were 4.54 times higher than subjects who had not been exposed to radiation. Finally, the odds of subjects who had a family history of BC having BC were 1.37 times greater than those who did not have a family history of BC. The other characteristics (smoking, BMI, and number of pregnancies) were not statistically significantly associated with BC as shown in Table 1.

### Association between items of PS consumption and BC

The association of different items of PS consumption and BC shows a statistically significant association between *Echinacea* and soy milk. The supplement item *"Echinacea*"

is associated with BC as a "risk factor," where its odds ratio indicated that subjects who consumed *Echinacea* having BC was 2.44 times higher than those who did not consume the supplement *Echinacea*, which is statistically significant (P=0.015). However, the supplement, "soy milk" is associated with BC as a "protective factor," where its odds of 0.63 show that 37% of subjects who consumed soy milk were protected from BC when compared with those who did not consume soy milk, which is statistically significant (P = 0.021). All other supplement items are not statistically significantly associated with BC, as seen in Table 2.

## Association of items of PS consumption with BC in premenopausal and postmenopausal women

The cases and controls were stratified as per their menstrual cycle (premenopausal and postmenopausal). The bivariate analysis of the association between supplemental items and BC showed a statistically significant association of items (*Echinacea* and licorice root) with BC in premenopausal women. It also showed a statistically significant association of green tea with BC in postmenopausal women. The corresponding odd ratios of these three items, 3.75, 2.28,

and 1.55, indicate that those subjects with premenopausal status who had consumed Echinacea and licorice root were 3.75 times and 2.28 times more likely to have BC than those who did not consume Echinacea and licorice root. In addition, subjects with a postmenopausal status who had consumed green tea were 1.55 times more likely to have BC than those who did not consume green tea, as seen in Table 3.

#### **Multivariate analysis**

A multivariate binary logistic regression was carried out to identify the independent risk factors associated with BC using the significant variables which were found in bivariate analysis. The model with variables including educational level (intermediate level or below), physical activity (light or none), age at menarche ( $\leq 12$ ), age at first childbirth (>30 years), menstrual cycle status (postmenopausal), hormonal replacement therapy (Yes), radiation exposure (Yes), and consumption of Echinacea (Yes) against the models with only constant was statistically significant. This indicates the above variables as a set distinguishing between the subjects who had BC and those who did not ( $\chi^2 = 148.701$ , df = 16, P < 0.0001).

The Hosmer and Leesha test which tests for the goodness of fit for logistic regression models (an alternative to the Chi-square test) had a value of 3.436 (df = 8; P = 0.904). This non-significance indicates that the model prediction does not significantly differ from the observed. Adeleke's R2 of 0.261 indicates a moderate relationship between prediction and grouping. The final model validation was carried out using a classification table, which summarizes the observed group and the predicted group classification. The overall prediction success was 68.7% (65.2% for case [BC] and 71.9% for control [no BC]). The corresponding adjusted odds ratios indicate a highly independent statistically significant association with BC. The other variables in the model, which are not independently significantly associated with BC, were age groups, family history of BC, use of flax seeds, used of soy milk, and use of licorice root [Table 4].

### Analysis of significantly associated supplement items frequency and quantity

Table 5 shows the frequency and quantity of four supplement items (Echinacea, soy milk, licorice, and green tea). The frequency of the item Echinacea, which shows as a risk factor for BC in both bivariate and multivariate analysis, shows cases that had consumed *Echinacea* more frequently (13.6% at 3-4 per week, 63.6% at 1 per month) compared with controls (0% at 3–4 per week and 36.4% at 1 per month). Whereas the frequency use of soy milk, which shows as protective factor for BC in bivariate analysis, shows that controls consumed the product more frequently than cases. In addition, licorice and green tea, which were shown as risk factors for BC in bivariate analysis, indicate that cases consumed the products more frequently than controls.

### Discussion

Phytoestrogens have many endocrine and metabolic effects similar to endogenous estrogen.<sup>[4]</sup> They are molecules with a plant origin and are found in our daily diet, especially in vegetables, legumes, and cereals.<sup>[4]</sup> The current study is the first study in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to assess the influence of phytoestrogen-rich supplements that are commonly used among Saudi women on BC risk. We found that few individuals using phytoestrogen-rich supplements are associated with a significant increase in BC risk. Our results demonstrated that Echinacea was associated with a significant increase in the risk of BC. When the risk was stratified based on menstrual status, licorice and green tea were associated with a significant increase in BC risk in premenopausal and postmenopausal women, respectively. However, soy milk was the only phytoestrogen-rich supplement that significantly decreased the risk for BC.

Echinacea is one of the most popularly used herbal supplements among BC patients.<sup>[12]</sup> Studies have evaluated the potentials of Echinacea extracts as a candidate to reduce the growth of BC cells.<sup>[12-14]</sup> Tang et al. have investigated

Table 3: Items of phytoestrogen supplement consumption significantly associated with breast cancer in premenopausal and postmenopausal women

1 1				
Items of phytoestrogen supplement*	Cases No. (%)	Controls No. (%)	Odds ratios (95% CI's)	<i>P</i> -value
Premenopausal women				
Echinacea				
Yes	13 (65.0)	7 (35.0)	3.75 (1.47, 9.61)	0.003
No	144 (33.1)	291 (66.9)	1.0 (ref.)	
Licorice root				
Yes	18 (52.9)	16 (47.1)	2.28 (1.13, 4.61)	0.019
No	139 (33.0)	282 (67.0)	1.0 (ref.)	
Postmenopausal women				
Green tea				
Yes	134 (64.4)	74 (35.6)	1.55 (1.02, 2.35)	0.039
No	90 (53.9)	77 (46.1)	1.0 (ref.)	

\*Flex seeds, Lentils, Ginseng, Chamomile, Echinacea, Mary's Herbs, Common Marjoram, Soymilk, Anise, and Hibiscus are not significantly associated with breast cancer in both pre and postmenopausal women

Factors	Adjusted odds	95% confidence	<i>P</i> -value
	ratios	intervals	
Educational level			
Intermediate level or below	1.74	(1.14, 2.64)	0.010
High school	1.07	(0.67, 1.71)	0.778
College and higher studies	1.0 (ref.)		
Physical activity			
Light or none	4.54	(1.82, 11.34)	0.001
Moderate	2.26	(0.91, 5.65)	0.080
Heavy	1.0 (ref.)		
Age at Menarche (years)			
≤12	1.90	(1.32, 2.74)	0.001
>12	1.0 (ref.)		
Age at 1 <sup>st</sup> child (years)			
≤30	1.0 (ref.)		
>30	2.16	(1.11, 4.22)	0.024
Status of menstrual cycle			
Premenopausal	1.0 (ref.)		
Postmenopausal	1.54	(1.04, 2.28)	0.032
Hormonal replacement therapy			
Yes	3.63	(2.01, 6.55)	< 0.0001
No	1.0 (ref.)		
Radiation exposure			
Yes	3.26	(1.83, 5.82)	< 0.0001
No	1.0 (ref.)		
Echinacea used			
Yes	3.37	(1.38, 8.24)	0.008
No	1.0 (ref.)		

**Table 4:** Independent factors associated with breast cancer (using multivariate binary logistic regression\*)

the inhibitory effect of the *Echinacea* small molecule extract known as "Echinacoside" on BC cells. The results showed inhibitory potentials of echinacoside on proliferation, migration, and the signaling pathway of BC cells.<sup>[13]</sup> A similar conclusion was reached in another report, which showed that extracts of *Echinacea purpurea* had the potential to reduce the growth of mammalian BC cells.<sup>[14]</sup> Contrary to the findings of these studies, we found that *Echinacea* was associated with an increased risk of BC among the women of Saudi Arabia.

Licorice roots and green tea are common beverages among people of the Middle East and worldwide with a wide public belief in their health benefits.<sup>[15,16]</sup> Licorice root has been investigated for its anti-cancerous extract known as isoliquiritigenin (ISL).<sup>[15]</sup> This is a subclass of flavonoids that have ERs agonist in both in vivo and in vitro.[17] In fact, several studies have concluded that ISL suppresses the growth of MCF7 BC cells in culture experiments, and it has chemopreventive potential on BC cells; however, it has also been found that it exhibits a biphasic pattern when it influences the MCF7 cell line.[17-19] Maggiolini et al. demonstrated that low concentrations of ISL specifically promoted the proliferation of MCF7 cells, conversely to high concentrations that exhibit a cytotoxic effect. Thus, the long-term exposure to low ISL concentrations could promote the progression of estrogendependent BC.<sup>[17]</sup> It is interesting to note that licorice roots have been shown to increase the risk of BC among premenopausal

**Table 5:** Distribution of frequency and quantity of phytoestrogen

 supplement items (significantly associated with breast cancer)

 consumption in cases and controls

Name of supplement	Cases No. (%)	Controls No. (%)
Echinacea ( $n=22$ : 11)		
Frequency		
Daily	1 (4.5)	0
1 per week	2 (9.1)	3 (27.3)
2 per week	1 (4.5)	0
3–4 per week	3 (13.6)	0
1 per month	14 (63.6)	4 (36.4)
2-3 per month	1 (4.5)	4 (36.4)
Quantity	( - )	
Half cup or less	7 (31.8)	3 (27.3)
Half cup or more	15 (68.2)	8 (72.7)
Soy milk ( <i>n</i> =45; 79)	~ /	~ /
Frequency		
Daily	8 (17.8)	5 (6.3)
1 per week	3 (6.7)	10 (12.7)
2 per week	8 (17.8)	1 (1.3)
3-4 per week	5 (11.1)	0
1 per month	18 (40.0)	41 (51.9)
2-3 per month	3 (6.7)	22 (27.8)
Quantity		
Half cup or less	21 (46.7)	43 (54.4)
Half cup or more	24 (53.3)	36 (45.6)
Licorice root ( $n=34$ ; 25)		
Frequency		
Daily	1 (2.9)	0
1 per week	1 (2.9)	1 (4.0)
2 per week	9 (26.5)	0
3–4 per week	1 (2.9)	1 (4.0)
1 per month	16 (47.1)	19 (76.0)
2–3 per month	6 (17.6)	4 (16.0)
Quantity		
Half cup or less	12 (35.3)	16 (64.0)
Half cup or more	22 (64.7)	9 (36.0)
Green tea ( <i>n</i> =239; 276)		
Frequency		
Daily	50 (20.9)	38 (13.8)
1 per week	52 (21.8)	57 (20.7)
2 per week	9 (3.8)	25 (9.1)
3–4 per week	15 (6.3)	27 (9.8)
1 per month	73 (30.5)	83 (30.1)
2–3 per month	40 (16.7)	46 (16.7)
Quantity		
Half cup or less	74 (31.0)	85 (30.8)
Half cup or more	165 (69.0)	191 (69.2)

women in our study. On the other hand, catechins of green tea belong to the flavonoids group, and they are known for their anioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and chemopreventive properties as well.<sup>[16]</sup>

The literature has shown conflicting results as to whether or not green tea has an effect on BC.<sup>[20,21]</sup> Yu *et al.* conducted a metaanalysis in 2019 that included 14 case–control studies. The study concluded that green tea may have reduced the incidence of BC among women who drink it on a regular basis.<sup>[22]</sup> The present study has demonstrated a different conclusion. No associations were observed between green tea and BC risk when the risk was not stratified based on the menstrual status; however, it showed a statistically significant risk increase among postmenopausal women. Asian women tend to consume soy products much more than women in Western countries, and, in fact, reports indicate a lower incidence of BC among Asian women compared to their Western counterparts.<sup>[4]</sup> Moreover, Asian women who migrated to Western countries have a higher incidence rate of BC in the past few generations. Thus, the role of genetics is relatively small.<sup>[10]</sup> This led to a wide exploration of the high intake of soy isoflavones in the Asian diet as a possible factor in BC risk reduction. Multiple reports have determined the potential of soy isoflavones on BC risk as a protective supplement despite the different methodologies used.[22-25] First, the Singapore Chinese Health Study showed an 18% risk reduction of BC among those who consumed high amounts of soy isoflavones.[26] Second, a recent Chinese study including 300,000 women indicated that consuming high amounts of soy isoflavones is associated with risk reduction.<sup>[27]</sup> Moreover, the latest report, a meta-analysis published in 2022, concluded that women who consumed high amounts of soy isoflavones were at a lower risk of BC compared to those who did not.<sup>[28]</sup> Even though the proposed amount that leads to a significant risk reduction is not generally agreed on, it has been suggested that consumption of 75 mg/day of soy products is the optimal amount to achieve maximum protection.[29] In addition, earlylife intake of isoflavone supplements is important and may reduce the risk of BC in adulthood.<sup>[29]</sup> Our study illustrates the potential role of adult intake in BC prevention, as soy milk has shown a significant risk reduction among Saudi women as a protective supplement against BC.

Flaxseed is a supplement rich in lignan, 100 times higher than other supplements.<sup>[30]</sup> Furthermore, chamomile is another medicinal plant that has antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and anti-microbial effects.<sup>[31,32]</sup> Studies have shown that consumption of both supplements can slow and prevent BC progression.<sup>[33-35]</sup> The anti-cancer effect of chamomile in BC patients could be due to apigenin, which inhibits cell proliferation and causes apoptosis and cell cycle arrest.<sup>[36,37]</sup> Nevertheless, the current study did not find an association even when the risk was stratified based on the menstrual status.

Some limitations should be considered before generalizing our findings. First, this is a case–control study, and there could be confounding bias in the estimation of the risk of BC due to potential confounders. However, we tried to reduce this bias by controlling for many factors, and the difficulty of including many other variables should be considered. Second, the supplement intake was recorded based on self-reported responses, which could have resulted in recall bias and social desirability bias. Third, other than those supplements, we did not record the usual diet of the participant, which could have distorted the risk estimation. Future research should fill in these gaps and follow a longitudinal study design that should involve a much larger sample of women taking supplements in a concurrent, sequential, and consistent manner.

### Conclusion

Soy isoflavones continue to show a significant risk reduction that could be used for a modified approach to reduce the risk of BC. However, *Echinacea*, licorice root, and green tea have been shown to increase the risk of BC. Further longitudinal analytical studies are warranted to replicate and confirm the relationships of PSs with BC risk.

### Ethical Approval and Consent of Participants

The study was conducted after approval of the ethics committee at the participating hospitals. Informed verbal consent was obtained from the participants before participation.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### Funding

This project was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Taif University.

### **Author's Contributions**

Sahar Alnefaie: Conceptualization, literature review, study design, data collection, statistical analysis, and manuscript editing. Nawaf Alwagdani: Conceptualization, literature review, study design, data collection, statistical analysis, and manuscript writing. Rashed Althobaiti: Data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript writing. Khaled Almansori: Data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript writing. Yousef Alalawi: Data collection and manuscript editing. Eyad Al-Kharashi: Data collection and manuscript editing. Ahmed Al-Ameer: Data collection and manuscript editing. Maha Abdel Hadi: Data collection and manuscript editing.

### Acknowledgment

The researchers would like to acknowledge the deanship of scientific research at Taif University for funding this work and, to thank those who actively participated in the data collection, namely, Abeer Alsulaimani, Ali Alghamdi, Amani Alrumaym, Balsam Alfaar, Basma Ghareeb, Goharah Alunizi, Ibtihal Alali, Mohamad Alluheed, Omer Alshahrani, Omnia Shaban, Reuof Alamri, Saad Alqarni, Wejdan Alatawi, and Zohoor Alhossaini.

### References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and

41

mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:394-424.

- Alrawaji A, Alshahrani Z, Alzahrani W, Alomran F, Almadouj A. Cancer Incidence Report. Saudi Arabia: Saudi Health Council; 2015. p. 616-992.
- Wynder EL, Bross IJ, Hirayama T. A study of the epidemiology of cancer of the breast. Cancer 1960;13:559-601.
- Molina L, Bustamante FA, Bhoola KD, Figueroa CD, Ehrenfeld P. Possible role of phytoestrogens in breast cancer via GPER-1/GPR30 signaling. Clin Sci (Lond) 2018;132:2583-98.
- Ward H, Chapelais G, Kuhnle GG, Luben R, Khaw KT, Bingham S, et al. Breast cancer risk in relation to urinary and serum biomarkers of phytoestrogen exposure in the European Prospective into Cancer-Norfolk cohort study. Breast Cancer Res 2008;10:R32.
- Saeed IA, Ali L, Jabeen A, Khasawneh M, Rizvi TA, Ashraf SS. Estrogenic activities of ten medicinal herbs from the Middle East. J Chromatogr Sci 2013;51:33-9.
- Chen FP, Chien MH. Effects of phytoestrogens on the activity and growth of primary breast cancer cells *ex vivo*. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2019;45:1352-62.
- Fritz H, Seely D, Flower G, Skidmore B, Fernandes R, Vadeboncoeur S, et al. Soy, red clover, and isoflavones and breast cancer: A systematic review. PLoS One 2013;8:e81968.
- Hsieh CJ, Hsu YL, Huang YF, Tsai EM. Molecular mechanisms of anticancer effects of phytoestrogens in breast cancer. Curr Protein Pept Sci 2018;19:323-32.
- Verheus M, van Gils CH, Keinan-Boker L, Grace PB, Bingham SA, Peeters PH. Plasma phytoestrogens and subsequent breast cancer risk. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:648-55.
- Alrowais NA, Alyousefi NA. The prevalence extent of Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) use among Saudis. Saudi Pharm J 2017;25:306-18.
- McGrowder DA, Miller FG, Nwokocha CR, Anderson MS, Wilson-Clarke C, Vaz K, *et al.* Medicinal herbs used in traditional management of breast cancer: Mechanisms of action. Medicines (Basel) 2020;7:47.
- Tang C, Gong L, Xu L, Qiu K, Zhang Z, Wan L. Echinacoside inhibits breast cancer cells by suppressing the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2020;526:170-5.
- Driggins SN, Myles EL, Gary T. The anti-prolific effect of *Echinacea* pallida on BT-549 cancer cell line. Cancer Res 2004;45:1010.
- Hajirahimkhan A, Simmler C, Yuan Y, Anderson JR, Chen SN, Nikolić D, *et al.* Evaluation of estrogenic activity of licorice species in comparison with hops used in botanicals for menopausal symptoms. PLoS One 2013;8:e67947.
- Musial C, Kuban-Jankowska A, Gorska-Ponikowska M. Beneficial properties of green tea catechins. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:1744.
- Maggiolini M, Statti G, Vivacqua A, Gabriele S, Rago V, Loizzo M, *et al.* Estrogenic and antiproliferative activities of isoliquiritigenin in MCF7 breast cancer cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2002;82:315-22.
- Li Y, Zhao H, Wang Y, Zheng H, Yu W, Chai H, *et al.* Isoliquiritigenin induces growth inhibition and apoptosis through downregulating arachidonic acid metabolic network and the deactivation of PI3K/Akt in human breast cancer. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2013;272:37-48.
- Lin PH, Chiang YF, Shieh TM, Chen HY, Shih CK, Wang TH, et al. Dietary compound isoliquiritigenin, an antioxidant from licorice, suppresses triple-negative breast tumor growth via apoptotic death

program activation in cell and xenograft animal models. Antioxidants (Basel) 2020;9:228.

- Li MJ, Yin YC, Wang J, Jiang YF. Green tea compounds in breast cancer prevention and treatment. World J Clin Oncol 2014;5:520-8.
- 21. Wu AH, Butler LM. Green tea and breast cancer. Mol Nutr Food Res 2011;55:921-30.
- Yu S, Zhu L, Wang K, Yan Y, He J, Ren Y. Green tea consumption and risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and updated meta-analysis of case-control studies. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e16147.
- Boucher BA, Cotterchio M, Anderson LN, Kreiger N, Kirsh VA, Thompson LU. Use of isoflavone supplements is associated with reduced postmenopausal breast cancer risk. Int J Cancer 2013;132:1439-50.
- Dong JY, Qin LQ. Soy isoflavones consumption and risk of breast cancer incidence or recurrence: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011;125:315-23.
- Cho YA, Kim J, Park KS, Lim SY, Shin A, Sung MK, *et al.* Effect of dietary soy intake on breast cancer risk according to menopause and hormone receptor status. Eur J Clin Nutr 2010;64:924-32.
- Wu AH, Koh WP, Wang R, Lee HP, Yu MC. Soy intake and breast cancer risk in Singapore Chinese Health Study. Br J Cancer 2008;99:196-200.
- Wei Y, Lv J, Guo Y, Bian Z, Gao M, Du H, *et al.* Soy intake and breast cancer risk: A prospective study of 300,000 Chinese women and a dose-response meta-analysis. Eur J Epidemiol 2020;35:567-78.
- Boutas I, Kontogeorgi A, Dimitrakakis C, Kalantaridou SN. Soy isoflavones and breast cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *In Vivo* 2022;36:556-62.
- 29. Messina M, Nagata C, Wu AH. Estimated Asian adult soy protein and isoflavone intakes. Nutr Cancer 2006;55:1-12.
- McCann SE, Edge SB, Hicks DG, Thompson LU, Morrison CD, Fetterly G, *et al.* A pilot study comparing the effect of flaxseed, aromatase inhibitor, and the combination on breast tumor biomarkers. Nutr Cancer 2014;66:566-75.
- Patel D, Shukla S, Gupta S. Apigenin and cancer chemoprevention: Progress, potential and promise (review). Int J Oncol 2007;30:233-45.
- Al-Dabbagh B, Elhaty IA, Elhaw M, Murali C, Al Mansoori A, Awad B, et al. Antioxidant and anticancer activities of chamomile (*Matricaria recutita* L.). BMC Res Notes 2019;12:3.
- 33. Mason JK, Thompson LU. Flaxseed and its lignan and oil components: Can they play a role in reducing the risk of and improving the treatment of breast cancer? Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2014;39:663-78.
- Harrison ME, Power Coombs MR, Delaney LM, Hoskin DW. Exposure of breast cancer cells to a subcytotoxic dose of apigenin causes growth inhibition, oxidative stress, and hypophosphorylation of Akt. Exp Mol Pathol 2014;97:211-7.
- Shebbo S, El Joumaa M, Kawach R, Borjac J. Hepatoprotective effect of *Matricaria chamomilla* aqueous extract against 1,2-Dimethylhydrazine-induced carcinogenic hepatic damage in mice. Heliyon 2020;6:e04082.
- Yan X, Qi M, Li P, Zhan Y, Shao H. Apigenin in cancer therapy: Anticancer effects and mechanisms of action. Cell Biosci 2017;7:50.
- Seo HS, Jo JK, Ku JM, Choi HS, Choi YK, Woo JK, *et al.* Induction of caspase-dependent extrinsic apoptosis by apigenin through inhibition of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signalling in HER2-overexpressing BT-474 breast cancer cells. Biosci Rep 2015;35:e00276.

Vol. 18, Issue 5 (September - October 2024)