

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Who wants to become a general practitioner? Student and curriculum factors associated with choosing a GP career - a multivariable analysis with particular consideration of practice-orientated GP courses

TOBIAS DEUTSCH, STEFAN LIPPMANN, THOMAS FRESE & HAGEN SANDHOLZER

Department of Primary Care, Leipzig Medical School, Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

Objective. Because of the increasing shortage of general practitioners (GPs) in many countries, this study aimed to explore factors related to GP career choice in recent medical graduates. Particular focus was placed on the impact of specific practice-orientated GP courses at different stages of the medical undergraduate curriculum. Design. Observational study. Multivariable binary logistic regression was used to reveal independent associations with career choice. Setting. Leipzig Medical School, Germany. Subjects. 659 graduates (response rate = 64.2%). Main outcome measure. Choice of general practice as a career. Results. Six student-associated variables were found to be independently related to choice of general practice as a career: age, having family or friends in general practice, consideration of a GP career at matriculation, preference for subsequent work in a rural or small-town area, valuing the ability to see a broad spectrum of patients, and valuing long-term doctor-patient relationships. Regarding the curriculum, after adjustment independent associations were found with a specific pre-clinical GP elective (OR = 2.6, 95% CI 1.3-5.3), a four-week GP clerkship during the clinical study section (OR = 2.6, 95% CI 1.3–5.0), and a four-month GP clinical rotation during the final year (OR = 10.7, 95% CI 4.3-26.7). It was also found that the work-related values of the female participants were more compatible with those of physicians who opt for a GP career than was the case for their male colleagues. Conclusion. These results support the suggestion that a practice-orientated GP curriculum in both the earlier and later stages of undergraduate medical education raises medical schools' output of future GPs. The findings are of interest for medical schools (curriculum design, admission criteria), policy-makers, and GPs involved in undergraduate medical education. More research is needed on the effectiveness of specific educational interventions in promoting interest in general practice as a career.

Key Words: Career choice, curriculum, general practice, Germany, primary care physician shortage, undergraduate medical education

Introduction

Like many other countries, Germany is faced with an increasing shortage of general practitioners (GPs) due to recruitment problems and demographic change [1]. It is therefore crucial to learn more about the factors influencing medical students' career considerations and decisions. Although there has been substantial international research in this field since the 1980s, there is a need for studies that may verify and expand on those earlier findings. Because of significant changes over time (e.g. gender ratio of the medical student population, different job opportunities and working conditions, young physicians' values), it cannot necessarily be assumed that the results of past studies are still fully applicable [2]. Furthermore, a large number of the existing studies have been conducted in a limited number of countries (e.g. USA, Canada). In Germany, research on factors influencing medical students' career choice is still in the early stages [3].

Factors consistently shown to be associated with considering or choosing a career in general practice include socio-demographic variables, personal and professional preferences, career considerations at

(Received 15 July 2014; accepted 4 February 2015) ISSN 0281-3432 print/ISSN 1502-7724 online © 2015 The Author(s)

DOI: 10.3109/02813432.2015.1020661



Correspondence: Tobias Deutsch, Department of Primary Care, Leipzig Medical School, Philipp-Rosenthal-Str. 55, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. Tel: 00 49 341 97 15 710. Fax: 00 49 341 97 15 719. E-mail: tobias.deutsch@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0)

Up-to-date evidence on factors associated with choice of general practice as a career is needed to counter the increasing shortage of GPs. Independent positive associations were found for:

- age, having family or friends in general practice, consideration of a GP career at time of matriculation;
- preference for subsequent work in a rural or small-town area, valuing the ability to see a broad spectrum of patients, valuing longterm doctor-patient relationships;
- a pre-clinical GP elective, a four-week GP clerkship (clinical study section), and a four-month GP clinical rotation during the final year.

matriculation, and medical school experiences [4–7]. The understanding of the impact of specific curricular and extra-curricular undergraduate medical education experience on career choice is still limited [8,9]. However, such knowledge would appear to be essential to guide medical schools' curricular planning.

The aim of the present study was to explore factors independently related to choice of general practice as a career among German medical school graduates. As a first step, we examined the associations of several student characteristics (sociodemographic, preferences, value orientations, and other factors consistently reported to influence a GP career choice in the existing international literature) with choice of general practice as a career. As a second step, the additional impact of four specific practice-orientated GP courses offered at different stages in medical school was analysed.

Material and methods

Sampling and design

This observational study was conducted at Leipzig Medical School, Germany. It combines data from a cross-sectional medical graduate survey with continuously documented data on medical students' participation in various GP courses. As the addresses of students usually change after graduation, the graduate questionnaires were sent to graduates' "home addresses" (mostly the parents' addresses). These addresses were collected on a voluntary and informed basis within the only general practice clerkship that was mandatory (eighth semester), at the same time as the collection of data for later non-responder analysis (age, gender, regional origin, parents practising medicine, currently favoured career option). The graduate survey was carried out in 2011. All those who potentially could have finished their undergraduate medical studies at the time of the survey were contacted. To increase the response rate we sent the questionnaire three times by surface mail (July, October, and December), always with a formal covering letter. This letter briefly explained the background and the importance of the study, stated the mean time needed for completion (found in piloting to be four minutes), assured anonymity, and offered feedback on the results. All communication was personalized and contained the university logo to highlight the academic origin. Completed questionnaires could be returned by fax or surface mail (postage paid).

Questionnaire

The graduate questionnaire was developed on the basis of the existing literature regarding choice of general practice as a career. It contained items addressing factors consistently shown to be associated with GP career choice in previous international studies (both reviews and original articles). In addition, questions regarding the respondent's current professional development and future plans regarding work as a medical specialist were included. The final version was the result of a multi-level revision process involving two research-active GPs, two social scientists, and four medical graduates (two male, two female, representing the target group). An English translation of the questionnaire items analysed in this paper is given in Appendix 1 (supplementary material).

Undergraduate medical education and specific general practice courses

In Germany, undergraduate medical education consists of six years (12 semesters) and is divided into three sections: pre-clinical (basic science, two years), clinical (clinical science, three years), and the final clinical year (three four-month full-time clinical rotations: internal medicine, surgery, and one optional speciality). For detailed information please refer to Chenot [10]. Only a relatively limited number of educational possibilities is dedicated to general practice. The mandatory general practice curriculum includes a lecture series (eighth semester) and a twoweek clerkship (eighth semester). Optionally, students can complete a four-week general practice clerkship during the clinical study section, as well as a four-month GP clinical rotation during the final year. As a special feature at Leipzig Medical School, two general practice electives are offered in addition to the usual GP curriculum to prepare students for, and attract them to, the field.

With regard to the European context, despite general practice becoming increasingly established as an academic discipline, its integration into the undergraduate medical curriculum varies substantially between and even within countries. It can vary from the absence of any GP curriculum, to its longitudinal integration including mandatory clinical components of several weeks or months [11].

An overview of the four optional general practice courses examined in the present study is given in Table I. All the study participants had the opportunity to take part in all of the courses described.

Statistical analysis

The anonymized data were analysed with IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20. Mann-Whitney U-tests and chi-squared tests were used to examine group differences. Binary logistic regression analyses were used to predict general practice career choice. In a first regression model, the independent influence of variables frequently found to be relevant in earlier studies (excluding curricular experiences) was examined. For this, the purposeful selection of covariates method, as described by Hosmer et al. [12], was used to build a parsimonious multivariable model while ensuring the inclusion of all relevant independent predictors and potential confounders. Besides careful variable selection, beginning with univariable analyses, this procedure adequately addresses testing for possible interactions, checking of important statistical assumptions, and thorough regression diagnostics [12]. In a second regression model, the independent influence of the four defined undergraduate GP courses, adjusted for the variables included in the first model, was examined. Statistical significance was assumed at p < 0.05.

Results

Overall, 659 out of 1027 of those contacted on the basis of valid home addresses participated in the graduate survey, giving a response rate of 64.2%. A majority of 501 participants (76.0%) had completed their licensing examination at the time of participation. A nonresponder analysis based on the data gathered during the mandatory clerkship (see paragraph "Sampling and design") revealed no significant bias except for a slightly higher proportion of female participants. The sociodemographic sample characteristics are given in Table II. Of the 659 participants, 81 (12.3%) stated that they had opted for a career in general practice. Further descriptive statistics and some basic group comparisons have been published previously [13].

The results of the two logistic regression analyses predicting GP career choice are presented in Table III. The purposeful variable selection process revealed a set of six independent predictors (see Model 1). Regarding the effect of the four undergraduate GP courses, the data show independent positive associations between choice of general practice as a career and the pre-clinical GP elective, the four-week GP clerkship during the clinical study section, and the four-month GP rotation during the final year (Model 2). These effects persisted after adjustment for the variables shown to be relevant in Model 1.

The results of group comparisons between future GPs and other participants regarding the job-related

			. 1
Indergraduate general practice	a courses avomined	1n thin	01110177
Table 1. Description of the oblight independent \mathcal{L}	e courses exammed	III LIIIS	SLUUV
			j.

Study section	Optional courses
Pre-clinical study section (years 1 and 2)	 Pre-clinical elective "general practice" Aim: motivation for general practice via acquisition of clinical skills and early patient contact Form: introduction/preparatory course (14 TU¹) + practical training (one-to-one) in an associated GP's office² (11 TU) + debriefing/oral exam (3 TU)
Clinical study section (years 3-5)	 Clinical elective "general practice" Aim: motivation for general practice via acquisition of clinical skills (adapted to the advanced level of education) and experiences in general practice Form: preparatory course (6 TU) + practical training (one-to-one) in an associated GP's office (21 TU)
	Clerkship in general practice • Duration: 4 weeks • Full-time experience in the GP setting • Optional organizational support by the Department of Primary Care
Final (clinical) year (year 6)	 Clinical rotation in general practice Duration: 4 months Content structured by the Department of Primary Care (defined catalogue of learning objectives) One-to-one training by specifically trained GP Supervision of students and GP tutors

Notes: ${}^{1}TU =$ teaching unit (= 45 minutes). 2 The Department of Primary Care of Leipzig Medical School collaborates with a large number of associated, specifically qualified GPs.

50 T. Deutsch et al.

Table II. Sample characteristics.

Variable	Valid (n)	n (%)1
Age [mean ± SD]	659	27.9 ± 2.5
Female gender	659	441 (66.9)
In a relationship	658	463 (70.4)
Has children	658	127 (19.3)
At least one parent with higher education degree	658	521 (79.2)
Being a physician's child	659	201 (30.5)
Family or friends in general practice during schooldays/years of study	657	172 (26.2)
Mainly grew up in	653	
big city		231 (35.4)
small town		246 (37.7)
rural area		176 (27.0)

Note: ¹Unless otherwise indicated.

value orientations examined in this study are shown in Table IV. Additionally, male and female participants were compared, since previous studies suggested respective differences [14,15].

Discussion

In this study, six variables were independently positively related to GP career choice: age, having family or friends in general practice, consideration of a GP career at time of matriculation, preference for subsequent work in a rural or small-town area, valuing the ability to see a broad spectrum of patients, and valuing long-term doctor-patient relationships. In addition, there were independent associations with having had a pre-clinical GP elective, a fourweek GP clerkship during the clinical study section, and a four-month GP clinical rotation during the final clinical year.

In our study, 12.3% of the participants had decided to become a GP. Although direct comparisons are difficult, this seems to be a relatively high proportion compared with other German studies (16–18).

With regard to the variables related to GP career choice, our findings are consistent with previous evidence on the influence of career considerations at matriculation [5,9,19,20], and a preference for later rural practice [4–6]. The association with higher age has also frequently been reported [5,17,19,21], although a recent literature review described the respective evidence as not being homogeneous [4]. Our finding that having GPs among family and friends is positively related to GP career choice contrasts with a recent study from Canada [21] that did not find such an influence. The positive associations shown for valuing the ability to see a broad spectrum of patients and valuing long-lasting doctor–patient relationships are compatible with earlier findings [7,14,21], including recent findings of German, Swiss, and Finnish studies [3,22,23]. In accordance with a recent German study [3], we found no difference between future GPs and other participants regarding the desire for prestige. Nor could we detect any independent associations between choice of general practice as a career and a desire to have a variety of everyday tasks or be involved in research in the multivariable analysis, although there were significant group differences (see Table IV). The previous evidence on these variables is not homogeneous [4]. Although female gender has frequently been reported to predict GP career choice [4,7,17], this was not a predictor in our data. Results of recent studies imply that any gender effect might be caused, or at least mediated, by gender differences regarding job-related values and motivation (e.g. the importance of continuity of patient care, or of work-life balance) [14,15]. This is compatible with the results of our group comparisons based on gender, showing a slightly more "GP-associated" value profile for women (see Table IV).

Regarding the influence of practice-orientated curricular experience on choice of general practice as a career, previous findings suggest that prolonged experience, of several weeks or months, during the clinical study section is particularly beneficial [4,7]. This is in line with our results relating to the fourweek GP clerkship and the four-month GP clinical rotation. Several studies have also underlined the importance of optional courses for the career choice process and their potential to increase students' interest in general practice [24,25]. In line with our results, Kassebaum et al. found a positive association between a GP elective and a later choice of general practice as a career in a study of high methodological quality using multivariable analysis adjusting for a large number of covariates [19]. With regard to the question of how practice-orientated courses produce their effect, several studies emphasize the experience of positive role models, the opportunity to dispel negative stereotypes, and the chance to get a more accurate view on general practice [23,26,27]. The evidence for the benefit of GP courses early in medical school is not homogeneous, and several studies are weakened by participant self-selection [28]. Despite adjustment for other significant influencing factors, particularly career considerations at matriculation, a possible bias due to participant self-selection of additional GP electives cannot be completely excluded from this study either. However, in a former study we showed that our pre-clinical GP elective positively influenced students' career considerations, including those participants who previously had less or no interest in a GP career [29]. Studies focusing on medical schools

<i>.</i> .
es
[d]
ria
va.
-d
le
ň
[]
.믑
III
ະ ບ
j
B
lat
- G
lid
va
ۍ سر
48
õ
Ц
્ઈ
.ic
ğ
0
G
IL
ü
5
C
-
ы В
ting (
licting (
edicting (
predicting (
es predicting (
vses predicting (
alyses predicting (
unalyses predicting (
1 analyses predicting (
ion analyses predicting (
ssion analyses predicting
ression analyses predicting (
egression analyses predicting (
: regression analyses predicting (
tic regression analyses predicting (
gistic regression analyses predicting (
ogistic regression analyses predicting (
y logistic regression analyses predicting (
ary logistic regression analyses predicting (
inary logistic regression analyses predicting (
binary logistic regression analyses predicting
ble binary logistic regression analyses predicting
able binary logistic regression analyses predicting
ariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
ivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
ultivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
Aultivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
. Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
II. Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
a III. Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
ble III. Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting
Table III. Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses predicting

Variable	Model 1	1			Model 2	22		
	(Pseudo-R ² _{Nagelker}	$_{\rm ke} = 0.362)$	(Pseudo-R ² _{Nagelkerke}	e = 0.234	(Pseudo-R ² _{Nagelker}	$_{\rm ke} = 0.350)$	$(Pseudo-R^2_{Nagelkerk})$	e = 0.486)
	OR (95% CI)	b	OR (95% CI)	d	OR (95% CI)	b	OR (95% CI)	b
Participation (vs. no participation) in								
pre-clinical elective course in general practice			2.2 (1.2 - 4.0)	0.011	2.1(1.1-4.0)	0.022	2.6(1.3-5.3)	0.006
(pre-clinical study section)						0100	1 1 10 5 1 0)	0 606
climical elective course in general practice (clinical study section)			1.8 (0.7–4.8)	0.234	1.7 (0.0-4.4)	816.0	1.4 (0.3–4.2)	0000
four-week clerkship in general practice (clinical study			3.8 (2.2–6.8)	< 0.001	2.4(1.3-4.4)	0.005	2.6(1.3-5.0)	0.005
four-month clinical rotation in general practice (final year)			7.5 (3.7–15.2)	< 0.001	7.3 (3.4–15.7)	< 0.001	10.7 (4.3–26.7)	< 0.001
Age ≥ 25 years at the time of the mandatory two-week general	2.4(1.3-4.3)	0.004			2.6 (1.5-4.7)	0.001	3.0 (1.6–5.7)	0.001
practice clerkship (vs. ≤ 24 years)								
Family or friends in general practice during schooldays/years of	2.0(1.1 - 3.5)	0.016			2.1 (1.2–3.7)	0.012	2.3(1.2-4.3)	0.010
study (vs. not)								
Consideration of general practice as a career option at study entry	5.5(2.7 - 11.0)	< 0.001			5.6(2.8 - 11.3)	< 0.001	3.6(1.7-7.7)	0.001
(preferred of possible option) (vs. no option) Draferance for later work in a mural or conall-town area (we not)	7 3 (1 3-3 0)	0 004					25(14.4.6)	0 004
Personal (job-related) importance of								
(five-point Likert-scale from $1 =$ unimportant to $5 =$ very important)								
broad spectrum of patients	1.6(1.1-2.3)	0.026					2.1(1.3-3.4)	0.001
long-term doctor-patient relationships	2.7 (1.9–3.8)	< 0.001					2.6(1.8 - 3.8)	< 0.001
Notes: ¹ Model 1 was the result of the <i>purposeful selection of covariates</i> studies (excluding curricular experiences). The following variables physician's child, regional background, family or friends in general rural or small-town area, consideration of general practice as a care examines the independent association of the four optional undergramodel 1. To uncover possibly meaningful changes, variables were inc 1, complemented by the variables of Model 1 that may have altered	process (see paragr were taken into co practice during sch ser option at study (aduate general prac cluded in three steps l over time.	aph "Statisti nsideration v tooldays/year. entry, and th tice experien s: undergradu	cal analysis") consid within the model-bu s of study, at least c e seven variables ad ces (see Table I) wi late general practice	ering the ir uilding proo one parent ldressing jo ith GP care ith GP care	ifluence of variable cess: age, gender, l with higher educa b-related value ori cer choice adjusted omplemented by th	s frequently naving a par tion degree, entations pr l for the var ne definitely	found to be relevan ther, having childre preference for later esented in Table IV. iables shown to be unalterable variable:	t in earlier n, being a work in a ² Model 2 relevant in s of Model

52 T. Deutsch et al.

Table IV. Job-related value orientations: Comparisons based on career choice and gender.

Personal (job-related) importance of (five-point Likert-scale from 1 = unimportant to 5 = very important)	n	All Mean±SD	Future GPs Mean±SD	Other Mean ± SD	p^1	Female Mean ± SD	Male Mean ± SD	p^1
variety of everyday tasks	658	4.3 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 0.6	4.3 ± 0.6	0.007	4.3 ± 0.6	4.3 ± 0.6	0.539
broad spectrum of patients	659	3.9 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 0.8	< 0.001	3.9 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.8	0.032
high income	658	3.5 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.8	0.147	3.4 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 0.8	0.065
prestige	654	2.7 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 0.9	0.176	2.6 ± 0.9	2.8 ± 0.9	0.001
positive work-life balance	657	4.6 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.7	0.124	4.6 ± 0.6	4.4 ± 0.8	< 0.001
involvement in research	656	2.6 ± 1.1	2.3 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 1.1	0.011	2.4 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.2	< 0.001
long-term doctor-patient relationships	659	3.3±1.0	4.2 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.0	< 0.001	3.4 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 1.0	< 0.001

Note: ¹Mann–Whitney U-test.

as the unit of analysis show that the existence of a GP department and a sound GP curriculum positively correlate with the number of graduates choosing to become GPs [30,31].

Strengths and weaknesses

Following current recommendations, the present work built on previous research, studied general practice separately from other primary care specialties, and used multivariable statistical analyses [5]. Moreover, while many other studies are based on students' undergraduate career considerations, in this study the stronger outcome criterion of career choice after or at graduation (the majority of respondents were in postgraduate training) was used. Both the highly satisfactory response rate compared with similar studies in Germany [17] and the non-responder analysis indicate representativeness. In our setting a randomized controlled study was not feasible. We therefore chose an observational study design and a multivariable statistical methodology, despite it offering a lower level of evidence. As already mentioned, the present study combines data continuously documented in the course of medical studies, data gathered within the only GP clerkship that was mandatory, and data from a graduate survey. Some of the variables that were gathered cross-sectionally at the time of the graduate survey may have altered over time. Causal inferences regarding these variables should be made with care, although the overwhelming majority of them can be considered as being very stable over time. While some may consider the sample size to be relatively small, current simulation studies indicate that plausible and highly significant associations hypothesized a priori can be interpreted, with a minor degree of extra caution, in logistic regression models that have five to nine events per predictor variable [32]. While a criticism could be that not all of the participants had completed their licensing examination, the study design ensured that all those participants were at least close to their graduation. Finally, it should be stated that the results are based on the data from one medical school, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The effects of educational interventions may differ depending on the local didactic context and individuals involved [33].

Conclusions

This study contributes to an understanding of the factors influencing the choice of general practice as a career today. Information on the characteristics of those who are more or less likely to become GPs can be useful in different ways. While in some countries there is discussion on how to adapt medical school admission criteria to meet the workforce needs, in Germany the main focus is on persuading medical students to become GPs. Research findings may therefore be used to define target groups for interventions or to guide the development of a convincing external presentation of the speciality, perhaps specifically tailored for different subgroups. The data also support the hypothesis that a practice-orientated general practice curriculum, in both the early and later stages of undergraduate medical education, will aid the recruitment of future GPs. Although further research is still needed to confirm and extend the evidence on the impact of specific curricular interventions, the results are in line with previous studies suggesting that a broad establishment of a practice-orientated GP curriculum at medical schools could be one important component to counter recruitment problems. This might be promoted by supporting the academic institutionalization of the speciality. Our findings should be of interest to medical schools, policy-makers, and GPs involved in undergraduate medical education.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and Universität Leipzig within the program of Open Access Publishing.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

- [1] Kopetsch T. Dem deutschen Gesundheitswesen gehen die Ärzte aus! Studie zur Altersstruktur- und Arztzahlentwicklung. 5., aktualisierte und komplett überarbeitete Auflage [The German health care system is running out of physicians! Study on the development of age structure and number of physicians] (In German). Berlin: Bundesärztekammer und Kassenärztliche Bundesvereinigung; 2010.
- [2] Reed VA, Jernstedt GC, Reber ES. Understanding and improving medical student specialty choice: A synthesis of the literature using decision theory as a referent. Teach Learn Med 2001;13:117–29.
- [3] Kiolbassa K, Miksch A, Hermann K, Loh A, Szecsenyi J, Joos S, et al. Becoming a general practitioner: Which factors have most impact on career choice of medical students? BMC Fam Pract 2011;12:25.
- [4] Bennett KL, Phillips JP. Finding, recruiting, and sustaining the future primary care physician workforce: A new theoretical model of specialty choice process. Acad Med 2010;85:81–8.
- [5] Campos-Outcalt D, Senf J, Pugno PA, McGaha AL. Family medicine specialty selection: A proposed research agenda. Fam Med 2007;39:585–9.
- [6] Senf JH, Campos-Outcalt D, Kutob R. Factors related to the choice of family medicine: A reassessment and literature review. J Am Board Fam Pract 2003;16:502–12.
- [7] Bland CJ, Meurer LN, Maldonado G. Determinants of primary care specialty choice: A non-statistical meta-analysis of the literature. Acad Med 1995;70:620–41.
- [8] Heidelbaugh J, Cooke J, Wimsatt L. Opportunities for medical student engagement with family medicine. Fam Med 2013;45:484–91.
- [9] Bunker J, Shadbolt N. Choosing general practice as a career: The influences of education and training. Aust Fam Physician 2009;38:341–4.
- [10] Chenot JF. Undergraduate medical education in Germany. Ger Med Sci 2009;7:Doc02.
- [11] Brekke M, Carelli F, Zarbailov N, Javashvili G, Wilm S, Timonen M, et al. Undergraduate medical education in general practice/family medicine throughout Europe: A descriptive study. BMC Med Educ 2013;13:157.
- [12] Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied logistic regression. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley; 2013.
- [13] Deutsch T, Lippmann S, Frese T, Sandholzer H. Gewinnung hausärztlichen Nachwuchses – Zusammenhang zwischen praxisorientierter Lehre und Karriereentscheidung [Recruitment for general practice – relationship between practicebased curriculum and career choice] (in German, English summary). Gesundheitswesen 2014;76:26–31.
- [14] Cleland J, Johnston PW, French FH, Needham G. Associations between medical school and career preferences in Year 1 medical students in Scotland. Med Educ 2012; 46:473–84.
- [15] Heiligers PJ. Gender differences in medical students' motives and career choice. BMC Med Educ 2012;12:82.
- [16] Van den Bussche H, Kromark K, Köhl-Hackert N, Robra B, Rothe K, Schmidt A, et al. Hausarzt oder Spezialist im Inoder Ausland? [General practitioner or specialist at home or abroad?] (in German, English summary). Gesundheitswesen 2012;74:786–92.

- [17] Roick C, Heider D, Günther OH, Kürstein B, Riedel-Heller SG, König HH. Was ist künftigen Hausärzten bei der Niederlassungsentscheidung wichtig? Ergebnisse einer postalischen Befragung junger Ärzte in Deutschland [Factors influencing the decision to establish a primary care practice: Results from a postal survey of young physicians in Germany] (in German, English summary). Gesundheitswesen 2012;74:12–20.
- [18] Götz K, Miksch A, Hermann K, Loh A, Kiolbassa K, Joos S, et al. Berufswunsch "planungssicherer Arbeitsplatz". Ergebnisse einer Online-Befragung unter Medizinstudierenden [Aspirations of medical students: "planning for a secure career" – results of an online-survey among students at five medical schools in Germany] (in German, English summary). Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2011;136:253–7.
- [19] Kassebaum DG, Szenas PL, Schuchert MK. Determinants of the generalist career intentions of 1995 graduating medical students. Acad Med 1996;71:198–209.
- [20] Levy BT, Hartz A, Merchant ML, Schroeder BT. Quality of a family medicine preceptorship is significantly associated with matching into family practice. Fam Med 2001;33: 683–90.
- [21] Scott I, Gowans M, Wright B, Brenneis F, Banner S, Boone J. Determinants of choosing a career in family medicine. CMAJ 2011;183:E1–8.
- [22] Buddeberg-Fischer B, Stamm M, Buddeberg C, Klaghofer R. Möglichkeiten zur Attraktivitätssteigerung der Hausarztmedizin aus der Sicht junger Ärztinnen und Ärzte [Young physicians' view on factors that increase the attractiveness of general practice] (in German, English summary). Gesundheitswesen 2008;70:123–8.
- [23] Kuikka L, Nevalainen MK, Sjöberg L, Salokekkilä P, Karppinen H, Torppa M, et al. The perceptions of a GP's work among fifth-year medical students in Helsinki, Finland. Scand J Prim Health Care 2012;30:121–6.
- [24] Mihalynuk T, Leung G, Fraser J, Bates J, Snadden D. Free choice and career choice: Clerkship electives in medical education. Med Educ 2006;40:1065–71.
- [25] Xu G, Hojat M, Brigham TP, Veloski JJ. Factors associated with changing levels of interest in primary care during medical school. Acad Med 1999;74:1011–15.
- [26] Burack JH, Irby DM, Carline JD, Ambrozy DM, Ellsbury KE, Stritter FT. A study of medical students' specialty-choice pathways: Trying on possible selves. Acad Med 1997;72: 534–41.
- [27] Musham C, Chessman A. Changes in medical students' perceptions of family practice resulting from a required clerkship. Fam Med 1994;26:500–3.
- [28] Dornan T, Littlewood S, Margolis SA, Scherpbier A, Spencer J, Ypinazar V. How can experience in clinical and community settings contribute to early medical education? A BEME systematic review. Med Teach 2006;28:3–18.
- [29] Deutsch T, Hönigschmid P, Frese T, Sandholzer H. Early community-based family practice elective positively influences medical students' career considerations: A pre-post comparison. BMC Fam Pract 2013;14:24.
- [30] Wesnes SL, Aasland O, Baerheim A. Career choice and place of graduation among physicians in Norway. Scand J Prim Health Care 2012;30:35–40.
- [31] BiggsWS, Schmittling GT, Bieck AD, Crosley PW, Pugno PA. Entry of US medical school graduates into family medicine residencies: 2010–2011 and 3-year summary. Fam Med 2011;43:625–30.
- [32] Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and Cox regression. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165:710–8.
- [33] Baerheim A. Doing research on medical education. Scand J Prim Health Care 2006;24:65–6.