Comorbidity between post-traumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder: alternative explanations and treatment considerations

Janine D. Flory, PhD; Rachel Yehuda, PhD



Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2015;17:141-150.

Approximately half of people with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) also suffer from Major Depressive Disorder (MDD). The current paper examines evidence for two explanations of this comorbidity. First, that the comorbidity reflects overlapping symptoms in the two disorders. Second, that the co-occurrence of PTSD and MDD is not an artifact, but represents a trauma-related phenotype, possibly a subtype of PTSD. Support for the latter explanation is inferred from literature that examines risk and biological correlates of PTSD and MDD, including molecular processes. Treatment implications of the comorbidity are considered.

© 2015, AICH – Servier Research Group

Keywords: PTSD; MDD; comorbidity; alucocorticoid receptor; FKBP5

Author affiliations: James J. Peters Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Bronx, USA; Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA (Janine D. Flory, Rachel Yehuda); Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA (Rachel Yehuda)

Address for correspondence: Janine D. Flory, PhD, Associate Professor of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, James J. Peters Bronx VAMC, Room 3B-42, 130 West Kingsbridge Road, Bronx, NY 10468, USA (e-mail: janine.flory@mssm.edu)

Introduction

omorbidity between post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and major depressive disorder is common, with approximately half of people with PTSD also having a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) across diverse epidemiological samples.¹⁻⁴ There are two competing explanations for this comorbidity. The first is that the comorbidity reflects imprecision in symptom classification into the two discrete categorical diagnoses. Support for this explanation would come from variability in comorbidity rates based on different versions of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), as there have been changes to the number of symptoms required for diagnosis of PTSD, but not MDD. An alternative view is that the co-occurrence of PTSD and MDD represents a trauma-related phenotype that is distinct from MDD and reflects a fundamental dimension of risk for psychopathology following trauma exposure. Support for this explanation is less straightforward, but can be inferred from the research literature examining risk factors and biological correlates for the individual disorders, and where possible, for the comorbidity. This paper will review evidence for both views. It is critical to then consider treatment implications in order to determine whether existing treatments for PTSD can be effective in treating people who present with symptoms of both disorders, or rather, whether the presence of both conditions requires an altogether different approach.

Evidence supporting PTSD/MDD comorbidity as an artifact of symptom overlap

The diagnostic criteria for a major depressive episode (MDE) have remained essentially constant across versions of the DSM from 1980 to 2013. There are 9 diagnostic criteria, and 5 must be present in order to meet diagnostic threshold. A $DSM-III^5$ diagnosis required

that 1 of the 9 criteria include depressed mood. In *DSM-III-R*⁶ and later versions,^{7.9} the criteria were revised such that the symptom of anhedonia could substitute for depressed mood. In contrast, the diagnostic criteria for PTSD have changed substantially over the same time period. *Table I* presents the symptom lists for the different versions of *DSM*. Note that the *DSM-III-R*, *DSM-IV*, and *DSM-IV-TR* versions are presented

DSM-III	DSM-III-R/DSM-IV/DSM-IV-TR	DSM-5
Re-experiencing (Need 1)	Re-experiencing (Need 1)	Re-experiencing (Need 1)
1) recurrent and instrusive recollections	1) recurrent and instrusive recollections	1) recurrent and instrusive recollections
2) recurrent dreams	2) recurrent dreams	2) recurrent dreams
3) flashbacks	3) flashbacks	3) flashbacks
	4) intense psychological distress	4) intense psychological distress
	5) physiological reactivity	5) physiological reactivity
Numbing (Need 1)	Avoidance or numbing (Need 3)	Avoidance (Need 1)
1) diminished interest	1) efforts to avoid thoughts or feelings	1) efforts to avoid thoughts or feelings
2) detachment or estrangement from others	2) efforts to avoid activities	2) efforts to avoid activities
3) constricted affect	3) inability to recall an important aspect of the trauma	
	4) diminished interest	Negative alterations in cognitions and mood (Need 2)
	5) detachment or estrangement from others	1) inability to recall an important aspect of the trauma
	6) constricted affect	2) exaggerated negative beliefs
	7) sense of foreshortened future	3) distorted cognitions, including guilt
		4) persistent negative emotions
		5) diminished interest
		6) detachment or estrangement from others
		7) constricted affect
Other (Need 2)	Hyperarousal (Need 2)	Hyperarousal (Need 2)
1) hyperalertness or exaggerated star- tle	1) sleep disturbance	1) sleep disturbance
2) sleep disturbance	2) irritability	2) irritability
3) guilt	3) trouble concentrating	3) trouble concentrating
4) memory impairment or trouble con- centrating	4) hypervigiliance	4) hypervigiliance
5) avoidance of reminders	5) exaggerated startle	5) exaggerated startle
6) intensification of symptoms		6) reckless or self-destructive behavior
3/12 symptoms required for diagnosis	6/17 symptoms required for diagnosis	6/20 symptoms required for diagnosis

Table I. PTSD symptom clusters from DSM-III to DSM-5.

together in one column, as the criteria did not change appreciably across these three versions. The PTSD symptoms that overlap with MDD are presented in red text and include anhedonia, sleep disturbance, and concentration difficulties; three symptoms that appear in the PTSD diagnosis across all versions of the *DSM*. The number of possible PTSD symptoms expanded from 12 in *DSM-III* to 20 in *DSM-5*. New symptoms that appear with iterations of the PTSD diagnosis are shown in blue text. The changes to the PTSD diagnosis in *DSM-5* also brought forth a new symptom that overlaps between the two disorders, as guilt was added (back) to the PTSD diagnostic criteria. Note that this symptom was present in the original diagnostic description of PTSD in *DSM-III*.

PTSD first appeared in the DSM in 1980⁵ and was comprised of 12 symptoms that were grouped into three clusters: Re-experiencing, Numbing, and a broad category of other symptoms. In the DSM-III-R/DSM-IV/DSM-IV-TR editions of the manual, the definition of PTSD was expanded to include 17 symptoms, grouped into three clusters. The principal revision was that several of the symptoms in the broad category were moved into the other two categories (eg, re-experiencing or avoidance) and this cluster was conceptualized as Hyperarousal. Additionally, several individual symptoms in the broad category were transformed into two symptoms, eg, one avoidance symptom became two avoidance symptoms. In the revision of DSM-IV to DSM-5 there were several changes. First, PTSD was removed from the anxiety disorders section and placed into a separate and new Trauma and Stressor-related Disorders section of the DSM. Second, the requirement that an individual experience "helplessness, fear, or horror" related to trauma exposure was eliminated. These two changes reflect the view that PTSD is no longer considered solely to be a fear-based anxiety disorder. Third, the total number of PTSD criteria was expanded from 17 to 20. Fourth, avoidance is now considered to be an essential element of PTSD, as at least one symptom of avoidance is *required* for diagnosis. Numbing symptoms were moved to a new and separate cluster in DSM-5 labeled Negative Alterations in Cognitions and Mood. Table II presents a comparison of DSM-IV-TR Cluster C criteria (Avoidance and Numbing) and how they have been reformulated for DSM-5 into Cluster C (Avoidance) and D (Negative Alterations in Cognitions and Mood).

The changes to the diagnostic criteria for PTSD over time, with the corresponding absence of change

DSM-IV-TR

Criterion C: Persistent avoidance of stimuli associated with the trauma and numbing of general responsiveness (not present before the trauma), as indicated by three (or more) of the following:

(C1) efforts to avoid thoughts, feelings, or conversations associated with the trauma (DSM-5 C1)

(C2) efforts to avoid activities, places, or people that arouse recollections of the trauma (*DSM-5* C2)

(C3) inability to recall an important aspect of the trauma (*DSM-5* D1)

(C4) markedly diminished interest or participation in significant activities (*DSM-5* D5)

(C5) feeling of detachment or estrangement from others (*DSM-5* D6)

(C6) restricted range of affect (eg, unable to have loving feelings) (*DSM-5* D7)

(C7) sense of a foreshortened future (eg, does not expect to have a career, marriage, children, or a normal life span) (*DSM-5* D2)

DSM-5

Criterion C: Persistent effortful avoidance of distressing trauma-related stimuli after the event (one required):

(C1) Trauma-related thoughts or feelings

(C2) Trauma-related external reminders (eg, people, places, conversations, activities, objects, or situations) Criterion D: Negative alterations in cognitions and mood that

began or worsened after the traumatic event (two required):

(D1) Inability to recall key features of the traumatic event (usually dissociative amnesia; not due to head injury, alcohol, or drugs)

(D2) Persistent (and often distorted) negative beliefs and expectations about oneself or the world (eg, "I am bad," "The world is completely dangerous")

(D3) Persistent distorted blame of self or others for causing the traumatic event or for resulting consequences

(D4) Persistent negative trauma-related emotions (eg, fear, horror, anger, guilt, or shame)

(D5) Markedly diminished interest in (pre-traumatic) significant activities

(D6) Feeling alienated from others (eg, detachment or estrangement)

(D7) Constricted affect: persistent inability to experience positive emotions

 Table II. Comparison of Avoidance and Numbing symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in DSM-IV-TR versus DSM-5.

in MDD criteria presents the opportunity to examine whether and how rates of comorbidity between the disorders change over the same time period. If the rates change substantively over the different versions, this would suggest that the comorbidity is an artifact of nosology. Only a few epidemiological studies have been reported that examined comorbidity rates of *DSM-III* diagnoses of PTSD and MDD and the rates vary considerably. In the North Carolina catchment area study of the Epidemiological Catchment Area (ECA) program, the rate of *DSM-III* depression in people with PTSD was only 4%.¹⁰ In contrast, Shore et al¹¹ reported a rate of 51% comorbidity in a community sample of people who lived near the Mount St Helens eruption.

In the late 1980s and early 90s, large-scale and comprehensive epidemiological studies of PTSD were launched (eg, the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma¹²). In addition, the National Comorbidity Survey was initiated in 1990. This effort assessed a broad range of current and lifetime DSM-III-R diagnoses in a nationally representative sample. Kessler and colleagues³ reported that 47.9% of men and 48.5% of women with PTSD also had MDE, consistent with the rate reported in the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma.¹ The rate of comorbidity in the National Comorbidity Survey-Replication, which used DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for PTSD and MDD, was 42.8%.¹³ It will be of great interest to see whether the rate of comorbidity between PTSD and MDD will change with the advent of DSM-5, as no studies to date have reported comorbidity rates of PTSD and MDD using DSM-5 criteria. However, in a multinational study of PTSD prevalence rates using varying criteria, the odds ratios associated with meeting criteria for a distress disorder (including MDE) in response to a traumatic exposure that also lead to PTSD, declined from 11.1 using DSM-IV to 7.8 using DSM-5 criteria.¹⁴ The comorbidity rate between DSM-5 PTSD and the distress disorders in this study was low (5.5%) because only cases with first onset in conjunction with the focal trauma exposure were considered in the comorbidity percentage.

In sum, there is little evidence to suggest that changes in the way that PTSD has been conceptualized in *DSM* has appreciably affected the comorbidity rates over time, as the comorbidity rate from *DSM-III-R* to *DSM-IV-TR* has remained at about 50% in epidemiological samples. This is probably due to the fact that two of the three items that overlap (sleep disturbance and concentration difficulties) have stayed within the same cluster. Of note, most investigations consider lifetime diagnoses, rather than comorbidity based on exposure to the same traumatic experience. Several investigations have also demonstrated that eliminating the common symptoms between PTSD and mood and anxiety disorders using *DSM-IV* criteria does not appreciably change the prevalence of PTSD.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The *DSM-5* is new, and conclusions await data based on the comorbidity rate using *DSM-5* PTSD criteria. Stander and colleagues¹⁸ recently reviewed the literature examining PTSD and MDD comorbidity. Although their focus was limited to military-related PTSD, they found little support for the view that the PTSD/MDD comorbidity could be explained as an artifact of overlapping symptoms.

Evidence supporting PTSD/MDD comorbidity as a distinct trauma-related phenotype

An alternative view is that the comorbidity between PTSD and MDD represents a distinct phenotype, possibly even a subtype of PTSD. As discussed below, evidence to support this view can be inferred from the research literature examining risk factors and biological correlates of the two conditions. With respect to the phenomenology of the comorbidity, people with both PTSD and MDD report higher levels of distress and role impairment.¹⁹⁻²¹ They also show higher impairment in neurocognitive functioning²² and are at greater risk for suicide^{20,23} than people with PTSD only. Moreover, prognosis for people with both conditions is poorer than for either one alone.²⁴ Finally, there are distinct biological profiles associated with PTSD versus MDD, as reviewed below.

Risk factors associated with PTSD/MDD comorbidity

The co-occurrence of psychiatric diagnoses is the rule rather than an exception, and the high rate has been attributed to fundamental underlying dimensions or latent factors, termed internalizing versus externalizing.²⁵ The internalizing dimension is characterized by high levels of negative affectivity (also known as neuroticism) and low levels of positive affectivity (also known as extraversion) while the externalizing dimension is characterized by high levels of negative affectivity and low levels of constraint (also known as high impulsivity). In general, the internalizing dimension represents a latent or underlying trait vulnerability that explains cooccurrence among mood and anxiety disorders, while the externalizing dimension underlies substance use disorders, conduct disorder, and antisocial personality disorder. PTSD is a unique disorder in that it has been shown to be related to both internalizing and externalizing dimensions in large samples of people with a diverse range of psychiatric diagnoses,²⁶ although see ref 27.

Research on the latent structure of PTSD comorbidity shows that people with PTSD who report high negative affectivity and low positive affectivity are more likely to have a comorbid diagnosis of depression.^{28,29} However, people with PTSD who report high negative affectivity and low constraint are more likely to have comorbid substance-use disorders, and report higher aggression scores.^{28,29} Thus, when PTSD and MDD cooccur this may be a manifestation of the underlying vulnerability to respond to trauma with the behavioral, affective, and cognitive symptoms that reflect the internalizing dimension. That is, people who report high levels of neuroticism are prone to react to everyday stressors and challenges with anxiety, worry, irritability and sadness (ie, negative affect). This style is particularly invoked when the challenge involves loss, threat, or frustration³⁰ and reflects a long-standing personality dimension. Coupled with low extraversion, which refers to a tendency to seek out and enjoy social activities, the individual is less likely to ask for support from others when frustrated or upset and/or less capable of seeking novel and stimulating experiences that might be mood brightening.

Thus, when exposed to a traumatic experience, the person who develops PTSD and has an internalizing personality style is vulnerable to developing MDD. In support of this view, Spinhoven et al³¹ examined the influence of neuroticism and extraversion on rates of PTSD and MDD in a longitudinal study of more than 2400 adults. The results showed that high neuroticism and low extraversion assessed at baseline were associated with development of comorbid PTSD and MDD 4 years later. Interestingly, the traits were not associated with new-onset cases of either disorder by itself, suggesting that it is the combination of high neuroticism and low extraversion that leads to the comorbidity. In contrast, the person who develops PTSD but has the externalizing personality style characterized by impulsive

thoughts and behaviors is not likely to develop MDD. In this instance, PTSD is more likely to be accompanied by substance abuse and aggression. Longitudinal research is needed to better understand the structure and etiology of PTSD epidemiology.

A prominent risk factor for both PTSD and MDD is childhood adversity and abuse³² and in the study described above, Spinhoven et al³¹ reported that the relationship between the high neuroticism/low extraversion and subsequent development of comorbid PTSD and MDD was fully accounted for by retrospective reports of childhood sexual and physical abuse in multivariate analyses. A similar result was reported by Hovens et al³³ who found strong support for an association between retrospective reports of physical childhood abuse and comorbid mood and anxiety disorders in a large adult sample. In contrast, people with only an MDD diagnosis were more likely to report emotional neglect and psychological abuse in childhood. Thus, childhood maltreatment, especially physical abuse, may mediate the association between the internalizing dimension and development of MDD/PTSD. Other types of abuse may be associated with different adulthood outcomes.

In summary, epidemiological data indicate that rates of comorbidity in PTSD are higher than in other disorders,³⁴ suggesting that the pertinent diagnostic query is not whether PTSD is accompanied by another diagnosis, but rather, which diagnoses are also present. The combination of high negative affect and low extraversion appears to represent a pre-existing trait that confers vulnerability for the development of comorbid PTSD/ MDD, relative to other types of PTSD comorbidity. Childhood maltreatment is an important consideration in terms of understanding the etiology of PTSD comorbidity and may even mediate the association between the personality dimensions and the diagnoses. Further longitudinal research is needed to identify whether different types of childhood maltreatment are more likely to lead to internalizing versus externalizing styles of behavior, as this may provide insight into why some individuals develop PTSD/MDD while others do not.

Biological factors that distinguish between PTSD and MDD

In contrast to the risk factor research described above, biological studies that address PTSD/MDD comorbidity generally examine how PTSD and MDD have dis-

tinct, and sometimes diverging, biological signals. This research includes studies that examine structural and functional neuroimaging, measures of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis, and more recently, DNA molecular markers (ie, epigenetics, gene expression).

Neuroimaging

A series of twin studies provide evidence of differences between PTSD and MDD in neuroimaging measures. In these studies, male monozygotic twin pairs were identified in which one twin was combat-exposed to the Vietnam War and developed PTSD and the cotwin was not exposed (and did not have PTSD). Twin pairs not exposed to war trauma were included as control comparison to identify vulnerability factors for the development of PTSD. In the first study, Gilbertson and colleagues³⁵ reported that the monozygotic twin pairs who were discordant for trauma exposure had comparable and lower hippocampal volume relative to twin pairs not exposed to war trauma. These results suggest that lower hippocampal volume is a risk factor for the development of PTSD. Drawing from the same twin sample and using the same study design, Shin and colleagues have reported that higher resting metabolic activity in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and midcingulate cortex³⁶ and activation in the dorsal ACC during a cognitive interference task are similarly risk factors for PTSD, but not depression. In contrast, Kasai et al³⁷ reported lower pregenual ACC gray matter in association with PTSD and MDD. Lower gray matter was not observed in cotwins, suggesting that this measure represents an acquired characteristic of both PTSD and MDD.

Several functional imaging studies have directly compared people with PTSD and MDD to those with PTSD alone. Kemp et al³⁸ reported lower medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and amygdala activation to fearful faces in PTSD/MDD patients compared with those with only PTSD. Lanius et al³⁹ reported similar results in a symptom provocation paradigm, but the PTSD/ MDD versus PTSD group difference in mPFC activation did not persist after controlling for PTSD symptom severity. People in the PTSD/MDD group also had higher activation in anterior cingulate gyrus and posterior cingulate cortex and lower activation in the left insula relative to the PTSD only group, and these differences were not attenuated by PTSD symptom severity. Kennis et al⁴⁰ built upon these results by examining functional connectivity in these regions and reported higher connectivity between the subgenual ACC and perigenual ACC in patients with PTSD/MDD relative to the group with PTSD only. The PTSD/MDD group also showed lower functional connectivity between the insula and hippocampus compared with the PTSD only group. A recent review has shown that higher levels of neuroticism are related to functional activity in many of these same regions, using similar emotion-laden tasks (eg, faces).³⁰

Data from the twin studies suggest that some findings associated with PTSD, eg, lower hippocampal volume and higher activity in the dACC during an interference task, are risk factors that predate the development of PTSD but not MDD, while lower pregenual ACC volume is a consequence of PTSD and MDD following trauma exposure. Although only a few studies have examined neural structure and activity in people with comorbid PTSD/MDD versus those with PTSD, preliminary results suggest that fear regions (eg, amygdala, insular cortex, dACC, hippocampus, and medial PFC) and fear neurocircuitry in PTSD are more likely to be activated by threatening stimuli than they are in PTSD/ MDD. Less connectivity between the insular cortex and hippocampus in people with PTSD/MDD may reflect differences in how emotional memories are processed.

Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis

Measures that characterize the functioning of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis also differ in their relationships to PTSD and MDD. Both PTSD and MDD are associated with greater hypothalamic CRF release relative to healthy controls, but in people with PTSD, peripheral cortisol levels are generally lower and in MDD they are higher (reviewed in refs 41,42). In a direct comparison of patients with PTSD and MDD using 24-hour sampling of cortisol and chronobiological analyses, Yehuda and colleagues reported that overall cortisol levels differed between the groups, but also that the patterns of cortisol release were distinct.⁴³ In PTSD, there was a greater mean-to-amplitude ratio of cortisol, reflecting a greater range of cortisol release over the 24hour cycle. In depression, the range of cortisol release was lower, relative to healthy controls. Furthermore, people with PTSD showed fewer ultradian pulses, while patients with MDD showed more ultradian pulses, reflecting a more chaotic or less well-regulated cortisol release. Importantly, these chronobiological changes reflected central processes rather than being controlled at the level of the adrenal gland.

This distinct pattern appears to reflect differences in glucocorticoid receptor (GR) sensitivity in the two disorders, which may be a function of distinct epigenetic and molecular drivers.²¹ Enhanced GR sensitivity in PTSD is inferred from results of the dexamethasone suppression test (DST), which show that people with PTSD have an exaggerated cortisol suppression response to dexamethasone (DEX). In contrast, MDD has been historically associated with cortisol non-suppression (reviewed in refs 42,44). Similar results have been observed on an in vitro measure of glucocorticoid sensitivity.^{21,44,45} In this assay, 50% inhibition of lysozyme activity is determined by incubating cultured peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) with varying doses of DEX. Taken together, these results indicate that in PTSD, the negative-feedback system of the HPA axis is overly sensitive. Relatively less research has examined GR sensitivity measures when PTSD and MDD co-occur, but generally, results suggest that people with PTSD/MDD resemble people with PTSD alone (eg, refs 21,43).

Molecular processes

These results can be interpreted in concert with a growing body of evidence suggesting that epigenetic processes mediate the relationship between environmental exposures and risk for psychopathology, including PTSD and MDD.^{46,47} Epigenetics refers to stable molecular changes, including DNA methylation and histone modification that regulate gene expression. With respect to psychopathology, it is thought that early-life adversity leads to such changes, which, in turn may cause life-long alterations in how genes are expressed.48 Thus, epigenetics represents a molecular manifestation of vulnerability for psychopathology. In a recent publication, Yehuda and colleagues²¹ reported that there was a unique biological signal associated with PTSD relative to trauma exposure reflecting epigenetic signaling. In this study of combat-exposed veterans, lower epigenetic methylation in the promoter region of the GR gene was associated with PTSD relative to combat-exposed veterans who did not develop PTSD, indicating that this is a marker associated with PTSD, but not exposure. Approximately half of the veterans with PTSD also met *DSM-IV* diagnostic criteria for current MDD and results showed that GR gene methylation was lower in veterans with PTSD only, compared with veterans with PTSD and MDD.

Differences between PTSD and MDD with respect to FK506 binding protein 51 (FKBP5) activity have also been reported.49 FKBP5 is a functional regulator of the GR complex; when stimulated by GR and (and other steroid receptors), FKBP5 increases in transcription and translation are associated with lower GR sensitivity.⁴⁹ Lower FKBP5 gene expression has been associated with PTSD,⁵⁰ which is consistent with higher GR sensitivity observed in this disorder. Depressive symptoms are correlated with higher FKBP5 gene expression.⁴⁹ Interestingly, the same functional variants in the FKBP5 gene interact with environmental stressors, including childhood abuse, to affect risk for adulthood PTSD and MDD (reviewed in ref 51). However, these "risk" alleles are associated with DNA methylation, gene expression, and neuroendocrine measures in directionally opposite ways in the context of PTSD and MDD.^{51,52} The opposing directionality of some of the functional and molecular findings for PTSD versus MDD suggests that studying these two genes in people with both disorders may help to understand why PTSD and MDD co-occur.

Treatment considerations

The question about why PTSD and MDD co-occur has significant implications for treatment. If the comorbidity is due to symptom overlap, then ostensibly the same treatment strategies that are effective with PTSD could be offered to people with both disorders. If the comorbidity represents a distinct phenotype or subtype of PTSD, then treatment approaches that target specific aspects of PTSD (eg, avoidance) may be less effective when the presentation includes depressive symptomatology.

Pharmacological treatment

This issue is complicated with respect to pharmacological treatment as there are no pharmacotherapies that specifically address PTSD, ie, the recommended medications are antidepressants⁵³; current guidelines for the treatment of PTSD recommend initiation of a selec-

tive serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI, eg, paroxetine, sertraline, or fluoxetine) or serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI, eg, venlafaxine). Prazosin may be added as adjunctive therapy if the presentation of PTSD includes nightmares. If the initial trial of an SSRI or SNRI is not effective after 4 to 8 weeks, consideration of another first-line SSRI/SNRI or mirtazapine is warranted. Again, Prazosin can be added as an adjunctive medication when nightmares are present. A recent meta-analysis⁵⁴ showed that the effect sizes for pharmacological treatments for PTSD are low (although comparable to effect sizes for MDD) and do not fare well relative to effect sizes for PTSD psychotherapies. With respect to PTSD/MDD comorbidity, Bernardy and Friedman⁵⁵ report that there is some evidence to suggest that people with PTSD and comorbid depression respond more poorly to antidepressants than people with PTSD alone. However, they posit that people with comorbid PTSD/MDD who also report suicidal ideation and childhood trauma exposure may account for poor response to treatment.

With respect to psychotherapies, treatment recommendations for PTSD and MDD are distinct and there are no clear guidelines for treating the comorbidity. Treatment for PTSD includes trauma-focused therapies, which if effective, will also be associated with change in symptoms of depression (reviewed in ref 56), particularly if the depression is mild in severity. Prolonged Exposure (PE) and Cognitive Processing Therapy target avoidance, now considered by *DSM-5* to be essential for diagnosis. Dropout rates are high in trauma-focused treatments and are attributed to the initial increase in symptoms as patients begin describing the trauma memory, which activates an avoidance mechanism (ie, cancelling or no-showing for subse-

REFERENCES

- 1. Breslau N, Davis GC, Peterson EL, Schultz L. Psychiatric sequelae of posttraumatic stress disorder in women. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* **1997;54(1):81-**87.
- **2.** Caramanica K, Brackbill RM, Liao T, Stellman SD. Comorbidity of 9/11-related PTSD and depression in the World Trade Center Health Registry 10-11 years postdisaster. *J Trauma Stress.* 2014;27(6):680-688.
- 3. Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1995;52(12):1048-1060.
- 4. Rytwinski NK, Scur MD, Feeny NC, Youngstrom EA. The co-occurrence of major depressive disorder among individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. *J Trauma Stress.* 2013;26(3):299-309.
- 5. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1980.

quent appointments). Dropout rates are even higher when PTSD is comorbid with depression, suggesting that strategies that specifically target depression (eg, ref 57) or increase retention may be useful in enhancing delivery of the trauma-focused interventions. Regarding retention in psychotherapy, Yehuda and colleagues⁵⁸ recently reported that hydrocortisone augmentation of PE was associated with greater improvement compared with placebo, a finding that was explained by significantly greater patient retention in the hydrocortisone augmentation treatment condition. The mechanism for such an effect is not known, but the authors proposed that hydrocortisone administration prior to a therapy session diminished the fear response associated with activation of the traumatic memory during the session. Such a mechanism could potentially decrease avoidance, thereby increasing retention in treatment.

Summary

Treatment of PTSD and comorbid MDD is complex. People with both disorders show greater social, occupational, and cognitive impairment, report higher levels of distress, and are more likely to attempt suicide. Prognosis is poor when the two disorders co-occur and treatment dropout is more common. People who respond to challenges and trauma exposures with negative affect may be particularly prone to developing both disorders and also to report childhood maltreatment. Biological evidence is beginning to suggest that this trauma-related phenotype may represent a subtype of PTSD. Further examination of the GR and FKBP5 genes and related molecular processes is warranted. Finally, new treatment strategies that target the unique psychological and biological aspects of the comorbidity are needed. \Box

- 7. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* 4th ed. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- 8. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4th ed, Text Revision. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- **9.** American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
- **10.** Davidson JR, Hughes D, Blazer DG, George LK. Post-traumatic stress disorder in the community: an epidemiological study. *Psychol Med.* 1991;21(3):713-721.
- 11. Shore J, Vollmer, WM, Tatum, EL. Community pattenrs of posttraumatic stress disorders. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1989;177:681-685.

^{6.} American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 3rd ed, revised. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1984.

Comorbilidad entre trastorno por estrés postraumático y trastorno depresivo mayor: explicaciones alternativas y consideraciones terapéuticas

Aproximadamente la mitad de las personas con trastorno por estrés postraumático (TEPT) también sufren de un trastorno depresivo mayor (TDM). Este artículo examina la evidencia para dos explicaciones de esta comorbilidad. Primero, que la comorbilidad refleja una sobreposición de síntomas en los dos trastornos. Segundo, que la ocurrencia simultánea de TEPT y TDM no es un artefacto, sino que representa un fenotipo relacionado con el trauma, posiblemente un subtipo de TEPT. El soporte para esta última explicación se infiere de la literatura que revisa el riesgo y los correlatos biológicos del TEPT y el TDM, incluyendo los procesos moleculares. También se consideran las repercusiones terapéuticas de esta comorbilidad.

12. Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Kessler RC, Davis GC. Previous exposure to trauma and PTSD effects of subsequent trauma: results from the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. *Am J Psychiatry.* **1999**;**156**(6):902-907.

13. Rojas SM, Bujarski S, Babson KA, Dutton CE, Feldner MT. Understanding PTSD comorbidity and suicidal behavior: associations among histories of alcohol dependence, major depressive disorder, and suicidal ideation and attempts. J Anxiety Disord. 2014;28(3):318-325.

14. Stein DJ, McLaughlin KA, Koenen KC, et al. DSM-5 and ICD-11 definitions of posttraumatic stress disorder: investigating "narrow" and "broad" approaches. *Depress Anxiety.* **2014**;31(6):494-505.

15. Elhai JD, de Francisco Carvalho L, Miguel FK, Palmieri PA, Primi R, Frueh BC. Testing whether posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder are similar or unique constructs. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2011;25(3):404-410.

16. Elhai JD, Grubaugh AL, Kashdan TB, Frueh BC. Empirical examination of a proposed refinement to DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder symptom criteria using the National Comorbidity Survey Replication data. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2008;69(4):597-602.

17. Grubaugh AL, Long ME, Elhai JD, Frueh BC, Magruder KM. An examination of the construct validity of posttraumatic stress disorder with veterans using a revised criterion set. *Behav Res Ther.* **2010**;48(9):909-914.

18. Stander VA, Thomsen CJ, Highfill-McRoy RM. Etiology of depression comorbidity in combat-related PTSD: a review of the literature. *Clin Psychol Rev.* **2014**;34(2):87-98.

19. Blanchard EB, Buckley TC, Hickling EJ, Taylor AE. Posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid major depression: is the correlation an illusion? *J Anxiety Disord.* **1998;12(1):21-37**.

20. Campbell DG, Felker BL, Liu CF, et al. Prevalence of depression-PTSD comorbidity: implications for clinical practice guidelines and primary carebased interventions. *J Gen Int Med.* **2007**;22(6):711-718.

21. Yehuda R, Flory JD, Bierer LM, et al. Lower methylation of glucocorticoid receptor gene promoter 1F in peripheral blood of veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. **2015**;77(4):356-364.

22. Nijdam MJ, Gersons BP, Olff M. The role of major depression in neurocognitive functioning in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Eur J Psychotraumatology.* **2013.** doi: 10.3402/ejpt.v4i0.19979.

23. Ramsawh HJ, Fullerton CS, Mash HB, et al. Risk for suicidal behaviors associated with PTSD, depression, and their comorbidity in the U.S. Army. J Affect Disord. 2014;161:116-122.

Comorbidité entre le trouble stress posttraumatique et le trouble dépressif caractérisé : autres explications et considérations thérapeutiques

Environ la moitié des personnes qui présentent un trouble stress post-traumatique (TSPT) souffrent aussi d'un trouble dépressif caractérisé (majeur) (TDM). Cet article analyse les données relatives à deux explications de cette comorbidité. Premièrement, dans les deux troubles, la comorbidité révèle des symptômes de chevauchement. Deuxièmement, l'apparition concomitante d'un TSPT et d'un TDM n'est pas un artéfact, mais représente un phénotype lié au traumatisme, probablement un sous-type de TSPT. La littérature, qui analyse le risque et les liens biologiques du TSPT et du TDM, y compris les processus moléculaires qui s'y rapportent, est en faveur de cette dernière explication. Nous examinons attentivement les implications thérapeutiques de cette comorbidité.

 Green BL, Krupnick JL, Chung J, et al. Impact of PTSD comorbidity on one-year outcomes in a depression trial. *J Clin Psychol*. 2006;62(7):815-835.
 Krueger RF, McGue M, Iacono WG. The higher-order structure of common DSM mental disorders: internalization, externalization, and their connections to personality. *Pers Individ Diff*. 2001;30:1245-1259.

26. Wolf EJ, Miller MW, Krueger RF, Lyons MJ, Tsuang MT, Koenen KC. Posttraumatic stress disorder and the genetic structure of comorbidity. J Abn Psychol. 2010;119(2):320-330.

27. Slade T, Watson D. The structure of common DSM-IV and ICD-10 mental disorders in the Australian general population. *Psychol Med.* 2006;36(11):1593-1600.

28. Miller MW, Kaloupek DG, Dillon AL, Keane TM. Externalizing and internalizing subtypes of combat-related PTSD: a replication and extension using the PSY-5 scales. J Abn Psychol. 2004;113(4):636-645.

29. Miller MW, Wolf EJ, Reardon A, Greene A, Ofrat S, McInerney S. Personality and the latent structure of PTSD comorbidity. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2012;26(5):599-607.

30. Ormel J, Bastiaansen A, Riese H, et al. The biological and psychological basis of neuroticism: current status and future directions. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* **2013**;37(1):59-72.

31. Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, van Hemert AM, de Rooij M, Elzinga BM. Comorbidity of PTSD in anxiety and depressive disorders: prevalence and shared risk factors. *Child Abuse Negl.* **2014**;38(8):1320-1330.

32. Gilbert R, Widom CS, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, Janson S. Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9657):68-81.

33. Hovens JG, Giltay EJ, Wiersma JE, Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, Zitman FG. Impact of childhood life events and trauma on the course of depressive and anxiety disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2012;126(3):198-207.

34. Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Gregory A, Harrington H, Poulton R. The developmental mental-disorder histories of adults with posttraumatic stress disorder: a prospective longitudinal birth cohort study. *J Abn Psychol.* 2008;117(2):460-466.

35. Gilbertson MW, Shenton ME, Ciszewski A, et al. Smaller hippocampal volume predicts pathologic vulnerability to psychological trauma. *Nat Neurosci.* 2002;5(11):1242-1247.

36. Shin LM, Lasko NB, Macklin ML, et al. Resting metabolic activity in the cingulate cortex and vulnerability to posttraumatic stress disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66(10):1099-1107.

37. Kasai K, Yamasue H, Gilbertson MW, Shenton ME, Rauch SL, Pitman RK. Evidence for acquired pregenual anterior cingulate gray matter loss from a twin study of combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* **2008**;63(6):550-556.

38. Kemp AH, Felmingham K, Das P, et al. Influence of comorbid depression on fear in posttraumatic stress disorder: an fMRI study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2007;155(3):265-269.

39. Lanius RA, Frewen PA, Girotti M, Neufeld RW, Stevens TK, Densmore M. Neural correlates of trauma script-imagery in posttraumatic stress disorder with and without comorbid major depression: a functional MRI investigation. *Psychiatry Res.* 2007;155(1):45-56.

40. Kennis M, Rademaker AR, van Rooij SJ, Kahn RS, Geuze E. Altered functional connectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder with versus without comorbid major depressive disorder: a resting state fMRI study. *F1000 Res.* **2013**;2:289.

41. Yehuda R. Post-traumatic stress disorder. *N Engl J Med.* **10** 2002;346(2):108-114.

42. Pariante CM, Miller AH. Glucocorticoid receptors in major depression: relevance to pathophysiology and treatment. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2001;49(5):391-404.

43. Yehuda R, Teicher MH, Trestman RL, Levengood RA, Siever LJ. Cortisol regulation in posttraumatic stress disorder and major depression: a chronobiological analysis. *Biol Psychiatry.* **1996**;40(2):79-88.

44. Yehuda R. Status of glucocorticoid alterations in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2009;1179:56-69.

45. Yehuda R, Golier JA, Yang RK, Tischler L. Enhanced sensitivity to glucocorticoids in peripheral mononuclear leukocytes in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. **2004**;55(11):1110-1116.

46. Nestler EJ. Epigenetic mechanisms of depression. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014;71(4):454-456.

47. Yehuda R, Bierer LM. The relevance of epigenetics to PTSD: implications for the DSM-V. J Trauma Stress. 2009;22(5):427-434. **48.** Bagot RC, Labonte B, Pena CJ, Nestler EJ. Epigenetic signaling in psychiatric disorders: stress and depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci.* 2014;16(3):281-295.

49. Binder EB. The role of FKBP5, a co-chaperone of the glucocorticoid receptor in the pathogenesis and therapy of affective and anxiety disorders. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. **2009**;34(suppl 1):S186-195.

50. Yehuda R, Cai G, Golier JA, et al. Gene expression patterns associated with posttraumatic stress disorder following exposure to the World Trade Center attacks. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;66(7):708-711.

51. Zannas AS, Binder EB. Gene-environment interactions at the FKBP5 locus: sensitive periods, mechanisms and pleiotropism. *Genes Brain Behav.* 2014;13(1):25-37.

52. Klengel T, Binder EB. FKBP5 allele-specific epigenetic modification in gene by environment interaction. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2015;40(1):244-246.

53. Forbes D, Creamer M, Bisson JI, et al. A guide to guidelines for the treatment of PTSD and related conditions. *J Trauma Stress.* 2010;23(5):537-552.

54. Hoskins M, Pearce J, Bethell A, et al. Pharmacotherapy for post-traumatic stress disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2015;206(2):93-100.

55. Bernardy NC, Friedman MJ. Psychopharmacological strategies in the management of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): What have we learned? *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* **2015**;17(4):564.

56. van Minnen A, Zoellner LA, Harned MS, Mills K. Changes in comorbid conditions after prolonged exposure for PTSD: a literature review. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2015;17(3):549.

57. Nixon RD, Nearmy DM. Treatment of comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder: a pilot study. *J Trauma Stress.* 2011;24(4):451-455.

58. Yehuda R, Bierer LM, Pratchett LC, et al. Cortisol augmentation of a psychological treatment for warfighters with posttraumatic stress disorder: Randomized trial showing improved treatment retention and outcome. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* **2015**;51:589-597.