BMJ Open

Pretreatment maternal lifestyle and outcomes of assisted reproduction: an Italian cohort study

Elena Ricci [ID],[1] Stefania Noli,[2] Stefania Ferrari,[2] Irene La Vecchia,[2] Valentina De Cosmi,[3,4] Marta Castiglioni,[2] Edgardo Somigliana,[1,2] Carlo Agostoni [ID],[2,3] Sonia Cipriani,[1] Paola Agnese Mauri,[2] Fabio Parazzini[1,2]

[1]Department of Woman, Newborn and Child, Fondazione Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IRCCS) Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
[2]Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy
[3]Pediatric Intermediate Care Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
[4]Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Branch of Medical Statistics, Biometry, and Epidemiology "G. A. Maccacaro", Università di Milano, Milan, Italy

Correspondence to
Dr Elena Ricci; ed.ricci@libero.it

ABSTRACT

Objective We investigated whether lifestyle affects assisted reproduction technology (ART) outcomes.

Design Cohort study.

Setting Italian fertility unit.

Participants From September 2014 to December 2016, women from couples presenting for evaluation and eligible for ART were invited to participate. Information on alcohol intake, current smoking and leisure physical activity (PA) during the year before the interview was collected, using a structured questionnaire. We considered the ART outcomes of the cycle immediately following the interview.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The primary outcome measure was cumulative pregnancy rate per retrieval. Secondary measures were number of retrieved oocytes, embryo transfer and live birth.

Results In 492 women undergoing an ART cycle, 427 (86.8%) underwent embryo transfer, 157 (31.9%) had at least one clinical pregnancy and 121 (24.6%) had live birth. The cumulative pregnancy rate per retrieval was 33.3% (95% CI 28.5% to 38.7%). In women in the third tertile of alcohol intake, adjusted relative risk was 0.97 (95% CI 0.87 to 1.08), 0.90 (95% CI 0.62 to 1.30) and 0.89 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.37) for embryo transfer, clinical pregnancy and live birth, respectively. The corresponding figures in women currently smoking more than 5 cigarettes/day were 1.00 (95% CI 0.88 to 1.16), 0.94 (95% CI 0.60 to 1.48) and 1.14 (95% CI 0.68 to 1.90), and in women with PA ≥5 hours/week were 0.93 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.08), 0.44 (95% CI 0.22 to 0.90) and 0.48 (95% CI 0.22 to 1.05), respectively.

Conclusion There were no significant differences in in vitro fertilisation outcomes among women who used alcohol or tobacco in the year prior to treatment. Conservatively, all women should be advised to limit substance abuse. Moreover, our study suggested that maintaining a moderate, but not high, level of PA could be beneficial.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► This study analysed several lifestyle factors of women interviewed in the same institution, participation was practically complete, and information on nutritional status was also available.
► Smoking and drinking habits were self-reported by women, so some underestimates could have occurred.
► Information on type of physical exercise was limited, because total number of weekly hours spent exercising was recorded, but not intensity or type of exercise.
► These findings regard women presenting for assisted reproduction technology and are not generalisable to the fertile population.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol consumption and smoking are among the most common lifestyle exposures in women. During the last decades, the relationship between these lifestyle factors and spontaneous fertility has been investigated in several observational studies: some have shown that alcohol and smoking affect spontaneous fertility,[1 2] although not consistently.[3 4]

These exposures may contribute to spontaneous reproductive failures, but they may also impair the success rate of assisted reproduction technology (ART). Thus, it is conceivable that modifying such lifestyle habits before treatments could reduce the need for ART procedures and/or enhance the likelihood of in vitro fertilisation (IVF) success. In a study conducted in California, alcohol intake was negatively associated with the number of oocytes retrieved, but not with live birth rate.[5] Otherwise, in a prospective cohort study, no association emerged between alcohol intake during days 4–10 of ovarian stimulation and IVF outcomes.[6] A recent systematic revision of the literature confirmed that average alcohol intake before ART initiation did not have any impact on the outcomes, whereas intake at the start of ART cycle had a negative effect on fertilisation, embryo quality and implantation.[7]

Cigarette smoking is the most common lifestyle factor that could affect IVF outcomes

and several studies have demonstrated the negative effect of smoking on pregnancy rate and on clinical outcome of ART.[8] However, the literature on this issue is still limited,[9] although a recent meta-analysis[10] found that current smokers undergoing ART had lower clinical pregnancy and live birth rate than non-smokers and experienced a significant increase of spontaneous miscarriage.

Evidence on physical activity (PA) was inconsistent: although data from the Nurses' Health Study II suggested that vigorous activity may reduce ovulatory infertility,[11] a Norwegian cohort indicated that high intensity and frequency of PA increase subfertility.[12] Studies specifically on PA and ART success were equally inconsistent: investigating pretreatment PA, Morris et al[13] found that women undergoing ART had a 40% reduced likelihood of live birth, if engaged in PA 4 hours or more per week for less than 10 years, compared with women not regularly engaged in PA. Another study[14] did not find a beneficial effect of activity levels before treatment on clinical outcomes, although moderate PA during ART cycle was associated with higher implantation and live birth rates. Recently, it was suggested that health-promoting lifestyle education may increase the success rates of ART, correcting risk factors that negatively affect fertility.[15] This evidence has been recently reviewed: pooled estimates from a systematic research found that PA before ART cycles was associated with increased rates of clinical pregnancy and live births, but no effect was shown on miscarriage rate.[16]

These factors have been analysed in relation to sperm quality. Several reviews showed that the same lifestyle habits, such as smoking and regular drinking, negatively impacting female fertility, also have a detrimental effect on semen quality.[17 18] On the contrary, the effect of PA is still under discussion.[19 20]

Alcohol consumption,[21] smoking habits[22] and PA[23 24] largely vary in different populations. Thus, it is interesting to analyse the role of these lifestyles on fertility treatment in an Italian setting, using data from a cohort study conducted in an Italian fertility centre.

METHODS

From September 2014 to December 2016, in randomly selected days, subfertile couples, presenting for evaluation to the Fertility Unit of Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore, Policlinico, Milan, and eligible for ART were invited to participate into a cohort study on the role of lifestyle habits and diet on ART outcomes.

Study participation was proposed during the diagnostic phase. Couples were interviewed on the day of oocyte retrieval. The time interval between the proposal of the study and the interview was generally less than 1 month.

The overall participation rate was close to 95%, mainly since couples were interviewed during the period spent waiting for the different diagnostic stages, before actual ART procedures, and the not sensitive character of questions.

Both partners of couples who agreed to participate were interviewed by centrally trained personnel, using a standard questionnaire to obtain information on general sociodemographic characteristics, anthropometric variables, personal medical history and reproductive history and lifestyle factors. Couples that could not speak Italian were excluded from the study.

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the design, recruitment or conduct of the study.

The present study reported on the outcome of the cycle immediately following the interview.

Procedures

To evaluate the effect of recent exposure, patients were asked to report about their usual weekly food consumption in the last year, using a reproducible and valid food frequency questionnaire,[25–27] including the weekly numbers of drinks for several alcoholic beverages. The questionnaire was satisfactorily reproducible.[28] Taking into account the different ethanol concentration, 1 unit corresponded to approximately 125 mL of wine, 330 mL of beer and 30 mL of hard liquor (ie, about 12.5 g of ethanol). Total alcohol intake, expressed in grams of ethanol per day (g/day), was computed as the sum of all reported alcoholic beverages. 'Never drinkers' and 'ex-drinkers' were patients who abstained from drinking lifelong and for at least 12 months at the time of interview, respectively. For the purpose of this study, we considered these two groups of women in the same category 'abstainers'.

A woman was considered a smoker if she had smoked ≥1 cigarette/day for at least 1 year; a former smoker if she had smoked ≥1 cigarette/day for at least 1 year, but had stopped more than 1 year before the interview, and a non-smoker if she had never smoked ≥1 cigarette/day.

Before starting ovarian stimulation, women were advised to abstain from alcohol and smoking, thus no such exposure should occur during ART cycle.

The adherence to the Mediterranean diet was assessed through an a priori score (Mediterranean Diet Score (MDS)), developed by Trichopoulou et al[29] and calculated as previously published.[30] To include MDS in the analysis of alcohol intake, it was recalculated excluding alcohol. Satisfactory reproducibility of questions on self-reported smoking and drinking habits in our study populations has been previously reported.[31]

Leisure PA was defined as the number of hours per week of a sport or activity, such as walking, gardening and cycling in the year preceding the interview. Scores ranged between 1 and 4, corresponding to <2, 2–4, 5–7 and >7 hour of PA per week. No information was available on intensity of activity.

Patients were managed according to a standardised clinical protocol as reported in details elsewhere.[32 33] The choice between conventional IVF or intra-cytoplasmatic sperm injection (ICSI) was made based on semen

characteristics. Good-quality oocytes were those in metaphases I and II for IVF and metaphase II for ICSI. The main outcome was the cumulative pregnancy rate per retrieval in the cycle immediately following the interview.

All clinical information (including infertility diagnosis) was collected from medical records.

Statistical analysis

Clinical pregnancy was considered the main objective of the study. Considering a 30% of pregnancy rate per cycle, as usual in our fertility centre, this study was powered to detect a 1.5 increase of risk in the highest tertile of intake as compared with the lowest (α=0.05, β=0.80).

Multiple outcomes were considered: (1) number of retrieved good-quality oocytes; (2) embryo transfer; (3) clinical pregnancy and (4) live birth. Patients who failed each treatment stage were included in the following stage as failures. Women with a previous miscarriage and a pregnancy or live birth after the following embryo transfer were considered as having a successful pregnancy and live birth.

Categorical variables were described as frequency (N) and percentage (%) and compared using the Pearson or Mantel-Haenszel χ^2, as appropriate. Continuous variables were described as mean and SD if normally distributed, or median and IQR if not normally distributed and analysed using analysis of variance and Kruskal-Wallis test, respectively. Correlations were evaluated using Pearson r or Spearman rho coefficients, as appropriate.

We used multivariable generalised linear mixed models to evaluate the association of exposure variables with treatment outcomes. We used a Poisson distribution and log link function for the number of good-quality oocytes retrieved, and binomial distribution and logit link function for clinical outcomes. We estimated relative risks (RRs) of each clinical outcome and corresponding 95% CIs in categories of alcohol intake (approximate tertiles), former and current smoking (no, ≤5, >5 cigarettes/day) and leisure PA (<2, 2–4, ≥5 hours/week) in the year before the interview.

To account for potential confounders, we included terms for variables, which were associated with these modifiable lifestyles, and/or with at least one ART outcome, in the general linear model and multiple log-binomial regression models (as indicated in table footnotes). Terms for interaction were tested.

All the analyses were performed using the SAS software V.9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

From September 2014 to December 2016, out of 501 women undergoing ART cycle, 9 (1.8%) did not provide complete information about their lifestyle or were lost to follow-up, and were excluded from this analysis. Analysis was then performed on 492 ART cycle outcomes from 492 women.

Mean age was 36.6 years (SD 3.6, range 27–45) and mean body mass index (BMI) was 22.3 kg/m^2 (SD 3.9, range 16.4–41.7). Thirty women (6.1%) were obese (BMI≥30.0 kg/m^2).

The characteristics of women according to alcohol, smoking habits and PA are shown in table 1.

Only 16 women (3.2%) exercised more than 7 hours per week, so we merged the two categories 5–7 and >7 hours/week. Leisure PA was associated with college degree and higher MDS, and inversely with daily calories intake.

Of the 492 initiated cycles in each woman, 427 (86.8%) resulted in embryo transfer, 157 (31.9%) in clinical pregnancy and 121 (24.6%) in live births. Out of 36 clinical pregnancies not resulting in live birth, 34 ended with miscarriage, one with an induced abortion and one was extrauterine.

Overall, 72 (14.6%) women underwent 2, 28 women (5.7%) 3 and 4 women (0.8%) ≥4 embryo transfers. Out of 157 women with pregnancy, 7 had a miscarriage at first attempt and live birth at the second one, for a total of 164 pregnancies in 492 women. The cumulative pregnancy rate per retrieval was 33.3% (95% CI 28.5% to 38.7%).

Age was the main risk factor for ART failure. The median of good-quality oocytes was 6 (IQR 4–9) in women<35 years old, 5 (IQR 3–8) in women aged 35–39 years and 3 (IQR 2–6) in women aged ≥40 years (p<0.0001). No association was observed at univariate analysis with alcohol intake, current smoking or leisure PA. As compared with women aged <35, RR for successful embryo transfer was 0.92 (95% CI 0.86 to 0.98) for women aged 35–39 and 0.90 (95% CI 0.82 to 0.98) for those aged ≥40 years. The corresponding figures were 0.78 (95% CI 0.59 to 1.01) and 0.44 (95% CI 0.29 to 0.68) for clinical pregnancy, and 0.66 (95% CI 0.48 to 0.91) and 0.34 (95% CI 0.20 to 0.59) for live birth.

At univariate analysis, leisure PA ≥5 hours/week was significantly associated with lower risk of clinical pregnancy (RR 0.48, 95% CI 0.25 to 0.93), whereas no relationship was observed with embryo transfer and live birth. Alcohol intake and current smoking were not significantly associated with any ART outcomes.

Table 2 shows the relation between exposures and clinical results, accounting for potential confounders. No significant association was observed between smoking, alcohol intake and leisure PA, thus they were not mutually adjusted. Terms for interaction between smoking, alcohol intake and leisure PA did not show any significance and were excluded from the final models.

In this sample, 28 (5.7%) women drank at least 1 alcohol unit per day. Although the adjusted relative risk (ARR) for embryo transfer was lower, it was not significant as compared with abstainers, and no effect was observed on other outcomes. ARR for embryo transfer was significantly lower in six women who smoked ≥20 cigarettes/day during the year before ART procedure. Former smokers who stopped smoking more than 5 years before undergoing ART had a higher number of oocytes than never smokers.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of 492 women, according to alcohol intake, smoking habits and leisure PA

	Alcohol intake (g/day)								Smoking						Leisure physical activity					
	Abstainers 0		First tertile 0.01–2.27		Second tertile 2.28–5.74		Third tertile ≥5.75		Never		Current		Former		<2hours/week		2–4hours/week		≥5hours/week	
	n=140	28.50%	n=117	23.80%	n=122	24.80%	n=113	23.00%	n=272	55.30%	n=90	18.30%	n=130	26.40%	n=256	54.10%	n=175	35.60%	n=51	10.40%
Age (years)																				
<35	46	32.9	38	32.5	25	20.5	27	23.9	71	26.1	28	31.1	38	29.2	78	29.3	47	26.9	11	21.6
35–39	70	50	50	42.7	64	52.5	59	52.2	131	48.2	46	51.1	65	50	123	46.2	89	50.9	31	60.8
≥40	24	17.1	29	24.8	33	27	27	23.9	70	25.7	16	17.8	27	20.8	65	24.4	39	22.3	9	17.6
College degree	49	35	64	54.7	70	57.4	72	63.7	151	55.5	35	28.5	66	50.8	120	45.1	110	62.9	**25**	**49**
Cause of infertility																				
Male factor only	37	26.4	35	29.9	33	27	23	20.4	69	25.4	22	24.4	37	28.5	68	25.6	47	26.9	13	25.5
Low ovarian reserve	26	18.6	25	21.4	24	19.7	22	19.5	55	20.2	20	22.2	22	16.9	51	19.2	36	20.6	10	19.6
Endometriosis	27	19.3	21	18	28	23	27	23.9	52	19.1	19	21.1	32	24.6	64	24.1	29	16.6	10	19.6
Ovulatory	10	7.1	5	4.3	4	3.3	0	0	15	5.5	0	0	4	3.1	12	4.5	5	2.9	2	3.9
Tubal	11	7.9	17	14.5	11	9	15	13.3	19	7	16	17.8	19	14.6	26	9.8	21	12	7	13.7
Unexplained	29	20.7	14	12	22	18	26	23	62	22.8	13	14.4	16	12.3	45	16.9	37	21.1	9	17.6
BMI (kg/m⁻²)																				
<18.5	12	8.6	11	9.4	12	9.8	12	10.6	28	10.3	8	8.9	11	8.5	28	10.5	15	8.6	4	7.8
18.5–24.9	98	70	80	68.4	97	79.5	94	83.2	202	74.3	67	74.4	100	76.9	190	71.4	137	78.3	42	82.4
25.0–29.9	16	11.4	15	12.8	8	6.6	7	6.2	26	9.6	7	7.8	13	10	29	10.9	13	7.4	4	7.8
≥30.0	14	10	11	9.4	5	4.1	0	0	16	5.9	8	8.9	6	4.6	19	7.1	10	5.7	1	2
Occupational PA																				
Heavy/moderate	50	35.7	27	23.3	33	27.1	28	24.8	70	25.7	26	28.9	42	32.3	83	31.3	40	22.9	15	30
Mainly standing	32	22.9	30	25.9	25	20.5	19	16.8	58	21.3	22	24.4	26	20	60	22.6	32	18.3	14	28
Mainly sitting	58	41.4	59	50.9	63	51.6	66	58.4	144	52.9	42	46.7	60	46.2	122	46.1	103	58.9	21	42
Previous ART cycle	84	60	67	57.3	69	56.6	65	57.5	165	60.7	42	46.7	78	60	155	58.3	98	56	32	62.8
Mean calories (kcal/day), mean (SD)	1711	458	1705	401	1782	415	1812	493	1764	437	1761	446	1712	457	1788	444	1739	425	1597	481
Mediterranean diet Score (n=473)*																				
0–4	51	38.4	37	32.5	33	28	34	31.5	75	28.6	32	37.2	25	20	79	31.1	41	24.3	12	24.5
5–6	53	39.8	52	45.6	53	44.9	45	41.7	113	43.1	31	36.1	56	44.8	110	43.3	73	43.2	16	32.6
7–9	29	21.8	25	21.9	32	27.1	29	26.8	74	28.2	23	26.7	44	35.2	65	25.6	55	32.5	21	42.9

Bold: p<0.05; sometimes the sums do not add up to the total because of missing values.

*MDS without alcohol was calculated for class of alcohol intake.

ART, assisted reproduction technology; BMI, body mass index; PA, physical activity.

Table 2 Relative risks for clinical outcomes of ART in 492 women according to alcohol, smoking habits and leisure PA

	N	Number of high-quality oocytes (median, Q1–Q3)	Embryo transfer			Clinical pregnancy			Live birth		
			N	%	ARR (95% CI)	N	%	ARR (95% CI)	N	%	ARR (95% CI)
Alcohol intake											
Abstainers	140	5 (3–8)	16	11.4	1	92	65.7	1	102	72.9	1
First tertile	117	4 (2–8)	14	12.0	0.99 (0.90 to 1.10)	72	61.5	1.11 (0.81 to 1.53)	84	71.8	1.01 (0.68 to 1.51)
Second tertile	122	4 (3–7)	16	13.1	0.99 (0.89 to 1.09)	91	74.6	0.80 (0.54 to 1.18)	98	80.3	0.77 (0.48 to 1.21)
Third tertile	113	5 (3–8)	19	16.8	0.97 (0.87 to 1.08)	80	70.8	0.90 (0.62 to 1.30)	87	77.0	0.89 (0.57 to 1.37)
≥1 drink/day	28	5 (3–8)	5	17.8	0.97 (0.81 to 1.18)	19	67.9	1.12 (0.62 to 2.00)	20	71.4	1.31 (0.69 to 2.48)
Current smoking											
Never	272	5 (3–8)	34	12.5	1	187	68.8	1	209	76.8	1
Current	91	5 (3–8)	13	14.4	0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	64	71.1	0.91 (0.62 to 1.33)	68	75.6	1.09 (0.70 to 1.69)
Former	130	5 (3–8)	18	13.8	0.99 (0.92 to 1.09)	84	64.6	1.08 (0.81 to 1.45)	94	72.3	1.13 (0.80 to 1.60)
Current ≤5 cigarettes/day	42	5 (3–7)	8	19.0	0.95 (0.92 to 1.10)	32	74.4	0.82 (0.49 to 1.39)	34	79.1	0.92 (0.50 to 1.68)
Current >5 cigarettes/day	48	4 (3–8)	5	10.4	1.00 (0.88 to 1.16)	32	68.1	0.94 (0.60 to 1.48)	34	72.3	1.14 (0.68 to 1.90)
Current ≥20 cigarettes/day	6	3 (2–6)*	2	33.3	0.84 (0.54 to 1.32)	5	83.3	0.43 (0.07 to 2.55)	5	83.3	0.61 (0.10 to 3.67)
Former (1–5 years)	75	5 (3–9)	14	18.7	0.96 (0.86 to 1.07)	51	68.0	0.99 (0.68 to 1.44)	56	74.7	1.05 (0.68 to 1.61)
Former (>5 years)	55	5 (2–9)†	4	7.3	1.03 (0.88 to 1.21)	33	60.0	1.22 (0.84 to 1.66)	38	69.1	1.28 (0.81 to 2.01)
Leisure PA (hours/week)											
<2	266	5 (3–8)	35	13.2	1	179	67.3	1	199	74.8	1
2–4	175	4 (3–8)	20	11.4	1.02 (0.94 to 1.11)	113	64.6	1.13 (0.86 to 1.48)	128	73.1	1.08 (0.68 to 1.50)
≥5	51	4 (2–8)	10	19.6	0.93 (0.79 to 1.08)	43	84.3	0.44 (0.22 to 0.90)	44	86.3	0.48 (0.22 to 1.05)

The final model included age class, college degree, BMI class (<25.0, ≥25.0), occupational PA previous ART cycles and calories intake. Cause for infertility for smoking habits and MDS score for PA were also included.

*p=0.047 as compared with never smokers.

†p=0.003 as compared with never smokers.

ARR, adjusted relative risk; ART, assisted reproduction technology; BMI, body mass index; MDS, Mediterranean Diet Score; PA, physical activity.

Analysing alcohol intake (g) and number of cigarettes as continuous variables, we did not find any significant correlation with number of high-quality oocytes. Since most women did not smoke, median intakes were 0 (IQR 0–0) in all categories and no differences could be observed. As regards alcohol, women who underwent embryo transfer had median intakes lower than those who did not (1.9 (IQR 0–5.3) g/day vs 2.7 (IQR 0–7.5) g/day, p=0.16); those who achieved clinical pregnancy consumed 1.8 (IQR 0–4.7) g/day and those who did not achieve it consumed 2.4 (IQR 0–5.6) g/day (p=0.11). Women with live birth had lower alcohol intake than those without live birth (1.8 (IQR 0–5.3) g/day vs 2.3 (IQR 0–5.6) g/day, p=0.20). None of these differences were statistically significant.

Considering ICSI and IVF separately, ART outcomes were similar, and including this variable in the equations did not affect the risk estimation.

Finally, we controlled these results for partner's characteristics and lifestyle, in a subgroup of 324 couples with complete information for both male and female. Men's age was significantly associated with higher rate of negative outcomes in the univariate analysis, but when including women's age in the model, this relationship lost significance. As regards to women lifestyle, results did not change. Men's lifestyle (smoking, alcohol drinking and PA) did not have any significant impact on ART outcomes.

DISCUSSION

In this sample of women referring to an Italian fertility centre, lifestyle habits did not play a significant role in the outcome of ART, except for heavy smoking, associated with fewer good-quality oocytes, and high PA, associated with lower rate of pregnancy.

Alcohol

The role of alcohol intake on spontaneous fertility has been associated with decreased fertility and chance of conception.[4 34 35] A recent meta-analysis of observational studies, including about 100 000 women, suggested that alcohol consumption was associated with reduced fecundability.[36] In biological terms, alcohol may lower fertility affecting endogenous hormone levels[37] and embryo quality.[38]

The role of alcohol intake on ART success rate has been analysed in some studies showing inconsistent results.[5 6 39 40] These differences may be partially due to the high heterogeneity between studies, in particular in terms of intake prevalence. For example, in Boston, USA, a study found that 30% of women reporting >1 unit/day of alcohol intake had an increased risk of adverse outcome, but no association between low-to-moderate alcohol consumption was observed.[39] The literature suggests that alcohol drinking at start of ART may exert a detrimental effect, but the evidence is still limited.[7]

Along this line, we did not find any association between alcohol intake and ART outcomes, but we were not able to analyse the effect of high alcohol intake, present in only 5.7% of our sample.

Smoking

Since it appears to have a detrimental effect on spontaneous fertility,[1 41] it has been suggested that cigarette smoking may affect IVF outcomes as well.

However, individual studies available on this issue do not always support a significant association between smoking and IVF success or oocyte quality. For example, in a study conducted in Australia in women undergoing IVF,[6] the mean number of oocytes did not significantly differ between regular smokers (11.1, SD 6.5), ex-smokers (11.8, SD 10.1) or non-smokers (11.2, SD 7.6), indicating that smoking might not influence oocytes production. Interestingly, the same analysis showed that fertilisation rates were not influenced by current smoking status but decreased as years of smoking increased (p<0.001).

In a large Dutch nationwide retrospective analysis conducted on 8457 patients,[42] no significant difference was observed in the mean number of oocytes retrieved between non-smokers and smokers, yet there were significantly lower clinical pregnancy and live birth rates for smoking patients.

These findings regarding clinical pregnancies and live birth rate were further confirmed by more recent meta-analysis and reviews.[8 9] As regards to former smokers, they tend to have better ART outcomes than current smokers,[7] but the evidence is scanty about the influence of smoking cessation on ART outcomes.

Vanegas et al suggested that male smoking could also be associated with ART outcomes.[43] Whereas male current smoking did not affect the different stages of ART (egg retrieval, fertilisation, embryo transfer, implantation, clinical pregnancy and live birth), among past smokers every additional year since a man had quit smoking reduced the risk of failing ART by 4%, particularly between clinical pregnancy and live birth.

In our sample, good-quality oocytes number was significantly lower in women who had smoked 20 or more cigarettes per day in the year before ART procedure, as compared with never smokers, as well as to women currently smoking 5 or less cigarettes/day. No significant effect was observed on clinical pregnancy and live birth. However, only six women had such a high level of smoking, thus our estimates should be considered with caution. Male smoking did not appear as a contributing factor to ART failure.

Leisure PA

Findings from the literature are inconsistent. Among 2232 patients prospectively enrolled before their first IVF cycle, Morris et al[13] found that women who exercised 4 or more hours per week, for 1–9 years before ART cycle, were more likely to experience an implantation failure (OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.4 to 3.1) or pregnancy loss (OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.2 to 3.4) than women who did not report exercise.

On the contrary, in a group of 131 women[14] those who were physically more active during the ART procedure were more likely to have an increased implantation rate and a live birth; none of these women met the criteria for high PA, so that the comparison was done between low and moderate PA. On the same line, an observational study[44] found that a self-reported active lifestyle in the preceding year affected favourably the ART outcome in 121 women, with clinical pregnancy more likely in women with a level above median for each kind of activity: active living (OR 1.96, 95% CI 1.09 to 3.50), sports/exercise (OR 1.48, 95% CI 1.02 to 2.15) and total activity (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.15 to 2.01). Recently, findings from the Environment and Reproductive Health (EARTH) Study[45] suggested that time spent in moderate-to-vigorous physical activities before IVF was not associated with probability of implantation, clinical pregnancy or live birth, in 273 women who underwent 427 IVF cycles. Pooled estimates from a recent meta-analysis[16] suggested a beneficial effect of PA on ART outcomes, and no effect on spontaneous abortion; despite this, study results are widely heterogeneous.

In our sample, we found a lower risk of clinical pregnancy in women with ≥5 hours/week of leisure PA, but this relationship was not significant as regards live birth.

Strengths and limitations

Potential limitations should be considered. All information on smoking and drinking was self-reported by women, so some underestimates could have occurred. However, in Italy, alcohol consumption is socially accepted and recommendations to avoid alcohol to protect fertility have not received widespread attention and are not routinely advocated by gynaecologists before IVF.

Other sources of bias, including selection or confounding factors, are also unlikely to have produced marked effects, especially considering that all women were interviewed in the same institution and that participation was practically complete. Moreover, we analysed information on nutritional status, and their inclusion into the model did not change the estimated RRs.

A further limitation was that knowledge regarding type of physical exercise was limited, because we recorded total number of weekly hours spent exercising, but not intensity or type of exercise.

Comparing the clinical pregnancy percentage in women who did not drink at all and those in the third tertile of intake, the power of detecting a significant difference was about 13%. Using our data, with 30% prevalence of abstainers, we could identify a RR of not achieving clinical pregnancy of 1.8 for drinkers.

Lastly, this study only included women presenting for ART, thus the findings are not generalisable to the wider population.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study did not show significant differences in IVF outcomes among women who used alcohol or tobacco in the year prior to treatment. Considering that reassuring results of our study were related to moderate alcohol intake and cigarette smoking, conservatively all women seeking pregnancy should be advised to limit or avoid substance abuse. Moderate PA as a part of a healthy lifestyle is also advisable, although current knowledge does not support consistent evidence of a direct beneficial effect.

ORCID iDs
Elena Ricci http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5279-0444
Carlo Agostoni http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5006-0832

REFERENCES

1 Hassan MAM, Killick SR. Negative lifestyle is associated with a significant reduction in fecundity. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:384–92.
2 Oboni J-B, Marques-Vidal P, Bastardot F, *et al*. Impact of smoking on fertility and age of menopause: a population-based assessment. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e012015.
3 Hawkins Bressler L, Bernardi LA, De Chavez PJD, *et al*. Alcohol, cigarette smoking, and ovarian reserve in reproductive-age African-American women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2016;215:758.e1–758.e9.
4 Mikkelsen EM, Riis AH, Wise LA, *et al*. Alcohol consumption and fecundability: prospective Danish cohort study. *BMJ* 2016;354:i4262.
5 Klonoff-Cohen H, Lam-Kruglick P, Gonzalez C. Effects of maternal and paternal alcohol consumption on the success rates of in vitro fertilization and gamete intrafallopian transfer. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:330–9.
6 Firns S, Cruzat VF, Keane KN, *et al*. The effect of cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and fruit and vegetable consumption on IVF outcomes: a review and presentation of original data. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2015;13:134.
7 Mínguez-Alarcón L, Chavarro JE, Gaskins AJ. Caffeine, alcohol, smoking, and reproductive outcomes among couples undergoing assisted reproductive technology treatments. *Fertil Steril* 2018;110:587–92.
8 Waylen AL, Metwally M, Jones GL, *et al*. Effects of cigarette smoking upon clinical outcomes of assisted reproduction: a meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2009;15:31–44.
9 Hornstein MD. Lifestyle and IVF outcomes. *Reprod Sci* 2016;23:1626–9.
10 Budani MC, Fensore S, Di Marzio M, *et al*. Cigarette smoking impairs clinical outcomes of assisted reproductive technologies: a meta-analysis of the literature. *Reprod Toxicol* 2018;80:49–59.

11 Rich-Edwards JW, Spiegelman D, Garland M, *et al*. Physical activity, body mass index, and ovulatory disorder infertility. *Epidemiology* 2002;13:184–90.

12 Gudmundsottir SL, Flanders WD, Augestad LB. Physical activity and fertility in women: the North-Trondelag health study. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24:3196–204.

13 Morris SN, Missmer SA, Cramer DW, *et al*. Effects of lifetime exercise on the outcome of in vitro fertilization. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;108:938–45.

14 Kucuk M, Doymaz F, Urman B. Effect of energy expenditure and physical activity on the outcomes of assisted reproduction treatment. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2010;20:274–9.

15 Kaya Y, Kizilkaya Beji N, Aydin Y, *et al*. The effect of health-promoting lifestyle education on the treatment of unexplained female infertility. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2016;207:109–14.

16 Rao M, Zeng Z, Tang L. Maternal physical activity before IVF/ICSI cycles improves clinical pregnancy rate and live birth rate: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2018;16:11.

17 Ricci E, Al Beitawi S, Cipriani S, *et al*. Semen quality and alcohol intake: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2017;34:38–47.

18 Sharma R, Harlev A, Agarwal A, *et al*. Cigarette smoking and semen quality: a new meta-analysis examining the effect of the 2010 World Health organization laboratory methods for the examination of human semen. *Eur Urol* 2016.

19 Mínguez-Alarcón L, Chavarro JE, Mendiola J, *et al*. Physical activity is not related to semen quality in young healthy men. *Fertil Steril* 2014;102:1103–9.

20 Vaamonde D, Da Silva-Grigoletto ME, García-Manso JM, *et al*. Physically active men show better semen parameters and hormone values than sedentary men. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012;112:3267–73.

21 WHO. Global status report on alcohol and health. *World Heal Organ* 2014 https://doi.org//entity/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/en/index.html

22 Marcon A, Pesce G, Calciano L, *et al*. Trends in smoking initiation in Europe over 40 years: a retrospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0201881.

23 Loyen A, Clarke-Cornwell AM, Anderssen SA, *et al*. Sedentary time and physical activity surveillance through Accelerometer pooling in four European countries. *Sports Med* 2017;47:1421–35.

24 Gomes M, Figueiredo D, Teixeira L, *et al*. Physical inactivity among older adults across Europe based on the share database. *Age Ageing* 2017;46:71–7.

25 Decarli A, Franceschi S, Ferraroni M, *et al*. Validation of a food-frequency questionnaire to assess dietary intakes in cancer studies in Italy. results for specific nutrients. *Ann Epidemiol* 1996;6:110–8.

26 Franceschi S, Negri E, Salvini S, *et al*. Reproducibility of an Italian food frequency questionnaire for cancer studies: results for specific food items. *Eur J Cancer* 1993;29A:2298–305.

27 Franceschi S, Barbone F, Negri E, *et al*. Reproducibility of an Italian food frequency questionnaire for cancer studies. results for specific nutrients. *Ann Epidemiol* 1995;5:69–75.

28 D' Avanzo B, La Vecchia C, Katsouyanni K, *et al*. Reliability of information on cigarette smoking and beverage consumption provided by hospital controls. *Epidemiology* 1996;7:312–5.

29 Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, *et al*. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2599–608.

30 Ricci E, Bravi F, Noli S, *et al*. Mediterranean diet and the risk of poor semen quality: cross-sectional analysis of men referring to an Italian fertility clinic. *Andrology* 2019;7:156–62.

31 Ferraroni M, Decarli A, Franceschi S, *et al*. Validity and reproducibility of alcohol consumption in Italy. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996;25:775–82.

32 Benaglia L, Bermejo A, Somigliana E, *et al*. In vitro fertilization outcome in women with unoperated bilateral endometriomas. *Fertil Steril* 2013;99:1714–9.

33 Ricci E, Noli S, Cipriani S, *et al*. Maternal and paternal caffeine intake and art outcomes in couples referring to an Italian fertility clinic: a prospective cohort. *Nutrients* 2018;10:1116.

34 Eggert J, Theobald H, Engfeldt P. Effects of alcohol consumption on female fertility during an 18-year period. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:379–83.

35 Jensen TK, Hjollund NH, Henriksen TB, *et al*. Does moderate alcohol consumption affect fertility? follow up study among couples planning first pregnancy. *BMJ* 1998;317:505–10.

36 Fan D, Liu L, Xia Q, *et al*. Female alcohol consumption and fecundability: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:13815.

37 Rossi BV, Berry KF, Hornstein MD, *et al*. Effect of alcohol consumption on in vitro fertilization. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117:136–42.

38 Wdowiak A, Sulima M, Sadowska M, *et al*. Alcohol consumption and quality of embryos obtained in programmes of in vitro fertilization. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2014;21:450–3.

39 Abadia L, Chiu Y-H, Williams PL, *et al*. The association between pre-treatment maternal alcohol and caffeine intake and outcomes of assisted reproduction in a prospectively followed cohort. *Hum Reprod* 2017;32:1846–54.

40 Gormack AA, Peek JC, Derraik JGB, *et al*. Many women undergoing fertility treatment make poor lifestyle choices that may affect treatment outcome. *Hum Reprod* 2015;30:1617–24.

41 Dechanet C, Anahory T, Mathieu Daude JC, *et al*. Effects of cigarette smoking on reproduction. *Hum Reprod Update* 2011;17:76–95.

42 Lintsen AME, Pasker-de Jong PCM, de Boer EJ, *et al*. Effects of subfertility cause, smoking and body weight on the success rate of IVF. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:1867–75.

43 Vanegas JC, Chavarro JE, Williams PL, *et al*. Discrete survival model analysis of a couple's smoking pattern and outcomes of assisted reproduction. *Fertil Res Pract* 2017;3:5.

44 Evenson KR, Calhoun KC, Herring AH, *et al*. Association of physical activity in the past year and immediately after in vitro fertilization on pregnancy. *Fertil Steril* 2014;101:1047–54.

45 Gaskins AJ, Williams PL, Keller MG, *et al*. Maternal physical and sedentary activities in relation to reproductive outcomes following IVF. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2016;33:513–21.