Food Science of Animal Resources

Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 2023 January 43(1):170~183 DOI https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2022.e69



pISSN : 2636-0772 eISSN : 2636-0780 http://www.kosfaj.org

Milk Fermented with *Pediococcus acidilactici* Strain BE Improves High Blood Glucose Levels and Pancreatic Beta-Cell Function in Diabetic Rats

Widodo Widodo^{1,*}, Hanna Respati Putri Kusumaningrum¹, Hevi Wihadmadyatami², and Anggi Lukman Wicaksana^{3,4}

 ¹Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
²Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

³Faculty of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

⁴School of Nursing, College of Nursing, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan

Abstract This study evaluated the effects of milk fermented with *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain BE and Pediococcus pentosaceus strain M103 on diabetes in rats (Rattus norvegicus). The bacteria were separately used as starter cultures for milk fermentation, and the products were then fed to diabetic rats for 15 days. Blood glucose levels, immunohistochemical and histological indicators, lipid profiles, and total lactic acid bacterium counts were evaluated before and after treatment. The administration of milk fermented with P. acidilactici strain BE reduced blood glucose levels from 410.27±51.60 to 304.07 ± 9.88 mg/dL (p<0.05), similar to the effects of metformin (from 382.30 ± 13.39 mg/dL to 253.33±40.66 mg/dL, p<0.05). Increased insulin production was observed in diabetic rats fed milk fermented with P. acidilactici strain BE concomitant with an increased number and percentage area of immunoreactive beta-cells. The structure of insulin-producing beta-cells was improved in diabetic rats fed milk fermented with P. acidilactici strain BE or metformin (insulin receptor substrate scores of 5.33±0.94 and 3.5 ± 0.5 , respectively). This suggests that the administration of milk fermented with P. acidilactici BE potentially reduces blood glucose levels and improves pancreatic betacell function in diabetic rats.

Keywords probiotics, *Pediococcus acidilactici* BE, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* M103, fermented milk, antidiabetic

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is defined as a group of metabolic diseases characterized by high blood glucose levels resulting from either failure of insulin secretion or insulin resistance (American Diabetes Association, 2004). Insulin, a hormone responsible for

© Korean Society for Food Science of Animal Resources. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

OPEN ACCESS

ReceivedJune 27, 2022RevisedNovember 1, 2022AcceptedNovember 18, 2022

*Corresponding author : Widodo Widodo Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia Tel: +62-274-6491963 E-mail: widodohs@ugm.ac.id

*ORCID

Widodo Widodo https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5479-6815 Hanna Respati Putri Kusumaningrum https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7428-7652 Hevi Wihadmadyatami https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0587-6226 Anggi Lukman Wicaksana https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9813-8830 maintaining normal blood glucose levels, is produced by pancreatic beta-cells. In 2019, diabetes contributed to 1.5 million deaths among people worldwide. It was reported that 422 million adults were living with diabetes in 2014 (World Health Organization, 2021). In Indonesia, diabetes was responsible for 6% of all deaths in 2016, and overweight was the dominant diabetes-related risk factor (24.4%), followed by physical inactivity (22.8%) and obesity (5.7%; World Health Organization, 2021).

Diabetes occurs when glucose metabolism is inappropriately regulated by insulin, leading to increased blood glucose levels and resulting health complications. Prolonged high blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes mellitus increase the formation of reactive oxygen species, which trigger oxidative stress and deteriorate pancreatic beta-cells, thereby disturbing insulin production.

Apart from genetic inheritance, insulin resistance mechanisms, and physical inactivity, unhealthy diets featuring high levels of carbohydrate consumption represent the main cause of diabetes (Lyssenko et al., 2008). The composition of gastrointestinal microbiota in relation to the diet is also considered important in the development of insulin resistance (Zhang and Zhang, 2013). Previous clinical studies observed an increased ratio of *Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes* in obese people with insulin resistance (Ley et al., 2006; Tilg and Kaser, 2011; Tremaroli and Bäckhed, 2012). Differences of the microbial composition between normal-weight and obese people with insulin resistance suggest that the intestinal microbiota affects energy, metabolic homeostasis (Cani et al., 2007; Turnbaugh et al., 2006), mucosal immunity, and systemic inflammation (Bäckhed et al., 2004; Gravitz, 2012). The administration of probiotics containing beneficial microbes has previously been reported to modify the intestinal microbiota and subsequently prevent or delay the onset of diabetes (Cani et al., 2007; Cani et al., 2008).

Probiotics are known as "living microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host" (FAO and WHO, 2002). The antihyperglycemic effects of probiotics have already been reported (Honda et al., 2012; Yun et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014). A previous study found that *Lactobacillus casei* AP reduced blood glucose and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels and increased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels in diabetic rats (Widodo et al., 2019). Meanwhile, Moon et al. (2014) reported the ability of the probiotics *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain M76 and *P. acidilactici* DSM 20284 to reduce cholesterol levels *in vivo*. To function as probiotics and guarantee safety for daily human consumption, bacterial strains must be nonpathogenic, and they must ideally originate from humans (Dunne et al., 1999; Dunne et al., 2001). *P. acidilactici* strain BE is a probiotic strain isolated from the feces of an infant who consumed breast milk (Widodo et al., 2012), whereas *Pediococcus pentosaceus* strain M103 was isolated from Etawah crossbred goat milk (Widodo et al., 2016). Previous studies found that *P. acidilactici* strain BE and *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 can acidify milk with good physicochemical and microbiological qualities (Widodo et al., 2016; Widodo et al., 2017). These two probiotics may have potential effects on blood glucose levels in diabetic rats. However, to the best of our knowledge, little research has been conducted on these effects.

This study aimed to identify the potential effects of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE or *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 on blood glucose levels and pancreatic beta-cell indicators in diabetic rats.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains

P. acidilactici strain BE originating from the feces of an Indonesian infant younger than 1 month (Widodo et al., 2012) and *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 isolated from Etawah crossbred goat milk (Widodo et al., 2016) were used as bacterial cultures.

Bacterial cells were purified via plating on De Man–Rogosa–Sharpe agar (MRSA, Merck, Jakarta, Indonesia) supplemented with 0.5 g/L L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, Panjang Rd, Singapore) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

Bacterial starter cultures and milk fermentation

P. acidilactici strain BE and *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 were grown on MRS broth and harvested at logarithmic phase. The bacterial cultures (5% v/v) were separately inoculated in sterilized skim milk (18% w/v) and incubated at 37°C for 10 h. Bacterial cultures at this growth stage were used as starters for fermentation. Fresh milk obtained from local farms was added to skim milk powder (Mirota KSM, Yogyakarta, Indonesia) to obtain an 18% total solid (w/v) and then pasteurized at 80°C for 10 min. After cooling, 500 mL of heat-treated milk were separately inoculated with 1) a 2% (v/v) culture of *P. acidilactici* strain BE and 2) a 2% (v/v) culture of *P. pentosaceus* strain M103. Fermentation was conducted at 37°C for 10 h, and the fermented products were stored at 4°C until further analysis.

Quality analysis of fermented products

The pH value of the fermented milk products was measured using a pH meter (Hanna Instruments, Nuşfalău, Romania). Protein levels were analyzed using the Micro–Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 2005), fat analysis was conducted using the Babcock method (AOAC, 2005), and lactose analysis was performed using the titration method (AOAC, 2005). Antioxidant activity was analyzed using the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical-scavenging method developed by Brand-Williams et al. (1995). HPLC was used to measure short chain fatty acids (SCFA) according to the procedure described by Bevilacqua and Califano (1989). HPLC was run using a reverse-phase C8 column at room temperature, a mobile phase of 0.5% w/v buffer [(NH₄)₂HPO₄ with a of pH 2.24 with H₃PO₄]-0.4% (v/v) acetonitrile and 1.2 mL/min flow rate with UV detection at 214 nm. Viscosity measurements were performed as described by Tunçtürk (2009) using a model DV III digital rheometer (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Middleborough, MA, USA). All viscosity measurements were expressed in cP, performed in triplicate, and averaged. Viable cells were counted using the total plate count method. A 1-mL aliquot of fermented milk was diluted 7-fold in a sterile solution of 0.85% (w/v) NaCl, plated onto MRS agar, and incubated at 37°C for 24–48 h. The colonies were then counted, and total bacterial numbers per sample were estimated.

Ethical clearance and animal care

Animal experiments were conducted at the animal research facilities of Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM, Yogyakarta, Indonesia) and approved by the ethical commission of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine UGM (Ethical Clearance number 00076/EC-FKH/Ex./2021). In total, 25 white Wistar rats (male, 8 weeks old, average body weight of 267.11 ± 20.66) were used in the experiments. All rats were housed in a temperature-controlled ($25\pm2^{\circ}C$) animal cage ($40\times50\times30$ cm³) under a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle and $70\pm10\%$ humidity. Feed was provided at 20 g/rat/day, and water was provided *ad libitum* in the cage. The provided feeds included normal feed (ADII feed; Japfa Comfeed, Surabaya, Indonesia) and high-fat feed. The nutritional contents of the normal and high-fat feed are provided in Table 1.

Experimental animals and diets

Rats were acclimatized for 7 days before treatment and then randomly divided into five treatment groups. Group 1 included nondiabetic rats fed normal feed (P1). Group 2 included diabetic untreated rats fed high-fat feed and treated with streptozotocin

	Normal feed	High-fat feed ¹⁾
Crude fat (%)	3–7	36.72±0.08
Crude protein (%)	Minimum 15	15.01±0.03
Ash concentration (%)	Maximum 7	4.98±0.14

Table 1. Nutritional contents of normal feed and high-fat feed

 $^{\rm l)}$ Values are presented as the mean $\pm {\rm SD}.$

(STZ, N-methyl nitroso-carbomyl; Sigma-Aldrich, Jakarta, Indonesia) starting at 40 mg/kg on day 25 (P2). Group 3 included diabetic rats fed high-fat feed, treated with STZ starting on day 25, and fed 2 mL of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE (1×10^8 CFU/mL) for 15 days starting on day 29 (P3). Group 4 included diabetic rats fed high-fat feed, treated with STZ starting on day 25, and fed 2 mL of milk fermented with *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 (1×10^8 CFU/mL) for 15 days starting on day 29 (P4). Group 5 included diabetic rats fed high-fat feed, treated with metformin (45 mg/kg) for 15 days starting on day 29 (P5). Throughout the experimental period, rats' weight was monitored every 7 days.

Analysis of serum

Blood samples from each animal were collected into tubes before and after treatment through the retroorbital vein to determine blood glucose levels. Blood was incubated at room temperature for 15 min, and serum was separated by centrifugation at 4,000×g for 10 min. Blood glucose was measured by an enzymatic colorimetric test based on glucose oxidase (DiaSys Diagnostic Systems Gmbh, Proline, Jakarta, Indonesia) following the manufacturer's instruction. Triglyceride levels were measured using an enzymatic colorimetric test (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and the results were measured by spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 546 nm. HDL and LDL levels were measured using the precipitation method with a specific reagent and read by spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 546 nm.

Fecal sampling and bacteriological analysis

Fecal samples were collected before and after treatment to count total lactic acid bacteria (LAB). Fecal sample collection was conducted in the morning, and samples were immediately transferred into sterile tubes and stored at 5°C. Total LAB counts were performed on MRS agar and incubated at 37°C for 48 h under microaerobic conditions with the addition of Ox Bile (Oxoid, Jakarta, Indonesia).

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining

This experiment was performed after measuring blood glucose levels before and after treatment. As P4 treatment did not affect blood glucose levels in diabetic rats, samples from this group were excluded and represented by samples from P2. At the end of the experiment (day 43), representative rats from the P1, P2, P3, and P5 groups were euthanized using ketamine and xylazine. The pancreas of each animal was harvested, cleaned, and fixed in 10% formalin for 72 h. The tissue samples were dehydrated using a series of increasing alcohol concentrations (70%, 80%, 90%, and 95%) and embedded in paraffin wax. A microtome was used to obtain 8-µm sections, which were placed onto glass slides for hematoxylin and eosin staining. The 8-µm-thick paraffin sections were mounted on positively charged slides and subjected to IHC staining using an avidin–biotin detection system (Ventana, Tucson, AZ, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Sections were incubated

with polyclonal guinea pig anti-insulin antibody (1:100, N1542, Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) for 10 min at room temperature. The IHC procedure was performed using an automatic immunostainer (BenchMark XT, Ventana). The reaction was visualized using 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB Substrate Kit, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). Sections were counterstained with Harris hematoxylin. Slides were examined and photographed using a light microscope (BX51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Histomorphometric scoring of the immunoreactive section of the pancreatic beta-cells was performed by calculating the immunoreactive score (IRS) according to Fedchenko and Reifenrath (2014).

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Product and Service Solutions version 16 for Windows and presented as the mean \pm SE. A paired t-test was applied to evaluate the differences between before and after treatment, and significance was indicated by p<0.05.

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical quality of the fermented milk

P. acidilactici strain BE and *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 were used to ferment fresh milk to generate fermented products for further experiments. Milk fermentation was conducted for 10 h, and the quality of the fermented products was evaluated (Table 2). Table 2 revealed that milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE and *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 had no physicochemical differences in terms of total LAB counts and fat, protein, and lactose levels.

The lactose and fat contents of the fermented products were lower than those of fresh milk (data not shown), indicating that bacterial starters degraded these macromolecules during fermentation. Lactose was fermented to produce ATP as energy, whereas fat might have been degraded by lipase to produce fatty acids, which are further used for cellular anabolism. The viscosity of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE was relatively higher (2,092.22 \pm 63.80 cP) than that of milk fermented with *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 (1,938.78 \pm 43.13 cP, Table 2). The viscosity of fermented milk is strongly

	Pediococcus acidilactici BE	Pediococcus pentosaceus M103	p-value
pH	4.28 ± 0.02	4.33±0.02	0.04^{*}
Lactic acid (%)	1.25 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.01	0.04^{*}
Total LAB (Log CFU/mL)	$7.16{\pm}0.14$	7.17±0.20	NS
Viscosity (cP)	2,092.22±63.80	1,938.78±43.13	0.03*
Fat content (%)	3.13±0.07	3.01±0.05	NS
Protein content (%)	3.60±0.04	3.60±0.02	NS
Lactose content (%)	4.74 ± 0.06	4.71±0.04	NS
Antioxidant activity (%)	8.49±0.25	6.34±0.50	0.01^{*}
Butyrate (mg/kg)	850±50.00	$700{\pm}1.00$	0.01^{*}
Acetate (mg/kg)	263.78±0.78	627.24±6.35	0.01*

Table 2. Physicochemical guality of the fermented milk

* Significant at p<0.05.

LAB, lactic acid bacteria; NS, not significant.

influenced by the formation of curd during fermentation. In addition, viscosity is also affected by the production of highmolecular-weight exopolysaccharides (Tiwari et al., 2021). As a comparison, the viscosity of yogurt is 100–2.825 cP, that of condensed milk is 2,600 cP, and that of milk whey sugar is approximately 800–1,500 cP (Denin-Đurđević et al., 2002).

Higher antioxidant activity was observed after fermentation (8.49±0.25% and 6.34±0.50% for milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE and *P. pentosaceus* M103, respectively) compared to unfermented freh milk. Pasteurized milk has antioxidant activity of 1.85±0.08% (data not shown). Albera and Kankofer (2009) reported a number of components that contribute to antioxidant activity in milk, including antioxidative enzymes (i.e., glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase) and nonenzymatic antioxidants (i.e., lactoperoxidase, lactoferrin, ceruloplasmin). Milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE also produced higher butyrate (850±50.00 mg/kg) than the milk fermented with the *P. pentosaceous* strain M103 (700±1.00 mg/kg, Table 2). Meanwhile, a higher amount of acetate was produced in the milk fermented with the *P. pentosaceous* strain M103 (627.24±6.35 mg/kg) than that in the milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE (263.78±0.78 mg/kg, Table 2).

Induction of diabetes in rats

Diabetes was induced in rats by a combination of high-fat feeding and STZ treatment on day 25. Throughout the experiments, body weight and blood glucose levels were measured on days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 29. Day 29 was the first day of blood glucose measurements after STZ induction and the day on which treatment began. Fig. 1 illustrates that blood glucose levels were significantly elevated (p<0.05) on day 29 (4 days after STZ induction).

Fig. 1 indicates that rats displayed increased blood glucose levels on day 29. Rats fed normal feed without STZ induction (P1) had the lowest blood glucose levels (158.18±28.36 mg/dL). Meanwhile, rats in groups P2–P5 all had glucose levels exceeding 250 mg/dL. On day 29, the average blood glucose levels of rats in groups P2, P3, P4, and P5 were 398.40±89.66, 410.27±15.54, 383.70±10.08, and 382.30±13.39 mg/dL, respectively. These data suggest that the combination of high-fat feeding and STZ induction at 45 mg/kg for 4 days effectively induced diabetes in rats. Elias et al. (1994) previously observed



Fig. 1. Blood glucose levels on rats from day 0 to 29 in nondiabetic rats (P1), untreated diabetic rats (P2), diabetic rats fed milk fermented using *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain BE (P3), diabetic rats fed milk fermented using *Pediococcus pentosaceus* strain M103 (P4), and diabetic rats treated with metformin (P5).

symptoms of diabetes in rats within 2–4 days after STZ injection at 60 mg/kg. STZ is a cytotoxic chemical that damages pancreatic beta-cells of the islets of Langerhans (Akbarzadeh et al., 2007; Szkudelski, 2001) and causes the degeneration of beta-cells (Ikebukuro et al., 2002). As beta-cells produce insulin, the degeneration of beta-cells in the islets of Langerhans affects insulin production, resulting in uncontrolled blood glucose levels (Akbarzadeh et al., 2007).

Blood glucose levels

Blood samples from rats before (day 29) and after treatment (day 43) were collected and assayed (Table 3). Table 3 illustrates that rats fed normal feed (P1) had the lowest blood glucose level on day 43 (206.98 ± 8.74 mg/dL), whereas untreated diabetic rats (P2) had the highest blood glucose level (352.07 ± 50.67 mg/dL). In addition, a significant reduction of blood glucose levels was observed in rats fed milk fermented using *P. acidilactici* strain BE (P3, from 410.27 ± 15.54 to 304.07 ± 9.88 mg/dL) or treated with metformin (P5, from 382.30 ± 13.39 to 253.33 ± 40.66 mg/dL) on day 43, suggesting the that *P. acidilactici* strain BE and metformin can decrease blood glucose levels. Metformin, which had the strongest effect, decreases blood glucose levels by suppressing hepatic gluconeogenesis and improving insulin signaling (An and He, 2016) through both AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-dependent and AMPK-independent mechanisms (inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and mitochondrial glycerophosphate dehydrogenase) as well as a mechanism involving the lysosome (Rena et al., 2017). In animal studies, metformin reduced hepatic glucose production by more than 60% in a euglycemic clamp study (Takashima et al., 2010) and suppressed endogenous glucose production in high-fat diet-fed rats by 50% (Song et al., 2001).

After 15 days of the consumption of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE, decreased blood glucose levels were observed. These findings indicated that milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE potentially reduced high blood glucose levels in diabetic rats. This finding was in line with previous findings that the administration of milk containing *L. casei* AP for 15 days significantly reduced blood glucose levels in Sprague–Dawley rats fed a high-fat, high-fructose diet (Widodo et al., 2019). Another previous study reported similar findings using the probiotic strains *L. casei* Q14 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* NCDC17 (Singh et al., 2017). The antihyperglycemic ability of *P. acidilactici* strain BE in this study is likely attributable to its ability to synthesize butyrate (Table 2). Widodo et al. (2012) previously reported the ability of *P. acidilactici* strain BE to metabolize and produce acetate, butyrate, and propionate. Butyrate, a component of SCFAs, is known to alleviate hyperglycemia by increasing insulin sensitivity and energy expenditure (Gao et al., 2009). Conversely, diabetic rats fed milk fermented with *P. pentosaceus* strain M103 exhibited numerically, but not significantly, lower blood glucose levels after treatment (Table 3).

	Before	After	p-value
P1	158.18±28.36	206.98±8.74	0.02^{*}
P2	398.4±89.66	352.07±50.67	NS
P3	410.27±15.54	304.07±9.88	0.04^*
P4	383.70±10.08	349.80±10.08	NS
P5	382.30±13.39	253.33±40.66	0.02^{*}

Table 3. Blood glucose levels (mg/dL) before and after treatment

* Significant at p<0.05.

NS, not significant.

This study obtained evidence that probiotics can lower blood glucose levels in diabetic rats. The mechanism by which probiotics reduce glucose levels involves several processes, including increases of SCFA levels, inhibition of immune responses and oxidative stress, elevation of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and insulinotropic polypeptide secretion, and increases of glucose transporter 4 activity. The decrement of blood glucose levels may also be related to the inhibition of alpha-glucosidase by probiotics. Alpha-glucosidase participates in the hydrolysis of carbohydrates into glucose. Panwar et al. (2014) reported that probiotics can induce the production of alpha-glucosidase, which suppresses postprandial hyperglycemia, delays carbohydrate digestion, and prevents excessive glucose absorption.

Probiotics reduce blood glucose levels by activating pancreatic beta-cells; therefore, they have a significant role in maintaining blood glucose homeostasis for insulin production (Hirahatake et al., 2014). Yogurt supplemented with honey can be used as an antihyperglycemic agent that suppresses glucose absorption and glucose production through the action of α -glucosidase along with activating antioxidants that restore the function of pancreatic beta-cells (Hirahatake et al., 2014). Probiotics have been reported to exert antidiabetic effects on insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus by increasing the number of natural killer T-cells and significantly reducing fasting blood glucose levels (Zhang et al., 2016).

Turnbaugh et al. (2009) reported that switching from a low-fat to high-fat diet shift the metabolic pathways in the microbiome and altered microbiome gene expression in gnotobiotic mice. A similar study by McNulty et al. (2011) reported that introducing fermented milk product containing a consortium of *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis*, two strains of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*, *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus* into gnotobiotic mice resulted in significant changes in expression of microbiome-encoded enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. These findings suggest that microbiome-associated gene expression and its metabolic pathways was mostly affected by the incorporation of probiotic-containing diet, thereby regulating key metabolic functions and this may responsible to the host clinical conditions. In this study, the decrement of blood glucose levels after the consumption of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE might be influenced by the microbiome gene expression and its related metabolic functions. Therefore, a metagonomic study following the consumption of milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* strain BE need to be conducted.

Lipid profiles

Total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, and HDL levels were measured in all groups (Table 4). STZ injection increased triglyceride, cholesterol, and LDL levels in diabetic rats (P2–P5). However, treatments with milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE or *P. pentosaceus* M103 or metformin did not induce significant changes of cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, and HDL levels in diabetic rats (Table 4).

A previous study identified that fermented milk containing the probiotics *Bifidobacterium* and *L. acidophilus* can reduce total cholesterol, LDL, and very-low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and increase HDL levels (Kang et al., 2010). Probiotics assimilate cholesterol and deconjugate bile salts by producing bile salt hydrolase (BSH) and reducing blood cholesterol levels (Kang et al., 2010). The administration of BSH-active *L. acidophilus* CHO-220 combined with the prebiotic inulin significantly reduced plasma total cholesterol and LDL-C levels in prior research (Jones et al., 2013). However, in our study, administration of the probiotics *P. acidilactici* BE and *P. pentosaceus* M103 did not change the lipid profiles of diabetic rats.

Total lactic acid bacteria (LAB)

Stool was collected from nondiabetic and diabetic rats before and after treatment with fermented milk or metformin,

	Total cho	lesterol ^{NS}	Triglyc	erides ^{NS}	LD	L ^{NS}	HD	L _{NS}
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
P1	$72.90{\pm}6.04$	69.58±6.81	35.62±7.06	33.71±9.66	22.82±3.33	22.04±3.67	44.62±2.63	37.62±4.00
P2	157.43±40.86	98.34±36.37	222.10±6.79	168.95±57.06	60.65±29.75	30.82±18.64	47.35±13.05	$47.03{\pm}10.83$
Р3	104.87±16.32	165.43±154.47	258.10±22.30	101.72±127.01	30.30±10.50	32.38±26.49	54.45±4.75	47.77±12.57
P4	119.37±11.13	86.94±35.94	268.93±26.07	257.37±31.04	35.05±0.45	25.52±7.88	48.25±4.75	44.76±4.79
Р5	138.03±48.48	86.59±54.29	101.60±1.41	71.00±15.84	77.60±55.14	38.59±36.35	46.38±7.92	43.48±8.17

LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; NS, not significant.

followed by total LAB measurements (Table 5).

A significant increase in the total LAB count was observed in groups P3 and P4 (both p<0.05). Treatment with milk fermented using *P. acidilactici* BE or *P. pentosaceus* M103 increased the total LAB counts by 23.99% and 28.25%, respectively. Meanwhile, total LAB counts were not significantly changed in groups P1, P2, and P5. These findings were consistent with the results of Palani Kumar et al. (2021), who observed increased counts of *Lactobacillus* spp. in the feces of diabetic rats treated with *Lactobacillus fermentum* MCC2760. The researchers determined that the probiotic reduced pathogen counts in feces; suppressed the expression of inflammatory markers (TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-12); increased IL-10 expression in adipose tissues; and improved the expression of GLP-1, ZO-1, and CB2 in the intestine.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) evaluation

Immunohistochemistry is a staining technique used to identify tissue or cell components through the interaction between antigens and antibodies. Antibody binding sites are identified by direct antibody labeling or the use of secondary labeling methods (Kabiraj et al., 2015). IHC staining is suitable for observing insulin based on its ability to monitor the distribution of specific enzymes in normal cell structures and detect cell components and biomacromolecules. The appearance of a brown color in the area of the cell with specific antigen–antibody bonds indicates a positive IHC reaction (Szkudelski, 2001). Fig. 2 presents the histopathological findings of pancreatic beta-cells in rats subjected to IHC staining with anti-insulin antibody.

In nondiabetic rats, the insulin-secreting beta-cells represented the main cell population in the islets. Positive insulin production, as a marker of immunoreactive pancreatic beta-cells, was characterized by dark brown granules present in the cytoplasm of beta-cells (P1). Immunostaining in diabetic untreated rats (P2) revealed a decreased number of beta-cells

	Before	After	p-value
P1	7.34±0.09	7.35±0.06	NS
P2	6.64 ± 0.78	6.96±0.04	NS
P3	6.36±0.08	$7.88{\pm}0.10$	0.01^{*}
P4	6.23±0.03	$7.90{\pm}0.07$	0.01^{*}
P5	$7.08{\pm}0.02$	$7.08{\pm}0.11$	NS

Table 5. Total LAB counts (Log CFU/mL) before and after treatment

* Significant at p<0.05.

LAB, lactic acid bacteria; NS, not significant.



Fig. 2. Histopathological description of pancreatic beta-cells subjected to staining with anti-insulin antibody. P1, nondiabetic rats; P2, untreated diabetic rats; P3, diabetic rats treated with milk fermented using *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain BE; P5, diabetic rats treated with metformin. Magnification: ×400.

similarly as observed in diabetic rats treated with metformin (P5). Decreased insulin production in pancreatic beta-cells positively stained with anti-insulin antibody indicated reduced insulin synthesis by these cells. This confirmed the damaging effects of STZ on pancreatic beta-cells.

An apparent increase in insulin production was observed in diabetic rats treated with milk fermented using *P. acidilactici* BE (P3, Fig. 2). This suggested that the administration of milk fermented using *P. acidilactici* strain BE both reduced blood glucose levels (Table 3) and improved the function of pancreatic beta-cells (Fig. 2) in experimental animals. The improvement of pancreatic beta-cell counts may be related to antioxidants and other bioactive compounds synthesized by *P. acidilactici* BE in fermented milk products. Yadav et al. (2008) reported that probiotic cultures of *L. acidophilus* NCDC14 and *L. casei* NCDC19 had antioxidant activity, allowing them to inhibit lipid peroxidation and reduce oxidative damage in pancreatic beta-cells in rats. Fig. 2 illustrates the stronger immunoreactivity of pancreatic beta-cells in diabetic rats treated with milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE compared to the findings in diabetic rats treated with metformin. This finding strongly suggests that the administration of fermented milk containing the probiotic *P. acidilactici* strain BE more strongly improves beta-cell counts than metformin.

Histopathological observation

Immunohistochemistry was performed to observe pancreatic cells capable of producing insulin. Insulin production by pancreatic beta-cells was assessed using IRS (Table 6). In this experiment, group P4 was excluded because blood glucose levels were not reduced by treatment.

Conclusion

The administration of milk fermented using P. acidilactici strain BE resulted in reduced blood glucose levels and increased

Table 6. IRS in pancreatic beta-cells

Treatments	IRS
P1=Nondiabetic rats	11±1.41ª
P2=Untreated diabetic rats	3.67 ± 0.47^{b}
P3=Diabetic rats treated with milk fermented using Pediococcus acidilactici BE	5.33±0.94°
P5=Diabetic rats treated with metformin	3.5 ± 0.5^{b}

 $^{\rm a-c}$ Different superscript letters within column indicate p<0.05. IRS, immunoreactive score.

insulin production in pancreatic beta-cells in diabetic rats. Our findings demonstrated that milk fermented with *P. acidilactici* BE had similar effects on blood glucose levels and the structure of insulin-producing pancreatic cells as metformin.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by Universitas Gadjah Mada (grant number: 732/UN1.P.III/KPT/HUKOR/2020). The article processing charge was funded by Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Widodo W, Wihadmadyatami H. Data curation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Formal analysis: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H. Methodology: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Validation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Investigation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Investigation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Validation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Validation: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL. Writing - original draft: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wicaksana AL. Writing - review & editing: Widodo W, Kusumaningrum HRP, Wihadmadyatami H, Wicaksana AL.

Ethics Approval

Animal experiments were conducted at the animal research facilities of Universitas Gadjah Mada (UGM, Yogyakarta, Indonesia) and approved by the ethical commission of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine UGM (Ethical Clearance Number: 00076/EC-FKH/Ex./2021).

References

Akbarzadeh A, Norouzian D, Mehrabi MR, Jamshidi S, Farhangi A, Verdi AA, Mofidian SMA, Lame Rad B. 2007. Induction of diabetes by streptozotocin in rats. Indian J Clin Biochem 22:60-64.

Albera E, Kankofer M. 2009. Antioxidants in colostrum and milk of sows and cows. Reprod Domest Anim 44:606-611.

American Diabetes Association. 2004. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 27:S5-S10.

- An H, He L. 2016. Current understanding of metformin effect on the control of hyperglycemia in diabetes. J Endocrinol 228: R97-R106.
- AOAC. 2005. Official methods of analysis. 19th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, USA.
- Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, Semenkovich CF, Gordon JI. 2004. The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:15718-15723.
- Bevilacqua AE, Califano AN. 1989. Determination of organic acids in dairy products by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Food Sci 54:1076.
- Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C. 1995. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. LWT-Food Sci Technol 28:25-30.
- Cani PD, Bibiloni R, Knauf C, Waget A, Neyrinck AM, Delzenne NM, Burcelin R. 2008. Changes in gut microbiota control metabolic endotoxemia-induced inflammation in high-fat diet–induced obesity and diabetes in mice. Diabetes 57:1470-1481.
- Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Fava F, Knauf C, Burcelin RG, Tuohy KM, Gibson GR, Delzenne NM. 2007. Selective increases of bifidobacteria in gut microflora improve high-fat-diet-induced diabetes in mice through a mechanism associated with endotoxaemia. Diabetologia 50:2374-2383.
- Denin-Djurdević J, Maćej O, Javanović S. 2002. The influence of investigated factors on viscosity of stirred yogurt. J Agric Sci 47:219-231.
- Dunne C, Murphy L, Flynn S, O'Mahony L, O'Halloran S, Feeney M, Morrissey D, Thornton G, Fitzgerald G, Daly C, Kiely B, Quigley EMM, O'Sullivan GC, Shanahan F, Collins JK. 1999. Probiotics: From myth to reality. Demonstration of functionality in animal models of disease and in human clinical trials. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 76:279-292.
- Dunne C, O'Mahony L, Murphy L, Thornton G, Morrissey D, O'Halloran S, Feeney M, Flynn S, Fitzgerald G, Daly C, Kiely B, O'Sullivan GC, Shanahan F, Collins JK. 2001. *In vitro* selection criteria for probiotic bacteria of human origin: Correlation with *in vivo* findings. Am J Clin Nutr 73:386S-392S.
- Elias D, Prigozin H, Polak N, Rapoport M, Lohse AW, Cohen IR. 1994. Autoimmune diabetes induced by the β -cell toxin STZ: Immunity to the 60-kDa heat shock protein and to insulin. Diabetes 43:992-998.
- Fedchenko N, Reifenrath J. 2014. Different approaches for interpretation and reporting of immunohistochemistry analysis results in the bone tissue: A review. Diagn Pathol 9:221.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO], World Health Organization [WHO]. 2022. Guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food. Available from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai-11121000-Iyakushokuhin kyoku-Soumuka/0000197343.pdf. Accessed at Mar 6, 2022.
- Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, Ward RE, Martin RJ, Lefevre M, Cefalu WT, Ye J. 2009. Butyrate improves insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in mice. Diabetes 58:1509-1517.
- Gravitz L. 2012. Microbiome: The critters within. Nature 485:S12-S13.
- Hirahatake KM, Slavin JL, Maki KC, Adams SH. 2014. Associations between dairy foods, diabetes, and metabolic health: Potential mechanisms and future directions. Metabolism 63:618-627.
- Honda K, Moto M, Uchida N, He F, Hashizume N. 2012. Anti-diabetic effects of lactic acid bacteria in normal and type 2 diabetic mice. J Clin Biochem Nutr 51:96-101.
- Ikebukuro K, Adachi Y, Yamada Y, Fujimoto S, Seino Y, Oyaizu H, Hioki K, Ikehara S. 2002. Treatment of streptozotocin-

induced diabetes mellitus by transplantation of islet cells plus bone marrow cells via portal vein in rats. Transplantation 73:512-518.

- Jones ML, Tomaro-Duchesneau C, Martoni CJ, Prakash S. 2013. Cholesterol lowering with bile salt hydrolase-active probiotic bacteria, mechanism of action, clinical evidence, and future direction for heart health applications. Expert Opin Biol Ther 13:631-642.
- Kabiraj A, Gupta J, Khaitan T, Bhattacharya PT. 2015. Principle and techniques of immunohistochemistry: A review. Int J Biol Med Res 6:5204-5210.
- Kang JH, Yun SI, Park HO. 2010. Effects of *Lactobacillus gasseri* BNR17 on body weight and adipose tissue mass in dietinduced overweight rats. J Microbiol 48:712-714.
- Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. 2006. Human gut microbes associated with obesity. Nature 444:1022-1023.
- Lyssenko V, Jonsson A, Almgren P, Pulizzi N, Isomaa B, Tuomi T, Berglund G, Altshuler D, Nilsson P, Groop L. 2008. Clinical risk factors, DNA variants, and the development of type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 359:2220-2232.
- McNulty NP, Yatsunenko T, Hsiao A, Faith JJ, Muegge BD, Goodman AL, Henrissat B, Oozeer R, Cools-Portier S, Gobert G, Chervaux C, Knights D, Lozupone CA, Knight R, Duncan AE, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Newgard CB, Heath AC, Gordon JI. 2011. The impact of a consortium of fermented milk strains on the gut microbiome of gnotobiotic mice and monozygotic twins. Sci Transl Med 3:106ra106.
- Moon YJ, Baik SH, Cha YS. 2014. Lipid-lowering effects of *Pediococcus acidilactici* M76 isolated from Korean traditional makgeolli in high fat diet-induced obese mice. Nutrients 6:1016-1028.
- Palani Kumar MK, Halami PM, Serva Peddha M. 2021. Effect of *Lactobacillus fermentum* MCC2760-based probiotic curd on hypercholesterolemic C57BL6 mice. ACS Omega 6:7701-7710.
- Panwar H, Calderwood D, Grant IR, Grover S, Green BD. 2014. Lactobacillus strains isolated from infant faeces possess potent inhibitory activity against intestinal alpha- and beta-glucosidases suggesting anti-diabetic potential. Eur J Nutr 53:1465-1474.
- Rena G, Hardie DG, Pearson ER. 2017. The mechanisms of action of metformin. Diabetologia 60:1577-1585.
- Singh S, Sharma RK, Malhotra S, Pothuraju R, Shandilya UK. 2017. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* NCDC17 ameliorates type-2 diabetes by improving gut function, oxidative stress and inflammation in high-fat-diet fed and streptozotocintreated rats. Benef Microbes 8:243-255.
- Song S, Andrikopoulos S, Filippis C, Thorburn AW, Khan D, Proietto J. 2001. Mechanism of fat-induced hepatic gluconeogenesis: Effect of metformin. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 281:E275-E282.
- Szkudelski T. 2001. The mechanism of alloxan and streptozotocin action in B cells of the rat pancreas. Physiol Res 50:537-546.
- Takashima M, Ogawa W, Hayashi K, Inoue H, Kinoshita S, Okamoto Y, Sakaue H, Wataoka Y, Emi A, Senga Y, Matsuki Y, Watanabe E, Hiramatsu R, Kasuga M. 2010. Role of KLF15 in regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and metformin action. Diabetes 59:1608-1615.
- Tilg H, Kaser A. 2011. Gut microbiome, obesity, and metabolic dysfunction. J Clin Invest 121:2126-2132.
- Tiwari S, Kavitake D, Devi PB, Halady Shetty P. 2021. Bacterial exopolysaccharides for improvement of technological, functional and rheological properties of yoghurt. Int J Biol Macromol 183:1585-1595.

Tremaroli V, Bäckhed F. 2012. Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. Nature 489:242-249. Tunçtürk Y. 2009. Influence of starter culture strains, pH adjustment and incubation temperature on exopolysaccharide

production and viscosity in whey. Afr J Biotechnol 8:4222-4228.

- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. 2006. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature 444:1027-1031.
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2009. The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: A metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. Sci Transl Med 1:6ra14.
- Widodo W, Anindita NS, Taufiq TT, Wahyuningsih TD. 2012. Identification of *Pediococcus* strains isolated from feces of Indonesian infants with *in vitro* capability to consume prebiotic inulin and to adhere on mucus. Indones J Biotechnol 17:132-143.
- Widodo W, Handaka R, Wahyuni E, Taufiq TT. 2017. The quality of fermented milk produced using intestinal-origin lactic acid bacteria as starters. Int Food Res J 24:2371-2376.
- Widodo W, Harsita PA, Sukarno AS, Nurrochmad A. 2019. Antidiabetic effect of milk fermented using intestinal probiotics. Nutr Food Sci 49:1063-1074.
- Widodo W, Indratiningsih, Nurliyani, Wahyuni E, Taufiq TT. 2016. Isolation and identification of goat milk-derived *Lactobacillus paracasei* M104 and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* M103 and their potential use as starter culture for fermentation. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 5:374-377.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. 2021. Diabetes. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes. Accessed at Jun 27, 2022.
- Yadav H, Jain S, Sinha PR. 2008. Oral administration of dahi containing probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus casei* delayed the progression of streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. J Dairy Res 75:189-195.
- Yun SI, Park HO, Kang JH. 2009. Effect of *Lactobacillus gasseri* BNR17 on blood glucose levels and body weight in a mouse model of type 2 diabetes. J Appl Microbiol 107:1681-1686.
- Zhang Q, Wu Y, Fei X. 2016. Effect of probiotics on glucose metabolism in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicina 52:28-34.
- Zhang Y, Guo X, Guo J, He Q, Li H, Song Y, Zhang H. 2014. *Lactobacillus casei* reduces susceptibility to type 2 diabetes via microbiota-mediated body chloride ion influx. Sci Rep 4:5654.
- Zhang Y, Zhang H. 2013. Microbiota associated with type 2 diabetes and its related complications. Food Sci Hum Wellness 2:167-172.