CLINICAL STUDY

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Association of sirtuin 1 gene polymorphisms with nephrolithiasis in Eastern chinese population

Jiebin Hou^{*a}, Jiarong Ding^{*a}, Lu Li^{*a}, Yonghan Peng^b, Xiaofeng Gao^b and Zhiyong Guo^a

^aDepartment of Nephrology, Shanghai Changhai Hospital, Shanghai, China; ^bDepartment of Urology, Shanghai Changhai Hospital, Shanghai, China

ABSTRACT

Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), an NAD⁺-dependent deacylase, has been identified to be associated with renal tubular inflammatory conditions and metabolic disorders, which are risk factors of nephrolithiasis. To further confirm the role of the SIRT1 in kidney stone formation, the expression of SIRT1 was analyzed based on a mouse model and the genetic polymorphisms of SIRT1 gene was compared between patients with kidney stones and controls. The calcium oxalate (CaOx) crystal-induced renal injury model was established to analyzed the expression of SIRT1 in the kidney tissue of both wild-type and ApoE(-/-) mice. And a total of 430 Eastern Chinese subjects (215 patients with nephrolithiasis and 215 age- and gender-matched controls) were recruited for the present study to investigate the associations between 6 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (i.e., rs10509291, rs3740051, rs932658, rs33957861, rs3818292 and rs1467568) in the SIRT1 gene and the incidence of kidney stones. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium and the haplotypes of the 6 SNPs were also analyzed. The genotypes of SIRT1 gene polymorphisms were analyzed by a Snapshot assay. Reduced expression of SIRT1 was observed in the kidney of the mice in the crystal group, revealing the potential role of SIRT1 in the nephrolithiasis. However, we did not find a significant association between the 6 SNPs of the SIRT1 gene and kidney stone formation in the Eastern Chinese population.

1. Introduction

The worldwide prevalence of kidney stone disease, also known as nephrolithiasis, has increased in recent years from 5% to 12% [1,2], endangering the health of the public and the quality of life of patients. The formation of kidney stones may lead to a decline in renal function [3] and even persistent kidney damage, thereby increasing the risk of acute or chronic kidney disease, especially after recurrent attacks [4]. Furthermore, kidney stones are indicated to be associated with cardiovascular diseases including coronary heart disease and stroke [5,6]. Therefore, nephrolithiasis is increasingly recognized as a systemic disorder.

Approximately 70% of stones are composed of calcium oxalate (CaOx); other contents include calcium phosphate, uric acid and other salts [7]. Most calcium oxalate (CaOx) stones develop from sub-epithelial plaques of calcium phosphate (CaP), known as

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 15 March 2018 Revised 21 June 2018 Accepted 28 June 2018

KEYWORDS

SIRT1; single nucleotide polymorphism; kidney stone; CaOx crystal; Chinese

Randall's plagues, which are also scattered in the interstitium and around the collecting ducts and blood vessels [8]. In addition to abnormal mineral metabolism, oxidative stress (OS), inflammation and aberrant crystallization inhibition play significant roles in the deposition of CaP in the basement membrane of renal tubules or vessels [9]. This plaque deposition further aggravates OS, causing injury to tubular epithelial cells. Renal injury and the normal aging process are proposed to promote this process. With the aggregation and calcification of plaques, oxidative and inflammatory reactions subsequently promote the further formation of stones [10]. Cell injury, inflammation, OS, interstitial fibrosis and intratubular crystal deposition have been observed in renal biopsies during stone formation at all stages and promote the overall process [11,12]. According to research, the pathophysiology of urinary stone

CONTACT Xiaofeng Gao 😡 gxfdoc@sina.com 🗈 Department of Urology, Shanghai Changhai Hospital, 168 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200433, China; Zhiyong Guo 🐼 drguozhiyong@163.com 🝙 Department of Nephrology, Shanghai Changhai Hospital, 168 Changhai Road, Shanghai 200433, China *These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{© 2019} The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

formation is complex and involves metabolic, genetic, and environmental factors [13].

As a protein member of a conserved family of NAD(+)-dependent deacylases called sirtuins, sirtuin1 (SIRT1) is associated with numerous cellular signaling pathways that are mainly involved in cytoprotective effects and metabolic regulation [14]. Increasing evidence shows that reduced SIRT1 levels are closely associated with various inflammatory diseases. Therefore, pharmacologic activation of SIRT1 could be a promising therapeutic strategy for OS- or inflammation-related diseases [15]. In the kidneys, SIRT1 may inhibit OS, inflammation and renal cell apoptosis. The renal protective effects of SIRT1 have been found in various models of renal disorders, including diabetic nephropathy, acute kidney injury, chronic kidney disease and lupus nephritis. In cisplatin-induced renal injury, SIRT1 inhibits oxidative stress by upregulating catalase expression and increasing the number and functions of mitochondria [16,17]. In addition to protective effects in tubular cells, SIRT1 also exhibits antioxidative and anti-apoptosis activity in vascular endothelial cells [18]. Considering that vessels and tubules under conditions of OS represent the origins of plaques, we proposed a potential association between SIRT1 and the pathogenesis of nephrolithiasis. In addition, kidney fibrogenesis was observed with the calcification and formation of calcium crystals in the kidney (Hu et al., 2015), and SIRT1 upregulation induced by an SIRT1 activator can attenuate renal fibrosis and renal injury [19,20]. Therefore, SIRT1 is considered a new potential therapeutic target for kidney stones and warrants further investigation.

Understanding the genetic basis of complicated human diseases such as nephrolithiasis has been increasingly emphasized in medical research. In this study, the role of *SIRT1* gene in nephrolithiasis was firstly explored based on a mouse model of CaOx crystal-induced renal injury. In addition, genetic variations of the *SIRT1* gene have been found to be associated with cardiovascular diseases [21] and chronic inflammatory states [22]. To confirm whether there is a correlation between *SIRT1* and the risk of kidney stones, the associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the *SIRT1* gene and the incidence of nephrolithiasis in the patients were investigated in the present study.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Experimental animals

Twelve wild-type male C57BL/6 mice (7–8 weeks old) were purchased from the Shanghai SLAC Animal Co.,

Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Twelve male ApoE KO (ApoE-/-) mice of the same age were acquired from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences. After conditioned housing for one week, wildtype and ApoE KO mice were respectively and equally divided into the control and the crystal model groups with 6 mice each. The crystal group was administered glyoxylate (100 mg/kg/day) by intraperitoneal injections for 3 consecutive days, and the control group was intraabdominally injected daily with normal-volume saline (20 mL/kg/day) per day as previously described [23]. On day 3 after the administration of glyoxalate, kidney samples were collected, and the cortex and medulla junction tissue were dissected to be further analyzed. All animal studies were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The experimental procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee for the Experimental Use of Animals at Second Military Medical University (Shanghai, China).

2.2. Western blot

Western blotting was conducted following previous method [23]. Harvested corticomedullary tissues from different groups were respectively homogenized in lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor and phosphatase inhibitor. The homogenates were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was collected. The protein concentration in each lysate was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Equal amount of each sample was subjected to SDS-PAGE gel for separation and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). After blocking, the membrane was incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-SIRT1 antibodies (1:1000, Abcam) at 4°C overnight. After washing with TBST, the membrane was incubated with a fluorescence-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:10000, Licor) for 60 min at room temperature. The signals were visualized using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Licor, NE, USA) and quantitatively analyzed by normalizing to β -actin using the Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.3. Clinical subjects

Here, we report a hospital-based case-control study with 215 patients who underwent urolithiasis surgery for kidney stones from eastern China at Changhai Hospital in Shanghai. The diagnosis of stones was confirmed by plain X-ray film and renal ultrasound. After surgery, the composition of the extracted stones was analyzed by chemical tests. The mineral compositions of these stones were determined by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. In our study, only patients with calcium-containing kidney stones, which are mainly composed of CaOx (>60%) were included. Demographic and clinical information was collected from all subjects, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI) and comorbidity information such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidemia. The matched controls consisted of 215 healthy subjects admitted for routine health examinations in the same hospital. Ultrasonographic examinations were also performed for the controls to confirm the absence of renal stones. All subjects were of Eastern Chinese descent. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Changhai Hospital (Shanghai, China). And informed consent was obtained from all patients and control subjects after a full explanation of the study.

2.4. SNP selection

Haploview 4.2 (Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA) was used to select the 6 tagging SNPs (i.e., rs10509291, rs3740051, rs932658, rs33957861, rs3818292 and rs1467568) in the *SIRT1* gene according to the Han Chinese database loaded from 1000 Genome Browers (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/variation/tools/000genomes/) using the threshold of r^2 >0.8 and a minor allele frequency (MAF) of >0.10.

2.5. Genotyping methods

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes using the phenol-chloroform method. Genotyping of the six SNPs was performed using Snapshot technology. All experimental manipulations were completed in the Center for Human Genetics Research, Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. (Shanghai, China). Primers were designed with online Primer 3

Table 1.	Primers	sequences.
----------	---------	------------

software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu). The corresponding primers used for each SNP in our study are listed in Table 1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products were obtained by QIAGEN Company HotStar Tag multiple PCR and purified by shrimp alkali enzyme (SAP) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and external enzyme (exobiology I; Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Purified products were extended with the ABI Snapshot Multiplex kit. The reaction was performed under the following conditions: after denaturation at 96 °C for 1 min, 28 cycles of DNA amplification were performed using Tag PCR for 10 s at 96 °C (denaturation), 5 s at 55 °C (annealing), and 30 s at 60 °C (extension). Extension products were sampled with SAP on the ABI3130xl genetic sequence analyzer after purification. The final data were analyzed using GeneMapper 4.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Descriptive parameters are presented as the mean ± SD and categorical variables are presented using frequency. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The differences between two groups of mouse model were analyzed using Student's t-test. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test was performed in the controls for each variant before the association analysis of patients. SNPs that failed this test (p < 0.05 in the controls) were excluded. Pairwise linkage disequilibrium including |D'| and r^2 was estimated and the corresponding maps were created using Haploview (version 4.2) (15). Pearson's Chi-square test $(\chi 2)$ was used to compare categorical variables between the groups. A logistic regression analysis was performed to calculate genotype- and allele-specific odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) after adjusting for age and sex as covariates. Haplotype frequencies among the SNPs were analyzed using PLINK version 1.07 (http://zzz.bwh.harvard.edu/plink/).

SNP	Alleles	Location	PCR forward primers	PCR reverse primers	
rs10509291	A/T	5'-flanking	GCCACTTGTGTCCTATTTTCTGTGAA	CAGAGGAGTGATTTTCACATTGGTTTT	
rs3740051	G/A	5'-flanking	GAGGGGAAAAAAGCAACCGACTA	CTGGCCTGCCTTAGCCTTGTCT	
rs932658	A/C	5'-flanking	GGCGAATTTGGCTGCACTACAC	GCGGGAGATTTAAACCCCATCA	
rs33957861	C/T	intron1	CGCTCCCGGCTATCTTTTCTGT	GGGGTCAGCTTGCACTGTTCAT	
rs3818292	G/A	intron5	GCTGACTGCCATCGAGAAGTGG	TGCATGCAACTGCAGCATCTTT	
rs1467568	G/A	intron8	CCAGTGTGGTAACCAGGCTTTTG	CAGGCCCCAMGACCCACCTA	
Primers of exte	ension				
rs10509291	TTTTTTTTT		TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCAGCAGAATCCAC	CCACTGA	
rs3740051 TTTTTTTCTCCTTTTGCCTCTTCCTACTT					
rs932658	32658 TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTACACGCTCGCCAMAAAGAGG				
rs33957861	GGAATTCTGCTCACTCAGTTTCA				
rs3818292	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCCTTGACAGTTAATTATAGAAAAACCTCAGAT				
rs1467568	ТТТТТТТТТТТТТТТТССТАСТСТТТСАСТТАААМСССАА				



Figure 1. The expression of SIRT1 in the kidney tissue of mice. Western blot for SIRT1 protein in the corticomedullary tissue of kidney were analyzed based on both wild -type(WT) mice and ApoE KO mice. β -actin was used as loading control. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6). *compared with the WT mice in the control group, P < 0.05; [#]compared with the ApoE KO mice in the control group, P < 0.05.

In all tests, two-tailed values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Reduced expression of SIRT1 in the mice of crystal group

As could be seen from Figure 1, the expression of SIRT1 were significantly decreased in the kidney tissue of crystal groups compared to the controls based on both wild-type and ApoE KO mice. And the difference between the expression of SIRT1 in wild-type and ApoE KO was not significant.

3.2. Demographic characteristics of the patients and controls

In total, 430 subjects participated in this study, including 215 patients with calcium-containing kidney stones and 215 age- and sex-matched controls. The baseline characteristics and clinical data of the patients and controls are presented in Table 2. Males accounted for 123

Table 2. Demographic and	characteristics	of the	nephrolithia-
sis patients and controls.			

•			
Characteristics	Controls (n = 215)	Nephrolithiasis patients (n $=$ 215)	Р
Gender (Male/Female)	123/92	123/92	1.000
Age (years)	46.85 ± 12.56	45.86 ± 8.76	0.343
BMI (Kg/m ²⁾	23.39 ± 1.96	23.87 ± 3.13	0.057
Obesity No.	7	8	1.000
Hypertension No.	96	109	0.247
DM No.	61	65	0.751
Hyperlipemia No.	27	29	0.886

(57.2%) subjects in both groups. The average age of the patients with nephrolithiasis was 46.85 years, which was not significantly different from that of the controls. BMI was not significantly different between the groups, with a value of $23.87 \pm 3.13 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for the nephrolithiasis group compared to $23.39 \pm 1.96 \text{ kg/m}^2$ for the control group. No significant differences were noted in the distribution of hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM) or hyperlipoidemia in the two groups.

3.3. Distributions of alleles and genotype frequencies

Six SNPs (i.e., rs10509291, rs3740051, rs932658, rs33957861, rs3818292 and rs1467568) of the *SIRT1* gene were selected as tags for analysis. The genotypic distribution of each SNP was consistent with HWE for the control group (p = 0.368, 0.368, 0.052, 0.227, 0.368 and 0.052, respectively). The frequency distributions of each SNP genotype and allele in the patients and controls are summarized in Table 3. No significant association was observed between nephrolithiasis and genotypic distribution in the two groups by the Chi-square test. Furthermore, the logistic regression analysis with adjustments for age and gender also revealed no significant risk association between nephrolithiasis and each SNP.

Pairwise linkage disequilibrium indicated that these 6 SNPs exhibited obvious linkage disequilibrium in one haplotype block (rs10509291, rs3740051, rs932658, rs33957861, rs3818292 and rs1467568) in this region (Figure 2). In the haplotype analysis (Table 4), by comparing the distribution frequencies between the patients and control subjects, we found no significant differences in effects for any haplotypes in *SIRT1*.

4. Discussion

The human *SIRT1* gene is located in the chromosome region 10q21.3 [24] and its alternative splicing results in multiple transcript variants. As a human sirtuin, SIRT1 may function as an intracellular regulatory protein via

 Table 3. Genotypic distribution of the SIRT1 gene variants.

SNP sites	case	controls	Р*	OR†	<i>P</i> †
rs10509291					
Genotype					
TT	114 (53.0%)	123 (57.2%)	0.215	1	
TA	91 (42.3%)	76 (35.3%)		1.32 (0.88-1.97)	0.175
AA	10 (4.7%)	16 (7.4%)		0.67 (0.29-1.55)	0.343
Allele					
Т	319 (74.2%)	322 (74.9%)	0.812	1	
А	111 (25.8%)	108 (25.1%)		1.05 (0.77-1.43)	0.780
rs3740051	()))			(
Genotype					
AA	117 (54.4%)	123 (57.2%)	0.299	1	
GA	88 (40.9%)	76 (35 3%)	0.277	1 24 (0 83-1 85)	0 288
66	10 (4 7%)	16 (7.4%)		0.65 (0.28-1.50)	0.200
	10 (4.770)	10 (7.470)		0.05 (0.20 1.50)	0.521
Δ	322 (74.9%)	322 (74.9%)	1 000	1	
6	108 (25 1%)	108 (25.1%)	1.000	1 01 (0 74-1 38)	0 965
rc932658	100 (23.170)	100 (23.170)		1.01 (0.7 + 1.50)	0.705
Genetype					
AA	154 (71 604)	145 (67 404)	0 41 4	1	
	57 (26 504)	69 (21 60/)	0.414		0.250
	J7 (20.3%)			0.79(0.32-1.20)	0.239
Allala	4 (1.9%)	2 (0.9%)		1.00 (0.34-10.449)	0.475
Allele	26E (04 00/)	250 (02 20/)	0.406	1	
A	505 (64.9%)	550 (05.5%) 72 (16 704)	0.490		0 402
L	05 (15.1%)	72 (10.7%)		0.87 (0.59-1.28)	0.465
(55595760)					
Genotype	171 (70 (0/)	175 (01 40/)	0 200	1	
CC CT	1/1 (/9.0%)	1/5 (01.4%)	0.200		0 0 0 0
	40 (18.6%)	40 (18.6%)		1.03 (0.03-1.07)	0.920
	4 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)		/	0.999
Allele	202 (00 00)	200 (00 70)	0.244		
C T	382 (88.8%)	390 (90.7%)	0.366		0.262
	48 (11.2%)	40 (9.3%)		1.23 (0.79-1.92)	0.362
rs3818292					
Genotype					
AA	114 (53.0%)	123 (57.2%)	0.211	1	
GA	91 (42.3%)	/6 (35.3%)		1.32 (0.88-1.97)	0.175
GG	10 (4.7%)	16 (7.4%)		0.67 (0.29-1.54)	0.343
Allele					
A	319 (74.2%)	322 (74.9%)	0.812	1	
G	111 (25.8%)	108 (25.1%)		1.05 (0.77-1.43)	0.780
rs1467568					
Genotype					
AA	154 (71.6%)	145 (67.4%)	0.585	1	
GA	58 (27.0%)	68 (31.6%)		0.80 (0.53-1.22)	0.295
GG	3 (1.4%)	2 (0.9%)		1.40 (0.23-8.54)	0.720
Allele					
Α	366 (85.1%)	358 (83.3%)	0.431	1	
G	64 (14.9%)	72 (16.7%)		0.85 (0.58-1.26)	0.419

*Chi-square test.

+Logistic regression analysis after adjustment with age and sex.

NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase activity. SIRT1 has been observed in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm where it interacts with nuclear and cytosolic proteins in different roles [25]. SIRT1 is expressed in a wide range of tissues and organs in humans, with relatively high expression levels in the fat, kidneys and liver [26]. SIRT1 protects cells by regulating metabolism and exerting anti-apoptotic, anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects against injury in renal cells and in cells from other tissues. The role of SIRT1 in the renal interstitial fibrosis been concentrated these have years. Tubulointerstitial fibrosis and decreased Sirt1 expression was found in the kidney of unilateral ureteral obstruction rats [27]. And the activation of SIRT1 was demonstrated to attenuate the inflammation and inhibit the oxidative stress in renal injury [28,29]. In present study, the association between nephrolithiasis and the expression of SIRT1 was firstly explored based on the mouse model of CaOx crystal-induced renal injury. According to previous researches, the level of SIRT1 could be regulated by the type or the knockout of ApoE [30,31]. But the different expression of SIRT1 in kidney was not found between the wild-type mice and the ApoE KO mice in this study. The reduced expression of SIRT1 in the crystal group was both observed in the wild-type mice and the ApoE KO mice, revealing the potential role of SIRT1 in the nephrolithiasis.

Given the accumulating evidence indicating the close association between SIRT1 and various diseases, genetic variations of *SIRT1* have been widely investigated. Several genetic polymorphisms of *SIRT1* have also been shown to be associated with different health conditions, such as metabolic disorders (obesity, hyper-glycemia and hypertension) [21,32,33] and chronic inflammatory states [22]. Systemic inflammation [34], urine supersaturation [35] and renal injury induced by metabolic disorders may promote the formation of stones. However, the correlation between *SIRT1* polymorphisms and nephrolithiasis has not been studied.

Several genetic polymorphisms have been found to play important roles in the pathogenesis of calcium nephrolithiasis, including SNPs in transient receptor potential vanilloid member 5 (TRPV5) [36], calcitonin receptor gene (CALCR) [37] and vitamin D receptor (VDR) [38], which are related to the maintenance of calcium homeostasis or the matrix protein. SIRT1 enzy-1,25-dihydroxyvitamin matically potentiates D3 signaling via VDR deacetylation and is also considered a positive regulator of osteoblasts [39] and osteogenic differentiation [40]. Given the important role of SIRT1 gene in calcium metabolism, genetic polymorphisms of SIRT1 are considered potential impact factors for hypercalciuria, which leads to nephrolithiasis [41].

In this study, 6 common non-coding SNPs of *SIRT1* were selected as tags for analysis to explore the relationship between the *SIRT1* gene and kidney stone formation. Among them, the rs10509291, rs3740051, and rs932658 polymorphisms of the *SIRT1* gene were 5'-flanking and primarily function in the regulation of gene transcription. The rs33957861 (intron1), rs3818292 (intron5) and rs1467568 (intron8) polymorphisms are *SIRT1* gene intron variants. These 6 polymorphisms of SIRT1 have been widely investigated mainly in the context of metabolism and have been found to be associated with the risk of obesity (rs10509291, rs33957861,



Figure 2. Linkage disequilibrium maps for SNPs genotyped in SIRT1 region. (A) Shades of red demonstrate the strength of the pairwise linkage disequilibrium based on D', and numbers represent the value of D' expressed as a percentage. The blanks represent D'=1. (B) Shades of gray show the strength of the pairwise linkage disequilibrium based on r^2 , and numbers indicate the value of r^2 expressed as a percentage.

 Table 4. Associations of one haplotype in SIRT1 region with kidney stone.

	Haplotype free	quencies	
Haplotype	Nephrolithiasis	Control	P value
Block*			
TACCAG	0.150	0.167	0.491
AGACGA	0.254	0.251	0.937
ΤΑΑΤΑΑ	0.112	0.099	0.370
ΤΑΑϹΑΑ	0.485	0.488	0.914

*rs10509291; rs3740051; rs932658; rs33957861; rs3818292; rs1467568.

and rs1467568) [32,42,43] and carbohydrate metabolism disturbances (rs10509291 and rs1467568) [44,45]. In this study, the distribution of obesity, hypertension, diabetes and hyperlipidemia was matched between control and patient samples, with no significant differences. Therefore, we could focus on the effects of *SIRT1* gene in nephrolithiasis locally.

According to previous research, rs3740051 has been widely studied and its polymorphism was found to be associated with the expression of SIRT1. Female cancer patients carrying the G minor allele of rs3740051 exhibited higher serum SIRT1 levels [46]. Given the beneficial role of SIRT1 in oxidative reactions and cell injuries [47], the G allele for rs3740051, which elicits high SIRT1 levels, was hypothesized as a protective factor. However, the frequency of the genotype with the G allele in the stone group was nearly the same as that of the control group in our study. More studies are needed to explore whether SNPs are correlated with the expression of SIRT1 in kidney tissues. Rs3740051 and rs1467568 have been indicated to be associated with carotid atherosclerosis, especially in women [48]. The variant of rs932658 reportedly alters the transcriptional activities of the *SIRT1* gene promoter and changes SIRT1 levels, contributing to hernia development. This phenomenon was attributed to the regulatory roles of *SIRT1* gene in the differentiation of human cells [49]. In general, SNPs of *SIRT1* play different and complicated roles in different diseases. A direct effect of *SIRT1* gene in kidney stone formation was not found in the present study.

In conclusion, the reduced expression of SIRT1 was observed in the injured kidney of the mice induced by CaOx crystal, revealing the potential role of SIRT1 in the nephrolithiasis. In addition, we genetically analyzed 6 SNPs of the *SIRT1* gene in kidney stone patients and controls. However, no significant association was found between genetic polymorphisms and the development of nephrolithiasis. Participants in our study were of Eastern Chinese descent. Due to racial differences, various altered genotype distributions may be present in other populations. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required to explore the potential relationship between SIRT1 and nephrolithiasis.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Genesky Biotechnologies Inc., Shanghai for help in sequencing and data analysis.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 81500531, 81573759 and 81670642; and Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning under grant number 20164Y0082.

References

- [1] Scales CD, Jr., Smith AC, Hanley JM, et al. Prevalence of kidney stones in the United States. Eur Urol. 2012; 62:160–165.
- [2] Ordon M, Urbach D, Mamdani M, et al. A population based study of the changing demographics of patients undergoing definitive treatment for kidney stone disease. J Urol. 2015;193:869–874.
- [3] Haley WE, Enders FT, Vaughan LE, et al. Kidney Function After the First Kidney Stone Event. Mayo Clin Proc. 2016;91:1744–1752.
- [4] Sigurjonsdottir VK, Runolfsdottir HL, Indridason OS, et al. Impact of nephrolithiasis on kidney function. BMC Nephrol. 2015;16:149
- [5] Ando R, Nagaya T, Suzuki S, et al. Kidney stone formation is positively associated with conventional risk factors for coronary heart disease in Japanese men. J Urol. 2013;189:1340–1346.
- [6] Alexander RT, Hemmelgarn BR, Wiebe N, et al. Kidney stones and cardiovascular events: a cohort study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;9:506–512.
- [7] Spivacow FR, Del Valle EE, Lores E, et al. Kidney stones: Composition, frequency and relation to metabolic diagnosis. Medicina (B Aires). 2016;76:343–348.
- [8] Sepe V, Adamo G, La Fianza A, et al. Henle loop basement membrane as initial site for Randall plaque formation. Am J Kidney Dis. 2006;48:706–711.
- [9] Taylor ER, Stoller ML. Vascular theory of the formation of Randall plaques. Urolithiasis 2015;43 (Suppl 1): 41–45.
- [10] Khan SR. Reactive oxygen species, inflammation and calcium oxalate nephrolithiasis. Transl Androl Urol 2014;3:256–276.
- Khan SR, Canales BK. Unified theory on the pathogenesis of Randall's plaques and plugs. Urolithiasis. 2015; 43 (Suppl 1):109–123.
- [12] Gan QZ, Sun XY, Ouyang JM. Adhesion and internalization differences of COM nanocrystals on Vero cells before and after cell damage. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 2016;59:286–295.
- [13] Shadman A, Bastani B. Kidney Calculi: Pathophysiology and as a Systemic Disorder. Iran J Kidney Dis. 2017;11:180–191.
- [14] Chang HC, Guarente L. SIRT1 and other sirtuins in metabolism. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2014;25: 138–145.
- [15] Xie J, Zhang X, Zhang L. Negative regulation of inflammation by SIRT1. Pharmacol Res. 2013;67:60–67.
- [16] Xu S, Gao Y, Zhang Q, et al. SIRT1/3 Activation by Resveratrol Attenuates Acute Kidney Injury in a Septic Rat Model. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2016;2016:7296092

- [17] Zeng Z, Chen Z, Xu S, et al. Polydatin Protecting Kidneys against Hemorrhagic Shock-Induced Mitochondrial Dysfunction via SIRT1 Activation and p53 Deacetylation. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2016;2016:1.
- [18] Zhang W, Huang Q, Zeng Z, et al. Sirt1 Inhibits Oxidative Stress in Vascular Endothelial Cells. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2017;2017:7543973
- [19] Chang JW, Kim H, Baek CH, et al. Up-Regulation of SIRT1 Reduces Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Renal Fibrosis. Nephron. 2016;133:116–128.
- [20] Xiao Z, Chen C, Meng T, et al. Resveratrol attenuates renal injury and fibrosis by inhibiting transforming growth factor-beta pathway on matrix metalloproteinase 7. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2016;241:140–146.
- [21] Shimoyama Y, Suzuki K, Hamajima N, et al. Sirtuin 1 gene polymorphisms are associated with body fat and blood pressure in Japanese. Transl Res. 2011;157: 339–347.
- [22] Kalemci S, Edgunlu TG, Kara M, et al. Sirtuin gene polymorphisms are associated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in patients in Muğla province. Kitp. 2014;3:306–310.
- [23] Hou J, Chen W, Lu H, et al. Exploring the Therapeutic Mechanism of Desmodium styracifolium on Oxalate Crystal-Induced Kidney Injuries Using Comprehensive Approaches Based on Proteomics and Network Pharmacology. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2018;9:620.
- [24] Izmirli M, Goktekin O, Bacaksiz A, et al. The effect of the SIRT1 2827 A > G polymorphism, resveratrol, exercise, age and occupation in Turkish population with cardiovascular disease. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg. 2015; 15:103–106.
- [25] Nogueiras R, Habegger KM, Chaudhary N, et al. Sirtuin 1 and sirtuin 3: physiological modulators of metabolism. Physiol Rev. 2012;92:1479–1514.
- [26] Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Oksvold P, et al. Analysis of the human tissue-specific expression by genomewide integration of transcriptomics and antibodybased proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2014;13: 397–406.
- [27] Ren Y, Du C, Shi Y, et al. The Sirt1 activator, SRT1720, attenuates renal fibrosis by inhibiting CTGF and oxidative stress. Int J Mol Med. 2017;39:1317–1324.
- [28] He W, Wang Y, Zhang MZ, et al. Sirt1 activation protects the mouse renal medulla from oxidative injury. J Clin Invest. 2010;120:1056–1068.
- [29] Du YG, Zhang KN, Gao ZL, et al. Tangshen formula improves inflammation in renal tissue of diabetic nephropathy through SIRT1/NF-κB pathway. Exp Ther Med. 2018;15:2156–2164.
- [30] Theendakara V, Patent A, Peters Libeu CA, et al. Neuroprotective Sirtuin ratio reversed by ApoE4. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:18303–18308.
- [31] Hong W, Xu XY, Qiu ZH, et al. Sirt1 is involved in decreased bone formation in aged apolipoprotein Edeficient mice. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2015;36: 1487–1496.
- [32] Higashibata T, Wakai K, Naito M, et al. Effects of selfreported calorie restriction on correlations between SIRT1 polymorphisms and body mass index and longterm weight change. Gene. 2016;594:16–22.

- [33] Garcia-Chapa EG, Leal-Ugarte E, Peralta-Leal V, et al. Genetic Epidemiology of Type 2 Diabetes in Mexican Mestizos. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:3937893
- [34] Winer DA, Winer S, Dranse HJ, et al. Immunologic impact of the intestine in metabolic disease. J Clin Invest. 2017;127:33–42.
- [35] Kohjimoto Y, Sasaki Y, Iguchi M, et al. Association of metabolic syndrome traits and severity of kidney stones: results from a nationwide survey on urolithiasis in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis. 2013;61:923–929.
- [36] Khaleel A, Wu MS, Wong HS, et al. A Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (rs4236480) in TRPV5 Calcium Channel Gene Is Associated with Stone Multiplicity in Calcium Nephrolithiasis Patients. Mediators Inflamm. 2015;2015:1.
- [37] Mitra P, Guha M, Ghosh S, et al. Association of calcitonin receptor gene (CALCR) polymorphism with kidney stone disease in the population of West Bengal, India. Gene. 2017;622:23–28.
- [38] Goknar N, ktem F, Torun E, et al. The role of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms in Turkish infants with urolithiasis. Ren Fail. 2016;38:545–551.
- [39] Zainabadi K, Liu CJ, Guarente L. SIRT1 is a positive regulator of the master osteoblast transcription factor, RUNX2. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0178520.
- [40] Gong K, Qu B, Wang C, et al. Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor alpha Facilitates Osteogenic Differentiation in MC3T3-E1 Cells via the Sirtuin 1-Dependent Signaling Pathway. Mol Cells 2017;40: 393–400.

- [41] Coe FL, Worcester EM, Evan AP. Idiopathic hypercalciuria and formation of calcium renal stones. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2016;12:519–533.
- [42] Clark SJ, Falchi M, Olsson B, et al. Association of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) gene SNPs and transcript expression levels with severe obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012;20:178–185.
- [43] Zheng J, Chen LL, Xiao F, et al. Three single nucleotide variants of the SIRT1 gene are associated with overweight in a Chinese population: a case control study. Endocr J. 2012;59:229–237.
- [44] Botden IP, Zillikens MC, de Rooij SR, et al. Variants in the SIRT1 gene may affect diabetes risk in interaction with prenatal exposure to famine. Diabetes Care. 2012;35:424–426.
- [45] Han J, Wei M, Wang Q, et al. Association of Genetic Variants of SIRT1 With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Gene Expr. 2015;16:177–185.
- [46] Rizk SM, Shahin NN, Shaker OG. Association between SIRT1 Gene Polymorphisms and Breast Cancer in Egyptians. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0151901
- [47] Wang XL, Wu LY, Zhao L, et al. SIRT1 activator ameliorates the renal tubular injury induced by hyperglycemia in vivo and in vitro via inhibiting apoptosis. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016;83:41–50.
- [48] Huang J, Sun L, Liu M, et al. Association between SIRT1 gene polymorphisms and longevity of populations from Yongfu region of Guangxi. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi. 2013;30:55–59.
- [49] Han Q, Zhang Y, Li W, et al. Functional sequence variants within the SIRT1 gene promoter in indirect inguinal hernia. Gene. 2014;546:1–5.