# Histone Deacetylase (HDAC) 1 Controls the Expression of Beta Defensin 1 in Human Lung Epithelial Cells

## Kimberley Kallsen, Ellen Andresen, Holger Heine\*

Division of Innate Immunity, Research Center Borstel, Airway Research Center North, Member of the German Center for Lung Research, Borstel, Germany

## Abstract

Deregulation of the expression human beta defensin 1 (DEFB1), an antimicrobial peptide, has been implicated in the pathogenesis of COPD and asthma. Since the molecular mechanisms that regulate DEFB1 gene expression are widely unknown, the epigenetic processes involved in the regulation of the constitutive expression of DEFB1 in lung epithelial cells (A549) were investigated. The data demonstrate that histone deacetylases (HDACs) participate in the regulation of DEFB1 gene expression. Inhibition of the class I HDACs, HDACs 1-3, increases DEFB1 gene expression in A549 cells. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays revealed that the inhibition of the class I HDACs also results in modifications of the chromatin at the *DEFB1* promoter. Histone modifications, histone H3 acetylation and H3K4 trimethylation, that are associated with transcriptional activation, were found to increase after inhibition of HDACs 1-3. Finally, RNAi knockdown experiments identified HDAC1 as the sole HDAC responsible for maintaining the constitutive level of *DEFB1* transcription. Taken together, our data reveal epigenetic mechanisms which are the basis of the maintenance of the constitutive gene expression of human beta defensin 1.

Citation: Kallsen K, Andresen E, Heine H (2012) Histone Deacetylase (HDAC) 1 Controls the Expression of Beta Defensin 1 in Human Lung Epithelial Cells. PLoS ONE 7(11): e50000. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000

Editor: Jürgen Harder, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Germany

Received July 6, 2012; Accepted October 15, 2012; Published November 20, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Kallsen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: HH and EA were supported by the German Research Council (DFG, SFB617), Bonn, Germany. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: hheine@fz-borstel.de

## Introduction

Human beta defensins are 3–5 kDa polycationic peptides that are known for their antimicrobial activity against bacteria, fungi and viruses [1]. In addition, defensins are chemotactic attractants for immature dendritic cells and memory T cells [2]. Defensins also stimulate mitosis in fibroblasts and epithelial cells [3]. Thus, they play important roles in innate and adaptive immunity.

Beta defensin 1 (DEFB1) was the first human beta defensin isolated [4] and it is expressed not only throughout the respiratory epithelia [5] but also other epithelia and immune cells [4,6,7]. Polymorphisms of the *DEFB1* gene are associated with several diseases including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [8], oral Candida infections [9], asthma [10], atopic dermatitis [11], and periodontitis [12]. In addition, stage-dependent upregulation of *DEFB1* expression has been observed in the lungs of patients diagnosed with COPD [13]).

DEFB1 is usually constitutively expressed [14], but some exceptions have been described, as in LPS- or IFN- $\gamma$ -stimulated monocytes [15], uterine epithelial cells treated with TLR3 agonists [16], pulmonary epithelial cells stimulated with cell wall components from mycobacteria [17] and a kidney cell line stimulated with LPS and proinflammatory cytokines [18]. However, DEFB1 is thought to be a basal host defense molecule in the absence of injury or inflammation [19]. Otherwise, little is known about the regulation of DEFB1 gene expression. Because of its constitutive expression, epigenetic mechanisms might play an important role. In addition to the involvement of *DEFB1* polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of COPD, a deregulation of histone deacetylases (HDACs) has been observed in patients diagnosed with COPD [20].

HDACs function in histone deacetylation and work in opposition to the histone acetyltransferases (HATs) that increase histone acetylation. Together, these proteins function to regulate chromatin accessibility as HATs generally facilitate transcriptional activation whereas the antagonistic HDACs repress transcription [21]. Based on these observations we wanted to study the role of histone acetylation in the regulation of DEFB1 gene expression.

The results of the present study showed that HDAC1 controls the expression of DEFB1 in lung epithelial cells by regulating transcriptional accessibility at the *DEFB1* promoter.

## Results

# Inhibition of HDACs with the Pan-HDAC Inhibitor Trichostatin A Increases DEFB1 Gene Expression and Histone H3 Acetylation at the DEFB1 Promoter

The constitutive gene expression of DEFB1 suggests a regulation by epigenetic mechanisms. Both, *DEFB1* polymorphisms [8] and HDAC deregulation [20], are associated with the pathogenesis of COPD. Thus, we hypothesized that DEFB1 gene expression is regulated by HDACs and analyzed the effect of the broad-spectrum HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A on DEFB1 gene expression. Treatment with trichostatin A for 24 h increased DEFB1 gene expression in two different lung epithelial cell lines, A549 (Fig. 1A) and NCI-H727 (Fig. 1B). Due to the unspecific effects that can be induced by trichostatin A and which may influence several cellular processes, we additionally analyzed



**Figure 1. Modulation of DEFB1 gene expression and histone H3 acetylation by the HDAC inhibitor TSA.**The human lung epithelial cell lines A549 (A) and NCI-H727 (B–C) were treated with the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A for 24 h. (A–B) mRNA expression of *DEFB1* was analyzed using quantitative Real-Time-PCR. The measured levels of mRNA were normalized to *PBG-D* mRNA levels. (C) ChIP analysis was performed using quantitative RT-PCR. Bars represent means with SD of three independent experiments. LINE: long interspersed nuclear element.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000.g001

histone H3 acetylation at the *DEFB1* promoter. Treatment with trichostatin A induced a 2.8 fold increase in histone H3 acetylation at the *DEFB1* promoter (-224 to -373) (Fig. 1C) whereas histone H3 acetylation at the transcriptionally inactive retrotransposon LINE was not altered.

# Inhibition of HDACs 1-3 Enhances DEFB1 Gene Expression in Human Lung Epithelial Cells

To investigate the role of HDACs in the transcriptional regulation of constitutive DEFB1 gene expression in lung epithelial cells in more detail and to identify the responsible HDAC, A549 cells (a human lung epithelial cell line) were treated with different HDAC inhibitors with increasing specificity. The inhibitors used were specific for the HDAC subgroups (s. also table 1): nicotinamide inhibits Class III HDACs (SIRT1-7) [22] while butyrate and valproic acid both inhibit Class I (HDACs 1-3 and HDAC8) and Class IIa HDACs (HDACs 4, 5, 7 and 9) [23,24]. In addition, apicidin and depudecin inhibit Class I HDACs, [25,26] MS-275 inhibits HDACs 1-3 [27] and PCI-34051 inhibits HDAC8 [28]. Apicidin, butyrate, depudecin, MS-275 and valproic acid increase DEFB1 gene expression that increases with time. Neither nicotinamide nor PCI-34051 modulated DEFB1 gene expression (Fig. 2A). To determine if the HDAC inhibitors induced general activation of the cells, IL8 gene expression was measured because IL8 is commonly synthesized by epithelial cells following activation. Stimulation of the cells with the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1 $\beta$  was used as a positive control. Whereas none of the HDAC inhibitors increased IL8 gene expression in A549 cells IL- $1\beta$  showed typical induction of IL-8 mRNA expression (Fig. 2B).

These results suggested that HDACs were involved in the maintenance of the constitutive expression of DEFB1 in lung epithelial cells. Considering the different specificities of the HDAC inhibitors, the HDAC responsible for the transcriptional repression of DEFB1 is probably HDAC1, HDAC2, or HDAC3.

## Inhibition of HDACs Induces a Transcriptionally More Active Chromatin Status at the DEFB1 Promoter

ChIP analysis was used to investigate whether inhibition of HDACs influences the structure of chromatin at the DEFB1 promoter or if HDACs affect DEFB1 gene expression indirectly by modulating regulatory proteins that are substrates of HDACs. Therefore, we analyzed if treatment of A549 cells with the HDACs 1-3 inhibitor MS-275 modulates histone modifications at the DEFB1 promoter. Four positions within the DEFB1 promoter region were analyzed. These were named positions I to IV, with position I being the closest to the start of mRNA synthesis (Fig. 3A). Two histone modifications, the acetylation of histone H3 and the trimethylation of lysine 4 at histone 3 (H3K4), that are associated with transcriptionally active chromatin [29] were increased at the DEFB1 promoter after treatment with MS-275 for 36 h (Fig. 3B-C). This increase in transcriptionally active chromatin correlated with the proximity to the transcription start point. Additionally, H3 acetylation and H3K4 trimethylation of the LINE retrotransposon, which is usually transcriptionally inactive, were used to assess the specificity of the analysis. Neither H3 acetylation nor H3K4 trimethylation of LINE were enhanced after treatment with the HDAC inhibitor MS-275.

The results suggested that the inhibition of HDACs 1-3 enhanced DEFB1 gene expression by increasing transcriptional activity at the *DEFB1* promoter.

## Knockdown of HDAC1, but not HDAC2 or HDAC3, Increases DEFB1 Gene Expression in A549 Cells

To determine whether HDAC1, HDAC2 or HDAC3 were responsible for the transcriptional repression of *DEFB1* in lung epithelial cells, siRNA was used to knock down expression of the HDACs in A549 cells. Mock transfection, non-targeting siRNA, and siRNA targeting the housekeeping gene cyclophilin B were used as controls (Fig. 4B-F). Each specific siRNA inhibited expression of its target mRNA (Fig. 4B–E) and the HDAC1 siRNA repressed HDAC1 protein expression (Fig. 4A). The knockdown of cyclophilin B, HDAC2, or HDAC3 did neither increase DEFB1 gene expression nor did the HDAC3 siRNA significantly reduce DEFB1 gene expression. Only inhibition of HDAC1 significantly increased DEFB1 gene expression, starting at 60 h after transfection (Fig. 4F). These data showed that only HDAC1, but not HDAC2 or HDAC3, was involved in the transcriptional repression of *DEFB1* in A549 cells.

## Discussion

The aim of our experiments was to test whether the constitutive gene expression of the antimicrobial peptide DEFB1 was controlled by epigenetic factors and to study the underlying molecular mechanisms. Taken together, our data suggest that HDAC1 plays an important role in the maintenance of the constitutive expression of *DEFB1* in lung epithelial cells.

HDACs are important enzymes in the regulation of transcription. Since they are involved in multiple cellular processes like proliferation and survival, use of HDAC inhibitors such as TSA became an important strategy in the development of cancer therapy during the last years [30]. Furthermore, HDACs play a role in inflammatory disorders [31,32]. Modulation of the

# A DEFB1 expression



**Figure 2. Modulation of DEFB1 gene expression by HDAC inhibitors.** The human lung epithelial cell line A549 was treated with HDAC inhibitors and IL-1 $\beta$  for 18 to 48 h. mRNA expression of *DEFB1* (A) and *IL8* (B) was analyzed using quantitative Real-Time-PCR. The measured levels of mRNA were normalized to *TBP* mRNA levels. *DEFB1/IL-8* mRNA expression was normalized to control treatment. Bars represent means with SD of three independent experiments (\*: p<0.05; \*\*: p<0.01; \*\*\*: p<0.001). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000.q002

dynamics between HDACs and the antagonizing HATs may lead to new anti-inflammatory treatments [33]. Presumably the best studied HDAC inhibitor is trichostatin A (TSA), a potent inhibitor

HDAC inhibitor	target HDACs
trichostatin A	Class I (HDAC1-3 and HDAC8)
	Class Ila HDACs (HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9)
	Class IIb (HDAC6 and 10)
	Class IV (HDAC11)
Nicotinamide	Class III HDACs (SIRT1-7)
butyrate/valproic acid	Class I (HDAC1-3 and HDAC8)
	Class Ila HDACs (HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9)
apicidin/depudecin	Class I (HDAC1-3 and HDAC8)
MS-275	HDAC1-3
PCI-34051	HDAC8

Table 1. Specificity of HDAC inhibitors.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000.t001

of all class I and class II HDACs [34,35]. However, using a broadspectrum inhibitor of HDACs also bears the potential of unwanted side-effects, potentially contraindicating therapeutic use of such inhibitors. Thus, the interest is shifting towards the characterization of more specific inhibitors to selectively address individual HDACs and target distinct pathways [36].

In order to identify the HDAC that might be responsible for the repression of an elevated DEFB1 gene expression, we used HDAC inhibitors with increasing specificity and, after narrowing down the effect to HDACs 1-3, additionally siRNA to address these HDACs individually. HDAC inhibition led to a much stronger increase in DEFB1 gene expression than the RNAi experiments, suggesting a long half-life of the HDAC1 protein.

The upregulated *DEFB1* mRNA expression after treatment with the HDAC inhibitors could either be a direct (at the level of histones) or an indirect effect, since the function of a number of non-histone transcription factors and co-regulators, such as p53, hsp90, importin- $\alpha$ , and NF- $\kappa$ B, can also be modulated by acetylation [37]. However, inhibition of HDACs 1-3 increased two histone modifications at the *DEFB1* promoter that are associated with transcriptionally active chromatin [29]; the acetylation of histone H3 and the trimethylation of lysine 4 at



**Figure 3. The HDAC inhibitor MS-275 increases H3 acetylation and H3K4 trimethylation at the** *DEFB1* **promoter. (A) DEFB1 gene with promoter region including analysis sites I-IV. I: –186** – **–250, II: –347** – **–406, III: –1002** – **–1061, IV: –2436** – **–2499.** (B–C) A549 cells were treated with HDAC inhibitor MS-275 for 36 h. ChIP analysis was performed using anti-acetyl-Histone H3 (B) and anti-trimethyl-H3K4 (C) antibodies. Isolated DNA was quantified using quantitative RT-PCR. Bars represent means with SEM of three independent experiments. LINE: long interspersed nuclear element. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000.g003

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org



# **B** Cyclophilin **B** mRNA expression



# C HDAC1 mRNA expression



# E HDAC3 mRNA expression



# D HDAC2 mRNA expression

medium control mock transfection

HDAC1 siRNA HDAC2 siRNA

HDAC3 siRNA

non targeting siRNA

Cyclophilin B siRNA



# F DEFB1 mRNA expression



**Figure 4. Knockdown of HDAC1 increases DEFB1 gene expression.** A549 cells were transfected with HDAC and control siRNAs for 48 to 96 h. (A) Cells were lysed 48 h after transfection and Western Blot analysis was performed with an anti-HDAC1 antibody. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B–F) mRNA expression of *Cyclophilin B* (B), *HDAC1* (C), *HDAC2* (D), *HDAC3* (E) and *DEFB1* (F) was analyzed using quantitative Real-Time-PCR. The measured levels of mRNA were normalized to *TBP* mRNA levels. Bars represent means with SEM of three independent experiments (\*: p < 0.05; \*\*: p < 0.01; \*\*\*: p < 0.001, \*\*\*\*: p < 0.001). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050000.g004

histone 3 (H3K4). The increased acetylation of H3 is a strong indication for a direct effect of the HDAC inhibitor. The enhanced H3K4 trimethylation was probably a downstream consequence of transcriptional activation initiated by this change in the acetylation status. Thus, the data strongly suggest that knockdown/inhibition of HDAC1 enhanced DEFB1 gene expression by increasing transcriptional activity at the *DEFB1* promoter.

We hypothesize that under physiological conditions basal constitutive DEFB1 gene expression is a consequence of a particular epigenetic profile. This epigenetic profile is regulated by a protein complex which includes HDAC1 and the mechanism of regulation is based on the dynamic interplay of HDACs and HATs. Under certain pathogenic conditions such as COPD, the activity of HDACs has been shown to be reduced [20], conceivably because of cigarette smoke, which is the most frequently mentioned risk factor for COPD [38] and is known to affect the activity and stability of HDACs [39]. Our data suggest that in consequence of the reduced HDAC activity, acetylation at the DEFB1 promoter increases. This is probably followed by relaxation of the chromatin structure that exposes additional transcription factor binding sites and thereby enables enhanced DEFB1 gene expression. Recently, Peyrin-Biroulet et al. [40] showed that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma  $(PPAR\gamma)$  is involved in the maintenance of DEFB1 expression in the colon by binding directly to the DEFB1 promoter. Since  $PPAR\gamma$  is also expressed in the lung epithelium and lung epithelial cell lines, one might speculate that HDAC1 is involved in the regulation of PPAR $\gamma$  expression and/or signaling and therefore, both proteins cooperatively regulate DEFB1 expression.

High amounts of DEFB1 might contribute to the pathogenesis of COPD. The chemotactic activity of DEFB1 on immature dendritic cells and T memory cells [2] could play a role in the chronic inflammation detected in the airways of patients diagnosed with COPD [41,42]. In summary, these results provide new insights into the epigenetic regulation of DEFB1 gene expression that may help to define new targets for therapeutic approaches for DEFB1-associated diseases [43].

## **Materials and Methods**

## Cells and Media

Both A549 (lung epithelial cells) and NCI-H727 cells (bronchial epithelial cells) were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Cell lines were cultured in D-MEM (A549) or RPMI 1640 (NCI-H727, both Invitrogen) with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin (PAA) and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin (PAA). A549 cultures were passaged twice a week with a density of 0.9 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells per 75 cm<sup>2</sup> area flask. NCI-H727 cultures were passaged once a week with a density of 1×10<sup>6</sup> cells per 75 cm<sup>2</sup> area flask.

## **HDAC** Inhibition

The HDAC inhibitors apicidin, depudecin, MS-275, nicotinamide and valproic acid were purchased from Enzo Life Sciences, butyrate and PCI-34051 were from Sigma and trichostatin A from Cell Signaling. A549 ( $2 \times 10^5$ ) and NCI-H727 ( $5 \times 10^5$ ) cells were seeded into 6-well plates the day before inhibitor treatment. HDAC inhibitors were used in the following concentrations and later compared to the stated control treatments: apicidin (1  $\mu$ M/ 0.2% DMSO, Sigma), depudecin (10  $\mu$ M/0.2% DMSO), MS-275 (1,5  $\mu$ M/0.2% DMSO), nicotinamide (30 mM/3.4% aqua ad iniectabilia, Braun), valproic acid (7.5 mM/3.4% aqua ad iniectabilia), butyrate (5 mM/0.2% DMSO), PCI-34051 (10  $\mu$ M/0.2% DMSO), trichostatin A (400 nM/0.01% ethanol, Merck). In preliminary experiments different concentrations of the HDAC inhibitors were used to identify the appropriate concentrations. For control treatment, 1 ng/ml recombinant human IL-1 $\beta$  (GIBCO) was used. At 18, 24, 36, and 48 h after inhibitor treatment, cells were lysed, total RNA was isolated (Absolutely RNA<sup>®</sup> Miniprep Kit, Agilent Technologies) and cDNA was synthesized (SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase, Invitrogen).

## siRNA

The day before transfection, A549 cells were seeded into 6well plates. The cell number was adjusted so that there were approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells at the end of the experiment. DharmaFECT1 transfection reagent and 100 nM siRNAs (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used to transfect the cells. The following siRNAs were used: ON-TARGETplus Nontargeting Pool (D-001810-10), Human PPIB ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool (L-004606-00), Human HDAC1 ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool (L-003493-00), Human HDAC2 ON-TAR-GETplus SMARTpool (L-003495-00), Human HDAC3 ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool (L-003496-00). For RT-PCR analysis, cells were lysed 48, 60, 72, and 96 h after transfection, total RNA was isolated (Absolutely RNA® Miniprep Kit, Agilent Technologies), and cDNA was synthesized (SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase, Invitrogen). For western blotting, cells were lysed in Laemmli Buffer 48 h after transfection.

## Quantitative RT-PCR

Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche) and the LightCycler 480 Probes Master (HDAC3 mRNA analysis) (Roche). For amplification and detection, LightCycler 480 (Roche) was used and the analysis was performed using LightCycler 480 Software (Roche). Using relative quantification, the measured levels of mRNA were normalized to either Porphobilinogen deaminase (PBGD) or TATA box binding protein (TBP) mRNA levels. This housekeeping gene was chosen using the geNorm software. The following primers were used: Cyclophilin B: GGAGATGGCACAGGAG-GAA, TCTCCACCTTCCGCACC; DEFB1: TGTCTGAGAT-GGCCTCAGGT, GGGCAGGCAGAATAGAGACA; HDAC1: CCAAGTACCACAGCGATGAC, TGGACAGTCCTCACCA-ACG; HDAC2: TGAAGGAGAAGGAGGTCGAA, GGATT-TATCTTCTTCCTTAACGTCTG; HDAC3: CACCATGC-CAAGAAGTTTGA, CCCGAGGGTGGTACTTGAG; IL8: TTGCCAAGGAGTGCTAAAGAA, CAACCCTACAACAGA-AACCCTGCCAGAGAAGAGTG, CCCACAC; PBG-D: AGCCGGGTGTTGAGGTTT; TBP: GCTGGCCCATAGT-GATCTTT, TCCTTGGGTTATCTTCACACG. To account the primer efficiency, external standard curves were used.

#### Western Blotting

Cell lysates were resolved by electrophoresis in NuPAGE 4– 12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to PVDF membranes. After blocking in blocking solution (5% low fat dry milk (Saliter) in PBS, 0.1% Tween 20 (Honeywell Specialty Chemicals)) membranes were incubated with 0.4  $\mu$ g/ml anti-GAPDH (clone 6C5, Abcam) and 2  $\mu$ g/ml anti-HDAC1 (Abcam) antibodies in blocking solution overnight. Visualization was performed using Alexa 680 (Invitrogen) and IRDye800 (Biomol) conjugated secondary antibodies and the Odyssey Infrared Imager (LI-COR).

#### ChIP Assay

A549 or NCI-H727 cells  $(1 \times 10^7)$  were seeded into two 75-cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flasks the day before inhibitor treatment. ChIP was performed as described by Bullwinkel et al. [44] 36 h after treatment with 1.5  $\mu$ M MS-275 (Enzo Life Sciences) or 0.2% DMSO and 24 h after treatment with 400 nM trichostatin A or 0.01% ethanol. Following this protocol, DNA fragments of an average length of approximately 800 bp are produced [44]. The anti-acetyl-Histone H3 (Millipore) and anti-trimethyl-H3K4 (clone MC315, Millipore) antibodies were used for overnight incubation of the precleared samples. Analysis of the isolated DNA was performed by quantitative RT-PCR as described above. The following primers were used: DEFB1 promoter: GAAGAGGGTGAAGTTTGAG, AGGCAGTTCACACTGGA; DEFB1 I: GCGGCAGCCA-GATGGAGACAAT, CCCTGGTGTCATTTGCCCTG;

## References

- Zasloff M (2002) Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. Nature 415: 389–395.
- Yang D, Chertov O, Bykovskaia SN, Chen Q, Buffo MJ, et al. (1999) Betadefensins: linking innate and adaptive immunity through dendritic and T cell CCR6. Science 286: 525–528.
- Murphy CJ, Foster BA, Mannis MJ, Selsted ME, Reid TW (1993) Defensins are mitogenic for epithelial cells and fibroblasts. J Cell Physiol 155: 408–413.
- Bensch KW, Raida M, Magert HJ, Schulz-Knappe P, Forssmann WG (1995) hBD-1: a novel beta-defensin from human plasma. FEBS Lett 368: 331–335.
- Goldman MJ, Anderson GM, Stolzenberg ED, Kari UP, Zasloff M, et al. (1997) Human beta-defensin-1 is a salt-sensitive antibiotic in lung that is inactivated in cvstic fibrosis. Cell 88: 553–560.
- Zhao C, Wang I, Lehrer RI (1996) Widespread expression of beta-defensin hBD-1 in human secretory glands and epithelial cells. FEBS Lett 396: 319–322.
- Valore EV, Park CH, Quayle AJ, Wiles KR, McCray PB Jr, et al. (1998) Human beta-defensin-1: an antimicrobial peptide of urogenital tissues. J Clin Invest 101: 1633–1642.
- Matsushita I, Hasegawa K, Nakata K, Yasuda K, Tokunaga K, et al. (2002) Genetic variants of human beta-defensin-1 and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 291: 17–22.
- Jurevic RJ, Bai M, Chadwick RB, White TC, Dale BA (2003) Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in human beta-defensin 1: high-throughput SNP assays and association with Candida carriage in type I diabetics and nondiabetic controls. J Clin Microbiol 41: 90–96.
- Levy H, Raby BA, Lake S, Tantisira KG, Kwiatkowski D, et al. (2005) Association of defensin beta-1 gene polymorphisms with asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 115: 252–258.
- Prado-Montes de Oca E, Garcia-Vargas A, Lozano-Inocencio R, Gallegos-Arreola MP, Sandoval-Ramirez L, et al. (2007) Association of beta-defensin 1 single nucleotide polymorphisms with atopic dermatitis. International Archives of Allergy and Immunology 142: 211–218.
- Schaefer AS, Richter GM, Nothnagel M, Laine ML, Ruhling A, et al. (2010) A 3' UTR transition within DEFB1 is associated with chronic and aggressive periodontitis. Genes Immun 11: 45–54.
- Andresen E, Gunther G, Bullwinkel J, Lange C, Heine H (2011) Increased expression of beta-defensin 1 (DEFB1) in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. PLoS One 6: e21898.
- Sherman H, Chapnik N, Froy O (2006) Albumin and amino acids upregulate the expression of human beta-defensin 1. Mol Immunol 43: 1617–1623.
- Duits LA, Ravensbergen B, Rademaker M, Hiemstra PS, Nibbering PH (2002) Expression of beta-defensin 1 and 2 mRNA by human monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells. Immunology 106: 517–525.
- Schaefer TM, Fahey JV, Wright JA, Wira CR (2005) Innate immunity in the human female reproductive tract: antiviral response of uterine epithelial cells to the TLR3 agonist poly(I:C). J Immunol 174: 992–1002.

DEFB1 II: CCTGCTCAGAGCTTCCCTGT, ACACTG-GAGTCCCTCCTTCT; DEFB1 III: CCACTCTGGGTGTCT-CATGC, TCACGGTGGTCCAATGAGAA; DEFB1 IV: GACA-TAGCCTCAGGAAATCC, ATAAAAGCAAGCTGTGCCTT; LINE: GCAGGCCTGGTGGTGAC, CAGAATTTCATATC-CAGCCA. Non-specific DNA binding was excluded by subtracting the measured values of a control reaction where no antibody was used.

#### Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD or mean  $\pm$  SEM as indicated. Analysis of variance of three or more matched groups was performed with the use of the Two-way ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni post-tests. Statistics were performed with GraphPad Prism 5.03 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) with differences p<0.05 considered significant. \*\*: p<0.01, \*\*\*: p<0.001.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank I. Goroncy and K. Böhnstedt for excellent technical assistance.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: HH EA KK. Performed the experiments: KK EA. Analyzed the data: KK EA HH. Wrote the paper: KK HH.

- Zhu BD, Feng Y, Huang N, Wu Q, Wang BY (2003) Mycobacterium bovis bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) enhances human beta-defensin-1 gene transcription in human pulmonary gland epithelial cells. Acta Pharmacol Sin 24: 907–912.
- Lehmann J, Retz M, Harder J, Krams M, Kellner U, et al. (2002) Expression of human beta-defensins 1 and 2 in kidneys with chronic bacterial infection. BMC Infect Dis 2: 20.
- Laube DM, Yim S, Ryan LK, Kisich KO, Diamond G (2006) Antimicrobial peptides in the airway. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 306: 153–182.
- Ito K, Ito M, Elliott WM, Cosio B, Caramori G, et al. (2005) Decreased histone deacetylase activity in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. N Engl J Med 352: 1967–1976.
- Allfrey VG, Faulkner R, Mirsky AE (1964) Acetylation and methylation of histones and their possible role in the regulation of RNA synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 51: 786–794.
- Avalos JL, Bever KM, Wolberger C (2005) Mechanism of sirtuin inhibition by nicotinamide: altering the NAD(+) cosubstrate specificity of a Sir2 enzyme. Mol Cell 17: 855–868.
- Bhalla KN (2005) Epigenetic and chromatin modifiers as targeted therapy of hematologic malignancies. J Clin Oncol 23: 3971–3993.
- Phiel CJ, Zhang F, Huang EY, Guenther MG, Lazar MA, et al. (2001) Histone deacetylase is a direct target of valproic acid, a potent anticonvulsant, mood stabilizer, and teratogen. J Biol Chem 276: 36734–36741.
- Khan N, Jeffers M, Kumar S, Hackett C, Boldog F, et al. (2008) Determination of the class and isoform selectivity of small-molecule histone deacetylase inhibitors. Biochem J 409: 581–589.
- Kwon HJ, Owa T, Hassig CA, Shimada J, Schreiber SL (1998) Depudecin induces morphological reversion of transformed fibroblasts via the inhibition of histone deacetylase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 3356–3361.
- Hu E, Dul E, Sung CM, Chen Z, Kirkpatrick R, et al. (2003) Identification of novel isoform-selective inhibitors within class I histone deacetylases. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 307: 720–728.
- Balasubramanian S, Ramos J, Luo W, Sirisawad M, Verner E, et al. (2008) A novel histone deacetylase 8 (HDAC8)-specific inhibitor PCI-34051 induces apoptosis in T-cell lymphomas. Leukemia 22: 1026–1034.
- Adcock IM, Ford P, Ito K, Barnes PJ (2006) Epigenetics and airways disease. Respir Res 7: 21.
- Rosato RR, Grant S (2003) Histone deacetylase inhibitors in cancer therapy. Cancer Biol Ther 2: 30–37.
- Halili MA, Andrews MR, Sweet MJ, Fairlie DP (2009) Histone deacetylase inhibitors in inflammatory disease. Curr Top Med Chem 9: 309–319.
- Barnes PJ, Adcock IM, Ito K (2005) Histone acetylation and deacetylation: importance in inflammatory lung diseases. Eur Respir J 25: 552–563.
- Adcock IM, Ito K, Barnes PJ (2005) Histone deacetylation: an important mechanism in inflammatory lung diseases. COPD 2: 445–455.

- Yoshida M, Horinouchi S, Beppu T (1995) Trichostatin A and trapoxin: novel chemical probes for the role of histone acetylation in chromatin structure and function. Bioessays 17: 423–430.
- Yoshida M, Furumai R, Nishiyama M, Komatsu Y, Nishino N, et al. (2001) Histone deacetylase as a new target for cancer chemotherapy. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 48 Suppl 1: S20–S26.
- Halili MA, Andrews MR, Labzin LI, Schroder K, Matthias G, et al. (2010) Differential effects of selective HDAC inhibitors on macrophage inflammatory responses to the Toll-like receptor 4 agonist LPS. JLeukocBiol 87: 1103–1114.
- Drummond DC, Noble CO, Kirpotin DB, Guo Z, Scott GK, et al. (2005) Clinical development of histone deacetylase inhibitors as anticancer agents. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 45: 495–528.
- Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Buist SA, et al. (2007) Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 176: 532–555.
- Adenuga D, Yao H, March TH, Seagrave J, Rahman I (2009) Histone deacetylase 2 is phosphorylated, ubiquitinated, and degraded by cigarette smoke. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 40: 464–473.

- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Beisner J, Wang G, Nuding S, Oommen ST, et al. (2010) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma activation is required for maintenance of innate antimicrobial immunity in the colon. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 8772–8777.
- Vogelmeier C, Buhl R, Criee CP, Gillissen A, Kardos P, et al. (2007) [Guidelines for the diagnosis and therapy of COPD issued by Deutsche Atemwegsliga and Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Pneumologie und Beatmungsmedizin]. Pneumologie 61: e1–40.
- Demedts IK, Demoor T, Bracke KR, Joos GF, Brusselle GG (2006) Role of apoptosis in the pathogenesis of COPD and pulmonary emphysema. Respir Res 7: 53.
- Prado-Montes de Oca E (2010) Human beta-defensin 1: a restless warrior against allergies, infections and cancer. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 42: 800–804.
- Bullwinkel J, Baron-Luhr B, Ludemann A, Wohlenberg C, Gerdes J, et al. (2006) Ki-67 protein is associated with ribosomal RNA transcription in quiescent and proliferating cells. J Cell Physiol 206: 624–635.