PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

Review



Cite this article: O'Connor RC, Kirtley OJ. 2018 The integrated motivational – volitional model of suicidal behaviour. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **373**: 20170268. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0268

Accepted: 23 March 2018

One contribution of 18 to a theme issue 'Evolutionary thanatology: impacts of the dead on the living in humans and other animals'.

Subject Areas:

behaviour, cognition

Keywords:

suicide, theory, psychology, evolutionary, risk factors

Author for correspondence:

Rory C. O'Connor e-mail: rory.oconnor@glasgow.ac.uk

The integrated motivational – volitional model of suicidal behaviour

Rory C. O'Connor¹ and Olivia J. Kirtley²

¹Suicidal Behaviour Research Laboratory, Institute of Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Gartnavel Royal Hospital, Glasgow G12 0XH, UK

²Center for Contextual Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

(D) RCO, 0000-0002-3650-4994

Suicide is a major public health concern accounting for 800 000 deaths globally each year. Although there have been many advances in understanding suicide risk in recent decades, our ability to predict suicide is no better now than it was 50 years ago. There are many potential explanations for this lack of progress, but the absence, until recently, of comprehensive theoretical models that predict the emergence of suicidal ideation distinct from the transition between suicidal ideation and suicide attempts/suicide is key to this lack of progress. The current article presents the integrated motivational-volitional (IMV) model of suicidal behaviour, one such theoretical model. We propose that defeat and entrapment drive the emergence of suicidal ideation and that a group of factors, entitled volitional moderators (VMs), govern the transition from suicidal ideation to suicidal behaviour. According to the IMV model, VMs include access to the means of suicide, exposure to suicidal behaviour, capability for suicide (fearlessness about death and increased physical pain tolerance), planning, impulsivity, mental imagery and past suicidal behaviour. In this article, we describe the theoretical origins of the IMV model, the key premises underpinning the model, empirical tests of the model and future research directions.

This article is part of the theme issue 'Evolutionary thanatology: impacts of the dead on the living in humans and other animals'.

1. Introduction

Suicide is a major public health concern with at least 800 000 people dying by suicide each year across the globe and at least 20 times that number attempting suicide [1]. The pathways to suicide are complex, with suicide being the end product of an interplay of biological, clinical, psychological, social, cultural risk and protective factors [2–4]. Although knowledge of risk factors for suicide has grown markedly in recent decades [4], our ability to predict suicide is no better now than it was 50 years ago [5]. There are many reasons why the field of suicide research has not enhanced its predictive ability; key candidates include the low base rate of suicidal behaviour, as well as the fact that risk factors are often assessed in isolation and in a static rather than in a dynamic fashion [5]. In addition, until relatively, recently, there was a paucity of comprehensive theoretical frameworks that have attempted to understand the emergence of suicidal ideation and the transition from thinking about suicide to attempting suicide/dying by suicide [6].

In the present paper, we focus on one such predominant framework, the integrated motivational–volitional (IMV, [6]) model of suicidal behaviour; we describe its theoretical origins, the key premises underpinning the model, empirical tests of the model and future research directions. In brief, the IMV model is a tri-partite model that describes the biopsychosocial context in which suicidal ideation and behaviour may emerge (pre-motivational phase), the factors that lead to the emergence of suicidal ideation (motivational phase) and the factors that govern the transition from suicidal ideation to suicide attempts/death by suicide (volitional phase). This is the most detailed

© 2018 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.



Figure 1. The IMV model of suicidal behaviour.

specification of the model to date, which includes some refinements since its original exposition in 2011 (figure 1).

2. Theoretical origins and conceptual rationale

The guiding principle that led to the development of the IMV model was the desire to synthesize the extant evidence into a detailed theoretical framework that could make predictions about the factors that lead people to think about suicide and those factors which govern whether people act on their thoughts, i.e. attempt suicide/die by suicide. Until Joiner proposed his interpersonal theory of suicide (IPT) [7], for the most part, the theoretical literature [8-11] did not account for the distinction between the prediction of ideation versus enaction. In this regard, the IMV model is a second-generation model, which, alongside the IPT [7,12] and the three-step theory of suicide (3ST) [13], is a theoretical perspective which explains the suicidal process consistent with the ideation-toaction framework [14]. These more recent models specifically hypothesize that the factors leading to the development of suicidal thinking are distinct from those that govern behavioural enaction, i.e. attempting or dying by suicide.

As detailed elsewhere [6,15], four distinct theoretical perspectives were particularly important in the IMV model's development [9,11,16,17]. First, the backdrop to the IMV model is the diathesis–stress model [9], which recognizes that individual vulnerabilities confer elevated risk for developing suicidal ideation when activated by the presence of stressors. Examples of these vulnerabilities are personality characteristics, such as high socially prescribed perfectionism, or socio-environmental factors, e.g. socio-economic deprivation [4,18]. Combined with acute or chronic life stressors, these vulnerability factors increase the likelihood that an individual will experience an adverse psychological reaction to stress. This forms the basis of the pre-motivational phase of the IMV model, which includes background vulnerability factors.

Second, the theory of planned behaviour (TPB) [16] influenced the development of the IMV model as it contends that the strongest immediate predictor of behaviour is an individual's intention or motivation to carry out the behaviour. Crucially, the TPB delineates distinct phases of intention formation and behavioural engagement (enactment).

Central to the motivational phase of the IMV model is the relationship between defeat and humiliation, and entrapment, leading to suicidal ideation; key variables within Williams' cry of pain theory of suicide [11]. These elements are drawn from a concept known as 'arrested flight', which was adopted from evolutionary psychology and originally used to explain behavioural states observed in individuals with depression. Arrested flight describes the experience of feeling as though one has been brought down (defeated) and has no prospect of escape or rescue (entrapment) [19]. These concepts characterize well the 'tunnel vision' often observed in individuals experiencing suicidal distress, whereby suicide becomes the only perceived escape route. Humiliation also features within the cry of pain theory, but has received little substantive attention relative to defeat and entrapment.

The final theoretical perspective drawn upon within the IMV model is the differential activation hypothesis [20,21], which posits that when an individual experiences distress, an association is formed between the feeling of distress and, in this case, suicidal ideation. With each subsequent episode of distress, the pathway from distress to suicidal cognitions becomes more established and, therefore, more easily activated; negative mood also potentiates a bias towards negative information, termed 'cognitive reactivity' [22]. Even once an individual is no longer acutely distressed, these pathways lie dormant until triggered by a negative mood state or stress.

3. Key premises underpinning the motivational – volitional model of suicidal behaviour

The IMV model is a three-phase biopsychosocial framework (figure 1 and table 1) that delineates the final common pathway to suicidal ideation and behaviour. As noted above, the

Table 1. Key premises of the IMV model of suicidal behaviour.

	premise
1	Vulnerability factors combined with stressful life events (including early life adversity) provide the backdrop for the development of suicidal ideation.
2	The presence of pre-motivational vulnerability factors (e.g. socially prescribed perfectionism) increases the sensitivity to signals of defeat.
3	Defeat/humiliation and entrapment are the key drivers for the emergence of suicidal ideation.
4	Entrapment is the bridge between defeat and suicidal ideation.
5	Volitional-phase factors govern the transition from ideation/intent to suicidal behaviour.
6	Individuals with a suicide attempt or self-harm history will exhibit higher levels of motivational and volitional-phase variables than those without a history.
7	Distress is higher in those who engage in repeated suicidal behaviour and over time, and intention is translated into behaviour with increasing rapidity.

pre-motivational phase describes the biopsychosocial context, identifying vulnerability factors and triggering negative events. The motivational and volitional phases are operationalized at two different levels. From a higher-order perspective, the core constructs of defeat/humiliation, entrapment, suicidal ideation and suicidal behaviour form the backbone of the model and span both phases. These core constructs have the potential to be influenced by lower order moderators, with the latter defined as factors that facilitate or impede the transition within a phase (threat to self and motivational-phase moderators) or across the phases of the model (volitional-phase moderators). The key premises of the model are summarized in table 1.

(a) The pre-motivational phase: background factors and

triggering events

The pre-motivational phase is comprised of a diathesisenvironment-life events triad [2-4]. Diatheses take the form of biological, genetic or cognitive vulnerability factors or individual differences characteristics that increase risk of suicide. For example, decreased serotonergic neurotransmission is one such vulnerability factor for suicidal behaviour [23]. Socially prescribed perfectionism, defined as unrealistically high expectations that we believe significant others have of us [24], is another individual difference vulnerability factor that has been consistently associated with suicide risk [25,26]. According to the IMV model, socially prescribed perfectionism is hypothesized to increase the likelihood that an individual feels defeated when an interpersonal crisis occurs (heightened sensitivity to negative signals in the environment). Indeed, higher levels of perfectionism are also associated with sensitivity to emotional pain [27], another factor within the pre-motivational phase.

Understanding the social and environmental context of suicide risk has a long history [28]. More recent evidence highlights the socio-economic inequality of suicide [18] and the impact of rapid societal changes, such as economic recessions [29]. Early life adversity is also an unequivocal suicide risk factor, with evidence that it is associated with epigenetic changes in genes, cortisol (dys)regulation as well as with the (disrupted) formation of attachment relationships [2,30]. However, negative life events experienced at any stage in life confer risk [31,32].

The overarching premise of the IMV model is that the premotivational factors have their effect on suicide risk through their influence on the constructs within the motivational and volitional phases.

(b) The motivational phase: emergence of suicidal ideation

Consistent with Williams' cry of pain hypothesis [11], in this phase, we focus on the psychological processes that lead to the emergence of suicidal ideation and intent. Although we acknowledge that suicidal ideation and intent are blurred but, arguably distinct constructs, at this stage there is insufficient evidence to specify whether it is useful to add another phase, which explains the movement from ideation to intent. In essence, we posit that appraisals of defeat and/ or humiliation from which there is no perceived escape-a sense of entrapment-are the proximal predictors of suicidal ideation. As introduced above, sensitivity to signals of defeat may be affected by a range of factors, including socially prescribed perfectionism, pessimism and negative affect. Entrapment can be internal or external in nature; the former is concerned with being trapped by pain triggered by internal thoughts and feelings, whereas external entrapment relates to the motivation to escape from events or experiences in the outside world [19]. Feelings of entrapment are likely to give rise to agitation. Entrapment is distinct from hopelessness which is a pervasive sense of pessimism for the future [33].

The emergence of suicidal ideation is the outcome of a process beginning with feelings of defeat and humiliation. Defeat or humiliation may also be characterized by social rejection and loss, two frequently reported precipitants of suicidal distress [2,34–36]. However, entrapment is not an inevitable consequence of feeling defeated or humiliated. According to the IMV model, the presence or absence of threat to self-moderators (TSMs) renders it more or less likely that defeat leads to entrapment.

Given their established relationships with suicidal ideation and behaviour, social problem-solving [37–39], autobiographical memory biases [39–41] and rumination [42,43] are included here as TSMs. Although these factors are likely to affect entrapment as well as defeat and humiliation, we hypothesize that they will have their strongest effect on the defeat–entrapment relationship because they are implicated in problem resolution. As brooding rumination [44] is more strongly associated with suicide risk than reflection [42,43], we hypothesized that brooding would be an important moderator of the defeat–entrapment relationship. Despite limited research into the relationship between coping and suicide risk [45], given the conceptual overlap with social problem-solving, we proposed coping to be a TSM; but depending on how it is operationalized, it is

likely to also moderate the entrapment-suicidal ideation relationship [45].

The final part of the motivational phase is the transition from entrapment to suicidal ideation. We posit that the presence of motivational moderators (MMs) will increase or decrease the likelihood that entrapment is translated into suicidal ideation. The MMs include factors that, when present and protective, allow the trapped individual to see alternatives, a more positive future and less pain. Reasons for living [46], attainable positive future thinking [47,48], adaptive goal pursuit [49], belongingness [12] or connectedness [50] are MMs as they are thought to buffer against the emergence of suicidal ideation and intent. Conversely, feeling a burden [51], having little or no social support [52] and depleted resilience [53] will each increase the likelihood that entrapment will be translated into suicidal ideation/intent. Consistent with the TPB, the IMV model also hypothesizes that individuals with less negative attitudes towards suicide/death are also more likely to consider suicide as an option when they are trapped [16,54]. As all human behaviour is influenced by reflective and automatic processes [55], the prediction of suicidal behaviour is no different; therefore, these attitudes are implicit as well as explicit [56,57].

(c) The volitional phase: from suicidal ideation to suicide attempts/suicide

The final phase of the IMV model outlines the factors, entitled volitional moderators (VMs), that govern the transition from suicidal ideation/intent to enaction (the VMs are expanded upon in figure 2). Although factors such as entrapment may be associated with suicide attempts (largely due to entrapment's association with suicidal ideation), a central tenet of the IMV model is that VMs are vital for transition. Drawing from Joiner's IPT, the IMV model proposes that the components of the acquired capability for suicide (fearlessness about death and increased physical pain tolerance [12,51]) are VMs. We believe, however, that the factors that govern the transition from ideation to attempts are broader than capability. We posit that VMs can be environmental, psychological, social or physiological in nature.

Having access to the means of suicide, an environmental VM, is an important risk factor for suicide [3,58]. Exposure to the suicidal behaviour of others (family or friends) is a social VM with an established relationship with suicide risk [59,60]. There are a number of potential mechanisms that explain this relationship. For example, the suicidal behaviour of others may increase the likelihood that an individual models or imitates a loved one's suicidal behaviour. Exposure may also increase the salience and cognitive accessibility of suicide such that an individual is more likely to attempt suicide when they encounter stressors. Similarly, we hypothesize that exposure to inappropriate representations of suicide (e.g. glamorizing suicide) via traditional and new media channels may increase the likelihood that a vulnerable individual engages in a suicidal act (cf. suicidal contagion and suicide clusters, [61]).

Although there is some debate about how best to operationalize impulsivity, and the extent to which impulsivity is associated with the individual versus the act, its relationship with suicidal behaviour is evident [2,62]. The model also predicts that those with detailed (if-then) plans for their suicide or suicide attempt are more likely to attempt suicide/die by suicide than those without plans. There is also growing interest in the role of mental imagery of suicide and suicidal 'flash forwards' where an individual has a mental image of being dead or dying [63]. We hypothesize that mental imagery increases the likelihood of enactment as it acts as a form of cognitive rehearsal for the behaviour.

A past history of self-harm or suicide attempts is a VM. If an individual engages in suicidal behaviour once, they are statistically more likely to do so again [3]. The dotted lines in figures 1 and 2 reflect the dynamic and (for some) cyclical relationship between suicidal ideation and repeat suicide attempts. In addition, when at-risk individuals perceive themselves to have complete control over their suicidal behaviour, which may manifest itself as high capability, suicidal behaviour may be triggered directly, ostensibly bypassing the ideation/intention formation stage of the model. Although the model was developed originally to understand suicidal behaviour per se, the basic premises of the model also apply to self-harm, irrespective of motive. For example, volitional-phase moderators have been shown to distinguish between adolescents who have thought about self-harm and those who have self-harmed (for a wide variety of motives) [64].

4. Empirical tests of the model and its components

A growing number of studies have tested the IMV model or its components. As noted above, research has been supportive of the utility of VMs for differentiating between adolescents with self-harm ideation and those who enact the behaviour [64]. In another study of college students, those who reported suicidal ideation did not differ in motivational-phase variables from individuals who had attempted suicide in multivariate analyses, but they did differ on volitional-phase variables, as per the IMV model [65]. A recent study from a populationbased birth cohort of 4772 adolescents also found that exposure to the self-harm of others (alongside psychiatric disorder) was the factor that most clearly differentiated those who had attempted suicide from those who had thought about suicide without making an attempt [66].

Prospective research has examined two of the central components from the motivational phase, defeat and entrapment, finding in one study that entrapment and past suicide attempts were the only multivariate predictors of readmission to hospital for self-harm at 4-year follow-up, even when controlling for depressive symptoms and hopelessness [67]. More recently, Owen *et al.* [68] found that defeat predicted suicidal ideation via entrapment at four-month follow-up in a sample of individuals with bipolar disorder. Wetherall *et al.* [69] also found that entrapment was a mediator of the relationship between defeat and suicidal ideation cross-sectionally, supporting the IMV model's prediction. Furthermore, when entrapment was high, resilience also moderated the relationship between defeat and suicidal ideation.

Entrapment is also directly related to suicidal ideation in adolescents, but it also acts as a mediator of the relationship (along with psychosomatic symptoms, resilience and depression) between anger suppression and suicidal ideation [70]. Elevated defeat, entrapment and suicidal behaviour have also been found in individuals with trauma and a diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), relative to



Figure 2. From suicidal ideation to suicidal behaviour within the IMV model: the VMs.

those with trauma but no PTSD diagnosis [71]. Furthermore, defeat and entrapment mediate the relationship between PTSD symptoms and suicidal behaviour [72]. The centrality of entrapment within the suicidal process was also evident in a study of 200 adult psychiatric patients who had been hospitalized following a suicide attempt or suicidal ideation. The authors found that entrapment fully mediated the relationship between ruminative flooding, panic-dissociation and fear of dying with suicidal ideation [73].

Within the IMV model, pre-motivational factors such as socially prescribed perfectionism are posited to lead to the development of feelings of defeat, and in Wetherall *et al.*'s study [69], the relationship between socially prescribed perfectionism and defeat was partially mediated by negative social comparisons. This perception of being of a lower social rank and of making unfavourable comparisons between oneself and others is proposed to be associated with feelings of defeat and entrapment subsequently. The IMV model contends that individuals who are more sensitive to the (perceived) social evaluation of others are more likely to experience feelings of defeat and entrapment, and Wetherall *et al.*'s study provides support for this.

There have, however, been some inconsistencies in the findings between studies of defeat, entrapment and suicidal ideation. Tucker *et al.* [74] found that, in a sample of American college students, defeat was directly associated with suicidal ideation, but not indirectly via entrapment. While this is not consistent with the IMV model, central to this prediction is the temporal context of the transition from defeat/humiliation to entrapment, such that defeat is expected to temporally precede feelings of entrapment. Here defeat and entrapment were measured contemporaneously [74], which may have impacted upon whether the relationship was observed. However, also in Tucker *et al.*'s study [74], as predicted by the IMV model, the relationship between defeat and entrapment was moderated by the presence of brooding

rumination, supporting rumination as a threat to self-moderator, affecting the pathway from defeat to entrapment.

Another recent study found that the rumination-suicidal ideation relationship was mediated by entrapment, but the reverse relationship whereby rumination mediated the pathway between entrapment and suicidal ideation was not significant, thus consistent with the sequential relationships outlined within the IMV model [75]. Additionally, a prospective study found baseline defeat, but not entrapment, predicted suicidal ideation at 12-month follow-up [76]. The same finding was also reported in a cross-sectional study of prisoners [77]. These findings may be due to low power, or because defeat and entrapment differ in their longitudinal relationship to suicidal ideation or the assessment of entrapment in prisoners requires closer inspection. For detailed discussion of the role of defeat and entrapment in suicide risk, see O'Connor & Portzky [78] and two recent reviews [79,80].

Novel research using an online community sample also found some support for the IMV model. On the one hand, the authors found that entrapment (alongside burdensomeness) predicted suicidal ideation cross-sectionally [81]. However, they did not find support for the moderating role of thwarted belongingness and burdensomeness in the entrapmentsuicidal ideation relationship. This may simply reflect the way in which this relationship was tested and how the variables were operationalized. Drawing from the IPT [12], the IMV model proposes that it is the interaction between thwarted belongingness and burdensomeness that acts as a moderator of the entrapment-suicidal ideation relationship, as opposed to either of these variables independently. Here belongingness and burdensomeness were tested separately as potential moderators [81]. In addition, the measure of suicidal ideation encapsulates a broad spectrum of suicide-related constructs including ideation, planning and impulsivity. How we assess suicidal ideation, in itself, may introduce unwanted variability, rendering it more difficult to investigate a priori hypotheses.

In a new approach to understanding the relationship between risk factors, variables from the widely used Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (SSI; [82]), which span the motivational and volitional phases of the IMV model, have been examined using network analysis in a sample of individuals who presented to hospital following a suicide attempt [83]. Results demonstrated that suicidal behaviour was more directly associated with volitional-phase variables, such as control over action and active planning, whereas factors such as reasons for living and wish to live (motivational phase factors) were more distal predictors. While innovative, this particular analysis was limited by focus on variables from the SSI, which was not designed to assess IMV model components. Future network analyses should assess all of the IMV model factors together.

A few studies have also examined the IMV model in non-Western settings. For example, Hye-Ji & Sung-Woo [84], in a sample of South Korean college students, found that entrapment mediated the relationship between defeat and suicidal ideation, as predicted by the IMV model. In sub-Saharan Africa, Atilola & Ayinde [85] applied the IMV model to examine the suicide of Sàngó, a well-known figure in the culture of the Yorùbá people, discussing how aspects from the narratives of his death map on to the IMV model. These studies provide some early evidence that the IMV model has utility for explaining suicidal behaviour in non-Western cultural settings, but this should be explored further.

A number of studies have also indirectly tested components of the IMV model. For example, innovative work with adolescents using the Card Sort Task for Self-harm (CaTS) by Townsend et al. [86], found that individuals outlined a process whereby negative life stressors acted as a backdrop to their distress (pre-motivational phase), leading to negative feelings and ideation about self-harm (motivational phase). Enacting self-harm behaviour was ultimately preceded by feelings of impulsivity and having the access to means for harming oneself (volitional phase). Townsend et al.'s work [86] supports the idea of a strong temporal component to the proposed pathways within the IMV model. In addition, work by Littlewood et al. [87] also found an indirect relationship between nightmares and suicidal behaviour via defeat and entrapment, supporting the idea that the combination of defeat and entrapment is particularly deleterious and leads to more severe suicidal ideation.

5. Key directions for future research

The shift to ideation-to-action models of suicide represents vital progress in the way we conceptualize, research, and intervene to prevent suicidal behaviour. There is still much we have yet to accomplish, however, and here we discuss a number of key opportunities and challenges for the IMV model and also suicide research more generally. As is the case for the 3ST [13] and IPT models of suicide [12], the IMV model presents a linear picture of the suicidal process, from ideation and intention formation to enactment of suicidal behaviour. Although it is important to note that the potential cyclical nature of the suicidal ideation–attempts–ideation relationship is now acknowledged within the IMV model (see dotted lines in figures 1 and 2). Nonetheless, the linear model structure does not necessarily account for repeat suicidal behaviour; as noted above, if an individual

has already made a suicide attempt, it is unlikely that the process of ideation and intention formation for a repeat suicide attempt will begin anew and manifest in the same way as for a first episode of suicidal behaviour. We expect individuals who have engaged in repeated suicidal behaviours to exhibit higher levels of distress than individuals with a single episode of suicidal behaviour, and as such we expect to see higher levels of motivational and volitional-phase variables among individuals repeating suicidal behaviour. Consistent with the differential activation hypothesis [22,88], we would expect that the process between ideation and enactment shortens with repeated engagement in suicidal behaviour, such that over time the transition between intention and behaviour becomes increasingly rapid.

Given the complexity of the pathways to suicide, the model in its current form does not address the issue of whether or not particular combinations of variables from across the three phases of the model result in higher risk trajectories for suicidal behaviour. Identifying such 'risk trajectories' may represent important steps in generating more individually specific profiles or sub-types that may also aid our development of tailored interventions for particular groups.

As is evident from emerging literature on variability in suicidal ideation [89], context and temporal fluctuations are pivotal to our understanding of the specific circumstances under which suicidal ideation and behaviour may occur. To understand the role of context in suicidal behaviour, traditional, retrospective self-report or laboratory measures are insufficient, being highly vulnerable to recall bias and lacking ecological validity [90]. The only way to truly capture such short-term variations in risk factors is to measure these at a momentary level using techniques such as ecological momentary assessment (EMA) methods, allowing data to be collected virtually in real-time, as participants go about their daily lives [91]. Despite its clear potential, however, EMA remains an underused methodology within suicide research [56,92,93] and requires rigorous evaluation.

Since the IMV model was proposed in 2011, much progress has been made in empirically testing the model's predictions but much remains to be done. First, consistent with suicide research more generally, there is a dearth of prospective studies. The issue of temporality returns when considering the proposed temporal pathway from defeat and humiliation to entrapment, then progressing onwards to suicidal ideation. Extant research examining these constructs within the context of the IMV model has consistently investigated these variables contemporaneously [81]. The concepts of defeat and entrapment may also exhibit further nuance, potentially having both state and trait components [94]. Stability of these constructs over time has received little to no attention within the field of suicide research.

As well as new technological developments, the emergence of statistical techniques such as network analysis (e.g. [95]) provides new opportunities for addressing some of the key questions and challenges outlined above. By allowing us to compare the relative importance (centrality) of key variables associated with suicidal ideation and enactment, as well as the strength of these relationships, network analysis gives us new possibilities to investigate variations in risk trajectories in different populations. Other new methods, such as curtailment techniques, allow us to optimize the efficiency of the measures we use to assess suicidal ideation and behaviour, without compromising on their accuracy [96].

Recent advances in machine learning techniques allow the computation of optimized risk algorithms, from hundreds of different individual variable pathways, to suicidal thoughts and behaviours [97,98]. The vast majority of tools to assess the likelihood of repeat engagement in suicidal behaviour rely on self-report. A burgeoning line of research investigates possibilities for detecting cognitive reactivity towards suicide-relevant content that is outside of individuals' conscious awareness, including implicit attitudes via the Death/ Life Implicit Attitudes Test [56,57,99]. Other approaches, such as the death evaluation Implicit Relational Assessment Procedure [99], have also found specific cognitive biases towards self-referent versus abstract death-related stimuli in individuals with current suicidal ideation. In short, given that behaviour is governed by reflective and automatic (e.g. implicit) processes [100], more suicide research needs to focus on these automatic (as well as reflective) processes.

6. Implications for intervention and suicide prevention

A corollary of the IMV model is that intervention and suicide prevention activities should be tailored to the phase of the model that the person is presently within. If an individual is distressed and feeling trapped but they are not suicidal, then clearly interventions that reduce the likelihood that suicidal ideation emerges could offer benefit. To this end, targeting factors within the motivational phase of the model should be highlighted. For example, given that entrapment is a potentially modifiable predictor of suicide attempts over time [67], this is an important treatment target. It would also make sense to incorporate the assessment of entrapment into routine clinical care alongside depression and suicidal ideation. The challenge, though, is that there are not yet any evidence-based treatments to reduce entrapment. Nonetheless, there are effective, evidence-based psychological interventions for the management of selfharm that can be drawn from Hawton et al. [101]. If an individual is actively suicidal, in addition to trying to

alleviate their suicidal distress, it is vital that interventions to reduce the likelihood that they act on their thoughts are prioritized. For example, safety planning [58] is one such promising intervention which targets VMs. Another is a volitional helpsheet (VHS) [102] that encourages an individual to make if-then plans to reduce the likelihood that their suicidal thoughts trigger a suicide attempt. Recent evidence suggests that a VHS may offer promise (as an adjunct to usual care), especially among those with a past history of self-harm [102,103]. More generally though, theoretical models such as the IMV model should be a starting point for the development of interventions, because they specify the potential mechanisms that should be targeted, thereby increasing the likelihood of interventions being effective [104]. Finally, at the macro-level, suicide prevention efforts need to urgently tackle inequality, poverty and disadvantage [18,105], key drivers of suicide (pre-motivational phase).

7. Summary and conclusion

We have presented the IMV model, a contemporary ideationto-action model of suicidal behaviour. The tri-partite IMV model contends that suicide is a behaviour, preceded by ideation and intention formation and, crucially, it seeks to explain the transition from suicidal ideation to behavioural enactment. Empirical support for the model is growing; however, there remain a number of challenges, as well as opportunities, to be addressed in future research; understanding the roles of temporality and complexity of variable interactions within the model is a priority.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests. Funding. R.C.O.C. received funding support from MQ Research (MQ1PI100009) and US Department of Defense (W81XWH-12-1-0007). O.J.K. is supported by a fellowship from an FWO Odysseus grant (Myin-Germeys, FWO GOF8416N).

References

- 1. WHO. 2014 *Preventing suicide: a global imperative.* Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- Turecki G, Brent DA. 2016 Suicide and suicidal behaviour. *Lancet* 387, 1227–1239. (doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)00234-2)
- Hawton K, Saunders KE, O'Connor RC. 2012 Selfharm and suicide in adolescents. *Lancet* 379, 2373–2382. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60322-5)
- O'Connor RC, Nock MK. 2014 The psychology of suicidal behaviour. *Lancet Psychiatry* 1, 73-85. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(14)70222-6)
- Franklin JC *et al.* 2017 Risk factors for suicidal thoughts and behaviors: a meta-analysis of 50 years of research. *Psychol. Bull.* **143**, 187–232. (doi:10. 1037/bul0000084)
- O'Connor RC. 2011 Towards an integrated motivational – volitional model of suicidal behaviour. In *Int. handbook of suicide prevention: research,*

policy and practice (eds RC O'Connor, S Platt, J Gordon), pp. 181–198. Chichester, UK: Wiley.

- 7. Joiner TE. 2005 *Why people die by suicide*. Boston, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Baumeister RF. 1990 Suicide as escape from self. *Psychol. Rev.* 97, 90-113. (doi:10.1037/0033-295X. 97.1.90)
- Schotte DE, Clum GA. 1987 Problem-solving skills in suicidal psychiatric patients. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 55, 49–54. (doi:10.1037/0022-006X.55.1.49)
- 10. Shneidman ES. 1985 *Definition of suicide*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- 11. Williams JMG. 2001 *The cry of pain*. London, UK: Penguin.
- Van Orden KA, Witte TK, Cukrowicz KC, Braithwaite SR, Selby EA, Joiner Jr TE. 2010 The interpersonal theory of suicide. *Psychol. Rev.* **117**, 575–600. (doi:10.1037/a0018697)

- Klonsky ED, May AM. 2015 The three-step theory (3ST): a new theory of suicide rooted in the 'Ideation-to-Action' framework. *Int. J. Cogn. Ther.* 8, 114–129. (doi:10.1521/ijct. 2015.8.2.114)
- Klonsky ED, Qiu TY, Saffer BY. 2017 Recent advances in differentiating suicide attempters from suicide ideators. *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* **30**, 15–20. (doi:10. 1097/YC0.00000000000294)
- O'Connor RC, Cleare S, Eschle S, Wetherall K, Kirtley OJ. 2016 The integrated motivational-volitional model of suicidal behavior: an update. In *The international handbook of suicide prevention* (eds RC O'Connor, J Pirkis), pp. 220–240. Chichester, UK: Wiley Blackwell.
- Ajzen I. 1991 The theory of planned behavior. Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. 50, 179-211. (doi:10. 1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)

- Williams JMG, Van der Does AJW, Barnhofer T, Crane C, Segal ZS. 2008 Cognitive reactivity, suicidal ideation and future fluency: preliminary investigation of a differential activation theory of hopelessness/suicidality. *Cogn. Ther. Res.* 32, 83–104. (doi:10.1007/s10608-006-9105-y)
- Platt S. 2016 Inequalities and suicidal behavior. In International handbook of suicide prevention (eds RC O'Connor, J Pirkis), pp. 258–283, 2nd edn. Chichester, UK: Wiley Blackwell.
- Gilbert P, Allan S. 1998 The role of defeat and entrapment (arrested flight) in depression: an exploration of an evolutionary view. *Psychol. Med.* 28, 585-598. (doi:10.1017/S0033291798006710)
- Teasdale JD, Dent J. 1987 Cognitive vulnerability to depression: an investigation of two hypotheses. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* 26, 113–126. (doi:10.1111/j. 2044-8260.1987.tb00737.x)
- Williams JMG, Barnhofer T, Crane C, Beck AT. 2005 Problem solving deteriorates following mood challenge in formerly depressed patients with a. history of suicidal ideation. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 114, 421-431. (doi:10.1037/0021-843X.114.3.421)
- Lau MA, Segal ZV, Williams JMG. 2004 Teasdale's differential activation hypothesis: implications for mechanisms of depressive relapse and suicidal behaviour. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 42, 1001–1017. (doi:10.1016/j.brat.2004.03.003)
- Turecki G. 2014 The molecular bases of the suicidal brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 802-816. (doi:10. 1038/nrn3839)
- Hewitt PL, Flett GL. 1991 Perfectionism in the self and social contexts – conceptualization, assessment and association with psychopathology. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **60**, 456–470. (doi:10.1037/0022-3514.60.3.456)
- O'Connor RC. 2007 The relations between perfectionism and suicidality: a systematic review. *Suicide Life Threat.* **37**, 698–714. (doi:10.1521/suli. 2007.37.6.698)
- Smith MM, Sherry SB, Chen S, Saklofske DH, Mushquash C, Flett GL, Hewitt PL. 2017 The perniciousness of perfectionism: a meta-analytic review of the perfectionism-suicide relationship. J. Pers. 86, 522–542. (doi:10.1111/jopy.12333)
- Kirtley OJ, O'Connor RC, O'Carroll RE. 2015 Hurting inside and out? Emotional and physical pain in selfharm ideation and enactment. *Int. J. Cogn. Ther.* 8, 156–171. (doi:10.1521/ijct.2015.8.2.156)
- 28. Durkheim E. 1897 *Suicide: a study in sociology.* New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Chang SS, Stuckler D, Yip P, Gunnell D. 2013 Impact of 2008 global economic crisis on suicide: time trend study in 54 countries. *BMJ* 347, 15. (doi:10. 1136/bmj.f5239)
- Fergusson DM, Woodward LJ, Horwood LJ. 2000 Risk factors and life processes associated with the onset of suicidal behaviour during adolescence and early adulthood. *Psychol. Med.* **30**, 23–39. (doi:10. 1017/S003329179900135X)
- McLaughlin J, O'Carroll RE, O'Connor RC. 2012 Intimate partner abuse and suicidality: a systematic review. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 32, 677-689. (doi:10. 1016/j.cpr.2012.08.002)

- Serafini G, Muzio C, Piccinini G, Flouri E, Ferrigno G, Pompili M, Girardi P, Amore M. 2015 Life adversities and suicidal behavior in young individuals: a systematic review. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 24, 1423 – 1446. (doi:10.1007/s00787-015-0760-y)
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Kovacs M, Garrison B. 1985 Hopelessness and eventual suicide: a 10 year prospective study of patients hospitalized with suicidal ideation. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **142**, 559–563. (doi:10.1176/ajp.142.5.559)
- Olie E, Jollant F, Deverdun J, de Champfleur NM, Cyprien F, Le Bars E, Mura T, Bonafe A, Courtet P. 2017 The experience of social exclusion in women with a history of suicidal acts: a neuroimaging study. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 8. (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00211-x)
- Burrell LV, Mehlum L, Qin P. 2017 Risk factors for suicide in offspring bereaved by sudden parental death from external causes. J. Affect. Disord. 222, 71–78. (doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.06.064)
- Williams CA, Doorley JD, Esposito-Smythers C. 2017 Interpersonal rejection sensitivity mediates the associations between peer victimization and two high-risk outcomes. *Clin. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 22, 649–663. (doi:10.1177/1359104517712041)
- Chu C, Walker KL, Stanley IH, Hirsch JK, Greenberg JH, Rudd MD, Joiner TE. 2017 Perceived problemsolving deficits and suicidal ideation: evidence for the explanatory roles of thwarted belongingness and perceived burdensomeness in five samples. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. (doi:10.1037/pspp0000152)
- Arie M, Apter A, Orbach I, Yefet Y, Zalsman G. 2008 Autobiographical memory, interpersonal problem solving, and suicidal behavior in adolescent inpatients. *Compr. Psychiatry* 49, 22–29. (doi:10. 1016/j.comppsych.2007.07.004)
- Pollock LR, Williams JM. 2001 Effective problem solving in suicide attempters depends on specific autobiographical recall. *Suicide Life Threat. Behav.* 31, 386–396. (doi:10.1521/suli.31.4.386.22041)
- Williams JM, Broadbent K. 1986 Autobiographical memory in suicide attempters. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 95, 144–149. (doi:10.1037/0021-843X.95.2.144)
- Richard-Devantoy S, Berlim MT, Jollant F. 2015 Suicidal behaviour and memory: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J. Biol. Psychiatry* 16, 544–566. (doi:10.3109/15622975.2014.925584)
- Morrison R, O'Connor RC. 2008 A systematic review of the relationship between rumination and suicidality. *Suicide Life Threat. Behav.* 38, 523–538. (doi:10.1521/suli.2008.38.5.523)
- Rogers ML, Joiner TE. 2017 Rumination, suicidal ideation, and suicide attempts: a meta-analytic review. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* 21, 132–142. (doi:10. 1037/gpr0000101)
- Treynor W, Gonzalez R, Nolen-Hoeksema S. 2003 Rumination reconsidered: a psychometric analysis. *Cogn. Ther. Res.* 27, 247–259. (doi:10.1023/ A:1023910315561)
- 45. Gooding P, Tarrier N, Dunn G, Shaw J, Awenat Y, Ulph F, Pratt D. 2015 The moderating effects of coping and self-esteem on the relationship between defeat, entrapment and suicidality in a sample of

prisoners at high risk of suicide. *Eur. Psychiatry* **30**, 988–994. (doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.09.002)

- Linehan MM, Goodstein JL, Nielsen SL, Chiles JA. 1983 Reasons for staying alive when you are thinking of killing yourself: the reasons for living inventory. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 51, 276–286. (doi:10.1037/0022-006X.51.2.276)
- MacLeod AK, Pankhania B, Lee M, Mitchell D. 1997 Parasuicide, depression and the anticipation of positive and negative future experiences. *Psychol. Med.* 27, 973–977. (doi:10.1017/ S003329179600459X)
- O'Connor RC, Smyth R, Williams JMG. 2015 Intrapersonal positive future thinking predicts repeat suicide attempts in hospital-treated suicide attempters. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 83, 169–176. (doi:10.1037/a0037846)
- O'Connor RC, Fraser L, Whyte M-C, MacHale S, Masterton G. 2009 Self-regulation of unattainable goals in suicide attempters: the relationship between goal disengagement, goal reengagement and suicidal ideation. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 47, 164–169. (doi:10.1016/j. brat.2008.11.001)
- Arango A, Opperman KJ, Gipson PY, King CA. 2016 Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among youth who report bully victimization, bully perpetration and/or low social connectedness. *J. Adolesc.* 51, 19–29. (doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.05.003)
- Chu C *et al.* 2017 The interpersonal theory of suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a decade of cross-national research. *Psychol. Bull.* 143, 1313–1315. (doi:10.1037/bul0000123)
- Chang QS, Chan CH, Yip PSF. 2017 A meta-analytic review on social relationships and suicidal ideation among older adults. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **191**, 65–76. (doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.09.003)
- Johnson J, Gooding PA, Wood AM, Tarrier N. 2010 Resilience as positive coping appraisals: testing the schematic appraisals model of suicide (SAMS). *Behav. Res. Ther.* 48, 179–186. (doi:10.1016/j.brat. 2009.10.007)
- O'Connor RC, Armitage CJ, Gray L. 2006 The role of clinical and social cognitive variables in parasuicide. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* 45, 465–481. (doi:10.1348/ 014466505X82315)
- Strack F, Deutsch R. 2004 Reflective and impulsive determinants of social behavior. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 8, 220–247. (doi:10.1207/ s15327957pspr0803_1)
- Nock MK, Park JM, Finn CT, Deliberto TL, Dour HJ, Banaji MR. 2010 Measuring the suicidal mind: implicit cognition predicts suicidal behavior. *Psychol. Sci.* 21, 511–517. (doi:10.1177/ 0956797610364762)
- 57. Cha CB, O'Connor RC, Kirtley OJ, Cleare S, Wetherall K, Eschle S, Tezanos KM, Nock MK. In press. Testing mood-activated psychological markers for suicidal ideation.
- Stanley B, Brown GK. 2012 Safety planning intervention: a brief intervention to mitigate suicide risk. *Cogn. Behav. Pract.* **19**, 256–264. (doi:10. 1016/j.cbpra.2011.01.001)

- Pitman A, Osborn D, King M, Erlangsen A. 2014 Effects of suicide bereavement on mental health and suicide risk. *Lancet Psychiatry* 1, 86–94. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(14)70224-X)
- O'Connor RC, Rasmussen S, Hawton K. 2014 Adolescent self-harm: a school-based study in Northern Ireland. J. Affect. Disord. 159, 46-52. (doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.02.015)
- Haw C, Hawton K, Niedzwiedz C, Platt S. 2013 Suicide clusters: a review of risk factors and mechanisms. *Suicide Life Threat.* 43, 97–108. (doi:10.1111/j.1943-278X.2012.00130.x)
- Gvion Y, Apter A. 2011 Aggression, impulsivity, and suicide behavior: a review of the literature. *Arch. Suicide Res.* 15, 93–112. (doi:10.1080/13811118. 2011.565265)
- Hales SA, Deeprose C, Goodwin GM, Holmes EA.
 2011 Cognitions in bipolar affective disorder and unipolar depression: imagining suicide. *Bipolar Disord.* 13, 651–661. (doi:10.1111/j.1399-5618.
 2011.00954.x)
- O'Connor RC, Rasmussen S, Hawton K. 2012 Distinguishing adolescents who think about self-harm from those who engage in self-harm. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 200, 330–335. (doi:10.1192/bjp. bp.111.097808)
- Dhingra K, Boduszek D, O'Connor RC. 2015 Differentiating suicide attempters from suicide ideators using the integrated motivationalvolitional model of suicidal behaviour. J. Affect. Disord. 186, 211–218. (doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015. 07.007)
- 66. Mars B, Heron J, Klonsky ED, Moran P, O'Connor RC, Tilling K, Wilkinson P, Gunnell D. 2018 What distinguishes adolescents with suicidal thoughts from those who have attempted suicide? A population-based birth cohort study. J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry (doi:10.1111/jcpp.12878)
- O'Connor RC, Smyth R, Ferguson E, Ryan C, Williams JMG. 2013 Psychological processes and repeat suicidal behavior: a four-year prospective study. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 81, 1137–1143. (doi:10. 1037/a0033751)
- Owen R, Dempsey R, Jones S, Gooding P. 2018 Defeat and entrapment in bipolar disorder: exploring the relationship with suicidal ideation from a psychological theoretical perspective. *Suicide Life Threat. Behav.* 48, 116–128. (doi:10.1111/sltb. 12343)
- 69. Wetherall K, Robb K, O'Connor RC. 2018 An examination of social comparison and suicidal ideation through the lens of the integrated motivational-volitional model of suicidal behavior. *Suicide Life Threat*.
- Park YJ, Ryu H, Han K, Kwon JH, Kim HK, Kang HC, Yoon JW, Cheon SH, Shin H. 2010 Suicidal ideation in adolescents: an explanatory model using LISREL. *West. J. Nurs. Res.* 32, 168–184. (doi:10.1177/ 0193945909349115)
- Panagioti M, Gooding PA, Tarrier N. 2012 Hopelessness, defeat, and entrapment in posttraumatic stress disorder their association with suicidal behavior and severity of depression. J. Nerv.

Ment. Dis. **200**, 676–683. (doi:10.1097/NMD. 0b013e3182613f91)

- Panagioti M, Gooding P, Taylor PJ, Tarrier N. 2013 A model of suicidal behavior in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): the mediating role of defeat and entrapment. *Psychiatry Res.* 209, 55–59. (doi:10. 1016/j.psychres.2013.02.018)
- Li S, Yaseen ZS, Kim HJ, Briggs J, Duffy M, Frechette-Hagan A, Cohen LJ, Galynker II. 2018 Entrapment as a mediator of suicide crises. *BMC Psychiatry* 18, 10. (doi:10.1186/s12888-018-1587-0)
- Tucker RP, O'Connor RC, Wingate LR. 2016 An investigation of the relationship between rumination styles, hope, and suicide ideation through the lens of the integrated motivational-volitional model of suicidal behavior. *Arch. Suicide Res.* 20, 553–566. (doi:10.1080/13811118.2016.1158682)
- Teismann T, Forkmann T. 2017 Rumination, entrapment and suicide ideation: a mediational model. *Clin. Psychol. Psychother.* 24, 226–234. (doi:10.1002/cpp.1999)
- Taylor PJ, Gooding PA, Wood AM, Johnson J, Tarrier N. 2011 Prospective predictors of suicidality: defeat and entrapment lead to changes in suicidal ideation over time. *Suicide Life Threat.* **41**, 297–306. (doi:10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00029.x)
- Gooding PA, Tarrier N, Dunn G, Awenat Y, Shaw J, Ulph F, Pratt D. 2017 Psychological characteristics and predictors of suicide probability in high risk prisoners. *Crim. Justice Behav.* 44, 321–335. (doi:10.1177/0093854816650478)
- O'Connor RC, Portzky G. 2018 The relationship between entrapment and suicidal behavior through the lens of the integrated motivational – volitional model of suicidal behavior. *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* 22, 12–17. (doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.07.021)
- Taylor PJ, Gooding P, Wood AM, Tarrier N. 2011 The role of defeat and entrapment in depression, anxiety, and suicide. *Psychol. Bull.* 137, 391–420. (doi:10.1037/a0022935)
- Siddaway AP, Taylor PJ, Wood AM, Schulz J. 2015 A meta-analysis of perceptions of defeat and entrapment in depression, anxiety problems, posttraumatic stress disorder, and suicidality. *J. Affect. Disord.* **184**, 149–159. (doi:10.1016/j.jad. 2015.05.046)
- Forkmann T, Teismann T. 2017 Entrapment, perceived burdensomeness and thwarted belongingness as predictors of suicide ideation. *Psychiatry Res.* 257, 84–86. (doi:10.1016/j. psychres.2017.07.031)
- Beck AT, Steer RA. 1993 Manual for the beck scale for suicide ideation. San Antonio, TX, USA: The Psychological Corporation.
- de Beurs DP, van Borkulo CD, O'Connor RC. 2017 Association between suicidal symptoms and repeat suicidal behaviour within a sample of hospitaltreated suicide attempters. *BJPsych Open* 3, 120–126. (doi:10.1192/bjpo.bp.116.004275)
- Hye-Ji K, Sung-Woo B. 2017 Analyses of the suicidal path among college students: focusing on the integrated motivational-volitional model. *J. Sch. Soc. Work* 39, 1–23. (doi:10.20993/jSSW.39.1)

- Atilola O, Ayinde O. 2015 The suicide of Sàngó through the prism of Integrated Motivational – Volitional Model of suicide: implications for culturally sensitive public education among the Yorùbá. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture* 18, 408–417. (doi:10. 1080/13674676.2015.1073706)
- Townsend E, Wadman R, Sayal K, Armstrong M, Harroe C, Majumder P, Vostanis P, Clarke D. 2016 Uncovering key patterns in self-harm in adolescents: sequence analysis using the Card Sort Task for Selfharm (CaTS). *J. Affect. Disord.* **206**, 161–168. (doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.004)
- Littlewood DL, Gooding PA, Panagioti M, Kyle SD. 2016 Nightmares and suicide in posttraumatic stress disorder: the mediating role of defeat, entrapment, and hopelessness. J. Clin. Sleep Med. 12, 393–399. (doi:10.5664/jcsm.5592)
- Teasdale JD. 1988 Cognitive vulnerability to persistent depression. *Cogn. Emot.* 2, 247–274. (doi:10.1080/02699938808410927)
- Bernanke JA, Stanley BH, Oquendo MA. 2017 Toward fine-grained phenotyping of suicidal behavior: the role of suicidal subtypes. *Mol. Psychiatry* 22, 1080–1081. (doi:10.1038/mp.2017.123)
- Myin-Germeys I, Oorschot M, Collip D, Lataster J, Delespaul P, van Os J. 2009 Experience sampling research in psychopathology: opening the black box of daily life. *Psychol. Med.* **39**, 1533 – 1547. (doi:10. 1017/S0033291708004947)
- de Beurs D, Kirtley O, Kerkhof A, Portzky G, O'Connor RC. 2015 The role of mobile phone technology in understanding and preventing suicidal behavior. *Crisis J. Crisis Intervention Suicide Prev.* 36, 79–82. (doi:10.1027/0227-5910/a000316)
- Davidson CL, Anestis MD, Gutierrez PM. 2017
 Ecological momentary assessment is a neglected methodology in suicidology. *Arch. Suicide Res.* 21, 1–11. (doi:10.1080/13811118.2015.1004482)
- Kleiman EM, Turner BJ, Fedor S, Beale EE, Huffman JC, Nock MK. 2017 Examination of real-time fluctuations in suicidal ideation and its risk factors: results from two ecological momentary assessment studies. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 126, 726–738. (doi:10. 1037/abn0000273)
- Goldstein RC, Willner P. 2002 Self-report measures of defeat and entrapment during a brief depressive mood induction. *Cogn. Emot.* 16, 629–642. (doi:10. 1080/02699930143000473)
- de Beurs D. 2017 Network analysis: a novel approach to understand suicidal behaviour. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 14, 8. (doi:10. 3390/ijerph14030219)
- de Beurs DP, Okkema M, O'Connor RC. 2016 Optimizing the assessment of suicidal behavior: the application of curtailment techniques. *J. Affect. Disord.* 196, 218–224. (doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.02.033)
- Walsh CG, Ribeiro JD, Franklin JC. 2017 Predicting risk of suicide attempts over time through machine learning. *Clin. Psychol. Sci.* 5, 457–469. (doi:10. 1177/2167702617691560)
- Just MA, Pan L, Cherkassky VL, McMakin DL, Cha C, Nock MK, Brent D. 2018 Machine learning of neural representations of suicide and emotion concepts

identifies suicidal youth. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **1**, 911-919. (doi:10.1038/s41562-017-0234-y)

- Hussey I, Barnes-Holmes D, Booth R. 2016 Individuals with current suicidal ideation demonstrate implicit 'fearlessness of death'. *J. Behav. Ther. Exp. Psychiatry* 51, 1–9. (doi:10.1016/j.jbtep.2015.11.003)
- 100. Kahneman D. 2011 *Thinking, fast and slow.* New York, NY: Penguin.
- Hawton K, Witt KG, Salisbury TLT, Arensman E, Gunnell D, Hazell P, Townsend E, van Heeringen K. 2016 Psychosocial interventions following self-harm in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Lancet Psychiatry **3**, 740 – 750. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30070-0)

- 102. O'Connor RC, Ferguson E, Scott F, Smyth R, McDaid D, Park AL, Beautrais A, Armitage CJ. 2017 A brief psychological intervention to reduce repetition of self-harm in patients admitted to hospital following a suicide attempt: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry* 4, 451–460. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30129-3)
- 103. Armitage CJ, Abdul Rahim W, Rowe R, O'Connor RC. 2016 An exploratory randomised trial of a simple, brief psychological intervention to reduce subsequent suicidal ideation and behaviour in patients admitted

to hospital for self-harm. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **208**, 1–7. (doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.114.162495)

- 104. Holmes EA et al. 2018 The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on psychological treatments research in tomorrow's science. Lancet Psychiatry 5, 237–286. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30513-8)
- 105. Batty GD, Kivimaki M, Bell S, Gale CR, Shipley M, Whitley E, Gunnell D. 2018 Psychosocial characteristics as potential predictors of suicide in adults: an overview of the evidence with new results from prospective cohort studies. *Transl. Psychiatry* **8**, 15. (doi:10.1038/s41398-017-0072-8)