### ORIGINAL RESEARCH



## Revisiting the phylogeny of Wolbachia in Collembola

Yao Ma<sup>1,2</sup> | Wan-Jun Chen<sup>1</sup> | Zhao-Hui Li<sup>3</sup> | Feng Zhang<sup>4</sup> | Yan Gao<sup>1</sup> | Yun-Xia Luan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Insect Developmental and Evolutionary Biology, Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Applied Ecology, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University, Nanjing, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agriculture University, Nanjing, China

#### Correspondence

Yun-Xia Luan and Yan Gao, Key Laboratory of Insect Developmental and Evolutionary Biology, Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China.

Emails: yxluan@sibs.ac.cn and yangao@sibs. ac.cn

#### Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31301873, 31272298 and 31471958; National GMO New Variety Breeding Program of PRC, Grant/Award Number: 2014ZX08011-02B-003; Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the CAS, Grant/Award Number: 2013183.

## Abstract

The endosymbiont Wolbachia has been detected in a few parthenogenetic collembolans sampled in Europe and America, including three species of Poduromorpha, two species of Entomobryomorpha, and two species of Neelipleona. Based on 16S rRNA and ftsZ gene sequences, most of the Wolbachia infecting parthenogenetic collembolans were characterized as members of supergroup E and showed concordant phylogeny with their hosts. However, the two neelipleonan symbionts form another unique group, indicating that Wolbachia has infected parthenogenetic collembolans multiple times. In this study, five parthenogenetic collembolan species were identified as hosts of Wolbachia, and four new Wolbachia strains were reported for four collembolan species sampled in China, respectively, including a neelipleonan strain from Megalothorax incertus (wMinc). Our results demonstrated that the Wolbachia multilocus sequence typing (MLST) system is superior to the 16S rRNA + ftsZ approach for phylogenetic analyses of collembolan Wolbachia. The MLST system assigned these Wolbachia of parthenogenetic collembolans to supergroup E as a unique clade, which included wMinc, supporting the monophyletic origin of Wolbachia in parthenogenetic collembolan species. Moreover, our data suggested supergroup E as one of the most divergent lineages in Wolbachia and revealed the discrepancy between the phylogenies of Wolbachia from parthenogenetic collembolans and their hosts, which may result from the high level of genetic divergence between collembolan Wolbachia, in association with the geographic differentiation of their hosts, or the possible horizontal transmission of Wolbachia between different collembolan species.

#### KEYWORDS

Collembola, genetic divergence, monophyletic origin, multilocus sequence typing, supergroup E, *Wolbachia* 

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Wolbachia is an obligate endosymbiont that is widespread in arthropods and filarial nematodes. Prominent effects of *Wolbachia* infection in arthropods include reproductive manipulations, such as feminization of genetic males, parthenogenetic induction, killing of male progeny from infected females, and cytoplasmic incompatibility (Werren, Baldo, & Clark, 2008). Generally, these phenotypes result in an

increased frequency of infected females in host populations and thus promote the maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* through generations (LePage & Bordenstein, 2013).

Wolbachia cannot be cultivated in vitro; thus, traditional classification methods are ineffective for characterizing *Wolbachia* species. O'Neill, Giordano, Colbert, Karr, and Robertson (1992) proposed the earliest molecular detection method for *Wolbachia* based on the 16S rRNA gene. Molecular typing methods based on more variable genes,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2017 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

WILFY\_Ecology and Evolution

such as ftsZ (Werren, Zhang, & Guo, 1995) and wsp (Zhou, Rousset, & O'Neil, 1998), were developed subsequently. As research progressed, multiple gene sequences were employed to better identify potential supergroups of Wolbachia; these analyses usually involved 16S rRNA together with protein-coding genes such as dnaA, gltA, groEL, and ftsZ (Bordenstein & Rosengaus, 2005). However, significant recombination has been reported within gltA and groEL, as well as between groEL-ftsZ, gltA-dnaA, and dnaA-groEL (Baldo, Bordenstein, Wernegreen, & Werren, 2006). A universal tool for the full characterization of Wolbachia using protein-coding genes has since been developed, that is, the multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme for Wolbachia (Baldo & Werren, 2007; Baldo et al., 2006). The system involves five housekeeping genes (gatB, coxA, hcpA, ftsZ, and fbpA) that are broadly distributed across the wMel (Wolbachia endosymbiont of Drosophila melanogaster) genome as single copies and have been subjected to purifying selection (Baldo et al., 2006). Information on MLST profiles and Wolbachia isolates is rapidly growing, and this information is easily accessible in the Wolbachia PubMLST database (http:// pubmlst.org/wolbachia/). Robust characterization of supergroups is necessary to understand the origin and radiation of Wolbachia and to elucidate the nature of the interaction between Wolbachia and its hosts (Ros, Fleming, Feil, & Breeuwer, 2009). Thus far, 17 supergroups have been reported for Wolbachia, designated A-Q (Augustinos et al., 2011; Bandi, Anderson, Genchi, & Blaxter, 1998; Bing et al., 2014; Bordenstein & Rosengaus, 2005; Glowska, Dragun-Damian, Dabert, & Gerth, 2015; Haegeman et al., 2009; Lefoulon et al., 2016; Lo, Casiraghi, Salati, Bazzocchi, & Bandi, 2002; Ros et al., 2009; Rowley, Raven, & McGraw, 2004; Vandekerckhove et al., 1999; Werren et al., 1995). However, the proposed supergroup G, based on wsp genes only, has been proved to be a wsp recombinant clade, instead of a valid supergroup (Baldo & Werren, 2007). Most of the established supergroups infect arthropods, except for supergroups C, D, J, and L. Specifically, supergroups C, D, and J are symbionts of filarial nematodes (Koutsovoulos, Makepeace, Tanya, & Blaxter, 2014), while the sole representative of supergroup L is found in the plant parasitic nematode Radopholous similis (Augustinos et al., 2011; Haegeman et al., 2009). Supergroup F has been the only clade found in both filarial nematodes and arthropods to date (Koutsovoulos et al., 2014), while supergroup E is only present in parthenogenetic collembolans (Tanganelli, Fanciulli, Nardi, & Frati, 2014).

As a group of basal hexapods, Collembola (springtails) have been on earth for more than 400 million years. They are small but are abundant in most terrestrial ecosystems, living primarily in the soil and feeding on fungi or decaying plant material (Hopkin, 1997). Collembola includes four orders: Poduromorpha, Entomobryomorpha, Symphypleona, and Neelipleona (Deharveng, 2004). Many collembolan species are considered to be parthenogenetic, as either no males have been sampled in the field (Chahartaghi, Scheu, & Ruess, 2006; Chernova, Potapov, Savenkova, & Bokova, 2009), or reproduction from unfertilized females has been observed in the laboratory (Goto, 1960; Petersen, 1971; Pomorski, 1989). Even in bisexual populations, the sex ratio is often highly biased toward females (Chahartaghi et al., 2006). To date, infection with *Wolbachia* has been reported mainly in parthenogenetic collembolan species, covering Tullbergiidae of Poduromorpha (*Mesaphorura italic*, *Mesaphorura macrochaeta*, and *Paratullbergia callipygos*), Isotomidae of Entomobryomorpha (*Folsomia candida* and *Parisotoma notabilis*), and Neelidae of Neelipleona (*Megalothorax minimus* and *Neelus murinus*) (Czarnetzki & Tebbe, 2004; Tanganelli et al., 2014; Timmermans, Mariën, Roelofs, van Straalen, & Ellers, 2004; Vandekerckhove et al., 1999). A bisexual species, *Orchesella cincta* (Entomobryomorpha, Entomobryidae), also displays *Wolbachia* infection, but the prevalence was very low (Timmermans et al., 2004). In addition, most of the identified host species were collected in Europe (i.e., Germany and Italy), except for an American population of *F. candida*.

Based on a molecular typing system involving 16S rRNA and ftsZ genes, collembolan Wolbachia fall into three groups: (1) symbionts infecting parthenogenetic springtails of Poduromorpha and Entomobryomorpha, which group together to form supergroup E, showing concordant phylogenetic relationship with their hosts; (2) the strain of bisexual species O. cincta, which clusters in supergroup B; and (3) symbionts of the parthenogenetic neelipleonan Meg. minimus and N. murinus, which form another group (neelid group) occupying a position independent of the D + F + C clade and the H + E + A + Bclade (Tanganelli et al., 2014). These findings suggest that there have been multiple occurrences of Wolbachia infection in parthenogenetic springtails. However, the neelid group was placed in different positions in trees based on 16S rRNA, ftsZ, or 16S rRNA + ftsZ; Thus, Tanganelli et al. (2014) defined the neelids as a "group" rather than a "supergroup" and proposed that further studies are necessary to confirm the accuracy of this designation. Currently, there is no MLST information on collembolan Wolbachia. With limited data, the phylogenetic position and transmission pattern of collembolan Wolbachia are hardly resolved.

In this study, we conducted the first diagnostic screening for *Wolbachia* in collembolan species sampled in China, covering the four orders of Collembola. As a result, we recovered four new *Wolbachia* strains from four parthenogenetic species, including the first case of *Wolbachia* infection in the family Onychiuridae. The phylogenetic positions of these symbionts, as well as the *Wolbachia* from a Danish population of *F. candida* (i.e., *F. candida* DK), were revealed using the *Wolbachia* MLST system and *wsp* gene. Our analyses supported all the newly identified strains belonging to supergroup E, including the one from neelipleonan species, and suggested that the phylogeny of collembolan *Wolbachia* is discordant with that of the hosts. In addition, by analyzing all the known *Wolbachia* of parthenogenetic collembolans, our study revealed the high genetic divergence within supergroup E.

### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Collembolan species

Twelve lines of eleven collembolan species (Table 1) that covers the four orders of Collembola were screened for *Wolbachia* infection. Most species were raised in the laboratory for several generations, except for *Folsomides parvulus* (*Fd. parvulus*) that were collected

TABLE 1 Characterization of collembolan species used in this study

Order	Family	Genus	Species <sup>a</sup>	Parthenogenesis	Source	Geographical origin
Poduromorpha	Hypogastruridae	Ceratophysella	C. denticulata	No	Laboratory strain	Suzhou, China
	Onychiuridae	Orthonychiurus	O. cf. himalayensis	No	Laboratory strain	Suzhou, China
		Thalassaphorura	T. houtanensis	Yes	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
	Tullbergiidae	Mesaphorura	Mes. yosii	Yes	Laboratory strain	Shengsi Island, China
Entomobryomorpha	Isotomidae	Folsomia	F. candida (SH)	No	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
		Folsomia	F. candida (DK)	Yes	Laboratory strain	Denmark
		Folsomides	Fd. parvulus	Putative	Field sample	Shengsi Island, China
	Entomobryidae	Entomobrya	E. proxima	No	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
		Sinella	S. curviseta	No	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
		Lepidocyrtus	L. cyaneus	No	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
Neelipleona	Neelidae	Megalothorax	Meg. incertus	Yes	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China
Symphypleona	Arrhopalitidae	Arrhopalites	A. minor	Putative	Laboratory strain	Shanghai, China

<sup>a</sup>Species infected with Wolbachia are indicated in bold.

from a sandy beach on Shengsi Island (Zhejiang, China) and preserved in ethanol. For *F. candida*, two breeding stocks (the DK line from Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and the SH line from Shanghai, China) were tested. Among the 12 screened collembolan lines, *Folsomia candida* (DK), *Mesaphorura yosii*, *Thalassaphorura houtanensis*, and *Megalothorax incertus* were confirmed to be parthenogenetic, through direct observation of reproduction from single unfertilized females in the laboratory, while *Fd. parvulus* and *Arrhopalites minor* are putatively parthenogenetic, as only female specimens were sampled in the field.

# 2.2 | Diagnostic screening for *Wolbachia* infection in Collembola

The presence of *Wolbachia* was tested via PCR amplification, specifically by targeting the *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene. Primary screening was performed on DNA samples extracted from multiple specimens (~100 individuals), with two sets of templates prepared for each collembolan line. For each confirmed host species of *Wolbachia*, the prevalence was calculated according to the screening results from 10 individuals. All extractions were conducted with the Wizard<sup>®</sup> SV Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega). The primers employed for screenings are listed in Table S1.

# 2.3 | Amplification and sequencing of *Wolbachia* and collembolan genes

For each collembolan species carrying *Wolbachia*, we amplified the following genes from single specimens: seven *Wolbachia* genes, including the 16S rRNA gene, five MLST loci (*gatB, coxA, hcpA, ftsZ*, and *fbpA*), and the *wsp* gene; three host genes, including the mtCOI, 18S, and 28S rRNA genes. The primers employed for each gene are listed in Table S1. The PCR program was set to the following specifications: 3 min at 94°C, followed by 40 amplification cycles (30 s at 94°C, 30 s

at the annealing temperature specific to the different primers, and 1 min per thousand base pairs at 72°C) and a 10 min final extension at 72°C.

**Ecology and Evolution** 

Most of the PCR products were sequenced directly using the same primers used for amplification, except that some *wsp* genes were cloned for sequencing. Briefly, purified fragments were ligated into the pMD 19-T vector (Takara, Dalian) and transformed into competent *Escherichia coli* Top10 cells (TIANGEN). Putative positive clones were sequenced with M13 primers (Shanghai Sangon Biotech; Shanghai Sunny Biotechnology). DNA sequences were assembled with the embedded program Seqman from the DNASTAR package (Burland, 2000) and checked with BLAST at NCBI (http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Every gene sequence was verified from at least three individuals.

### 2.4 | Data assembly and phylogenetic analyses

The phylogenetic status of newly identified *Wolbachia* strains and their cophylogenetic patterns with hosts were examined using four sets of genes: (1) the combined dataset of *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* genes, (2) the *Wolbachia* MLST dataset, (3) the *Wolbachia* wsp gene, and (4) the dataset constructed from three host gene sequences (mtCOI, 18S rRNA, and 28S rRNA). Sequences in addition to our own data were obtained from the *Wolbachia* PubMLST database (data released up to June 2014; no new data available up to June 2016, except for arthropod symbionts of supergroups A, B, and F) and GenBank.

For the dataset of *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* genes (Table S2), we chose 46 strains for which both gene sequences were available referring to Tanganelli et al. (2014). Sequences of other nine strains from supergroups A, B, F, I, K, and L were sampled from GenBank to optimize taxon sampling among *Wolbachia* supergroups and host taxonomy. Together with our new data on five *Wolbachia* strains, a total of 60 strains were included in phylogenetic analyses. The dataset of 57

NILEY

taxa was also analyzed by excluding strains of supergroups I, K, and L, which were not covered in Tanganelli et al. (2014).

For the Wolbachia MLST loci and the wsp gene, most of the seguences were downloaded from the Wolbachia PubMLST database, and Wolbachia strains were selected according to their biological information and allelic profiles that are stored in the Isolates database. where each strain is identified by a specific ID number. We first excluded the strains (isolates) for which the supergroup or host genus was not determined. Next, strains with missing MLST loci or wsp gene sequences were removed, except for members of supergroups C (isolate 505) and H (isolate 207). For strains showing identical allelic profiles, we conducted additional filtrations based on information on their collection. For example, published strains recovered from the gonads of single infected female specimens derived from lab-bred species should be considered with priority. Only the strains with the smallest ID numbers were retained if all other information was the same. Additional sequences representing supergroups C (host: Onchocera ochengi, Dirofilaria immitis) and D (host: Litomosoides sigmodontis) were obtained from GenBank and added to the MLST and wsp datasets (Table S3).

For the dataset of host genes, the corresponding sequences from one proturan (*Baculentulus tianmushanensis*) and two diplurans (*Lepidocampa weberi* and *Octostigma sinensis*) were retrieved from GenBank and used as outgroups (Table S4).

The nucleotide sequences of each gene were aligned separately on the GUIDANCE web server (Penn et al., 2010) using the algorithm MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013). In the alignment of the *wsp* gene, columns with GUIDANCE scores lower than 0.93 were considered to be unreliable and were removed. For other genes, reliable regions of alignments were selected in GBLOCKS 0.91b (Castresana, 2000), with half of the gap positions being allowed. Finally, the alignments were checked for signs of intragenic recombination using the software package RDP3 (Martin et al., 2010), and recombinants were removed from the MLST and *wsp* datasets (Table S5). The alignments for each multiple-gene dataset were then concatenated, respectively, using the software BioEdit (Hall, 1999), with missing data assigned with gaps.

PartitionFinder 1.1.1 (Lanfear, Calcott, Ho, & Guindon, 2012) was used to select the best-fit partitioning schemes and nucleotide substitution models for concatenated datasets and individual proteincoding genes (Table S6), while the HKY + I + G model was selected for 16S rRNA by jModelTest 2 (Darriba, Taboada, Doallo, & Posada, 2012) on CIPRES (Miller, Pfeiffer, & Schwartz, 2010). Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were conducted with RAxML 8 (Stamatakis, 2014) on CIPRES, under the recommended GTRGAMMA model, with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analyses were executed in MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) with the selected models (Table S6). Each Bayesian analysis was run for 100,000,000 generations, consisting of two parallel runs with four chains each. The best tree was summarized from the last 75% of sampled trees. Resulting trees were visualized with FigTree 1.3.1 (Rambaut, 2009) or iTOL v3 (Letunic & Bork, 2016) and edited in Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

# 2.5 | Estimation of evolutionary divergence for collembolan *Wolbachia*

16S rRNA gene sequence is the only available data for all the seven *Wolbachia* strains reported from parthenogenetic collembolan (Czarnetzki & Tebbe, 2004; Tanganelli et al., 2014; Vandekerckhove et al., 1999). Pairwise genetic distances were estimated for the seven 16S rDNA sequences together with our newly recovered sequences from *Wolbachia* infecting parthenogenetic collembolans in MEGA6 (Tamura, Stecher, Peterson, Filipski, & Kumar, 2013), using the Kimura two-parameter model with gamma-shaped rate variation across sites. The input alignment (1,237 nt in length) was generated with the method described above.

In order to further evaluate the divergence among *Wolbachia* of parthenogenetic collembolans, the mean pairwise distance within each *Wolbachia* supergroup was also calculated and compared, based on the alignments of 16S rRNA, *ftsZ* genes, and the MLST system used in phylogenetic analyses, respectively.

## 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Newly identified collembolan Wolbachia strains

Through diagnostic amplification of the *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene, we detected *Wolbachia* infection from five parthenogenetic collembolan species of the 11 screened species (12 lines), including one neelipleonan species (Table 1). Each host species displayed a prevalence of 100%. *Wolbachia* infection has been reported previously in *F. candida* (Vandekerckhove et al., 1999); thus, four new host species have been identified in this study. Interestingly, the same sequence was always recovered from different individuals of the same collembolan species, indicating that each species has been infected with a single *Wolbachia* strain. These strains were named after their hosts as follows: wFcan, wMyos, wMinc, wFpar, and wThou infected *F. candida* (DK), *Mes. yosii, Meg. incertus, Fd. parvulus*, and *T. houtanensis*, respectively.

Nearly full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences (approximately 1,400 bp) and partial sequences of the five *Wolbachia* MLST genes (*gatB*, 412–963 bp; *coxA*, 461–530 bp; *hcpA*, 495–557 bp; *ftsZ*, 912–962 bp; and *fbpA*, 486–700 bp) were obtained for all five strains, while *wsp* gene sequences were acquired only for wFcan (723 bp), wMyos (633 bp), and wMinc (519 bp). For each *Wolbachia* gene, the sequences were different among strains from different species, suggesting that each collembolan species was infected with a unique *Wolbachia* strain.

All of these sequences were submitted to GenBank (Accession Nos. KT799584-KT799616), and the sequences of the MLST loci were accepted by the PubMLST database as well (Table S3).

### 3.2 | Phylogenetic analyses of collembolan Wolbachia

The alignment of the data assembly was 1,946 nt for the 60-taxon dataset of 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* genes (1,241 nt for 16S rRNA, 705 nt for *ftsZ*); 1,856 nt for the 57-taxon dataset of 16S rRNA + *ftsZ* (1,187 nt for 16S rRNA, 669 nt for *ftsZ*) (Table S2); 2,076 nt with 145 taxa for

Ecology and Evolution

the MLST scheme (402, 429, 435, 369, and 441 sites for *coxA*, *fbpA*, *ftsZ*, *gatB*, and *hcpA*, respectively); and 453 nt with 117 taxa for the *wsp* gene.

No matter if supergroups I, K, and L were included or not, the phylogenies inferred from the concatenated datasets of 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* genes including all 11 *Wolbachia* strains of parthenogenetic collembolans were consistent with the results of Tanganelli et al. (2014): An independent clade was recovered for the three neelipleonan *Wolbachia* strains, including wMinc, which was apart from supergroup E, the clade for other symbionts of parthenogenetic collembolans (Fig. S7A,B). wMinc itself could also form an independent clade, when six previously reported *Wolbachia* strains of parthenogenetic collembolans were excluded from analyses (Fig. S7C). Moreover, in the gene tree with 60 taxa, the "neelid group" was grouped with supergroups K and L (Fig. S7A). However, single-gene trees based on 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* showed different positions for collembolan *Wolbachia* strains with low support values (Fig. S7D,E). ML and Bayesian analyses of the *Wolbachia* MLST system resulted in a new robust phylogeny: All of the collembolan *Wolbachia* strains identified in this study were grouped into a unique lineage as supergroup E, including wMinc, with high support values (ML: 91, Bayesian: 100; in percentage). All supergroups were distinguished from each other, and supergroup E was the sister-group to clade A + H (Figure 1). This monophyletic clade for collembolan *Wolbachia* was consistently recovered in phylogenies of individual genes, although topologies of these trees showed some differences (Fig. S8).

The *wsp* phylogeny further confirmed that the clade recovered from parthenogenetic collembolan species was monophyletic, by placing wMinc in supergroup E (Fig. S9). In addition, none of the collembolan *Wolbachia* sequences were identified as an outcome of recombination between any other sequences in the MLST and *wsp* datasets (Table S5).

In conclusion, inferences based on 16S rRNA and *ftsZ* genes were inadequate for full characterization of neelipleonan *Wolbachia*. With



**FIGURE 1** Unrooted *Wolbachia* tree based on the concatenated dataset of five MLST loci. The dendrogram was generated through Bayesian inference (BI). The same tree topology was recovered in the maximum likelihood (ML) analysis. Support values at nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (left) and ML bootstraps (right) as percentages. A partitioning scheme based on codon positions across genes was used in both inferences. *Wolbachia* strains are represented with the name of their host species, followed by their isolate ID in the PubMLST database (in parentheses). Collembolan symbionts are shown in bold and enlarged fonts. The corresponding clade for supergroup E is marked with gray shading. Supergroups A and B are collapsed to simplify the display of the tree. There are 82 (isolate ID: 1–18, 38, 46, 55, 61, 68, 78, 88, 96, 98, 103, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110–114, 116, 117, 120–122, 126, 127, 129, 133, 135, 137–145, 165, 167–171, 177, 179, 182, 183, 250, 294, 325, 346–352, 399, 401, 420, 425, 555, 613) and 45 (isolate ID: 19, 20, 21, 25–27, 29, 31–33, 35, 40, 70, 87, 97, 102, 118, 130, 132, 194, 195, 200, 208, 212, 219, 225, 235, 246, 267–270, 293, 309, 310, 315, 317, 318, 353, 454, 456, 457, 468, 507, 1595) taxa in clades A and B, respectively

**FV**\_Ecology and Evolution

## 3.3 | Phylogenetic comparison between *Wolbachia* and Collembola

Although supergroup E was distinct and monophyletic, its members did not cluster with their hosts taxonomically. In the concatenated MLST phylogeny (Figure 2), wThou infecting T. houtanensis (Poduromorpha) was the sister-group of wFpar infecting Fd. parvulus (Entomobryomorpha), instead of wFcan infecting F. candida (DK) (Entomobryomorpha). Moreover, wMyos infecting Mes. yosii (Poduromorpha) was the sister-group to all other strains in clade E. This divergence was confirmed by phylogenetic analysis of the mtCOI, 18S rRNA, and 28S rRNA genes of the hosts, using proturan and dipluran species as outgroups (Figure 2). The combined dataset of these genes (2,730 nt and 8 taxa, including 654 nt for mtCOI, 1,661 nt for 18S rRNA, and 415 nt for 28S rRNA) clustered perfectly for the five host species according to their taxonomic status at the order level. In other words, species from the orders Poduromorpha (F. candida (DK) and Fd. parvulus) and Entomobryomorpha (Mes. yosii and T. houtanensis) were grouped separately in the tree (Figure 2).

## 3.4 | Genetic divergence of *Wolbachia* from parthenogenetic collembolans

Among all the known *Wolbachia* of parthenogenetic collembolans (Figure 3a), the 16S rRNA gene sequences of wMyos infecting *Mes. yosii* and wThou infecting *T. houtanensis* are highly divergent (Figure 3b). Particularly, wMyos displays a distance exceeding 2% compared with any other symbionts of parthenogenetic collembolans (Figure 3b). On the contrast, genetic distances of the *Wolbachia* recovered from three neelipleonan species (*Meg. minimus, Meg. incertus*) and *Neelus murinus*) are <2% from most of the other symbionts, except for comparisons with wMyos and wThou (Figure 3b). The genetic distance of 16S rRNA sequences >2% was considered necessary for establishing the new supergroup for *Wolbachia* (Augustinos et al., 2011); thus, the previously proposed "neelid group" was not supported.

In addition, compared with other *Wolbachia* supergroups, the average genetic distance of 16S rDNA within supergroup E is moderately divergent; however, its average genetic distances of protein-coding genes are much higher, no matter whether *w*Myos is included (Figure 3c), suggesting that supergroup E is the most divergent lineage in *Wolbachia*.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, all the Wolbachia recovered from parthenogenetic collembolans, including a strain from neelipleonan species, were assigned to supergroup E with the five MLST loci (Figure 1). On the contrast, the typing system based on 16S rRNA and ftsZ genes is insufficient in identifying supergroup E members for the following reasons. First, the 16S rRNA gene is highly conserved, with an average diversity of 3.61% between supergroups (Ros et al., 2009). The missing first 400 sites of the two available sequences from supergroup H in the 16S rRNA alignment further reduced the divergence between members of supergroups H and E, leading to a mixed clade of these groups in the phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA (Fig. S7D). Second, the ftsZ sequences for the two reported strains of neelid group (Wolbachia of N. murinus and Meg. minimus) are only 480 bp in length and provide only 5/7 sites in the ftsZ alignment, while other E-clade members, as well as the related lineages such as supergroup H, K, and L, have few missing data. Third, the 16S rRNA and ftsZ phylogeny suggest inconsistent positions for neelipleonan Wolbachia strains (Fig. S7D,E).

With new data of collembolan *Wolbachia* that were recovered from Chinese springtails, we observed discordance between the phylogenies



**FIGURE 2** Comparison between the phylogenies of the *Wolbachia* stains recovered in this study and their parthenogenetic collembolan hosts. The phylogeny of collembolan *Wolbachia* (left) was derived from the MLST tree (Figure 1). The phylogenetic relationships among host species (right) were examined with three outgroups (the proturan species *Baculentulus tianmushanensis* and two dipluran species, *Lepidocampa weberi* and *Octostigma sinensis*). The same tree topology was obtained from Bayesian and ML inferences based on the concatenated dataset of mtCOI, 18S rRNA, and 28S rRNA gene sequences. Collembolans are color-coded taxonomically at the level of orders

(a) Information on the parthenogenetic collembolan species infected with

Classification	Species (strain)	Origin	
	Species (sirain)	Oligin	
Order Poduromorpha			
Family Onychiuridae			
Genus Thalassaphorura	T. houtanensis	China	
Family Tullbergiidae			
Genus Mesaphorura	Mes. italica	France	
	Mes. Macrochaeta	France	
	Mes. yosii	China	
Genus Paratullbergia	Para. callipygos	France	
Order Entomobryomorpha			
Family Isotomidae			
Genus Folsomia	F. candida	Belgium	
	F. candida (DK)	Denmark	
Genus Folsomides	Fd. parvulus	China	
Genus Parisotoma	Pari. notabilis	Italy	
Order Neelipleona			
Family Neelidae			
Genus Megalothorax	Meg. incertus	China	
	Meg. minimus	Italy	
Genus Neelus	N. murinus	Italy	

(c) Estimates of average evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within *Wolbachia* supergroups.

	16S rRNA plus ftsZ dataset		MLST dataset
clade	16S rRNA	ftsZ	(concatenated)
supergroup A	0.012	0.010	0.028
supergroup B	0.014	0.020	0.026
supergroup C	0.017	0.073	0.097
supergroup D	0.011	0.040	0.084
supergroup E (including <i>w</i> Myos)	0.014	0.139	0.175
supergroup E (excluding <i>w</i> Myos)	0.012	0.132	0.149
supergroup F	0.017	0.039	0.027
supergroup H	0	0.003	n/c
supergroup I	n/c	n/c	
supergroup J	n/c	n/c	
supergroup K	n/c	n/c	
supergroup L	n/c	n/c	
Wolbachia of Cordylochernes scorpioide			0.007

(b)

#### pairwise distances for 16S rDNA sequences of Wolbachia infecting parthenogenetic collembolans



**FIGURE 3** Genetic divergence between the *Wolbachia* infecting different parthenogenetic collembolan species. (a) Information on all the known parthenogenetic collembolans infected with *Wolbachia*. Host species (stocks) identified in this study are indicated in bold. *Wolbachia* of *Mes. Macrochaeta* was not included in our phylogenetic analyses (referring to Fig. S7), because its *ftsZ* gene sequence is not available. The GenBank accession number for its 16S rDNA sequence is AJ422184. (b) Pairwise distances for the 16S rRNA gene sequences of 12 *Wolbachia* strains infecting parthenogenetic collembolans are shown in the line graph. *Wolbachia* strains are represented with the name of their host species. The scale value of 0.02 is marked with a red line, because the value was considered a threshold to establish new supergroups for *Wolbachia* (Augustinos et al., 2011). Sequence pairs concerning about wMyos infecting *Mes. yosii* and wThou infecting *T. houtanensis* are indicated with big square bracket and vertical line, respectively. (c) The average evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within each *Wolbachia* supergroups was calculated, using 16S rRNA, *ftsZ*, and MLST scheme, respectively. The values of distances are shown with three digits after the decimal point, and the maximums are indicated in bold. The "n/c" denotes that the value cannot be calculated due to only one related sequence available in the supergroup. The "–" indicates the absence of the related sequence in the supergroup

II F

UFY\_Ecology and Evolution

of supergroup E members and their hosts (Figure 2). A main cause of the non-matching phylogenies should be the position of wMyos, which is the sister-group to all other strains in clade E in MLST tree (Figure 1). As wMyos is the most divergent strain in supergroup E (Figure 3), its position in trees may be affected by long-branch attraction, and its variation is probably related to the special habitat of its host (a sandy beach on an island). Generally, discordant phylogenies of hosts and *Wolbachia* are explained by horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* (Ferri et al., 2011; Werren et al., 1995), and it might be the case in collembolan *Wolbachia* (Timmermans et al., 2004). However, in this study, no intragenic recombination of MLST and *wsp* genes was detected within supergroup E, as well as between supergroup E and other supergroups, so we have not found solid evidence to support the hypothesis on horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* between different collembolan species.

Through PCR screening for *Wolbachia* in collembolan species sampled in China, we further confirmed that *Wolbachia* tend to live symbiotically with parthenogenetic collembolans. Among the 11 tested Chinese populations, only four parthenogenetic ones are infected with *Wolbachia*. However, the putative parthenogenetic species A. *minor* (Symphypleona: Arrhopalitidae) is free of *Wolbachia* (Table 1). The absence of *Wolbachia* infection in parthenogenetic collembolans has been evidenced in several species of Poduromorpha, Entomobryomorpha, and Symphypleona (Tanganelli et al., 2014). Whether it is a secondary loss still needs further study covering more data on collembolan *Wolbachia*.

Most of the identified collembolan Wolbachia endosymbionts have been recovered from parthenogenetic species and belong to supergroup E, except for a B-type strain that infects O. cincta. Considering the extremely low prevalence reported in O. cincta (Timmermans et al., 2004), retracing the origin of the symbiont is a difficult task. In our unrooted MLST tree, supergroup E is sister to the A + H clade; however, the sister-group relationship between A and H is not well supported (Figure 1). As supergroup H was considered the sister-group of supergroup E in most of the previous studies (Bordenstein et al., 2009; Lefoulon et al., 2016; Lo et al., 2007), the relationship between A, H, and E would be better illustrated when more MLST sequences are available, especially for supergroup H. The phylogenetic inferences based on 16S rRNA and ftsZ genes indicate that supergroups K and L might also be close to supergroup E (Fig. S7A). Based on rooted phylogenies, a recent phylogenomic study of Wolbachia supergroup relationships proposed that supergroup E, represented by the endosymbiont of F. candida, is the sister-group to all other studied supergroups within genus Wolbachia (Gerth, Gansauge, Weigert, & Bleidorn, 2014). However, the conclusion was drawn out when only one supergroup E strain was analyzed, insufficient data for the coherent lineage supergroup H were used (only one representative, with 19 genes acquired of 90 targeted), and no data for supergroups K and L were involved. In our opinion, the phylogenetic status of supergroup E would be better resolved with genomic data from more collembolan symbionts, as well as additional data from closely related clades, such as supergroups H, K, and L.

In conclusion, we enriched the data of collembolan *Wolbachia* by diagnostic screening in Chinese collembolans for the first time and revisited the supergroup status of *Wolbachia* from parthenogenetic collembolans. Using the *Wolbachia* MLST system, we support the hypothesis of monophyletic origin of symbionts for parthenogenetic collembolan species by designating all five *Wolbachia* strains recovered in this study to supergroup E, including the neelipleonan *Wolbachia* wMinc. The lack of intragenic recombination in MLST and *wsp* genes between supergroup E and other supergroups further supports supergroup E as a unique clade. Particularly, high genetic divergence within supergroup E is identified, suggesting supergroup E as one of the most divergent lineages in *Wolbachia*. In addition, the inconsistency between the phylogenies of *Wolbachia* and parthenogenetic collembolans is newly discovered, which might be a sign of *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission between different hosts, but on the other hand, it might be caused by the highly differentiated collembolan *Wolbachia* strain, wMyos, for which host species was collected from a special habitat: a sandy beach on an island.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Martijn Timmermans from Middlesex University for kindly providing the gene sequences of the *Wolbachia* endosymbiont in collembolan O. *cincta*. We are deeply indebted to Prof. Wen-Ying Yin for her instructive advice. This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 31301873, 31272298, 31471958), the National GMO New Variety Breeding Program of PRC (no. 2014ZX08011-02B-003), and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the CAS (no. 2013183).

### REFERENCES

- Augustinos, A. A., Santos-Garcia, D., Dionyssopoulou, E., Moreira, M., Papapanagiotou, A., Scarvelakis, M., ... Bourtzis, K. (2011). Detection and characterization of *Wolbachia* infections in natural populations of aphids: Is the hidden diversity fully unraveled? *PLoS One*, 6, e28695.
- Baldo, L., Bordenstein, S., Wernegreen, J. J., & Werren, J. H. (2006). Widespread recombination throughout Wolbachia genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 23, 437–449.
- Baldo, L., Dunning Hotopp, J. C., Jolley, K. A., Bordenstein, S. R., Biber, S. A., Choudhury, R. R., ... Werren, J. H. (2006). Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Applied and Environment Microbiology*, 72, 7098–7110.
- Baldo, L., & Werren, J. H. (2007). Revisiting Wolbachia supergroup typing based on WSP: Spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. Current Microbiology, 55, 81–87.
- Bandi, C., Anderson, T. J., Genchi, C., & Blaxter, M. L. (1998). Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial nematodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 265, 2407–2413.
- Bing, X.-L., Xia, W.-Q., Gui, J.-D., Yan, G.-H., Wang, X.-W., & Liu, S.-S. (2014). Diversity and evolution of the Wolbachia endosymbionts of Bemisia (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) whiteflies. Ecology and Evolution, 4, 2714–2737.
- Bordenstein, S. R., Paraskevopoulos, C., Dunning Hotopp, J. C., Sapountzis, P., Lo, N., Bandi, C., ... Bourtzis, K. (2009). Parasitism and mutualism in *Wolbachia*: What the phylogenomic trees can and cannot say. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 26, 231–241.
- Bordenstein, S., & Rosengaus, R. B. (2005). Discovery of a novel Wolbachia supergroup in Isoptera. Current Microbiology, 51, 393–398.
- Burland, T. G. (2000). DNASTAR's Lasergene sequence analysis software. Methods in Molecular Biology, 132, 71–91.
- Castresana, J. (2000). Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 17, 540–552.

- Chahartaghi, M., Scheu, S., & Ruess, L. (2006). Sex ratio and mode of reproduction in Collembola of an oak-beech forest. *Pedobiologia*, 50, 331–340.
- Chernova, N. M., Potapov, M. B., Savenkova, Y. Y., & Bokova, A. I. (2009). Ecological significance of parthenogenesis in Collembola. *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal*, 88, 1455–1470.
- Czarnetzki, A. B., & Tebbe, C. C. (2004). Detection and phylogenetic analysis of Wolbachia in Collembola. Environmental Microbiology, 6, 35–44.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*, 9, 772–772.
- Deharveng, L. (2004). Recent advances in Collembola systematics. *Pedobiologia*, 48, 415–433.
- Ferri, E., Bain, O., Barbuto, M., Martin, C., Lo, N., Uni, S., ... Casiraghi, M. (2011). New insights into the evolution of *Wolbachia* infections in filarial nematodes inferred from a large range of screened species. *PLoS One*, *6*, e20843.
- Gerth, M., Gansauge, M. T., Weigert, A., & Bleidorn, C. (2014). Phylogenomic analyses uncover origin and spread of the Wolbachia pandemic. Nature Communications, 5, 5117.
- Glowska, E., Dragun-Damian, A., Dabert, M., & Gerth, M. (2015). New Wolbachia supergroups detected in quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae). Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 30, 140–146.
- Goto, H. E. (1960). Facultative parthenogenesis in Collembola (Insecta). *Nature*, 188, 958–959.
- Haegeman, A., Vanholme, B., Jacob, J., Vandekerckhove, T. T. M., Claeys, M., Borgonie, G., & Gheysen, G. (2009). An endosymbiotic bacterium in a plant-parasitic nematode: Member of a new Wolbachia supergroup. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *39*, 1045–1054.
- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series, 41, 4.
- Hopkin, S. P. (1997). Biology of the springtails (Insecta: Collembola). Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30, 772–780.
- Koutsovoulos, G., Makepeace, B., Tanya, V. N., & Blaxter, M. (2014). Palaeosymbiosis revealed by genomic fossils of *Wolbachia* in a strongyloidean nematode. *PLoS Genetics*, 10, e1004397.
- Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S. Y. W., & Guindon, S. (2012). PartitionFinder: Combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29, 1695–1701.
- Lefoulon, E., Bain, O., Makepeace, B. L., d'Haese, C., Uni, S., Martin, C., & Gavotte, L. (2016). Breakdown of coevolution between symbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia* and their filarial hosts. *PeerJ*, 4, e1840.
- LePage, D., & Bordenstein, S. R. (2013). *Wolbachia*: Can we save lives with a great pandemic? *Trends in Parasitology*, *29*, 385–393.
- Letunic, I., & Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: An online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(W1), W242–w245.
- Lo, N., Casiraghi, M., Salati, E., Bazzocchi, C., & Bandi, C. (2002). How many Wolbachia supergroups exist? Molecular Biology and Evolution, 19, 341–346.
- Lo, N., Paraskevopoulos, C., Bourtzis, K., O'Neill, S. L., Werren, J. H., Bordenstein, S. R., & Bandi, C. (2007). Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium Wolbachia pipientis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 57, 654–657.
- Martin, D. P., Lemey, P., Lott, M., Moulton, V., Posada, D., & Lefeuvre, P. (2010). RDP3: A flexible and fast computer program for analyzing recombination. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 2462–2463.
- Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., & Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Proceeding of Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA pp. 1–8.

- O'Neill, S. L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A. M., Karr, T. L., & Robertson, H. M. (1992). 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 89, 2699–2702.
- Penn, O., Privman, E., Ashkenazy, H., Landan, G., Graur, D., & Pupko, T. (2010). GUIDANCE: A web server for assessing alignment confidence scores. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 38, W23–W28.
- Petersen, H. (1971). Parthenogenesis in two common species of Collembola: Tullbergia krausbaueri (Börner) and Isotoma notabilis Schäffer. Revue d'ecologie et de Biologie du Sol, 8, 133–138.
- Pomorski, R. J. (1989). Notes on morphology and biology of a parthenogenetic population of *Onychiurus hortensis* Gisin, 1949 (Collembola, Onychiuridae). *Przeglan Zoology*, 33, 567–572.
- Rambaut, A. (2009). *FigTree: Tree figure drawing tool, version 1.3.1*. Edinburgh: Univ. Edinburgh.
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., ... Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61, 539–542.
- Ros, V. I., Fleming, V. M., Feil, E. J., & Breeuwer, J. A. (2009). How diverse is the genus Wolbachia? Multiple-gene sequencing reveals a putatively new Wolbachia supergroup recovered from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). Applied and Environment Microbiology, 75, 1036–1043.
- Rowley, S. M., Raven, R. J., & McGraw, E. A. (2004). Wolbachia pipientis in Australian spiders. *Current Microbiology*, 49, 208–214.
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogeneis. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 1312–1313.
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., & Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution, 30, 2725–2729.
- Tanganelli, V., Fanciulli, P. P., Nardi, F., & Frati, F. (2014). Molecular phylogenetic analysis of a novel strain from Neelipleona enriches Wolbachia diversity in soil biota. *Pedobiologia*, 57, 15–20.
- Timmermans, M. J. T. N., Mariën, J., Roelofs, D., van Straalen, N. M., & Ellers, J. (2004). Evidence for multiple origins of *Wolbachia* infection in springtails. *Pedobiologia*, 48, 469–475.
- Vandekerckhove, T. T. M., Watteyne, S., Willems, A., Swings, J. G., Mertens, J., & Gillis, M. (1999). Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rDNA of the cytoplasmic bacterium Wolbachia from the novel host Folsomia candida (Hexapoda, Collembola) and its implications for wolbachial taxonomy. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 180, 279–286.
- Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., & Clark, M. E. (2008). Wolbachia: Master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6, 741–751.
- Werren, J. H., Zhang, W., & Guo, L. R. (1995). Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: Reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 261, 55–63.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F., & O'Neil, S. (1998). Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of Wolbachia strains using wsp gene sequences. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 265, 509–515.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Ma Y, Chen W-J, Li Z-H, Zhang F, Gao Y, Luan Y-X. Revisiting the phylogeny of *Wolbachia* in Collembola. *Ecology and Evolution*. 2017;7:2009–2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2738