

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Nepal

Lok Bahadur Shrestha,¹ Gopiram Syangtan,² Ajaya Basnet,² Krishna Prasad Acharya,³ Arun Bahadur Chand,⁴ Khilasa Pokhrel⁵

¹School of Medical Sciences and The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ²Tribhuvan University, Shi-Gan International College of Science and Technology, Kathmandu, Nepal, ³Animal Quarantine Office, Budhanilkantha, Kathmandu, Nepal, ⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory, Kist Medical College & Teaching Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal, ⁵Department of Microbiology, Kathmandu Medical College, Sinamangal, Kathmandu, Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Staphylococcus aureus is both a frequent commensal and a leading cause of endocarditis, bacteremia, osteomyelitis and skin and soft tissue infections and device-related infections. We performed this minireview to summarize the prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus among clinical samples and estimate the proportion of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. The prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus among clinical isolates in Nepal is 34.5%. On average, the proportion of multi-drug resistance in Staphylococcus aureus is 57.1%. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus accounts for a total of 41.7%. Inducible clindamycin resistance was detected in about 35% of the isolates. A regular antimicrobial resistance surveillance mechanism is necessary to mitigate the development of resistance among organisms and further spread of superbugs like methicillin-resistance Staphylococcus aureus.

Keywords: *clindamycin; methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; multi-drug resistance.*

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is both a frequent commensal and a leading cause of endocarditis, bacteremia, osteomyelitis and skin and soft tissue infections and device-related infections.^{1,2} Methicillin resistance in S. aureus is mediated through an altered protein called low-affinity penicillinbinding protein (PBP2a) which is encoded by the mecA gene which is present in a chromosomal mobile genetic element called Staphylococcal cassette chromosome.3-5 The most recent data regarding MRSA incidence obtained from WHO reported values exceeding 20% in all WHO regions, and even 80% in some countries.⁶ MRSA is frequently resistant to most of the commonly used antimicrobial agents including aminoglycosides, macrolides, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, and fluoroguinolones. MRSA strains should be considered to be resistant to all cephalosporins, cephems, and other betalactams regardless of the in vitro test results obtained with those agents.^{3,4} We performed this minireview to summarize the prevalence of S. aureus among clinical samples and estimate the proportion of MRSA.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The risk of MRSA infection is elevated among children, elderly individuals, athletes, military personnel, individuals who inject drugs, persons with an indigenous background or in urban, underserved areas, individuals with HIV or cystic fibrosis, those with frequent health- care contact, and those in institutionalized populations, including prisoners.¹ S. aureus colonizes the nares of 28-32% of the world population. Nasal carriage of S. aureus has been recognized as a risk factor for community-acquired and nosocomial infections. MRSA nasal colonization rates range from 0.9% to 1.5%.7 Although rates vary by study, colonizing strains genetically match infecting strains in as many as 50-80% of individuals, and MRSA colonization may increase infection risk by as much as 25%. Between the first reports of MRSA in 1961 and the 1990s, the infection was generally associated with healthcare contact. There are two distinct types of MRSA: hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) and community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA). CA-MRSA originated with individuals in the community who had no risk factors from exposure to the hospital environment and had distinctly different antibiotic sensitivities than the HAsMRSA which infected hospitalized patients with specific risks of infections. By the 1990s, cases of MRSA infection emerged in individuals who had no prior hospitalization, leading to

Correspondence: Dr. Lok Bahadur Shrestha, School of Medical Sciences and The Kirby Institute, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. Email: I.shrestha@unsw.edu.au, Phone: +977-9842295909. separate definitions for HA-MRSA and CA-MRSA.¹

STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS IN NEPAL

Staphylococcus aureus, a notorious human pathogen, is a major cause of the community as well as healthcareassociated infections. It can cause a diversity of recalcitrant infections mainly due to the acquisition of resistance to multiple drugs, its diverse range of virulence factors, and the ability to produce biofilm in indwelling medical devices. We obtained a total of 14,647 organisms in these research articles, among which 5,338 (34.45%, 95% CI 35.66%-37.24%) were Staphylococcus aureus. The proportion of S. aureus among the total organisms isolated ranged from 6.64%⁸ to 100%.⁹ The most common source of S. aureus is pus sample^{10,11} while some studies also suggested that S. aureus is also commonly isolated from sterile body fluids¹² and urine specimen.¹³⁻¹⁵ A study conducted in Palpa reported a total of 133 S. aureus isolates from 1981 samples (blood 647, pus 188, swab 321, body fluid 354, and urine 471). The maximum number of S. aureus was found in children <10 years (49.1%) and a higher incidence of MRSA infections was found in males (52.4%).¹⁶ A study was conducted in Kathmandu among 50 health care workers (HCWs), 92% (n=46) were identified as S. aureus carriers in nose or hand or both with 58% being MRSA carriers. Thirty-six percent of the participants had S. aureus and 16% had MRSA on their hands. Similarly, S. aureus was detected in 90% and MRSA in 54% in the nares of HCWs.¹⁷ A similar type of research was conducted in Bhairawaha, where, out of 204 HCWs, 32 (15.7f%) were nasal carriers of S. aureus and among them, 7 (21.9%) was the carrier of MRSA. Nasal carriage among male and female HCWs were 19.4% (21/108) and 11.5% (11/96) respectively.¹⁸ Another study conducted in Kathmandu reported 27.13% (35/129). HCWs were identified as nasal carriers of S. aureus. The nasal carriage was at least 21.73% among doctors and was highest 43.47% among ward attendants and was 30% among nursing students and 22.22% among nursing staff.¹⁹

METHICILLIN-RESISTANT STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS

The evidence suggests that the populations harbouring S. aureus and its methicillin-resistant (MRSA) strains are at higher risk for developing an invasive infection.^{1,2} The overall proportion of MRSA is found to be 41.7% (2229/ 5338) in Nepal. The prevalence of MRSA varied greatly among different studies ranging from 14.64%13 to 81.64%.²⁰ A study conducted in Chitwan regarding nasal colonization among 200 participants concluded that S. aureus was present in 15% of individuals with 26.7% (n=8) of them being MRSA and 4 (13.3%) isolates were found to have inducible clindamycin resistance by D-zone test.²¹ Another study in the sample hospital reported 43.1% of the isolates were resistant to methicillin by the cefoxitin method, and 39.2% were resistant to oxacillin. Of the 100 isolates exhibiting erythromycin resistance, 38 (12.4%) were found to have inducible clindamycin resistance.²² A similar type of research was conducted in Kathmandu, Nepal²⁰ which concluded that among 161 S. aureus isolates, 131 (81.4%) isolates were identified as MRSA and the remaining 30 (18.6%) isolates as MSSA strains. The MRSA isolates were significantly more resistant to the majority of the antibiotics than the MSSA strains. Similarly, a study conducted at Dharan among urinary tract infection reported that 44% (7/18) S. aureus were methicillin-resistant.¹⁵ The study conducted in Bhairawaha concluded that the overall nasal carriage rate of MRSA was 3.4% (7/204). S. aureus carriage rate was highest among doctors 20.8% (15/72) while carriage rate was highest among nurses MRSA 7.8% (4/51).¹⁸ In a study conducted in Pokhara, 139 MRSA isolates from various clinical specimens were included. Out of these, 35.2% (49/139) were HA-MRSA, 59.7% (83/139) were CA-MRSA and 5% (7/139) were from hospital environment.23 Methicillin-resistance is also an emerging problem in coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS). Several studies conducted in Nepal points out a high prevalence of MR-CoNS.^{24,25}

MULTI-DRUG RESISTANCE

Multi-drug resistance is defined as resistance to at least one antimicrobial agent in three or more classes of antibiotics were determined in some studies.²⁶ On average, the proportion of MDR among S. aureus isolates was found to be 55.17%. However, we noticed a wide range of prevalence in isolation of MDR S. aureus ranging from 24.3²⁷ to 86%.¹³ In a study conducted by Chitwan,²⁸ 60.5% of the total isolates, were MDR; interestingly, out of 30 biofilm-positive isolates, 26 (86.7%) were MDR, whereas 4 (13.3%) were non-MDR. In contrast, no MDR isolates were noted among any of the biofilm nonproducers; in other words, all the biofilm non-producers were non-MDR isolates (P<0.05). A study conducted in Dharan reported multi-drug resistance in 71.4% (10/14) isolates obtained from catheter-associated urinary tract infection, while only 30.4% of isolates obtained from community-acquired UTI were multi-drug resistant.¹⁵ Another study conducted in Kathmandu⁸ showed 78.8% of S. aureus isolates were MDR, while research from Pokhara²⁹ reported 29.5% (12/44) of S. aureus were multidrug-resistant and 14 31.8% were biofilm producers. Similarly, a study conducted in Lalitpur³⁰ revealed 27.7% MDR (45/112) among S. aureus isolates. MDR was higher in pus samples (66.6%) as compared to blood (4.6%) and body fluids (0%). A similar study conducted in Kathmandu reported that 49.5% of Staphylococcus aureus were MDR and 31.56% isolates were methicillin resistant.³¹

INDUCIBLE CLINDAMYCIN RESISTANCE (ICR)

А	study	conduct	ed	by	Kathma	andu ²⁰	reported
cons	stitutive	MLSB	phe	enotype	e in	10.5%	(n=17),

inducible MLSB phenotype in 34.8% (n=56), macrolide sensitive (MS) phenotype 35.5% (n=57). When they compared the results statistically, the constitutive MLSB phenotype was determined to be 7.5 times greater (P=0.001, OR 9.9, 95% CI 2.5-39.2) and the inducible phenotype 3 times greater (P=0.361, OR 2.4, 95% CI 0.367-15.7) in MRSA than MSSA isolates. Another study conducted in Lalitpur¹⁰ concluded that inducible macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin В (MLSB) resistance, constitutive MLSB resistance, macrolide-streptogramin (MSB) and В resistance were seen in 17 (22.4%), 8 (10.5%), and 17 (22.4%) S. aureus respectively. Inducible MLSB resistance was higher among MRSA in comparison to MSSA (p<0.05). A similar study conducted in Kathmandu³² revealed that inducible clindamycin resistance was observed in 11 isolates, among which, 6 were MSSA and 5 were MRSA. Statistically, there was no significant association between methicillin resistance and inducible clindamycin resistance (p value>0.05). A study conducted in Dharan demonstrated that among 300 S. aureus 41% were methicillin-resistant and MRSA demonstrated 11.6% constitutive MLSBc. D test positive inducible resistance (MLSBi) was found to be 24.59% and (22.4%) were MS type among MRSA isolates.³³ A study conducted in Chitwan found a 13.3% prevalence of ICR among S. aureus isolates.²¹ A research is done in Lumbini Medical College and Teaching Hospital reported MLSBi, MLSBc, and sensitive phenotype in 25.6%, 22.6%, and 51.9% of the total respectively. A higher number of MLSB resistant organisms (40/133;

were resistant to methicillin (p< 0.001).¹⁶ 62.5%) Kathmandu Similar study conducted in observed methicillin resistance and inducible clindamycin resistance (iMLSB) were observed in 31.4% and 10% of Staphylococcus aureus isolates respectively.34 Kathmandu А research conducted in observed inducible MLSB-(iMLSB) resistance, constitutive MLSB resistance, and macrolide- streptogramin B (MSB) resistance were detected in 30 (24%), 19 (15.2%), and 34 (27.2%) isolates of S. aureus, respectively.³⁵

MECA GENE DETECTION

Methicillin resistance in S. aureus is mediated through an altered protein called low-affinity penicillin-binding protein. PBP2a is encoded by mecA gene which is present in a chromosomal mobile genetic element called Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec. A study conducted by Adhikari, et al.³² in Kathmandu showed that a total of 32 (29.1%) S. aureus isolates contained mecA gene. All of the mecA containing strains of S. aureus were MRSA by both phenotypic methods. A similar study conducted in Dharan concluded that mecA gene was detected in 71.1% of the total Staphylococcus isolates.^{5,36} Another research conducted in Pokhara reported mecA and PVL genes detection in 79/139 (56.8%) of the isolates. The majority of the PVL positive isolates were obtained from pus samples accounting for 74/98 (75.5%).23

Conflict of Interest: None.

REFERENCES

- Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK, Maskarinec SA, Eichenberger EM, Shah PP, Carugati M, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: an overview of basic and clinical research. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2019 Apr;17(4):203-18. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG. Staphylococcus aureus Infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015 Jul;28(3):603-61. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Harkins CP, Pichon B, Doumith M, Parkhill J, Westh H, Tomasz A, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus emerged long before the introduction of methicillin into clinical practice. Genome Biol. 2017 Jul 20;18(1):130. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Grundmann H, Aires-de-Sousa M, Boyce J, Tiemersma E. Emergence and resurgence of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus as a public-health threat. Lancet. 2006;368(9538):874-85. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 5. Shrestha LB, Bhattarai NR, Rai K, Khanal B. Antibiotic

resistance and mecA gene characterization of coauglase-negative Staphylococci isolated form clinical samples in Nepal. Infect Drug Resist. 2020;13:3163-9. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

- Alvarez A, Fernandez L, Gutierrez D, Iglesias B, Rodriguez A, Garcia P. Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in hospitals: latest trends and treatments based on bacteriophages. J Clin Microbiol. 2019 Nov;57(12):e01006-19.
 [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Gorwitz RJ, Kruszon-Moran D, McAllister SK, McQuillan G, McDougal LK, Fosheim GE, et al. Changes in the prevalence of nasal colonization with Staphylococcus aureus in the United States, 2001-2004. J Infect Dis. 2008 May 1;197(9):1226-34. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Mishra SK, Rijal BP, Pokhrel BM. Emerging threat of multidrug resistant bugs--Acinetobacter calcoaceticus baumannii complex and methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. BMC Res Notes. 2013 Mar 15;6:98. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 9. Baral R, Khanal B, Acharya A. Antimicrobial susceptibility

520

patterns of clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus in Eastern Nepal. Heal Renaiss. 2011;9(2):78-82. [Full Text | DOI]

- Belbase A, Pant ND, Nepal K, Neupane B,Baidhya R, Baidya R, et al. Antibiotic resistance and biofilm production among the strains of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from pus/ wound swab samples in a tertiary care hospital in Nepal. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2017;16(1):15. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Upreti N, Rayamajhee B, Sherchan SP, Choudhari MK, Banjara MR. Prevalence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, multidrug resistant and extended spectrum β-lactamase producing gram negative bacilli causing wound infections at a tertiary care hospital of Nepal. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2018;7:121. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 12. Shrestha LB, Bhattarai NR, Khanal B. Bacteriological profile and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among isolates obtained from body fluids. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2019 Aug 4;17(2):173-7. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Pahadi PC, Shrestha UT, Adhikari N, Shah PK, Amatya R. Growing resistance to vancomycin among methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates from different clinical samples. J Nepal Med Assoc. 2014;52(196):977-81.
 [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 14. Shrestha LB, Baral R, Khanal B. Comparative study of antimicrobial resistance and biofilm formation among Gram-positive uropathogens isolated from community-acquired urinary tract infections and catheter-associated urinary tract infections. Infect Drug Resist. 2019 Apr 23;12:957-3. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Shrestha LB, Baral R, Poudel P, Khanal B. Clinical, etiological and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of pediatric urinary tract infections in a tertiary care hospital of Nepal. BMC Pediatr. 2019 Jan 29;19(1):36. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Raut S, Bajracharya K, Adhikari J, Pant SS, Adhikari B. Prevalence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Lumbini Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Palpa, Western Nepal. BMC Res Notes. 2017 Jun 2;10(1):187. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Pant ND, Sharma M. Carriage of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and awareness of infection control among health care workers working in intensive care unit of a hospital in Nepal. Brazilian J Infect Dis. 2016;20(2):218-9.
 [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Khanal R, Sah P, Lamichhane P, Lamsal A, Upadhaya S, Pahwa VK. Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus among health care workers at a tertiary care hospital in Western Nepal. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2015 Oct 9;4:39. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Shrestha B, Pokhrel BM, Mohapatra TM. Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage among health care workers in a Nepal Hospital. Braz J Infect Dis. 2009;13(5):322. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 20. Manandhar S, Singh A, Varma A, Pandey S, Shrivastava N. Biofilm producing clinical Staphylococcus aureus isolates

augmented prevalence of antibiotic resistant cases in tertiary care Hospitals of Nepal. Front Microbiol. 2018 Nov 27;9:2749. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

- Ansari S, Gautam R, Shrestha S, Ansari SR, Subedi SN, Chhetri MR. Risk factors assessment for nasal colonization of Staphylococcus aureus and its methicillin resistant strains among pre-clinical medical students of Nepal. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9:214. [Full Text | DOI]
- 22. Ansari S, Nepal HP, Gautam R, Rayamajhi N, Shrestha S, Upadhyay G, et al. Threat of drug resistant Staphylococcus aureus to health in Nepal. BMC Infect Dis. 2014 Mar 22;14:157. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Bhatta DR, Cavaco LM, Nath G, Kumar K, Gaur A, Gokhale S, et al. Association of Panton Valentine Leukocidin (PVL) genes with methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in Western Nepal: a matter of concern for community infections (a hospital based prospective study). BMC Infect Dis. 2016 May 15;16:199. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 24. Shrestha LB, Bhattarai NR, Khanal B. Antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation among coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from clinical samples at a tertiary care hospital of eastern Nepal. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017 Aug 31;6(1):89. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Shrestha L, Bhattarai NR, Khanal B. Comparative evaluation of methods for the detection of biofilm formation in coagulase-negative staphylococci and correlation with antibiogram. Infect Drug Resist. 2018 Apr 24;11:607-13. [PubMed | DOI | Full Text]
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: An international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012 Mar;18(3):268-81. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Pandey S, Raza S, Bhatta CP. The aetiology of the Bloodstream Infections in the Patients Who Presented to a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in Kathmandu, Nepal. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013 Apr;7(4):638-41. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 28. Neopane P, Nepal HP, Shrestha R, Uehara O, Abiko Y. In vitro biofilm formation by Staphylococcus aureus isolated from wounds of hospital-admitted patients and their association with antimicrobial resistance. Int J Gen Med. 2018;11:25-32. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Bhatta DR, Hamal D, Shrestha R, Subramanya SH, Baral N, Singh RK, et al. Bacterial contamination of frequently touched objects in a tertiary care hospital of Pokhara, Nepal: how safe are our hands? Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2018 Aug 6;7:97. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Tiwari HK, Das AK, Sapkota D, Sivrajan K, Pahwa VK. Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus: prevalence and antibiogram in a tertiary care hospital in western Nepal. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2009 Oct 22;3(9):681-4. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 31. Rijal BP, Satyal D, Parajuli NP. High burden of bntimicrobial resistance among bacteria causing pyogenic wound

infections at a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu, Nepal. J Pathog. 2017;2017:9458218. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

- 32. Adhikari R, Pant ND, Neupane S, Neupane M, Bhattarai R, Bhatta S, et al. Detection of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and determination of minimum inhibitory concentration of vancomycin for Staphylococcus aureus isolated from pus/wound swab samples of the patients attending a tertiary care hospital in Kathmandu, Nepal. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2017;2017:2191532. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Baral R, Khanal B. Inducible clindamycin resistance in Staphylococcus aureusstrains isolated from clinical samples. Int J Biomed Res. 2017;8(2):81-4. [Full Text | DOI]
- 34. Parajuli NP, Parajuli H, Pandit R, Shakya J, Khanal PR. Evaluating the trends of bloodstream infections among pediatric and adult patients at a teaching hospital of Kathmandu, Nepal: role of drug resistant pathogens. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2017;2017:8763135. [PubMed]

Full Text | DOI]

- Neupane K, Rayamajhee B, Acharya J, Rijal N, Shrestha D, GC B, et al. Comparison of Nasal Colonization of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in HIV-Infected and Non-HIV Patients Attending the National Public Health Laboratory of Central Nepal. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2018 Dec 4;2018:4508757. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- Shah C, Baral R, Bartaula B, Shrestha LB. Virulence factors of uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) and correlation with antimicrobial resistance. BMC Microbiol. 2019 Sep 2;19(1).
 [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

[©] The Author(s) 2018.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/