

# Optimal Diagnostic Strategies for Concussion-Related Vision Disorders: A Review

Iniya K Adhan<sup>1</sup>, Kammi B Gunton<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, Wills Eye Hospital, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Correspondence: Iniya K Adhan, Wills Eye Hospital, Department of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Adult Strabismus, 840 Walnut St, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA, Email [iadhan@willseye.org](mailto:iadhan@willseye.org)

**Abstract:** Concussions are a mild form of traumatic brain injury (TBI) that is typically self-limited and transient with a high prevalence within our communities. Due to the vast visual network interconnectivity, visual symptoms secondary to a concussion occur about 90% of the time. A gold standard to confirm concussion acutely has not been well established. Visual function testing based on symptoms remains the standard of care in off-site evaluation for diagnosis of oculomotor dysfunction. This review covers the current diagnostic strategies for vision based disorders post-concussion for sideline testing, off-site testing, and research driven testing.

**Keywords:** visual disorders, concussion, oculomotor dysfunction

## Introduction

As a subset of mild traumatic brain injuries (mTBIs), concussions are defined as a self-limited and transient brain trauma.<sup>1</sup> In the United States, mTBIs account for at least 75% of TBI related hospitalizations.<sup>2</sup> Causes of concussions are varied including head injury resulting from motor vehicle accidents, assault, or injury from recreational sports.<sup>1</sup> Amongst combat military members, the prevalence of mTBI ranges from 15.2% to 22.8%.<sup>3</sup> Incidence estimates of sports-related concussions in the United States range from 1.6 to 3.8 million annually.<sup>4</sup> However, the exact incidence of concussions is likely severely underestimated as those in the outpatient settings are not captured and athletes may underreport the presence of concussive symptoms.<sup>5</sup> Reporting may also be affected by the age of the patient and the varying motivations accompanying return to full activity. This underestimation of concussions has drawn scrutiny in recent years. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) is currently refining plans for a National Concussions Surveillance System (NCSS).<sup>5</sup> Given the extensive research and public interest in this topic, there is a significant enthusiasm to develop the best diagnostic strategy to identify and categorize the presenting symptoms of a concussion.

## Pathogenesis and Symptoms

Concussions are caused by axonal injury via neuronal disruption due to rapid acceleration, deceleration, or rotation of the head.<sup>6</sup> The sudden neuronal disruption results in an increased release of stored neurotransmitters thus causing vast activation of postsynaptic membranes. The cascade of events following the indiscriminate release of neurotransmitters causes a greater demand for energy resulting in an energy crisis, thus disrupting cerebral glucose metabolism. Acute symptoms can last for 7–10 days and are typically transient with recovery within a few weeks post-injury, although in a subset of patients persistent symptoms can occur for months or years.<sup>7</sup> Post-concussion symptoms most frequently include headaches, migraine, slow processing and reaction times, and can lead to long term neurodegenerative changes.<sup>8</sup>

Unsurprisingly, visual manifestations with concussions tend to occur frequently given the extensive integration of the visual system within the cortex.<sup>9</sup> Common visual symptoms associated with concussions include blurry vision, difficulty focusing, reading, or tracking, double vision, and photophobia.<sup>10</sup> Some of these symptoms occur due to abnormalities in

eye movements and focus (the oculomotor system) which includes accommodation, vergences, smooth pursuits and saccades, and is referred to as an oculomotor dysfunction.<sup>8</sup> Oculomotor dysfunction is not equivalent to an oculomotor (third nerve) palsy. Up to 90% of adults have been shown to have oculomotor dysfunctions post-TBI.<sup>11</sup> Military personnel with mTBIs were found to have significant early visual dysfunction with impairments in binocularity, eye fatigue, and photophobia, which can persist as long as 2 years post-injury.<sup>12</sup> In adolescents (11–17 years old) with concussion, including those with sports related concussions, oculomotor dysfunction was reported in 60% to as high as 60–88% of patients as early as 4–12 weeks following injury. Immediate oculomotor dysfunction has also been noted in collegiate athletes who suffer concussions, and can persist beyond one month.<sup>13–18</sup> Therefore, there is a need for objective vision based diagnostic tools for immediate concussion assessment to be used in various settings for healthcare providers. In this review, we focus on the assessment of visual function within 12 weeks of a concussive injury.

## Visual Function Deficits

The effect of a concussion on the visual system is multifaceted and variable. Visual symptoms can occur due to direct damage in the visual system or as a secondary effect from abnormalities in executive function, attention, and memory.<sup>19</sup> The oculomotor pathways involved in smooth pursuits, saccades, and fusional vergences are especially vulnerable to concussive trauma.<sup>19</sup> A complex interplay between the oculomotor pathways, executive functions (such as attention, memory, inhibition, and problem-solving) and the vestibular system is required for optimal visual functioning.<sup>8,20</sup> Given their interconnection, deficits in any one particular visual task may not reliably identify which of these functions is the primary cause of the poor performance.<sup>8</sup>

Visually tracking objects at near requires accommodation, smooth pursuit, saccades and vergence movements of the eyes.<sup>21</sup> Master et al (2016) reported accommodative insufficiency or accommodative infacility in 51% of adolescents in various stages of recovery from concussion.<sup>14</sup> Scheiman et al reported that 57% of adolescents have accommodative dysfunction within 4–12 weeks post-concussion, with the majority of them having accommodative insufficiency.<sup>15</sup> Reduced accommodation has also been reported in up to 67% of adult patients with mTBI.<sup>22</sup> Similar findings of significantly reduced monocular accommodation have been seen in adult patients with blast-induced concussions.<sup>23</sup> Smooth pursuit errors are reported as eye position errors during testing.<sup>24</sup> Saccade abnormalities in concussion include increased latency, diminished accuracy, and abnormalities of amplitude.<sup>25</sup> Vergence dysfunction categorized as convergence insufficiency was diagnosed in 49% of adolescent post-concussion patients<sup>14</sup> and any vergence disorder was found in up to 60% of adolescent patients in another study.<sup>15</sup> Raghuram et al reported that the concurrence of accommodative and vergence disorders in adolescents was as common as disorders of one system in isolation, 41% of patients with dysfunction of accommodation alone and 43% combined with disorder of vergence.<sup>26</sup> Convergence disorders have been reported in 20.4% of adult post-concussion patients.<sup>27</sup> Other abnormalities reported include reduced amplitude of convergence response when beginning a vergence movement,<sup>28</sup> and reduced accommodative peak velocity.<sup>22</sup>

Precise errors in smooth pursuit may be difficult to detect without specialized recording equipment.<sup>24</sup> Simple direct observation of a patient performing a saccadic movement as they alternate gaze from one horizontal or vertical target to another may miss abnormalities.<sup>25</sup> Recordings of saccades on specialized video-oculography equipment can reveal problems with executive function, attention and memory.<sup>25,29–31</sup> For example, antisaccades are elicited by asking a participant to look away from a newly presented target.<sup>25</sup> They require inhibition of the reflexive saccade movement to the target and may be sensitive indicators of frontal lobe function.<sup>25</sup> The gap saccade test requires subjects to look at a central target and then after a set temporal gap, switch to a peripheral target.<sup>31</sup> Patients with a concussion may have difficulty switching to the peripheral target with shorter interval of the gap compared to a longer interval.<sup>31</sup> This is thought to occur due to difficulty in disengaging attention.<sup>31</sup> Memory deficits may be revealed by asking patients to perform memory-guided saccades.<sup>24,25</sup>

Best corrected visual acuity is generally not affected by a concussion.<sup>10</sup> The most common visual symptom following concussion is photophobia.<sup>9</sup> The pathway implicated in photophobia through intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells and possible dysregulation in the thalamus is not easily measurable.<sup>32</sup> Glare visual acuity is not considered a substitute for photophobia measurement. Pupil abnormalities, such as slowing or quickening of pupil constriction

and/or dilation has been found in patients with mTBI.<sup>33–35</sup> Decompensation of pre-existing strabismus and new onset microstrabismus have also been reported in up to 30% of patients with a concussion.<sup>36</sup>

Due to the accessibility of the oculomotor system, investigators have tried to identify abnormalities in vergences, accommodation, smooth pursuits and saccades to diagnose a concussion, make predictions about persistent post-concussive syndrome occurrence, and monitor progress of recovery.<sup>14,37</sup>

## Immediate Concussion Assessment

### Sideline Assessment

Various “sideline” assessments have been developed to efficiently assess the affected individual given the immediate impact and symptomology of a concussion. Some of these tests include a vision assessment, such as the Sport Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT) 6, which includes a “Coordination & Ocular/Motor Screen” with questions about photophobia, diplopia, and extra-ocular movements.<sup>38</sup> Other components of the SCAT6 include the Glasgow Coma Scale, Standard Assessment of Cognition (SAC), Post Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS), Balance Error Scoring System (BESS), and the Tandem Gait test. A pediatric version of the SCAT, Child SCAT, has shown good test–retest reliability of behavioral symptoms in 9–12 year olds.<sup>39</sup> The most reliable portion of the SCAT seems to be the PCSS.<sup>39</sup> The SCAT6 can be administered on the sidelines and also used in post-concussion analysis in an office-based setting. Side-line versions are abbreviated for ease of use and available in an application format. SCAT6 is most reliable within 72 hours of injury.<sup>39</sup> Baseline testing may improve the validity of the SCAT6 to diagnose concussion, but test–retest reliability for all components of the SCAT6 remains limited with a Pearson’s  $r = 0.48$ .<sup>39</sup>

One of the most widely used immediate concussion vision-based assessment tools is the King-Devick (KD) test. As a timed, rapid automatized naming (RAN) task, the KD test requires a patient to rapidly read different patterns of numbers aloud from test cards or a tech-based application over the course of two minutes.<sup>40</sup> The KD test does not specifically test for convergence or accommodation, but involves various parts of the efferent visual pathways that are involved in saccades, convergence, and accommodation movements, and integration with the higher cortical attention and language function. Coordinated integration from the brainstem, cerebellum, and cortex (frontal eye fields, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), posterior parietal cortex, middle temporal area, and striate cortex) is required to successfully pass this test. The KD test has been validated and tested for reliability in various environments from military personnel<sup>41</sup> to concussed athletes,<sup>40</sup> such as professional ice hockey players, mixed martial arts athletes, high school level football and youth athletes. Galetta et al (2015) performed a meta-analysis on concussed athletes and showed high sensitivity (86%) and specificity (90%) in identifying those concussed from non-concussed, and worsening time to completion (increased time of 4.8s) when compared to an individual pre-season baseline.<sup>40</sup> Given the validity and reliability of the test as well as the ease of administration on the sideline, KD test should be considered gold standard in vision-based immediate concussion assessment. Yet, proper use of the KD test requires a pre-concussion baseline for each athlete and is dependent on the age of the athlete. Baseline testing may also need to be repeated throughout the sports season for this test to remain valid.

Another sideline vision-based assessment to consider is the Mobile Universal Lexicon Evaluation System (MULES). Similar to the KD test, MULES is a random automatized naming task and relies on similar visual network structures, such as the DLPFC, to integrate saccadic movement. However, instead of recognition of single digit numbers, MULES shows 54 photographs of objects, fruits, and animals to test saccadic movement with color perception and contextual object identification.<sup>42,43</sup> Due to a greater processing requirement, this test may rely on a wider cortical network and increased activation of other cortical areas, such as the bilateral fusiform gyri.<sup>42</sup> Similar to KD test results, those with sports related concussions had significant worsening of MULES scores when compared to individual preseason baseline testing, and were correlated with worsening symptom severity scale score of the SCAT5.<sup>43,44</sup>

Each of these three sideline tests have improved reliability if baseline data are obtained. This makes these test vulnerable to developmental influences, learning curves, and volitional influences. Eye tracking algorithms are currently in development as discussed in Research Tools section below. A commercially available device, EYE-SYNC<sup>®</sup>, has been tested on the sidelines with good test–retest reliability without need for baseline data (ICC = 0.86).<sup>45</sup>

## Off-Site Testing

The 6th International Conference on Concussion Consensus statement recommends physicians utilize the Sport Concussion Office Assessment Tool (SCOAT6/Child SCOAT6) which is an age-adjusted tool that provides a standardized assessment of immediate and delayed word recall, gait assessment, vestibular-ocular motor screening (VOMS), neurologic examination, cervical spine evaluation and lability of blood pressure with postural changes.<sup>46</sup> VOMS assesses oculomotor function in combination with vestibular and neurocognitive function.<sup>47</sup> The presence of vestibular ocular dysfunction on VOMS testing has a four times increased risk for long term persistence of concussion symptoms.<sup>48</sup> VOMS begins with a baseline assessment for the following symptoms: headache, dizziness, nausea and foggiess on a scale from 0–10.<sup>47</sup> These same symptoms are then subjectively reassessed following each of seven vestibulo-oculomotor tasks: horizontal and vertical saccades (10 movements each, respectively), smooth pursuits, horizontal and vertical vestibular ocular reflex (10 rotations of the head, respectively), NPC, and vision motion sensitivity testing (focusing on thumb held arms-width distance from body and rotating body horizontal through 80 degree arc 5 times while focusing on the thumb).<sup>47</sup> VOMS scores have been correlated to the PCSS, and a score >2 increased the probability of identifying concussed patients.<sup>47</sup> Another tool for neuropsychological testing is the Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT) which is a computerized test assessing verbal memory, visual memory, and visual motor processing speeds.<sup>49</sup>

There is no ophthalmic test that is diagnostic for all visual complaints. Screening protocols have included non-ophthalmic personnel assessing NPC and accommodative amplitudes,<sup>15</sup> but NPC abnormality alone is not diagnostic of convergence insufficiency as proven by a full oculomotor assessment in the article by Raghuram et al.<sup>26</sup> Surveys to detect patients with oculomotor dysfunction even in combination with NPC measurements cannot replace a complete oculomotor evaluation as demonstrated by Scheiman et al who reported sensitivity of NPC as only 63% in identifying any oculomotor abnormality in adolescent patients.<sup>15</sup> Additionally, the Convergence Insufficiency Symptoms Survey (CISS) although validated for non-concussion associated convergence insufficiency has not shown tremendous reliability in concussion associated convergence insufficiency due to high false positives.<sup>15,18,50</sup> Scheiman et al showed the combination of distant NPC, accommodative amplitude reduction and CISS score >16 identified only 76% of the adolescents found to have oculomotor dysfunction due to a concussion.<sup>15</sup> The specificity of all three tests was only 61%, highlighting the need for full ocular assessment for all adolescents.<sup>15</sup>

A full ocular assessment of concussed individuals could include evaluation of subtle pupillary abnormalities of slowed constriction and dilation, in addition to minimal anisocoria that may be detected using infrared pupillometry.<sup>35</sup> A complete assessment of accommodation and vergence should include positive vergence (convergence) amplitudes at near and distance targets, NPC evaluation, evaluation of convergence facility at near, accommodative amplitude and facility, motility evaluation in all gazes for microstrabismus, and stereoacuity assessment.<sup>51</sup>

Accommodative amplitude has been assessed monocularly in distance optical correction using the push up method with an accommodative target brought towards the patient.<sup>28,51</sup> The distance with sustained blur is recorded in centimeters.<sup>26,52</sup> Accommodative facility has been measured monocularly by presenting an accommodative target at 40 cm and recording the speed at which clarity can be achieved when alternating between a +2D and –2D lens in cycles per minute.<sup>52</sup> Accommodative disorders consist of both accommodative deficiency and poor accommodative facility.<sup>14</sup>

Vergence disorders can be assessed with near point of convergence (NPC) tests, near convergence amplitude break and make points, and vergence facility testing at near.<sup>52</sup> Raghuram et al defined disorders of vergence to include convergence insufficiency, convergence deficit, convergence excess, vergence amplitude deficit, or vergence facility deficit.<sup>26</sup> Although small variations in technique exist, most studies measured NPC as the position at which an accommodative target doubled as brought towards the patient from a distance of 40–50 cm.<sup>14,15,26</sup> Vergence amplitudes were reported as the break point of fusion in prism diopters. Vergence facility was measured as the speed in cycles per minute at which clarity of image could be achieved binocularly when alternating a 3 PD Base in prism with a 12 PD Base out prism at near fixation.<sup>52</sup> Video-oculography may assist with detecting abnormalities with saccades and smooth pursuits which may not be detectable on routine ophthalmic exam.<sup>12</sup> Eye tracking algorithms may detect attention and memory deficits by their impact on normal saccade and smooth pursuit motions.<sup>53</sup> There is a correlation of predictive visual tracking abnormalities with symptomatology.<sup>21</sup>

In repetitive mTBI, testing of peripheral visual fields may be informative as well.<sup>54</sup> Optical coherence tomography (OCT) has demonstrated neural degeneration associated with repetitive mTBI by thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) in the chronic setting.<sup>55,56</sup>

## Research Tools for Vision Based Assessment

Other acute concussion assessments for visual symptoms have been developed and are used within the research setting to assess saccades, smooth pursuits, and fixations.<sup>57</sup>

Within screening metrics, modifications to standardized tests have sought to give more objective findings to the oculomotor assessment by quantifying cycles of tasks completed in a set interval. For example, Iring-Sanchez et al modified the VOMS to count the cycles of horizontal and vertical saccades, vergence jumps, horizontal and vertical vestibular ocular reflex movements in 60 second intervals, respectively.<sup>13</sup> By adding NPC and an assessment of accommodative amplitude, they defined a new screening tool, oculoMotor and Vestibular Endurance Screening (MoVES).<sup>13</sup> After establishing normative data, their study showed return to baseline number of eye movements occurred in 14–21 days post-concussion.<sup>13</sup> The integration of this quantitative tool into concussion assessments may require baseline data and is under investigation. Arbogast et al similarly modified VOMS to include a tandem-gait assessment called as visio-vestibular exam.<sup>16</sup> The study showed a peak in visio-vestibular dysfunction at one week following concussive injury with differentiation in symptoms by sex, with females having worse scores and taking longer to recover.<sup>16</sup> Haensel et al quantify accommodation by moving a projected screen closer and further to patients as they watched content.<sup>58</sup> Accommodation was measured with an accommodative meter device.<sup>58</sup> Concussed individuals had lower monocular accommodative scores, but not in binocular settings, indicating the accommodative deficiency could be overcome by fusional disparity cues.<sup>58</sup> The incorporation of these newer examination methods into clinical care will require more investigation.

Video-oculography techniques and devices can be used to detect subtle deficits in saccades and smooth pursuits.<sup>59</sup> Hunfalvay et al demonstrated significant increase in fixation time and deficits in smooth pursuit in patients within 7 days of concussive injury using an eye tracking device and the commercialized RightEye® tests.<sup>57</sup> The concussion group in particular demonstrated poor performance with circular, horizontal, and vertical smooth pursuits. Maruta et al also showed decreased performance in visual tracking of circular smooth pursuit.<sup>60</sup> Heitger et al demonstrated deficits in saccades, but not smooth pursuit when using an IRIS infrared limbus tracker, contrary to Hunfalvay et al's findings.<sup>61</sup> Notably, Samadani et al assessed patients with an eye tracker and binocular eye-tracking algorithm in those with head trauma, including concussions. The study found a negative correlation between horizontal conjugacy and total SAC score and a positive correlation between horizontal conjugacy with SCAT 3 symptom severity score.<sup>62</sup> A current FDA approved eye tracking device specific for vision based concussion assessment, EyeBOX®, was demonstrated to have a sensitivity of 80.4% and specificity of 66.1% in determining those who experienced a concussion within the past two years when compared against SCAT3 symptom severity score and SAC.<sup>63</sup> Given the utility and ease in which an eye tracking device and software can be used to detect minutia oculomotor movements, there is currently ongoing research in the utilization of this technology in concert with standardized assessments such as SCAT. One limitation of these technologies is their exclusion of patients with prior strabismus or other ocular pathologies.

Electroretinogram abnormalities have been seen in animal models of mTBI and in human studies with reduced photopic negative response amplitude and alterations in the b-wave amplitudes (principally consisting of bipolar cells) correlated to the presence of photophobia.<sup>64,65</sup> In one study, patients with a distant history of a concussion had an increase in retinal venule caliber after adjusting for age and other comorbidities than in controls, but validation studies are needed to confirm this result.<sup>66</sup> Further research is needed to understand changes in ERG and retinal vessel findings in concussions.

## Concussion Driven Imaging

Widely known as a process that is more functional than structural, concussions do not typically warrant neuroimaging in healthy children and adults under the age of 65 years per guidelines.<sup>67</sup> A head computed tomography (CT) is only warranted if the patient suffers severe concussion symptoms, such as Glasgow Coma Score less than or equal to 14, loss



of consciousness greater than 30 seconds to 1 minute, signs of altered mental status, severe headache, seizure activity, focal neurologic deficit, or worsening symptoms.<sup>68</sup> CT imaging is the first line imaging for head injury because of the lower cost, utility, and widespread availability, however, it has variable sensitivity when detecting uncomplicated mTBIs from as low as 3% to as high as 38%.<sup>69–73</sup> Compared to CT scans, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has a higher sensitivity in detecting diffuse axonal shear injury (DAI) and small parenchymal contusions.<sup>74,75</sup> Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) are current MRI techniques that can be utilized to examine microstructural alterations that may be present in an immediate time period post-concussion.

The use of DTI in TBIs is common and has been described in over 100 papers<sup>76</sup> since first described as a tool to detect reduction in anisotropy in mTBI patients within 24 hours of injury by Arfanakis et al in 2002.<sup>77</sup> DTI relies on diffusion properties of water, which examines the magnitude (mean diffusivity (MD)) and directionality of water diffusion (fractional anisotropy (FA)) and can provide information of tissue microstructure.<sup>78</sup> Alterations in these metrics post-concussion have been well-recorded and have demonstrated vast microstructural abnormalities.<sup>75,79–83</sup> Directionality of these metrics vary as some have shown increased in FA and decreased diffusivity<sup>79–82</sup> while others show opposite directionality<sup>83</sup> of these metrics in an acute setting (from 24 hours to 21 days). Despite these promising results, the variability in these metrics make it difficult to use DTI parameters as a prognostic tool with little correlation to the visual track.

SWI takes advantage of different magnetic susceptibilities to enhance contrast between different types of tissue.<sup>84</sup> This makes it possible to identify microhemorrhages that would not be captured in a CT or traditional MRI. SWI has demonstrated increased microbleeds in those with mTBI when compared to controls.<sup>85–88</sup> These results have also been shown to correlate with prognostic outcome.<sup>85</sup> However, similar to DTI, instrument availability, cost, and time all influence the utility of this imaging modality in an acute environment.

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) allows the detection of neuronal activation and compensatory changes through mapping of vascular perfusion, based on the blood oxygen level-dependent effect (BOLD).<sup>68,74</sup> When compared to non-concussed individuals, concussed individuals have shown connectivity alterations between various brain regions, which is well described in the literature both with task based fMRIs and resting state fMRIs.<sup>68,74</sup> Most of these studies have found abnormal functionality in the frontal, superior, and parietal lobes focusing on working memory and attentional functioning, occurring even within a month of injury.<sup>68,89–92</sup> Few studies have correlated fMRI findings with the visual network. Johnson et al demonstrated that despite normalization of oculomotor function at the 30 day mark post-injury, fMRI still showed abnormal activation within the visual cortex in the subacute phase of the concussion.<sup>93</sup> Sheth et al found increased connectivity to the left and right superior occipital regions in veterans with a history of mTBI compared non-concussed veterans.<sup>94</sup> However, it remains to be seen if fMRIs can be used diagnostically for vision based concussion symptoms in an acute setting.

## Conclusion

Given the vast connectivity between the visual network and other cortical structures, visual symptoms are common acutely post-concussion. However, there is no gold standard acute diagnostic test which can isolate the visual system alone on the sidelines, although eye tracking devices are being commercially developed. SCAT6, the presumed gold standard for sideline diagnosis of a concussion, includes a few questions about visual symptoms such as diplopia, photophobia, and an oculomotor screening. These questions are not specific enough in identifying complex visual deficits such as issues with saccades, smooth pursuits, and fusional vergences. Saccades/antisaccades are tested through RAN testing with KD and MULES test. The KD test is the closest to a gold standard sideline test with strong validity and reliability in concussed individuals in an acute setting. These tests combine oculomotor functioning with executive function and memory testing, but need comparison baseline testing, which is not always feasible. There remains a need for a quicker and more comprehensive diagnostic test by non-vision trained professionals.

Off-site testing is most useful in providing a well-rounded picture. This may require a multidisciplinary approach with neurologists or concussion experts evaluating executive function and memory while ophthalmologists/optometrists diagnose visual deficits with a more comprehensive visual exam. This exam may include VOMS or a modified VOMS test to evaluate saccades, smooth pursuit, and the vestibular ocular reflex, but should also include measuring

pupil size, convergence and accommodation testing, ocular motility, alignment, saccades, smooth pursuits, visual fields, and stereoacuity assessment. Further testing can also be done using video-oculography to identify subtle abnormalities in smooth pursuits and saccades and test for vestibulocular reflex and visual motion sensitivity. These tools are promising for both their prognostic and monitoring capabilities. There is also promise in imaging, however, there is currently little correlation in an acute phase concussion setting and visual tasking.

The standardization of testing will occur as research refines the natural history of mTBI, including identification of prognostic indicators of persistent symptoms in mTBI. As of now, there is not a single diagnostic test that is comprehensive for all visual deficits post-concussions. Instead, patient symptoms should guide specific testing of the components of the visual system.

## Disclosure

Dr Kammi Gunton is an Investigator for PEDIG, and receives salary support for protocol chair from PEDIG, outside the submitted work. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

1. Ferry B, DeCastro A. Concussion. *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing; 2024. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537017/>.
2. Faul M, Wald MM, Xu L, Coronado VG. Traumatic brain injury in the United States: emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and deaths, 2002-2006. Available from: <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/5571>. Accessed January 17, 2025.
3. McKee AC, Robinson ME. Military-related traumatic brain injury and neurodegeneration. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2014;10(30):S242–S253. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.003
4. Hallock H, Mantwill M, Vajkoczy P, et al. Sport-related concussion. *Neurol Clin Pract*. 2023;13(2):e200123. doi:10.1212/CPJ.00000000000020123
5. Daugherty J, Peterson A, Waltzman D, et al. Rationale for the development of a traumatic brain injury case definition for the pilot national concussion surveillance system. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2024;39(2):115. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000900
6. Giza C, Greco T, Prins ML. Chapter 6 - Concussion: pathophysiology and clinical translation. In: Hainline B, Stern RA editors, *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*. Vol. 158. Sports Neurology. Elsevier; 2018:51–61. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-63954-7.00006-9
7. Collins MW, Kontos AP, Okonkwo DO, et al. Statements of agreement from the Targeted Evaluation and Active Management (TEAM) Approaches to Treating Concussion Meeting Held in Pittsburgh, October 15-16, 2015. *Neurosurgery*. 2016;79(6):912–929. doi:10.1227/NEU.00000000000001447
8. Yap TP, Stern C. Advances in the management of oculomotor dysfunction in adults and children with concussion. In: Shu D, Singh RB, Ichhpurani P editors. *Current Advances in Optometry*. Springer Nature Singapore; 2024:59–75. doi:10.1007/978-981-97-8140-9\_5.
9. Fraser CL, Mobbs R. Visual effects of concussion: a review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2022;50(1):104–109. doi:10.1111/ceo.13987
10. Pillai C, Gittinger JW. Vision testing in the evaluation of concussion. *Semin Ophthalmol*. 2017;32(1):144–152. doi:10.1080/08820538.2016.1228412
11. Ciuffreda KJ, Kapoor N, Rutner D, Suchoff IB, Han ME, Craig S. Occurrence of oculomotor dysfunctions in acquired brain injury: a retrospective analysis. *Optometry*. 2007;78(4):155–161. doi:10.1016/j.optm.2006.11.011
12. Urosevich TG, Boscarino JJ, Hoffman SN, et al. Visual dysfunction and associated co-morbidities as predictors of mild traumatic brain injury seen among veterans in non-VA facilities: implications for clinical practice. *Mil Med*. 2018;183(11–12):e564–e570. doi:10.1093/milmed/usy102
13. Iring-Sanchez S, Dungan ME, Jones A, Malakhov M, Mohan S, Yaramothu C. OculoMotor & Vestibular Endurance Screening (MoVES) normative, repeatability, and reliability data. *Brain Sci*. 2024;14(7):704. doi:10.3390/brainsci14070704
14. Master CL, Scheiman M, Galloway M, et al. Vision diagnoses are common after concussion in adolescents. *Clin Pediatr*. 2016;55(3):260–267. doi:10.1177/000922815594367
15. Scheiman M, Grady MF, Jenewein E, et al. Frequency of oculomotor disorders in adolescents 11 to 17 years of age with concussion, 4 to 12 weeks post injury. *Vision Res*. 2021;183:73–80. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2020.09.011
16. Arbogast KB, Ghosh RP, Corwin DJ, et al. Trajectories of visual and vestibular markers of youth concussion. *J Neurotrauma*. 2022;39(19–20):1382–1390. doi:10.1089/neu.2022.0014
17. Shah AS, Raghuram A, Kaur K, et al. Specialty-specific diagnoses in pediatric patients with postconcussion syndrome: experience from a multidisciplinary concussion clinic. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2022;32(2):114–121. doi:10.1097/JSM.0000000000000891
18. Gowrisankaran S, Shah AS, Roberts TL, et al. Association between post-concussion symptoms and oculomotor deficits among adolescents. *Brain Inj*. 2021;35(10):1218–1228. doi:10.1080/02699052.2021.1959065
19. Ventura RE, Jancuska JM, Balcer LJ, Galetta SL. Diagnostic tests for concussion: is vision part of the puzzle? *J Neuroophthalmol*. 2015;35(1):73. doi:10.1097/WNO.0000000000000223
20. Chen AM, Salzano AD, Burgher AP, et al. Scoping review: intervention for ocular motor disorders in children and adults with mild traumatic brain injury. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2025. doi:10.1097/OPX.0000000000002237
21. Maruta J, Spielman LA, Rajashekar U, Ghajar J. Association of visual tracking metrics with post-concussion symptomatology. *Front Neurol*. 2018;9:611. doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00611
22. Green W, Ciuffreda KJ, Thiagarajan P, Szymanowicz D, Ludlam DP, Kapoor N. Accommodation in mild traumatic brain injury. *JRRD*. 2010;47(3):183. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2009.04.0041
23. Capó-Aponte JE, Urosevich TG, Temme LA, Tarbett AK, Sanghera NK. Visual dysfunctions and symptoms during the subacute stage of blast-induced mild traumatic brain injury. *Mil Med*. 2012;177(7):804–813. doi:10.7205/MILMED-D-12-00061

24. Suh M, Kolster R, Sarkar R, McCandliss B, Ghajar J. Deficits in predictive smooth pursuit after mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurosci Lett*. 2006;401(1–2):108–113. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2006.02.074
25. Heitger MH, Anderson TJ, Jones RD, Dalrymple-Alford JC, Frampton CM, Ardagh MW. Eye movement and visuomotor arm movement deficits following mild closed head injury. *Brain*. 2004;127(3):575–590. doi:10.1093/brain/awh066
26. Raghuram A, Cotter SA, Gowrisankaran S, et al. Postconcussion: receded near point of convergence is not diagnostic of convergence insufficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2019;206:235–244. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2019.04.008
27. Nisted I, Hellemose LA, Eggertsen PP, Odgaard L, Bek T, Nielsen JF. Convergence insufficiency in patients with post-concussion syndrome is accompanied by a higher symptom load: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Inj*. 2024;38(8):645–651. doi:10.1080/02699052.2024.2334355
28. Szymanowicz D, Ciuffreda KJ, Thiagarajan P, Ludlam DP, Green W, Kapoor N. Vergence in mild traumatic brain injury: a pilot study. *JRRD*. 2012;49(7):1083. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2010.07.0129
29. Kelly KM, Kiderman A, Akhavan S, et al. Oculomotor, vestibular, and reaction time effects of sports-related concussion: video-oculography in assessing sports-related concussion. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2019;34(3):176–188. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000437
30. Heitger MH, Anderson TJ, Jones RD. Saccade sequences as markers for cerebral dysfunction following mild closed head injury. In: Hyona J, Munoz DP, Heide W, Radach R editors, *Progress in Brain Research*. Vol. 140. Elsevier; 2002:433–448. doi:10.1016/S0079-6123(02)40067-2
31. Drew AS, Langan J, Halterman C, Osternig LR, Chou LS, van Donkelaar P. Attentional disengagement dysfunction following mTBI assessed with the gap saccade task. *Neurosci Lett*. 2007;417(1):61–65. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2007.02.038
32. Theis J. Differential diagnosis and theories of pathophysiology of post-traumatic photophobia: a review. *NRE*. 2022;50(3):309–319. doi:10.3233/NRE-228014
33. Thiagarajan P, Ciuffreda KJ. Pupillary responses to light in chronic non-blast-induced mTBI. *Brain Inj*. 2015;29(12):1420–1425. doi:10.3109/02699052.2015.1045029
34. Master CL, Podolak OE, Ciuffreda KJ, et al. Utility of pupillary light reflex metrics as a physiologic biomarker for adolescent sport-related concussion. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2020;138(11):1135–1141. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.3466
35. Capó-Aponte JE, Urosovich TG, Walsh DV, Temme LA, Tarbett AK. Pupillary light reflex as an objective biomarker for early identification of blast-induced mTBI. *J Spine*. 2013. doi:10.4172/2165-7939.S4-004
36. Tannen B, Good K, Ciuffreda KJ, Moore KJ. Prevalence of esophoria in concussed patients. *J Optom*. 2019;12(1):64–68. doi:10.1016/j.optom.2018.02.003
37. Bazarian JJ, Wong T, Harris M, Leahey N, Mookerjee S, Dombovy M. Epidemiology and predictors of post-concussive syndrome after minor head injury in an emergency population. *Brain Injury*. 1999;13(3):173–189. doi:10.1080/026990599121692
38. Sport Concussion Assessment Tool 6 (SCAT6). *Br J Sports Med*. 2023;57(11):622. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2023-107036
39. Echemendia RJ, Burma JS, Bruce JM, et al. Acute evaluation of sport-related concussion and implications for the Sport Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT6) for adults, adolescents and children: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2023;57(11):722–735. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2022-106661
40. Galetta KM, Liu M, Leong DF, Ventura RE, Galetta SL, Balcer LJ. The King-Devick test of rapid number naming for concussion detection: meta-analysis and systematic review of the literature. *Concussion*. 2015;1(2):CNC8. doi:10.2217/cnc.15.8
41. Walsh DV, Capó-Aponte JE, Beltran T, Cole WR, Ballard A, Dumayas JY. Assessment of the King-Devick® (KD) test for screening acute mTBI/concussion in warfighters. *J Neurol Sci*. 2016;370:305–309. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.09.014
42. Cobbs L, Hasanaj L, Amorapanth P, et al. Mobile Universal Lexicon Evaluation System (MULES) test: a new measure of rapid picture naming for concussion. *J Neurol Sci*. 2017;372:393–398. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.10.044
43. Fallon S, Akhand O, Hernandez C, et al. MULES on the sidelines: a vision-based assessment tool for sports-related concussion. *J Neurol Sci*. 2019;402:52–56. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2019.04.036
44. Akhand O, Galetta MS, Cobbs L, et al. The new Mobile Universal Lexicon Evaluation System (MULES): a test of rapid picture naming for concussion sized for the sidelines. *J Neurol Sci*. 2018;387:199–204. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2018.02.031
45. Sundaram V, Ding VY, Desai M, Lumba-Brown A, Little J. Reliable sideline ocular-motor assessment following exercise in healthy student athletes. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019;22(12):1287–1291. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.07.015
46. Patricios JS, Schneider KJ, Dvorak J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 6th International Conference on Concussion in Sport—Amsterdam, October 2022. *Br J Sports Med*. 2023;57(11):695–711. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2023-106898
47. Mucha A, Collins MW, Elbin RJ, et al. A brief Vestibular/Ocular Motor Screening (VOMS) assessment to evaluate concussions: preliminary findings. *Am J Sports Med*. 2014;42(10):2479–2486. doi:10.1177/0363546514543775
48. Master CL, Master SR, Wiebe DJ, et al. Vision and vestibular system dysfunction predicts prolonged concussion recovery in children. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2018;28(2):139–145. doi:10.1097/JSM.0000000000000507
49. Schatz P, Pardini J, Lovell M, Collins M, Podell K. Sensitivity and specificity of the ImPACT test battery for concussion in athletes. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2006;21(1):91–99. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2005.08.001
50. Trbovich AM, Sherry NK, Henley J, Emami K, Kontos AP. The utility of the Convergence Insufficiency Symptom Survey (CISS) post-concussion. *Brain Inj*. 2019;33(12):1545–1551. doi:10.1080/02699052.2019.1658131
51. Ventura RE, Balcer LJ, Galetta SL, Rucker JC. Ocular motor assessment in concussion: current status and future directions. *J Neurol Sci*. 2016;361:79–86. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2015.12.010
52. Barrett BT. Assessment of binocular vision and accommodation. In: Elliott DB, editor. *Clinical Procedures in Primary Eye Care*. 4th ed. W.B. Saunders; 2014:147–208. doi:10.1016/B978-0-7020-5194-4.00006-7
53. Maruta J, Ghajar J. Detecting Eye Movement Abnormalities from Concussion. In: Niranjana A, Lunsford LD editors, *Progress in Neurological Surgery*. Vol. 28. S.Karger AG; 2014:226–233. doi:10.1159/000358786
54. Merezinskaya N, Mallia RK, Park D, Bryden DW, Barker FM. Visual deficits and dysfunctions associated with traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2019;96(8):542–555. doi:10.1097/OPX.0000000000001407
55. Gilmore CS, Lim KO, Garvin MK, et al. Association of optical coherence tomography with longitudinal neurodegeneration in veterans with chronic mild traumatic brain injury. *JAMA Network Open*. 2020;3(12):e2030824. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.30824
56. Kelman JC, Hodge C, Stanwell P, Mustafic N, Fraser CL. Retinal nerve fibre changes in sports-related repetitive traumatic brain injury. *Clinical Exper Ophthalmol*. 2020;48(2):204–211. doi:10.1111/ceo.13673



57. Hunfalvy M, Murray NP, Mani R, Carrick FR. Smooth pursuit eye movements as a biomarker for mild concussion within 7-days of injury. *Brain Inj.* **2021**;35(14):1682–1689. doi:10.1080/02699052.2021.2012825
58. Haensel JX, Marusic S, Slinger KE, et al. Accommodative and vergence responses to a moving stimulus in concussion. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* **2024**;65(12):45. doi:10.1167/iops.65.12.45
59. Sussman ES, Ho AL, Pendharkar AV, Ghajar J. Clinical evaluation of concussion: the evolving role of oculomotor assessments. *Neurosurgical Focus FOC.* **2016**;40(4):E7. doi:10.3171/2016.1.FOCUS15610
60. Maruta J, Heaton KJ, Maule AL, Ghajar J. Predictive visual tracking: specificity in mild traumatic brain injury and sleep deprivation. *Mil Med.* **2014**;179(6):619–625. doi:10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00420
61. Heitger MH, Jones RD, Macleod AD, Snell DL, Frampton CM, Anderson TJ. Impaired eye movements in post-concussion syndrome indicate suboptimal brain function beyond the influence of depression, malingering or intellectual ability. *Brain.* **2009**;132(Pt 10):2850–2870. doi:10.1093/brain/awp181
62. Samadani U, Ritlop R, Reyes M, et al. Eye tracking detects disconjugate eye movements associated with structural traumatic brain injury and concussion. *J Neurotrauma.* **2015**;32(8):548–556. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3687
63. Samadani U, Spinner RJ, Dynkowski G, et al. Eye tracking for classification of concussion in adults and pediatrics. *Front Neurol.* **2022**;13. doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.1039955
64. Orbach G, Melendes EJ, Warren K, et al. Visual impairment in pre-clinical models of mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma.* **2024**;41(15–16):1842–1852. doi:10.1089/neu.2023.0574
65. Tyler CW, Likova LT. Brain trauma impacts retinal processing: photoreceptor pathway interactions in traumatic light sensitivity. *Doc Ophthalmol.* **2022**;144(3):179–190. doi:10.1007/s10633-022-09871-1
66. Gopinath B, Liew G, Craig A, et al. Association between head injury and concussion with retinal vessel caliber. *PLoS One.* **2018**;13(7):e0200441. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0200441
67. Giza CC, Kutcher JS, Ashwal S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guideline update: evaluation and management of concussion in sports. *Neurology.* **2013**;80(24):2250–2257. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828d57dd
68. Yuh EL, Hawryluk GWJ, Manley GT. Imaging concussion: a review. *Neurosurgery.* **2014**;75:S50. doi:10.1227/NEU.0000000000000491
69. Smits M, Dippel DWJ, Steyerberg EW, et al. Predicting intracranial traumatic findings on computed tomography in patients with minor head injury: the CHIP prediction rule. *Ann Intern Med.* **2007**;146(6):397–405. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-146-6-200703200-00004
70. Iverson GL, Lovell MR, Smith S. Prevalence of abnormal CT-scans following mild head injury. *Brain Inj.* **2000**;14(12):1057–1061. doi:10.1080/02699050050203559
71. Thiruppathy SP, Muthukumar N. Mild head injury: revisited. *Acta Neurochir.* **2004**;146(10):1075–1083. doi:10.1007/s00701-004-0335-z
72. Nagy KK, Joseph KT, Krosner SM, et al. The utility of head computed tomography after minimal head injury. *J Trauma.* **1999**;46(2):268–270. doi:10.1097/00005373-199902000-00012
73. Haydel MJ, Preston CA, Mills TJ, Luber S, Blaudeau E, DeBlieux PMC. Indications for computed tomography in patients with minor head injury. *N Engl J Med.* **2000**;343(2):100–105. doi:10.1056/NEJM200007133430204
74. Honce JM, Nyberg E, Jones I, Nagae L. Neuroimaging of Concussion. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* **2016**;27(2):411–428. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2016.01.002
75. Gonzalez PG, Walker MT. Imaging modalities in mild traumatic brain injury and sports concussion. *PM R.* **2011**;3(10S2). doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.08.536
76. Hulkower MB, Poliak DB, Rosenbaum SB, Zimmerman ME, Lipton ML. A decade of DTI in traumatic brain injury: 10 years and 100 articles later. *Am J Neuroradiol.* **2013**;34(11):2064–2074. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3395
77. Arfanakis K, Haughton VM, Carew JD, Rogers BP, Dempsey RJ, Meyerand ME. Diffusion tensor MR imaging in diffuse axonal injury. *Am J Neuroradiol.* **2002**;23(5):794–802.
78. Strauss S, Hulkower M, Gulko E, et al. Current clinical applications and future potential of diffusion tensor imaging in traumatic brain injury. *Top Magn Reson Imaging.* **2015**;24(6):353. doi:10.1097/RMR.0000000000000071
79. Chu Z, Wilde EA, Hunter JV, et al. Voxel-based analysis of diffusion tensor imaging in mild traumatic brain injury in adolescents. *Am J Neuroradiol.* **2010**;31(2):340–346. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A1806
80. Mayer AR, Ling J, Mannell MV, et al. A prospective diffusion tensor imaging study in mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurology.* **2010**;74(8):643–650. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181d0ccdd
81. Wilde EA, McCauley SR, Hunter JV, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging of acute mild traumatic brain injury in adolescents. *Neurology.* **2008**;70(12):948–955. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000305961.68029.54
82. Henry LC, Tremblay J, Tremblay S, et al. Acute and chronic changes in diffusivity measures after sports concussion. *J Neurotrauma.* **2011**;28(10):2049–2059. doi:10.1089/neu.2011.1836
83. Wu YC, Harezlak J, Elsaid NMH, et al. Longitudinal white-matter abnormalities in sports-related concussion. *Neurology.* **2020**;95(7):e781–e792. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000000930
84. Haacke EM, Xu Y, Cheng YCN, Reichenbach JR. Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI). *Magn Reson Med.* **2004**;52(3):612–618. doi:10.1002/mrm.20198
85. Huang YL, Kuo YS, Tseng YC, Chen DYT, Chiu WT, Chen CJ. Susceptibility-weighted MRI in mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurology.* **2015**;84(6):580–585. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001237
86. Trifan G, Gattu R, Haacke EM, Kou Z, Benson RR. MR imaging findings in mild traumatic brain injury with persistent neurological impairment. *Magn Reson Imaging.* **2017**;37:243–251. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2016.12.009
87. Einarsen CE, Moen KG, Håberg AK, et al. Patients with mild traumatic brain injury recruited from both hospital and primary care settings: a controlled longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurotrauma.* **2019**;36(22):3172–3182. doi:10.1089/neu.2018.6360
88. Park JH, Park SW, Kang SH, Nam TK, Min BK, Hwang SN. Detection of traumatic cerebral microbleeds by susceptibility-weighted image of MRI. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* **2009**;46(4):365–369. doi:10.3340/jkns.2009.46.4.365
89. Mayer AR, Mannell MV, Ling J, et al. Auditory orienting and inhibition of return in mild traumatic brain injury: a fMRI study. *Hum Brain Mapp.* **2009**;30(12):4152–4166. doi:10.1002/hbm.20836

90. McAllister TW, Flashman LA, McDonald BC, Saykin AJ. Mechanisms of working memory dysfunction after mild and moderate TBI: evidence from functional mri and neurogenetics. *J Neurotrauma*. 2006;23(10):1450–1467. doi:10.1089/neu.2006.23.1450
91. Jantzen KJ, Anderson B, Steinberg FL, Kelso JAS. A prospective functional MR imaging study of mild traumatic brain injury in college football players. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2004;25(5):738–745.
92. Sullivan DR, Hayes JP, Lafleche G, Salat DH, Verfaellie M. Functional brain alterations associated with cognitive control in blast-related mild traumatic brain injury. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2018;24(7):662–672. doi:10.1017/S1355617718000279
93. Johnson B, Hallett M, Slobounov S. Follow-up evaluation of oculomotor performance with fMRI in the subacute phase of concussion. *Neurology*. 2015;85(13):1163–1166. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001968
94. Sheth C, Rogowska J, Legarreta M, McGlade E, Yurgelun-Todd D. Functional connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in Veterans with mild traumatic brain injury. *Behav Brain Res*. 2021;396:112882. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112882

## Eye and Brain

### Publish your work in this journal

Eye and Brain is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on clinical and experimental research in the field of neuro-ophthalmology. All aspects of patient care are addressed within the journal as well as basic research. Papers covering original research, basic science, clinical and epidemiological studies, reviews and evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports are welcome. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/eye-and-brain-journal>

**Dovepress**  
Taylor & Francis Group