# Poor Glycemic Control Is Related to Increased Nitric Oxide Activity Within the Renal Circulation of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes

Markus P. Schneider, md Christian Ott, md Stephanie Schmidt, md IRIS KISTNER, MD Stefanie Friedrich, md Roland E. Schmieder, md

**OBJECTIVE**—Experimental studies have shown that glucose releases endothelial nitric oxide (NO) and that NO contributes to renal hyperperfusion in models of diabetes. To examine whether this translates into the human condition, we studied the relationship between glycemic control and renal NO activity in patients with type 2 diabetes.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—A total of 113 patients with type 2 diabetes and a wide range of  $HbA_{1c}$  concentrations were included. Renal plasma flow (RPF) and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) were determined by constant infusion input clearance. Functional NO activity in the renal circulation was determined as change of RPF to infusion of the NO synthase (NOS) inhibitor N(G)-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) (4.25 mg/kg). As additional markers, we measured urinary excretion of NO (UNOx) and L-arginine–to–asymmetrical dimethylarginine (ADMA) ratio in plasma.

**RESULTS**—Subjects within the highest tertile of HbA<sub>1c</sub> concentration had increased RPF (low, medium, and high tertiles  $576 \pm 17$  vs.  $585 \pm 22$  vs.  $627 \pm 33$  mL/min/m<sup>2</sup>, P = 0.05 by one-way ANOVA), while GFR was similar across tertiles. The response of RPF to NOS blockade was augmented in subjects with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels ( $-55 \pm 7$  vs.  $-64 \pm 8$  vs.  $-86 \pm 8$  mL/min, P = 0.04 by one-way ANOVA). Further, L-arginine–to–ADMA ratio and UNOx were increased in subjects with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels.

**CONCLUSIONS**—In line with experimental evidence, we could demonstrate in humans that poor glycemic control is related to higher NO activity and hyperperfusion of the kidney. The renal NO system may thus be a novel therapeutic target for improving renal hemodynamics in patients with diabetes.

#### Diabetes Care 36:4071–4075, 2013

The incidence of end-stage renal disease owing to diabetic nephropathy is increasing in developed countries (1). In order to reduce the burden of end-stage diabetic kidney disease, targeting glomerular hyperfiltration and hyperperfusion, early hemodynamic abnormalities that have been linked with greater risk of developing albuminuria and loss of renal function over time (2,3), may be an attractive therapeutic option.

Others and we have shown that nitric oxide (NO) is an important regulator of

renal hemodynamics in humans (4–6). Experimental studies have demonstrated that increased production of NO in the kidney contributes to the renal hemodynamic alterations in models of type 1 and type 2 diabetes (7–12). As a pathogenetic factor, hyperglycemia has been shown to stimulate acute release of NO from cultured endothelial cells (13,14), including endothelial cells derived from the glomerulum (15).

In human subjects with diabetes, data on the role of NO for renal hemodynamics are very limited. A few studies are

available that have assessed NO production with a biochemical approach. Hiragushi et al. showed that in subjects with type 2 diabetes, urinary NO (UNOx) excretion rates were higher in those with increased glomerular filtration rate (GFR) versus those with normal GFR (16). Additional studies suggested that it is the hyperglycemia that drives increased NO production associated with glomerular hyperfiltration (17,18).

Using a much more direct way of assessing the functional contribution of NO to renal hemodynamics, Cherney et al. (19) studied the renal response to pharmacological NO synthase (NOS) inhibition in subjects with type 1 diabetes without complications. NOS inhibition led to a significantly greater decline of GFR and renal plasma flow (RPF) in hyperfiltering versus the normofiltering subjects with type 1 diabetes.

The role of NO in renal hemodynamics of subjects with type 2 diabetes, a more heterogenous group of subjects with regard to concomitant diseases and vascular risk factors, and the influence of glycemic control have not been studied. To this end, we examined renal hemodynamic responses to pharmacological NOS inhibition across a wide range of HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in a large cohort of subjects with type 2 diabetes.

#### RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

#### **Patient selection**

Patients who were treated in our outpatient clinic for type 2 diabetes or participated in our training program for patients with type 2 diabetes were asked to take part in the current study when they fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: age between 30 and 75 years and office blood pressure (BP) <180/110 mmHg. Exclusion criteria were impaired renal function defined by a serum creatinine >1.3 mg/dL in men and >1.2 mg/dL in women; overt albuminuria >300 mg/day; any other severe renal, hepatic, or cardiovascular disease; current

From the Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Nuremberg, Germany.

Corresponding author: Roland E. Schmieder, roland.schmieder@uk-erlangen.

Received 4 April 2013 and accepted 15 July 2013.

DOI: 10.2337/dc13-0806

<sup>© 2013</sup> by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

#### Glycemia and renal NO in type 2 diabetes

antihypertensive medication or lipidlowering therapy; insulin therapy; current use of oral contraceptives or estrogen replacement therapy; and active smoking. BP during screening was recorded as the average of three measurements after 5 min of rest. All patients gave their written informed consent prior to study inclusion. Patients who were treated with an oral hypoglycemic agent were asked to withhold the morning dose on the day of the clearance study. A sample size of 35 patients in each group was required to exclude a difference in the response of RPF to N(G)monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) of 20 mL/min/m<sup>2</sup> at an SD of 25 by one-way ANOVA and at a power of 85% and a P value of < 0.05. The Clinical Investigations Ethics Committee of the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg approved the study protocol.

#### **Infusion protocol**

Systemic hemodynamic parameters (i.e., BP and heart rate) were monitored with an oscillometric device (Dinamap 1846 SX; Criticon, Norderstedt, Germany). Renal hemodynamic parameters were determined by the constant infusion input clearance technique with inulin and sodium *p*-aminohippurate (Clinalfa, Basel, Switzerland) for GFR and RPF, respectively, as previously described (4,5,20).

Briefly, after bolus infusion of inulin and sodium *p*-aminohippurate over 15 min and a subsequent constant infusion over 105 min, a steady state between input and renal excretion of the tracer substances is reached (4,5,20). Then, L-NMMA is administered as a bolus infusion (3 mg/kg i.v. over 5 min) followed by constant infusion (1.25 mg/kg i.v. over 25 min) to determine the functional activity of NO in the renal circulation (4,5,20). As a safety measure, L-arginine (L-arginine hydrochloride 6%; University Hospital Pharmacy, Erlangen, Germany) is then administered at a dose of 100 mg/kg i.v. over 30 min to reverse NOS inhibition by excess substrate availability (data not shown). Blood samples to determine inulin and *p*-aminohippurate concentrations were drawn at 0, 120, and 150 min. During the last 5 min of each infusion step, BP was monitored every minute, and the mean of these measurements is given. Filtration fraction (FF) was calculated as GFR/RPF. All renal hemodynamic parameters were standardized to body surface area.

#### Laboratory measurements

Laboratory tests were performed at study inclusion to test for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Blood glucose concentration was measured in serum by use of the hexokinase reaction. Measurements of *p*-aminohippurate and inulin were performed after completion of the study from blood samples centrifuged immediately at 4°C and stored at –21°C. *p*-aminohippurate was measured by the method of Smith et al. (21); inulin was determined indirectly with an enzymatic method after conversion to fructose. Serum L-arginine and asymmetrical dimethylarginine (ADMA) concentrations were obtained using highperformance liquid chromatography measurements. The L-arginine–to–ADMA ratio was then calculated as an index of NOS function (22). Urinary NO measurements were performed with the Griess reaction (Nitric Oxide Metabolite Detection Kit; Cayman Europe, Tallinn, Estonia). Each blood sample was measured in duplicate with a coefficient of variation of <5%.

#### **Statistics**

Analyses were performed using SPSS Software (PASW statistics 20.0; IBM, Ehningen, Germany). After confirmation of normal distribution by Kolmogorow-Smirnov tests, one-way ANOVA was used to compare parametric clinical parameters, whereas Kruskall-Wallis tests were used to compare nonparametric data. Categorical data were compared with the  $\chi^2$  test. Data are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM, and a *P* value <0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

#### **Clinical parameters**

A total of 113 subjects with type 2 diabetes were enrolled in the study. HbA<sub>1c</sub> values ranged from a minimum of 5.2% (33 mmol/mol) to a maximum of 9.7% (83 mmol/mol). Subjects were classified

Table 1-	-Clinical	characteristics	according	to HhA, tertiles
Table 1	Cuntur	characteristics	uccoraing i	to monge tertiles

Parameter	Low HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 5.2–6.4% (33–46 mmol/mol)	Medium HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 6.4–7.3% (46–56 mmol/mol)	High HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 7.3–9.7% (56–83 mmol/mol)	Р
	20	20	27	
	38	38	37	
HDA <sub>1c</sub>				
%	$6.0 \pm 0.1$	$6.8 \pm 0.1$	$8.1 \pm 0.1$	< 0.001
mmol/mol	$42 \pm 0.3$	$51 \pm 0.3$	$65 \pm 0.3$	< 0.001
Serum glucose (mg/dL)	$137 \pm 7$	$168 \pm 7$	$210 \pm 10$	< 0.001
Age (years)	$58 \pm 1$	$60 \pm 1$	$61 \pm 1$	0.246
Sex (male/female)	25/13	26/12	24/13	0.914
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$30 \pm 1$	$30 \pm 1$	$30 \pm 1$	0.812
SBP (mmHg)	$148 \pm 3$	$150 \pm 2$	$152 \pm 3$	0.474
DBP (mmHg)	88 ± 2	$88 \pm 1$	84 ± 2	0.295
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	$51 \pm 2$	45 ± 2	$45 \pm 2$	0.069
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	$134 \pm 6$	$138 \pm 6$	$136 \pm 6$	0.901
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	$192 \pm 17$	$218 \pm 25$	$220 \pm 23$	0.583
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	$0.8 \pm 0.04$	0.375
Serum urea (mg/dL)	$35 \pm 1$	$32 \pm 1$	$37 \pm 3$	0.170
Urinary sodium (mmol/24 h)	$117 \pm 54$	$117 \pm 49$	$139 \pm 77$	0.244
UACR 24 h (mg/g creatinine)	$9 \pm 3$	$10 \pm 3$	$39 \pm 18$	0.063
UACR spot (mg/g creatinine)	21 ± 7	$28 \pm 11$	63 ± 20	0.069

UACR 24 h, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio from 24-h urine collection; UACR spot, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio from spot urine collection.

#### Schneider and Associates

into tertiles according to their  $HbA_{1c}$  concentration (Table 1). The  $HbA_{1c}$  concentration cutoff value between the low and the medium  $HbA_{1c}$  groups was 6.4% (46 mmol/mol). The cutoff value between the medium and the high  $HbA_{1c}$  groups was 7.3% (56 mmol/mol).

The greater the HbA<sub>1c</sub> concentration, the greater the fasting blood glucose level. Clinical parameters such as age, BMI, sex distribution, and BP were similar between groups. There was a trend toward greater urinary albumin excretion rates (but still within the microalbuminuric range) in groups with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels.

## Indirect markers of renal NO production

UNOx was greatest in those within the highest tertile of HbA<sub>1c</sub> concentration (Fig. 1). Bonferroni corrections revealed significant differences in UNOx between the low versus the high HbA<sub>1c</sub> groups (P < 0.05) and the medium versus high HbA<sub>1c</sub> groups (P < 0.05).

Plasma L-arginine concentrations  $(32 \pm 8 \text{ vs. } 52 \pm 11 \text{ vs. } 56 \pm 15 \mu \text{mol/L}, P = 0.14)$  and ADMA levels  $(0.70 \pm 0.3 \text{ vs.} 0.67 \pm 0.03 \text{ vs.} 0.65 \pm 0.03 \mu \text{mol/L}, P = 0.21)$  were similar across tertiles. However, the ratio of plasma L-arginine to ADMA was significantly increased in those within the highest HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertile (Fig. 2). Bonferroni corrections revealed significant differences in the L-arginine–to–ADMA ratio between the low versus the high HbA<sub>1c</sub> groups (P < 0.05).

### Direct assessment of functional NO activity in the renal circulation

Baseline systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), and HR were similar across the three HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles (Table 2). Infusion of L-NMMA at a dose of 4.25 mg/kg body wt increased SBP and DBP, while HR decreased in all three groups. There was no difference in the magnitude of the



**Figure 1**—UNOx across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles.



**Figure 2**—*L*-Arginine–to–ADMA ratio across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles.

changes in SBP, DBP, and HR across the three groups (all n.s.).

Baseline RPF was greatest in the subjects within the highest tertile of HbA<sub>1c</sub>. GFR was not different across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles, but there was a trend toward slightly lower FF in those with higher HbA1c concentrations (P = 0.08). We subsequently assessed renal hemodynamic response to NOS inhibition, reflecting functional NO activity within the renal circulation. RPF decreased significantly in response to the infusion of L-NMMA, while GFR and FF increased in all three tertiles. However, the reduction of RPF with L-NMMA infusion was greatest in subjects within the highest HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertile, indicating greater functional NO activity in the renal circulation (Fig. 3). This difference across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles persisted when baseline RPF values were adjusted for (P =0.045). Further, there was a significant correlation between HbA1c levels and response to L-NMMA (r = -0.264, P =0.009). Bonferroni corrections revealed significant differences in the response of RPF to L-NMMA between the low versus the high HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertile (P < 0.05). After L-NMMA infusion, RPF was similar across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles.

**CONCLUSIONS**—In this study, we found that poor glycemic control is related to higher NO activity and hyperperfusion of the kidney in patients with type 2 diabetes. The renal NO system may thus be a novel therapeutic target for improving renal hemodynamics.

Experimental studies have shown that NO is a potent direct vasodilator of afferent and efferent arterioles (23). Further, NO inhibits tubuloglomerular feedback–mediated vasoconstriction of afferent arterioles (24). Clearance studies have confirmed that NO is also an important mediator of renal hemodynamics in humans (4,5). Therefore, we hypothesized that increased NO production could underlie the hyperperfusion state in human subjects with diabetes, as suggested by a number of animal studies (7–12).

In a first study addressing this issue in humans, Cherney et al. (19) analyzed the response of renal hemodynamics to pharmacological NOS inhibition in 37 subjects with type 1 diabetes and in 21 healthy control subjects. For the analysis of the renal response to NOS inhibition, the 37 diabetic subjects were divided into those with glomerular hyperfiltration (GFR >135 mL/min) and those with normal GFR. L-NMMA led to a decline of GFR in the hyperfiltering diabetic subjects but neither in the diabetic subjects with normal GFR nor in healthy control subjects. Furthermore, the decline of RPF was exaggerated in the hyperfiltering group versus the other two groups. However, studies in patients with type 2 diabetes, a more heterogeneous group of patients owing to older age and greater number of concomitant cardiovascular risk factors, have been lacking to date.

In the current study, subjects with type 2 diabetes and increased HbA<sub>1c</sub> concentrations, reflecting poorer glycemic control, were characterized by higher baseline RPF. There was a trend toward lower FF in those with higher HbA1c levels (P = 0.08). This would be in keeping with experimental data of vasodilation of both afferent and efferent arterioles and would explain why glomerular filtration pressure and thus GFR remained unchanged with higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> values (25). This also fits with experimental evidence that NO is a vasodilator of both afferent and efferent arterioles (23). Indeed, as the main result of our study, the reduction of RPF and thus the contribution of NO to renal perfusion was greatest in subjects within the highest tertile of HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels. To confirm the increased functional contribution of NO to renal perfusion as demonstrated by the renal clearance technique, we performed two additional assays of NO production. Increased HbA<sub>1c</sub> was associated with an increase in the ratio of L-arginine to ADMA, which has been suggested as a marker of endothelial function and NO production (22). In addition to increased L-arginine/ADMA levels, increased NO activity in subjects with higher HbA1c levels was also supported by the finding of increased UNOx, which at least in part depends on renal production of NO (26).

Our results of an increased NO production differ from the result of a reduction

	Low HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 5.2–6.4% (33–46 mmol/mol), <i>n</i> = 38		Medium HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 6.4–7.3% (46–56 mmol/mol), <i>n</i> = 38		High HbA <sub>1c</sub> : 7.3–9.7% (56–83 mmol/mol), <i>n</i> = 37	
	Baseline	l-NMMA	Baseline	L-NMMA	Baseline	l-NMMA
BP						
SBP (mmHg)	$141 \pm 2$	$151 \pm 2^*$	$142 \pm 2$	$152 \pm 3^{*}$	$145 \pm 2$	$159 \pm 3^{*}$
DBP (mmHg)	$80 \pm 2$	$87 \pm 2^*$	$79 \pm 1$	$85 \pm 2^{*}$	$79 \pm 2$	$85 \pm 2^{*}$
HR (bpm)	$63 \pm 2$	$59 \pm 2^{*}$	66 ± 2	$62 \pm 2^*$	$65 \pm 2$	$60 \pm 2^{*}$
Renal hemodynamics						
RPF (mL/min/m <sup>2</sup> )	$576 \pm 17$	$520 \pm 17^{*}$	$585 \pm 22$	$530 \pm 24^{*}$	627 ± 33#	554 ± 32*§
GFR (mL/min/m <sup>2</sup> )	$133 \pm 3$	$138 \pm 4^{*}$	$133 \pm 4$	$138 \pm 4^{*}$	$132 \pm 4$	$135 \pm 4^{*}$
FF (%)	$23 \pm 1$	$27 \pm 1^{*}$	$23 \pm 1$	$27 \pm 1^{*}$	$21 \pm 1$	$25 \pm 1*$

Table 2—Systemic an	nd renal hemodynamics	before and after	infusion of L-NMMA	A according to HbA <sub>1c</sub> tertiles
2	2			

Systemic and renal hemodynamics before and after infusion of L-NMMA according to HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles. \*P < 0.05 for the comparison of L-NMMA vs. baseline by paired *t* test within HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles. #P < 0.05 for the comparison of baseline RPF across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles by one-way ANOVA. P = 0.04 for the comparison of the change between L-NMMA and baseline across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles by one-way ANOVA.

in cyclic guanosine monophosphate levels in female subjects with type 1 diabetes upon acute exposure to hyperglycemia during glucose infusion (27). However, the physiological effects of acutely induced hyperglycemia versus those of chronic hyperglycemia are difficult to compare (e.g., due to differences in acute shear stress). Furthermore, cyclic guanosine monophosphate is stimulated not only by NO but also by other hormones such as the natriuretic peptides. In keeping with our results, and using a similar methodology, the already mentioned study by Cherney et al. (19) also found increased, rather than decreased, NO production associated with increased renal perfusion.

Of note, we have shown that increased HbA<sub>1c</sub> is related to renal hyperperfusion and increased NO production but not with renal hyperfiltration. "Hyperfilterers" may in fact be a distinct subgroup of subjects, characterized by increased cyclooxygenase contribution to afferent arteriolar tone (28,29). Thus, the factors that determine renal hyperperfusion appear to differ from those that



**Figure 3**—Change of RPF in response to L-NMMA infusion across HbA<sub>1c</sub> tertiles.

determine renal hyperfiltration (e.g., NO vs. prostaglandins).

Our study has several limitations. Since L-NMMA is an unselective NOS inhibitor, the precise isoform of NOS responsible for increased NO production in subjects with high HbA<sub>1c</sub> values remains to be identified. Some cell culture and some animal studies suggest that NOS2 (inducible NOS) is upregulated (8,11,12,30), while others suggest that NOS3 (endothelial NOS) is the responsible isoform (10). Another limitation is that glycemia in the majority of our patients was rather well controlled, and perhaps even greater differences between groups would have been observed with a larger number of less well-controlled patients included. Further, to avoid confounding effects on renal endothelial function and hemodynamics, we excluded subjects with more severe hypertension ( $\geq$ 180/110 mmHg), those on antihypertensive or lipid-lowering therapy, and those on insulin treatment. This may have limited generalizability to a larger number of subjects with type 2 diabetes.

A number of experimental and clinical studies have shown that improved glycemic control ameliorates alterations of renal hemodynamics (31). Whether this is related to normalization of renal NO production remains to be investigated in future. Furthermore, additional therapies that target abnormal renal hemodynamics in subjects with type 1 and type 2 diabetes would be welcome in the clinical setting, as strict glycemic control is not always a realistic treatment target in every patient. We have previously shown that antioxidant treatment with folic acid is able to normalize increased NOS dependence of renal vascular tone in subjects with the metabolic syndrome (20). As an additional treatment option, future studies need to address whether antioxidant treatment can improve renal hemodynamics by normalizing renal NO activity in subjects with diabetes mellitus.

Acknowledgments—This study was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 423, TP B5).

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

M.P.S. designed the study, researched data, and wrote the manuscript. C.O. researched data and contributed to the discussion. S.S., I.K., and S.F. researched data. R.E.S. designed the study and wrote and finally approved the manuscript. R.E.S. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

The authors are grateful for the expert technical assistance of Ingrid Fleischmann, Ines Haunschild, Dorothea Bader-Schmieder, Simone Pejkovic, and Ulrike Heinritz (all employed by the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany).

#### References

- Collins AJ, Foley RN, Herzog C, et al. US Renal Data System 2012 Annual Data Report (Abstract). Am J Kidney Dis 2013; 61(Suppl 1):A7
- Magee GM, Bilous RW, Cardwell CR, Hunter SJ, Kee F, Fogarty DG. Is hyperfiltration associated with the future risk of developing diabetic nephropathy? A meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2009; 52:691–697
- 3. Dahlquist G, Stattin EL, Rudberg S. Urinary albumin excretion rate and

glomerular filtration rate in the prediction of diabetic nephropathy; a long-term followup study of childhood onset type-1 diabetic patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2001;16: 1382–1386

- 4. Delles C, Jacobi J, Schlaich MP, John S, Schmieder RE. Assessment of endothelial function of the renal vasculature in human subjects. Am J Hypertens 2002;15:3–9
- 5. Delles C, Klingbeil AU, Schneider MP, Handrock R, Schaufele T, Schmieder RE. The role of nitric oxide in the regulation of glomerular haemodynamics in humans. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2004;19:1392– 1397
- Wolzt M, Schmetterer L, Ferber W, et al. Effect of nitric oxide synthase inhibition on renal hemodynamics in humans: reversal by L-arginine. Am J Physiol 1997; 272:F178–F182
- Sugimoto H, Shikata K, Matsuda M, et al. Increased expression of endothelial cell nitric oxide synthase (ecNOS) in afferent and glomerular endothelial cells is involved in glomerular hyperfiltration of diabetic nephropathy. Diabetologia 1998; 41:1426–1434
- Ito A, Uriu K, Inada Y, et al. Inhibition of neuronal nitric oxide synthase ameliorates renal hyperfiltration in streptozotocininduced diabetic rat. J Lab Clin Med 2001; 138:177–185
- 9. Mattar AL, Fujihara CK, Ribeiro MO, de Nucci G, Zatz R. Renal effects of acute and chronic nitric oxide inhibition in experimental diabetes. Nephron 1996;74:136– 143
- Veelken R, Hilgers KF, Hartner A, Haas A, Böhmer KP, Sterzel RB. Nitric oxide synthase isoforms and glomerular hyperfiltration in early diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2000;11:71–79
- Levine DZ, Iacovitti M, Robertson SJ, Mokhtar GA. Modulation of single-nephron GFR in the db/db mouse model of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2006;290:R975–R981
- Thomson SC, Deng A, Komine N, Hammes JS, Blantz RC, Gabbai FB. Early diabetes as a model for testing the regulation of juxtaglomerular NOS I. Am J

Physiol Renal Physiol 2004;287:F732-F738

- 13. Cosentino F, Hishikawa K, Katusic ZS, Lüscher TF. High glucose increases nitric oxide synthase expression and superoxide anion generation in human aortic endothelial cells. Circulation 1997;96:25–28
- 14. Flores C, Rojas S, Aguayo C, et al. Rapid stimulation of L-arginine transport by D-glucose involves p42/44(mapk) and nitric oxide in human umbilical vein endothelium. Circ Res 2003;92:64–72
- Kasai N, Sugimoto K, Horiba N, Suda T. Effect of D-glucose on nitric oxide release from glomerular endothelial cells. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2001;17:217–222
- 16. Hiragushi K, Sugimoto H, Shikata K, et al. Nitric oxide system is involved in glomerular hyperfiltration in Japanese normo- and micro-albuminuric patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2001;53:149–159
- 17. Apakkan Aksun S, Ozmen B, Ozmen D, et al. Serum and urinary nitric oxide in Type 2 diabetes with or without microalbuminuria: relation to glomerular hyperfiltration. J Diabetes Complications 2003;17:343–348
- Chiarelli F, Cipollone F, Romano F, et al. Increased circulating nitric oxide in young patients with type 1 diabetes and persistent microalbuminuria: relation to glomerular hyperfiltration. Diabetes 2000; 49:1258–1263
- Cherney DZ, Reich HN, Jiang S, et al. Hyperfiltration and effect of nitric oxide inhibition on renal and endothelial function in humans with uncomplicated type 1 diabetes mellitus. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2012;303:R710– R718
- Schneider MP, Schlaich MP, Harazny JM, et al. Folic acid treatment normalizes NOS-dependence of vascular tone in the metabolic syndrome. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2011;19:960–967
- 21. Smith HW, Finkelstein N, Aliminosa L, Crawford B, Graber M. The Renal Clearances of Substituted Hippuric Acid Derivatives and Other Aromatic Acids in Dog and Man. J Clin Invest 1945;24:388–404

- 22. Bode-Böger SM, Scalera F, Ignarro LJ. The L-arginine paradox: Importance of the L-arginine/asymmetrical dimethylarginine ratio. Pharmacol Ther 2007;114:295– 306
- 23. Edwards RM, Trizna W. Modulation of glomerular arteriolar tone by nitric oxide synthase inhibitors. J Am Soc Nephrol 1993;4:1127–1132
- Ito S, Ren Y. Evidence for the role of nitric oxide in macula densa control of glomerular hemodynamics. J Clin Invest 1993;92:1093–1098
- 25. Carmines PK, Perry MD, Hazelrig JB, Navar LG. Effects of preglomerular and postglomerular vascular resistance alterations on filtration fraction. Kidney Int Suppl 1987;20:S229–S232
- 26. Baylis C, Vallance P. Measurement of nitrite and nitrate levels in plasma and urine—what does this measure tell us about the activity of the endogenous nitric oxide system? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 1998;7:59–62
- Cherney DZ, Scholey JW, Sochett EB. Sex Differences in Renal Responses to Hyperglycemia, L-arginine, and L-NMMA in Humans With Uncomplicated Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 2013;36: 1290–1296
- Cherney DZ, Miller JA, Scholey JW, et al. The effect of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition on renal hemodynamic function in humans with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2008; 57:688–695
- 29. Viberti GC, Benigni A, Bognetti E, Remuzzi G, Wiseman MJ. Glomerular hyperfiltration and urinary prostaglandins in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 1989;6:219–223
- 30. Sorrenti V, Mazza F, Campisi A, Vanella L, Li Volti G, Di Giacomo C. High glucosemediated imbalance of nitric oxide synthase and dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase expression in endothelial cells. Curr Neurovasc Res 2006;3:49–54
- 31. Wiseman MJ, Saunders AJ, Keen H, Viberti G. Effect of blood glucose control on increased glomerular filtration rate and kidney size in insulin-dependent diabetes. N Engl J Med 1985;312:617–621