



# Effects of a combined treatment regimen consisting of Hsp90 inhibitor DS-2248 and radiation *in vitro* and in a tumor mouse model

Takuhito Kondo<sup>1^</sup>, Yuta Shibamoto<sup>1^</sup>, Tatsuya Kawai<sup>1^</sup>, Chikao Sugie<sup>1^</sup>, Zhen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Koichi Nakamura<sup>2</sup>, Taro Murai<sup>1</sup>, Yoshihiko Manabe<sup>1^</sup>, Masahiro Nakashima<sup>1</sup>, Masayuki Matsuo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Aichi, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Drug Metabolism & Pharmacokinetics Research Laboratories, Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, Gifu University School of Medicine, Gifu, Japan

**Contributions:** (I) Conception and design: T Kondo, Y Shibamoto, T Kawai, C Sugie; (II) Administrative support: C Sugie, Z Wang, T Murai, Y Manabe, M Nakashima, M Matsuo; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: T Kondo, K Nakamura; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: T Kondo; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: T Kondo, Y Shibamoto; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

**Correspondence to:** Takuhito Kondo, MD. Department of Radiology, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho-cho, Mizuho-ku, Nagoya 467-8601, Japan. Email: takt0826@gmail.com.

**Background:** Heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) is a molecular chaperone that is responsible for the conformational maintenance of several client proteins that play important roles in DNA damage repair, apoptosis following radiation, and resistance to radiation therapy. DS-2248 (tricyclic pyrazolopyrimidine derivative) is a newly-developed, orally available inhibitor of HSP90 with low adverse effects. We investigated the combined effects of radiation and DS-2248 *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

**Methods:** SCCVII squamous cell carcinoma cells and tumors transplanted in C3H/HeN mice were used. *In vitro* combined effects of X-ray radiation and DS-2248 were investigated using a colony assay. Phosphorylated histone H2AX ( $\gamma$ H2AX) was quantified after 2-Gy irradiation with or without 24-hour pretreatment with DS-2248. The mice bearing SCCVII tumors received oral DS-2248 10 times over 2 weeks and received local irradiation with doses of 1, 2, 3, and 4 Gy delivered 6 times over 2 weeks. Then, tumor volumes were measured.

**Results:** Radiation plus pretreatment with 50 nM DS-2248 for 24 hours produced synergistic effects on SCCVII cells.  $\gamma$ H2AX foci persisted after radiation for longer periods (6 and 24 hours) in DS-2248-treated cells than in control cells. *In vivo*, the combined effects appeared to be additive when 5 or 10 mg/kg DS-2248 was combined with total radiation doses of 6–18 Gy, but the effect was considered supra-additive when 15 mg/kg of DS-2248 was combined with a total dose of 24 Gy.

**Conclusions:** The combined effects of DS-2248 and radiation were additive at low drug and radiation doses, but may have been supra-additive at higher doses. Inhibition of slow repair of DNA double strand breaks (i.e., homologous recombination) was considered to contribute to this combined effect.

**Keywords:** Radiation therapy; Hsp90 inhibitor; DS-2248; DNA-double-strand break; radiosensitizer

Submitted Feb 28, 2021. Accepted for publication May 06, 2021.

doi: 10.21037/tcr-21-71

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: Takuhito Kondo, 0000-0001-6878-1470; Yuta Shibamoto, 0000-0003-4255-8611; Tatsuya Kawai, 0000-0003-2572-3304; Chikao Sugie, 0000-0002-4147-0610; Yoshihiko Manabe, 0000-0001-6353-4315.

## Introduction

Squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) are relatively radiosensitive, and early SCC can be cured by radiation alone; however, advanced SCC are often difficult to cure by radiation alone, and strategies to sensitize tumors to radiation or enhance antitumor effects of radiation are necessary to control large SCC. As one of these strategies, inhibiting heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) seems to be attracting attention (1,2). Several HSP90 inhibitors are now in clinical studies as anticancer agents (3-5). In addition, the possibility of their role as radiosensitizers has also been proposed in laboratory studies (2).

HSP90 is a molecular chaperone that assists in conformational maturation, folding, and refolding of client proteins during stress, and protects them from degradation. Over 200 client proteins covering the vast majority of cellular processes, such as cell growth, proliferation, and survival, have been identified so far including tyrosine kinases, transcription factors, structural proteins, and hormone receptors (6-8). Inhibiting HSP90 reduces the function of these client proteins, leading to anti-tumor effects thereby increasing DNA double strand break (DSB) (9). In addition, since HSP90 plays a crucial role in establishing resistance to radiotherapy, inhibiting HSP90 is considered to sensitize tumors to radiation (2,10), resulting in tumor growth suppression and augmentation of therapeutic cell death induction. One possible reason for radiosensitization may be inhibition of DNA DSB repair (11,12).

Some of the newly-developed HSP90 inhibitors currently in clinical trials exhibit hepatic, pulmonary, cardiac, renal, and ocular toxicities, hindering their clinical use (13-15). Therefore, development of clinically acceptable HSP90 inhibitors for combination with radiation may be important for improving the results of radiation therapy. A tricyclic pyrazolopyrimidine derivative, DS-2248 (Figure 1), is a newly-developed fully synthetic and bioavailable HSP90 inhibitor developed by Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). It is orally available and has shown potent activity in preclinical studies as an anticancer agent (16). DS-2248 at 20 mg/kg had more potent anti-tumor effect than 17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG, tanespimycin) at 50 mg/kg against NCI-N87 gastric cancer transplanted in nude mice (Honma *et al.*, presented at 7th International Conference on the Hsp90 Chaperone Machine, Seon Abbey, Germany, October 15-19, 2014).


A pharmacokinetic study in Balb/c male mice showed an area under the curve at 0–8 hours of  $527 \pm 121$  ng·hour/mL, maximum concentration in serum of  $147 \pm 21$  ng/mL, and time to maximum concentration of  $1.17 \pm 0.76$  hours after oral administration of 5 mg/kg DS-2248 (Daiichi-Sankyo, unpublished data). DS-2248 has also demonstrated activity in a Phase I trial in patients with advanced solid cancers (<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01288430>). While preliminary clinical studies of this compound showed encouraging results, preclinical laboratory data are lacking with respect to their effects when combined with radiation. Therefore, in the current study, we investigated the effects of DS-2248 in murine SCC cells and tumors, either alone or in combination with radiation. The effects on DNA DSB were also investigated. We present the following article in accordance with the ARRIVE reporting checklist (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>).

## Methods

### *Tumor cells, mice, and drugs*

SCCVII, a murine SCC line that spontaneously arose in C3H mice, was used (17,18); this was a gift from Kyoto University (Professor Koji Ono), where short tandem repeat analysis and mycoplasma testing were carried out before the transfer to our laboratory. Before initiation of this study, absence of mycoplasma infection was again confirmed. For *in vivo* studies, 8-week-old female C3H/HeN mice were obtained from Nihon SLC Co. Ltd. (Hamamatsu, Japan) and were bred under semi-sterilized conditions. Characteristics of the cells and *in vivo* tumors have been previously described in detail (17,18). SCCVII cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C with Eagle's minimum essential medium supplemented with 12.5% fetal bovine serum. All animal experiments were performed under a project license (NO.: H29M-09) granted by the Animal Ethics Committee of Nagoya City University, in compliance with Japanese Fundamental Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiment and Related Activities in Academic Research.

DS-2248 provided by Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd. was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 50 μM and then diluted with medium to 500 nM for *in vitro* studies. For quantification of phosphorylated histone H2AX (γH2AX), etoposide (OxiSelect DNA DSB Staining Kit; Cell Biolabs, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) dissolved in



**Figure 1** Chemical structure of DS-2248.

methanol at 20 mM was used as a positive control. For oral administration *in vivo*, the drug was dissolved in 0.1 N HCl in 0.5% methyl cellulose, and then the concentration was adjusted to 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 mg/mL for the DS-2248 dose of 5, 10, and 15 mg/kg, respectively, by adding 0.5% methyl cellulose. To guarantee that all mice received the same dose, DS-2248 was administered by a single investigator (TK) and all mice received the solution at 0.01 mL/g. The concentrations and drug doses tested in the present study were determined based on the preliminary studies conducted by Daiichi-Sankyo (data not shown).

#### Treatment and colony formation assay

Exponentially growing SCCVII cells were plated onto 6-cm plastic dishes with the following cell numbers: 150 for 0 Gy, 200 for 2 Gy, 500 for 4 Gy, 2,000 for 6 Gy, 20,000 for 8 Gy, 150 for DS-2248 + 0 Gy, 300 for DS + 2 Gy, 1,000 for DS + 4 Gy, 10,000 for DS + 6 Gy, and 120,000 for DS + 8 Gy. They were pre-treated with 50 nM DS-2248 dissolved in DMSO or the same concentration of DMSO alone for 24 hours at 37 °C. Then, the cells were irradiated at 2-, 4-, 6-, or 8-Gy doses at a dose rate of 1.6 Gy/minute with an X-ray apparatus CAX-210 (Chubu Medical Co., Ltd., Yokkaichi, Japan; 210 kVp, 10 mA, 2-mm Al filter) as previously described in detail (19). After irradiation, the culture medium was replaced with a fresh one without DS-2248, and cell survival was obtained by a colony formation assay; cell surviving fraction was determined from the plating efficiency of cells undergoing DS-2248 and/or radiation treatment divided by the plating efficiency of control (0 Gy) cells. Colonies containing 50 or more cells were stained and counted 7 days later.


#### Quantification of $\gamma$ H2AX foci per nucleus

Our method for  $\gamma$ H2AX foci quantification has been previously described in detail (20). Briefly, SCCVII cells were grown on 96-well chamber slides and treated with 25 or 50 nM DS-2248 dissolved in DMSO, the same amount of DMSO alone, or etoposide at 100  $\mu$ M for 24 hours. Then, the cells were irradiated at 2 Gy using the CAX-210 X-ray machine. After irradiation, the culture medium was replaced with a fresh one without the drug. At 1, 6, and 24 hours after irradiation at 2 Gy or without irradiation, all groups of cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde, permeabilized in 90% methanol, and blocked with 1% blocking/antibody incubation buffer (1% bovine serum albumin/phosphate-buffered saline). The cells were then stained and mounted with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and  $\gamma$ H2AX foci were detected with the primary antibody anti-phospho-histone H2AX ( $\times$ 100, Ser 139, OxiSelect DNA DSB Staining Kit; Cell Biolabs, Inc.) and the secondary antibody fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat antimouse IgG antibody ( $\times$ 100, OxiSelect DNA DSB Staining Kit). The  $\gamma$ H2AX foci in at least 100 cells in each group were scored using IN Cell Analyzer 6000 (GE Healthcare UK Ltd., Little Chalfont, UK).

#### Mouse model

Exponentially growing cultured SCCVII tumor cells ( $4 \times 10^5$  cells) were transplanted subcutaneously into the right hind legs of 9-week-old female C3H/HeN mice. Experiments were performed when the tumors reached  $7 \pm 1$  mm in maximum diameter. The tumor-bearing mice were randomly allocated to various treatment groups, and after measuring their tumor sizes, some of the mice were exchanged so that the average tumor volumes in all groups became similar.

First, three doses of DS-2248 dissolved in methyl cellulose were tested in combination with fractionated irradiation. Simulating the clinical situation, 5, 10, or 15 mg/kg of DS-2248 was administered orally for 5 consecutive days (Monday through Friday) over 2 weeks (i.e., days 1–5 and 8–12). A radiation dose of 4 Gy was delivered 3 times a week over 2 weeks (days 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, and 13) using the method described previously (21,22). On the day of irradiation, DS-2248 was administered 6 hours before irradiation. This timing was used based on



**Figure 2** Radiation dose-survival curves obtained by a colony assay for SCCVII cells pretreated for 24 hours with 50 nM DS-2248 (■) or dimethyl sulfoxide alone (DMSO; ○). Three experiments were performed for each point and bars represent standard errors. Regarding whether the combined effect was supra-additive or additive, \* $P=0.0001$ , \*\* $P<0.0001$  by post hoc Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test.

the pharmacokinetic data showing that the concentration in tumors was maximal at 6 hours after oral administration (15,16) and also to avoid any radioprotective effect caused by oral vehicle administration (23). The counterpart groups of mice received oral vehicle (methyl cellulose) administration with the same schedules. In the next experiments, 15 mg/kg of DS-2248 was combined with a fractional dose of 1, 2, or 3 Gy. The administration schedules for DS-2248 were identical to those of the first experiment.

Tumor volumes and body weights of the mice were measured 3 times per week for approximately 6 weeks. The three dimensions of the tumors were measured every other day using a caliper, and the tumor volume was defined as  $V = \pi/6 \times$  products of the three dimensions, as previously described (21,22).

Using Western blotting, HSP70 upregulation in SCCVII tumors by treatment with DS-2248 with or without irradiation was evaluated. Four groups of C3H/HeN mice bearing 7-mm SCCVII tumors were treated with the vehicle alone (10 times over 2 weeks), vehicle plus 4 Gy  $\times$  6 fractions over 2 weeks, DS-2248 (15 mg/kg)  $\times$  10 times over 2 weeks, and the same DS-2248 plus radiation, respectively ( $n=2$  for each group). The tumors were excised 24 hours

later, and tumor allografts were solubilized with Cell Lysis Buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Massachusetts, USA) containing cComplete™ Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., Basel, Switzerland) and PhosSTOP™ Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (F. Hoffmann-La Roche). Tumor lysates were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; DRC, Tokyo, Japan), transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and probed with Hsp70 antibody (SPA-810; Enzo Life Sciences, Inc., Lausen, Switzerland).

### Statistical analysis

All data are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE).  $P$  value  $<0.05$  was considered to indicate statistical significance. R Version 3.5.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to carry out statistical analyses. Differences in the  $\gamma$ H2AX scores between groups were examined by  $t$ -test. To evaluate whether the combined effects of radiation and DS-2248 *in vitro* and *in vivo* were additive, supra-additive (synergistic), or sub-additive, a two-way factorial ANOVA (analysis of covariance) followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test implemented in R was used;  $P$  for the interaction  $\geq 0.05$  indicated additive effect, and  $P<0.05$  indicated supra-additive effect. To evaluate the combined effect in SCCVII cells *in vitro*, the surviving fractions with and without DS-2248 were compared between 0 Gy and each dose. In SCCVII tumors, the volume doubling times were calculated for each growth curve and compared.


## Results

### *In vitro* activity

Figure 2 shows radiation dose-survival curves for SCCVII cells after radiation with or without 24-hour pretreatment with DS-2248. At 50 nM, DS-2248 showed mild cytotoxicity (surviving fraction =  $0.72 \pm 0.03$ ). When combined with radiation, the cell survival curve was steeper than the curve after radiation alone. The combined effect was considered to be supra-additive ( $P=0.0001$  at 2 Gy,  $<0.0001$  at 4, 6, and 8 Gy by post hoc Tukey's HSD test).

### Changes in $\gamma$ H2AX foci per nucleus

Figure 3 shows the number of  $\gamma$ H2AX foci in SCCVII cells with etoposide treatment (positive control), without



**Figure 3**  $\gamma$ H2AX foci/nucleus with 24-hour etoposide treatment (100  $\mu$ M) in SCCVII cells, with no radiation, and at 1, 6, and 24 hours after 2-Gy radiation with or without DS-2248 (A: 25 nM; B: 50 nM). Bars represent standard errors of 3 experiments. \* $P=0.002$ , \*\* $P<0.001$  between radiation-alone and radiation + DS-2248 groups by *t*-test.

irradiation (DMSO or DS-2248 alone), and at 1, 6, and 24 hours after 2-Gy radiation with or without DS-2248 (25 and 50 nM). Without irradiation, DS-2248 at both 25 and 50 nM produced more  $\gamma$ H2AX foci compared to the DMSO control. In the DMSO-treated cells,  $\gamma$ H2AX foci numbers were at maximum levels at 1 hour after irradiation, but returned to the levels of unirradiated cells by 24 hours. In contrast,  $\gamma$ H2AX foci remained for longer periods in DS-2248-treated cells. At 1 hour after irradiation, DS-2248 plus 2-Gy irradiation produced more  $\gamma$ H2AX foci than 2 Gy alone, and this difference became larger at 6 and 24 hours.

#### Tumor growth delay assay

Figure 4A shows results of the growth delay assay to investigate the effect of DS-2248 at drug doses of 5, 10, and 15 mg/kg in combination with 24 Gy delivered in 6 fractions. With the dose of 15 mg/kg administered 10 times, a significant growth delay was observed, whereas with the doses of 5 and 10 mg/kg, the growth delay was minimal or mild. Combined treatment with DS-2248 and radiation produced greater tumor growth delays than radiation alone. The combined effect was considered additive at the DS-2248 dose of 5 mg/kg, whereas it was considered supra-additive at 10 and 15 mg/kg ( $P=0.25$  for 5 mg/kg,  $<0.0001$  for 10 mg/kg, and  $<0.004$  for 15 mg/kg by post hoc Tukey's HSD test). Figure 4B shows the changes in the weight of all mice used in this study; no differences were observed between the DS-2248-treated and control mice.

Figure 5A shows results of the growth delay assay to investigate the effect of DS-2248 at a dose of 15 mg/kg in combination with a total dose of 6, 12, and 18 Gy delivered in 6 fractions. Again, administration of DS-2248 produced substantial growth delays. Combined treatment with DS-2248 and radiation produced greater tumor growth delays than radiation alone; the combined effect was considered supra-additive at 12 and 18 Gy ( $P=0.31$  for 6 Gy, 0.046 for 12 Gy, and  $<0.0001$  for 18 Gy by post hoc Tukey's HSD test). Figure 5B shows the changes in the weight of all mice used in this study; no differences were observed between the DS-2248-treated and control mice.


#### Western blotting

Figure 6 shows upregulation of HSP70 in SCCVII tumors treated by DS-2248 alone or DS-2248 plus radiation (Lane 5-8), whereas HSP70 was not upregulated by vehicle or vehicle plus radiation.


#### Discussion

Among various HSP90 inhibitors developed to date, geldanamycin and its derivative, 17-AAG, have been extensively studied in the laboratory. Radiosensitizing effects of these two compounds have also been studied, and the possibility of the compounds as radiosensitizers was reported (24-26). The mechanism was suggested to be modulation of the balance among






**Figure 4** Growth curves for SCCVII tumors (A) and changes in body weight of C3H/HeN mice (B) after administration of vehicle or DS-2248 (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg ×10 times, open symbols) and DS-2248 plus radiation with 24 Gy in 6 fractions (closed symbols). Bars represent standard errors of 12 mice. Regarding whether the combined effect was supra-additive or additive, P was 0.25 for 5 mg/kg, <0.0001 for 10 mg/kg, and 0.004 for 15 mg/kg by post hoc Tukey’s HSD (honestly significant difference) test.



**Figure 5** Growth curves for SCCVII tumors (A) and changes in body weight of C3H/HeN mice (B) after administration of vehicle or DS-2248 (15 mg/kg ×10 times, open symbols) and DS-2248 plus radiation with 6, 12, or 18 Gy in 6 fractions (closed symbols). Bars represent standard errors of 12 mice. For reference, the curve for 15 mg/kg DS-2248 +24 Gy in Figure 4 is included. Regarding whether the combined effect was supra-additive or additive, P was 0.31 for 6 Gy, 0.046 for 12 Gy, and <0.0001 for 18 Gy by post hoc Tukey’s HSD (honestly significant difference) test.



**Figure 6** Western blotting for SCCVII tumors in C3H/HeN mice receiving vehicle alone (Lane 1, 2), vehicle + radiation (4 Gy  $\times$ 6 fractions/2 weeks; Lane 3, 4), DS-2248 alone (15 mg/kg  $\times$ 10 times/2 weeks; Lane 5, 6), and DS-2248 (15 mg/kg  $\times$ 10 times/2 weeks) + radiation (4 Gy  $\times$ 6 fractions/2 weeks; Lane 7,8). \*The band above Hsp70 represents near-70-kilodalton proteins, which may be non-specific or specific to SCCVII tumors.

mitogenic, antiproliferative, and apoptotic pathways for geldanamycin (24). More recently, suppression of radiation-induced DNA DSB was reported for an isoxazole resorcinol-based HSP90 inhibitor, NVP-AUY922 (VER-52296), and a non-geldanamycin triazolone HSP90 inhibitor, ganetespi (11,27,28). However, combinations of inhibition of cell growth and survival pathways, ubiquitous delay in Rad51-mediated homologous recombination, CHK1-mediated G2/M arrest, and cell cycle perturbation were also considered to be associated with radiosensitization by NVP-AUY922 (11), and HIF-1 $\alpha$ -mediated mechanisms of HSP90 inhibition were also suggested for ganetespi (29). In our study, the combination of DS-2248 and radiation produced larger amounts of  $\gamma$ H2AX foci and this may be in part the results of combination of the two DNA-damaging treatments. However, when the  $\gamma$ H2AX foci numbers in the no-radiation groups and those at 24 h were compared (Figure 3), it seemed that repair of DSB was suppressed in cells treated with radiation + DS-2248 compared to cells receiving radiation alone. This would suggest that inhibition of DNA repair is one of the reasons for the combined effects of radiation and DS-2248. Since the DNA repair was more markedly suppressed at 6 and 24 hours after radiation, inhibition of homologous recombination (slow repair) may be more closely associated than non-homologous end joining; this is in agreement with results of previous studies (11,30), although both proteins associated with homologous recombination and those associated with non-homologous end joining are client proteins of HSP90 (31,32).

Cytotoxic effects of DS-2248 have been previously reported by the developers of this compound; the concentration that inhibited 50% cell growth with 3-day

DS-2248 treatment, as assessed using an MTT assay in 4 cell lines, was 9-51 nM. Moreover, DS-2248 induced apoptosis of various types of tumor cells (Honma *et al.*, presented at 7th International Conference on the Hsp90 Chaperone Machine, Seon Abbey, Germany, October 15-19, 2014). In the present study, DS-2248 at a concentration of 50 nM appeared to have a mild cytotoxic effect, and this was considered to be due to the relative resistance of SCCVII cells to cytotoxic treatment compared to human tumor cells (18,33); however, it seemed to have synergistic effect with radiation. Based on the *in vivo* efficacy demonstrated in the present study, more detailed *in vitro* studies are ongoing in our laboratory, and we did not further investigate the *in vitro* efficacy in the present study. In our future study, mechanisms of the combined effects and combination with proton beams will be investigated in more detail.

In SCCVII tumors, Western blotting showed upregulation of HSP70 by DS-2248  $\pm$  radiation treatment, so HSP90 was considered to be inhibited in the tumor. The combined effects were observed and these effects appeared to be additive at relatively low doses of DS-2248 (5 and 10 mg/kg) and with total radiation doses of 6-18 Gy. The effect was only considered supra-additive when 15 mg/kg of DS-2248 was combined with a total dose of 24 Gy. Potentiation of the combined effects at high drug and radiation doses is a commonly observed phenomenon both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (21), as is also shown in our study (Figure 2). However, the combined effects were never sub-additive and thus, it may be worthwhile to consider concurrent use of this compound and radiation therapy in clinical application. The absence of significant changes

in body weight of DS-2248-treated compared to DS-2248-untreated mice was favorable for DS-2248. A Phase I study of this compound has been completed, and low toxicities have been observed [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01288430]. To further evaluate the possibility of this compound as a clinically useful anti-cancer and radiosensitizing agent, further laboratory as well as clinical studies are warranted.

As a limitation of this study, only one tumor cell line was employed, so it may be unclear whether the results obtained can be generalized or not. However, SCCVII cells have been employed in many studies of chemical modifiers, and the efficacy of a radiosensitizer was similar among SCCVII tumors and other four human pancreatic cancers (33). In future studies, we plan to test DS-2248 in other tumor models. Another limitation was that other cytotoxicity assays and immunohistochemistry studies of relevant organs were not performed, and these should also be topics of future studies.

In conclusion, potent anti-tumor effects of a new HSP90 inhibitor, DS-2248, were observed when used in combination with radiation in SCCVII cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The mechanism for this effect is likely to be related to the downregulation of DNA repair after DS-2248 treatment. These results would warrant further clinical investigation of HSP90 inhibitors in combination with radiation therapy.

### Acknowledgments

This study was carried out in part at the Core Laboratory, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences. The authors are grateful to Mr. Hiroshi Takase for technical support and Drs. Shinji Tsutsumi, Satoshi Ishikura, Hiromitsu Iwata, Shingo Hashimoto, Taiki Takaoka, Yasutaka Ogawa, Koichiro Nakajima, and Kento Nomura for valuable discussions during the preparation of this study.

The authors thank Daniel Mrozek for English Proofreading.

**Funding:** This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K07725.

### Footnote

**Reporting Checklist:** The authors have completed the ARRIVE checklist. Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>

**Data Sharing Statement:** Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>

**Peer Review File:** Available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-71>). Except Koichi Nakamura and Masayuki Matsuo (from Daiichi-Sankyo Co., Ltd.), all other authors report grants from JSPS KAKENHI, during the conduct of the study.

**Ethical Statement:** The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All animal experiments were performed under a project license (NO.: H29M-09) granted by the Animal Ethics Committee of Nagoya City University, in compliance with Japanese Fundamental Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiment and Related Activities in Academic Research.

**Open Access Statement:** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

### References

1. Patel HJ, Modi S, Chiosis G, et al. Advances in the discovery and development of heat-shock protein 90 inhibitors for cancer treatment. *Expert Opin Drug Discov* 2011;6:559-87.
2. Solárová Z, Mojžiš J, Solár P. Hsp90 inhibitor as a sensitizer of cancer cells to different therapies (Review). *Int J Oncol* 2015;46:907-26.
3. Shah S, Luke JJ, Jacene HA, et al. Results from phase II trial of HSP90 inhibitor, STA-9090 (ganetespib), in metastatic uveal melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 2018;28:605-10.
4. Piotrowska Z, Costa DB, Oxnard GR, et al. Activity of the Hsp90 inhibitor luminespib among non-small-cell lung cancers harboring EGFR exon 20 insertions. *Ann Oncol*



- 2018;29:2092-7.
5. Goyal L, Chaudhary SP, Kwak EL, et al. A phase 2 clinical trial of the heat shock protein 90 (HSP 90) inhibitor ganetespib in patients with refractory advanced esophagogastric cancer. *Invest New Drugs* 2020;38:1533-9.
  6. Hoter A, El-Sabban ME, Naim HY. The HSP90 family: structure, regulation, function, and implications in health and disease. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018;19:2560.
  7. Whitesell L, Lindquist SL. HSP90 and the chaperoning of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005;5:761-72.
  8. McClellan AJ, Xia Y, Deutschbauer AM, et al. Diverse cellular functions of the Hsp90 molecular chaperone uncovered using systems approaches. *Cell* 2007;131:121-35.
  9. Spiegelberg D, Abramenkova A, Mortensen ACL, et al. The HSP90 inhibitor Onalespib exerts synergistic anti-cancer effects when combined with radiotherapy: an in vitro and in vivo approach. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:5923.
  10. Camphausen K, Tofilon PJ. Combining radiation and molecular targeting in cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2004;3:247-50.
  11. Ha K, Fiskus W, Rao R, et al. Hsp90 inhibitor-mediated disruption of chaperone association of ATR with hsp90 sensitizes cancer cells to DNA damage. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2011;10:1194-206.
  12. Zaidi S, McLaughlin M, Bhide SA, et al. The HSP90 inhibitor NVP-AUY922 radiosensitizes by abrogation of homologous recombination resulting in mitotic entry with unresolved DNA damage. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e35436.
  13. Garcia-Carbonero R, Carnero A, Paz-Ares L. Inhibition of HSP90 molecular chaperones: moving into the clinic. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:e358-69.
  14. Pacey S, Wilson RH, Walton M, et al. A phase I study of the heat shock protein 90 inhibitor alvespimycin (17-DMAG) given intravenously to patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:1561-70.
  15. Sequist LV, Gettinger S, Senzer NN, et al. Activity of IPI-504, a novel heat-shock protein 90 inhibitor, in patients with molecularly defined non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4953-60.
  16. Ohki H, Okayama T, Ikeda M, et al. Tricyclic pyrazolopyrimidine derivative. United States Patent Application Publication, 2012:US2012/0202833 A1.
  17. Shibamoto Y, Yukawa Y, Tsutsui K, et al. Variation in the hypoxic fraction among mouse tumors of different types, sizes, and sites. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 1986;77:908-15.
  18. Shibamoto Y, Streffer C, Fuhrmann C, et al. Tumor radiosensitivity prediction by the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay. *Radiat Res* 1991;128:293-300.
  19. Shibamoto Y, Ito M, Sugie C, et al. Recovery from sublethal damage during intermittent exposures in cultured tumor cells: implications for dose modification in radiosurgery and IMRT. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004;59:1484-90.
  20. Wang Z, Sugie C, Nakashima M, et al. Changes in the proliferation rate, clonogenicity, and radiosensitivity of cultured cells during and after continuous low-dose-rate irradiation. *Dose Response* 2019;17:1559325819842733.
  21. Shibamoto Y, Murata R, Miyauchi S, et al. Combined effects of clinically relevant doses of emitefur, a new 5-fluorouracil derivative, and radiation in murine tumors. *Br J Cancer* 1996;74:1709-13.
  22. Takaoka T, Shibamoto Y, Matsuo M, et al. Biological effects of hydrogen peroxide administered intratumorally with or without irradiation in murine tumors. *Cancer Sci* 2017;108:1787-92.
  23. Shibamoto Y, Miyauchi S, Kiyokawa H, et al. Oral vehicle administration before radiation causes radioprotection of murine SCCVII tumors. *Oncol Rep* 1997;4:787-9.
  24. Matsumoto Y, Machida H, Kubota N. Preferential sensitization of tumor cells to radiation by heat shock protein 90 inhibitor geldanamycin. *J Radiat Res* 2005;46:215-21.
  25. Noguchi M, Yu D, Hirayama R, et al. Inhibition of homologous recombination repair in irradiated tumor cells pretreated with Hsp90 inhibitor 17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006;351:658-63.
  26. Fujii Y, Kato T, Kubota N, et al. p53 independent radiosensitization of human lymphoblastoid cell lines by Hsp90 inhibitor 17-allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin. *Oncol Rep* 2010;23:199-203.
  27. Chettiar ST, Malek R, Annadanam A, et al. Ganetespib radiosensitization for liver cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2016;17:457-66.
  28. Kühnel A, Schilling D, Combs SE, et al. Radiosensitization of HSF-1 knockdown lung cancer cells by low concentrations of Hsp90 inhibitor NVP-AUY922. *Cells* 2019;8:1166.
  29. Nagaraju GP, Zakka KM, Landry JC, et al. Inhibition of HSP90 overcomes resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy in pancreatic cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2019;145:1529-37.
  30. IJff M, van Oorschot B, Oei AL, et al. Enhancement of radiation effectiveness in cervical cancer cells by

- combining ionizing radiation with hyperthermia and molecular targeting agents. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018;19:2420.
31. Pennisi R, Ascenzi P, di Masi A. Hsp90: A new player in DNA repair? *Biomolecules* 2015;5:2589-618.
  32. Hirakawa H, Fujisawa H, Masaoka A, et al. The combination of Hsp90 inhibitor 17AAG and heavy-ion irradiation provides effective tumor control in human lung cancer cells. *Cancer Med* 2015;4:426-36.
  33. Shibamoto Y, Kubota T, Kishii K, et al. Radiosensitivity of human pancreatic cancer cells in vitro and in vivo, and the effect of a new hypoxic cell sensitizer, doranidazole. *Radiother Oncol* 2000;56:265-70.

**Cite this article as:** Kondo T, Shibamoto Y, Kawai T, Sugie C, Wang Z, Nakamura K, Murai T, Manabe Y, Nakashima M, Matsuo M. Effects of a combined treatment regimen consisting of Hsp90 inhibitor DS-2248 and radiation *in vitro* and in a tumor mouse model. *Transl Cancer Res* 2021;10(6):2767-2776. doi: 10.21037/tcr-21-71