

Editorial



Thick or Thin, Durable or Biodegradable Polymers: Does Stent Design Still Matter?

Seung-Jun Lee , MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Severance Cardiovascular Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Feb 3, 2025

Revised: Feb 9, 2025

Accepted: Feb 12, 2025

Published online: Mar 4, 2025

Correspondence to

Seung-Jun Lee, MD, PhD

Division of Cardiology, Severance Cardiovascular Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1, Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea.
Email: sjunlee@yuhs.ac

Copyright © 2025. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Seung-Jun Lee 
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9201-4818>

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

► See the article “Comparison of Thick Biolimus A9-Eluting Stent and Thin Zotarolimus-Eluting Stent in Multi-Vessel Percutaneous Coronary Intervention” in volume 55 on page 396.

Advances in stent manufacturing technology have enabled thinner struts with maintained radial force, while biocompatible polymers ensuring consistent anti-proliferative drug release have lowered stent failure rates.¹⁾ In contrast to first-generation drug-eluting stents (DESs), which were associated with an increased risk of stent thrombosis, next-generation durable polymer DES (DP-DES) have been shown to significantly reduce the risk of stent thrombosis while maintaining low rates of in-stent restenosis compared to bare-metal stents.²⁾³⁾

However, the risk of very late stent thrombosis remains following the implantation of second-generation DES, potentially due to chronic vascular inflammation induced by the persistent polymer within the stent.⁴⁾ In this context, DESs with biodegradable polymers (BP-DESs), which could minimize the possible polymer-related complications, are increasingly utilized in contemporary clinical practice.⁵⁾ Despite this rationale, robust evidence from randomized controlled trials assessing the impact of stent platform, polymer design, and pharmacologic characteristics on clinical outcomes remains limited.

In this issue of *Korean Circulation Journal*, Nam et al.⁶⁾ presented the results of a prospective, open-label, multicenter, randomized controlled trial evaluation whether a biolimus A9-eluting BP-DES with thick struts is non-inferior to a zotarolimus-eluting DP-DES with thin struts in patients undergoing multi-vessel percutaneous coronary intervention with respect to a composite outcome of all-cause death, myocardial infarction, or any revascularization for 2 years. Among the 936 patients randomly assigned to either biolimus A9-eluting BP-DES (n=472) and zotarolimus-eluting DP-DES (n=464), there was no significant difference in the primary endpoint occurrence (11.2% vs. 10.9%; hazard ratio, 1.00; 95% confidence interval, 0.68–1.48; p=0.99), confirming the primary non-inferiority hypothesis. Concordantly, the risks of each individual component of the primary endpoint—all-cause death, myocardial infarction, or any revascularization—were comparable between the 2 groups. Interestingly, the rate of device failure requiring implantation of an alternative DESs was higher in patients treated with the biolimus A9-eluting BP-DES, likely due to its inherent stent design. Notably, the relatively thinner cobalt–chromium struts (81–91 μ m) of zotarolimus-eluting DP-DES may enhance deliverability and conformability in complex lesions compared to the thicker stainless steel struts (114–120 μ m) of biolimus A9-eluting BP-DES.

Data Sharing Statement

The data generated in this study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

As the authors acknowledged, relatively lower event rates than expected should be considered during interpretation of the result, as a major limitations. These findings are consistent with those of the HOST-REDUCE-POLYTECH-ACS (Harmonizing Optimal Strategy for Treatment of Coronary Artery Diseases—Comparison of Reduction of Prasugrel Dose or Polymer Technology in ACS Patients) trial, which demonstrated comparable outcomes between BP-DES and DP-DES in patients with acute coronary syndrome, with respect to the primary composite endpoint of all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or any repeat revascularization at 12 months.⁷⁾

Taken together, In the contemporary era, where most DESs have highly refined stent platforms, polymers, and pharmacologic profiles, achieving optimal procedural results through intravascular imaging, physiologic assessment, optimal medical therapy, and cardiac rehabilitation appears to be of primary importance, regardless of DES type.

REFERENCES

1. Palmerini T, Benedetto U, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Long-term safety of drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: evidence from a comprehensive network meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65:2496-507. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Byrne RA, Stone GW, Ormiston J, Kastrati A. Coronary balloon angioplasty, stents, and scaffolds. *Lancet* 2017;390:781-92. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Bangalore S, Toklu B, Patel N, Feit F, Stone GW. Newer-generation ultrathin strut drug-eluting stents versus older second-generation thicker strut drug-eluting stents for coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 2018;138:2216-26. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Otsuka F, Byrne RA, Yahagi K, et al. Neointimal hyperplasia: overview of histopathologic findings and implications for intravascular imaging assessment. *Eur Heart J* 2015;36:2147-59. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. El-Hayek G, Bangalore S, Casso Dominguez A, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stent to second-generation durable polymer drug-eluting stents. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2017;10:462-73. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Nam CW, Lee CH, Lee HJ, et al. Comparison of thick biolimus A9-eluting stent and thin zotarolimus-eluting stent in multi-vessel percutaneous coronary intervention. *Korean Circ J* 2025;55:396-407. [CROSSREF](#)
7. Kim HS, Kang J, Hwang D, et al. Durable polymer versus biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute coronary syndrome: the HOST-REDUCE-POLYTECH-ACS trial. *Circulation* 2021;143:1081-91. [PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)