Treatment Outcome of Radiotherapy Alone versus Radiochemotherapy in IE/IIE Extranodal Nasal-Type Natural Killer/T Cell Lymphoma: A Meta-Analysis



Tianxia Deng[¶], Cheng Zhang[¶], Xi Zhang, Sha Wu, Yaqi Xu, Shanshan Liu, Xinghua Chen*

Department of Hematology, Xinqiao Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China

Abstract

Background: Previous studies have revealed conflicting findings concerning the efficacy of radiotherapy (RT) and radiochemotherapy (RCT) in IE/IIE extranodal nasal-type natural killer/T cell lymphoma (ENKTL). In this study, we conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis to address this issue.

Methods: We systematically searched PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), EmBase, BISOS, Clinical Trials and some Chinese databases for relevant studies, and 2 prospective and 15 retrospective studies involving a total of 1595 patients met our inclusion criteria.

Results: The meta-analysis showed no significant differences in complete remission (CR) [odds ratio (OR) 0.85, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.42–1.72, p = 0.65], 5-year overall survival (OS) [hazard ratio (HR) 1.11, 95% CI 0.85–1.45, p = 0.43] and 5-year progression free survival (PFS) (HR 1.07, 95% CI 0.75–1.53, p = 0.70) in patients who received RT versus RCT. Furthermore, the addition of CT decreased neither systemic failure (SL) (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.47–1.21, p = 0.24) nor locoregional failure (LF) (OR 1.17, 95% CI 0.68–2.01, p = 0. 57).

Conclusions: RCT did not have an obvious advantage over RT for treating IE/IIE ENKTL.

Citation: Deng T, Zhang C, Zhang X, Wu S, Xu Y, et al. (2014) Treatment Outcome of Radiotherapy Alone versus Radiochemotherapy in IE/IIE Extranodal Nasal-Type Natural Killer/T Cell Lymphoma: A Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE 9(9): e106577. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577

Editor: Robert K. Hills, Cardiff University, United Kingdom

Received December 1, 2013; Accepted August 7, 2014; Published September 3, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Deng et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 81170467), the Key Discipline of Medical Science of Chongqing and the special foundation for the "1130 Project" of Xinqiao Hospital of Third Military Medical University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: xhchen888@aliyun.com

¶ TXD and CZ are co-first authors on this work.

Introduction

Extranodal nasal-type natural killer/T cell lymphoma (ENKTL), which is also referred to as lethal midline granuloma, polymorphic malignant reticulosis, or angiocentric immunoproliferative lesions, accounts for a small fraction of non-Hodgkin lymphomas [1,2]. It has a peculiar geographic distribution: the disease is more prevalent in Asia than it is in Western countries [3–5]. It is usually associated with the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [6–8] and often leads to destruction in the upper aero-digestive tract, particularly in the nasal cavity and paranasal areas [9,10]. Additionally, tumors occur in various areas, including the skin, testis, prostate gland and orbit [11–14].

The optimal treatment regimen for IE/IIE ENKTL has not been completely determined. The efficacy of transplantation for IE/IIE ENKTL is still being investigated [9]. Although only a limited number of studies have been conducted, patients with a poor prognosis or advanced disease (stage III/IV) are considered the best candidates for autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) or unrelated cord blood transplantation (CBT) [15–18]. Therefore, for early stage (IE/IIE) ENKTL, the most suitable treatment options might be radiotherapy (RT), chemotherapy (CT) or combined therapy. Some studies have demonstrated that this tumor is highly sensitive to RT, suggesting that RT alone is a sufficient treatment regimen [19,20]. However, other studies have suggested that RT alone has a high relapse rate, and the combination of RT and CT has been explored [21,22]. Nevertheless, the efficacy of the additional CT was recently questioned. Numerous studies have revealed no significant differences in the treatment outcomes between RT and radiochemotherapy (RCT) for IE/IIE ENKTL [23–25]. Moreover, G.E. Kim et al. indicated that medical complications (such as sepsis or intractable bleeding) are more severe in patients who received RCT [24].

Although a similar study has been previously published, we used a stricter inclusion criteria and a larger sample size with a total of 1595 patients in our study [26]. Moreover, the subgroup analysis of different types of CT, countries and study designs was performed. The focus of our meta-analysis, which examined parameters including complete remission (CR), 5-year overall survival (OS), 5-year progression free survival (PFS), systemic failure (SF) and locoregional failure (LF), was to compare the effectiveness of RT with that of RCT in IE/IIE ENKTL patients.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

A literature search of PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), EmBase, BISOS, Clinical Trials, Chinese Biological Medical literature (CBM), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Chinese science and technology periodical database (VIP) with the keywords ((NK/T cell lymphoma) OR (natural killer/T cell lymphoma)) AND (radiotherapy OR chemotherapy OR treatment OR outcome) was performed. The languages of the published papers were limited to English and Chinese, and only studies conducted before October 2013 were included. To include additional studies, the reference lists from the included studies were also screened. Two independent investigators conducted this search. Figure 1 depicts a flow diagram of the selection procedure.

Inclusion Criteria

The relevant studies were carefully selected based on the following criteria: (1) patients who were confirmed as Ann Arbor stage IE or IIE ENKTL; (2) the disease was not diagnosed as a second primary carcinoma; (3) randomized controlled trials (RCT) were selected as a priori choice; otherwise, other interventional studies were included; (4) the outcomes of RT, RCT and CT were compared; (5) the article measured at least one of the following clinical indicators: CR, 5-year OS, 5-year PFS, SF or LF; (6) the latest paper was preferred if there were affiliated studies; and (7) the paper scored at least 6 based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) for retrospective cohort studies and at least 3 based on Jadad scale for RCTs.

Efficacy indicators

CR was defined as the complete disappearance of all detectable clinical and radiographic evidence of disease. OS was defined as the period of time from the date of treatment to the date of death or the date of the last follow-up visit. PFS was calculated from the end date of the initial treatment to the date of the first local or distance relapse or to the date of the last follow-up or death. Any active evidence of clinical, laboratory or radiologic data in extranasal lesions was considered SF. LF was defined as persistence of the primary tumor. The indicators of CR, SF, and



Figure 1. Flow chart of the identification process. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.g001

LF were measured by odds ratio (OR) and hazard ratio (HR) for OS and PFS.

Data extraction

Two independent reviewers extracted the data. Discrepancies were resolved by group discussion. The primary information extracted from the studies included the first author, study design, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score and etc. The data from each paper were scrutinized. Table 1 summarizes the primary reported outcomes. Data related to the clinical outcomes, such as CR, OS, PFS, SF and LF, were also extracted.

Statistical analysis

ORs and HRs with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to assess the treatment outcomes. ORs were computed for dichotomous variables by applying the Mantel-Haenszel method. HRs and the 95% CIs were computed using the Engauge Digitizer software and the method of Jayne F Tierney [27]. Statistical heterogeneity in the study was estimated by the χ^2 test and I^2 statistic. Values were considered to display statistically significant heterogeneity when the χ^2 P-value was <0.1 or the I² statistic was > 50%. The fixed-effects model was adopted if there was no sign of heterogeneity. Otherwise, the random-effects model was applied. Meta regression was used to locate the source of potential heterogeneity. Then, we applied the subgroup analysis to determine the potential effect on the treatment outcomes. Twoside P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. To examine the publication bias, Egger text was used. All calculations were performed using STATA (version 11.0).

Results

Study selection results

Figure 1 shows the identification process of eligible studies. We retrieved 2,236 potential papers from the electronic databases. Of the initial collection of articles, 2,009 articles were excluded based on the title or abstract. After reading the complete text of the remaining studies, 17 articles met our inclusion criteria [23–25,28–41]. The studies were primarily excluded because the common therapeutic measures were CT, RT or RCT alone. Some studies met the comparison criteria but had no available data. An additional 5 papers were excluded because they were affiliated studies. In addition, 30 duplicate reports and 5 papers with low NOS scores were eliminated.

Characteristics of the final studies

17 studies were finally included. Of these, two were prospective, one was multicenter retrospective, and the remainder was retrospective. All retrospective cohort papers had an NOS score of at least 6 points (Table 2) and all prospective papers had a Jadad scale score of at least 3 points. A total of 1595 patients were enrolled and were classified as Ann Arbor stage IE or IIE ENKTL. The median age of the patients in each study varied from 40 to 53 years. Some clinical manifestations, such as B systems were also recorded. However, regrettably, some papers that included stage IIIE or IVE ENKTL lacked basic information. Based on the different extracted data, we included 3 papers by YX Li et al. that had different publication years [32,33,41]. The basic characteristics of the 17 studies are summarized in Table 1. The details of the CT regimen and combined therapy are listed in Table 3, and the RT information is shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the 17 studies used in our meta-analysis.

KurdiasKurdiaCurry(TRYTict)(mai)app(Fil)F (1)F (1)				No. of patients	Sex	Median	Stage	ECOG score			
H.M.EtrospectiveClina02241364451/3523494patients nasis anusis anusisC.E.(n. 24)RenospectiveKora07043687034NRNRNRdeyers' ring, onal cavity/soft patienK.E.(n. 24)RenospectiveKora033202324247144911NRPapalayon: onal cavity/soft patienK.E.(n. 24)RenospectiveKora23730210NR24713NRPasal cavity naronal situas; toroisi,A.Me s1281renospectiveKora27930NR27730NRPasal cavity naronal situas; toroisi,A.Me s1281renospectiveCrina27930NRNRNRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina27930NRNRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29063NRNRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina29043NRNRA.Luo (301renospectiveCrina2913104NRNRA.Luo (301 </th <th>Studies</th> <th>Study design</th> <th>Country</th> <th>(CT/RT/RCT)</th> <th>(male)</th> <th>age</th> <th>(IE/IIE)</th> <th>(≤1/≥2)</th> <th>B symptoms</th> <th>High LDH</th> <th>Primary site</th>	Studies	Study design	Country	(CT/RT/RCT)	(male)	age	(IE/IIE)	(≤1/≥2)	B symptoms	High LDH	Primary site
G Kin ZitlerrospectiveKora0104/39647034NININIControling onte controling on the control onte control onte controling onte control onte controling onte control onte c	HH Ma [23]	retrospective	China	0/23/41	36	44	51/13	62/2	34	6	44 patients: nasal cavity, paranasal sinus
K m [23]c trospectiveMore033203742421144911NRmaged any mach allowers, nasophaym, contravingA Mi s [31]p oppectivemexic16/109/202201NR24/179NRNRMoreophaym, and palsetrosophaym, and palseA Mi s [31]p or pectivemexic2/3/90NRNRNRNRNRNRU Luo [30]rerrospectiveToma2/3/90NRNRNRNRNRNRU Luo [31]rerrospectiveCrima2/3/90NRNRNRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima2/3/94NRNRNRNRU Luo [31]rerrospectiveCrima2/3/94NRNRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [31]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [31]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima0/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima1/96/113NRNRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveCrima1/96/1131/96/113NRNRU Luo [32]rerrospectiveC	GE Kim [24]	retrospective	Korea	0/104/39	68	46	70/34	NR	18	NR	nasal cavity/paranasal sinuses, larynx/hypopharynx, Waldeyer's ring, oral cavity/soft palate
Λ Nile 12jpropertiesMerice16/109/202201NR 247179 NR 3710 NRpresolvany, hard palaeYL Luo [20]retrospectiveAmeric2730NRNRNRNRNRNRJL Luo [20]retrospectiveCina2730/891NRNRNRNRNRJL Luo [20]retrospectiveCina2730/891NRNRNRNRJL Luo [20]retrospectiveCina230/8891NRNRNRNRJL Luo [20]retrospectiveCina230/98141120133NRNRJL Luo [21]retrospectiveCina290/18NRNRNRNRJL Luo [23]retrospectiveCina89/6557181/6466/64331JL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/65574331/67373JL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/655766/6NRNRNRJL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/65574352/3066/164331JL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/655767/6NRNRNRAnal cavity paraesal sinuse, other paratsJL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/655767/6NRNRNRNRJL Luo [24]retrospectiveCina89/655767/6NRNRNRNR </td <td>K Kim [25]</td> <td>retrospective</td> <td>Korea</td> <td>0/33/20</td> <td>37</td> <td>45</td> <td>42/11</td> <td>44/9</td> <td>11</td> <td>NR</td> <td>nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, oral cavity</td>	K Kim [25]	retrospective	Korea	0/33/20	37	45	42/11	44/9	11	NR	nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, oral cavity
$V \cup [2]$ errospectiveAmerica $2/730$ NRNRNR $L \cup [2]$ errospectiveChina $2/30$ /3891NRNRNR $L \cup [2]$ errospectiveChina $2/30$ /3891NRNRNR $L \cup [2]$ errospectiveChina $2/30$ /3891NRNRNR $L \cup [2]$ rerospectiveChina $2/30$ /38NRNRNR $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $0'9'$ /18NRNRNR $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $4/13'$ /49NRNRNRNR $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $4/13'$ /49NRNRNRNR $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $4/13'$ /49NRNRNRNR $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $8/9'$ /55' $5'$ $9'$ /16A'A' $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $8/9'$ /55' $5'$ $9'$ /16A'A' $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveChina $8/9'$ /5 $5'$ $5'$ $9'$ /16A'A' $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveSingle rest of rerospective restresoliger restresoliger restresoliger rest $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveSingle rest $8'$ /16NRNRNRP'P' $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveSingle rest $1'$ /16 $1'$ /16P' $1'$ /16P' $V \cup [1]$ rerospectiveSingle rest $1'$ /18NR<	A. Avile s [28]	prospective	Mexico	116/109/202	201	NR	247/179	NR	m	40	nasal cavity, nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, tonsils, hypopharynx, hard palate
L Luc (30)etrospectiveChia $230/80$ 91NRNRNRA Chaucher (31)multienteFance $6/08$ NR $10/6$ NRNRNRA Chaucher (31)multienteFance $6/08$ NR $10/6$ NRNRNRY Li (32)retrospectiveChia $0/96/18$ 141 42 $182/32$ $198/16$ 67 73 $nasi cavityY Li (33)retrospectiveChia413/54NRNRNRNRnasi cavityY Li (33)retrospectiveChia413/54NRNRNRNRnasi cavityY Li (33)retrospectiveChia413/54NRNRNRNRnasi cavityY Li (33)retrospectiveChia413/54NRNRNRNRNRY Li (34)retrospectiveChia413/54NR87566/164331nasi cavityY Li (34)retrospectiveChia413/54NR876876876876876Y Li (34)retrospectiveSinger20/17317212212/6876876876Y Li (34)retrospectiveSinger876/17876876876876876876876Y Li (35)retrospectiveSinger876/17876876876876876876876876$	SY Li [29]	retrospective	America	2/7/30	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
A chaucher [31]muticativeFance8/04NRN0N8Y L [32]etrospectiveChina0/96/1814122192/32198/166773nasal cavityY L [33]etrospectiveChina4/13/341414218/25198/166773nasal cavityY L [13]etrospectiveChina8/9/15741425192/32198/166773nasal cavityY L [13]etrospectiveChina8/9/535766/167373nasal cavitynasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveChina8/9/5357677373nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveChina8/9/5357677373nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveChina8/9/5374737473nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveNone26/017302431/67177nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveJavina26/017302424/331/3777nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveJavina1717171777nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveJavina171717177nasal cavity ofthisW L Iang [34]etrospectiveJavina18/1771717 <td>JL Luo [30]</td> <td>retrospective</td> <td>China</td> <td>2/30/98</td> <td>91</td> <td>NR</td> <td>116/14</td> <td>1 29/1</td> <td>33</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td>	JL Luo [30]	retrospective	China	2/30/98	91	NR	116/14	1 29/1	33	NR	NR
W L [J] etrospective Chia 096/18 141 42 182/32 189/16 67 73 nasal cavity W L [J] etrospective China 4/13/4 NR NR NR NR nasal cavity W L Huang [34] etrospective China 8/9/65 57 45 52/30 66/16 43 31 nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, other parts of the upper aeodigestive tract. W L Huang [34] etrospective Sinu 26/017 30 24/19 37/6 NR 7 nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, other parts of the upper aeodigestive tract. W L Huang [34] etrospective Japan 0/17/18 31 NR 7 7 nasal cavity on the apodigestive tract. W L Huang [37] etrospective Japan 0/17/18 31 NR NR </td <td>A Chauchet [31]</td> <td>multicenter retrospective</td> <td>France</td> <td>8/0/8</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td> <td>10/6</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td> <td>NR</td>	A Chauchet [31]	multicenter retrospective	France	8/0/8	NR	NR	10/6	NR	NR	NR	NR
KL [13]retospectiveChia4/13/4NR15/56NRNRNRNRNRnasohaynk, tonsilM Huarg [34]retospectiveChina8/9/65574552/3066/164331nasal cavity paranasal sinuses, other parts of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract.U klube [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18213/1NRNRNRK lobe [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18213/2NRNRNRM Klubung [39]retospectiveJapan0/17/18213/2NRNRNRM Clubung [30]retospectiveHoug Nordi1010101010M Klubung [30]retospectiveHoug Nordi1010101010M Clubung [30]retospectiveHoug Nordi101010	YX Li [32]	retrospective	China	0/96/118	141	42	182/32	198/16	67	73	nasal cavity
M Huang [34]retrospectiveChia8/9/65574552/3066/164331nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, other parts of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract.J Kim [35]retrospectiveKorae26/0/17304024/1937/6NR12paral cavity, nasopharyns, tonsil, oropharyns, hypopharynJ Kim [31]retrospectiveJapan0/17/18215132/3NR77nasal cavity, nasopharyns, tonsil, oropharyns, hypopharynK Isobe [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18215132/3NR77nasal cavity, nasopharyns, tonsil, oropharyns, hypopharynK Isobe [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18215132/3NR77nasal cavity, nasopharyns, tonsil, oropharyns, Jonopharyns, Jonopha	YX Li [33]	retrospective	China	4/13/54	NR	NR	15/56	NR	NR	NR	nasopharynx, tonsil
Jc Kim [35]retrospectiveKorea26/017304024/1937/6NR12masal cavity, nasopharynx, tonsil, oropharynx, typopharynK sobe [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18215132/3NR77nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, pharynxK sobe [36]prospectiveJapan0/17/18215132/3NR77nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, pharynxW Tham [37]retrospectiveSingapore0/71/18NRNRNRNRNRW Tham [37]retrospectiveTaiwan18/11/274245NRNRNRnasal area, paranasal sinuses, pharynx, ronsilsW Tham [37]retrospectiveTaiwan18/11/274245NRNRNRnasal area, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, ronsilsM Cheung [39]retrospectiveHong Kong0/18/615853/1672/72220nasal cavity, nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, ronsilsM Cheung [30]retrospectiveFrance12/6/2144416/4NRNRnasal cavity, nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, ronsilsW Shirag [40]retrospectiveFrance12/6/21416/4NRNRNRnasal cavity, nasopharynx, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, ronsilsW Cu Li [31]retrospectiveFrance12/6/21416/4NRNRNRNRNRW Cu Li [32]retrospectiveFrance12/6/214	MJ Huang [34]	retrospective	China	8/9/65	57	45	52/30	66/16	43	31	nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, other parts of the upper aerodigestive tract, other sites outside nasal cavity of the upper aerodigestive tract
K lobe [36] prospective Japan 0/17/18 21 31 NR 7 7 nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, pharynx WK Tham [37] retrospective Singapore 0/5/13 NR NR NR NR nasal, waldeyer's ring, both WK Tham [37] retrospective Singapore 0/5/13 NR NR NR nasal, waldeyer's ring, both WK Tham [37] retrospective Taiwan 18/11/27 42 45 NR NR nasal area, paranasal sinuses, paraopharynx, oropharynx, pinus, tonsils MK Chung [39] retrospective Hong Kong 0/18/61 53 63/16 72/7 22 20 nasal cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils MK Chung [30] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils V Kibrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, nosopharynx, oropharynx, tonsils V Kibrag [40] retrospective Frane 12/7 <td>SJ Kim [35]</td> <td>retrospective</td> <td>Korea</td> <td>26/0/17</td> <td>30</td> <td>40</td> <td>24/19</td> <td>37/6</td> <td>NR</td> <td>12</td> <td>nasal cavity, nasopharynx, tonsil, oropharynx, hypopharynx, palate</td>	SJ Kim [35]	retrospective	Korea	26/0/17	30	40	24/19	37/6	NR	12	nasal cavity, nasopharynx, tonsil, oropharynx, hypopharynx, palate
WK Tham [37] retrospective Singapore 0/5/13 NR NR NR nasal, Waldeyer's ring, both CL [38] retrospective Taiwn 18/11/27 42 45 NR NR NR masal, Waldeyer's ring, both CL [38] retrospective Taiwn 18/11/27 42 45 NR NR masal area, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils MK Cheurg [39] retrospective Hong Kong 0/18/61 58 53/16 72/7 22 20 nasal cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils V Ribrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, oropharynx, parate VX Li [41] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, oropharynx, parate	K Isobe [36]	prospective	Japan	0/17/18	21	51	32/3	NR	7	7	nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, pharynx
C Li [38] retrospective Taiwan 18/11/27 42 45 N R N R N R nasal area, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils M Cheung [39] retrospective Hong Kong 0/18/61 58 53 63/16 72/7 22 20 nasal area, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, oropharynx, tonsils M Cheung [39] retrospective Hong Kong 0/18/61 58 53 63/16 72/7 22 20 nasal cavity, nasopharynx V Ribrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 44 16/4 N R N R nasal cavity, oropharynx, palate Y Li [41] retrospective China 3/31/71 69 42 83/21 87/18 37 53 left, right, bilateral nasal cavity	IWK Tham [37]	retrospective	Singapore	0/5/13	NR	NR	13/5	NR	NR	NR	nasal, Waldeyer's ring, both
MM Cheung [39] retrospective Hong Kong 0/18/61 58 53 63/16 72/7 22 20 nasal cavity, nasopharynx V Ribrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 44 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, oropharynx, palate V Kibrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 44 16/4 NR NR nasal cavity, oropharynx, palate V K Li [41] retrospective China 3/31/71 69 42 83/22 87/18 37 53 left, right, bilateral nasal cavity	CC Li [38]	retrospective	Taiwan	18/11/27	42	45	NR	NR	NR	NR	nasal area, paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx, oropharynx, pharynx, tonsils
V Ribrag [40] retrospective France 12/6/2 14 44 16/4 NR NR NR nasal cavity, oropharynx, palate YX Li [41] retrospective China 3/31/71 69 42 83/22 87/18 37 53 left, right, bilateral nasal cavity	MM Cheung [39]	retrospective	Hong Kong	0/18/61	58	53	63/16	72/7	22	20	nasal cavity, nasopharynx
YX Li [41] retrospective China 3/31/71 69 42 83/22 87/18 37 53 left, right, bilateral nasal cavity	V Ribrag [40]	retrospective	France	12/6/2	14	44	16/4	NR	NR	NR	nasal cavity, oropharynx, palate
	YX Li [41]	retrospective	China	3/31/71	69	42	83/22	87/18	37	53	left, right, bilateral nasal cavity

Studies	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts	Total quality scores
HH Ma [23]	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	6
GE Kim [24]	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	1	6
K Kim [25]	-	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	7
SY Li [29]	-	-	1	1	0	1	-	-	7
JL Luo [30]	-	F	-	1	0	1	-	0	9
A Chauchet [31]	-	F	-	1	0	1	-	0	9
YX Li [32]	-	0	1	1	0	1	-	1	9
YX Li [33]	-	0	-	1	0	1	-	1	6
MJ Huang [34]	-	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	7
SJ Kim [35]	-	0	-	1	0	1	-	-	9
IWK Tham [37]	-	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	7
CC Li [38]	-	F	-	1	0	1	-	-	7
MM Cheung [39]	-	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	7
V Ribrag [40]	-	-	-	1	0	1	-	0	6
YX Li [41]	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7
doi:10.1371/ioural ago	a 0106577 +003								

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t002

Table 2. Quality assessment of retrospective cohort studies by NOS.

Studies	CT regimens	RCT therapy
HH Ma [23]	CHOP, CHOP-bleo, MCOP, COPB	24 patients: RT followed by 4–6 cycles CT; 17 patients: CT followed by RT with or without CT
GE Kim [24]	CHOP, BACOP, m- BACOP	CT followed by RT
K Kim [25]	CHOP, COPBLAM-V	18 patients: 4–6 cycles CT followed by RT; 1 patients: RT followed by CT; 1 patients: concurrent RCT
A. Avile s [28]	CEMD, ESHAP	RT followed by 6 cycles CT
SY Li [29]	CHOP	NR
JL Luo [30]	CHOP, CHOPE, DICE	73 patients: CT followed by RT; 16 patients: CT +RT(at the same time); 9 patients: RT followed by CT
A Chauchet [31]	ACVBP, CHOP, COPADM, CYVE, ESHAP, DHAP, high-dose methotrexate plus L-ASP	CT followed by RT
YX Li [32]	CHOP, CHOP-like, CEVB, DIMG	RT+4 cycles CT
YX Li [33]	CHOP, CHOP-bleo, COBVP-16, COPP	18 patients: RT followed by CT; 36 patients: CT followed by RT;
MJ Huang [34]	CHOP, ECDVP, IME	22 patients: upfront RT + CT; 43 patients: early RT plus RT+CT
SJ Kim [35]	CEOP-B	6 cycles CT followed by RT
K Isobe [36]	anthracycline-containing combination or not	NR
IWK Tham [37]	ESHAP with or without cisplatin, CHOP-M	RT firstly or after CT
CC Li [38]	CHOP, ESHAP with or without ProMACE-CytaBOM, CHOP with BDCVP, CHOP with ProMACE-CytaBOM plus combined MVP, CVPP	RT+3 cycles CT
MM Cheung [39]	ProMACE-CytaBOM, CEOP, CHOP	3-6 cycles CT followed by RT
V Ribrag [40]	CHOP, CHOP-like, COP, COP-like	CT as first-line therapy or after RT
YX Li [41]	CHOP, CHOP-bleo, COBVP-16, COPP	34 patients: RT followed by CT; 37 patients: CT followed by RT
CEMD curlesherehanido mo	strateoreta ataaceida davamathaaana ECUAD ataaceida colinaadeal hish dacce af atacina serkinacida .	tation of the second

CEMD cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, etoposide, dexamethasone; ESHAP etoposide, solumedrol, high doses of cytosine arabinoside, platinum; CHOP cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; CHOPE CHOP+ etoposide; DICE dexamethasone, ifosfamide, cisplatin, etoposide; ACVBP doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin, grednisone; COPADM cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone, doxorubicin, methotrexate; CYVE cyclophosphamide, cytosine arabinoside, etoposide; DHAP etoposide, methylprednisolne, cytosine arabinoside, cisplatin, dexamethasone; CEVB cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, cytosine arabinoside, etoposide, methylprednisolne, cytosine arabinoside, etoposide, preconside, methylprednisolne, cytosine arabinoside, etoposide, platentine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, cytosine arabinoside, etoposide, methylprednisolne, cytosine arabinoside, cisplatin, dexamethasone; CEVB cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, bleomycin; DIMG dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; IME ifosfamide, methotrexate, etoposide; CEOP-B cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, vincristine, bleomycin, prednisone;COBVP-16 cisplatin, vincristine, bleomycin, prednisone; mitoxantrone, vincristine, prednisone; CVPP cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone; CEOP cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, vincristine, prednisone; BACOP bleomycin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; m- BACOP methotrexate and folinic acid rescue, bleomycin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; RT: radiotherapy; RCT: radiochemotherapy; RCT cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone; COPBLAM-V cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone, bleomycin, procarbazine, adriamycin; CHOP-M cyclophosphamide, adriamycin, vincristine, prednisone, methotrexate; ProMACE-CytaBOM prednisone, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, etoposide, cytarabine, bleomycin, vincristine, methotrexate; BDCVP bleomycin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; MVP ifosfamide, methotrexate, gemcitabine; CHOP-bleo CHOP+ bleomycin; MCOP mitoxantrone, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; bleomycin; ECDVP etoposide, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t003 COPP

Table 3. Information about CT and RCT regimens.

Table 4. Informatic	on about RT.		
Studies	Source or Technique	Dose	Clinical target volume
HH Ma [23]	60 Co-Yrays, 6-MV X-rays of linear accelerator	median: 54 Gy; per fraction: 1.8-2.0 Gy	bilateral nasal cavities, paranasal sinus
GE Kim [24]	⁶⁰ Co-Yrays, 4-MV X-rays	total: 20–70 Gy; per fraction: 1.8–2.0 Gy	the involved areas with adequate margins
K Kim [25]	⁶⁰ Co-Yrays,4/6-MV photo beams; three-field technique or bilateral parallel-opposed fields	median:50 Gy; per fraction: 1.8/2.0 Gy	all gross lesions and sites of potential contiguous spread with adequate margins
A. Avile s [28]	a photo beam of 6.0; IMRT, 3DCRT	a total dose of 55 Gy in 25 fractions ver 5 weeks	limited cases: bilateral nasal cavity, nasopharynx, frontal ethmoid sinus, ipsilateral maxillary; extended cases: paranasal sinus, other adjacent organ structures
SY Li [29]	NR	NR	NR
JL Luo [30]	6-MV X-rays linear accelerator; IMRT, 3DCRT	≥50 Gy: 117 patients; <50 Gy: 11 patients; per fraction: 1.8–2.0 Gy	both nasal cavity, maxillary sinus, ethmoid sinus
A Chauchet [31]	a linear accelerator with 4/6/10-MV photos	median: 40 Gy	all macroscopic lesions, paranasal sinus, nasopharynx, upper gum and palate with adequate margins
YX Li[32]	NR	primary tumor: 50 to 56 Gy; residual disease: 5 to 10 Gy; per fraction: 1.8–2.0 Gy	nasal cavity, ipsilateral maxillary sinus, bilateral ethmoid sinus, anatomically adjacent regions
YX Li[33]	6 MV linear accelerator	median: 50 Gy; per fraction: 2.0 Gy	Waldeyer ring, adjacent organs or structures with disease extension
MJ Huang [34]	°°Co-Ƴrays, 6-MV photo beams	median: 50 Gy; per fraction: 2.0 Gy	all involved area and sites of potential contiguous spread with adequate margins
SJ Kim [35]	NR	total: 44-60 Gy; per fraction: 1.8-2.0 Gy	involved-field
K Isobe [36]	⁶⁰ Co unit, 4/6/10-MV linear accelerator	median: 50 Gy	all macroscopic lesions, paranasal sinus, nasopharynx, upper gum and palate with adequate margins
IWK Tham [37]	6-MV linear accelerator	median: 50 Gy; per fraction: 1.8–2.0 Gy	gross tumor volume using diagnostic CT scans of the head and neck with a margin of 1.5–2.0 cm
CC Li [38]	6-MV linear accelerator	total: 40–50 Gy; per fraction: 1.8–2.0 Gy	involved primary area with adequate margins
MM Cheung [39]	3 fields with 2 lateral opposing photo fields and an anterior photo or electron field	median: 50 Gy; per fraction:1.5– 2.5 Gy	both nasal cavity and nasopharynx, paranasal sinus, and 1 to 2 cm beyond tumor defined by imaging scans
V Ribrag [40]	NR	total: 35–70 Gy	the initial involved areas
YX Li[41]	6/8-MV linear accelerator	median: 50 Gy; per fraction: 2.0 Gy	Stage I: nasal cavity, ipsilateral maxillary/ethmoid sinus; Stage II: extend to encompass involved paranasal tissues
BT: radiotherany: IMBT in	tensity-modulated radiotherany. 3DCRT three-dimensional confor	mal radiotherany: MV menavolt: NR: not renorted	

RT: radiotherapy; IMRT intensity-modulat doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t004



Figure 2. Forest plot of complete remission. A: radiotherapy versus radiochemotherapy; B: radiotherapy versus chemotherapy; C: chemotherapy versus radiochemotherapy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.g002

Response to treatment

CR was used to reflect the tumor's response to treatment for comparisons among RCT, RT and CT. There was no significant

difference in CR between RCT and RT (OR 0.85, 95% CI 0.42–1.72, p = 0.65, Figure 2A). A higher pooled CR was found in patients who received RT or RCT compared with that of CT (OR



Figure 3. Forest plot of tumor's survival for radiotherapy versus radiochemotherapy. A: 5-year overall survival; B: 5-year progression free survival. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.q003

6.25, 95% CI 1.94–20.19, p = 0.002, Figure 2B; OR 0.13, 95% CI 0.08–0.21, p = 0.00, Figure 2C).

Survival

The 5-year OS was reported by 8 studies, in which 323 patients received RT alone and 407 patients received RCT. The addition of CT had no benefit, with a calculated HR value of 1.11 (95% CI 0.85–1.45, p = 0.43, Figure 3A). Only 3 papers were analyzed for 5-year PFS in our meta-analysis, with 150 patients in the RT group and 230 patients in the RCT group. A forest plot revealed that the treatment outcome of the RCT group failed to show any therapeutic advantage over the RT group (HR 1.07, 95% CI 0.75–1.53, p = 0.70, Figure 3B).

Treatment failure

As shown in Figure 4A, no significant difference was observed (OR 0.75, 95% CI 0.47–1.21, p = 0.24) in SF and LF (OR 1.17, 95% CI 0.68–2.01, p = 0.57, Figure 4B).

Meta regression and subgroup analysis

We performed meta regression of different CT plans, countries and study designs for CR and 5-year OS. As shown in Table 5, in the group of CR for RT versus RCT, we noticed that people from Asia or not and different study designs contributed to the heterogeneity. Then, the subgroup analysis was performed. Except for the non-Asian and prospective subgroups, CR and 5-year OS showed no significant differences between RT and RCT. Nevertheless, RT showed a significant improvement in CR compared with CT, except for the non-Asian and non-China subgroups. However, in the CR for CT versus RCT group, all of the subgroups revealed that the efficacy of RCT was better than that of CT. Additionally, for the non-Asian subgroup, RCT produced a significant CR and 5-year OS benefit compared with RT or CT, and RT failed to show any advantage over CT in CR (Table 5).

Toxicity and RT dose

Because toxicity and RT dose were usually reported differently, we cannot determine a pooled estimate. Grade III/IV toxicity was



Figure 4. Forest plot of treatment failure for radiotherapy versus radiochemotherapy. A: systemic failure; B: locoregional failure. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.g004

more frequent in the CT and RCT groups (Table 6). Controversial viewpoints regarding the appropriate RT dose were observed, as shown in Table 7.

Heterogeneity and publication bias

In the present study, heterogeneity existed in some comparisons. To explore the potential factors, we applied meta regression and subgroup analysis. Then the heterogeneity was decreased in most of these subgroups. Egger text was constructed to assess the publication bias of these studies. There was no evidence of publication bias in OS (p = 0.19), PFS (p = 0.14), SF (p = 0.26) and LF (p = 0.64). In the indicator of CR, a potential publication bias was observed in the RT versus CT group (p = 0.02), but not in the RT versus RCT group (p = 0.08) or the CT versus RCT group (p = 0.73).

Discussion

Our results revealed that the clinical outcomes were similar between the RT and RCT groups, as indicated by CR, 5-year OS and 5-year PFS, although treatment of IE/IIE ENKTL with RT alone seemed to be insufficient from a clinical therapeutic standpoint. Patients who received CT alone exhibited lower CR than RT or RCT. Furthermore, RCT decreased the incidence of neither SF nor LF. Some investigations concluded that this lymphoma is sensitive to RT and resistant to CT because of the frequent expression of the multidrug resistance (MDR) genes and P-glycoprotein [23,25,42]. However, W Yong et al. maintained that the addition of CT to RT improved treatment outcomes, concluding that patients with nasal type NK/T cell lymphoma can be primarily treated with the CHOP regimen and local radiotherapy [43]. However, that study had some issues that deserve mention. First, the study did not include the corresponding control group of patients who were treated with RT alone. Second, the study follow-up time was only two years, but a longer observation time is necessary.

Our subgroup analysis suggested that patients from non-Asian and Asian countries usually had the opposite results. The reasons may be as follows: (1) A small number of studies were included in the non-Asian group. (2) The distribution of this disease, which is more commonly observed in Asia, is extraordinary. Thus, differences in the country of origin are the most important. (3) The design of these studies contributed to the differences. One of the non-Asian studies was prospective, while all of the Asian studies were retrospective. However, for the subgroups with different CT plans, the results did not change. Additionally, in the Table 5. Subgroup analysis of CR and 5-year OS.

Indicators	Subgroup	Meta regression	OR/HR 95% CI	p value	Heterogeneity (I2, %)	p value for heterogeneity
CR for RT versus RCT	CT regimen	0.09				
	CHOP*		1.02 (0.57–1.82)	0.94	28.4	0.20
	non-CHOP		0.43 (0.06-3.10)	0.40	62.2	0.10
	Country A	0.02				
	Asia		1.04 (0.61–1.78)	0.87	19.7	0.27
	Non-Asia		0.21 (0.11-0.40)	0.000	-	-
	Country B	0.43				
	China		1.01 (0.48–2.14)	0.98	38.5	0.14
	Non-China		0.61 (0.15–2.54)	0.50	82.9	0.003
	Study design	0.02				
	retrospective		1.04 (0.61–1.78)	0.87	19.7	0.27
	prospective		0.21 (0.11-0.40)	0.000	-	-
CR for RT versus CT	CT regimen	0.30				
	CHOP*		10.73 (2.40–47.90)	0.002	48.0	0.09
	non-CHOP		1.94(1.12-3.35)	0.02	-	-
	Country A	0.67				
	Asia		9.03 (1.72-47.41)	0.009	51.7	0.08
	Non-Asia		5.44 (0.35–84.77)	0.27	69.4	0.07
	Country B	0.67				
	China		9.03 (1.72–47.41)	0.009	51.7	0.08
	Non-China		5.44 (0.35-84.77)	0.27	69.4	0.07
	Study design	0.30				
	retrospective		10.73 (2.40-47.90)	0.002	48.0	0.09
	prospective		1.94(1.12–3.35)	0.02	-	-
CR for CT versus RCT	CT regimen	0.87				
	CHOP*		0.15 (0.07–0.32)	0.000	0.0	0.50
	non-CHOP		0.13 (0.07-0.22)	0.000	28.3	0.25
	Country A	0.35				
	Asia		0.19 (0.09–0.38)	0.000	5.7	0.38
	Non-Asia		0.10 (0.06–0.19)	0.000	0.0	0.79
	Country B	0.98				
	China		0.15 (0.07–0.35)	0.000	5.0	0.38
	Non-China		0.12 (0.07-0.21)	0.000	0.0	0.41
	Study design	0.67				
	retrospective		0.16(0.08-0.32)	0.000	0.0	0.49
	prospective		0.11(0.06-0.20)	0.000	-	-
5-year OS for RT versus RCT	CT regimen	-				
	CHOP*		1.11(0.85–1.45)	0.428	42.5	0.095
	non-CHOP		-	-	-	-
	Country A	0.06				
	Asia		1.06(0.82-1.39)	0.65	8.6	0.36
	Non-Asia		10.57(1.61–69.28)	0.01	-	-
	Country B	0.29				
	China		1.29(0.93-1.79)	0.13	0.0	0.84
	Non-China		0.84(0.54-1.32)	0.45	76.5	0.01
	Study desian	-				
	retrospective		1.11(0.85-1.45)	0.428	42.5	0.095
	prospective		-	-	-	-
	· ·					

CR: complete remission; OS: overall survival; CT: chemotherapy; RT: radiotherapy; RCT: radiochemotherapy; CHOP cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; CHOP* CHOP, CHOP-like or non-CHOP. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t005

Studies	Treatment	Total No.	No. of anemia	No. of granulocytopenia	No. of thrombocytopenia
A. Avile s [28]	СТ	116	2	6	3
	RT	109	0	0	1
	RCT	202	2	9	5
JL Luo [30]	СТ	2	0	1	0
	RT	30	0	1	0
	RCT	98	5	37	6

 Table 6. Treatment outcomes of grade III/IV toxicity.

No.: number; CT: chemotherapy; RT: radiotherapy; RCT: radiochemotherapy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t006

subgroups of prospective studies on the CR for RT versus RCT and non-China in the CR for RT versus CT, the results were different compared with those obtained in other studies. The small number of studies may have influenced this observation.

We continued to compare treatment toxicity between the groups. The results revealed that RT alone had a better effect on reducing hematologic toxicity compared with that observed in the CT or RCT groups, and it might be the best treatment option. However, the results were weakened because we were unable to determine the pooled estimates. GE Kim et al. demonstrated that the additional CT may cause medical complications, such as sepsis or intractable bleeding [24]. Additionally, we compared the appropriate RT doses. JL Luo et al. suggested that more than 50 Gy was useful for increasing the rate of 5-year OS and disease free survival (DFS) [30]. MJ Huang et al. indicated that more than 54 Gy was suitable [34]. However, other studies demonstrated that there was no difference in the RT doses regarding 5-year local control probability (LCP), local failure and infield relapse [32,36,39].

Most previous studies on NK/T cell lymphoma were primarily clinical studies or general reviews. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one published meta-analysis of NK/T cell lymphoma [26]. For comparison, we added 3 papers [28,30,36], 2 of which were prospective studies, and we excluded 3 studies with low NOS scores. We employed a more detailed process of identifying studies (Figure 1). Due to the low morbidity of the disease, we had a relatively larger sample size than that used in previous study. Additionally, we applied subgroup analysis based on the CT plans, countries and study designs. Given the uncertainty of the benefit of the additional CT and the optimal mode of therapy, we compared the treatment outcomes of RT and RCT in 17 studies. We analyzed different indicators of treatment outcome to assess the tumor response, long-term survival and treatment failure.

The limitations of our study should be acknowledged. First, most of the included studies were retrospective, though we made every effort to search for relevant studies. Therefore, our analysis may not provide strong evidence for the treatment of IE/IIE ENKTL patients. Second, most of these studies were from Asia, particularly China; therefore, our analysis may only be applicable to people in Asia. Third, due to the lack of treatment guidelines, we did not restrict the RT pattern or CHOP* group, which included the CHOP, CHOP-like, or non-CHOP regimen, and this may weaken our results. Fourth, data on the toxicity and the dose of RT were rarely available in the included studies; as a result, pooled estimates were not determined. Fifth, the limitation of language could decrease the number of included studies. Finally, the heterogeneity and publication bias may strengthen our limitations. Therefore, the results of our meta-analysis should be carefully used in clinical treatment.

Conclusions

Compared with RT, RCT neither prolonged CR, 5-year OS or 5-year PFS nor decreased SF or LF in IE/IIE ENKTL Asian patients. However, our analysis of non-Asian patients was limited, and high quality studies are needed to identify the best therapy for IE/IIE ENKTL.

Table 7.	Treatment	outcomes	for	different	doses	of F	RT.
		00.000.000				•••••	

Studies	Dose/No.	Clinical indicators/No.	P value
JL Luo [29]	≥50 Gy/<50 Gy:117/11	5-year OS: 69/5	0.023
		5-year DFS: 68/5	0.027
YX Li [31]	≥50 Gy/<50 Gy:201/13	local failure: 15/2	0.592
MJ Huang [33]	≥54 Gy/<54 Gy:28/46	5-year OS: 21/21	0.019
		5-year DFS: 17/15	0.004
K Isobe [35]	≥50 Gy/<50 Gy:/9	5-year LCP: 18/5	0.13
MM Cheung [38]	≥50 Gy/<50 Gy:25/44	infield relapse: 3/12	0.4

No.: number; OS: overall survival; DFS: disease free survival; LCP: local control probability.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106577.t007

Supporting Information

Checklist S1 PRISMA Checklist. (DOC)

References

- Lee J, Cho SG, Chung SM, Ryu MR, Kim SH, et al. (2013) Retrospective analysis of treatment outcomes for extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma (ENKL), nasal type, stage I-IIE: single institute experience of combined modality treatment for early localized nasal extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma (ENKL). Annals of hematology 92: 333–343.
- Liang R (2009) Advances in the management and monitoring of extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type. British journal of haematology 147: 13–21.
- Aozasa K, Zaki MA (2011) Epidemiology and pathogenesis of nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma: a mini-review. TheScientificWorldJournal 11: 422–428.
- Aozasa K, Takakuwa T, Hongyo T, Yang WI (2008) Nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma: epidemiology and pathogenesis. International journal of hematology 87: 110–117.
- Suzuki R, Takeuchi K, Ohshima K, Nakamura S (2008) Extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma: diagnosis and treatment cues. Hematological oncology: 66–72.
- Asano N, Kato S, Nakamura S (2013) Epstein-Barr virus-associated natural killer/T-cell lymphomas. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol: 15–21.
- Motsch N, Alles J, Imig J, Zhu J, Barth S, et al. (2012) MicroRNA profiling of Epstein-Barr virus-associated NK/T-cell lymphomas by deep sequencing. PloS one 7: e42193.
- Kanemitsu N, Isobe Y, Masuda A, Momose S, Higashi M, et al. (2012) Expression of Epstein-Barr virus-encoded proteins in extranodal NK/T-cell Lymphoma, nasal type (ENKL): differences in biologic and clinical behaviors of LMP1-positive and -negative ENKL. Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 18: 2164–2172.
- Zhang SS, Wei M, Yu L (2011) [Advances of treatment for extranodal NK/Tcell lymphoma --- review]. Zhongguo shi yan xue ye xue za zhi/Zhongguo bing li sheng li xue hui = Journal of experimental hematology/Chinese Association of Pathophysiology 19: 1075–1078.
- Wang TT, Wang Z (2009) [Recent advances on extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma of nasal type]. Zhongguo shi yan xue ye xue za zhi/Zhongguo bing li sheng li xue hui = Journal of experimental hematology/Chinese Association of Pathophysiology 17: 1624–1628.
- 11. Baran AM, Reifenberger J, Helbig D (2012) [NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type with cutaneous dissemination]. Hautarzt 63: 272–275.
- Liang DN, Yang ZR, Wang WY, Zhao S, Yang QP, et al. (2012) Extranodal nasal type natural killer/T-cell lymphoma of testis: report of seven cases with review of literature. Leukemia & lymphoma 53: 1117–1123.
- Jiang Q, Liu S, Peng J, Xiong H, Xiong Z, et al. (2013) An extraordinary T/NK lymphoma, nasal type, occurring primarily in the prostate gland with unusual CD30 positivity: case report and review of the literature. Diagnostic pathology 8: 94.
- Pine RR, Clark JD, Sokol JA (2013) CD56 negative extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma of the orbit mimicking orbital cellulitis. Orbit 32: 45–48.
- Au WY, Lie AK, Liang R, Kwong YL, Yau CC, et al. (2003) Autologous stem cell transplantation for nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma: a progress report on its value. Annals of oncology: official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology/ESMO 14: 1673–1676.
- Cui XZ, Wang HQ, Liu XM, Zhang HL, Li W (2007) [Treatment outcome and prognosis of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation combined with high dose radiotherapy/chemotherapy in 22 patients with nasal NK/T cell lymphoma]. Zhonghua xue ye xue za zhi = Zhonghua xueyexue zazhi 28: 609– 611.
- Kim HJ, Bang SM, Lee J, Kwon HC, Suh C, et al. (2006) High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation in extranodal NK/Tcell lymphoma: a retrospective comparison with non-transplantation cases. Bone marrow transplantation 37: 819–824.
- Mori Y, Aoki T, Takenaka K, Yamauchi T, Yamamoto A, et al. (2010) Successful treatment of refractory advanced nasal NK/T cell lymphoma with unrelated cord blood stem cell transplantation incorporating focal irradiation. International journal of hematology 91: 107–111.
- Li YX, Wang H, Jin J, Wang WH, Liu QF, et al. (2012) Radiotherapy alone with curative intent in patients with stage I extranodal nasal-type NK/T-cell lymphoma. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics 82: 1809–1815.
- Kim TM, Heo DS (2009) Extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type: new staging system and treatment strategies. Cancer science 100: 2242–2248.
- Yamaguchi M, Tobinai K, Oguchi M, Ishizuka N, Kobayashi Y, et al. (2009) Phase I/II study of concurrent chemoradiotherapy for localized nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma: Japan Clinical Oncology Group Study JCOG0211. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 27: 5594–5600.
- Wu X, Li P, Zhao J, Yang X, Wang F, et al. (2008) A clinical study of 115 patients with extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 20: 619–625.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TXD CZ XHC. Performed the experiments: TXD CZ. Analyzed the data: XZ YQX SW SSL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XHC. Wrote the paper: TXD CZ.

- Ma HH, Qian LT, Pan HF, Yang L, Zhang HY, et al. (2010) Treatment outcome of radiotherapy alone versus radiochemotherapy in early stage nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. Med Oncol 27: 798–806.
- 24. Kim GE, Lee SW, Chang SK, Park HC, Pyo HR, et al. (2001) Combined chemotherapy and radiation versus radiation alone in the management of localized angiocentric lymphoma of the head and neck. Radiotherapy and oncology: journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology 61: 1–9.
- Kim K, Chie EK, Kim CW, Kim IH, Park CI (2005) Treatment outcome of angiocentric T-cell and NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type: radiotherapy versus chemoradiotherapy. Japanese journal of clinical oncology 35: 1–5.
- 26. Jiang L, Li SJ, Jiang YM, Long JX, Wang RS, et al. (2014) The significance of combining radiotherapy with chemotherapy for early stage extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Leukemia & lymphoma 55: 1038–1048.
- Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR (2007) Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. Trials 8: 16.
- Aviles A, Neri N, Fernandez R, Huerta-Guzman J, Nambo MJ (2013) Combined therapy in untreated patients improves outcome in nasal NK/T lymphoma: results of a clinical trial. Med Oncol 30: 637.
- Li S, Feng X, Li T, Zhang S, Zuo Z, et al. (2013) Extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type: a report of 73 cases at MD Anderson Cancer Center. The American journal of surgical pathology 37: 14–23.
- Luo J, Liu L, Wu R, Zhu Y (2013) Prognostic analysis of 130 patients with nasal and Waldeyer ring NK/T cell lymphoma treated by radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy. Chinese journal of radiation oncology 22: 180–184.
- Chauchet A, Michallet AS, Berger F, Bedgedjian I, Deconinck E, et al. (2012) Complete remission after first-line radio-chemotherapy as predictor of survival in extranodal NK/T cell lymphoma. Journal of hematology & oncology 5: 27.
- Li YX, Liu QF, Wang WH, Jin J, Song YW, et al. (2011) Failure patterns and clinical implications in early stage nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma treated with primary radiotherapy. Cancer 117: 5203–5211.
- Li YX, Fang H, Liu QF, Lu J, Qi SN, et al. (2008) Clinical features and treatment outcome of nasal-type NK/T-cell lymphoma of Waldeyer ring. Blood 112: 3057–3064.
- Huang MJ, Jiang Y, Liu WP, Li ZP, Li M, et al. (2008) Early or up-front radiotherapy improved survival of localized extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal-type in the upper aerodigestive tract. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics 70: 166–174.
- Kim SJ, Kim BS, Choi CW, Seo HY, Seol HR, et al. (2006) Treatment outcome of front-line systemic chemotherapy for localized extranodal NK/T cell lymphoma in nasal and upper aerodigestive tract. Leukemia & lymphoma 47: 15–1273.
- Isobe K, Uno T, Tamaru J, Kawakami H, Ueno N, et al. (2006) Extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type: the significance of radiotherapeutic parameters. Cancer 106: 609–615.
- Tham IW, Lee KM, Yap SP, Loong SL (2006) Outcome of patients with nasal natural killer (NK)/T-cell lymphoma treated with radiotherapy, with or without chemotherapy. Head & neck 28: 1–134.
- Li CC, Tien HF, Tang JL, Yao M, Chen YC, et al. (2004) Treatment outcome and pattern of failure in 77 patients with sinonasal natural killer/T-cell or T-cell lymphoma. Cancer 100: 366–375.
- Cheung MM, Chan JK, Lau WH, Ngan RK, Foo WW (2002) Early stage nasal NK/T-cell lymphoma: clinical outcome, prognostic factors, and the effect of treatment modality. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics 54: 182–190.
- Ribrag V, Ell Hajj M, Janot F, Girinsky T, Domenge C, et al. (2001) Early locoregional high-dose radiotherapy is associated with long-term disease control in localized primary angiocentric lymphoma of the nose and nasopharynx. Leukemia 15: 1123–11.
- Li YX, Yao B, Jin J, Wang WH, Liu YP, et al. (2006) Radiotherapy as primary treatment for stage IE and IIE nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 24: 181–189.
- Drenou B, Lamy T, Amiot L, Fardel O, Caulet-Maugendre S, et al. (1997) CD3-CD56+ non-Hodgkin's lymphomas with an aggressive behavior related to multidrug resistance. Blood 89: 2966–2974.
- Yong W, Zheng W, Zhang Y (2001) [Clinical characteristics and treatment of midline nasal and nasal type NK/T cell lymphoma]. Zhonghua yi xue za zhi 81: 773–775.