



HELMINTHOLOGIA, 61, 2: 184 - 193, 2024

Morphological and genetic identification of the gill monogenean parasite (*Diclidophora merlangi*) that infects Twobar Seabream Fish (*Acanthopagrus bifasciatus*) in the Arabian Gulf, Saudi Arabia

G. ALOJAYRI, S. AL-QURAISHY, E. AL-SHAEBI, O. B. MOHAMMED, R. ABDEL-GABER*

Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia, *E-mail: rabdelgaber@ksu.edu.sa

Article info Summary Received March 4, 2024 Ectoparasites, particularly monogeneans, negatively affect fish health and growth. This study identi-Accepted April 16, 2024 fied monogenean parasites in the twobar seabream, Acanthopagrus bifasciatus (Sparidae), inhabited the Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia). Following that, forty A. bifasciatus fish samples were visually examined for monogeneans. Parasite species were collected from the gills and then analyzed morphometrically, morphologically, and molecularly using the partial regions of the large subunit of ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA) and mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase subunit I (COI) genes. Fish species were also identified using a DNA barcoding approach based on the COI gene. The monogenean species of Diclidophora merlangi (Diclidophoridae) were found in 45% of the fish species studied. The generic features of the Diclidophora genus distinguish this species. This species discriminated itself from congeners by having a muscular bulb with 17 grooved and recurved hooks, 218±10 (184-267) post-ovarian testes, and four pairs of pedunculated clamps of relative sizes. Partial 28S rRNA sequencing from monogeneans revealed that they grouped with members of the genus Diclidophora, forming a monophyletic group that supported the morphological descriptions. Molecular identification revealed that D. merlangi has a unique barcode made up of a COI sequence. The host identity was established as A. bifasciatus based on the COI gene sequences. Furthermore, a molecular phylogenetic study was performed to determine the phylogenetic affinity of parasite species and fish hosts. This study on *Diclidophora* species is considered the first record of this genus in the examined area. Keywords: Monogenea; Polyopisthocotylea; Morphology; Host identity; 28S rRNA; COI

Introduction

Sparidae fish species have long been regarded as an important source of animal protein for trade and nutrition (Froese & Pauly, 2019). Like all other fish species, they are invaded by parasites. Monogenea are parasitic flatworms (Platyhelminthes) that typically infect the skin and gills of both marine and freshwater fish (Whittington *et al.*, 2000). All monogeneans have a direct life cycle, with no intermediate hosts (Purivirojkul, 2008). Monopisthocotyleans

* - corresponding author

and polyopisthocotyleans are the two primary categories based on their organ of attachment "opisthaptor" which is generally equipped with sclerotized structures such as hooks, clamps, and suckers (Öztürk & Özer, 2014).

The Diclidophoridae Cerfontaine, 1895 are polyopisthocotylean monogeneans of the Mazocraeidea order. According to the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS) (2023), this family consists of 69 accepted genera, two taxon inquirendum, and one nomen nudum, characterized by the structure of the clamps and the mor-

phology of the male copulatory organ. *Diclidophora* Krøyer, 1838 (Diclidophoridae) has a stenoxenic specificity for three families of Gadiformes (Gadidae, Macrouridae, and Moridae), with a particular attachment site on the host gills (Llewellyn *et al.*, 1980). Taxa in this genus have complete or well-developed lamellate extensions in their haptoral clamps (Mamaev, 1976).

According to WoRMS (2023), there are presently twelve recognized species of the Diclidophora species: D. merlangi (Kuhn, 1829) Krøver, 1838, D. palmata (Leuckart, 1830) Diesing, 1850, D. luscae (Van Beneden & Hesse, 1863) Price, 1943, D. pollachii (Van Beneden & Hesse, 1863) Price, 1943, D. phycidis (Parona & Perugia, 1889) Sproston, 1946, D. pagelli Gallien, 1937, D. denticulate (Olsson, 1876) Price, 1943, D. esmarkii (Scott, 1901) Sproston, 1946, D. maccallumi (Price, 1943) Sproston, 1946, D. minor (Olsson, 1876) Sproston, 1946, D. micromesisti Suriano & Martorelli, 1984, and D. minuti Tirard, Berrebi, Raibaut & Frenaud, 1992. However, recent studies have revealed the occurrence of Diclidophora species in different hosts: including D. merlangi, usually a parasite of the whiting Merlangius merlangus (L.), in cod, Gadus morhua (Perdiquero-Alonso et al., 2006) and brushtooth lizardfish, Saurida undosquamis (Morsy et al., 2018); D. denticulata, usually a parasite of Pollachius virens, in Trisopterus minutus (Strona et al., 2010); and D. phycidis, usually a parasite of Phycis blennoides, in T. minutus (Strona et al., 2010).

Various target regions, such as large subunit ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA) and mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI), have been used to differentiate monogenean species (Catalanoet et al., 2010; Oliva et al., 2014; Mendoza-Franco et al., 2018; Víllora-Montero et al., 2020; Al-Nabati et al., 2021; Alghamdi et al., 2023; Abdel-Gaber et al., 2023). Acanthopagrus (Perciformes, Sparidae) is now a distinct genus with 20 accepted species (Pombo-Ayora et al., 2022). The twobar seabream, Acanthopagrus bifasciatus (Forsskål, 1775), is found in the Western Indian Ocean from the Red Sea and Arabian Gulf to Natal in South Africa. However, due to their morphological resemblance, several Acanthopagrus species are classified as cryptic taxa (Zhou et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2018). The COI gene is a standard molecular marker for fish DNA barcoding (Prioli Sônia et al., 2002; Ward et al., 2005; Saitoh et al., 2006; Zhang, 2011; Tan et al., 2012; Abbas et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018) and has been successfully used for Sparidae barcoding (Ahmed et al., 2021; Abdel-Gaber et al., 2023; Nuryanto et al., 2023).

Some studies have been conducted on monogenean parasites infecting marine fish species in Saudi Arabia to date (Bayoumy *et al.*, 2012; Mohamed *et al.*, 2015; Bakhraibah, 2018; Dajem *et al.*, 2019; Al-Nabati *et al.*, 2021; Morsy *et al.*, 2021; Abdel-Gaber *et al.*, 2023, Alshehri *et al.*, 2023; Alghamdi *et al.*, 2022). The purpose of this study to investigate the natural occurrence of monogenean parasites in twobar seabream (*Acanthopagrus bifasciatus*) from the Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia), as well as to describe their morphology and phylogenetic relationships. It also sought to conduct host record investigations and genetically characterize fish spe-

cies using the partial COI gene to validate their taxonomic and geographic status.

Materials and Methods

Fish samples collection

During the study period (January – June 2023), 40 samples of the twobar seabream, *Acanthopagrus bifasciatus* (Family Sparidae), were bought from local fishermen in the coastal region of the Arabian Gulf (Dammam, Saudi Arabia). Samples were transported to the laboratory in an ice box and classified using the standard taxonomic guidelines of Abu Shusha *et al.* (2010). Animals were used in the experiment following the institution's guidelines on the care and use of animals in research (approval no. KSU-SU-23-76).

Parasitological examination

To prepare for parasite investigation, the fish's gills were removed and cleaned in a standard saline solution (0.9 %) to eliminate any excess gill mucus. Furthermore, a small portion of the fish's hepatopancreas was excised, washed with saline, and kept in 96 % ethanol for molecular analysis. Monogeneans were removed from the gills using fine forceps under a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ18, NIS ELEMENTS software), fixed in AFA (70 % ethyl alcohol-formalin-acetic acid), and kept in 70 % ethyl alcohol till inspection. Following Georgiev et al. (1986), specimens were stained with Aceto carmine (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA). This was followed by washing in an ascending ethyl alcohol series, clearing in clove oil, and finally mounting in Canada balsam (Palm, 2004). Some specimens were mounted in glycerin ammonium picrate (GAP; Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA, USA) to clarify the sclerotized features and examined under a Leica DM 2500 microscope (NIS ELEMENTS software, version 3.8). According to Bush et al. (1997), the prevalence (%) and mean intensity of monogeneans were evaluated. Photomicrographs of several body parts of monogenean parasites were taken with a Leica DM 2500 microscope (NIS ELEMENTS software, ver. 3.8). According to Al-Zubaidy (2013), measurements were taken using the ImageJ 1.53e program (Wayne Rasband and contributors, National Institute of Health, USA) and expressed in millimeters (mm).

Molecular analysis

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 70 % ethanol-preserved parasite and fish's hepatopancreas using the methods outlined in QIAamp® DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). For monogenean parasite, PCR targeting the partial coding region of the 28S rRNA gene was then amplified using the forward primer (U178: 5'-GCA CCC GCT GAA YTT AAG-3') and the reverse primer (L1642: 5'-CCA GCG CCA TCC ATT TTC A-3') designed by Lockyer *et al.* (2003), as well as, the COI gene was amplified using the forward primer (COI-ASmit1: 5'-TTT TTT GGG CAT CCT GAG GTT TAT-3') and the reverse primer (COI-ASmit2: 5'-TAA AGA AAG AAC ATA ATG AAA ATG-3') designed by Littlewood *et al.* (1997). The COI



Fig. 1 Photomicrographs of *Diclidophora merlangi* infecting *Acanthopagrus bifasciatus*. (A) Whole-mount preparation. (B-K) High magnifications for different body parts, as follows: (B-F) Anterior portion of the prohaptor. (G) Germarium. (H) Testes. (I-K) Clamps in haptor. Note: MO, mouth; BS, buccal sucker; PH, pharynx; GC, gland cells; OE, oesophagus; MCO, male copulatory organ; IC, intestinal crura; V, vitellaria; VR, vitelline reservoir; G, germarium; TE, testes; CL, clamps; HA, haptor; LS, lateral sclerite; MS, median sclerite; ND, nodular openings.

gene was amplified in a fish host with the primers F1 (5'-TCAACC AAC CAC AAA GAC ATT GGC AC-3') and R1 (5'-TAG ACT TCT GGG TGG CCA AAG AAT CA-3') (Ward et al., 2005). The thermal cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of amplification {94°C for 30 sec of DNA denaturation, annealing step at 56°C (28S rRNA parasite), 50 °C (COI parasite), 52°C (COI host [fish]) for 30 sec, and 72°C for 1 min of extension}, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were analysed on a 1.5 % w/v agarose gel (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA) in 1× Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) and stained with SYBR Safe DNA gel dye (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Ottawa, Canada) against the GeneRuler 100bp Plus ready-to-use DNA ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). The Sanger method was used for sequencing at Macrogen's facilities in Seoul, South Korea. The COI sequences were deposited in GenBank[™] and compared to those accessible in the NCBI database. The phylogenetic analysis was done using MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018). Maximum parsimony was used to generate the phylogenetic tree, with 1000 bootstrapping replicates.

Ethical Approval and/or Informed Consent

This research was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC) at King Saud University (approval number KSU-SU-23-76).

Results

Eighteen (45 %) of 40 twobar seabream fish, *Acanthopagrus bifasciatus*, had a monogenetic parasite in their gills. The parasite species *Diclidophora merlangi* (Kuhn, 1829) Krøyer, 1838 was identified based on morphological features. Each parasitized fish had an infection intensity of no more than 4, with a mean of 2.13.

Microscopic description (Fig. 1)

The body was flask-shaped, with a pronounced bottle-neckedshaped anterior end and broad posterior end, measuring 3.67 ± 0.12 (2.97–4.26) × 0.72 ± 0.01 (0.61–0.95). The anterior end has two spherical buccal suckers measuring 0.096 ± 0.006 (0.089–



Fig. 2. A consensus phylogenetic tree constructed with maximum likelihood (ML) and Neighbor Joining (NJ) methods from the partial 28S rRNA sequences, showing phylogenetic relationships between Diclidophora merlangi infecting Acanthopagrus bifasciatus (2 sequences (OR889477-OR889478)) and 22 related taxa in NCBI GenBank with Discocotyle sagittata as an outgroup. Numbers indicated at branch nodes are bootstrap values (ML/NJ).

0.105) × 0.076±0.004 (0.071-0.089), with a rounded mouth opening between them. The pharynx was oval, measuring 0.059±0.013 (0.041-0.075) × 0.048±0.008 (0.036-0.056). The oesophagus was short. The intestinal bifurcation occurred just before to the muscular bulb. The intestinal cecal branches varied in their extension into the haptoral region. The testes were sub-spherical or irregular, numbered 218±10 (184-267) and scattered in a pre-testicular region of 0.981±0.023 (0.801-1.26). They were positioned in the post-ovarian and extended to lateral fields among intestinal branches. The copulatory organ is funnel-shaped, with a muscular bulb (0.049±0.008 (0.039-0.055) in diameter) and a crown of 17 grooved and recurved hooks. The germarium had a median pre-testicular location and measured 0.232±0.034 (0.191–0.270). The vitelline follicles were small and abundant, covering the whole caeca. The haptor was 0.665±0.128 (0.459-0.752) long and armed with four pairs of pedunculated clamps. The first three pairs

of clamps were nearly equal in size and measured 0.160 ± 0.008 (0.150-0.166) × 0.142 ± 0.008 (0.133-0.149), while the fourth pair was slightly smaller and measured 0.134 ± 0.001 (0.130-0.140) × 0.112 ± 0.003 (0.108-0.113). Each clamp is closed and consists of three pairs of lateral sclerites and one pair of median sclerites with small nodular openings that protrude as spiny processes on the ventromedial surface.

Molecular analysis (Figs. 2 – 3)

DNA amplification of the partial 28S rRNA region from *D. merlan*gi yielded a fragment of ~350 bp. Two identical sequences were obtained from the organism detected in the current investigation, which was morphologically recognized as *D. merlangi* and deposited in GenBankTM under the accession numbers OR889477 and OR889478. GenBankTM had 14 sequences from members of the *Diclidophora* genus, which includes three species. *D. luscae* was



0.02

Fig. 3. A consensus phylogenetic tree constructed with maximum likelihood (ML) and Neighbor Joining (NJ) methods from the partial COI sequences, showing phylogenetic relationships between Acanthopagrus bifasciatus (one sequence (OR945709)) and 19 related taxa in NCBI GenBank with Rhabdosargus haffara as an outgroup. Numbers indicated at branch nodes are bootstrap values (ML/NJ).

represented by 11 sequences, D. denticulata was represented by 2, and D. minor by a single sequence. The current sequence identity ranged from 92.95 % in D. minor to 95.57 % in D. luscae, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbour Joining (NJ) revealed that the sequences obtained in the current study clustered with the clade that included members of the genus Diclidophora, including D. luscae, D. denticulata and D. minor with strong bootstrap support (Fig. 2). Diclidophora members differed from *Microcotyle* spp. and *Polyabris* spp. (Fig. 2). Discocotyle sagittata (AF382036.1) was used as an outgroup. Amplification of the partial mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c subunit 1 (COI) gene vielded 400 bp. GenBank[™] had COI sequences relating to members of the Diclidophora genus. As a result, it was not possible to compare the sequence we obtained in this study with comparable sequences from members of the genus Diclidophora. A sequence was obtained from the organism in this study and deposited in GenBank[™] under the accession number OR936714. C. brasiliensis (MT890370.1) and Choricotyle australiensis (MT783687.1) were the closest matches to the D. merlangi sequence obtained in the current investigation, with nucleotide matches of 84.39 % and 83.75 %, respectively.

The host's identification was validated molecularly by amplifying the partial COI, yielding ~ 660 bp. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that both the ML and NJ trees gave the same topology. The host's DNA sequence was found to be 100 % identical to that *Acanthopagrus bifasciatus* from Kuwait (MH878977.1). The clade that included individuals from *A. bifasciatus* and *A. catenula* was distinct from the clades that included other *Acanthopagrus* members (Fig. 3). According to the results of the phylogenetic analysis of the sequence obtained in the current study (OR945709), the host for *D. merlangi* is *A. bifasciatus*. *Rhabdosargus haffara* (KP308279.1) from the Red Sea was used as an outgroup.

Discussion

Fish parasites have an essential role in aquatic biodiversity (Quiazon, 2015). Monogeneans are regarded one of the pathogens that cause the fish mortality, either directly or indirect (Mohamed *et al.*, 2015). There are few researches on parasite taxa belonging to the Diclidophoridae family among various fish species. In this study, the gills of eighteen twobar seabream (45 %) were found to be naturally infected with a monogenean parasite within the polyopisthocotylean group, based on Öztürk and Özer (2014). The haptor of this group is equipped with clamps. The current prevalence rate of parasite infection is similar with prior data for *Diclidophora* species reported by Morsy *et al.* (2018, 40 %) in *Saurida undosquamis* in Hurghada coastlines along the Red Sea (Egypt). This prevalence rate, however, is higher than that reported for *Diclidophora* by Perdiguero-Alonso *et al.* (2006, 0.67 % and 0.72 %) in *Gadus morhua* (Table 1).

The morphological features of the monogenean species recovered from the gills of the twobar seabream fish were consistent with

Rubec and Drobeb (1994) key to the Diclidophora genus. Members of this genus have a posterior haptor that is not separate from the body proper, no vagina, a triangular body tapering to its maximum width at the level of the first pair of clamps, and clamp morphology. The morphological features of the diclidophorid species described herein similar to those of D. merlangi, which was previously isolated from Gadus morhua and Merlangius merlangus (Perdiguero-Alonso et al., 2006) and Saurida undosquamis (Morsy et al., 2018), particularly the number of testes in the testicular area and hooks supporting the muscular bulb, which were within the range of those Diclidophora species, as well as the asymmetrical clamp length with small nodules in sclerites. The key distinction between D. merlangi from A. bifasciatus and two specimens from M. merlangus and S. undosquamis is the latter's significantly larger in the body size, which is consistent with Perdiguero-Alonso et al. (2006). However, the body size is not considered a reliable trait to differentiate Diclidophora species, especially when they are recorded from alternative hosts, and this is supported by Sproston (1946), Lewellyn and Tully (1969), and Llewellyn et al. (1980) who reported that some parasite species can be significantly smaller than the average even when isolated from their usual host.

Furthermore, the basic morphology and clamp structure of D. paracoelorhynchi is similar to D. merlangi, with distinction that the former Diclidophora species has a much larger and more powerful muscular sucker in each clamp than D. merlangi. However, D. merlangi might be separated from other Diclidophora species in the following ways: (I) D. macruri has clamps that are clearly longer than wide, (II) D. coelorhynchi has 18 cirrus hooks and pedunculated clamps in which the diagram is not entirely joined with the lateral sclerites or the base of the central sclerite, such that no ring is formed to hold the sucker, (III) D. paracoelorhynchi is up to twice as large as D. merlangi, with a lobed seminal receptacle and 40 - 60 testes, (IV) D. srivastavai isolated from Setipinna phasa has sessile clamps and a plumped body, (V) D. indica has varied forms of testes, (VI) D. embiotocae isolated from three embiotocid fish (Amphisticus rhodoterus, Hyperprosopon ellipticum, and Hyperprosopon argenteum), had 11 – 13 recurved hooks and 91 (78 – 96) testes, (VII) D. nezumiae isolated from Nezumia bairdii has short peduncles for clamps, cirrus with 10 - 13 (11) recurved hooks and 18 - 30 testes, (VIII) D. luscae (from Trisopterus luscus), D. esmarkii (from Trisopterus esmarki), D. phycidis (from Phycis blennoides) have 8 - 10 cirrus hooks, (IX) D. palmata isolated from Molva molva has palmate shaped haptoral peduncles and 19 genital corona spines, and (X) D. denticulata isolated from Pollachius virens has extremely large haptoral clamps and peduncles as well as muscular bulb with 13 - 15 spines, (XI) D. minor isolated from Micromesistius poutassou has distinctly demarcated haptor and the number of testes was 150, and (XII) D. micromesisti isolated from Micromesistius australis has buccal suckers distinctly smaller than pharynx.

Molecular confirmation of the parasite was achieved by analyzing sequence variation in both the 28S rRNA and COI gene regions.

Comparable parameters		Perdiguero-Alonso et al. 2006			Morsy <i>et al</i> . 2018	Present study
Host		Gadus morhua	Gadus morhua	Merlangius merlangus	Saurida undosquamis	Acanthopagrus bifasciatus
Location		North Sea	Celtic Sea	Celtic Deep	Red Sea, Egypt	Arabian Gulf, Saudi Arabia
Body	Length	2.24	3.30	9.07±1.98 (4.25-13.10)	6±2 (4-10)	3.67±0.12 (2.97-5.26)
	Width	1.18	1.06	3.69±0.77 (2.12-5.43)	1.25±0.23 (0.45-1.39)	0.72±0.01 (0.61-0.95)
Buccal sucker diameter		-	-	-	0.12±0.02 (0.08-0.15)	0.076±0.004 (0.071-0.089)
Pharynx length		0.15	0.08	0.27±0.04 (0.15-0.39)	0.12±0.006 (0.08-0.15)	0.059±0.013 (0.041-0.075)
Muscular bulb diameter		0.077	0.069	0.12±0.02 (0.08-0.15)	-	0.049±0.008 (0.039-0.055)
Number of copulatory organ hooks		17	17	17±2 (13-20)	15-19	17
Germarium length		0.53	0.78	1.96±0.43 (1.00-2.83)	0.60±0.01 (0.04-0.80)	0.232±0.034 (0.191-0.270)
Number of testes		223	256	201±31 (167-290)	220±40 (190-250)	218±10 (184-267)
Testicular area length		0.826	0.908	0.670±0.031 (0.177-1.413)	-	0.981±0.023 (0.801-1.26)
Haptor length		0.85	1.15	2.68±0.63 (1.35-4.10)	-	0.665±0.128 (0.459-0.752)
First clamp length		0.17	0.22	0.35±0.05 (0.22-0.43)	-	0.160±0.008 (0.150-0.166)
First clamp width		0.14	0.17	0.28±0.40 (0.18-0.36)	0.39±0.01 (0.28-0.47)	0.142±0.008 (0.133-0.149)

Table 1. Comparative metrical data for Diclidophora merlangi and their congeneric species.

Sequences from the partial 28S rRNA gene revealed that the two sequences acquired from the organism in the present investigation clustered with members of the Diclidophora genus. The branch that contained members of the genus Diclidophora differed from the branch grouped related organisms from genera Microcotyle and Polylabris. Both genera constituted a monophyletic group. Molecular findings validated the distinction of the organism's identity as D. merlangi, which differs from D. luscae, D. minor and D. denticulata. Ramos et al. (2022) studied D. luscae from Trisopterus luscus and validated its molecular identification, grouping it alongside D. minor and D. denticulata into a single clade. Ramos et al. (2022) sequences were determined to be more than 99 % identical to those described before (Mollaret et al., 2000; Jovelin & Justine, 2001), who classified it as D. luscae capelanii. The organism (D. luscae capelanii) was recovered from T. capelanus in the Mediterranean Sea and after the host harboring it (T. luscus) from the Atlantic Ocean (Jovelin & Justine, 2001). Ramos et al. (2022) recovered the same organism from T. lusca, hence, the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) used the name D. luscae. Furthermore, there are no COI sequences related to the genus Diclidophora in GenBank, so the sequence reported from the organism (D. merlangi) is considered a unique sequence. The closest match for the sequence was that from Choricotyle australiensis and C. brasiliensis, with nucleotide matches of 84.39 % and 83.75 %, respectively. Thus, the sequence is novel and can be employed as a barcoding sequence for D. merlangi in future research.

Most monogenean species that parasitize fish are very unique to their hosts. However, this study is the first to show that *A. bifasciatus* harboured *Diclidophora* species in Saudi Arabia, which is

consistent with the findings of Perdiguero-Alonso et al. (2006), Strona et al. (2010), and Morsy et al. (2018), who all stated that D. merlangi was found on an unusual host. The novel fish host observation could be explained by interaction between hosts, which aids in parasite transmission. DNA barcoding is thought to be a method for species discrimination, which helps in comprehending the diversity within an ecosystem and assessing genetic variability between them (Rajkumar et al., 2015; Abbas et al., 2017; Ghouri et al., 2020). Previous research has used the COI gene to confirm the taxonomic status of many Acanthopagrus species (Hsu et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2018; Alam et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Pan et al., 2021; Islam et al., 2022; Pombo-Ayora et al., 2022). The host's morphological identification was established by comparing COI seguences to comparable organisms. The COI sequence recovered from A. bifasciatus in the current investigation was determined to be 100 % identical to A. bifasciatus, confirming morphological identification. Sequences from A. bifasciatus and Acanthopagrus catenula shared a common ancestor, as previously observed by Pombo-Ayora et al. (2022).

Conclusion

This is the first study to present the morphological description and molecular characterization (28S rRNA and COI genes), resulting in the identification of the monogenean *D. merlangi* and DNA barcoding of the fish host (*A. bifasciatus*) in the Arabian Gulf (Saudi Arabia), utilizing the COI gene as a genetic marker. The COI sequence acquired for *D. merlangi* is unique and will serve as the foundation for all future research by members of the *Diclidophora* genus on this gene.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the content of this article.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Researchers Supporting Project (RSP2024R25), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Consent to participate All authors agreed to participate in this study.

Consent to publish All authors agreed to publish the data in this study.

Availability of data and materials All the datasets generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

References

ABBAS, E.M., SOLIMAN, T., EL-MAGD, M.A., FARRAG, M.M.S., ISMAIL, R.F., KATO, M. (2017): Phylogeny and DNA barcoding of the family Sparidae inferred from mitochondrial DNA of the Egyptian waters. *J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 12: 73 – 81. DOI: 10.3923/jfas.2017.73.81

ABDEL-GABER, R., AL QURAISHY, S., ALGHAMDI, M., ALJAWDAH, H.M.A., MAODAA, S.N., AL-SHAEBI, E.M. (2023): DNA barcoding of *Argyrops filamentosus* (Perciformes: Sparidae) based on mitochondrial COI gene and histopathological evaluation of gills infected by monogeneans. *Indian J. Anim. Res.*, pp. 1 – 6. DOI: 10.18805/IJAR. BF-1626

ABU SHUSHA, T.L., KALANTAN, M.Z., AL-NAZRY, H.H., AL-GHAMDI, Y.A. (2010): Fishes from territorial Saudi Red Sea waters. *Marine Research Centre-Jeddah, Deputy Ministry of fisheries affairs, Ministry of Agriculture, Saudi Arabia.*

AHMED, S.M.E., FITEHA, Y.G., ELHIFNAWY, H.T., MAGDY, M., MAMOON, A., HUSSEIN, N., RASHED, M.A.S. (2021): DNA barcoding for identification of some fish species (Sparidae) in Mediterranean Sea Area. *J Sci Res Sci*, 38 (1): 168 – 182. DOI: 10.21608/jsrs.2021.210686 ALAM, M.S., ALKUWARI, A.D., ALHASHIMI, N., ALMALKI, A. (2020): DNA barcoding identification of Perciform fishes of the Arabian Gulf commercially harvested in Qatar. *Egypt J Aquat Biol Fish*, 24 (7): 259 – 269. DOI: 10.21608/ejabf.2020.146443

ALGHAMDI, M., AL-QURAISHY, S., AL-SHAEBI, E.M., ABDEL-GABER, R. (2022): Morphological and molecular analyses *Protolamellodiscus senilobatus* (Monogenea: Diplectanidae), a gill parasite infecting the soldier bream *Argyrops filamentosus* (Sparidae). *J King Saud Univ Sci*, 35: 102417. DOI: 10.1016/j.jksus.2022.102417

AL-NABATI, ALI, S., AL-QURAISHY, S., ALAJMI, R., AL-SHAEBI, E.M., AL-JAWDAH, H.M.A., DKHIL, M.A., ABDEL-GABER, R. (2021): *Heteromicrocotyla polyorchis* Unnithan, 1961 (Monogenea: Heteromicrocotylidae), a gill parasite of the yellow-spotted trevally, *Carangoides fulvoguttatus* (Carangidae) from Saudi Arabia: Morphology and phylogeny. *Microb Pathog*, 160: 105165. DOI: 10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105165

ALSHEHRI, E., ABDEL-GABER, R., AL-QUARISHY, S., ALGHAMDI, M., AL-SHAEBI, E.M. (2023): Morphologicla and molecular characterization of the monogenean gill parasite, *Acleotrema maculatus*, infecting *Argyrops filamentosus* fish in the Red Sea, Saudi Arabia. *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec*, 75 (4): 673 – 681. DOI: 10.1590/1678-4162-12993

AL-ZUBAIDY, A.B. (2013): The first record of *Chauhanellus indicus* Rastogi, Kumar and Singh, 2004 (Monogenea: Ancyrocephalidae) from the gills of the Catfish *tachysurus dussumieri* (Valenciennes) from the Red Sea, Coast of Yemen. *J. King Abdulaziz Univ. Mar. Sci.*, 24 (1): 3 – 15. DOI: 10.4197/Mar.24-1.1

BAKHRAIBAH, A.O. (2018): Effect of locality, host species and sex on the metazoan parasitic infestation of two, species of *Scarus* fish from the Red Sea Coast at Jeddah and Rabigh in Saudi Arabia. *Open J Anim Sci,* 8: 252 – 258. DOI: 10.4236/ojas.2018.83019

BAYOUMY, E.M., ABU-TAWEEL, G.M., ALZAHABY, M.A. (2012): Metazoan parasites of some Arabian Gulf fish, off Dammam, Saudi Arabia: 1- External and Internal parasite-Host associations. *Glob Vet*, 9 (5): 600 – 605. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2012.9.5.6693

BUSH, A.O., LAFFERTY, K.D., LOTZ, J.M., SHOSTAK, A.W. (1997): Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al.* revisited. *J Parasitol*, 83: 575 – 583. DOI: 10.2307/3284227

CATALANO, S.R., HUTSON, K.S., RATCLIFF, R.M., WHITTINGTON, I.D. (2010): Redescriptions of two species of microcotylid monogeneans from three arripid hosts in southern Australian waters. *Syst Parasitol*, 76(3): 211 – 222. DOI: 10.1007/s11230-010-9247-x

DAJEM, S.B., MORSY, K., SHATI, A., EZZAT, A., EL-SAID, F., ABDEL-GAB-ER, R. (2019): *Bivagina pagrosomi* Murray (1931): (Monogenea: Polyopisthocotylea), a microcotylid infecting the gills of the gilthead sea bream *Sparus aurata* (Sparidae) from the Red Sea: morphology and phylogeny. *J Vet Res*, 63: 345 – 352. DOI: 10.2478/ jvetres-2019-0053

FOLMER, O., BLACK, M., LUTZ, R., VRIJENHOEK, R. (1994): DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from metazoan invertebrates. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol*, 3: 294 – 299

FORSSKÅL, P. (1775): Descriptiones animalium avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium; quae in itinere orientali observavit. Post mortem auctoris edidit Carsten Niebuhr. Hauniae. Descr. Animalium Descriptiones animalium avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium; quae in itinere orientali observavit... In Post mortem auctoris edidit Carsten Niebuhr. Mölleri, Copenhagen, 20 pp., xxxiv+164 pp. (Pisces on pp. x–xix + 22–76) (In Latin)

FROESE, R., PAULY, D. (EDS) (2019): FishBase, version 05/2019. World Wide Web electronic publication. Retrieved November, 7 2019, from www.fishbase.org

GALLIEN, L. (1937): Recherches sur quelques trématodes monogénèses nouveaux ou peu connus [Research on some new or little-known monogenic trematodes]. *Ann Parasitol Hum Comp*, 15, 146 – 154 (In French)

GHOURI, M.Z., ISMAIL, M., JAVED, M.A., KHAN, S.H., MUNAWAR, N.,

UMAR, A.B., AFTAB, S.O., AMIN, S., KHAN, Z., AHMAD, A. (2020): Identification of Edible Fish Species of Pakistan Through DNA Barcoding. *Front Mar Sci*, 7: 554183. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2020.554183

Hsu, T.H., GUILLÉN MADRID, A.G., BURRIDGE, C.P., CHENG, H.Y., Gwo, J.C. (2011): Resolution of the *Acanthopagrus* black seabream complex based on mitochondrial and amplified fragment-length polymorphism analyses. *J Fish Biol*, 79: 1182 – 1192. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2011.03100.x

ISLAM, M.R., TACHIHARA, K., IMAI, H. (2022): Cryptic lineage and genetic structure of *Acanthopagrus pacificus* populations in a natural world heritage site revealed by population genetic analysis. *Diversity*, 14: 1117. DOI: 10.3390/d14121117

JOVELIN, R., JUSTINE, J.L. (2001): Phylogenetic relationships within the polyopisthocotylean monogeneans (Platyhelminthes) inferred from partial 28S rDNA sequences. *International journal for Parasitology*, 31(4): 393 – 401. DOI: 10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00114-X KUMAR, S., STECHER, G., LI, M., KNYAZ, C., TAMURA, K. (2018): MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol*, 35(6): 1547 – 1549. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msy096

LITTLEWOOD, D.T.J., ROHDE, K., CLOUGH, K.A. (1997): Parasite speciation within or between host species? – Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. *Int J Parasitol*, 27: 1289 – 1297. DOI: 10.1016/S0020-7519(97)00086-6

LIU, C., ZHANG, J., LIU, S., SONG, P., GUAN, Y., SHAN, B., LI, Y., LIN, L. (2021): Genetic diversity of the yellowfin seabream, *Acanthop-garus latus* (Actinopterygii: Perciformes: Sparidae)-An enhancement species in Dongshan Bay. *Acta Ichthyol Piscat*, 51 (3): 281 – 287. DOI: 10.3897/aiep.51.66894

LLEWELLYN, J., MACDONALD, S., GREEN, J.E. (1980): Host-specificity and speciation in diclidophoran (monogenean) gill parasites of trisopteran (gadoid) fishes at Plymouth. *J Mar Biol Assoc U K*, 60: 73 – 79. DOI: 10.1017/S0025315400024127

LOCKYER, A.E., OLSON, P.D., LITTLEWOOD, D.T.J. (2003): Utility of complete large and small subunit rRNA genes in resolving the phylogeny of the Neodermata (Platyhelminthes): implications and a review of the cercomer theory. *Biol J Linn Soc Lond*, 78(2): 155 – 171. DOI: 10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00141.x

LOCKYER, A.E., OLSON, P.D., LITTLEWOOD, D.T.J. (2003): Utility of complete large and small subunit rRNA genes resolving the phylogeny of the Neodermata (Platyhelminthes): implications and a review of the cercomer theory. *Biol J Linn Soc*, 78: 155 – 171. DOI: 10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00141.x

MAMAEV, Y.L. (1976): The system and phylogeny of monogeneans of the family Diclidophoridae. In: *Fauna, systematics and Biology of Monogeneans. Trudy Biologo-Pochvenogo Instituta, Vladivostok, Novaya Seriya*, 35: 57 – 80

MENDOZA-FRANCO, E.F., TUN, M.D.C.R., ANCHEVIDA, A.J.D., RODRIGUEZ, R.E.D.R. (2018): Morphological and molecular (28S rRNA) data of monogeneans (Platyhelminthes) infecting the gill lamellae of marine fishes in the Campeche Bank, southwest Gulf of Mexico. *ZooKeys*, 783: 125 – 161. DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.783.26218 MOHAMED, M.H., OSMAN, H.A.M., ASWATHAN, M., AL-SHWARED, W.A., FITA, N.A. (2015): Infestation of cage-cultured marine fish with *Benedenia acanthopagri* (Monogenea; Capsalidae) in Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. *Glob Vet*, 14 (2): 219 – 227. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2015.14.02.9329

MOLLARET, I., JAMIESON, B.G., JUSTINE, J.L. (2000): Phylogeny of the monopisthocotylea and Polyopisthocotylea (Platyhelminthes) inferred from 28S rDNA sequences. *Int J Parasitol*, 30(2): 171 – 185. DOI: 10.1016/S0020-7519(99)00197-6

MORSY, K., AL-MALKI, J.S., DAJEM, S.B., HAMDI, H., ALI, A., ADEL, A. (2021): Morphology and molecular phylogeny of *Heterobothrium lamothei* (Monogenea: Diclidophoridae), a gill parasite infecting the tiger puffer fish *Lagocephalus sceleratus* (Tetraodontidae). *Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec*, 73 (5): 1117 – 1127. DOI: 10.1590/1678-4162-12303

MORSY, K., SHAZLY, M., ABDEL-GAWAD, M., SAED, N. (2018): The first report of two monogenean gill parasites assigned to *Diclidopho-ra merlangi* (Diclidophoridae) and *Loxuroides pricei* (Axinidae) from brushtooth lizardfish and red porgy seabream of the Red Sea, Egypt. *Vet Res Forum*, 9 (2): 163 – 169. DOI: 10.30466/ VRF.2018.30829

NURYANTO, A., BHAGAWATI, D., ROFIQOH, A.A. (2023): Barcoding of Sparidae collected during east monsoon season in the eastern Indian Ocean south of Java, Indonesia. *Aquac Aquar Conserv Legis*, 16 (2): 805 – 817. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d241123

OLIVA, M.E., SEPULVEDA, F.A., GONZÁLEZ, M.T. (2014): Parapedoctyle prolatili gen. n. et sp. n., a representative of a new subfamily of the Diclidophoridae (Monogenea), a gill parasite of *Prolatilus jugula-ris* (Teleostei: Pinguipedidae) from Chile. *Folia Parasitol (Praha)*, 61(6): 543 – 548. DOI: 10.14411/fp.2014.067

ÖZTÜRK, T., ÖZER, A. (2014): Monogenean fish parasites, their host preferences and seasonal distributions in the lower Kızılırmak Delta (Turkey). *Turk J Fish Aquat Sci*, 14: 367 – 378. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v14_2_07

PALM, H.W. (2004): *The Trypanorhyncha Diesing*, 1863. PK-SPL-IPB Press, Bogor, Indonesia, 710 pp.

PAN, C., GAO, C., CHEN, T., CHEN, X., YANG, C., ZENG, D., FENG, P., JIANG, W., PENG, M. (2021): The complete mitochondrial genome of yellowfin seabream, *Acanthopagrus latus* (Percoiformes, Sparidae) from Beibu Bay. *Mitochondrial DNA B Resour*, 6 (4): 1313 – 1314. DOI: 10.1080/23802359.2021.1907804

PERDIGUERO-ALONSO, D., MONTERO, F.E., RAGA, J.A., BALBUENA, J.A. (2006): *Diclidophora merlangi* (Monogenea: Diclidophoridae) on Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. *J Parasitol*, 92 (4): 697 – 702. DOI: 10.1645/GE-752R.1

POMBO-AYORA, L., PEINEMANN, V.N., WILLIAMS, C.T., HE, S., LIN, Y.J., IWATSUKI, Y., BRADLEY, D.D.C., BERUMEN, M.L. (2022): *Acanthopagrus oconnorae*, a new species of seabream (Sparidae) from the Red Sea. *J Fish Biol*, 101(4): 885 – 897. DOI: 10.1111/jfb.15147

PRICE, E.W. (1943): North American monogenetic trematodes: VI. The family Diclidophoridae (Diclidophoroidea). *J Wash Acad Sci*, 33: 44 – 54 PRIOLI SÔNIA, M.A.P., PRIOLI ALBERTO, J., JÚLIO JR. HORÁCIO, F., PA-VANELLI, C.S., OLIVEIRA ALESSANDRA, V.DE., CARRER, H., CARRARO, D.M., PRIOLI LAUDENIR, M. (2002): Identification of *Astyanax altiparanae* in the Iguaçu River, Brazil, based on mitochondrial DNA and RAPD markers. *Genet Mol Biol*, 25: 421 – 430. DOI: 10.1590/ S1415-47572002000400011

PURIVIROJKUL, W. (2008): Distribution of Polyopisthocotyleans in some marine fishes from the Gulf of Thailand, Chonburi Province. *Fish. Res. Bull. Kasetsart Univ.*, 32(2): 19 – 28

QUIAZON, K.M. (2015): Updates on aquatic parasites in fisheries: implications to food safety, food security and environmental protection. *J Coast Zone Manag*, 18: 1. DOI: 10.4172/2473-3350.1000396

RAJKUMAR, G., BHAVAN, P.S., UDAYASURIYAN, R., VADIVALAGAN, C. (2015): Molecular identification of shrimp species, *Penaeus semi-sulcatus*, *Metapenaeus dobsoni*, *Metapenaeus brevicornis*, *Fenneropenaeus indicus*, *Parapenaeopsis stylifera* and *Solenocera crassicornis* inhabiting in the coromandel coast (Tamil Nadu, India) Using MT-COI gene. *Int J Fish Aquat Sci*, 2(4): 96 – 106

RAMOS, P., VARANDAS, R., CONCEIÇÃO, I. L., GRADE, A., OLIVEIRA, M. M., ALEXANDRE-PIRES, G., ROSA, F. (2022): *Diclidophora luscae* (Monogenea: Diclidophoridae) in pouting, *Trisopterus luscus* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the northeast Atlantic; epidemiology, morphology, molecular and phylogenetic analysis. *Parasitol Res*, 121(9): 2517 – 2535. DOI: 10.1007/s00436-022-07591-8

RUBEC, L.A., DROBEB, N.O. (1994): Revision of the genus *Diclidophora* Krøyer, 1838 (Monogenea: Diclidophoridae), with the proposal of *Macrouridophora* n. g. *Syst Parasitol*, 28: 159 – 185. DOI: 10.1007/BF00009516

SAITOH, K., SADO, T., MAYDEN, R.L., HANZAWA, N., NAKAMURA, K., NISHIDA, M., MIYA, M. (2006): Mitogenomic evolution and interrelationships of the cypriniformes (Actinopterygii: Ostariophysi): The first evidence toward resolution of higherlevel relationships of the world's largest freshwater fish clade based on 59 whole mitogenome sequences. *J Mol Evol*, 63: 826 – 841. DOI: 10.1007/ s00239-005-0293-y

SPROSTON, N.G. (1946): A synopsis of monogenetic trematodes. *Trans Zool Soc London*, 25: 185 – 600

STRONA, G., STEFANI, F., GALLI, P. (2010): Monogenoidean parasites of Italian marine fish: An updated checklist. *Ital J Zool (Modena)*, 77(4): 419 – 437. DOI: 10.1080/11250001003614841

SURIANO, D.M., MARTORELLI, S.R. (1984): Monogeneos parasitos de peces pertenecientes al orden Gadiformes de la plataforma del mar Argentino [Parasitic monogeneans of fish belonging to the order Gadiformes from the Argentine Sea platform]. *Rev. Mus. La Plata Nueva Ser. Secc. Zool*, 13: 195 – 210 (In Spanish)

TAN, J., LIM, P.E., PHANG, S.M., HONG, D.D., SUNARPI, H., HURTADO, A.Q. (2012): Assessment of four molecular markers as potential DNA barcodes for red algae *Kappaphycus* Doty and *Eucheuma* J. Agardh (Solieriaceae, Rhodophyta). *PLoS One*, 7(12): e52905. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052905

TIRARD, C., BERREBI, P., RAIBAUT, A., FRENAUD, F. (1992): Parasites as biological markers: evolutionary relationships in the heterospecific combination of helminths (monogeneans) and teleosts (Gadidae). *Biol J Linn Soc Lond*, 47(2): 173 – 182. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1992.tb00663.x

VILLORA-MONTERO, M., PÉREZ-DEL-OLMO, A., GEORGIEVA, S., RAGA, J., MONTERO, F. (2020): Considerations on the taxonomy and morphology of *Microcotyle* spp.: redescription of *M. erythrini* van Beneden & Hesse, 1863 (*sensu stricto*) (Monogenea: Microcotylidae) and the description of a new species from *Dentex dentex* (L.) (Teleostei: Sparidae). *Parasit Vectors*, 13(1): 45. DOI: 10.1186/s13071-020-3878-9

WANG, X., GUSSAROVA, G., RUHSAM, M., DE VERE, N., METHERELL, C., HOLLINGSWORTH, P.M., TWYFORD, A.D. (2018): DNA barcoding a taxonomically complex hemiparasitic genus reveals deep divergence between ploidy levels but lack of species-level resolution. *AoB Plants*, 10: ply026. DOI: 10.1093/aobpla/ply026

WARD, R.D., ZEMLAK, T.S., INNES, B.H., LAST, P.R., HEBERT, P.D. (2005): DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 360(1462): 1847 – 1857. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1716

WHITTINGTON, I.D., CRIBB, B.W., HAMWOOD, T.E., HALLIDAY, J.A. (2000): Host-specificity of monogenean (platyhelminth) parasites: a role for anterior adhesive areas? *Int J Parasitol*, 30(3): 305 – 320. DOI: 10.1016/S0020-7519(00)00006-0

WORMS (2023): Diclidophoridae Cerfontaine, 1895. Retrieved 2023-10-08, from https://marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxde-tails &id=119239.

WU, R., ZHANG, H., LIU, J., NIU, S., XIAO, Y., CHEN, Y. (2018): DNA barcoding of the family Sparidae along the coast of China and revelation of potential cryptic diversity in the Indo-West Pacific oceans based on COI and 16S rRNA genes. *J Oceanol Limnol*, 36(5): 1753 – 1770. DOI: 10.1007/s00343-018-7214-6

ZHANG, J. (2011): Species Identification of Marine Fishes in China with DNA Barcoding. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*, 2011: 978253. DOI: 10.1155/2011/978253

ZHOU, F., SONG, W., SHAO, Q., PENG, X., XIAO, J., HUA, Y., OWARI, B.N. (2011): Partial replacement of fish meal by fermented soybean meal in diets for black Sea Bream, *Acanthopagrus schlegelii*, juveniles. *J World Aquac Soc*, 42 (2): 184 – 197. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-7345.2011.00455.x