

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Changes in Pediatric Endoscopic Practice During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: Results From an International Survey

Wenly Ruan,¹ Douglas S. Fishman,¹ Diana G. Lerner,² Melinda A. Engevik,³ B. Joseph Elmunzer,⁴ and Catharine M. Walsh,⁵ on behalf of the International Pediatric Endoscopy COVID-19 Alliance

¹Section of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Texas Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas; ²Department of Pediatrics, Section of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin; ³Department of Pathology & Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas; ⁴Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina; and ⁵Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition and the Research and Learning Institutes, Hospital for Sick Children, Department of Paediatrics and the Wilson Centre, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Keywords: Coronavirus Disease 2019; Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; Pediatric Gastroenterology; Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome–Coronavirus 2.

he coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has altered endoscopic practice significantly because severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 is present in the gastrointestinal tract and may be aerosolized during upper and lower endoscopy.¹ Societal recommendations have been published to guide screening processes, personal protective equipment (PPE) use, and procedure prioritization^{2,3}; however, their uptake remains unclear. Additionally, pediatric endoscopy has unique considerations, including a higher proportion of mild or asymptomatic COVID-19, preferential usage of anesthesiologist-administered deep sedation or general anesthesia, and more frequent gastrointestinal symptoms at the time of endoscopy.⁴ This is the first study to explore the impact of COVID-19 on pediatric endoscopic practice worldwide and to compare differences across regions and between areas with differing COVID-19 case burdens.

Methods

An online Research Electronic Data Capture survey was distributed to pediatric gastroenterologists in April 2020 using an e-mail listserve targeting pediatric gastroenterologists affiliated with the European and North American Societies for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. The pretested survey anonymously recorded information regarding institutional demographics, current pediatric endoscopic practice patterns, and changes in endoscopic practice, including COVID-19 screening processes and PPE use. Detailed methodology is provided in Appendix 1.

Results

Respondent Characteristics

There were 145 responses from distinct institutions worldwide, representing 27 different countries, with 24.1% (n = 35) from Europe, 57.9% (n = 84) from North America,

and 17.9% (n = 26) from countries in other continents. Most were free-standing children's hospitals (59.3%, n = 86) and in regions regulated by a stay-at-home/quarantine order (90.3%, n = 131) for a mean duration of 26 ± 12 days before survey completion. Eighty-nine institutions (61.4%) were from regions with \geq 10,000 cases at time of survey completion, and 70 (48.3%) were from regions with \geq 100/ 100,000 after normalization by population.

Changes in Endoscopic Practice

Pediatric endoscopy volumes decreased to <10% of normal at most institutions (81.4%, n = 118), and 89.6% (129/144) postponed all elective cases (Figure 1A). Most were not rescheduling postponed procedures (53.1%, n = 77), and 69.7% (n = 101) had no defined plan to address the backlog. Emergent/urgent cases were not delayed at 88.3% of institutions (n = 128). One hundred twelve institutions (78.3%) continued emergent/urgent procedures for patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19. Notably, triage criteria for procedures were lacking; only half (n = 69) reported using guidelines to classify procedural urgency.

Modified staffing for endoscopy was reported by 53.5% of institutions (77/144), and 60.1% (86/143) restricted the number of personnel permitted in the endoscopy suite. Only 17.2% (n = 25) continued to allow unrestricted fellow participation in procedures; 33.1% (n = 48) barred trainee participation completely.

Endoscopy-related COVID-19 Screening and Testing

COVID-19 screening practices varied, with 78.5% of institutions (113/144) screening patients before and on the

© 2020 by the AGA Institute 0016-5085/\$36.00 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.068

Abbreviations used in this paper: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; PPE, personal protective equipment.

Most current article



Figure 1. Pediatric endoscopic practice changes during the COVID-19 pandemic. (A) Survey responses divided bv geographic region, including Europe, North America, and countries in other continents. Questions reflect common changes in pediatric endoscopic practice since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. (B) Survey redivided sponses by geographic region. including Europe, North America, and countries in other continents. Questions reflect mask usage during various endoscopic procedures and mask reuse. FFP, filtering face piece.

endoscopy day and 6.25% (9/144) not performing any screening. Only 53.1% (n = 77) screened for gastrointestinal symptoms. Five institutions (3.4%) inquired about loss of smell or taste. Most (95.1%, 136/143) measured body temperature. Nearly 80% (110/141) had protocols to address a positive screen. After endoscopy, only 18.4% of institutions (n = 26/141) followed-up about new COVID-19 diagnoses and/or symptoms.

Thirty-one percent of institutions (44/143) tested for COVID-19 before all endoscopies, 24.5% (35/143) tested select cases, and 44.8% (64/143) did not test. Of those testing, most used nasopharyngeal swabs (96.2%, 76/79) and had a turnaround time of <24 hours (93.7%, 74/79).

Over 90% of institutions had no known COVID-19 exposures from patients (129/143) or caregivers (130/143); however, 71.6%, (101/141) had protocols in place if such exposure did occur.

Changes in Personal Protective Practices

The location of endoscopy did not change at 67.4% of institutions (97/144). Only 44.8% (64/143) had negative pressure rooms in their endoscopy unit. Thirty-three institutions (23.1%) used them for all procedures, whereas 21.7% (31/143) used them for select cases. Anesthesia

practices changed for 37.1% (53/143), with more institutions uniformly performing endotracheal intubation for all procedures.

There was variation in use of full airborne, contact, and droplet PPE precautions compared with contact and droplet precautions alone (Supplementary Table 1). Reuse of surgical masks was reported by 38.5% of institutions (55/143), and 67.8% (97/143) reused N95/N99 masks or filtering face piece 2/3 respirators (Figure 1*B*).

Survey Responses Stratified by Region

Fewer North American institutions admitted patients for emergent/urgent cases compared with Europe (P = .00001) or other countries (P = .0171). Compared with North America, countries on other continents were less likely to classify procedural urgency using guidelines (P = .0065) and to postpone emergent/urgent cases (P = .0129) and advanced endoscopic procedures (P = .0059). North American institutions rescheduled fewer postponed cases compared with Europe (P = .0060). Fellows were less likely to be involved in endoscopic procedures in Europe compared with North America (P = .0037) and other countries (P = .0005).

Screening questions differed across regions, with European centers asking significantly more gastrointestinal symptom questions (P < .001). PPE use also varied, with European countries using fewer N95/N99 masks in highrisk or confirmed COVID-19 patients (P = .002) compared with North America.

Survey Responses Stratified by COVID-19 Cases

Regions with \geq 10,000 COVID-19 cases were more likely to use full PPE precautions for all upper (P = .039) and lower endoscopies (P = .0418), less likely to postpone emergent/urgent cases (P = .0094), and more likely to have established protocols pertaining to endoscopy-related COVID-19 exposure (P = .0461) (Supplementary Table 2). Institutions with \geq 100/100,000 COVID-19 cases more frequently inquired about gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, P = .0385; vomiting, P = .0091).

Institutions in regions with \geq 10,000 COVID-19 cases were also more likely to diagnose celiac disease using European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition nonendoscopic diagnostic criteria (P = .0327) and inflammatory bowel disease without endoscopy (P = .00362). Most institutions reported still using endoscopy to guide management of eosinophilic esophagitis.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates significant pediatric endoscopic practice variation across institutions worldwide and highlights relevant differences in practice across geographic regions and differential COVID-19 case burdens. European institutions were more likely to inquire about gastrointestinal symptoms and recent travel compared with North America, likely reflecting earlier experience with COVID-19. Additionally, areas with a higher case burden were more likely to use full PPE precautions. Only 59.2% of institutions reported using full precautions for all procedures, demonstrating continued variation among institutions despite societal guidelines.^{2,3} Reuse of masks was high, and most institutions reported concerns about PPE supply, underscoring the implications of PPE scarcity.⁵

Pediatric endoscopy volumes have been impacted significantly in line with adult practice,⁶⁻⁸ with over 80% of pediatric institutions operating at <10% of normal procedural volumes and 98.6% of institutions postponing elective procedures. Most institutions had no defined plans for rescheduling, highlighting the need for guidance as regions start to reopen. Future study of rebooking processes and outcomes of patients affected by these cancellations will be important to re-evaluate which indications for pediatric endoscopy are pertinent.

This study provides real-world data highlighting the drastic impact COVID-19 has had on pediatric endoscopic practice worldwide. As the pandemic evolves, this information will be useful to help inform practices and stream-line guidelines in a manner that balances safety issues and practicability and to inform strategies for resumption of endoscopic services.

Supplementary Material

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of *Gastroenterology* at www.gastrojournal.org, and at https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.068.

References

- 1. Repici A, et al. Gastrointest Endosc 2020;92:192–197.
- 2. Sultan S, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:739-758.
- 3. Walsh CM, et al. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2020; 70:741–750.
- 4. Dong Y, et al. Pediatrics 2020;145:e20200702.
- 5. Rex DK, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:1167-1169.
- 6. Forbes N, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:772-774.
- 7. Mahadev S, et al. Gastrointest Endosc 2020;92:788-789.
- 8. Garbe J, et al. Gastroenterology 2020;159:778–780.

Received May 21, 2020. Accepted May 27, 2020.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Catharine M. Walsh, MD, MEd, PhD, Hospital for Sick Children, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, 555 University Avenue, Room 8256, Black Wing, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1X8. e-mail: catharine.walsh@utoronto.ca.

Acknowledgment

The following members of the International Pediatric Endoscopy COVID-19 Alliance also contributed to this study: Raoul I Furlano, MD, PhD, Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, University Children's Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; and Mike Thomson, MBChB, DCH, MD, International Academy of Paediatric Endoscopy Training, Sheffield Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, United Kingdom.

CRediT Authorship Contributions

Wenly Ruan, MD (Conceptualization: Equal; Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Lead; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Project administration: Equal; Writing – original draft: Lead; Writing – review and editing: Equal). Douglas S Fishman, MD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Data

curation: Supporting; Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Project administration: Supporting; Resources: Supporting; Supervision: Supporting; Writing – original draft: Supporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting). Diana G Lerner, MD (Conceptualization: Supporting; Formal analysis: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting; Korporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Methodology: Supporting; Writing – review and editing: Supporting; Investigation: Supporting; Catharine M Walsh, MD, MEd, PhD (Conceptualization: Lead; Data curation: Lead; Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Lead; Methodology: Lead; Project administration: Lead;

Resources: Lead; Supervision: Lead; Writing – original draft: Equal; Writing – review and editing: Lead).

Conflicts of interest

The authors disclose no conflicts.

Funding

Wenly Ruan is supported by grant 5T32DK007664-28 from the National Institutes of Health. Catharine M. Walsh holds a Career Development Award from the Canadian Child Health Clinician Scientist Program and an Early Researcher Award from the Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the review, decision to publish and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.