


CASE REPORT

Transvenous shock-only implantable cardioverter defibrillator after an atrio-pulmonary Fontan surgery

Keiko Toyohara MD¹ | Daigo Yagishita MD, PhD² | Yoshimichi Kudo MD¹ |
 Tomomi Nishimura MD¹ | Daiji Takeuchi MD, PhD¹ | Yasuko Tomizawa MD, PhD³ |
 Morio Shoda MD, PhD^{2,4} 

¹ Department of Pediatric Cardiology and Adult Congenital Heart Disease, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

² Department of Cardiology, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

³ Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

⁴ Clinical Research Division for Heart Rhythm Management, Department of Cardiology, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence

Morio Shoda, MD, PhD, Clinical Research Division for Heart Rhythm Management, Department of Cardiology, Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1, Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8666, Japan.
 Email: shoda.morio@twmu.ac.jp

Abstract

A 42-year-old woman with tricuspid atresia who underwent a Fontan surgery (atrio-pulmonary connection) was admitted to our hospital due to symptomatic ventricular tachycardia (VT). A defibrillation lead was implanted in a distal site of a coronary vein since there was no usual entry to the ventricle. Ventricular pacing was impossible due to the high threshold, however, good sensing was obtained. Three years later, she felt palpitations and a subsequent shock therapy while climbing stairs. The cardioverter data showed that an appropriate cardioversion therapy successfully converted VT to normal rhythm.

KEYWORDS

atrio-plumony connection, coronary venous lead, Fontan surgery, implantable cardioverter defibrillator, ventricular tachycardia

1 | INTRODUCTION

Ventricular tachycardia (VT) rarely occurs in patients after a Fontan surgery, however, it can be fatal.¹ Placement of an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) lead in the ventricle is not possible because there is no entry in the presence of a Fontan with an atrio-pulmonary connection (APC). Some case reports have previously documented the placement of an ICD lead in coronary veins (CV) after tricuspid valve surgery.² To the best of our knowledge, we for the first time report a case of a transvenous ICD implantation with a defibrillation lead into the CV for tricuspid atresia after a Fontan surgery, and demonstrated a successful therapy with a shock-only ICD in such a difficult situation.

2 | CASE REPORT

A 42-year-old woman with tricuspid atresia had undergone an APC-Fontan surgery at the age of 6. The patient presented with frequent attacks of AT (Figure 1, panel A) and sick sinus syndrome (SSS)

(Figure 1, panel B) from 26 years old. She had an episode of palpitations with faintness and dyspnea at 42 years old, and the 12-lead electrocardiogram revealed a sustained VT with a cycle length of 270 milliseconds (Figure 1, panel C). We considered that she needed permanent atrial pacing for SSS with an atrial anti-tachycardia pacing (ATP) feature for AT, and an ICD for VT. Three-dimensional computed tomography showed a huge right atrium (RA) and dilated coronary sinus ostium (Figure 2, panel A and B). Cardiac catheterization revealed a high central venous pressure of 13 mm Hg, normal coronary arteries without any stenosis, and multiple small CV branches to the coronary sinus. We decided to implant an ICD system with transvenous atrial and ventricular leads instead of surgically-placed epicardial leads to reduce the risk of the procedure.

We inserted a FINELINE II Sterox EZ lead (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA) and anchored it to the inferior septum of the RA with an atrial pacing threshold of 2.0 V at 0.4 milliseconds (Figure 2, panel C and D). Selective CV angiography revealed a large ostium and small

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2020 The Authors. *Pacing and Clinical Electrophysiology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC




FIGURE 1 Twelve-lead ECG during atrial tachycardia (A), sinus rhythm, (B) and ventricular tachycardia (C)




FIGURE 2 A, Three-dimensional computed tomographic anteroposterior view of the cardiac chambers and aorta (Ao). B, Three-dimensional computed tomographic anteroposterior view of the dilated right atrium (RA), dilated coronary sinus (CS), and small posterior coronary vein. C, Chest radiograph in the anteroposterior view after successful atrial and ventricular pacing through the coronary vein. The white arrow shows the atrial lead. The black arrow shows the implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) lead. D, Three-dimensional computed tomographic anteroposterior view after the device implantation. The white arrow shows the atrial lead. The black arrow shows the ICD lead. Abbreviations: IVC, inferior vena cava; LV, left ventricle; PA, pulmonary artery; SVC, superior vena cava [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 3 Intracardiac electrogram during ventricular tachycardia (cycle length 320 milliseconds) using a device programmer. The red circle shows an appropriate cardioversion therapy with 36 J
Abbreviations: A, atrium; V, ventricle
[Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

CV posterior branch with a defect of the great CV. A Durata ICD lead (Abbott, St. Paul, MN) was advanced into the distal portion of the CV branch (Figure 2, panel C and D). Although acceptable ventricular sensing with an amplitude of 5.8 mV and successful defibrillation with 10 J were achieved, ventricular pacing was impossible at a pacing output of 10 V. Then, we implanted an Evera XT ICD DR (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN), resulting in full atrial pacing with the automatic atrial ATP programmed for an AT of more than 150 bpm and a single therapy zone for ventricular tachyarrhythmias of more than 180 bpm without any ventricular ATP programming. Anticoagulation therapy with warfarin was started to prevent any thrombotic complications after the ICD implantation.

Three years later when she climbed stairs, she had palpitations and faintness and felt a subsequent shock from the cardioversion. The ICD telemetry data showed an appropriate cardioversion therapy for VT (Figure 3). The atrial pacing was stable, and the AT attacks were successfully terminated by the automatic atrial ATP over 4 years after the ICD therapy.

3 | DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating a successful transvenous ICD implantation with a CV lead in a patient with tricuspid atresia who underwent an APC-Fontan surgery and had an episode of an appropriate ICD therapy. The epicardial ICD implantation technique has been used, and Cannon et al reported the surgical placement of an ICD coil directly in the pericardial sac with no pacing or sensing capabilities.⁴ In the epicardial lead placement, a repeat thoracotomy can be associated with significant risks and failures. Furthermore, our patient was subjected not only to VT but also to SSS and AT, who required permanent atrial pacing and atrial ATP. The endocardial ICD system with automatic atrial ATP was considered better for our patient in this difficult situation even if the ventricular pacing capability could not be achieved.

A technique of an ICD lead implantation into the middle cardiac vein has been described.² In our patient, the anatomical difficulty of the CV prevented the ideal implantation of an ICD lead for ventricular pacing and defibrillation. However, the shock-only ICD could successfully treat the VT with an appropriate shock, and additionally, the SSS and AT with atrial pacing.

The long-term safety of a defibrillating coil lead in the CV is unknown. CV thromboses may be problematic following invasive right heart procedures such as a central venous catheter placement, CV cannulation, and catheter ablation in Fontan patients.⁵ Anticoagulation with warfarin can be reasonable for preventing CV thromboses and other thromboembolic complications.

In conclusion, our case report showed that the implantation of a transvenous atrial lead and CV lead was feasible, and that a shock-only ICD functioned successfully to treat VT in a patient with a difficult anatomy.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

ORCID

Morio Shoda MD, PhD  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1772-6823>

REFERENCES

1. Pundi K, Pundi KN, Johnson JN, et al. Sudden cardiac death and late arrhythmias after the Fontan operation. *Congenital Heart Dis.* 2017;12:17-23.
2. Lopez JA. Implantable cardioverter defibrillator lead placement in the middle cardiac vein after tricuspid valve surgery. *Europace.* 2012;14:853-858.
3. Shah MJ, Nehme R, Carboni M, et al. Endocardial atrial pacing lead implantation and midterm follow-up in young patients with sinus node dysfunction after the Fontan procedure. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2004;27:949-954.

4. Cannon BC, Friedman RA, Fenrich AL, et al. Innovative techniques for placement of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator leads in patients with limited venous access to the heart. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2006;29:181-187.
5. Yeo KK, Davenport J, Raff G, et al. Life-threatening coronary sinus thrombosis following catheter ablation: case report and review of literature. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2010;11:262.e1-262.e5.

How to cite this article: Toyohara K, Yagishita D, Kudo, Y, et al. Transvenous shock-only implantable cardioverter defibrillator after an atrio-pulmonary Fontan surgery. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2021;44:181–184.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/pace.14128>