# FACT plays a major role in histone dynamics affecting VSG expression site control in *Trypanosoma brucei*

#### Viola Denninger<sup>†</sup> and Gloria Rudenko\*

Division of Cell and Molecular Biology, Sir Alexander Fleming Building, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

## Summary

Chromatin remodelling is involved in the transcriptional regulation of the RNA polymerase I transcribed variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) expression sites (ESs) of Trypanosoma brucei. We show that the T. brucei FACT complex contains the Pob3 and Spt16 subunits, and plays a key role in ES silencing. We see an inverse correlation between transcription and condensed chromatin, whereby FACT knockdown results in ES derepression and more open chromatin around silent ES promoters. Derepressed ESs show increased sensitivity to micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion, and a decrease in histones at silent ES promoters but not telomeres. In contrast, FACT knockdown results in more histones at the active ES, correlated with transcription shut-down. ES promoters are derepressed in cells stalled at the G2/M cell cycle stage after knockdown of FACT, but not in G2/M cells stalled after knockdown of cyclin 6. This argues that the observed ES derepression is a direct consequence of histone chaperone activity by FACT at the G2/M cell cycle stage which could affect transcription elongation, rather than an indirect consequence of a cell cycle checkpoint. These experiments highlight the role of the FACT complex in cell cycle-specific chromatin remodelling within VSG ESs.

## Introduction

In eukaryotes gene expression is typically coordinated by complicated protein networks, which extend far beyond the scope of the basic transcription machinery. Packaging of DNA into different types of chromatin plays a key role in

Accepted 28 September, 2014. \*For correspondence. E-mail gloria.rudenko@imperial.ac.uk; Tel. (+44) 207 594 8137; Fax (+44) 207 584 2056. †Present address: Centre for Gene Regulation & Expression, MSI/JBC/WTB complex, College of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, UK.

determining and maintaining the activation state of genes (Cairns, 2009; Margueron and Reinberg, 2010; van Steensel, 2011). The eukaryotic protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma brucei* has very few transcription factors and very little transcriptional control (Clayton, 2002; Berriman *et al.*, 2005; Ivens *et al.*, 2005). Most *T. brucei* genes are present in very extensive polycistronic transcription units, which are constitutively transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) (Berriman *et al.*, 2005). As the regulation of gene expression is predominantly post-transcriptional in this organism (Kramer, 2012), this makes the role of chromatin structure and remodelling an intriguing issue.

The bloodstream-form trypanosome expresses an essential protective VSG coat (Sheader *et al.*, 2005) from a single copy *VSG* gene located in one of about 15 telomeric ES transcription units (Berriman *et al.*, 2002; Hertz-Fowler *et al.*, 2008). During the course of a chronic infection, trypanosomes can switch the active *VSG* either through switching to a new ES, or through DNA rearrangements replacing the *VSG* at the active ES telomere (reviewed in Taylor and Rudenko, 2006; Horn and McCulloch, 2010; Glover *et al.*, 2013; Horn, 2014). Unusually, the active ES is transcribed by RNA polymerase I (Pol I) (Gunzl *et al.*, 2003), which in eukaryotes normally exclusively transcribes rDNA. This unusual and highly regulated Pol I-mediated mono-allelic transcription of ESs in *T. brucei* is key for antigenic variation to work (Borst, 2002).

It is now clear that chromatin remodelling plays a key role in ES control (Rudenko, 2010; Glover et al., 2013). ESs have different chromatin structures depending on their activation state, whereby the active Pol I-transcribed ES is very extensively depleted of nucleosomes (Figueiredo and Cross, 2010; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). In addition, a broad range of chromatin proteins, remodellers and histone chaperones have now been shown to be involved in ES control. Knockdown of chromatin proteins and remodellers (ISWI, NLP, RAP1), histone chaperones (FACT, ASF1, CAF-1b), histone modifiers (DAC3, DOT1B), or histones H1 or H3 results in derepression of silent ES promoters or changes in VSG switching (Hughes et al., 2007; Figueiredo et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009; Denninger et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Narayanan et al., 2011; Alsford and Horn, 2012; Povelones et al., 2012; Pena et al., 2014).

© 2014 The Authors. *Molecular Microbiology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In addition, there appears to be a link between chromosome replication and segregation and ES silencing, as knockdown of the Origin Recognition Complex (ORC), MCM-BP or the cohesin subunit TbSCC1 affects telomeric silencing of VSGs (Landeira et al., 2009; Tiengwe et al., 2012; Benmerzouga et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). Nuclear architecture also plays a role in the maintenance of silenced chromatin domains, as knockdown of the lamin-like protein NUP1 results in perturbation of VSG silencing (DuBois et al., 2012). Although most research has concentrated on chromatin proteins and remodellers silencing VSGs, chromatin proteins also play a role in ensuring high levels of expression of the active VSG ES. For example, the HMG-box containing chromatin protein TDP1 is key for transcription of the active ES (Narayanan and Rudenko, 2013). TDP appears to coat the transcriptionally active ES in a mutually exclusive fashion to the linker histone H1, which is enriched on silent ESs (Povelones et al., 2012; Pena et al., 2014). Possibly TDP1 protects DNA that is stripped of nucleosomes, and helps maintain the open chromatin state necessary for high levels of Pol I transcription.

The FACT (Facilitates Chromatin Transcription) complex is a histone chaperone which alters chromatin structure by actively disassembling and reassembling nucleosomes, and has been shown to play a key role in ES control (Belotserkovskaya et al., 2003; Denninger et al., 2010). FACT has been implicated in multiple processes which rely on functional chromatin structure like DNA replication, chromosome segregation, transcription initiation and elongation (Lejeune et al., 2007; Formosa, 2012). FACT plays a role in nucleosome reorganization by binding to the nucleosome, converting it from a stable to an unstable form and vice versa (McCullough et al., 2011; Winkler and Luger, 2011). During this nucleosome destabilization, although there is disruption of contacts between histone H2A-H2B dimers, H3-H4 tetramers and DNA, the ratio of histone H2A-H2B to H3-H4 dimers does not appear to change (Xin et al., 2009; McCullough et al., 2011).

Disruption of chromatin remodellers and histone chaperones in *T. brucei* can produce a cell cycle arrest coupled with ES derepression (Alsford and Horn, 2012). Knockdown of the *T. brucei* FACT large subunit Spt16 results in an accumulation of up to 40% cells at the G2/M cell cycle stage (Denninger *et al.*, 2010). This G2/M arrest has also been observed after the knockdown of histone H3 and to some extent the histone chaperone CAF-1b, although in this latter case derepression in S phase was also observed (Alsford and Horn, 2012). One hypothesis has been that the observed ES derepression seen in cells stalled after knockdown of FACT (Denninger *et al.*, 2010), is a direct consequence of this G2/M cell cycle arrest, and that all ES promoters are normally transiently derepressed at this cell cycle stage. We investigated this issue through an analysis of the *T. brucei* FACT complex, including its role in chromatin structure as influenced by histone distribution.

After depletion of FACT, we find a reduction in histones around silent ES promoters which become transcriptionally derepressed. In addition, there is an increase in histones along the active ES which becomes silenced, as has been shown earlier in Denninger et al. (2010). This inverse correlation between histone deposition and ES transcription parallels observed changes in chromatin structure after FACT knockdown, as probed using micrococcal nuclease (MNase). Arresting cells at G2/M by knockdown of cyclin 6 did not result in ES derepression. This argues that the observed ES derepression after FACT knockdown is due to a reduction in histone chaperone activity resulting in changes in chromatin structure, rather than a consequence of ES derepression triggered by a G2/M cell cycle checkpoint. Cell cycle-specific chromatin remodelling by FACT, particularly around the ES promoters, therefore plays an important role in ES control. As well as its role in ES regulation, we show the importance of the FACT complex in global histone distribution and transcription in T. brucei.

## Results

# The T. brucei FACT complex is composed of the Spt16 and Pob3 subunits

Eukaryotic FACT complexes typically contain two or three subunits. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the large subunit Spt16 forms a stable complex with the histone chaperone Pob3 and interacts with the small HMG-box protein Nhp6 (Wittmeyer et al., 1999; Formosa et al., 2001). In mammals, Pob3 and Nhp6 appear to be replaced by a single protein SSRP1 (structure-specific recognition protein 1), which contains the histone chaperone domain of Pob3 as well as the DNA-binding domain of Nhp6 (Orphanides et al., 1999). We used tandem affinity purification in order to determine the composition of the T. brucei FACT complex. One allele of the Spt16 large subunit was tagged with a C-terminal PTP-epitope at the endogenous Spt16 locus in procyclic-form T. brucei (Schimanski et al., 2005). The second Spt16 allele could be knocked out without leading to a growth defect demonstrating that the tagged PTP-Spt16 allele was functional (data not shown).

In order to purify Spt16 interacting proteins and determine the composition of the FACT complex, the lysate of about  $2 \times 10^{10}$  procyclic-form cells was purified over two subsequent immunoaffinity columns, before the Spt16 containing protein complex was eluted. The final EGTA eluate was loaded on to a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel



Fig. 1. The *T. brucei* FACT complex consists of the Spt16 and Pob3 subunits.

A. Affinity purification of the FACT complex using PTP-tagged Spt16 identifies the Pob3 subunit with an approximate size of 70 kiloDalton (kDa). A representative SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie blue is shown. Total input (0.002% crude extract) in the first lane is compared with TEV-eluate (TEV-elu.) obtained after the first purification step and cleavage with the TEV-protease (5% total) (second lane). The final eluate after elution with EGTA (EGTA-elu.) is shown in the third lane. The major bands are: Spt16-P (Spt16 tagged with the protein C epitope), Spt16 (epitope cleaved off), and Pob3. The cleaved off TEV epitope and contaminating tubulin are also shown. Identity of the bands was established using mass spectrometry analysis. Size markers are indicated in kDa on the left.

B. Protein motif analysis of *T. brucei* Pob3 (Accession No.: Tb927.10.14390) using the SMART program reveals a highly conserved histone chaperone Rtt106-like domain (*e*-value: 4.9e<sup>-22</sup>).

C. Pob3 is essential in bloodstream-form *T. brucei*. The graph shows the cell density of the RY-Pob3a and RY-Pob3b cell lines in comparison with the parental *T. brucei* RY strain in the presence (+) or absence (–) of tetracycline to induce Pob3 RNAi. The mean of three measurements is plotted against time, with standard deviation indicated with error bars.

D. Decrease in Pob3 transcript after induction of Pob3 RNAi in the *T. brucei* RY-Pob3a cell line with tetracycline (Tet). Knockdown was monitored via qPCR analysis, and plotted as fold change in transcript level over time. The mean of three independent experiments is shown with standard deviation indicated with error bars.

and the bands subjected to mass spectrometry (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1). A single Spt16-interacting protein of about 70 kDa was found, which was the *T. brucei* orthologue of the small FACT subunit Pob3. Pob3 had earlier been identified in *T. brucei* using bioinformatic searches (Patrick *et al.*, 2008). *T. brucei* Pob3 is a well-conserved protein of 555 amino acids containing a histone chaperone domain (Fig. 1B). Depletion of Pob3 in bloodstream-form *T. brucei* using tetracycline inducible RNAi resulted in a growth arrest comparable to that found after the induction of Spt16 RNAi (Fig. 1C and D) (Denninger *et al.*, 2010).

Mass spectrometry did not identify an Nhp6 orthologue or other DNA-binding protein (Fig. S1). The FACT complex in *T. brucei* therefore only appears to be composed of the Spt16 and Pob3 subunits, although we cannot exclude the existence of other interaction partners which interact either transiently, or not strongly enough to survive the purification conditions. However even in yeast, Nhp6 is just a facultative partner of FACT, and can interact with multiple complexes (Formosa *et al.*, 2001; Stillman, 2010).

In order to monitor the effect of Pob3 depletion on silencing of *VSG* expression sites (ES)s, Pob3 knockdown was performed in the *T. brucei* RY-T3 cell line, which has a *GFP* gene integrated immediately downstream of the silent *VSG221* ES promoter (Hughes *et al.*, 2007). Flow cytometry revealed a 10-fold increase in green fluorescence after 48 h induction of Pob3 RNAi, indicating derepression of the silent *VSG221* ES (Fig. 2). This result is comparable to that observed after knockdown of the Pob3 FACT subunit partner Spt16 (Denninger *et al.*, 2010).



Fig. 2. Derepression of silent VSG ESs after knockdown of the FACT small subunit Pob3.

A. Significant ES derepression after depletion of Pob3. The schematic shows the *T. brucei* RY-Pob3 RNAi cell line with a blasticidin (Blast) resistance gene in the active *VSGT3* ES and a puromycin (Pur) resistance and GFP gene in the silent *VSG221* ES. The ES promoters are shown as white flags with transcription indicated with a red arrow. The Pob3-RNAi fragment is transcribed from two opposing T7-promoters (black flags). Below, flow cytometry traces show analysis of RY-Pob3 cells before or after the induction of Pob3-RNAi with tetracycline (Tet) for the time indicated in hours (h). Derepression of the silent *VSG221* ES promoter is monitored as an increase in green fluorescence in the FL1 channel (*x*-axis). The graph shows the mean fluorescence in the FL1 channel through time after induction of Pob3-RNAi in the RY-Pob3a and RY-Pob3 cell lines compared with the parental RY line. The mean of three independent experiments is plotted with the standard deviation indicated with error bars.

B. There is no significant ES derepression after knockdown of the putative histone chaperone Spt6. Analysis of the *T. brucei* RY-Spt6a and R-Spt6b cell lines after the induction of Spt6 RNAi with tetracycline. Further this panel is as described in panel A.

# Knockdown of Spt6 leads to lethality but not ES derepression in bloodstream-form T. brucei

Spt6 is another putative histone chaperone, which has been reported to act in concert with FACT to facilitate RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcription in yeast and mammalian cells (Bortvin and Winston, 1996; Kaplan *et al.*, 2003; Belotserkovskaya and Reinberg, 2004). We performed bioinformatic searches of the *T. brucei* TriTryp sequence database using *S. cerevisiae* Spt6. We identified an Spt6 orthologue in the *T. brucei* 927 and *T. brucei* Lister 427 strains with scores of  $3.2 e^{-07}$  and  $4.1 e^{-07}$  respectively. Although Spt6 sequence identity is conserved over the length of the *T. brucei* Spt6 orthologue, we could only identify two of the conserved Spt6 domains (YqgF and SH2) (Close *et al.*, 2011). Knockdown of Spt6 in

bloodstream-form *T. brucei* resulted in a growth arrest as observed after knockdown of Spt16 or Pob3 (Fig. S2). However, in contrast to as observed after knockdown of these two FACT subunits, depletion of Spt6 in the *T. brucei* RY-T3 cell line resulted in only minor derepression of the silent *VSG221* ES (about fourfold after 48 h induction of Spt6 RNAi (Fig. 2B) (Denninger *et al.*, 2010).

# Depletion of Spt16 results in an increase in mononucleosomes specifically downstream of silent ES promoters

Histone chaperones actively disassemble and reassemble nucleosomes by binding histones and removing them, in contrast to chromatin remodellers like ISWI which slide





A. Analysis of chromatin isolated from bloodstream-form *T. brucei* after knockdown of Spt16 or Spt6 in comparison with the parental RYT3 strain. *T. brucei* RY-Spt16 or RY-Spt6 cells were grown for 24 h in the presence (+) or absence (–) of tetracycline (Tet) to induce either Spt16 or Spt6 RNAi. Chromatin from an equal number of cells was isolated and digested with 0.125 or 0.5 units (U) of micrococcal nuclease (MNase). Equal amounts of DNA were loaded on an ethidium bromide-stained gel. A representative experiment with size markers indicated in kilobases (kb) is shown.

B. Schematic of the *T. brucei* RY-Spt16 cell line (large red box). The *VSGT3* ES is active (transcription indicated with a red arrow). A blasticidin (Blast) resistance gene is located downstream of the active *VSGT3* ES promoter (white flag), and puromycin (Pur) resistance and GFP genes are located downstream of the silent *VSG221* ES promoter. The Spt16 RNAi fragment is transcribed from two opposing tetracycline inducible T7 promoters (black flags).

C. Schematic of a *T. brucei* rDNA transcription unit with the rRNA genes indicated with filled boxes and the rDNA promoter with a flag. Regions which were analysed by qPCR after MNase digestion are indicated with lettered black lines.

D. Knockdown of Spt16 results in a significant increase in mononucleosomes (indicative of increased MNase sensitivity and a more open chromatin structure) downstream of the silent *VSG221* ES promoter. MNase digestion and nucleosome fractionation was performed according to Stanne and Rudenko (2010). The abundance of mononucleosomes at different genomic locations was determined by qPCR analysis, and plotted as the percentage of total input. The chromatin state of silent and active *VSG* ESs was compared in the presence (+) or absence (–) of tetracycline to knockdown Spt16. In addition, genes present in RNA polymerase I transcription units (PoI I TU) which are silent [procyclin or containing a basic copy array (BCA) *VSG*] or active (rDNA) were compared. Analysis of the active PoI II transcription units [RNA polymerase I large subunit (PoI I),  $\alpha$ -tubulin,  $\gamma$ -tubulin and actin] is also shown. The results are the mean of three independent experiments, with the standard deviation indicated with error bars. Significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test (unpaired, two-tailed) with significance indicated with \* (*P* = 0.01–0.05).

nucleosomes to facilitate access to the DNA. We investigated how knockdown of the putative histone chaperones FACT or Spt6 affect chromatin structure using micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion of chromatin (Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). We digested chromatin with MNase after 24 h induction of Spt16 or Spt6 RNAi, and compared the digestion patterns with those obtained from uninduced cells as well as the parental *T. brucei* strain RY-T3. The *T. brucei* RY-T3 cell line has a blasticidin resistance gene downstream of the active *VSGT3* ES promoter, as well as a puromycin resistance and *GFP* gene downstream of the silent *VSG221* ES promoter (Hughes *et al.*, 2007), ena-



Fig. 4. Knockdown of the FACT large subunit Spt16 results in histone H3 levels decreasing at silent ES promoters and increasing at the active ES.

A. Changes in the abundance of histones H3 or H2A at the silent *VSG221* ES or active *VSGT3* ES in the presence (+) or absence (–) of RNAi against the FACT large subunit Spt16 for 24 h as determined by ChIP. The schematic shows the *T. brucei* RY-Spt16 cell line with the blasticidin (Blast) resistance gene inserted behind the promoter of the active *VSGT3* ES, and the puromycin (Pur) resistance and GFP genes integrated at the silent *VSG221* ES promoter as indicated in Fig. 2. The upper bar graph shows the abundance of histone H3 at the silent *VSG221* ES compared with the active *VSGT3* ES. In the lower bar graph comparable experiments show the abundance of histone H2A after knockdown of Spt16 with RNAi. Statistical significance of the results was determined with significant: \* (P = 0.01-0.05); very significant: \*\* (P = 0.001-0.01) and extremely significant: \*\*\* (P < 0.001) indicated.

B. Knockdown of the putative histone chaperone Spt6 does not lead to extensive changes in levels of histones H3 or H2A at ESs. This panel is as described in panel A, only Spt6 was analysed instead of Spt16.

bling experimental distinction of the active *VSGT3* and the silent *VSG221* ES. In the parental RY-T3 cells or uninduced trypanosomes, distinct nucleosomal laddering is observed, with sharp bands indicating precise phasing of nucleosomes (Fig. 3A).

Depletion of the FACT large subunit Spt16 resulted in MNase chromatin digestion patterns changing from relatively discrete nucleosomal ladders to more diffuse laddering. This indicates that knockdown of Spt16 results in a general disruption of nucleosomal phasing. Genomic loci which are present in a relatively open chromatin state are preferentially digested by MNase into mononucleosomes (Figueiredo and Cross, 2010; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). This open chromatin conformation can be due to a decrease in chromatin condensation, for example as was observed earlier after knockdown of the linker histone H1 (Povelones *et al.*, 2012; Pena *et al.*, 2014). Alternatively, open chromatin structure can also be a consequence of a reduction in the levels of core histones, which can be determined using chromatin immunoprecipitation (see below). After blocking Spt16 synthesis, no general accumulation of mononucleosomes was observed, indicating that Spt16 depletion primarily affects nucleosome spacing. In contrast to as seen with Spt16, knockdown of the putative histone chaperone Spt6 resulted in sharp nucleosomal laddering similar to as seen in the parental strain, indicating that nucleosomal phasing had not been affected.

In order to determine which genomic loci were particularly affected by Spt16 knockdown, we coupled the MNase digestion assay with nucleosome fractionation on sucrose density gradients as described in Stanne and Rudenko (2010) and Povelones *et al.* (2012). Mononucleosomal fractions of samples before or after depletion of Spt16 were pooled, the DNA isolated and subjected to quantitative PCR (qPCR). The relative presence of mononucleosomes within the investigated DNA loci was expressed as percent-



**Fig. 5.** Knockdown of the FACT large subunit Spt16 results in decreased levels of histones H3 and H2A at Pol II transcription units and strand switch regions. The distribution of histones H3 and H2A was determined at Pol II transcription units and at strand switch regions (SSR), which were either convergent (containing putative Pol II terminators) or divergent (containing putative Pol II promoters) after Spt16 knockdown. Histone ChIP material was analysed by qPCR. The schematics show Pol II convergent and divergent SSRs (C2 and D1 of chromosome 10) with qPCR primers indicated with lettered dots as in Stanne *et al.* (2011). In the top bar graphs histone H3 levels were determined in the *T*. *brucei* RY-Spt16 strain in the presence (+) or absence (–) of tetracycline for 24 h to induce Spt16 RNAi. Pol II transcription units analysed include the large subunit of RNA polymerase I (pol I),  $\alpha$ -tubulin (tub),  $\gamma$ -tubulin and actin, as well as the transcription units around the depicted SSRs. The mean of three independent experiments is shown, with the standard deviation indicated with error bars. Statistical significant: \* (*P* = 0.01–0.05); very significant: \*\* (*P* = 0.001–0.01); extremely significant: \*\*\* (*P* < 0.001) indicated. The lower panel of bar graphs is as above, only distribution of histone H2A was determined.

age of the total input (sum of all nucleosomal fractions) (Fig. 3D) (Povelones et al., 2012). In wild-type cells, the active VSGT3 ES is present in a highly open chromatin state, and of the genomic loci tested, the most MNasesensitive region with the highest abundance of mononucleosomes (20-25%) is found at the active VSGT3 ES (Fig. 3B and D). These results are comparable to those obtained from the rDNA locus, which is also transcribed by Pol I (Fig. 3C and D) (Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). Interestingly, MNase digestion of genomic regions like the tubulin and actin loci, which are constitutively transcribed by Pol II, only resulted in 10 to 15% mononucleosomes. This is comparable to values observed within the silent VSG221 ES. This indicates that areas constitutively transcribed by pol II have a far less open chromatin state than regions actively transcribed by Pol I, which are strikingly depleted of nucleosomes (Figueiredo and Cross, 2010; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010).

Induction of an Spt16 synthesis block resulted in a relative opening of the chromatin structure at the promoter

of the silent VSG221 ES, which contains the single copy puromycin resistance and GFP genes (Fig. 3B and D). Here, MNase digestion resulted in a statistically significant increase in the mononucleosomal fraction, with levels increased to those found at the active VSGT3 ES (Table S1). This opening of the chromatin structure did not extend down to the silent telomeric VSG221 gene itself. This agrees with data showing derepression of silent VSG ES promoters after knockdown of Spt16, but no increase in transcripts from the silent telomeric VSGs themselves (Denninger et al., 2010). We do not know if this increase in transcription from the silent ESs is due to an increase in rates of transcription initiation, or increased transcription elongation from stalled RNA polymerases (Kassem et al., 2014). Depletion of Spt16 did not result in a significant increase in mononucleosomes at either pol I or pol II transcribed areas or at non-transcribed loci. This argues that the FACT complex specifically plays a role in maintaining a relatively closed chromatin state at silent VSG ES promoters.





A. Knockdown of cyclin 6 does not result in significant derepression of silent ES promoters. Cyc6 RNAi was performed in the *T. brucei* RYT3 reporter cell line (Hughes *et al.*, 2007), which has a GFP gene immediately downstream of the promoter of the silent *VSG221* ES. Cells were harvested after induction of Cyc6 RNAi with tetracycline (Tet) for the time indicated in hours (h). Cells were stained with propidium iodide (PI) and subjected to flow cytometry. Derepression of GFP behind the silent *VSG221* ES promoter was monitored in the FL1 channel (*x*-axis), with values over 20 considered significant ES promoter derepression. The DNA content was monitored in the FL2 channel (*y*-axis) with the G2 gate indicating cells with 4n DNA content. The degree of ES promoter derepression in cells stalled in G2/M is shown, with the values corresponding to the mean of three independent experiments with the standard deviation.

B. Knockdown of Spt16 results in significant derepression of ES promoters specifically at the G2/M cell cycle stage. Further the experiments in this panel are as described above.

The results from separate nucleosomal fractions analysed individually were also plotted according to Povelones *et al.* (2012) which gave comparable results (Figs S3 and S4). We compared the levels of different nucleosome species (mono, di, di/tri, tri/tetra, greater than tetra) before and after depletion of Spt16, in order to analyse more global changes in nucleosome distribution. The relative percentage of each nucleosomal fraction within the sample was calculated, and the ratio (before and after induction of Spt16 RNAi) was plotted for each genomic locus respectively (Figs S3 and S4; Table S2). After knockdown of Spt16, the most striking increase in MNase sensitivity (increase in mononucleosomes) was observed around the silent VSG ES promoter.

Derepression

GFP

Knockdown of Spt16 leads to an increase in histones at active ESs, and a decrease in histones at silent ESs

In order to determine histone distribution after knockdown of the FACT Spt16 large subunit, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of histones H3 and H2A after 24 h knockdown of Spt16 or Spt6 respectively in the *T. brucei* RY-T3 cell line (Fig. 4; Tables S3 and S4). At the silent *VSG221* ES, knockdown of Spt16 lead to a statistically highly significant decrease in histone H3, in addition to a similar decrease in histone H2A (Fig. 4A). In the latter case, the lack of statistical significance was presumably due to lower affinity of the anti-H2A antibody, which resulted in lower amounts immunoprecipitated and slightly higher standard deviations.



**Fig. 7.** Depletion of the FACT large subunit Spt16 results in a decrease in the core histones H2A and H3. A. Cell cycle analysis after induction of cyclin 6 or Spt16 RNAi with tetracycline (Tet) for the time indicated in hours (h). The DNA content of cells stained with propidium iodide was measured by flow cytometry in the FL2 channel. The parental RY cell line is shown for comparison. After induction of cyclin 6 RNAi for 9 h, the percentage of cells with 4n DNA content is comparable to as observed after induction of Spt16-RNAi for 24 h. The gate containing cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle (4n DNA content) is indicated with a bar, with the mean value and standard deviation of three independent experiments shown.

B. Quantification of histones H2A and H3 after depletion of Cyc6 or Spt16. Cells were grown in the presence (+) or absence (-) of Cyc6 or Spt16 RNAi induced with tetracycline (Tet). Representative Li-Cor Western blots of RY-Cyc6 cells after knockdown of cyclin 6 for 9 h or RY-Spt16 cells after knockdown of Spt16 for 24 h are compared with parental RY cells. Blots were probed for the core histones H2A and H3, and the loading control BiP before scanning with the Li-Cor system.

C. Quantification of histone levels after induction of RNAi in the RY-Cyc6 or RY-Spt16 cells. The bar graphs show the relative level of histones after induction of Spt16 RNAi for 24 h or Cyc6 RNAi for 9 h compared with the parental RY strain. The histone signals from Western blots of three independent experiments were normalized to the parental strain, which was arbitrarily set at 100%.

In contrast, Spt16 knockdown resulted in a striking and statistically significant increase in the level of histone H3 at the active *VSGT3* ES (P = 0.001-0.01). Levels of histone H2A appeared to remain relatively comparable at the active ES. However, as much lower levels were immunoprecipitated and the results were not statistically relevant, we cannot draw strong conclusions from this result. This observed increase in levels of histone H3 (and presumably other histones) at the active ES, could result in changes to the chromatin structure which lead to decrease in the active *VSGT3* transcript as reported pre-

viously (Denninger *et al.*, 2010). These alterations in histone H3 abundance were not simply a consequence of lethality of the Spt16 knockdown, as depletion of the essential protein Spt6 did not lead to striking changes in histone H3 (or H2A) at these loci (Fig. 4B; Tables S3 and S4).

Spt16 is found at the Pol I transcribed rDNA locus in *T. brucei* (Fig. S5A). In general there was a trend that levels of histone H3 increased at the rDNA locus after knockdown of Spt16 but not Spt6, although due to experimental variability this was only statistically signifi-

#### 954 V. Denninger and G. Rudenko

cant using one primer pair (Fig. S6, Tables S3 and S4). In order to determine if increased histone levels were correlated with changes in transcription, we determined the abundance of three rRNA precursor transcripts (White et al., 1986) using aPCR (Fig. S6A). We found that some of the rRNA precursor transcripts decrease significantly over time after the induction of Spt16-RNAi (Fig. S6B, Table S5). Although the promoter proximal Precursor 1 transcript remained unaffected by Spt16 knockdown, there was a pronounced decrease in the downstream Precursor 2 and 3 transcripts. This could indicate decreased processivity of Pol I transcription. It has previously been observed that there is reduced transcript from the telomeric VSG at the active VSG ES after Spt16 knockdown (Denninger et al., 2010) compared with from the promoter proximal blasticidin gene (V. Denninger and G. Rudenko, unpubl. results). As FACT is thought to remove nucleosomes before the elongating RNA polymerase, reduced transcriptional processivity after its knockdown is to be expected. These data indicate that Pol I transcription is inversely correlated with histone abundance at both VSG ESs and rDNA loci, and that FACT plays a key role in controlling this genomic distribution of histones.

# Spt16 knockdown results in a decrease in histones at Pol II loci and strand switch regions

In addition to playing a key role in facilitating transcription elongation of Pol I (Birch et al., 2009), FACT has also been reported to be crucial for Pol II transcription initiation and elongation, and for preventing transcription from cryptic sites (Kaplan et al., 2003; Mason and Struhl, 2003). However, unlike in other eukaryotes, Pol II transcription in *T. brucei* is not regulated (Clayton, 2002). This raises the question if histone chaperones and chromatin structure are functionally relevant for Pol II transcription in T. brucei. We therefore investigated levels of histone H3 and H2A after knockdown of the FACT subunit Spt16 at various Pol II transcribed loci as well as two strand switch regions (SSR) (Siegel et al., 2009; Stanne et al., 2011). Spt16 is evenly distributed over convergent and divergent SSRs (Fig. S5B). Depletion of Spt16 caused a very striking and significant loss of histones H3 and H2A within convergent and divergent SSRs, as well as within the Pol II transcription units themselves (Fig. 5, Tables S3 and S4). There was a minor but statistically significant decrease in tubulin precursor transcript after Spt16 knockdown, indicating possible downregulation of pol II transcription (Fig. S7, Table S5). Different histone variants have been shown to be enriched at T. brucei SSRs (Siegel et al., 2009), and it is not known if the observed effects on Pol II chromatin structure and transcription after FACT knockdown are a consequence of its activity on the specific variant nucleosomes found at these loci. This decrease in histones was not a general consequence of lethality, as in general knockdown of Spt6 did not have a significant effect on H3 and H2A levels at these Pol II loci for most of the regions that were analysed (Fig. S8).

# Cell cycle arrest at G2/M does not automatically result in derepression of ESs

Depletion of Spt16 in bloodstream-form T. brucei results in an unusually precise cell cycle arrest at G2/M, with an accumulation of cells which have replicated the nuclear genome, but not undergone mitosis (1N2K) (Denninger et al., 2010). This cell cycle arrest is also correlated with derepression of the silent VSG221 ES. This raised the possibility that VSG ES derepression always transiently occurs at this precise cell cycle stage, rather than being a consequence of an additional specific chromatin remodelling event. Cyclin 6 has been reported to be crucial for the progression of mitosis in T. brucei, and its knockdown results in a G2/M cell cycle arrest as observed after knockdown of Spt16 (Hammarton et al., 2003; 2007; Hammarton, 2007) (Figs S9 and S10). Knockdown of Cyclin 6 leads to an immediate growth arrest (Fig. S9). This is accompanied by an increase in cells in the G2/M cell cycle phase, reaching a maximum of about 70% after 12 h induction of RNAi (Fig. S10). These results are in agreement with (Hammarton et al., 2003), and show a comparable degree of accumulation of cells in G2/M as observed after the induction of Spt16 RNAi for 24 h (Fig. S10) (Denninger et al., 2010).

In order to investigate the role of cell cycle arrest in ES control, we monitored VSG ES derepression in cells stalled at G2/M either after blocking synthesis of cyclin 6 or Spt16 using flow cytometry. DNA content was monitored in the FL2 channel, and derepression of GFP located in a silent VSG ES was monitored in the FL1 channel. Depletion of both Cyclin 6 and Spt16 resulted in an increase in cells with 4n DNA content (Fig. 6). The difference in kinetics of the cell cycle arrest in the two knockdowns is presumably due to the different half- lives of the respective transcripts and proteins (Figs S9 and S10). Depletion of Cyclin 6 did not lead to significant ES derepression in the stalled G2/M cells (5.7% total). However as expected, depletion of Spt16 resulted in significant derepression of the VSG221 ES in G2/M cells (39% total) (Fig. 6) (Denninger et al., 2010). These results indicate that the observed derepression of silent VSG ES promoters observed at G2/M after Spt16 knockdown is a specific consequence of FACT histone chaperone activity, rather than a non-specific consequence of general ES promoter derepression at the G2/M cell cycle stage.

# Depletion of Spt16 results in a decrease in core histones

Histone distribution is clearly disrupted after depletion of Spt16. With the exception of regions actively transcribed by pol I, ChIP of histones H3 and H2A revealed a significant decrease in histones bound to chromatin after Spt16 knockdown. We therefore monitored total levels of histones H3 and H2A (both soluble as well as chromatin bound) after depletion of Spt16. After the induction of either cyclin 6 or Spt16 RNAi, samples containing an approximately equivalent number of stalled cells were monitored for their cell cycle distribution using propidium iodide staining and flow cytometry (Fig. 7A). In order to precisely quantify overall histone levels, we used the Li-Cor Western blot imaging system, which provides a much broader linear range compared with standard chemiluminescence procedures. Blots were probed for histones H2A and H3 with BiP serving as a loading control (Fig. 7B). The signals with and without induction of RNAi were normalized to the parental RYT3 cell line which was arbitrarily set at 100%. Two bands were observed for histone H2A, presumably due to the presence of posttranslational modifications. T. brucei histone H2A has been shown earlier to have various hyper-acetylated forms (Janzen et al., 2006).

After depletion of cyclin 6, levels of histones H2A and H3 increased as would be expected for a population with an increased percentage of cells with 4n DNA content (Fig. 7C, Table S6). However, interestingly, this was not the case after depletion of Spt16. Despite the fact that this too generated an increased proportion of cells with double DNA content, total levels of histone H3 and H2A appeared to decrease. There appeared to be a clear trend, although due to experimental variability these results were not statistically relevant. These results indicate that knockdown of the FACT histone chaperone Spt16 results in a disruption of chromatin structure correlated with a possible decrease in the overall level of core histones.

# Discussion

Here we gain insight into the degree of conservation in nucleosome dynamics in the ancient parasite *T. brucei* compared with other eukaryotes like yeast and mammals. We show that the *T. brucei* FACT complex is composed of the Pob3 and Spt16 subunits similar to in yeast, although a candidate for a DNA-binding protein like Nhp6 is missing. We demonstrate that the FACT complex plays a key role in ES silencing, whereby blocking its synthesis leads to an increase in transcription from silent ES promoters. This ES derepression is correlated with a reduction in the level of histones around silent ES promoters, and a relative opening of the chromatin structure. At the

active ES, a block in FACT synthesis results in a relative increase in histones and a shut-down of transcription. The ES promoter derepression observed after FACT knock-down appears to be a direct consequence of perturbation of histone chaperone activity at the G2/M cell cycle stage, rather than an indirect effect resulting from general ES derepression at this cell cycle stage. The FACT complex in *T. brucei* therefore plays an important role in general chromatin dynamics in *T. brucei*, including histone distribution and nucleosome spacing.

In general in eukaryotes, FACT is thought to remove nucleosomes before the elongating RNA polymerase, and then reassemble them behind it after it has passed (Belotserkovskaya et al., 2003; Belotserkovskaya and Reinberg, 2004). This means that FACT knockdown can potentially generate different outcomes at different types of transcription units depending on the relative dynamics of these two processes (discussed in Formosa, 2012). Although FACT has an impact on both Pol I and Pol II transcribed areas in *T. brucei*, these different transcription units are affected in different ways. At inactive Pol I transcription units (silent VSG ES promoters), knockdown of Spt16 leads to localized depletion of histones. This is coupled with an increased sensitivity of these genomic regions to MNase digestion, and concurrent derepression of ES transcription (Denninger et al., 2010). This relative opening of chromatin structure at silent VSG ESs after FACT knockdown does not extend to the chromosome end, providing a possible explanation for why knockdown of Spt16 does not result in an increase in transcripts from the telomeric VSGs at the silent VSG ESs (Denninger et al., 2010).

In contrast, active Pol I transcription units including the active ES are already drastically depleted of histones (Figueiredo and Cross, 2010; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). Here knockdown of Spt16 results in a relative increase in histone H3 on the active ES and a decrease in transcription, indicating that the observed increase in nucleosomes could be enough to disrupt Pol I (Denninger et al., 2010). FACT knockdown affects the Pol I transcribed rDNA loci in a fashion similar to as seen at the active ES. However, interpretation of phenotypes at these loci is complicated by the fact that presumably not all of the rDNA in T. brucei are transcriptionally active (McStay and Grummt, 2008). At Pol II transcription units in T. brucei, chromatin structure appears to be regulated differently to at Pol I transcription units. Pol II transcribed regions, do not show the extreme depletion of nucleosomes observed at active Pol I transcription units (Figueiredo and Cross, 2010; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010; Povelones et al., 2012). However, Spt16 knockdown does result in a reduction in histone abundance, and a decrease in levels of Pol II transcripts. This indicates that elongating Pol II is negatively affected by these changes in nucleosome abundance.

Among these different genomic loci, it is only at the silent ESs that knockdown of FACT resulted in a clear increase in sensitivity to MNase digestion. It has been shown earlier that silent ESs are present in relatively condensed chromatin, which requires histone H1 (Povelones *et al.*, 2012; Pena *et al.*, 2014). It is possible that this relatively compact heterochromatin also requires the FACT complex for maintenance. These variations in how these different transcription units react to knockdown of the FACT complex in *T. brucei* could be due to differences in how the different types of RNA polymerase react to changes in the dynamics of how nucleosomes are removed and reassembled around them.

It is unclear if these differing effects of FACT knockdown on different types of Pol I transcription units is specific to *T. brucei*. In other eukaryotes, the vast majority of analyses of the FACT complex concern its role in facilitating Pol II transcription (Formosa, 2012). In mammalian cells, the FACT complex has also been shown to facilitate transcription of RNA polymerase I and III, and its knockdown results in a reduction of rRNA precursor transcripts (Birch *et al.*, 2009). As synthesis of the initial 40 nt of rRNA was not affected, the authors concluded that FACT is important for Pol I elongation through chromatin (Birch *et al.*, 2009). However these authors did not investigate the impact of FACT knockdown on the silent rDNA transcription units.

One possible explanation for the differential role of FACT at different types of transcription units, is through specific interactions with different histone variants. Other studies have shown that FACT can interact with histone variants, such as H2AX and H2A.Z in mammalian cells and yeast (Heo et al., 2008; Mahapatra et al., 2011). TbH2AZ is one of the histone variants found in T. brucei, which dimerizes exclusively with TbH2BV, forming less stable nucleosomes. TbH2AZ/TbH2BV dimers were shown to be enriched at repetitive genomic regions including the telomeres, mini-chromosomal 177 bp repeats, 50 bp repeats and rDNA spacer, as well as the Pol II strand switch regions (Lowell et al., 2005; Siegel et al., 2009). If T. brucei FACT binds not only the core histones, but is also involved in remodelling the less stable nucleosomes formed by histone variants such as TbH2AZ and TbH2BV, this could possibly lead to its strong effect on the silencing of VSG ES promoters, nucleosome abundance at the Pol II strand switch regions as well as mini-chromosome segregation. This possible explanation for the role of FACT in T. brucei would require further investigation.

Although there is significant ES promoter derepression observed at the G2/M cell cycle stage after knockdown of FACT, this ES promoter derepression was not observed in cells arrested at G2/M after knockdown of cyclin 6. This argues that ES promoter derepression is specifically due to the histone chaperone activity of FACT at the G2/M cell cycle stage, rather than an indirect consequence triggered by the G2/M cell cycle checkpoint. ES promoter derepression therefore appears to be a direct consequence of disruption of chromatin structure (for example through local reduction in histone abundance) rather than linked to any specific cell cycle stage. Knockdown of the FACT large subunit Spt16 resulted in a highly significant decrease in histone H3 at silent ES promoters. It is likely that there is a causal relationship between this reduction in histone H3 at silent ES promoters at the G2/M cell cycle stage and ES promoter derepression. Evidence in support of this are experiments looking directly at the effect of knockdown of histone H3, where cells at G2/M show specific ES derepression (Alsford and Horn, 2012). This ES promoter derepression can therefore be mediated either through knockdown of the histone chaperone FACT as we show here, or directly through depletion of histone H3 itself.

Additional evidence that ES promoter derepression is not always linked with a specific cell cycle arrest, is that knockdown of some chromatin assembly factors can result in ES promoter derepression at different cell cycle stages. Depletion of the histone chaperone ASF1 did not result in a reduction in steady-state levels of histone H3, but ES promoter derepression was seen throughout the cell cycle (Alsford and Horn, 2012). There is also no evidence that knockdown of ISWI or NLP results in accumulation of cells with derepressed ES promoters at any particular cell cycle stage. Furthermore, knockdown of the histone chaperone CAF-1b resulted in ES derepression during both S phase and G2/M (Alsford and Horn, 2012).

In addition to the role of chromatin remodellers on ES control, disruption of DNA synthesis or chromosome segregation also results in ES promoter derepression or increased rates of VSG switching. Incubation of bloodstream-form T. brucei with the DNA synthesis inhibitor aphidicolin results in the accumulation of cells stalled at S phase, which show concurrent derepression of silent ES promoters (Sheader et al., 2004). In addition, it has been shown that knockdown of the DNA replication proteins ORC or MCM-BP leads to derepression of silent VSGs (Tiengwe et al., 2012; Benmerzouga et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). This argues for a connection between DNA replication and ES silencing. These cells stalled at S phase can be expected to have a large number of stalled replication forks, which might interfere with the establishment of a repressed chromatin state in a dividing trypanosome. Similarly, disruption of chromosome segregation through knockdown of the cohesin subunits resulted in increased VSG switching, although limited derepression of silent VSG expression site promoters was observed (Landeira et al., 2009; Denninger et al., 2010).

It should be mentioned that in all experimental approaches where ES promoter derepression was

observed, transcription from derepressed ESs was only a fraction of that derived from an active ES. This could indicate that multiple layers of control suppress silent ESs. For example, knockdown of FACT results in derepression of silent ES promoters, but very limited switching to other ESs, indicating that the machinery mediating mono-allelic exclusion of ESs is still intact (Denninger *et al.*, 2010). This is in contrast to studies where disruption of cohesion subunits leads to limited derepression of silent ES promoters, but increased ES switching (Landeira *et al.*, 2009; Denninger *et al.*, 2010).

FACT knockdown results in relative opening of the chromatin structure (decrease in levels of histone H3 and H2A and increased sensitivity to MNase) immediately around the silent ES promoter, but not down at the silent telomeric VSG. This argues that ES promoters and telomeres have distinct chromatin domains, which are affected in different ways by knockdown of histone chaperones and chromatin remodellers. In general, knockdown of chromatin remodellers (including ISWI and NLP) leads to significant transcriptional derepression of silent ES promoters, but relatively low levels of processive transcription extending down to the silent telomeric VSG (Hughes et al., 2007; Narayanan et al., 2011; Stanne et al., 2011). Possibly, silencing forces (repressive chromatin gradients) extend up from the telomere end, preventing fully processive transcription. This could argue that different mechanistic forces impact on silent ESs at either the ES promoter or ES telomere. It is still unknown which telomere-binding proteins could mediate this postulated repressive gradient at the chromosome ends. One promising telomere binding candidate RAP1 (Yang et al., 2009), is now thought to affect chromatin state predominantly in procyclic T. brucei (Pandya et al., 2013).

The ES promoter derepression that we observe after downregulation of FACT does not result in an increase in fully processive transcription extending down to the ES telomere end (Denninger et al., 2010). However, as FACT knockdown affects Pol I and Pol II transcription in general (Belotserkovskaya and Reinberg, 2004; Birch et al., 2009), this lack of transcriptional processivity is not necessarily ES related. Superficially, it appears to be a paradox that FACT knockdown can simultaneously result in derepression of silent ES promoters, as well as decreased transcription of the active ES (Denninger et al., 2010). However, in other eukaryotes, FACT plays different roles dependent on the context of chromatin state, stability of nucleosome positioning and transcriptional activation state. It has been reported that FACT in yeast works in a close relationship with Pol II within constitutively transcribed areas of the genome. FACT transiently facilitates passage of Pol II during transcription, followed by rapidly re-establishing nucleosomes after passage of the polymerase, and thereby helping to stabilize the chromatin structure of the transcription units (Belotserkovskaya *et al.*, 2003).

This is in contrast to the role of FACT during initial activation of previously silent promoters like GAL1 or HO in *S. cerevisiae*, where the FACT complex promotes rapid destabilization of the nucleosomal interface to activate the gene (Takahata *et al.*, 2009; reviewed in Formosa, 2012). As discussed by Formosa (2012), FACT plays a key role in establishing repressive chromatin states, where its knockdown can result in transcriptional derepression. However, FACT is also necessary to overcome these repressive chromatin states, meaning that knockdown results in a reduction in transcription activation. This apparent inconsistency can be understood if one takes into account the reversibility of the core nucleosome reorganizing activity of FACT (Formosa, 2012).

Histone chaperones are not only important for assembling and disassembling nucleosomes during transcription, replication or DNA repair, but also for preventing non-nucleosomal interactions between histones and DNA (Laskey and Earnshaw, 1980; Andrews et al., 2010; Burgess and Zhang, 2013). In this context histone chaperones can function as a 'storage room' for the positively charged histones. They prevent histones from binding randomly to the negatively charged DNA, which would be catastrophic for the cell. Even during DNA replication, only a negligible percentage of the core histones can be found in the soluble fraction, with the majority bound either to DNA or to histone chaperones (Bonner et al., 1988; Hondele and Ladurner, 2011). This explains why cells have developed means to immediately degrade excess soluble histones in a regulated manner before they can lead to irreparable damage (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003; Gunjan et al., 2006). We show here that in T. brucei depletion of the FACT subunit Spt16 leads to loss of nucleosomes at Pol I and Pol II transcription units. In agreement with this, total levels of the core histones H2A and H3 decrease after depletion of FACT. A similar observation was made in both mammalian cells and yeast after depletion of either Nhp6 or HMGB1, which affect nucleosome assembly (Celona et al., 2011). Although cells remained viable, levels of core and linker histones were reduced to about 65% normal levels after knockdown of Nhp6. The extent of this effect was not equally distributed over the whole genome, but differed according to genomic locus (Celona et al., 2011; Mejia, 2011).

FACT has been reported to interact with nucleosomes as well as with free histones, and preventing accumulation of the latter (Morillo-Huesca *et al.*, 2010; Winkler *et al.*, 2011; Formosa, 2012). In yeast, the increase in free histones due to dysfunctional FACT triggers a checkpoint involving the cyclin CLN3 resulting in cells stalling at the G1 cell cycle phase before DNA replication (Morillo-Huesca *et al.*, 2010). Bloodstream-form *T. brucei* appears to lack this

checkpoint and undergoes a full DNA replication cycle before finally stalling at the G2/M cell cycle phase (Denninger *et al.*, 2010). This has a striking resemblance to the effect after block of H2B and H4 synthesis in yeast, where cells become arrested in mitosis and fail to segregate their chromosomes (Han *et al.*, 1987; Kim *et al.*, 1988).

In conclusion, we show here that the FACT complex plays a key role in ES control in *T. brucei*. FACT knockdown leads to perturbation of the repressed chromatin state present at silent ES promoters but not telomeres, leading to transcriptional derepression. This derepressed ES transcription is specific to the G2/M stage of the cell cycle, arguing that histone chaperone activity at this cell cycle stage is key for ES silencing. The challenge now facing us is in elucidating the additional levels of control which mediate silencing operating from the ES telomere end, as well as which facilitate mono-allelic exclusion of the active *VSG*.

# **Experimental procedures**

#### Trypanosome culture and genetic modification

Procyclic-form T. brucei WT 427 was cultured in SDM-79, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> haemin and the appropriate drugs at 27°C. Bloodstream-form T. brucei 427 was cultured in HMI-9 (Hirumi and Hirumi, 1989) containing 15% fetal calf serum at 37°C/5% CO2 and the relevant drugs. The RNAi constructs used in this study were transfected into the bloodstream-form T. brucei VSGT3 strain RY-T3 (also called T3-SM) based on the 'single-marker' cell line (Wirtz et al., 1999; Hughes et al., 2007). The relevant RNAi fragments were cloned between opposing T7 promoters in the p2T7 hygro RNAi construct which integrates into T. brucei minichromosomes (Wickstead et al., 2002). The RNAi fragments used contain: position 246-1027 of Pob3 (GeneDB Tb927.10.14390), position 1405-2104 of Spt6 (GeneDB Tb927.2.5810), position 10-722 of Cyclin 6 (GeneDB Tb11.01.8460) and position 1408-2185 of Spt16 (GeneDB Tb927.3.5620) (Denninger et al., 2010).

#### Tandem affinity purification

The C-terminus of Spt16 was tagged with a ProtC-TEV protease site-ProtA (PTP) – epitope using the pC-PTP-hygro vector (Schimanski *et al.*, 2005). The Spt16 C-terminus (final 804 bp) was amplified using the primers Spt16\_2234s\_PTP 5'-CAGT**GGGCCC**CTGAAGTTTGCTCAGGCCGTTGAAAG GG-3' and Spt16\_3036as\_PTP 5'-CAAT**GCGGCCGCC**C AAACCGGCGGGGGGGGGGGG-3'. The construct was inserted into the *Spt16* locus via double cross-over. The tubulin 3'UTR of the pC-PTP-hygro vector was substituted by a part of the Spt16 3'UTR, which was amplified using the primers Spt16\_DN\_1s\_tag 5'-CAA**GCATGC**TTAGGGTAGAGATGA AGAGGGAAAT-3' and Spt16\_DN\_724as\_tag 5'-GGT**AC TAG**GGAAAGCGCATAACCACATC-3'. After linearization with Apal and Spel, the construct was transfected into procyclic *T. brucei* 427. To determine if Spt16-PTP was functional, the second Spt16 allele was knocked out using the pSpt16KO\_phleo construct. Upstream and downstream Spt16 targeting regions were amplified using the primers Spt16\_KO\_UP\_318s 5'-GAA**TCTAGA** TCCGTCTTTGTGGTGTAGGTC-3' and Spt16\_KO\_UP\_1as 5'-CAT**GGATCC**GCGAGATGCGGAA AGGGTAA-3' and Spt16\_DN\_1s 5'-GAC**AAGCTT**TTAG GGTAGAGATGAAGAGGGAAAT-3' and Spt16\_DN\_724as 5'-GTA**CTCGAG**GGAAAGCGCATAACCACATC-3'. Restriction sites are indicated in bold font.

For the protein affinity purification experiments, two litres of logarithmic stage procyclic Spt16-PTP cells were lysed on ice using a Dounce homogenizer, aliguoted and shock frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tandem affinity purification was performed according to Gunzl and Schimanski (2009). In short, proteins were extracted in ice-cold extraction buffer for 20 min on ice and cell lysates were centrifuged twice at 20 000 a at 2°C in order to separate extracted proteins from cell debris. The final supernatant was incubated with previously equilibrated IgG Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare) in the presence of protease inhibitors for 3.5 h at 4°C. After washing off the unbound proteins, Protein A was cleaved off Spt16-PTP using TEV-protease (Invitrogen). The TEV-eluate was subsequently bound to an anti-Prot C matrix (Roche) in the presence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. Following a final wash step, Spt16-P was eluted from the column using EGTA and subjected to SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained using Imperial Protein Stain (Thermo Scientific), the bands cut out and analysed via mass spectrometry.

#### Protein analysis

In order to prepare protein lysates, an equal number of cells per sample were centrifuged at 1000 g and washed twice. The cell pellet was resuspended in hot SDS sample buffer to a final concentration of  $10^5$  cells  $\mu l^{-1}$ , boiled for 5 min and centrifuged briefly. In order to quantify histone levels precisely, cell lysates were subjected to the ODYSSEY CLx Western blot imaging system (Li-Cor). Pilot experiments were performed to ensure that the obtained signal was indeed linear with the number of cells analysed. Subsequently, lysates containing an equal number of cells per sample were loaded on a 15% gel, which was subjected to Western blot analysis using standard procedures. A polyclonal anti-histone H3 antibody (Abcam) and an anti-histone H2A antibody (Millipore) were used to probe for the core histones. An antibody against BiP served as a loading control, and was a gift of Jay Bangs. The secondary antibody was a donkey anti-rabbit IRDye680 (Li-Cor). Imaging and quantification were performed using the ODYSSEY CLx system (Li-Cor).

#### Flow cytometry

Cells were spun down at 1000 *g*, washed once in PBS, fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 2 h at room temperature before being washed again. For derepression analysis, cells were resuspended in PBS and immediately subjected to flow cytometry using a FACS Calibur. If additional cell cycle analysis was also performed, cells were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton-X for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were washed again, and resuspended in PBS containing 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> RNase

A and 10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> propidium iodide. After incubation for 45 min at 37°C, cells were subjected to flow cytometry. Per sample 100 000 cells were counted.

#### qPCR quantification of RNA transcripts and precursors

Transcript analysis was performed as described in Denninger *et al.* (2010) with the following modifications: After induction of RNAi, cells were centrifuged at 1000 *g* and washed twice in PSG. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN). After DNase treatment using the TURBO DNA-free kit (Ambion), 500 ng RNA of each sample served as template for reverse transcription using the Omniscript RT Kit (QIAGEN). qPCR analysis was performed using the Brilliant II SYBR Green Mix (Stratagene) and the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (ABI). All primers used are listed in Table S7. Amplification conditions for each primer pair have been optimized individually. An RNA sample that had not been reverse transcribed served as a negative control.

#### Chromatin immunoprecipitation

In experiments where chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of the core histones was performed, Spt16 or Spt6 RNAi was induced in the T. brucei RYT3BSR2.1 cell line with 1 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> tetracycline for 24 h. Cells were subsequently fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature. ChIP was performed essentially as described previously (Lowell and Cross, 2004; Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). A polyclonal rabbit anti-H3 antibody (Abcam) and a polyclonal anti-H2A antibody (Millipore) were used for the immunoprecipitation. The anti-H2A antibody was raised against the peptide IRNDEELNKL of the human H2A which cross-reacts with the corresponding peptide sequence VRHDDDLGAL of the T. brucei H2A (Blast searches in TriTryp show 50% sequence identity and 69% conservation between TbH2A and HsH2A). This peptide sequence is also highly conserved within T. brucei H2AZ (IRGDEELNQI), suggesting additional cross-reactivity of the antibody with this T. brucei histone variant. However, Western blot analysis demonstrated negligible detection of T. brucei H2AZ in comparison with T. brucei H2A. Protein A Sepharose CL-4B (GE Healthcare) served as matrix for binding the antibody-antigen complex. Histone occupancy on various loci was analysed via qPCR using Brilliant II SYBR Green Mix (Stratagene) and the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (ABI). All primers used are either described in Stanne et al. (2011) (Divergent region D1 and Convergent region C2) or listed in Table S7. Conditions for each primer pair had been optimized individually. Values for no-antibody samples were subtracted in order to correct for the background noise.

#### Micrococcal nuclease assay

In order to analyse micrococcal nuclease (MNase) digestion sensitivity using agarose gels,  $8 \times 10^7$  cells were centrifuged and washed once in pre-warmed PSG buffer. Each sample was then separated into four aliquots of  $2 \times 10^7$  cells. Cells were subsequently permeabilized, washed and resuspended in MNase buffer as previously described (Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). Each aliquot of  $2 \times 10^7$  cells was then incu-

bated with micrococcal nuclease (Worthington Biochemicals) using 0.125 or 0.5 U for 5 min at 37°C. The samples were immediately put on ice, and the reaction was stopped with 10 mM EDTA and 10 mM EGTA. Following centrifugation at 10 000 *g* for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded and the chromatin was solubilized by resuspending the nuclear pellet in 200  $\mu$ l RSB buffer (Stanne and Rudenko, 2010). After another centrifugation step, the samples were treated by incubation with 0.2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> Proteinase K in 0.5% SDS for 1 h at 56°C and subsequently with 0.2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> RNase A for 30 min at 37°C. The samples were then phenol/ chloroform extracted and ethanol precipitated. DNA pellets were dissolved in 30  $\mu$ l Tris-buffer (pH 8), and 500 ng of each sample was analysed on a 2% agarose gel.

In order to separate nucleosomal fractions on a sucrose density gradient, the experiment was initiated with  $3 \times 10^8$  cells from induced and uninduced cultures. The procedure was performed according to Stanne and Rudenko (2010). The sucrose gradient fractions were purified by phenol/ chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Fractions enriched in mononucleosomes were pooled, as well as the remaining fractions with higher-order nucleosomes, and subjected to qPCR analysis. All primers used are listed in Table S7. The mononucleosome fraction was plotted as the percentage of total, which is the sum of all fractions (100%).

#### Bioinformatics and statistical analysis

Blast searches were performed using SGD (Saccharomyces Genome Database) and TriTrypDB (Version 6.0). Protein sequence analysis and domain predictions were performed using SMART (Version 7.0) and InterProScan 5 (version 5–44.0).

Where indicated, the data were analysed via a Student's *t*-test (unpaired, two-tailed) using GraphPad Prism 5 software. Significant: \* (P = 0.01-0.05); very significant: \*\* (P = 0.001-0.01); extremely significant: \*\*\* (P < 0.001).

## Acknowledgements

We thank Sophie Ridewood, Jackie Cheung, Cher-Pheng Ooi and Louise Kerry for discussions and comments on the manuscript. We are grateful to Kathrin Witmer and Stephanie Efthymiou for additional experiments investigating the role of the FACT complex, and to Tomasz Kostrzewski and Cora Assmann for experimental assistance with analysis of the role of Spt6. We thank Jay Bangs for the BiP antibody. We are grateful to Prof. Molly Stevens for sharing the ODYSSEY Imager, and to Dr. Silvia Goldoni for helpful advice with the Li-Cor imaging system. G.R. is a Wellcome Senior Fellow in the Basic Biomedical Sciences. This research was supported by the Wellcome Trust.

## References

- Alsford, S., and Horn, D. (2012) Cell-cycle-regulated control of VSG expression site silencing by histones and histone chaperones ASF1A and CAF-1b in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**: 10150–10160.
- Andrews, A.J., Chen, X., Zevin, A., Stargell, L.A., and Luger, K. (2010) The histone chaperone Nap1 promotes nucleo-

some assembly by eliminating nonnucleosomal histone DNA interactions. *Mol Cell* **37**: 834–842.

- Belotserkovskaya, R., and Reinberg, D. (2004) Facts about FACT and transcript elongation through chromatin. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **14:** 139–146.
- Belotserkovskaya, R., Oh, S., Bondarenko, V.A., Orphanides, G., Studitsky, V.M., and Reinberg, D. (2003) FACT facilitates transcription-dependent nucleosome alteration. *Science* **301:** 1090–1093.
- Benmerzouga, I., Concepcion-Acevedo, J., Kim, H.S., Vandoros, A.V., Cross, G.A., Klingbeil, M.M., and Li, B. (2013) *Trypanosoma brucei* Orc1 is essential for nuclear DNA replication and affects both VSG silencing and VSG switching. *Mol Microbiol* 87: 196–210.
- Berriman, M., Hall, N., Sheader, K., Bringaud, F., Tiwari, B., Isobe, T., *et al.* (2002) The architecture of variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **122:** 131–140.
- Berriman, M., Ghedin, E., Hertz-Fowler, C., Blandin, G., Renauld, H., Bartholomeu, D.C., *et al.* (2005) The genome of the African trypanosome *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Science* **309:** 416–422.
- Birch, J.L., Tan, B.C., Panov, K.I., Panova, T.B., Andersen, J.S., Owen-Hughes, T.A., *et al.* (2009) FACT facilitates chromatin transcription by RNA polymerases I and III. *EMBO J* 28: 854–865.
- Bonner, W.M., Wu, R.S., Panusz, H.T., and Muneses, C. (1988) Kinetics of accumulation and depletion of soluble newly synthesized histone in the reciprocal regulation of histone and DNA synthesis. *Biochemistry* 27: 6542–6550.
- Borst, P. (2002) Antigenic variation and allelic exclusion. *Cell* **109:** 5–8.
- Bortvin, A., and Winston, F. (1996) Evidence that Spt6p controls chromatin structure by a direct interaction with histones. *Science* **272**: 1473–1476.
- Burgess, R.J., and Zhang, Z. (2013) Histone chaperones in nucleosome assembly and human disease. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20:** 14–22.
- Cairns, B.R. (2009) The logic of chromatin architecture and remodelling at promoters. *Nature* **461**: 193–198.
- Celona, B., Weiner, A., Di Felice, F., Mancuso, F.M., Cesarini, E., Rossi, R.L., *et al.* (2011) Substantial histone reduction modulates genomewide nucleosomal occupancy and global transcriptional output. *PLoS Biol* **9:** e1001086.
- Clayton, C.E. (2002) NEW EMBO MEMBER'S REVIEW Life without transcriptional control? From fly to man and back again. *EMBO J* **21:** 1881–1888.
- Close, D., Johnson, S.J., Sdano, M.A., McDonald, S.M., Robinson, H., Formosa, T., and Hill, C.P. (2011) Crystal structures of the *S. cerevisiae* Spt6 core and C-terminal tandem SH2 domain. *J Mol Biol* **408**: 697–713.
- Denninger, V., Fullbrook, A., Bessat, M., Ersfeld, K., and Rudenko, G. (2010) The FACT subunit TbSpt16 is involved in cell cycle specific control of VSG expression sites in *Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Microbiol* **78**: 459–474.
- DuBois, K.N., Alsford, S., Holden, J.M., Buisson, J., Swiderski, M., Bart, J.M., *et al.* (2012) NUP-1 Is a large coiled-coil nucleoskeletal protein in trypanosomes with lamin-like functions. *PLoS Biol* **10**: e1001287.
- Figueiredo, L.M., and Cross, G.A. (2010) Nucleosomes are depleted at the VSG expression site transcribed by RNA

polymerase I in African trypanosomes. *Eukaryot Cell* 9: 148-154.

- Figueiredo, L.M., Janzen, C.J., and Cross, G.A. (2008) A histone methyltransferase modulates antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. *PLoS Biol* **6:** e161.
- Formosa, T. (2012) The role of FACT in making and breaking nucleosomes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1819**: 247–255.
- Formosa, T., Eriksson, P., Wittmeyer, J., Ginn, J., Yu, Y., and Stillman, D.J. (2001) Spt16-Pob3 and the HMG protein Nhp6 combine to form the nucleosome-binding factor SPN. *EMBO J* **20:** 3506–3517.
- Glover, L., Hutchinson, S., Alsford, S., McCulloch, R., Field, M.C., and Horn, D. (2013) Antigenic variation in African trypanosomes: the importance of chromosomal and nuclear context in VSG expression control. *Cell Microbiol* **15**: 1984–1993.
- Gunjan, A., and Verreault, A. (2003) A Rad53 kinasedependent surveillance mechanism that regulates histone protein levels in *S. cerevisiae. Cell* **115**: 537–549.
- Gunjan, A., Paik, J., and Verreault, A. (2006) The emergence of regulated histone proteolysis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 16: 112–118.
- Gunzl, A., and Schimanski, B. (2009) Tandem affinity purification of proteins. *Curr Protoc Protein Sci* Chapter 19: Unit 19 19.
- Gunzl, A., Bruderer, T., Laufer, G., Schimanski, B., Tu, L.C., Chung, H.M., *et al.* (2003) RNA polymerase I transcribes procyclin genes and variant surface glycoprotein gene expression sites in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2: 542–551.
- Hammarton, T.C. (2007) Cell cycle regulation in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **153**: 1–8.
- Hammarton, T.C., Clark, J., Douglas, F., Boshart, M., and Mottram, J.C. (2003) Stage-specific differences in cell cycle control in *Trypanosoma brucei* revealed by RNA interference of a mitotic cyclin. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 22877–22886.
- Hammarton, T.C., Monnerat, S., and Mottram, J.C. (2007) Cytokinesis in trypanosomatids. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **10**: 520–527.
- Han, M., Chang, M., Kim, U.J., and Grunstein, M. (1987) Histone H2B repression causes cell-cycle-specific arrest in yeast: effects on chromosomal segregation, replication, and transcription. *Cell* **48**: 589–597.
- Heo, K., Kim, H., Choi, S.H., Choi, J., Kim, K., Gu, J., *et al.* (2008) FACT-mediated exchange of histone variant H2AX regulated by phosphorylation of H2AX and ADPribosylation of Spt16. *Mol Cell* **30:** 86–97.
- Hertz-Fowler, C., Figueiredo, L.M., Quail, M.A., Becker, M., Jackson, A., Bason, N., *et al.* (2008) Telomeric expression sites are highly conserved in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS ONE* **3**: e3527.
- Hirumi, H., and Hirumi, K. (1989) Continuous cultivation of *Trypanosoma brucei* blood stream forms in a medium containing a low concentration of serum protein without feeder cell layers. *J Parasitol* **75**: 985–989.
- Hondele, M., and Ladurner, A.G. (2011) The chaperonehistone partnership: for the greater good of histone traffic and chromatin plasticity. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **21**: 698– 708.
- Horn, D. (2014) Antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **195:** 123–129.

- Horn, D., and McCulloch, R. (2010) Molecular mechanisms underlying the control of antigenic variation in African trypanosomes. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **13:** 700–705.
- Hughes, K., Wand, M., Foulston, L., Young, R., Harley, K., Terry, S., *et al.* (2007) A novel ISWI is involved in VSG expression site downregulation in African trypanosomes. *EMBO J* **26:** 2400–2410.
- Ivens, A.C., Peacock, C.S., Worthey, E.A., Murphy, L., Aggarwal, G., Berriman, M., *et al.* (2005) The genome of the kinetoplastid parasite, *Leishmania major. Science* **309**: 436–442.
- Janzen, C.J., Fernandez, J.P., Deng, H., Diaz, R., Hake, S.B., and Cross, G.A. (2006) Unusual histone modifications in *Trypanosoma brucei. FEBS Lett* **580**: 2306–2310.
- Kaplan, C.D., Laprade, L., and Winston, F. (2003) Transcription elongation factors repress transcription initiation from cryptic sites. *Science* **301**: 1096–1099.
- Kassem, A., Pays, E., and Vanhamme, L. (2014) Transcription is initiated on silent variant surface glycoprotein expression sites despite monoallelic expression in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**: 8943– 8948.
- Kim, H.S., Park, S.H., Gunzl, A., and Cross, G.A. (2013) MCM-BP is required for repression of life-cycle specific genes transcribed by RNA polymerase I in the mammalian infectious form of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS ONE* 8: e57001.
- Kim, U.J., Han, M., Kayne, P., and Grunstein, M. (1988) Effects of histone H4 depletion on the cell cycle and transcription of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J* **7**: 2211–2219.
- Kramer, S. (2012) Developmental regulation of gene expression in the absence of transcriptional control: the case of kinetoplastids. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **181:** 61–72.
- Landeira, D., Bart, J.M., Van Tyne, D., and Navarro, M. (2009) Cohesin regulates VSG monoallelic expression in trypanosomes. *J Cell Biol* **186**: 243–254.
- Laskey, R.A., and Earnshaw, W.C. (1980) Nucleosome assembly. *Nature* **286**: 763–767.
- Lejeune, E., Bortfeld, M., White, S.A., Pidoux, A.L., Ekwall, K., Allshire, R.C., and Ladurner, A.G. (2007) The chromatin-remodeling factor FACT contributes to centromeric heterochromatin independently of RNAi. *Curr Biol* **17:** 1219–1224.
- Lowell, J.E., and Cross, G.A. (2004) A variant histone H3 is enriched at telomeres in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Cell Sci* **117:** 5937–5947.
- Lowell, J.E., Kaiser, F., Janzen, C.J., and Cross, G.A. (2005) Histone H2AZ dimerizes with a novel variant H2B and is enriched at repetitive DNA in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Cell Sci* **118**: 5721–5730.
- McCullough, L., Rawlins, R., Olsen, A., Xin, H., Stillman, D.J., and Formosa, T. (2011) Insight into the mechanism of nucleosome reorganization from histone mutants that suppress defects in the FACT histone chaperone. *Genetics* **188**: 835–846.
- McStay, B., and Grummt, I. (2008) The epigenetics of rRNA genes: from molecular to chromosome biology. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **24**: 131–157.
- Mahapatra, S., Dewari, P.S., Bhardwaj, A., and Bhargava, P. (2011) Yeast H2A.Z, FACT complex and RSC regulate transcription of tRNA gene through differential dynamics

of flanking nucleosomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39:** 4023–4034.

- Margueron, R., and Reinberg, D. (2010) Chromatin structure and the inheritance of epigenetic information. *Nat Rev Genet* **11:** 285–296.
- Mason, P.B., and Struhl, K. (2003) The FACT complex travels with elongating RNA polymerase II and is important for the fidelity of transcriptional initiation *in vivo*. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**: 8323–8333.
- Mejia, R. (2011) Not all genes are equal; shortage of histones affects some genes more than others. *PLoS Biol* **9**: e1001098.
- Morillo-Huesca, M., Maya, D., Munoz-Centeno, M.C., Singh, R.K., Oreal, V., Reddy, G.U., *et al.* (2010) FACT prevents the accumulation of free histones evicted from transcribed chromatin and a subsequent cell cycle delay in G1. *PLoS Genet* **6**: e1000964.
- Narayanan, M.S., and Rudenko, G. (2013) TDP1 is an HMG chromatin protein facilitating RNA polymerase I transcription in African trypanosomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41:** 2981–2992.
- Narayanan, M.S., Kushwaha, M., Ersfeld, K., Fullbrook, A., Stanne, T.M., and Rudenko, G. (2011) NLP is a novel transcription regulator involved in VSG expression site control in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: 2018–2031.
- Orphanides, G., Wu, W.H., Lane, W.S., Hampsey, M., and Reinberg, D. (1999) The chromatin-specific transcription elongation factor FACT comprises human SPT16 and SSRP1 proteins. *Nature* **400**: 284–288.
- Pandya, U.M., Sandhu, R., and Li, B. (2013) Silencing subtelomeric VSGs by *Trypanosoma brucei* RAP1 at the insect stage involves chromatin structure changes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: 7673–7682.
- Patrick, K.L., Luz, P.M., Ruan, J.P., Shi, H., Ullu, E., and Tschudi, C. (2008) Genomic rearrangements and transcriptional analysis of the spliced leader-associated retrotransposon in RNA interference-deficient *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Microbiol* 67: 435–447.
- Pena, A.C., Pimentel, M.R., Manso, H., Vaz-Drago, R., Neves, D., Aresta-Branco, F., *et al.* (2014) *Trypanosoma brucei* histone H1 inhibits RNA polymerase I transcription and is important for parasite fitness *in vivo. Mol Microbiol* **93:** 645–663.
- Povelones, M.L., Gluenz, E., Dembek, M., Gull, K., and Rudenko, G. (2012) Histone H1 plays a role in heterochromatin formation and VSG expression site silencing in *Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog* **8**: e1003010.
- Rudenko, G. (2010) Epigenetics and transcriptional control in African trypanosomes. *Essays Biochem* **48**: 201–219.
- Schimanski, B., Nguyen, T.N., and Gunzl, A. (2005) Highly efficient tandem affinity purification of trypanosome protein complexes based on a novel epitope combination. *Eukaryot Cell* **4:** 1942–1950.
- Sheader, K., te Vruchte, D., and Rudenko, G. (2004) Bloodstream form-specific up-regulation of silent vsg expression sites and procyclin in *Trypanosoma brucei* after inhibition of DNA synthesis or DNA damage. *J Biol Chem* **279:** 13363– 13374.
- Sheader, K., Vaughan, S., Minchin, J., Hughes, K., Gull, K., and Rudenko, G. (2005) Variant surface glycoprotein RNA

<sup>© 2014</sup> The Authors. Molecular Microbiology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Molecular Microbiology, 94, 945–962

interference triggers a precytokinesis cell cycle arrest in African trypanosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102:** 8716–8721.

- Siegel, T.N., Hekstra, D.R., Kemp, L.E., Figueiredo, L.M., Lowell, J.E., Fenyo, D., *et al.* (2009) Four histone variants mark the boundaries of polycistronic transcription units in *Trypanosoma brucei. Genes Dev* **23**: 1063–1076.
- Stanne, T.M., and Rudenko, G. (2010) Active VSG expression sites in *Trypanosoma brucei* are depleted of nucleosomes. *Eukaryot Cell* 9: 136–147.
- Stanne, T.M., Kushwaha, M., Wand, M., Taylor, J.E., and Rudenko, G. (2011) TbISWI regulates multiple polymerase I (Pol I)-transcribed loci and is present at Pol II transcription boundaries in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Eukaryot Cell* **10**: 964– 976.
- van Steensel, B. (2011) Chromatin: constructing the big picture. *EMBO J* 30: 1885–1895.
- Stillman, D.J. (2010) Nhp6: a small but powerful effector of chromatin structure in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim Biophys Acta* **1799:** 175–180.
- Takahata, S., Yu, Y., and Stillman, D.J. (2009) The E2F functional analogue SBF recruits the Rpd3(L) HDAC, via Whi5 and Stb1, and the FACT chromatin reorganizer, to yeast G1 cyclin promoters. *EMBO J* **28:** 3378–3389.
- Taylor, J.E., and Rudenko, G. (2006) Switching trypanosome coats: what's in the wardrobe? *Trends Genet* **22:** 614–620.
- Tiengwe, C., Marcello, L., Farr, H., Dickens, N., Kelly, S., Swiderski, M., *et al.* (2012) Genome-wide analysis reveals extensive functional interaction between DNA replication initiation and transcription in the genome of *Trypanosoma brucei. Cell Rep* **2**: 185–197.
- Wang, Q.P., Kawahara, T., and Horn, D. (2010) Histone deacetylases play distinct roles in telomeric VSG expression site silencing in African trypanosomes. *Mol Microbiol* 77: 1237–1245.
- White, T.C., Rudenko, G., and Borst, P. (1986) Three small

RNAs within the 10 kb trypanosome rRNA transcription unit are analogous to domain VII of other eukaryotic 28S rRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **14:** 9471–9489.

- Wickstead, B., Ersfeld, K., and Gull, K. (2002) Targeting of a tetracycline-inducible expression system to the transcriptionally silent minichromosomes of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **125**: 211–216.
- Winkler, D.D., and Luger, K. (2011) The histone chaperone FACT: structural insights and mechanisms for nucleosome reorganization. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 18369–18374.
- Winkler, D.D., Muthurajan, U.M., Hieb, A.R., and Luger, K. (2011) Histone chaperone FACT coordinates nucleosome interaction through multiple synergistic binding events. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 41883–41892.
- Wirtz, E., Leal, S., Ochatt, C., and Cross, G.A. (1999) A tightly regulated inducible expression system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **99**: 89–101.
- Wittmeyer, J., Joss, L., and Formosa, T. (1999) Spt16 and Pob3 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* form an essential, abundant heterodimer that is nuclear, chromatinassociated, and copurifies with DNA polymerase alpha. *Biochemistry* **38**: 8961–8971.
- Xin, H., Takahata, S., Blanksma, M., McCullough, L., Stillman, D.J., and Formosa, T. (2009) yFACT induces global accessibility of nucleosomal DNA without H2A-H2B displacement. *Mol Cell* **35**: 365–376.
- Yang, X., Figueiredo, L.M., Espinal, A., Okubo, E., and Li, B. (2009) RAP1 is essential for silencing telomeric variant surface glycoprotein genes in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Cell* **137**: 99–109.

# Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.