

RESEARCH LETTER

Cardiology Journal 2022, Vol. 29, No. 6, 1040–1042 DOI: 10.5603/CJ.a2022.0096 Copyright © 2022 Via Medica ISSN 1897–5593 eISSN 1898–018X

Hybrid method of large bore arterial access closure: Single-center initial experience based on percutaneous coronary artery interventions assisted with left ventricle support device

Artur K. Pawlik¹, Łukasz Rzeszutko^{1, 2}, Rafał Januszek¹, Paweł Kleczyński^{2, 3}, Krzysztof Bartuś^{2, 4}, Leszek Bryniarski^{1, 2}, Jacek Legutko^{2, 3}, Stanisław Bartuś^{1, 2}

¹Department of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Interventions, University Hospital, Krakow, Poland ²Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ³Department of Interventional Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland

⁴Department of Cardiovascular Surgery and Transplantology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland

Introduction

Despite advancement in surgical techniques, cardiologic patients are often not good candidates for surgery due to a large burden of comorbidities or frailty syndrome. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement, endovascular aortic repair and percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) assisted with percutaneous left ventricle assist devices (pLVAD) are gaining in popularity, gradually replacing alternative surgical methods [1].

The aim of the present study is to delineate initial experience of vascular closure device application based on a series of patients undergoing high-risk PCI with pLVAD.

Twenty-one consecutive patients treated with high-risk PCI with pLVAD were included in accordance with the Heart Team opinion. Data were collected retrospectively. The procedures were performed by highly experienced operators and were elective, except for 1 case. The efficacy endpoint was successful vascular closure. The safety endpoint were in-hospital complications with special regard for hemorrhagic events which remained in line with the criteria proposed by the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC)

[2]. Additional vascular access site imaging examinations were performed in the case of suspecting arterial dissection, false aneurysm or retroperitoneal hemorrhage. Perclose Proglide (PP: Abbott Vascular, California, USA) and Angio-Seal VIP (AS: Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) were used for vascular closure in the presented series of cases. Vascular closure failure was defined as an inability to fully deploy the closure devices or the necessity to implement adjunctive procedures at an access site other than additional vascular puncture site compression. The large bore arteries (LBA) was defined as vascular access exceeding 8-French. Nonetheless, in the present study, in all assessed puncture sites 14-French sheaths were inserted, except for 1, where a 19-French sheath was used. The closure method was chosen at the discretion of the operator by his experience. All LBA were obtained under the control of fluoroscopy and managed applying 1 of the following methods.

Double Angio-Seal VIP

After the insertion of the pLVAD, 2 0.035" guidewires are introduced into the femoral lumen

Address for correspondence: Artur Pawlik, MD, Department of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Interventions, University Hospital in Krakow, ul. Jakubowskiego 2, 30–688 Kraków, Poland, tel: +48 12 400 22 50, fax: +48 12 400 22 67, e-mail: arturo.pawlik@gmail.com

Received: 1.02.2022 Accepted: 31.08.2022 Early publication date: 4.10.2022

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics and procedural outcomes.

	AC + DD	DD - DD 40 - 40
	AS + PP (n = 12)	PP + PP, AS + AS (n = 9)
Patient characteristics		
Age [years]	63.9 ± 7.7	69.8 ± 10.2
Gender, males	10 (83.3%)	9 (100%)
Body mass index [kg/m²]	25.7 ± 4.0	28.4 ± 3.9
LVEF [%]	18.4 ± 3.9	23.2 ± 9.1
Arterial hypertension	9 (75%)	7 (77.8%)
Diabetes mellitus	5 (41.7%)	2 (22.2%)
Peripheral artery disease	0 (0%)	2 (22.2%)
eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	3 (25%)	4 (44.4%)
Peri- and postprocedural outcomes		
LBA closure failure	0 (0%)	2 (22.2%)
HNF during PCI, 1000 U	10 ± 1.5	11.1 ± 2.2
Contrast administration [mL]	388.2 ± 119.1	316.7 ± 148
Inotropes or vasopressors during PCI	3 (25%)	1 (11.1%)
Hemoglobin drop during hospitalization [g/dL]	2 ± 2	3.5 ± 2.5
BARC:		
1	4 (33%)	3 (33.3%)
2	1 (8.3%)	0 (0%)
3	2 (16.6%)	4 (44.4%)
Cases of RCP transfusions	1 (8.3%)	0 (0%)
Pseudo-aneurysm treated with thrombin injection	0 (0%)	1 (11.1%)
VCD deployment failure	0 (0%)	2 (22.2%)
Surgical management of hemorrhagic complication	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Arterial puncture site infection	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

AS — AngioSeal VIP; BARC — bleeding classification system definitions; Data presented as mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and counts (percentages) for nominal variables; HNF — non-fractioned heparin; LBA — large bore access; LVEF — left ventricular ejection fraction; PCI — percutaneous coronary intervention; PP — Perclose Proglide; RCP — red cell package; VCD — vascular closure device

and a 14-French sheath is explanted. Subsequently, shafts of 2 AS systems are put into the femoral artery. After the deployment of the first AS, manual compression is applied for a couple of minutes. Then, the second system is deployed with subsequent manual compression.

Double Perclose Proglide

Both PP systems should be partially deployed before insertion of a large sheath, in concordance to the instructions available on the producer's website [3]. The main difference compared to a single PP deployment is an imperative of 30-degree PP rotation in opposing directions before opening a "foot" inside the femoral artery. There is also the technically demanding maneuver of large sheath protrusion and simultaneous advancement of the PP's knots in order to be deployed. In the case of lack of hemostasis, there is a possibility to use an

additional vascular closure device (VCD) (if the wire is still in the vessel), a compression device or manual compression could also be introduced.

Angio-Seal VIP + Perclose Proglide

Perclose Proglide is deployed in a "perclose" manner before insertion of a large sheath. After the PCI and explantation of the pLVAD, the large sheath is protruded under the control of the artery manual compression proximally to the arterial puncture. The compression aims to limit blood loss during sheath protrusion and, at the same time, it must not hinder advancement of the knot. Afterwards, a 6-French sheath is inserted, and if a hemostasis is achieved, the 6-French or 8-French AS is deployed in a standard manner. The process is finalized with the PP knot tightening (white stitch).

Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The most frequently chosen technique was

LBA closure with AS + PP (57.1%), then PP + PP (33.3%) and AS + AS (9.5%). Closure failure occurred in 2 double PP cases due the stitch rupture. The most common complication was a hematoma not demanding surgical management. In 1 case in the AS + PP group, the procedure was complicated by a retroperitoneal hematoma that was treated pharmacologically and by transfusion of 2 units of packed red blood cells. In this case, the LBA was not a source of the bleeding, but the contralateral femoral 7-French access closed by a single AS. One procedure with the double PP technique was complicated by a pseudoaneurysm which was treated with thrombin injection. In 2 cases of hybrid closure, despite successful deployment of devices, the Femostop (Medline Industries, Illinois, Northfield, USA) was applied due to local oozing. There were no cases of arterial puncture infection or the need for surgical intervention.

Overall efficacy of the vascular closure in the presented series was 90.4%, which was comparable to the data reported by other authors. In the literature, the success rate varies from 91.4% to 100% [4–8]. Nonetheless, Toggwailer et al. [9] described the necessity of additional surgery in almost 28% of early patients due to vascular complications, with an impressive reduction to 2% at the end of the study. A decrease in arterial complications in the access site over time was also observed in other studies regarding procedures with LBA [10]. Thus, lower success rate in the present study could be mainly attributed to the initial nature of the series and the learning curve. Contrary to the PP system, the AS is not meant to be mixed with other VCDs by the instruction of use. Nonetheless, available literature provided reliable and favorable outcomes of this method [6, 7]. Based on our experience, comparing the hybrid method with others, it seems to have a higher efficacy and lower rate of bleeding complications in class > 2 according the BARC classification.

Summary

The main finding of this study is that initial experience of the hybrid LBA closure technique gives promising results and is more effective compared to other methods analyzed in the presented work. While the patient sample size is too small to draw definitive conclusions, the present outcomes

are consistent with those reached in other studies, showing high effectiveness and safety of the hybrid closure method. Nonetheless, further investigation in randomized controlled trials is needed to compare different methods of LBA closure.

Conflict of interest: None declared

References

- Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ahlsson A, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2018 ESC/EACTS Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. Eur Heart J. 2019; 40(2): 87–165, doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehy394, indexed in Pubmed: 30165437.
- Mehran R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: a consensus report from the Bleeding Academic Research Consortium. Circulation. 2011; 123(23): 2736–2747, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONA-HA.110.009449, indexed in Pubmed: 21670242.
- https://www.cardiovascular.abbott/us/en/hcp/products/peripheral-intervention/vessel-closure/perclose-proglide-suture-mediated-closure-system/multiple-device-deployment.html.
- Griese DP, Reents W, Diegeler A, et al. Simple, effective and safe vascular access site closure with the double-ProGlide preclose technique in 162 patients receiving transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2013; 82(5): E734–E741, doi: 10.1002/ccd.25053, indexed in Pubmed: 23765732.
- Bui QT, Kolansky DM, Bannan A, et al. "Double wire" angio-seal closure technique after balloon aortic valvuloplasty. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2010; 75(4): 488–492, doi: 10.1002/ccd.22295, indexed in Pubmed: 19937771.
- Ko TY, Kao HL, Liu YJ, et al. Intentional combination of ProGlide and Angio-Seal for femoral access haemostasis in transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Int J Cardiol. 2019; 293: 76–79, doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.05.055, indexed in Pubmed: 31155328.
- McCarthy CK, Maqbool F, Gierman JL. Two-device closure method for large diameter arteriotomies in percutaneous endovascular aortic repair. Ann Vasc Surg. 2020; 62: 191–194, doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2019.06.008, indexed in Pubmed: 31449950.
- Wiewiórka Ł, Trębacz J, Sobczyński R, et al. Computed tomography guided tailored approach to transfemoral access in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Cardiol J. 2021 [Epub ahead of print], doi: 10.5603/CJ.a2021.0053, indexed in Pubmed: 34031867.
- Toggweiler S, Gurvitch R, Leipsic J, et al. Percutaneous aortic valve replacement: vascular outcomes with a fully percutaneous procedure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012; 59(2): 113–118, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.08.069, indexed in Pubmed: 22222073.
- Webb JG, Pasupati S, Humphries K, et al. Percutaneous transarterial aortic valve replacement in selected high-risk patients with aortic stenosis. Circulation. 2007; 116(7): 755–763, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.698258, indexed in Pubmed: 17646579.