**Review Article** 

Kidney Dis 2022;8:437–445 DOI: 10.1159/000527213 Received: June 30, 2022 Accepted: September 7, 2022 Published online: October 31, 2022

# Aberrant B-Cell Activation in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Na Kang<sup>a, b</sup> Xiaohang Liu<sup>a, b</sup> Xujie You<sup>c</sup> Wenbo Sun<sup>a, b</sup> Kabeer Haneef<sup>a, b</sup> Xiaolin Sun<sup>d</sup> Wanli Liu<sup>a, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Beijing Key Lab for Immunological Research on Chronic Diseases, School of Life Sciences, Institute for Immunology, MOE Key Laboratory of Protein Sciences, Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Structural Biology, Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; <sup>b</sup>Tsinghua-Peking Center for Life Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>c</sup>Department of Rheumatology, National Centre for Children's Health, Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; <sup>d</sup>Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Beijing Key Lab for Rheumatism and Immune Diagnosis (BZ0135), Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China

## Keywords

B-cell activation · Systemic lupus erythematosus · B-cell receptor pathway · Toll-like receptor pathway · B-cell activating factor receptor pathway

## Abstract

Background: B lymphocytes (B cells) are essential in humoral response, and their activation is an important first step for the production of antibodies. However, aberrant B-cell activation is common in the development and progression of autoimmune diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), which is characterized by the generation of superfluous autoantibodies. SLE exhibits clinical manifestation such as excessive inflammation and tissue damage. This review aims to summarize the recent emerging studies on aberrant B-cell activation and the associated concurrent therapeutic targets in SLE. *Summary:* Aberrant B-cell activation is closely associated with the pathogenesis of SLE. Among a variety of mechanisms, dysregulations of B-cell receptor (BCR), toll-like receptor (TLR), and B-cell activating factor receptor (BAFF-R) pathways are the common and dominating factors involved in aberrant B-cell activation. These aberrant signaling transductions play diverse and integrated roles in the development and the pathogenesis of SLE. Therapies targeting aberrant B-cell activation have shown promising efficacy in achieving the clinical alleviation of SLE, suggesting the discovery of new drug targets from these aberrant signaling pathways is imminent. Here, an integrated survey or review of published high-throughput sequencing database covering RNAs of B cells from SLE versus criteriamatched healthy controls highlights that reported signaling molecules in BCR pathway (VAV2, PLC-y2), TLR pathway (TLR9, P105, IRF7, TAB1), and BAFF-R pathway (SDF-1a) are attitudinally upregulated in SLE patients. This review thus suggests the concurrent and future therapeutic targets and potential biomarkers in both basic and clinical studies of SLE. Key Messages: This review focuses on core B-cell signaling pathways, discussing the progress in the role of aberrant Bcell activation during the pathogenesis of SLE. This review also highlights the signaling molecules from published studies and database for the possible prevention and treatment targets serving the future clinical treatments of SLE.

> © 2022 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Na Kang and Xiaohang Liu contributed equally to this work.

Karger@karger.com www.karger.com/kdd

Karger

**∂OPEN ACCESS** 

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense), applicable to the online version of the article only. Usage and distribution for commercial purposes requires written permission. Correspondence to: Xiaolin Sun, sunxiaolin\_sxl@126.com Wanli Liu, liulab@tsinghua.edu.cn

## Introduction

B lymphocytes (B cells) in the adaptive immune system aim to produce antibodies, which are critical for the protection against infectious diseases as well as for the development of the majority of the current commercial vaccines. B cells are usually maintained in quiescent status in the peripheral lymphoid organs, and thus their activation is an essential first step for proliferation and differentiation to professional antibody-secreting plasma cells. B-cell activation is typically triggered by the surfaceexpressed receptors including but not limited to B-cell receptors (BCRs), toll-like receptors (TLRs), and B-cell activating factor receptors (BAFF-Rs), mediating the cell fate decisions upon ligand recognition. Abnormalities in B-cell activation interrupt immune homeostasis and become a catalyst of multiple pathological conditions. Among all these, an indispensable link in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is the aberrant activation of auto-reactive B cells [1, 2]. SLE is characterized as an autoimmune disease showing multiple organ involvement and complex clinical manifestations. The disease onset of SLE is related to heredity, infection, and ultraviolet radiation, etc. Numerous studies reported that B cells in SLE patients are aberrantly activated in response to self-antigens, producing a large number of auto-reactive antibodies (autoantibodies), potentially triggering an inflammatory cascade response and is closely related to the occurrence of SLE [1, 2]. In SLE patients, B cells are aberrantly differentiated into plasma cells producing pathological autoantibodies, which form immune complexes and are deposited in various tissues/organs, including kidneys, rendering systemic tissue/organ damage [3]. Lupus nephritis (LN) is the prime cause of morbidity and mortality in SLE patients. LN develops when patients lose immune tolerance and deposit autoantibodies in the kidneys [4]. Therapies targeting aberrant B-cell activation have become the clinical treatment options for SLE and LN.

Over the past few decades, the complex signaling pathways involved in B-cell activation have been extensively studied using traditional biochemical and genetic methods. Recently, as high-throughput sequencing technology developed rapidly, the transcriptional landscapes of B cells in SLE patients have been intensively characterized. Here, we review the canonical pathways, molecular mechanisms, and targeted medications of B-cell activation. We further incorporate correlation review for target SLE-associated molecules obtained by high-throughput sequencing experiments in the literature. All these messages hopefully can improve targeted therapeutic interventions and the development of precision medicine against SLE.

## **Signaling Pathway for B-Cell Activation**

## **B-Cell Receptor**

In quiescent B cells, BCR exists on the surface of the plasma membrane in a randomly distributed state. In response to antigen recognition, the BCR initiates signaling cascade events by oligomerizing BCRs and recruiting membrane proximal signaling molecules to the cytoplasmic domain. In the next step, a signaling complex initiates and triggers a series of cascade reactions and conspicuously completes signal transmission, all of which must be well-organized and precisely regulated [5-7]. As a brief description of BCR signaling pathway, antigen stimulation leads to the cross-linking and oligomerization of BCRs, which are then deposited in the lipid raft area of the cell membrane. Src family tyrosine kinases (such as LYN) typically reside in the lipid raft, which can efficiently phosphorylate the oligomerized BCRs on their ITAM tyrosine residues within the cytoplasmic domain of Iga/Ig $\beta$  [8, 9]. Consequently, phosphorylated Iga/Ig $\beta$ signaling subunits further recruit and activate a wide range of downstream proximal signaling molecules to intensify the immune cascade events. For instance, activated Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) and spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK) recruit and activate phospholipase Cy2 (PLC- $\gamma$ 2), which in turn catalyzes the decomposition of 4,5-bisphosphate acyl inositol into two intracellular second messengers: inositol triphosphate and diacylglycerol [10, 11]. Upon binding to inositol triphosphate receptors on the endoplasmic reticulum, calcium ions are released from the endoplasmic reticulum, and extracellular calcium ions influx into the cytosol [12-15]. Diacylglycerol and elevated intracellular calcium result in the recruitment and activation of PKC, mitogen-activated protein kinase, and other pathways to generate transcription factors such as transcription factor nuclear factor-kappa B  $(NF-\kappa B)$  and NFAT, all of which potently promote gene transcription changes important for B-cell proliferation and differentiation [16-18]. In addition, scaffolding proteins also play a pivotal role in BCR signaling. For example, B cells cannot recruit PLC-y2 and influx calcium, when lack the scaffolding protein BLNK [19-22] (shown in Fig. 1b).

Once the BCR signal transduction process is abnormally regulated, pathological immune responses such as



**Fig. 1.** Signal transduction pathways from BAFF-R, BCR, and TLR. **a** BAFF-R increases CD19 expression via the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway, enhancing BCR signaling and p100 production. BAFF-R survival signal can be transduced by SYK molecules through the ERK and PI3K pathways in addition to NF- $\kappa$ B activation through IKK $\alpha$ . **b** Antigen stimulation leads to the cross-linking and oligomerization of BCR; then Src family tyrosine kinases are activated and phosphorylate a tyrosine residue of ITAM in the cytoplasmic region of

immunodeficiency, hypersensitivity, autoimmune diseases, and tumor growth occur. There are intensive nextgeneration sequencing studies investigating the mRNA levels in purified B cells from SLE patients and matched healthy controls (HCs) (GSE4588, GSE30153, GSE92387, GSE149050, GSE156751, and GSE10325 datasets) [23-27]. Here, we reviewed these sequence data and found that the transcription of VAV2 and PLC-y2 in the BCR signaling pathway were significantly upregulated in SLE B cells in comparison to that from HCs. VAV2 and PLC- $\gamma$ 2 act essentially in triggering calcium influx and cytoskeleton remodeling. In murine SLE disease model studies, there are reports showing elevated PLC-y2, Rhofamily GTP-GDP exchange factor VAV, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization in mice harboring B-cell-specific ablation of Cbl, manifesting SLE-like autoimmune disease [28]. However, the underlying molecular mechanism behind such bi-

Iga/Ig $\beta$  to form an unfolded conformation. Subsequently, phosphorylated Iga/Ig $\beta$  recruits and activates various signaling molecules, including BTK, SYK, and PLC- $\gamma$ 2, then catalyzes the decomposition of PIP2 into IP3 and DAG. **c** TLRs recognize PAMPs or signals depending on MyD88. Then MyD88 attracts IRAK4 to TLRs and activates IRAK1. IRAK4 recruits TRAF6 to activate TAK1, which phosphorylates IKKa/ $\beta$ / $\gamma$  leading to MAP kinase (JNK, p38 MAPK) and NF- $\kappa$ B activation.

furcation is not fully understood. Interestingly, the upregulated transcriptions of VAV2 and PLC-y2 in SLE patients were also mirrored by a published study toward SLE T cells showing the higher levels of PLC-y1 than that in HCs [29]. It is worth noting that VAV1, VAV2, and VAV3 belong to the VAV family proteins, while the expression of VAV2 shows the opposite trend with VAV1 and VAV3 in SLE. Based on an integrative Bayesian network approach to highlight key drivers in SLE patients, the expression levels of VAV1 and VAV3 in SLE patients were downregulated, while the levels of VAV2 were enriched in B cells of SLE patients [4]. Furthermore, the transient interaction between the T and B cells was typically reported to be in line with the enhanced PKC activation in T cells and diminished VAV1 recruitment at the interface [30].

Kidney Dis 2022;8:437–445 DOI: 10.1159/000527213

DGEs in B cell between the SLE and HC	Tendency	Reported in SLE
BCR pathway		
VAV2	Increased	[4, 28]
PLC-γ2	Increased	[28, 29]
IGH	Decreased	[31]
VAV1	Decreased	[4]
VAV3	Decreased	[4]
NFAT	Decreased	[32, 33]
RAC	Decreased	No reports
AKT1	Decreased	[37]
AKT3	Decreased	[37]
GSK3β	Decreased	[34–36]
TLR pathway		
TLR9	Increased	[52, 53]
P105	Increased	[54–56]
IRF7	Increased	[57, 58]
TAB1	Increased	[63–65]
IRF5	Decreased	[59–62]
TAK1	Decreased	[63–65]
ΙΚΚβ	Decreased	[66]
MKK3/6	Decreased	No reports
BAFF-R pathway		
SDF-1a	Increased	[86–88]
TRAF3	Decreased	[89]
ΙΚΚβ	Decreased	[66]

 $\label{eq:constraint} \textbf{Table 1.} \ \text{Differentially expressed genes in B cell between the SLE and HC}$ 

The other six genes (*IGH*, *NFAT*, *RAC*, *AKT1*, *AKT3*, and *GSK3β*) were found to be downregulated in SLE. Single-sequence analysis indicates that *IGHV3-23* was the most abundant V gene in BCR IGH repertoire from HCs, whereas *IGHV3-21* was the most abundant V gene in SLE patients [31]. NFAT1 showed its involvement in the clinical heterogeneity of SLE. Similarly, aberrant activation of the AKT-GSK3β signaling pathway was observed in SLE T cells. Hydrogen sulfide and mesenchymal stem cells are known to downregulate B-cell proliferation and activation by inhibiting PI3K/AKT/GSK3β pathways in SLE patients [32–36]. Although the transcription of AKT is downregulated in SLE patients, kinome profiling reveals that AKT phosphorylation could be increased in SLE patients (shown in Table 1) [37].

Besides the BCR pathway, SLE is also characterized by the presence of large numbers of IgG subtypes of autoantibodies, in which IgG-B-cell receptor potently enhances memory IgG antibody responses through the conserved cytoplasmic tail of membrane-bound IgG (mIgG-tail) [38]. The mIgG-tail amplifies BCR signaling via its phospho-immunoglobulin tail tyrosine (ITT) motif, which recruits the adaptor protein Grb2 to enhance Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization, synergistically with the transduction of BTK and PLC- $\gamma$ 2 signaling cascade [39, 40]. Recently, it has been reported that a single-nucleotide polymorphism rs117518546, which is common in the East Asian population, results in a glycine-to-arginine substitution at codon 396 in the mIgG-tail portion of human IgG1-BCR (hIgG1-G396R, G396R) [40]. This G396R variant is located near the ITT motif and can modulate B-cell activation and differentiation. It enhances the ITT motif phosphorylation by LYN and promotes the recruitment of downstream BCR signaling molecules GRB2 and BTK to the immunological synapse. GRB2 is an essential adaptor protein of the mIgG-BCR signalosome, which transmits signals from phosphorylated ITT by recruiting BTK to amplify BCR-induced calcium mobilization [41]. Consequently, the G390R ITT motif can bind LYN kinase more effectively than the wild-type ITT motif, promoting GRB2's longer dwell time at the immunological synapses. This results in a more pronounced BCR signaling and lowering of the threshold for BCR activation when GRB2 is released and recaptured rather than released and escaped after its recruitment to the phospho-ITT motif. Individuals carrying this variant showed elevated G390R variant IgG1+ B-cell activation and differentiation to plasma cells producing IgG1 antibodies in autoimmunity, anti-tumor immunity, and vaccination-induced humoral response [40, 42].

A study in three independent case-control cohorts in China (1,786 HCs vs. 1838 SLE patients) showed that the G396R variant frequency was significantly higher in SLE patients and was associated with a more severe disease phenotype including early disease onset, increased LN development, and autoantibody production [40] (unpublished data by Sun et al.). In patients with LN, G396R presence is associated with an elevated risk of early disease development, skin and mucosal involvement, hematological involvement, and an increase of several autoantibodies (unpublished data by Sun et al.). The association of the G396R variant with LN might be due to the increased deposition of IgG1+ immune complexes in glomeruli, which was observed in the SLE model induced in mice with this variant [40].

# Toll-Like Receptors

TLRs can recognize molecules with conserved structures from microorganisms, promoting activation of both innate and adaptive immunity [43–45]. TLR9 belongs to the TLR family and is a type I transmembrane protein that recognizes pathogen-associated molecular

patterns or signals depending on myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88). For TLR signal transduction to occur, the toll/interleukin-1 receptor domain conspicuously needs to interact with MyD88, an adaptor protein found in the toll/interleukin-1 receptor domain [46]. MyD88 then attracts IRAK4 to TLRs, activating its death domain [47]. In addition, tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) recruits IRAK4, which further activates TAK1. TAK1 phosphorylates IKK $\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ , thereby activating MAP kinases (JNK, p38 MAPK) and NF-ĸB [47]. To this end, AP-1 and NF-κB perpetually regulate the transcriptional activity of inflammatory factors [48]. IRAK4, IRAK1, TRAF6, and IRF7 are composed of the IRF7 signaling complexes, which induce type I interferons (IFNs) [49]. Similarly, the MyD88-IRF5-TRAF6 complex can activate IRF5 and promote the transcription of tumor necrosis factor-a and IL-6 [50, 51] (shown in Fig. 1c). It would be interesting to investigate whether or not the recognition strength of RNA- or DNA-based ligands by TLR7 or TLR9 would be differently regulated in SLE versus HCs [52]. The answer is likely yes as there are reports showing that the enhanced expression of TLR9 is highly associated with the risk of developing SLE in murine models [52]. Moreover, TLR9 expression is higher in the B cells of SLE patients than in HCs, and IL-6 and ds-DNA antibodies were also more elevated in the serum of SLE patients, which had a positive correlation with TLR9 expression, suggesting that the TLR pathway plays a crucial role in this disease [53].

According to high-throughput sequencing studies, P105, IRF7, and TAB1 are also upregulated in SLE patients, while IRF5, TAK1, IKKβ, and MKK3/6 were downregulated in SLE patients in contrast with HCs [54-56]. In B cells, IRF7 is constitutively expressed as a lymphoidspecific factor that is strongly stimulated by IFNs and viral components [57]. Experimental inculcations also elucidated that patients with SLE expressed significantly more IRF7 mRNA than those with HCs, which is positively correlated with serum levels of IFN-a and lupus disease activity, exerting a crucial effect on its pathogenesis [58]. In addition to IRF7, genetic variations within IRF5 are associated with the increased risk of developing SLE, and mice lacking IRF5 experience reduced symptoms and onset of SLE [59-62]. It is also reported that TAK1 interacts with TAB1 to counterbalance NF-kB, AP-1, and JNK signals, which is essential for the activation and survival of B cells [63–65]. In addition, IKK $\beta$  was also found to be downregulated in SLE patients in comparison to HCs [66] (shown in Table 1).

# **B-Cell Activating Factor Receptor**

The ligands of B-cell activating factor of the TNF family (BAFF family) are directly involved in B-cell homeostasis, proliferation, maturation, and survival [67-70]. BAFF is a type II transmembrane protein that is capable of binding to three different distinct types of receptor entities including the BAFF-R, transmembrane activator and calcium modulator, and B-cell maturation antigen [71, 72]. BAFF overexpression is frequently detected and is thus thought to play a significant role in the pathogenesis of SLE and other rheumatic diseases [52]. BAFF acts via its receptor, the BAFF-R, which is expressed in almost all human B-cell subsets and is essential for their survival [73]. Mechanistically, it has been reported that BAFF-R signaling does so by enhancing the phosphorylation of SYK and BCR-coupled Ig molecules, and this phosphorylation requires both BCR and BAFF-R to maintain B-cell trophoblast signaling in a coordinated manner [74, 75]. BAFF-R activates classical and alternative NF-KB pathways, both of which are significant survival signals for B cells. Several studies have elucidated that BAFF-R typically enhances the CD19 expression profile by enhancing NF-kB activity, which in turn further regulates BCRs transmitted downstream signaling, and p100 production [76–80]. Additionally, BAFF-R-mediated survival signals can be transduced by SYK molecules through both ERK and PI3K pathways [81, 82]. Moreover, BAFF-R can also provide the survival signal via the interaction with BTK through a mechanism involving TRAF2 and cIAP2 [83, 84] (shown in Fig. 1a).

Due largely to all these essential functions of BAFF-R in B cells, there is evidence showing that excessive BAFF promotes autoantibodies during autoimmune disease progression. As a result, recent clinical studies have demonstrated BAFF as a potential therapeutic target in various autoimmune disorders. Despite the apparent roles of BAFF's involvement in renal damage and autoimmune disease progression, the relationship between BAFF expression levels, disease severity activity, and damaging roles in SLE devastation is still controversial [85]. As BAFF and BAFF-R pathway products, there are also studies showing that the blood SDF-1a level was significantly higher in SLE patients. The receptor for SDF-1 is CXCR4, and thus the impact of targeting the CXCR4/CXCL12 axis on SLE patients is rather significant [86-88]. It has been recently reported that another signaling molecule TRAF3 was also highly expressed in the kidney in the LN mouse model [89] (shown in Table 1). An additional high-affinity BAFF receptor, known as the Nogo-66 receptor (NgR), was recently identified. Through its effect on NgR, BAFF

inhibits the formation of central nervous system axonal growth, suggesting a role for BAFF in aggravating the multiple sclerosis pathogenesis [90].

# **Representative Therapies Targeting B Cells for SLE**

There has been significant interest in developing drugs that target B-cell surface receptors for the treatment of SLE, several of which have gained approval for clinical use, showing promising results in improving therapeutic outcomes in SLE. Due to space limitations, here we review some representatives of these B-cell targeting drugs which have been approved/recommended for clinical treatment.

# Rituximab: Anti-CD20 Monoclonal Antibody

CD20 is expressed on the surface of B cells at different stages of development and differentiation but not on plasma cells. CD20 plays a crucial function in regulating B-cell proliferation and differentiation, both of which are downstream cellular effects upon BCR and TLR signaling. Rituximab (RTX) is a human chimeric monoclonal antibody that binds specifically to CD20, which mediates the lysis of B cells through mechanisms of complementdependent cytotoxicity and antibody-dependent cytotoxicity [91]. As a result, RTX eliminates B cells, reducing autoantibody production, thereby partly weakening cellular immunity and alleviating antibody-mediated pathogenic consequences. Several clinical trials have demonstrated that RTX can significantly reduce SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) and British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG) scores and prednisone doses in patients with SLE, showing promising efficacy and safety in refractory SLE and LN [92]. The results of a second retrospective study of 45 SLE patients also confirmed the benefit of RTX; 89% of these patients achieved either complete or partial remission after treatment with RTX despite a history of inadequate response or nonresponsiveness to conventional therapy [93]. Furthermore, it has been elucidated that RTX was initially approved and developed to treat non-Hodgkin's lymphoma but has subsequently become an established treatment for rheumatoid arthritis and ANCA-associated vasculitis [94, 95]. The glomerular filtration rate improved significantly after RTX treatment, and the improvement of clinical symptoms was associated with the decrease of anti-ds-DNA and other autoantibodies in LN patients [93]. The most common side effects of RTX are infection and infusion-related reactions.

# Belimumab: Anti-BAFF Monoclonal Antibody

As a member of the TNF family of cytokines crucial for the survival of mature B cells, the blood levels of BAFF are elevated in SLE patients, and patients with higher levels of BAFF are more prone to develop organ damage [96]. Belimumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody, is promising to treat a variety of diseases. By inhibiting the binding of soluble BAFF to its receptor, belimumab perpetually inhibits B-cell proliferation and antibody production, which in turn induces the apoptosis of autoreactive B cells in the serum and reduces serum autoantibodies [97]. Belimumab coupled with standard care has been approved by FDA since 2011 for SLE patients with active, autoantibody-positive disease [98]. A multicenter double-blinded clinical trial for SLE showed that belimumab treatment exhibited higher efficacy (53.8%) than the placebo group (40.1%) [99]. In addition, the treatment group reduced the risk of disease relapse in SLE patients by 50%. For SLE patients taking >7.5 mg/day of prednisone at baseline, belimumab significantly reduced their dependence on glucocorticoids. The adverse effects of the belimumab treatment and control groups are comparable. In a phase III randomized, double-blind, controlled clinical trial (BLISS-LN) for patients with active LN, belimumab reached the primary and all secondary endpoints of the trial, and 43% of patients treated with belimumab in combination with standard therapy achieved significantly higher positive effect than the patients treated with standard therapy plus placebo (32%, p = 0.0311) [100].

# Telitacicept: A BAFF and APRIL Dual Inhibitor

Telitacicept is a BAFF and APRIL (ligand for B-cell maturation antigen and NgR) dual inhibitor. BAFF and APRIL have been reported as key regulatory cytokines in B-cell maintenance and humoral immunity. BAFF promotes immature B-cell differentiation and maturation events, while APRIL modulates the function and survival of long-lived plasma cells [101]. Blocking both of these factors may be a more effective way of inhibiting B-cellmediated pathogenic response than blocking either of them. A recent clinical trial of telitacicept supported this rationale in active SLE treatment [102]. This multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled phase-II clinical trial carried out an in-depth evaluation of the safety and efficacy of telitacicept versus placebo in combination with standard therapy. 249 patients received either telitacicept (80, 160, 240 mg) or placebo (an equal number of each). This study showed a greater SLE Response Index (SRI) in the telitacicept 240 mg group compared with the placebo group (79.2 vs. 32.0%); thus, the primary endpoint was

met. The use of telitacicept decreases the levels of both B cells and blood Ig, increases complement synthesis, and reduces the recurrence of severe SLE [102]. Currently, telitacicept is being studied in several other diseases, including multiple sclerosis, IgA nephropathy, myasthenia gravis, neurological disorders, rheumatoid arthritis, and Sjögren's syndrome [103].

## Conclusion

An increasing pool of evidence suggests that aberrant B-cell activation plays an essential role in SLE. Therefore, therapies targeting B-cell functionality are considered a promising new strategy. As of today, there have been several drugs designed to target B cells; however, most of them regulate B-cell survival, not activation. As a result, extensive research and target-oriented drug development are needed based on the effect of B-cell activation on SLE disease. Given the complex pathogenesis of SLE, a drug inhibiting more than one pathway would offer a significant therapeutic benefit. High-throughput sequencing from the purified B cells reveals that VAV2, PLC-y2, TLR9, *P105*, *IRF7*, *TAB1*, and *SDF-1α* were highly accumulated in SLE patients. However, the exact molecular mechanisms involved are still unclear. Here, we point out the possibilities of these promising targets, while there is still a long way to go before their application for curing SLE.

#### References

- Iwata S, Tanaka Y. B-cell subsets, signaling and their roles in secretion of autoantibodies. Lupus. 2016;25(8):850–6.
- 2 Dorner T, Giesecke C, Lipsky PE. Mechanisms of B cell autoimmunity in SLE. Arthritis Res Ther. 2011;13(5):243.
- 3 Feng Y, Yang M, Wu H, Lu Q. The pathological role of B cells in systemic lupus erythematosus: from basic research to clinical. Autoimmunity. 2020;53(2):56–64.
- 4 Maleknia S, Salehi Z, Rezaei Tabar V, Sharifi-Zarchi A, Kavousi K. An integrative Bayesian network approach to highlight key drivers in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Res Ther. 2020;22(1):156.
- 5 Kwak K, Akkaya M, Pierce SK. B cell signaling in context. Nat Immunol. 2019;20(8):963–9.
- 6 Tanaka S, Baba Y. B cell receptor signaling. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020;1254:23–36.
- 7 Xu C, Wan Z, Shaheen S, Wang J, Yang Z, Liu W. A PI(4, 5)P2-derived "gasoline engine model" for the sustained B cell receptor activation. Immunol Rev. 2019;291(1):75–90.
- 8 Cornall RJ, Goodnow CC, Cyster JG. Regulation of B cell antigen receptor signaling by the

### Acknowledgments

We thank support from Tsinghua University Spring Breeze Fund, Center for Life Sciences, and Institute for Immunology at Tsinghua University.

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## **Funding Sources**

This study has been funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32141004, 81971520, 81825010, 81730043, and 81621002) and Ministry of Science and Technology of China Grants (2021YFC2300500 and 2021YFC2302403).

## **Author Contributions**

All the authors have contributed to this review. Na Kang and Xujie You drafted the manuscript. Xiaohang Liu analyzed the data. Na Kang and Xiaohang Liu drawn the figures and tables. Wenbo Sun and Kabeer Haneef revised the manuscript. Xiaolin Sun and Wanli Liu designed, revised, and approved the final manuscript.

Lyn/CD22/SHP1 pathway. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 1999;244:57–68.

- 9 Takata M, Sabe H, Hata A, Inazu T, Homma Y, Nukada T, et al. Tyrosine kinases Lyn and Syk regulate B cell receptor-coupled Ca2+ mobilization through distinct pathways. EMBO J. 1994;13(6):1341–9.
- Lowe J, Joseph RE, Andreotti AH. Conformational switches that control the TEC kinase: PLCγ signaling axis. J Struct Biol X. 2022;6: 100061.
- 11 Xu C, Xie H, Guo X, Gong H, Liu L, Qi H, et al. A PIP2-derived amplification loop fuels the sustained initiation of B cell activation. Sci Immunol. 2017;2(17):eaan0787.
- 12 Kurosaki T, Maeda A, Ishiai M, Hashimoto A, Inabe K, Takata M. Regulation of the phospholipase C-gamma2 pathway in B cells. Immunol Rev. 2000;176:19–29.
- 13 Dellis O, Dedos SG, Tovey SC, Taufiq Ur R, Dubel SJ, Taylor CW. Ca2+ entry through plasma membrane IP3 receptors. Science. 2006;313(5784):229–33.
- 14 Corneth OBJ, Klein Wolterink RGJ, Hendriks RW. BTK signaling in B cell differentiation and

autoimmunity. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2016;393:67–105.

- 15 Pal Singh S, Dammeijer F, Hendriks RW. Role of Bruton's tyrosine kinase in B cells and malignancies. Mol Cancer. 2018;17(1):57.
- 16 Smith CIE, Burger JA. Resistance mutations to BTK inhibitors originate from the NF-κB but not from the PI3K-RAS-MAPK arm of the B cell receptor signaling pathway. Front Immunol. 2021;12:689472.
- 17 Teixeira C, Stang SL, Zheng Y, Beswick NS, Stone JC. Integration of DAG signaling systems mediated by PKC-dependent phosphorylation of RasGRP3. Blood. 2003;102(4):1414– 20.
- 18 Wheeler ML, Dong MB, Brink R, Zhong XP, DeFranco AL. Diacylglycerol kinase zeta limits B cell antigen receptor-dependent activation of ERK signaling to inhibit early antibody responses. Sci Signal. 2013;6(297):ra91.
- 19 Imamura Y, Oda A, Katahira T, Bundo K, Pike KA, Ratcliffe MJ, et al. BLNK binds active H-Ras to promote B cell receptor-mediated capping and ERK activation. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284(15):9804–13.

- 20 Tan JE, Wong SC, Gan SK, Xu S, Lam KP. The adaptor protein BLNK is required for b cell antigen receptor-induced activation of nuclear factor-kappa B and cell cycle entry and survival of Blymphocytes. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(23): 20055–63.
- 21 Taguchi T, Kiyokawa N, Takenouch H, Matsui J, Tang WR, Nakajima H, et al. Deficiency of BLNK hampers PLC-gamma2 phosphorylation and Ca2+ influx induced by the pre-B-cell receptor in human pre-B cells. Immunology. 2004;112(4):575–82.
- 22 Baba Y, Hashimoto S, Matsushita M, Watanabe D, Kishimoto T, Kurosaki T, et al. BLNK mediates Syk-dependent Btk activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(5):2582–6.
- 23 Nzeusseu Toukap A, Galant C, Theate I, Maudoux AL, Lories RJ, Houssiau FA, et al. Identification of distinct gene expression profiles in the synovium of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;56(5): 1579–88.
- 24 Garaud JC, Schickel JN, Blaison G, Knapp AM, Dembele D, Ruer-Laventie J, et al. B cell signature during inactive systemic lupus is heterogeneous: toward a biological dissection of lupus. PLoS One. 2011;6(8):e23900.
- 25 Jenks SA, Cashman KS, Zumaquero E, Marigorta UM, Patel AV, Wang X, et al. Distinct effector B cells induced by unregulated toll-like receptor 7 contribute to pathogenic responses in systemic lupus erythematosus. Immunity. 2018;49(4):725–39.e6.
- 26 Akita K, Yasaka K, Shirai T, Ishii T, Harigae H, Fujii H. Interferon alpha enhances B cell activation associated with FOXM1 induction: potential novel therapeutic strategy for targeting the plasmablasts of systemic lupus erythematosus. Front Immunol. 2020;11:498703.
- 27 Becker AM, Dao KH, Han BK, Kornu R, Lakhanpal S, Mobley AB, et al. SLE peripheral blood B cell, T cell and myeloid cell transcriptomes display unique profiles and each subset contributes to the interferon signature. PLoS One. 2013;8(6):e67003.
- 28 Kitaura Y, Jang IK, Wang Y, Han YC, Inazu T, Cadera EJ, et al. Control of the B cell-intrinsic tolerance programs by ubiquitin ligases Cbl and Cbl-b. Immunity. 2007;26(5):567–78.
- 29 Krishnan S, Juang YT, Chowdhury B, Magilavy A, Fisher CU, Nguyen H, et al. Differential expression and molecular associations of Syk in systemic lupus erythematosus T cells. J Immunol. 2008;181(11):8145–52.
- 30 Sinai P, Dozmorov IM, Song R, Schwartzberg PL, Wakeland EK, Wulfing C. T/B-cell interactions are more transient in response to weak stimuli in SLE-prone mice. Eur J Immunol. 2014;44(12):3522–31.
- 31 Zheng F, Xu H, Zhang C, Hong X, Liu D, Tang D, et al. Immune cell and TCR/BCR repertoire profiling in systemic lupus erythematosus patients by single-cell sequencing. Aging. 2021; 13(21):24432–48.
- 32 Wu SF, Chang CB, Hsu JM, Lu MC, Lai NS, Li C, et al. Hydroxychloroquine inhibits CD154 expression in CD4(+) T lymphocytes of sys-

temic lupus erythematosus through NFAT, but not STAT5, signaling. Arthritis Res Ther. 2017;19(1):183.

- 33 Fujii Y, Fujii K, Iwata S, Suzuki K, Azuma T, Saito K, et al. Abnormal intracellular distribution of NFAT1 in T lymphocytes from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and characteristic clinical features. Clin Immunol. 2006;119(3):297–306.
- 34 Han Y, Zeng F, Tan G, Yang C, Tang H, Luo Y, et al. Hydrogen sulfide inhibits abnormal proliferation of lymphocytes via AKT/GSK3β signal pathway in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2013;31(6): 795–804.
- 35 Tang H, Tan G, Guo Q, Pang R, Zeng F. Abnormal activation of the Akt-GSK3beta signaling pathway in peripheral blood T cells from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Cell Cycle. 2009;8(17):2789–93.
- 36 Ji S, Guo Q, Han Y, Tan G, Luo Y, Zeng F. Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation inhibits abnormal activation of Akt/GSK3β signaling pathway in T cells from systemic lupus erythematosus mice. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2012; 29(5–6):705–12.
- 37 Taher TE, Parikh K, Flores-Borja F, Mletzko S, Isenberg DA, Peppelenbosch MP, et al. Protein phosphorylation and kinome profiling reveal altered regulation of multiple signaling pathways in B lymphocytes from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(8):2412–23.
- 38 Xu Y, Xu L, Zhao M, Xu C, Fan Y, Pierce SK, et al. No receptor stands alone: IgG B-cell receptor intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms contribute to antibody memory. Cell Res. 2014;24(6):651–64.
- 39 Wang YF, Zhang Y, Lin Z, Zhang H, Wang TY, Cao Y, et al. Identification of 38 novel loci for systemic lupus erythematosus and genetic heterogeneity between ancestral groups. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):772.
- 40 Chen X, Sun X, Yang W, Yang B, Zhao X, Chen S, et al. An autoimmune disease variant of IgG1 modulates B cell activation and differentiation. Science. 2018;362(6415):700–5.
- 41 Engels N, Konig LM, Schulze W, Radtke D, Vanshylla K, Lutz J, et al. The immunoglobulin tail tyrosine motif upgrades memory-type BCRs by incorporating a Grb2-Btk signalling module. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5456.
- 42 Yang B, Zhang Z, Chen X, Wang XY, Qin S, Du L, et al. An Asia-specific variant of human IgG1 represses colorectal tumorigenesis by shaping the tumor microenvironment. J Clin Invest. 2022;132(6):e153454.
- 43 Pasare C, Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptors: linking innate and adaptive immunity. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2005;560:11–8.
- 44 Kawai T, Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity: update on Toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol. 2010;11(5): 373–84.
- 45 Hua Z, Hou B. TLR signaling in B-cell development and activation. Cell Mol Immunol. 2013; 10(2):103–6.

- 46 Bell JK, Mullen GE, Leifer CA, Mazzoni A, Davies DR, Segal DM. Leucine-rich repeats and pathogen recognition in Toll-like receptors. Trends Immunol. 2003;24(10): 528–33.
- 47 O'Neill LA, Bowie AG. The family of five: TIR-domain-containing adaptors in Toll-like receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol. 2007; 7(5):353–64.
- 48 Sato S, Sanjo H, Takeda K, Ninomiya-Tsuji J, Yamamoto M, Kawai T, et al. Essential function for the kinase TAK1 in innate and adaptive immune responses. Nat Immunol. 2005; 6(11):1087–95.
- 49 Kawai T, Sato S, Ishii KJ, Coban C, Hemmi H, Yamamoto M, et al. Interferon-alpha induction through Toll-like receptors involves a direct interaction of IRF7 with MyD88 and TRAF6. Nat Immunol. 2004;5(10):1061–8.
- 50 Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S, Duncan G, Negishi H, Mizutani T, et al. Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction programme activated by Toll-like receptors. Nature. 2005; 434(7030):243–9.
- 51 Paun A, Reinert JT, Jiang Z, Medin C, Balkhi MY, Fitzgerald KA, et al. Functional characterization of murine interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF-5) and its role in the innate antiviral response. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(21): 14295–308.
- 52 Jackson SW, Scharping NE, Kolhatkar NS, Khim S, Schwartz MA, Li QZ, et al. Opposing impact of B cell-intrinsic TLR7 and TLR9 signals on autoantibody repertoire and systemic inflammation. J Immunol. 2014;192(10): 4525–32.
- 53 Rao H, Zeng Q, Liang Y, Xiao C, Xie S, Xu X. Correlation between TLR9 expression and cytokine secretion in the clinical diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus. Mediators Inflamm. 2015;2015:710720.
- 54 Koarada S, Tada Y, Suematsu R, Soejima S, Inoue H, Ohta A, et al. Phenotyping of P105negative B cell subsets in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Clin Dev Immunol. 2012;2012:198206.
- 55 Koarada S, Tada Y, Ushiyama O, Morito F, Suzuki N, Ohta A, et al. B cells lacking RP105, a novel B cell antigen, in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 1999;42(12): 2593–600.
- 56 Koarada S, Ide M, Haruta Y, Tada Y, Ushiyama O, Morito F, et al. Two cases of antinuclear antibody negative lupus showing increased proportion of B cells lacking RP105. J Rheumatol. 2005;32(3):562–4.
- 57 Ning S, Pagano JS, Barber GN. IRF7: activation, regulation, modification and function. Genes Immun. 2011;12(6):399–414.
- 58 Lin LH, Ling P, Liu MF. The potential role of interferon-regulatory factor 7 among Taiwanese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol. 2011;38(9):1914–9.
- 59 Song S, De S, Nelson V, Chopra S, LaPan M, Kampta K, et al. Inhibition of IRF5 hyperactivation protects from lupus onset and severity. J Clin Invest. 2020;130(12):6700–17.

- 60 Ban T, Kikuchi M, Sato GR, Manabe A, Tagata N, Harita K, et al. Genetic and chemical inhibition of IRF5 suppresses pre-existing mouse lupus-like disease. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1): 4379.
- 61 Lazzari E, Jefferies CA. IRF5-mediated signaling and implications for SLE. Clin Immunol. 2014;153(2):343–52.
- 62 McHugh J. IRF5 inhibitor shows promise in mouse models of SLE. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2020;16(12):667.
- 63 Moreno-Garcia ME, Sommer K, Rincon-Arano H, Brault M, Ninomiya-Tsuji J, Matesic LE, et al. Kinase-independent feedback of the TAK1/ TAB1 complex on BCL10 turnover and NF-κB activation. Mol Cell Biol. 2013;33(6):1149–63.
- 64 Xu YR, Lei CQ. TAK1-TABs complex: a central signalosome in inflammatory responses. Front Immunol. 2020;11:608976.
- 65 Suzawa M, Takada I, Yanagisawa J, Ohtake F, Ogawa S, Yamauchi T, et al. Retraction: Cytokines suppress adipogenesis and PPAR-gamma function through the TAK1/TAB1/NIK cascade. Nat Cell Biol. 2014;16(11):1126.
- 66 Geng L, Li X, Feng X, Zhang J, Wang D, Chen J, et al. Association of TNF-alpha with impaired migration capacity of mesenchymal stem cells in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Immunol Res. 2014;2014:169082.
- 67 Schweighoffer E, Tybulewicz VL. BAFF signaling in health and disease. Curr Opin Immunol. 2021;71:124–31.
- 68 Yoshimoto K, Suzuki K, Takei E, Ikeda Y, Takeuchi T. Elevated expression of BAFF receptor, BR3, on monocytes correlates with B cell activation and clinical features of patients with primary Sjogren's syndrome. Arthritis Res Ther. 2020;22(1):157.
- 69 Khan WN. B cell receptor and BAFF receptor signaling regulation of B cell homeostasis. J Immunol. 2009;183(6):3561–7.
- 70 Jellusova J, Cancro MP, Eibel H. Editorial overview: BAFF family ligands and receptors – a license to live. Curr Opin Immunol. 2021;71:viiix.
- 71 Smulski CR, Eibel H. BAFF and BAFF-receptor in B cell selection and survival. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2285.
- 72 Vincent FB, Saulep-Easton D, Figgett WA, Fairfax KA, Mackay F. The BAFF/APRIL system: emerging functions beyond B cell biology and autoimmunity. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2013;24(3):203–15.
- 73 Nakajima K, Itoh K, Nagatani K, Okawa-Takatsuji M, Fujii T, Kuroki H, et al. Expression of BAFF and BAFF-R in the synovial tissue of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Scand J Rheumatol. 2007;36(5):365–72.
- 74 Paiva C, Rowland TA, Sreekantham B, Godbersen C, Best SR, Kaur P, et al. SYK inhibition thwarts the BAFF: B-cell receptor crosstalk and thereby antagonizes Mcl-1 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Haematologica. 2017;102(11): 1890–900.
- 75 Infantino S, Tarlinton DM. Feeling a little SYK after mixing BAFF with BCR. Immunity. 2013; 38(3):406–8.

- 76 Hobeika E, Levit-Zerdoun E, Anastasopoulou V, Pohlmeyer R, Altmeier S, Alsadeq A, et al. CD19 and BAFF-R can signal to promote B-cell survival in the absence of Syk. EMBO J. 2015; 34(7):925–39.
- 77 Fairfax KA, Tsantikos E, Figgett WA, Vincent FB, Quah PS, LePage M, et al. BAFF-driven autoimmunity requires CD19 expression. J Autoimmun. 2015;62:1–10.
- 78 Sasaki Y, Derudder E, Hobeika E, Pelanda R, Reth M, Rajewsky K, et al. Canonical NF-kappaB activity, dispensable for B cell development, replaces BAFF-receptor signals and promotes B cell proliferation upon activation. Immunity. 2006;24(6):729–39.
- 79 Jellusova J, Miletic AV, Cato MH, Lin WW, Hu Y, Bishop GA, et al. Context-specific BAFF-R signaling by the NF-κB and PI3K pathways. Cell Rep. 2013;5(4):1022–35.
- 80 Wang Y, Jia X, Zhou L, Yin J, Zhao Y, Dai L, et al. Increased let-7b-5p is associated with enhanced BAFF-R expression and B cell survival in immune thrombocytopenia. Int Immunopharmacol. 2021;93:107393.
- 81 Schweighoffer E, Vanes L, Nys J, Cantrell D, McCleary S, Smithers N, et al. The BAFF receptor transduces survival signals by co-opting the B cell receptor signaling pathway. Immunity. 2013;38(3):475–88.
- 82 Otipoby KL, Sasaki Y, Schmidt-Supprian M, Patke A, Gareus R, Pasparakis M, et al. BAFF activates Akt and Erk through BAFF-R in an IKK1-dependent manner in primary mouse B cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(34): 12435–8.
- 83 Shinners NP, Carlesso G, Castro I, Hoek KL, Corn RA, Woodland RT, et al. Bruton's tyrosine kinase mediates NF-kappa B activation and B cell survival by B cell-activating factor receptor of the TNF-R family. J Immunol. 2007; 179(6):3872–80.
- 84 Gardam S, Turner VM, Anderton H, Limaye S, Basten A, Koentgen F, et al. Deletion of cIAP1 and cIAP2 in murine B lymphocytes constitutively activates cell survival pathways and inactivates the germinal center response. Blood. 2011;117(15):4041–51.
- 85 Mockel T, Basta F, Weinmann-Menke J, Schwarting A. B cell activating factor (BAFF): structure, functions, autoimmunity and clinical implications in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE). Autoimmun Rev. 2021;20(2):102736.
- 86 Wang A, Guilpain P, Chong BF, Chouzenoux S, Guillevin L, Du Y, et al. Dysregulated expression of CXCR4/CXCL12 in subsets of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(11):3436–46.
- 87 Robak E, Kulczycka L, Sysa-Jedrzejowska A, Wierzbowska A, Robak T. Circulating proangiogenic molecules PIGF, SDF-1 and sVCAM-1 in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Eur Cytokine Netw. 2007;18(4):181–7.
- 88 Biajoux V, Bignon A, Freitas C, Martinez V, Thelen M, Lima G, et al. Expression of CXCL12 receptors in B cells from Mexican Mestizos patients with systemic Lupus erythematosus. J Transl Med. 2012;10:251.

- 89 Sun XQ, Liu WS, Zhang HM, Xuan CY, Wang Y, Fu BB. TRAF3 plays a role in lupus nephritis by regulating Th17 cell and Treg cell balance as well as NF-kB signaling pathway in mice. Gen Physiol Biophys. 2022;41(2):151– 8.
- 90 Damianidou O, Theotokis P, Grigoriadis N, Petratos S. Novel contributors to B cell activation during inflammatory CNS demyelination; an oNGOing process. Int J Med Sci. 2022;19(1):164–74.
- 91 Freeman CL, Sehn LH. A tale of two antibodies: obinutuzumab versus rituximab. Br J Haematol. 2018;182(1):29–45.
- 92 Zhong Z, Li H, Zhong H, Zhou T. Clinical efficacy and safety of rituximab in lupus nephritis. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2019;13:845– 56.
- 93 Wise LM, Stohl W. Belimumab and rituximab in systemic lupus erythematosus: a tale of two B cell-targeting agents. Front Med. 2020;7:303.
- 94 Tavakolpour S, Alesaeidi S, Darvishi M, GhasemiAdl M, Darabi-Monadi S, Akhlaghdoust M, et al. A comprehensive review of rituximab therapy in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Clin Rheumatol. 2019;38(11):2977– 94.
- 95 Raffray L, Guillevin L. Rituximab treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2020;20(8):899–910.
- 96 Belimumab: anti-BLyS human monoclonal antibody, anti-BLyS monoclonal antibody, BmAb, human monoclonal antibody to Blymphocyte stimulator. Drugs R D. 2008; 9(3):197–202.
- 97 Kowalczyk-Quintas C, Chevalley D, Willen L, Jandus C, Vigolo M, Schneider P. Inhibition of membrane-bound BAFF by the anti-BAFF antibody belimumab. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2698.
- 98 Dubey AK, Handu SS, Dubey S, Sharma P, Sharma KK, Ahmed QM. Belimumab: first targeted biological treatment for systemic lupus erythematosus. J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 2011;2(4):317–9.
- 99 Zhang F, Bae SC, Bass D, Chu M, Egginton S, Gordon D, et al. A pivotal phase III, randomised, placebo-controlled study of belimumab in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus located in China, Japan and South Korea. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77(3):355–63.
- 100 Furie R, Rovin BH, Houssiau F, Malvar A, Teng YKO, Contreras G, et al. Two-year, randomized, controlled trial of belimumab in lupus nephritis. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(12): 1117–28.
- 101 Vincent FB, Morand EF, Schneider P, Mackay F. The BAFF/APRIL system in SLE pathogenesis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2014;10(6):365– 73.
- 102 Shi F, Xue R, Zhou X, Shen P, Wang S, Yang Y. Telitacicept as a BLyS/APRIL dual inhibitor for autoimmune disease. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol. 2021;43(6):666–73.
- 103 Dhillon S. Telitacicept: first approval. Drugs. 2021;81(14):1671–5.