# Visual inspection of cervix with Lugol's iodine for early detection of premalignant & malignant lesions of cervix

P. Ghosh, G. Gandhi, P.K. Kochhar\*, V. Zutshi & S. Batra

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Maulana Azad Medical College & Lok Nayak Hospital, & \*Lady Harding Medical College & Smt Sucheta Kriplani Hospital, New Delhi, India

Received December 23, 2010

*Background & objectives*: Majority of cases of cervical cancer are diagnosed at an advanced stage as cytology based screening programmes are ineffective in developing countries. The present study was done to look for carcinoma cervix and its precursors by visual inspection with Lugol's iodine (VILI), visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) and Papanicolaou smear, and to analyse their sensitivity, specificity and predictive values using colposcopic directed biopsy as reference.

*Methods*: In this cross-sectional study, 350 women were subjected to Pap smear, VIA, VILI and colposcopy. Cervical biopsy and endocervical curettage was taken from patients positive on any of these tests and in 10 per cent of negative cases.

*Results*: The Pap smear was abnormal in 3.71 per cent, including (2.85%), low grade (LSIL) and (0.85%) high grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSIL). Thirteen per cent of the patients were found to be positive by VIA and 11.71 per cent were positive on VILI. Sensitivity for VIA, VILI and Pap smear was 89.5, 100 and 52.6 per cent, respectively, while the specificity for VIA, VILI and Pap smear was 91.2, 93.3 and 99.1 per cent, respectively.

*Interpretation & conclusions*: In low resource settings, cervical cancer screening by Pap smear can be replaced by visual methods like VILI, which has the highest sensitivity (100%) to detect any grade of dysplasia, and a good specificity (93.3%).

Key words Cervical cancer screening - early detection - Lugol's iodine - pap smear - VILI - visual inspection of cervix

Cervical cancer is the commonest malignancy found amongst Indian women and the third most common cancer in the world<sup>1</sup>. Over 5,00,000 new cases of invasive cervical cancer are diagnosed annually worldwide<sup>1,2</sup>. Although the incidence of cervical cancer has decreased in developed countries as a result of screening by cytology, it is still the single largest cause of lives lost to cancer in developing countries as cytology based screening programmes are almost non-existent and ineffective. Given that over 80 per cent of the population lives in rural areas, screening programmes need to work within this sector.

Visual methods like visual inspection of cervix with Lugol's iodine (VILI) are alternative screening modalities with the advantage that the results are immediately available and one can apply "see and treat" policy in suitable cases. However, whether VILI alone can be used for early detection of premalignant cervical lesions in low resource settings is yet to be determined.

The present study was aimed to assess the sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of VILI as a tool for early detection of premalignant and malignant cervical lesions in low resource settings using colposcopy directed biopsy as gold standard. Visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) and Papanicollaou smear were also tested.

### **Material & Methods**

This was a cross-sectional study carried out in the Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology of Lok Nayak Hospital, a tertiary level hospital in New Delhi, India, from January 2008 to February 2009. A total of 350 women were recruited from the gynaecologic outpatient department randomly. Pregnant women, women with active bleeding per vaginum, frank growth on cervix, post-hysterectomy patients, and women who had never been sexually active or had undergone prior treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) or cancer cervix were excluded from the study.

Ethical approval of the study protocol was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi. After taking an informed consent from all women included, Pap smear was taken and visual inspection was done with 5 per cent acetic acid (VIA). Appearance of any distinct acetowhite areas in the transformation zone was considered as VIA positive. After 1-2 min of VIA, normal saline was applied to cervix and visual inspection after application of Lugol's iodine (VILI) was performed. Iodine uptake was noted as brown (positive uptake), yellow brown (partial uptake) and mustard yellow (no uptake). Areas of no uptake were considered as VILI positive while areas of partial uptake and positive uptake were considered as VILI negative. This was followed by colposcopy performed by the same observer in all cases after one hour or in the next visit.

Cervical biopsy and endocervical curettage (ECC) was taken from patients positive on any of these tests (n=58) and in 10 per cent of negative cases. Biopsies revealing CIN1 or worse lesions were considered as positive.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of the various tests described were

analysed using colposcopic directed biopsy as the reference standard.

## Results

Mean age of the patients was  $34.1 \pm 9.2$  yr (range: 25-39 yr) and mean parity was  $2.4 \pm 0.9$  (range: 0-5). The corresponding figures for patients with CIN were  $33.4 \pm 7.2$  yr (range: 25-39 yr) and  $2.9 \pm 0.8$  (range: 0-5).

The commonest presenting complaint was vaginal discharge (in 317/350; n=91% of the patients). Other presenting complaints were postcoital (17/350), intermenstrual (4/350), or postmenopausal (1/350), bleeding (5, 1 and 0.3%, respectively), pain lower abdomen (8/350; 2%) and pruritis vulvae (3/350; 0.8%). The commonest finding on per speculum examination was a normal looking cervix (seen in 155/350; 44.3% of patients) and the most common abnormality was an ectopy (89/350; 25.4%). However, in the CIN group, only 15.7 per cent (3/19) patients had a normal looking cervix; majority of patients (11/19; 57.9%) had an unhealthy cervix and 15.8 per cent (3/19) had a suspicious looking cervix.

Pap smear was positive (ASCUS or worse) in 13 cases (3.71%), including 10 cases of LSIL and three of HSIL. Eight of the 38 cases reported as inflammatory smears were under-diagnosed by Pap smear (Table I). The cases missed by Pap smear were five cases of CIN1, three of CIN2 and one of invasive cancer. Thus, the sensitivity of Pap smear for detecting all grades of CIN was found to be 52.6 per cent with a high false negative rate of 47.3 per cent. The specificity was high at 99.1 per cent (Table IIa). The sensitivity to detect higher grade lesions was 33.3 per cent, while the specificity was 96.8 per cent.

On visual inspection of cervix with 5 per cent acetic acid, 46 (13.14%) cases were VIA positive. Of these, 29 (62.8%) had benign histopathological changes on biopsy; 11 (23.9%) VIA positive cases had CIN1, four (8.6%) had CIN2, one case (2.0%) had CIN3 and one had invasive carcinoma. Of the 19 biopsy positive cases for CIN1 or worse, 17 were detected by a positive VIA test. Thus, VIA had a sensitivity and specificity of 89.5 and 91.2 per cent, respectively and a low false negative rate of 10.5 per cent (Table IIb). No case of CIN2 or CIN3 was missed by VIA. However, two cases which were recorded as VIA negative (because there was no distinct acetowhite area and an impression of metaplasia was made), were biopsied because of VILI positivity and found to have CIN1.

Pap smear				Biopsy			
	Metaplasia	Cervicitis	CIN1	CIN2	CIN3	Invasive carcinoma	Total
Normal	0	6	1	0	0	0	7
Inflammatory	1	29	4	3	0	1	38
LSIL	0	2	6	0	0	0	8
LSIL +HPV	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
HSIL	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
HSIL+HPV	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Malignant cells	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total (%)	1 (1.7)	38 (65.5)	13 (22.4)	4 (6.8)	1 (1.7)	1 (1.7)	58
Visual methods							
VIA+ VILI+	1	16	11	4	1	1	34
VIA+ VILI-	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
VIA- VILI+	0	5	2	0	0	0	7
VIA-VILI-	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
Total	1	38	13	4	1	1	58

LSIL & HSIL, low and high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; HPV, human papilloma virus; VIA & VILI, visual inspection with acetic acid & Lugol's iodine; CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia

<b>Table IIa.</b> Evaluation of Pap smear for CIN (n=58) comparedto cervical biopsy & endocervical curettage (ECC)						
Pap smear	Biopsy/ ECC					
	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	10	3	13			
Negative	9	328	337			
Total 19 331 350						
Sensitivity : 10/19 = 52.63%; Specificity : 328/331 = 99.09%; PPV : 10/13 = 76.92%; NPV : 328/337 = 97.32%; Percentage						

PPV: 10/13 = 76.92%; NPV: 328/337 = 97.32%; Percentage of false negatives : 9/19 = 47.3%; Percentage of false positives : 3/331 = 0.9%; Accuracy : 338/350 = 96.57%

Forty one patients (11.71%) were VILI positive. All the biopsy positive cases (CIN1 or worse) were VILI positive (Table I). Of the 41 VILI positive cases, 13 were CIN1, four were CIN2, one was CIN3 and one had invasive carcinoma. The other 22 cases were benign on histopathology by biopsy, giving a positive predictive value of 46.3 per cent. For detecting all grades of CIN, VILI had a high sensitivity of 100.0 per cent and a specificity of 93.35 per cent (Table IIc).

All 350 patients underwent colposcopic examination. Majority of the patients (n=326, 93.14%) had a Reid index of 0-2, while 23 (6.5%) had a Reid index of

<b>Table IIb.</b> Evaluation of VIA for CIN (n=58) compared tocervical biopsy & endocervical curettage (ECC)						
VIA	Biopsy/ ECC					
	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	17	29	46			
Negative	2	302	304			
Total	19	331	350			
Sensitivity : $17/19 = 89.47\%$ ; Specificity : $302/331 = 91.23\%$ ; Positive predictive value : $17/46 = 36.95\%$ ; Negative predictive value : $302/304 = 99.34\%$ ; Percentage of false negatives : $2/19 = 10.52\%$ ; Percentage of false positives : $29/331 = 8.76\%$ ; Accuracy : $319/350 = 91.14\%$						

3-5 and only one patient had Reid index = 6. Considering a Reid index >3 as abnormal, test characteristics were calculated keeping biopsy as reference standard. The sensitivity of colposcopic examination was found to be 84.2 per cent, while the specificity was 97.6 per cent. The negative predictive value was 99.07 per cent and the accuracy of the test was 96.8 per cent (Table IId). The comparative analysis of VIA, VILI, Pap smear and colposcopy is shown in Table III.

## Combination of various modalities

VIA + VILI: The sensitivity of the combined test was 89.47 per cent (identical to that of VIA alone, but

VILI	Biopsy/ ECC					
	Positive	Negative	Total			
Positive	19	22	41			
Negative	0	309	309			
Total	19	331	350			
Sensitivity : 19/19 =100%; Specificity : 309/331 = 93.35%. PPV : 19/41 = 46.34 %; NPV : 309/309= 100%; Percentage of false negatives : 0%; Percentage of false positives : 22/331 = 6.64%; Accuracy : 328/350 = 93.7%						

lesser than that of VILI). The specificity of combined test was 93.17 per cent, which was greater than VIA alone but comparable to VILI. Thus, VILI can improve the specificity of VIA when used in combination.

VIA + Pap smear: When VIA was combined with Pap smear, the sensitivity was 47.36 per cent and the specificity was 99.09 per cent (markedly better than VIA alone). Therefore, combining Pap smear to VIA increased the specificity and helped in decreasing the number of biopsies required.

VILI + Pap smear: When VILI was combined with Pap smear, the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values were 52.63, 99.09 and 77.0 per cent, respectively. The increase in sensitivity over the combination of VIA and Pap smear was not statistically significant. This combination also helped in decreasing unnecessary biopsies based on either test alone.

VIA + VILI + Pap smear: A combination of all the 3 tests *i.e.* VIA, VILI and Pap smear had the same test results as that of combination of VIA and Pap smear.

Reid score	Biopsy/ ECC				
_	Positive	Negative	Total		
Positive $\geq 3$	16	8	24		
Negative 0-2	3	323	326		
Total	19	331	350		
Sensitivity : $16/19 = 84.21\%$ ; Specificity : $323/331 = 97.58\%$ ; PPV = $16/24 = 66.6\%$ ; NPV = $323/326 = 99.07\%$ ; Percentage of false negatives : $3/19 = 15.7\%$ ; Percentage of false positives : $8/331 = 2.41\%$ ; Accuracy : $339/350 = 96.85\%$					

#### Discussion

Cervical cancer is a potentially preventable cancer. It is preceded by premalignant lesions which may take 5-15 ys to progress to invasive cancer. If detected and treated timely, pre-invasive disease has nearly 100 per cent cure rate with simple surgical procedure, while advanced cancers have less than 35 per cent survival rates<sup>3</sup>. However, in developing countries like India, universal screening has not been achieved. The main screening method (Pap smear) is available to a small percentage of population. Cytology based screening programmes are difficult to organize owing to limited infrastructure, trained personnel and funds<sup>4</sup>. It has been estimated that in India, even with a major effort to expand cytology services, it will not be possible to screen even one-fourth of the population once in a lifetime<sup>5</sup>. Moreover, screening programmes in India are mostly institution based and are restricted to urban centres<sup>6</sup>. Thus, in developing countries, there is a need for alternative strategies for early detection of premalignant cervical lesions.

Modality	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)	False negatives (%)	False positives (%)	Accuracy (%)
Paps smear (all grade)	52.6	99.1	76.9	97.3	47.3	0.9	96.5
(high grade)	33.3	96.8	15.4	98.8	66.6	3.2	95.7
VIA (all grade)	89.5	91.2	36.9	99.3	10.5	8.7	91.1
(high grade)	100.0	88.3	13.0	100.0	0	11.6	88.5
VILI (all grade)	100.0	93.3	46.3	100.0	0	6.6	93.7
(high grade)	100.0	89.8	14.6	100.0	0	11.3	90.0
Colposcopy	84.2	97.6	66.6	99.1	15.7	2.4	96.8

In the current study, women from all the age groups were included. A large study done by Luthra *et al*<sup>7</sup> revealed mean ages for mild, moderate and severe dysplasia to be 33.8, 35.2 and 40.2 years. In another study<sup>8</sup>, the average age of mild dysplasia was 31.4 years, severe dysplasia 34.3, carcinoma *in situ* 34.7 and invasive carcinoma 42.2 years. Therefore, screening for cervical carcinoma should start ideally at the onset of sexual activity and all women should be screened at least once by the age of 30-35 yr. Since visibility of the squamo-columnar junction is age related, a higher proportion of VIA-positive women are of lower age compared to women testing positive on cytology or HPV testing<sup>9</sup>.

The occurrence of abnormal smears was 3.7 per cent in our study. This correlated well with the 1.5 to 5.4 per cent reported in previous Indian studies<sup>7,10</sup>. With CIN1 as cut-off, the sensitivity of Pap smear ranged from 40 to 77 per cent and for CIN2 as cutoff, the sensitivity ranges from 33 to 86 per cent (Table IV). This low sensitivity results in a high false negative rate ranging from 6-55 per cent<sup>24,25</sup>. The sensitivity of Pap smear has been found to be lower in developing countries, probably due to the large percentage of inflammatory smears which may mask mild dysplasia. A multi-centric study in India, evaluating the accuracy of conventional cytology, found sensitivity to vary from 37.8-81.3 per cent for ASCUS, 28.9-76.9 per cent for LSIL and 24.4-72.3 per cent for HSIL, between the centres<sup>26</sup>.

Pap smear has various limitations besides low sensitivity. These include the need for repeated visits for screening, collection of report, evaluation of abnormal results and treatment, and requirement for laboratory infrastructure, highly trained cytopathologists and staff for large scale screening. This hinders the use of Pap smear on a large scale in low resource settings. This has led to the need for alternate methods which are cheap, easy to perform, can be done by paramedical workers and give immediate results.

Unaided visual inspection of cervix (downstaging) to identify cases suspicious for precancer and cancer has low positive predictive value  $(2-6\%)^{27}$ . Luthra *et al*<sup>7</sup> found that nearly 44 per cent dysplasias, 13.6 per cent carcinoma *in situ* and 4.3 per cent of invasive carcinomas may be found in an apparently normal looking cervix. However, in cases of higher grade dysplasias and malignancy there is higher percentage of clinically abnormal cervix<sup>28</sup>. The same was observed in our study. Acetowhite areas delineated on VIA may

Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 1+)   Sensitivity (%) Specificity (%)   Denny et al <sup>11</sup> 40 96   Cuzick et al <sup>12</sup> 77 96   Goel et al <sup>10</sup> 50 97   Doh et al <sup>13</sup> 48 94   Present Study 53 99   Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 2+) VIA 86   Cuzick et al <sup>14</sup> 86 98   Ratnam et al <sup>15</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan efs 92 92   et al <sup>9</sup> 33 97   VIA VIA 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>16</sup> 70 79   Openny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 92   Present study 33 97	Table IV. Sensitivity inspection with acetic a	* *	* ·				
Denny et $al^{11}$ 4096Cuzick et $al^{12}$ 7796Goel et $al^{10}$ 5097Doh et $al^{13}$ 4894Present Study5399Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 2+)ValueCuzick et $al^{14}$ 8698Ratnam et $al^{15}$ 5662Denny et $al^{16}$ 7085Petry et $al^{17}$ 4498Sankaranarayanan6592et $al^9$ 97VIAVIA70Cronje et $al^{18}$ 7949	Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 1+)						
Cuzick et al <sup>12</sup> 77 96   Goel et al <sup>10</sup> 50 97   Doh et al <sup>13</sup> 48 94   Present Study 53 99   Paps smear (Histolog: cut-off: CIN 2+)   Cuzick et al <sup>14</sup> 86 98   Ratnam et al <sup>15</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan 65 92   et al <sup>9</sup> 33 97   VIA   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79 49		Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)				
Goel et al <sup>10</sup> 50 97   Doh et al <sup>13</sup> 48 94   Present Study 53 99   Paps smear (Histologet cut-off: CIN 2+) 98   Cuzick et al <sup>14</sup> 86 98   Ratnam et al <sup>15</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan 65 92   Present study 33 97   VIA 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 70 79   99 79 49	Denny <i>et al</i> <sup>11</sup>	40	96				
Doh et $al^{13}$ 4894Present Study5399Paps smear (Histologet cut-off: CIN 2+)Paps smear (Histologet cut-off: CIN 2+)Cuzick et $al^{14}$ 8698Ratnam et $al^{15}$ 5662Denny et $al^{16}$ 7085Petry et $al^{17}$ 4498Sankaranarayanan6592et $al^9$ 3397VIAVIA7079Cronje et $al^{18}$ 7949	Cuzick et al <sup>12</sup>	77	96				
Present Study5399Paps smear (Histolog:Lut-off: CIN 2+)Cuzick et $al^{14}$ 8698Ratnam et $al^{15}$ 5662Denny et $al^{16}$ 7085Petry et $al^{17}$ 4498Sankaranarayanan et $al^9$ 6592Present study3397VIAVIA7079Cronje et $al^{18}$ 7949	Goel <i>et al</i> <sup>10</sup>	50	97				
Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 2+)   Cuzick et al <sup>14</sup> 86 98   Ratnam et al <sup>15</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan 65 92   et al <sup>9</sup> 97   VIA 98   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79 49	Doh <i>et al</i> <sup>13</sup>	48	94				
Cuzick et al <sup>14</sup> 86 98   Ratnam et al <sup>15</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan efs 92   et al <sup>9</sup> 33 97   VIA 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79 49	Present Study	53	99				
Ratnam et al <sup>115</sup> 56 62   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan et al <sup>9</sup> 65 92   Present study 33 97   VIA 50 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79 49	Paps smear (Histological cut-off: CIN 2+)						
Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 85   Petry et al <sup>17</sup> 44 98   Sankaranarayanan 65 92   et al <sup>9</sup> 33 97   VIA 50 70   Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70 79   Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79 49	Cuzick <i>et al</i> <sup>14</sup>	86	98				
Petry et al174498Sankaranarayanan et al96592Present study3397VIA7079Cronje et al187949	Ratnam <i>et al</i> <sup>15</sup>	56	62				
Sankaranarayanan et al <sup>9</sup> 6592Present study3397VIA92Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 7079Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 7949	Denny <i>et al</i> <sup>16</sup>	70	85				
et al9Present study3397VIA9797Denny et al167079Cronje et al187949	Petry <i>et al</i> <sup>17</sup>	44	98				
VIA   70   79     Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70   79     Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79   49	-	65	92				
Denny et al <sup>16</sup> 70   79     Cronje et al <sup>18</sup> 79   49	Present study	33	97				
$Cronje et al^{18}   79   49$	VIA						
-	Denny <i>et al</i> <sup>16</sup>	70	79				
Sankaranarayanan 77 86	Cronje <i>et al</i> <sup>18</sup>	79	49				
et al <sup>9</sup>	Sankaranarayanan <i>et al</i> 9	77	86				
Goel <i>et al</i> <sup>10</sup> 96 36	Goel <i>et al</i> <sup>10</sup>	96	36				
Present study 89 91	Present study	89	91				
VILI	VILI						
Arbyn <i>et al</i> <sup>19</sup> 91.2 84.5	Arbyn <i>et al</i> <sup>19</sup>	91.2	84.5				
Sarian <i>et al</i> <sup>20</sup> 56.7 77.9	Sarian <i>et al</i> <sup>20</sup>	56.7	77.9				
Denny <i>et al</i> <sup>16</sup> 92.0 85.0	Denny <i>et al</i> <sup>16</sup>	92.0	85.0				
Shastri <i>et al</i> <sup>4</sup> 75.4 84.3	Shastri et al <sup>4</sup>	75.4	84.3				
Lugoma <i>et al</i> <sup>21</sup> 68.3 76.2	Lugoma et al <sup>21</sup>	68.3	76.2				
Present study 100.0 93.3	Present study	100.0	93.3				
Colposcopy	Colposcopy						
Mitchell <i>et al</i> <sup>22</sup> 85 69 (Cut-off: CIN 1+)	Mitchell <i>et al</i> <sup>22</sup>	85	69 (Cut-off: CIN 1+)				
		96	48 (Cut-off: CIN				
Pretorius <i>et al</i> <sup>23</sup> 62.4   93.7 (Cut-off: CIN 2+)	Pretorius <i>et al</i> <sup>23</sup>	62.4					
Goel <i>et al</i> <sup>10</sup> 100 96.9 (Cut-off: CIN 1+)	Goel <i>et al</i> <sup>10</sup>	100					
Present study 84.2 97.6 (Cut-off: CIN 1+)	Present study	84.2					

Table IV. Sensitivity & specificity of Pap smear, visual

represent cervicitis, HPV infection, premalignant or malignant lesions. The prevalence of VIA positive cases has been reported to vary from 12.5 to 53.0 per cent<sup>9,10,16,18</sup>. This wide variation is because of different

criteria used to specify test positivity. The VIA positive rate in our study was similar to other Indian studies (12 to 16%)<sup>9,10</sup>.

VIA is more sensitive than conventional cytology in detecting intraepithelial lesions, though it has a lower specificity. The sensitivity and specificity of VIA in our study was comparable to that of other studies (Table IV). The large variation in these results indicates that several variables affect the test characteristics of visual inspection with acetic acid. These are observer training, criteria for test positivity, inter-observer variation, light source, presence of co-existing infection, inflammation and metaplasia.

Iodine negative areas include columnar epithelium (lacking glycogen), patchy uptake peripheral areas due to cervicitis, and immature metaplasia. These can be mistaken by inexperienced workers as VILI positive. Mustard yellow areas with distinct borders suggest more severe disease. The colour changes with VILI are more easy to appreciate than the changes after VIA<sup>20,29</sup>.

Advantages of VILI are that it is easily available, can be easily performed by paramedical workers and doctors, test results are immediately available and hence "see and treat" policy can be used, low cost, need not be freshly prepared (as compared to acetic acid), and longer shelf life (1 month) as compared to acetic acid. In the present study, results of VILI positive CIN1 and CIN2 cases corroborated well with other studies, while the percentage of VILI positive cases which turned out to be benign on histopathology was lower<sup>20,21</sup>. This low test positivity could be due to the fact that only mustard vellow areas were taken as VILI positive, and partial iodine uptake was considered as VILI negative. The visual impression in VILI negative cases was based on the charts published by IARC<sup>27</sup>. In a study conducted in 11 centres in India and Africa, VILI had a greater sensitivity (91%) compared to VIA (75%)<sup>30</sup>. In another study conducted at Mumbai4, sensitivity of VILI (75.4%) was higher, though not statistically significant, compared to VIA (59.7%), visual inspection of cervix with acetic acid under magnification (VIAM) (64.9%), HPV (62%) and cytology (57.4%). The specificities were 84.3 per cent for VILI, 88.4 per cent for VIA, 86.3 per cent for VIAM, 93.5 per cent for HPV and 98.6 per cent for cytology<sup>4</sup>. Among the visual tests assessed, VILI seemed to be particularly promising, detecting 75 per cent of all cases of HSIL compared to VIA and VIAM, which detected less than two-thirds

of cases. Another advantage of visual techniques is a very high negative predictive value (>99%). A woman negative by VIA/VILI need not further undergo any investigation. However, these women are advised to undergo a VIA or VILI after a minimum interval of 3 years. Only 10-15 per cent women who are test positive with visual techniques require further evaluation<sup>5</sup>.

In conclusion, in low resource settings, screening of carcinoma cervix by Pap smear can be replaced by cheaper and easily available visual methods like VILI, which has the high sensitivity to detect any grade of dysplasia, with a reasonable specificity. Even when screening with Pap smear is available, it should be combined with visual screening methods like VILI, as many cases of CIN missed by Pap smear were picked up by the visual tests, and combined testing reduced the number of biopsies taken based on either test alone.

#### References

- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 2010; *127*: 2893-917.
- World Health Organization. Comprehensive cervical cancer control: A guide to essential practice. Geneva: WHO; 2006. p. 13-23.
- 3. Dinshaw KA, Shastri SS. Screening for cervical cancer in India. *Natl Med J India* 2001; *14* : 1-3.
- Shastri SS, Dinshaw K, Amin G, Goswami S, Patil S, Chinoy R, *et al.* Concurrent evaluation of visual, cytological and HPV testing as screening methods for early detection of cervical neoplasia in Mumbai, India. *Bull World Health Organ* 2005; *83*: 186-94.
- Juneja A, Sehgal A, Sharma S, Pandey A. Cervical cancer screening in India: Strategies revisited. *Indian J Med Sci* 2007; 61: 34-47.
- Misra JS, Gupta HP, Das V. Assessing the feasibility of single lifetime PAP smear evaluation between 41-50 years of age as strategy for cervical cancer control in developing countries from our 32 years of experience of hospital-based routine cytological screening. *Diagn Cytopathol* 2004; *31*: 376-9.
- Luthra UK, Prabhakar AK, Seth P, Agarwal SS, Murthy NS, Bhatnagar P, *et al.* Natural history of precancerous and early cancerous lesions of the uterine cervix. *Acta Cytol* 1987; *31*: 226-34.
- Bhaskaran CS, Bhagyalakshmi M, Rani LU. Premalignant and malignant lesions of cervix. *Indian J Med Res* 1978; 67 : 97-105.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Chatterji R, Shastri SS, Wesley RS, Basu P, Mahe C, *et al.* Accuracy of human papillomavirus testing in primary screening of cervical neoplasia: Results from a multicentre study in India. *Int J Cancer* 2004; *112*: 341-7.
- Goel A, Gandhi G, Batra S, Bhambhani S, Zutshi V, Sachdeva P. Visual inspection of the cervix with acetic acid for cervical intraepithelial lesions. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2005; 88 : 25-30.

- Denny L, Kuhn L, Pollack A, Wright TC. Direct visual inspection for cervical cancer screening: An analysis of factors influencing test performance. *Cancer* 2002; 94 : 1699-707.
- Cuzick J, Szarewski A, Cubie H, Hulman G, Kitchener S, Luesley D, *et al.* Management of women who test positive for high-risk types of human Papillomavirus: the HART study. *Lancet* 2003; *362*: 1871-6.
- Doh AS, Nkele NN, Achu P, Essimbi F, Essame O, Nkegoum B. Visual inspection with acetic acid and cytology as screening methods for cervical lesions in Cameroon. *Int J Gynaecol Cancer* 2005; 89 : 167-73.
- Cuzick J, Beverly E, Ho L, Teery G, Sapper H, Meilzynska I, et al. HPV testing in primary screening of older women. Br J Cancer 1999; 81: 554-8.
- 15. Ratnam S, Franco EL, Ferenczy A. Human papillomavirus testing for primary screening of cervical cancer precursors. *Cancer Epideimiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000; *9* : 945-51.
- Denny L, Kuhn L, Pollack A, Wainwright H, Wright TC. Evaluation of alternative methods of cervical cancer screening for resource-poor settings. *Cancer* 2000; 89: 826-33.
- Petry KU, Menton S, Menton M, Van Loenen-Frosch F, de Carvalho Gomes H, *et al.* Inclusion of HPV testing in routine cervical cancer screening for women above 29 years in Germany: results for 8466 patients. *Br J Cancer* 2003; *88* : 1570-7.
- Cronje HS, Parham GP, Cooreman BF, de Beer A, Divall P, Bam RH. A comparision of four screening methods for cervical neoplasia in a developing country. *Am J Obstet Gynaecol* 2003; *188*: 395-400.
- Arbyn M, Sankaranarayanan R, Muwonge R, Keita N, Dolo A, Gombe C, *et al.* Pooled analysis of the accuracy of five cervical cancer screening tests assessed in eleven studies in Africa and India. *Int J Cancer* 2008: *123*: 153-60.
- Sarian LO, Derchain SF, Naud P, Rateli-martins C, Longattofilho A. Evaluation of visual inspection with acetic acid, Lugol's iodine, cervical cytology and HPV testing as cervical

cancer screening tools in Latin America. J Med Screen 2005; 12: 142-9.

- Lugoma GS, Mahmud S, Samih H, Liaras J. Visual inspection as a cervical cancer screening method in a primary health care setting in Africa. *Int J Cancer* 2006; *119*: 1389-95.
- Mitchell MF, Schottenfeld D, Tortolero-Luna G, Cantor SB, Richards-Kortum R. Colposcopy for the diagnosis of squamous intraepithelial lesions: a meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol* 1998; 91: 626-31.
- Pretorius RG, Zhang WH, Belinson JL, Huang MN, Wu LY, Zhang X, *et al.* Colposcopically directed biopsy, random cervical biopsy and endocervical curettage in the diagnosis of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia II or worse. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004; *191*: 430-4.
- Coppleson LW, Brown B. Estimation of screening error for observed detection rates in repeated cervical cytology. *Am J Obstet Gynaecol* 1974; *119* : 953-8.
- Fahey MT, Irwig L, Macaskill P. Meta-analysis of Pap test accuracy. Am J Epidemiol 1995; 141: 680-9.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Thara S, Sharma A, Roy C, Shastri S, Mahe C, *et al.* Accuracy of conventional cytology: Results from a multicentre screening study in India. *J Med Screen* 2004; *11* : 77-84.
- 27. IARC handbooks of cancer prevention: Cervix cancer screening. Lyon, France: IARC Press; 2005.
- Singh V, Sehgal A, Luthra UK. Screening for cervical cancer by direct inspection. *BMJ* 1992; 304 : 534-5.
- Bhatla N, Mukhopadhyay A, Joshi S, Kumar A, Pandey RM, Verma K. Visual screening for cervical cancer screening: Evaluation by doctor versus paramedical worker. *Indian J Cancer* 2004; *41* : 32-6.
- 30. Sankaranarayanan R, Basu P, Wesley RS, Mahe C, Keita N, Mbalawa CC, *et al.* IARC Multicentre Study Group on Cervical Cancer Early Detection. Accuracy of visual screening for cervical neoplasia: Results from an IARC multicentre study in India and Africa. *Int J Cancer* 2004; *110* : 907-13.

Reprint requests: Dr Puneet K. Kochhar, F-3/17 Model Town-II, New Delhi 110 009, India e-mail: drpuneet.k20@gmail.com