#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yumei Zhang, Peking University, China

REVIEWED BY Tudor Lucian Pop, Iuliu Haţieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania Tongyan Han,

Peking University Third Hospital, China \*CORRESPONDENCE

Jacek Piatek drpiatek@interia.eu

## SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 26 June 2022 ACCEPTED 02 September 2022 PUBLISHED 29 September 2022

#### CITATION

Sommermeyer H, Bernatek M, Pszczola M, Krauss H and Piatek J (2022) Supporting the diagnosis of infantile colic by a point of care measurement of fecal calprotectin. *Front. Pediatr.* 10:978545. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.978545

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Sommermeyer, Bernatek, Pszczola, Krauss and Piatek. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Supporting the diagnosis of infantile colic by a point of care measurement of fecal calprotectin

# Henning Sommermeyer<sup>1</sup>, Malgorzata Bernatek<sup>1</sup>, Marcin Pszczola<sup>2</sup>, Hanna Krauss<sup>1</sup> and Jacek Piatek<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Sciences, Calisia University, Kalisz, Poland, <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetic and Animal Breeding, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Poznan University of Life Sciences, Poznan, Poland

**Background:** Infantile colic (IC) is a condition characterized by extensive crying which affects about 20% of all infants during their first months of life. Most pediatricians diagnose IC only based on their clinical experience.

**Aim:** Investigating if a measurement of fecal calprotectin can support the diagnosis of IC.

**Methods:** The crying behavior of newborns was assessed using the Wessel's criteria. Fecal calprotectin levels were measured in non-colicky and colicky babies using a standard test that can be used at the time and place of patient care (point of care (PoC) measurement).

**Results:** Colicky babies were found to have significantly elevated fecal calprotectin levels. Calprotectin levels were not influenced by gender, type of feeding, gestation age or birth weight. However, significantly elevated fecal calprotectin levels were found in cesarean section born babies. Fecal calprotectin  $\geq 100 \,\mu$ g/g correlated with a colicky status of an infant while those  $<100 \,\mu$ g/g indicated a non-colicky status the error margin was 11.2 and 13.2%, respectively. Combining data of fecal calprotectin with information about the type of delivery made it possible to determine the colicky status in vaginally-born infants with fecal calprotectin  $\geq 100 \,\mu$ g/g with an accuracy of 97.8%. As elevated fecal calprotectin levels in cesarean-born infants can be caused by IC, but also by the disturbed gut microbiota commonly found in these babies, the accuracy of diagnosing the colicky status of a cesarean-born infant with calprotectin levels  $\geq 100 \,\mu$ g/g was less accurate (accuracy rate of 76.5%).

**Conclusion:** Data from the study suggest that measuring fecal calprotectin should be considered by pediatricians to support the diagnosis of IC. The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under NCT04666324.

#### KEYWORDS

colicky babies, gut microbiota, cesarean section, fecal calprotectin (FC), gut inflammation, infantile colic, point of care diagnostic, vaginal birth

# Introduction

Infants with IC exhibit prolonged duration of inconsolable crying or fussing without a clear identifiable organic cause. It is a benign condition which peaks when the infant is around 6 weeks of age and in most cases resolves by 3-6 months of age (1). IC is causing significant stress for parents and has been identified as a risk-factor for (i) maternal depression (2), (ii) early termination of breastfeeding (3) and (iii) shaken baby syndrome (4). Reported occurrence rates vary widely (3-40%), which might be related to the utilization of different diagnostic criteria employed in the respective studies (5). In 1954, Wessel et al. (6) defined colicky babies as those crying or fussing for more than 3 h a day, for more than 3 days per week, for more than 3 weeks (Wessel's rule of three). Asking parents to wait for 3 weeks until it is possible to establish a diagnosis was found to be impractical, which resulted in a set of modified Wessel criteria, in which the duration of symptoms was reduced to 1 week (7, 8). As Wessel's rule of three and the modified Wessel criteria failed to address the benign character of IC, it was soon felt that it had to be classified as a functional gastrointestinal disorder (FGID) under the Rome diagnostic criteria (Rome III criteria) (9). FGID comprise chronic or recurrent symptoms that occur in the absence of any anatomic abnormality, inflammation or tissue damage. The Rome III criteria for IC applies to newborns from birth to 4 months of age and must include paroxysms of irritability, fussing/crying that starts and stops without any obvious cause, with episodes lasting three or more hours a day, occurring 3 days a week, for at least 1 week and no failure to thrive. However, the Rome III criteria were also identified as not overly useful in clinical practice as the 3h cut-off value for crying or fussing was arbitrary. Moreover, reporting of the infant's crying by the use of crying diaries was found to be challenging for parents and often biased by the stress level of the reporting parent. In 2017, the Rome IV criteria were published, providing diagnostic criteria for clinical purposes which were complemented by additional criteria for research purposes (10). The Rome IV criteria are "An infant who is <5 months of age when symptoms start and stop, recurrent and prolonged periods of infant crying, fussing or irritability reported by caregivers that occur without any obvious cause and cannot be prevented or resolved by caregivers; no evidence of infant failure to thrive, fever or illness". Despite all these developments in the area of IC diagnosis, none of the diagnostic criteria described above have established themselves as a gold standard in clinical practice. In daily life it is impracticable for parents to assess and document crying duration for longer time periods using detailed diaries (5, 11). In a recent survey performed among Turkish pediatricians the vast majority of participants stated that they mostly diagnosed IC based on their clinical experience without the use of strict diagnostic criteria (12).

The etiology of IC is still not fully understood; however, a growing number of studies have identified a dysbiosis of

the gut microbiota as a potential cause for the condition (13). Studies comparing the gut microbiota of colicky babies with those of age-matched non-colicky babies identified significant differences (14, 15). In infants with IC, the bacterial colonization of the gut has been found to be retarded, the diversity of bacterial strains to be limited and the stability of the composition to be reduced. That a disturbed gut microbiota might be involved in IC is also supported by studies demonstrating that the supplementation of the gut microbiota by products containing bacterial probiotics is improving the crying behavior of colicky babies (16, 17).

It has been shown that the dysbiosis found in infants with colic is associated with low-grade systemic inflammation characterized by increased serum concentrations of interleukin-8, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and macrophage inflammatory protein 1 $\beta$  when compared to non-colicky infants (18). A recent meta-analysis came to the conclusion that the efficacy of probiotics in managing IC is related to their anti-inflammatory properties (19).

An established non-serum marker for gut inflammation is fecal calprotectin (20). Calprotectin is a calcium- and zincbinding protein mainly found within neutrophils. The presence of calprotectin in feces is a consequence of neutrophil migration into the gastrointestinal tissue due to inflammatory processes. Fecal calprotectin concentration demonstrates good correlation with intestinal inflammation and is commonly used as a biomarker for gastrointestinal disorders. It has been found that fecal calprotectin is elevated in colicky babies (21) and that treatment with products containing bacterial probiotics which improves the crying behavior in colicky babies is also lowering fecal calprotectin (22, 23).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate if measuring of fecal calprotectin can contribute to the diagnosis of IC. For this purpose, infants were evaluated for IC by using the Wessel criteria and their level of fecal calprotectin was determined by using a commercially available PoC fecal calprotectin test.

# Materials and methods

# Collection of basic patient data and diagnosis of infantile colic

Recruitment to this study took place at the GP Clinic "Pro Familia", 62-028 Kozieglowy, Poland and the GP Clinic "Panaceum" 27-230 Brody, Poland between December 2020 and March 2022. Data presented have been acquired as part of a study which was approved by the Ethics Committee for studies involving humans of the Calisia University, Poland (project identification code 2/2020, approved on 20.10.2020) and which have been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04666324). Written informed consent was obtained from the infants' parents. The crying behavior of all newborns (aged 3–6 weeks) was assessed as part of the standard set of examinations. Babies, for whom parents provided consent for participating in the study, were assessed for eligibility to become enrolled into the study. Inclusion criteria for the study were diagnosis as colicky baby based on the Wessel criteria and age 3–6 weeks. Exclusion criteria were previous treatment with probiotics, synbiotics, antibiotics, or crying because of organic causes.

At the enrollment into the study, patient information regarding type of delivery, weight at birth, gestational age, and feeding details were assessed with a questionnaire completed by parents supported by midwives or nutritionists.

### Measurement of fecal calprotectin

Fecal calprotectin level determination was performed using a PoC measurement, which is a method which allows, if necessary or wished, to perform the measurement at the time and place of patients care. Stool samples for determination of fecal calprotectin were taken from all enrolled patients. Collection of samples was performed either during the enrollment physician visit with the cooperation of parents assisted by a nurse, or at home by parents according to instructions provided for sample collection. In all cases samples were immediately frozen at  $-18^{\circ}$ C. Samples were transported by using special cooling containers. Stool samples were stored at  $-18^{\circ}$ C until further processing. Single-use Calex Caps (Bühlmann Laboratories, Schönenbuch, Switzerland) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions to prepare samples for measurements. Calprotectin concentrations were determined using QB<sup>(R)</sup>fCAL extended test (Bühlmann Laboratories, Schönenbuch, Switzerland) in combination with a Quantum Blue<sup>®</sup> Reader II BI-POCTR-ABS (Bühlmann Laboratories, Schönenbuch, Switzerland).

### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses and data processing were performed in the R environment (24) using the tidyverse package (25). Basic statistics for comparing significance of the differences between non-colicky and colicky patients were performed using *t*-test and chi-square tests implemented in the rstatix package (26).

# Relationship between calprotectin level and colicky status

The impact of the response variables on the level of fecal calprotectin was assessed by using a linear regression. Data analyses were performed by using a model assessing the effect of patient characteristics on the level of fecal calprotectin. The following model was used:

$$calprotectin_{ijklm} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 bw + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 pweek + gender_i + feeding_j + center_k + delivery_l + status_m + e_{ijklm}$$

where *calprotectin* is the level of fecal calprotectin measured for *i*th gender, *j*th feeding type, at *k*th center, *l*th delivery type and *m*th colicky status,  $\beta$ 's are regression coefficients and *e* is random residual error. The *bw* is the patient's body weight, *age* is the age of the patient upon enrollment, *pweek* is the week of pregnancy at which the birth occured, *gender* is the gender of the patient (male, female), *feeding* is the type of feeding (breast, formula, mixed), *center* is the treatment place (1 or 2), *delivery* is the delivery type (vaginal, cesarean), and *status* is the colicky status (non-colicky, colicky). The significance of the differences between important categorical variables was assessed using estimated marginal means using the emmeans package (27) and presented averaged over the other categorical variables included in the model.

# Infantile colic diagnosis based on fecal calprotectin level

The conditional inference tree method as implemented in packages party (28) and partykit (29) was used to determine the accuracy of diagnosing IC based on the measured fecal calprotectin level in comparison to the diagnosis based on using the Wessel criteria. For that, patients were split into two groups: calprotectin below 100 µg/g and above or equal to this value. Then the whole dataset was randomly split into training and test sets using the caret package (30). The training set included 80% of all observations. The model was then tested using the assignment to the calprotectin group to predict the colicky status. Additionally, the effect of the delivery status on prediction accuracy was evaluated. The overall prediction accuracy for both models was calculated as the mean of correctly predicted colicky status in the test set. The performance of the prediction method was assessed based on the calculating area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) curve based on the ratio of true positive to false positive predictions, accuracy (the fraction of the correct predictions) and the error rates (fraction of incorrect predictions).

# Results

# Patient characteristics and crying behavior

There were no significant differences between the group of non-colicky and that of colicky infants in terms of TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of non-colicky and colicky infant groups.

		Non-colicky $(n = 95)$	Colicky infants ( <i>n</i> = 100)	P-value
Basic characteristics	Gender <sup>1</sup>	48/47	46/54	$p \ge 0.05$
	(female/male)			
	Delivery <sup>1</sup>	68/38	62/27	$p \ge 0.05$
	(vaginal/cesarean)			
	Feeding <sup>1</sup>	58/25/12	62/28/10	$p \ge 0.05$
	(breast/formula/mixed)			
	Birthtime in pregnancy <sup>2</sup>	39.36	39.71	$p \ge 0.05$
	(week)	(SE 0.22)	(SE 0.21)	
	Birthweight <sup>2</sup> (g)	3,200.42	3,443.60	p < 0.01
		(SE 36.24)	(SE 32.93)	
	Age at enrollment <sup>2</sup>	33.14	31.28	<i>p</i> < 0.05
	(days)	(SE 0.50)	(SE 0.71)	
Crying behavior	Crying days <sup>3</sup>	0.00	14.38	p < 0.01
	last 3 weeks <sup>2</sup>	(SE 0.00)	(SE 0.2)	
	Avg. crying duration	85.04	219.90	p < 0.01
	(min/day)	(SE 2.41)	(SE 2.18)	
	last 3 weeks <sup>2</sup>			
	Avg. crying phases/day	4.07	5.93	p < 0.01
	last 3 weeks <sup>2</sup>	(SE 0.08)	(SE 0.10)	

 $^{1}$ Significance of differences between count data assessed with chi-squared data.  $^{2}$ Significance of differences for continous variables assessed with t-test.  $^{3}$ Crying day defined as day with crying duration  $\geq$ 3 h.

gender, delivery, feeding, and gestational age. Colicky infants had a slightly higher birth weight and were on average about 2 days younger at enrollment to the study (Table 1).

Crying days during the last 3 weeks, average crying duration per day and average number of crying phases per day in the group of colicky infants were all significantly elevated compared to those in the group of non-colicky babies (Table 1).

### Fecal calprotectin levels

The average daily crying time was plotted against the fecal calprotectin levels (Figure 1). Using a fecal calprotectin level of  $100 \,\mu$ g/g as a cut-off indicates that most of the non-colicky babies have fecal calprotectin levels below this cut-off and the majority of the colicky babies have levels above that value. It is of interest to note that 9 of the 10 non-colicky babies with fecal calprotectin levels  $\geq 100 \,\mu$ g/g were delivered by cesarean section. The only infant in that group who had been born vaginally had a fecal calprotectin level of  $102 \,\mu$ g/g, thereby making it just above the cut-off level. Statistical analysis using the model described

under materials and methods revealed that the average fecal calprotectin level of colicky babies is significantly higher (46.7  $\mu$ g/g; *p*-value < 0.0001) compared to that of non-colicky infants.

Analyzing the gender dependence of the average fecal calprotectin levels in the groups of non-colicky and colicky infants revealed no significant differences within each of the two groups (Figure 2).

Analyzing the impact of the type of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean) showed that the average fecal calprotectin level in infants born by cesarean section was elevated in non-colicky as well as in colicky babies (Figure 3). Statistical analyses revealed that this elevation was small (14.5  $\mu$ g/g) but highly significant (*p*-value < 0.0001).

Analyzing the impact of the feeding type on average fecal calprotectin levels did not show any significant differences (Figure 4).

Additional statistical analyses revealed that fecal calprotectin levels were not significantly influenced by gestation age and weight at birth (data not shown).

Using the conditional inference tree method revealed that measurement of a fecal calprotectin level  $\geq 100 \,\mu$ g/g shall allow to diagnose colicky status of this patient with an overall accuracy of 90%. The risks for diagnosing a wrong non-colicky status or a wrong





colicky status would be 13.2 and 11.2%, respectively (Figure 5). Calculating the area under the ROC curve equaled 0.9.

If the information about their type of delivery was also taken into account, it would allow a correct diagnosis of a colicky status of a vaginally born infant with 97.8% accuracy for infants with





fecal calprotectin levels above  $100 \,\mu$ g/g, while the accuracy of diagnosing the correct colicky status in a cesarean-born infant would drop to 76.5% (Figure 6). The area under the ROC curve was determined to be 0.92.

# Discussion

Reporting the crying behavior of infants by using diaries is a demanding task for the already stressed parents of these



Conditional inference tree using fecal calprotectin level data to determine the colicky status of an infant.



babies. It has been shown that diary reporting of crying time is reasonably accurate, while other crying parameters, e.g., the number of crying phases, is less reliable (31). Nevertheless, crying diaries are still the most commonly used tool to assess infant crying in clinical trials while the usage of recording devices has mainly been used to check on the accuracy of diary reporting (32, 33). Outside of the clinical trial setting diaries are very rarely used by practicing pediatricians, as reporting is assumed to be too demanding for parents and data analysis too demanding for physicians. In addition, reporting crying behavior for 1-3 weeks to confirm the diagnosis is also not practical in day-to-day practice. A recently published survey showed that most pediatricians are diagnosing IC based on parents' complaints about the crying of their infants without using any tools (diaries or recording devices) to support their assessment (12). The same study also found that there is variability in the diagnosis criteria employed by practicing pediatricians. Diagnostic criteria range from Wessel criteria, modified Wessel criteria, Rome III criteria, Rome IV criteria, to mixtures combining elements of these criteria.

Data from the present study demonstrate that PoC measurements of fecal calprotectin can support the diagnosis of

IC. The results are also contributing to the growing evidence that the etiology of IC might be related to a gut inflammation caused by a disturbed gut microbiota. Using the PoC analysis of fecal calprotectin employed in the study can provide data allowing the support of IC diagnosis with an overall accuracy of some 90%. An interesting observation made in the study was that some non-colicky infants born by cesarean section exhibit high (above  $100 \mu g/g$ ) fecal calprotectin levels. Cesarean-born infants have been shown to have a dysbiosis of the gut-microbiota (34) and to have elevated fecal calprotectin levels (35). However, as the composition of the gut microbiota was not analyzed in the present study, the present study does not provide inside into the reason for elevated fecal calprotectin levels observed in cesareanborn infants. Nevertheless, the combining of data from the fecal calprotectin measurement with the knowledge about the type of delivery is allowing diagnosis of the colicky status of a vaginallyborn infant with an error of only 2.2%. As there are two potential reasons for an elevated fecal calprotectin level in cesarean-born infants (cesarean section itself and IC), fecal calprotectin-based diagnosis of IC in this patient group is associated with a lower accuracy rate (76.5%).

If well organized, results of fecal calprotectin measurements can become available fast enough so as not to require an additional consultancy appointment. Taking the results from fecal calprotectin measurements into account will allow pediatricians to add to their assessment of the IC status made on the basis of information collected from parents about the crying behavior of the infant. Thereby, the pediatrician/parent interaction can benefit from the hard facts obtained by this objective measurement.

Based on the presented results it is suggested that pediatricians should consider PoC measurement of fecal calprotectin to support their diagnosis of IC. As demonstrated, fecal calprotectin levels can support the assessment of the colicky status of an infant presented by its parents. This will allow to move the diagnosis from extracting information from stressed parents toward a more objective clinical measurement. While the PoC measurement of fecal calprotectin is relatively easy and fast, there remains the problem that this measurement might not be reimbursed by the health insurance for the baby, at least in most of the cases. However, based on our experience, a lot of parents with colicky babies are very thankful for being provided with data from a clinical measurement indicating that the colicky status of their beloved baby is most likely not caused by their parental behavior.

### Data availability statement

Datasets analyzed for this study can be found in FigShare at: https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Supporting\_ the\_Diagnosis\_of\_Infantile\_Colic\_by\_a\_Point\_of\_Care\_ Measurement\_of\_Fecal\_Calprotectin/20132231.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Bioethics Committee at the University of Kalisz. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

# Author contributions

MB, MP, HS, JP, and HK: conceptualization. MB, MP, and HK: methodology. MP: software. JP and MP: validation. MP and HK: formal analysis. MB and HK: investigation. JP: resources. MB, JP, and MP: data curation. HS and MP: writing—original draft preparation. JP, MP, and HS: writing—review and editing. MP and HS: visualization. JP: supervision and project administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to acknowledge the work of Sabine Hanna from Cambridge English for proofreading, English style editing, and useful suggestions.

# **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. St James-Roberts I, Halil T. Infant crying patterns in the first year: normal community and clinical findings. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* (1991) 32:951–68. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1991.tb01922.x

2. Vik T, Grote V, Escribano J, Socha J, Verduci E, Fritsch M, et al. For the European childhood obesity trial study group. Infantile colic, prolonged crying and maternal postnatal depression. *Acta Paediatr.* (2009) 98:1344– 8. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2009.01317.x

3. Howard CR, Lanphear N, Lanphear BP, Eberly S, Lawrence RA. Parental responses to infant crying and colic: the effect on breastfeeding duration. *Breastfeed Med.* (2006) 1:146–55. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2006.1.146

4. Barr RG. Preventing abusive head trauma resulting from a failure of normal interaction between infants and their caregivers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2012) 109:17294–301. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121267109

5. Lucassen P, Assendelft W, van Eijk JTM, Gubbels J, Douwes A, van Geldrop WJ. Systematic review of the occurrence of infantile colic in the community. *Arch Dis Child.* (2001) 84:398–403. doi: 10.1136/adc.84.5.398

6. Wessel MA, Jackson EB, Harris GS, Detwiler AC. Paroxysmal fussing in infancy, sometimes called colic. *Pediatrics*. (1954) 14:421-35.

7. St James-Roberts I, Hurry J, Bowyer J. Objective confirmation of crying durations in infants referred for excessive crying. *Arch Dis Child.* (1993) 68:82–4. doi: 10.1136/adc.68.1.82

8. Barr RG, Rotman A, Yaremko J, Leduc D, Francoeur TE. The crying of infants with colic: a controlled empirical description. *Pediatrics*. (1992) 90:14–21.

9. Van Tilburg MA, Rouster A, Silver D, Pellegrini G, Gao J, Hyman PE. Development and validation of a Rome III functional gastrointestinal disorders questionnaire for infants and toddlers. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2016) 62:384–6. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000000962

10. Zeevenhooven J, Koppen IJ, Benninga MA. The new Rome IV criteria for functional gastrointestinal disorders in infants and toddlers. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr.* (2017) 20:1–1. doi: 10.5223/pghn.2017. 20.1.1

11. Wolke D, Bilgin A, Samara M. Systematic review and meta-analysis: fussing and crying durations and prevalence of colic in infants. *J Pediatrics*. (2017) 185:55–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.02.020

12. Hizli S, Can D, Kilic I, Örün E, Tunc T, Özkan H. Diagnosis and treatment approaches in infantile colic (IC): results of a survey among pediatricians in Turkey. *Front Pediatrics*. (2021) 9:779997. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.779997

13. Rhoads JM, Collins J, Fatheree NY, Hashmi SS, Taylor CM, Luo M, et al. Infant colic represents gut inflammation and dysbiosis. *J Pediatrics*. (2018) 203:55–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.07.042

14. Savino F, Cresi F, Pautasso S, Palumeri E, Tullio V, Roana J, et al. Intestinal microbiota in breastfed colicky and non-colicky infants. *Acta Paediatr.* (2004) 93:825–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2004.tb03025.x

15. De Weerth C, Fuentes S, de Vos WM. Crying in infants: on the possible role of intestinal microbiota in the development of colic. *Gut Microbes.* (2013) 4:416–21. doi: 10.4161/gmic.26041

16. Dryl R, Szajewska H. Probiotics for management of infantile colic: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Med Sci.* (2018) 14:1137–43. doi: 10.5114/aoms.2017.66055

17. Schreck Bird A, Gregory PJ, Jalloh MA, Risoldi Cochrane Z, Hein DJ. Probiotics for the treatment of infantile colic: a systematic review. *J Pharm Pract.* (2017) 30:366–74. doi: 10.1177/0897190016634516

18. Pärtty A, Kalliomäki M, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Infantile colic is associated with low-grade systemic inflammation. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2017) 64:691–5. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000001340

19. Shirazinia R, Golabchifar AA, Fazeli MR. Efficacy of probiotics for managing infantile colic due to their anti-inflammatory properties: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Clin Exp Pediatrics.* (2021) 64:642–51. doi: 10.3345/cep.2020.01676

20. Pathirana WGW, Chubb SP, Gillett MJ, Vasikaran SD. Faecal calprotectin. *Clin Biochem Rev.* (2018) 39:77–90.

21. Rhoads JM, Fatheree NY, Norori J, Liu Y, Lucke JF, Tyson JE, et al. Altered fecal microflora and increased fecal calprotectin in infants with colic. *J Pediatrics*. (2009) 155:823–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.05.012

22. Savino F, Garro M, Montanari P, Galliano I, Bergallo M. Crying time and ROR?/FOXP3 expression in *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM17938-treated infants with colic: a randomized trial. *J Pediatrics.* (2018) 192:171-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.062

23. Bernatek M, Piatek J, Pszczola M, Krauss H, Antczak J, Maciukjc P, et al. Nine-strain bacterial synbiotic improves crying and lowers fecal calprotectin in colicky babies: an open-label randomized study. *Microorganisms.* (2022) 10:430. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10020430

24. Team RC. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. In: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Software (2021). Available online at: https://www.R-project.org/ (accessed June 15, 2022).

25. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, D'Agostino McGowan L, François R, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. J Open Sour Softw. (2019) 4:1686. doi: 10.21105/joss.01686

26. Kassambara A. Rstatix: pipe-friendly framework for basic statistical tests. In: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna: R Software (2021). Available online at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix (accessed June 15, 2022).

27. Russell V, Lenth RV. Emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. In: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Software (2022). Available online at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix (accessed June 15, 2022).

28. Hothorn T, Hornik K, Zeileis A. Unbiased recursive partitioning: a conditional inference framework. *J Comput Graph Stat.* (2006) 15:651–74. doi: 10.1198/106186006X133933

29. Hothorn T, Zeileis A. Partykit: a modular toolkit for recursive partytioning in R. J Mach Learn Res. (2015) 16:3905–9. doi: 10.5555/2789272.2912120

30. Kuhn M. Caret: classification and regression training. In: *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna: R Software (2021). Available online at: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caret (accessed June 15, 2022).

31. Stone AA, Shiffman S, Schwartz JE, Broderick JE, Hufford MR. Patient compliance with paper diaries. *BMJ.* (2002) 324:1193. doi: 10.1136/bmj.324.7347.1193

32. Stone AA, Shiffman S, Schwartz JE, Broderick JE, Hufford MR. Patient compliance with paper and electronic diaries. *Control Clin Trials.* (2003) 24:182–99. doi: 10.1016/S0197-2456(02)00320-3

33. Lam J, Barr RG, Catherine N, Tsui H, Hahnhaussen CL, Pauwels J, et al. Electronic and paper diary recording of infant and caregiver behaviors. *J Dev Behav Pediatrics*. (2010) 31:685–93. doi: 10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181e416ae

34. Rutayisire E, Huang K, Liu Y, Tao F. The mode of delivery affects the diversity and colonization pattern of the gut microbiota during the first year of infants' life: a systematic review. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2016) 16:86. doi: 10.1186/s12876-016-0498-0

35. Günaydin Sahin BS, Keskindemirci G, Özden TA, Durmaz Ö, Gökçay G. Faecal calprotectin levels during the first year of life in healthy children. J Paediatrics Child Health. (2020) 56:1806–11. doi: 10.1111/jpc.14933