ORGANOMETALLICS

pubs.acs.org/Organometallics

Article

Preparation of Neutral *trans* - *cis* $[Ru(O_2CR)_2P_2(NN)]$, Cationic $[Ru(O_2CR)P_2(NN)](O_2CR)$ and Pincer $[Ru(O_2CR)(CNN)P_2]$ (P = PPh₃, P₂ = diphosphine) Carboxylate Complexes and their Application in the Catalytic Carbonyl Compounds Reduction

Salvatore Baldino, Steven Giboulot, Denise Lovison, Hans Günter Nedden, Alexander Pöthig, Antonio Zanotti-Gerosa, Daniele Zuccaccia, Maurizio Ballico,* and Walter Baratta*



ABSTRACT: The diacetate complexes *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2(NN)] (NN = ethylenediamine (en) (1), 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine (ampy) (2), 2-(aminomethyl)pyrimidine (ampyrim) (3)) have been isolated in 76–88% yield by reaction of [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2] with the corresponding nitrogen ligands. The ampy-type derivatives **2** and **3** undergo isomerization to the thermodynamically most stable cationic complexes [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)(PPh_3)_2(NN)]OAc (**2a** and **3a**) and *cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2(NN)](**2b** and **3b**) in methanol at RT. The *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2] by reaction with the suitable diphosphine in toluene at 95 °C. The complex *cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2(dppm)-(ampy)](**6**) has been obtained from [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2] and dppm in toluene at reflux and reaction with ampy. The derivatives *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2P_2(NN)] (7–16; NN = en, ampy, ampyrim, 8-aminoquinoline; P₂ = dppp, dppb, dppf, (*R*)-BINAP) can be easily synthesized from [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2] with a diphosphine and treatment

NN derivatives pincer CNN derivatives RCOO Ruthenium Carboxylate Complexes runs AcO Hupp AcO Hupp CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) AcO Hupp CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) AcO Hupp CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) AcO Hupp CRUc²-OCOR)₂(PPh)₃) CRUc²-OCOR)₃(PPh)₃) CRUC²-OCOR)₃(PPh)₃(PPh)₃) CRUC²-OCOR)₃(PPh)₃) CRUC²-

with the NN ligands at RT. Alternatively these compounds have been prepared from *trans*-[Ru(OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(NN)] by reaction with the diphosphine in MEK at 50 °C. The use of (*R*)-BINAP affords *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂((*R*)-BINAP)(NN)] (NN = ampy (11), ampyrim (15)) isolated as single stereoisomers. Treatment of the ampy-type complexes 8–15 with methanol at RT leads to isomerization to the cationic derivatives [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)P₂(NN)]OAc (8a–15a; NN = ampy, ampyrim; P₂ = dppp, dppb, dppf, (*R*)-BINAP). Similarly to 2, the dipivalate *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OPiv)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (18) is prepared from [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (17) and ampy in CHCl₃. The pincer acetate [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)(CNN^{OMe})(PPh₃)₂] (19) has been synthesized from [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] and HCNN^{OMe} ligand in 2-propanol with NEt₃ at reflux. In addition, the dppb pincer complexes [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)(CNN)(dppb)] (CNN = AMTP (20), AMBQ^{Ph} (21)) have been obtained from [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂], dppb, and HAMTP or HAMBQ^{Ph} with NEt₃, respectively. The acetate NN and pincer complexes are active in transfer hydrogenation with 2-propanol and hydrogenation with H₂ of carbonyl compounds at S/C values of up to 10000 and with TOF values of up to 160000 h⁻¹.

■ INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing need to produce valuable organic compounds by industry requires the development of new and more efficient homogeneous transition-metal catalysts. Selective transformations can be achieved through an appropriate choice of ligands at the metal, leading to well-designed catalysts characterized by high productivity. Poly-dentate nitrogen and phosphine ligands have been extensively employed with the aim to obtain robust and catalytically active species. More recently, the use of carboxylates as ancillary ligands has been demonstrated to be particularly promising in many catalytic processes, since carboxylate may play a non-innocent role, acting as a proton acceptor for H–H and C–H splitting reactions¹ and stabilizing monomeric species on account of the facile switching capability from a mono- to a bidentate mode of coordination. Furthermore, carboxylates are

labile ligands that can dissociate easily, allowing a free site for substrate coordination and formation of the catalytically active species. With regard to ruthenium, which has been widely employed in homogeneous catalysis for its high performance and versatility,² it is worth mentioning that ruthenium carboxylates have been shown to catalyze the hydrogenation (HY) of olefins³ and carbonyl compounds.⁴ These types of complexes can also promote alcohol dehydrogenation⁵ and the cycloisomerization of alkynols to five- to seven-membered

Received: February 1, 2021 Published: April 14, 2021



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Diacetate Ruthenium Complexes with PPh3 and NN Ligands



NN	trans	cationic	cis	
H ₂ N NH ₂	1	١	١	
H ₂ N N	2	2a	2b	
H ₂ N N	3	3a	3b	

endocyclic enol ethers.⁶ Ruthenium carboxylate catalysts have been found to activate C-H bonds,⁷ promote functionalization reactions,⁸ efficiently direct C-H/C-O bond arylations with phenols in water,⁹ and react with aldehydes.¹⁰ Ruthenium phosphine carboxylate complexes have been reported to catalyze the hydrogenation of carboxylic acids and their derivatives to alcohols,¹¹ while the employment of BINAP-Ru(II) dicarboxylates¹² afforded the asymmetric hydrogenation of unsaturated carboxylic acids to the corresponding saturated products.¹³ Furthermore, [Ru- $(O_2CR)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2$ (R = CH₂OCH₃, *i*Pr, *t*Bu, 2cC₄H₃O, Ph) were successfully applied as catalysts in the addition of carboxylic acids to propargylic alcohols to give the corresponding β -oxo esters used in the pharma industry.¹ Among organic transformations entailing ruthenium catalysts, the reduction of carbonyl compounds via HY¹⁵ and transfer hydrogenation (TH)¹⁶ are environmentally benign methods and core processes accepted by the industry for the synthesis of alcohols. Several highly efficient ruthenium catalysts have been developed for both TH and HY, namely $[RuCl(\eta^{6}-arene)-(TsDPEN)]^{12,17}$ and $trans-[RuCl_2P_2(diamine)]$ (P₂ = diphosphine) complexes, which represent a milestone for these types of catalytic processes.¹⁸ The employment of the ampy¹² ligand in place of diamines has resulted in the isolation of cis-[RuCl₂P₂(ampy)] derivatives that show high catalytic activity for enantioselective TH and HY.¹⁹ In addition, the related pincer CNN complexes [RuCl(CNN)P₂], containing functionalized ampy ligands, have proved to be exceptionally productive catalysts for TH and HY, including those of biomass-derived carbonyl compounds.²⁰ The replacement of the chloride in *trans*-[RuCl₂P₂(diamine)] with sterically hindered carboxylates as anionic ligands has resulted in the highly efficient catalysts $[Ru(OCOR)_2P_2(en)]^{12}$ (P₂ = dppe, xantphos; 12 R = t Bu, Ph, 1-adamantyl) for the selective HY of aldehydes under base-free or acidic conditions.²¹

During our studies aiming to expand the use of ruthenium carboxylates in catalysis, we have found that the cationic monocarbonyl derivatives $[RuX(CO)P_2(NN)]X^{22}$ (X = Cl,

OAc; NN = en, ampy: $P_2 = dppb$, dppf),¹² the trifluoroacetate [Ru(OCOCF₃)₂(dppb)(XCH₂CH₂X)]²³ (X = NH₂, OH) derivatives, and the mixed acetate acetylacetonate complex [Ru(OAc)(acac)(dppb)(ampy)]²⁴ have been proven to be highly active catalysts in the TH and HY reductions. The pincer CNN ruthenium acetate complex [Ru(OAc)(AMTP)-(dppb)]¹² has shown the highest activity in TH with a TOF value of up to 3.8×10^6 h⁻¹, consistent with the easier substitution of the carboxylate vs Cl in protic media.²⁵ Acetate ruthenium compounds in combination with carbene ligands, namely $[RuBr(OAc)(PPh_3)(P-aNHC)]$ and [Ru(OAc)(P-aNHC)] $aNHC)_2$]Br (P-aNHC = phosphine-abnormal-NHC ligands), have displayed high rates and productivities in TH and in fast Oppenauer-type oxidation reactions (TOFs of up to 600000 h^{-1}).²⁶ With regard to other applications, ruthenium carboxylate complexes have been described as efficient photosensitizers for TiO₂ semiconductor solar cells.²⁷ New anticancer agents have been prepared by employing ruthenium carboxylate complexes with the aim of obtaining compounds with good solubility in the culture medium.²⁸ We have recently reported the synthesis of a new class of cationic carboxylate ruthenium complexes, $[Ru(\kappa^1-OCOR)(CO)(dppb)(phen)]$ - $(OCOR)^{12}$ (R = Me, ^tBu), that display high cytotoxic activity against anaplastic thyroid cancer cell lines, with EC_{50} values much lower than that of cisplatin, leading to an increment of apoptosis and decrease in cancer cell aggressiveness.²⁹

This paper discloses a convenient procedure for the preparation of a series of neutral *trans/cis* and cationic carboxylate ruthenium complexes containing bidentate nitrogen and phosphine ligands through straightforward syntheses by starting from the $[Ru(\kappa^2-OCOR)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (R = Me, ^tBu) precursors. Pincer CNN acetate complexes have also been easily obtained through this synthetic route. The carboxylate ruthenium complexes show activity in TH and HY reactions, allowing the reduction of carbonyl compounds at S/C values of up to 10000 and TOF values of up to 160000 h⁻¹.

Organometallics

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Diacetate Ruthenium Complexes with PPh₃ and NN Ligands. Treatment of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with 1 equiv of en in methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) at room temperature for 45 min affords the complex *trans,cis*- $[Ru(\kappa^{1}-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2(en)]$ (1), isolated in 84% yield (Scheme 1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 in CDCl₃ displays two broad singlets at δ 5.31 and 2.67 for the amino and the methylene groups of the en ligand, respectively, with a singlet at δ 1.67 for the methyl acetate. In a fashion similar to that for 1, the derivative trans, cis-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (2) is synthesized in high yield (85%) by the reaction of $[Ru(\kappa^2 OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$ with ampy at room temperature in MEK or dichloromethane (Scheme 1 and methods 1 and 2 in the Experimental Section). Alternatively, 2 has been obtained in 76% yield through a one-pot reaction from $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$, NaOAc and ampy in acetone via the intermediate $[Ru(\kappa^2 OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$ (method 3). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 2 in CD_2Cl_2 exhibits two doublets at δ 44.6 and 39.4 with a ${}^{2}J(P,P)$ value of 31.3 Hz, whereas the methylene protons of the ampy appear in the ¹H NMR spectrum as a broad multiplet at δ 4.18 and the NH₂ signal is at δ 6.70. This downfield chemical shift is consistent with the presence of a $NH\cdots O=C$ hydrogen-bond interaction of the NH₂ protons with the two acetate ligands, in contrast with the related complexes trans- $[Ru(\kappa^{1}-OAc)_{2}P_{2}(ampy)](P_{2} = DiPPF, DCyPF)^{12}$ containing a bulky diphosphine,³⁰ in which only one NH interacts with an acetate group.³¹

Recently, we reported that trans-[Ru(κ^{1} - $OAc)_2(DiPPF)_2(NN)$] derivatives, displaying the bulky diphosphine D*i*PPF, are quickly obtained from $[Ru(\kappa^2 - \kappa^2)]$ OAc)₂(DiPPF)] and NN (en, ampy) at low temperature.³¹ While the en complex is thermally stable, the ampy compound undergoes rapid isomerization at room temperature to the thermodynamically most stable cationic and *cis* complexes in methanol. Accordingly, dissolution of 2 in methanol at RT for 24 h afforded a mixture of the cationic cis-[Ru(κ^2 -OAc)- $(PPh_3)_2(ampy)]OAc$ (2a) and cis,cis-[Ru(κ^{1} - $OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})(ampy)$ (2b) in a 3:2 molar ratio (method 1), isolated in 85% yield (Scheme 1). Alternatively, the same mixture is formed from $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and ampy in methanol at RT and was isolated in 83% yield (method 2). Attempts to isomerize 2 to 2a,b in toluene at 100 °C failed, leading to decomposition with release of PPh₃, as inferred from ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR analysis. The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 2a,b in CD₃OD displays two doublets at δ 60.2 and 47.1 (²*I*(P,P) = 32.6 Hz) for the cationic complex 2a and two doublets at δ 65.0 and 49.0 (${}^{2}I(P,P) = 29.3 \text{ Hz}$) for the *cis* isomer **2b**. The doublet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.98 (³*J*(H,H) = 5.7 Hz) and the multiplet a $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.20 are for the ortho pyridine signals of 2a and 2b,

Scheme 2. Synthesis of *cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppm)(ampy)] (6)

respectively. The diastereotopic methylene protons of the ampy ligand appear as two doublets at δ 4.10 and 3.92 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.1$ Hz) for the cationic complex **2a**, while the *cis* derivative **2b** displays these signals at δ 4.48 and 4.42. Control ¹H NMR experiments show that adding sodium acetate (1.0 and 3.5 equiv) to the **2a,b** mixture in CD₃OD showed a progressive increase in the signal at δ 1.93 attributed to the free acetate of the cationic derivative **2a**, confirming the proposed structure (see Figure S10 in the Supporting Information), as observed for [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(PPh₃)(NN)(CO)]OAc (NN = en, ampy).²² Finally, in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum the carbonyl acetate carbon atoms of the cationic **2a** are at δ 190.3 and 180.3, while the acetate resonances of the *cis* derivative **2b** are at δ 191.7 and 190.4.

The reaction of $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ with ampyrim¹² leads to species similar to those observed with ampy. Thus, the complex *trans,cis*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{ampyrim})]$ (3) is quickly obtained from $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ and ampyrim in MEK at room temperature and isolated in 88% yield (Scheme 1). Complex 3 isomerizes in methanol at RT within 48 h, leading to a 2:1 mixture of the cationic complexes *cis*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2-\text{OAc})(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{ampyrim})]$ OAc (3a) and *cis,cis*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{ampyrim})]$ (3b), isolated in 78% yield (Scheme 1). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic data of 3a,b resemble those of the analogue ampy derivatives 2a,b, with two doublets at δ 58.8 and 47.7 with ²J(P,P) = 32.8 Hz for 3a and at δ 64.3 and 49.0 with ²J(P,P) = 28.0 Hz for 3b.

Synthesis of Diacetate Ruthenium Complexes with Diphosphines and NN Ligands. The synthesis of Ru acetate complexes with the ligands dppm and dppe¹² has been



reported by Wong et al. by starting from $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and the diphosphines in toluene at reflux for 12 h. With dppm the cationic $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OAc)(dppm)]OAc$ was isolated, whereas with dppe a mixture of the three isomers *cis*-and *trans*- $[Ru(\kappa^1 - OAc)_2(dppe)_2]$ and the cationic $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OAc)(dppe)]OAc$ were formed and were separated by fractional crystallization.³² A reexamination of this procedure under milder reaction conditions show that the *trans*- $[Ru(\kappa^1 - OAc)_2(P_2)_2]$ (P₂ = dppm (4), dppe (5)) derivatives ($\delta_P - 5.9$ and 44.7, respectively) have been obtained as single products in 68% and 71% yields, respectively, by treatment of $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OAc)(R_2)_2 + OAc)(R_2)_2$ (Red to a start of the trans of



https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.1c00059 Organometallics 2021, 40, 1086–1103 $OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$] with 2 equiv of dppm or dppe in toluene at 95 °C for 20 min (eq 1).

The reaction of $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ with 1 equiv of dppm in MEK at room temperature afforded a mixture of *trans*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{dppm})_2]$ (4) and the unreacted precursor. Addition of an excess of ampy (1.2 equiv) at RT results in a partial decoordination of dppm from 4, with the formation of *trans*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{dppm})(\text{ampy})]$ in the presence of 4 in a 2:1 molar ratio, as inferred from NMR analysis (see Figures S23 and S24 in the Supporting Information). Interestingly, the thermodynamically most stable isomer, *cis*- $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{dppm})(\text{ampy})]$ (6), has been isolated in 76% yield from $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2-\text{OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ and dppm (1 equiv) in toluene at reflux (4 h), followed by reaction with ampy at 95 °C for 12 h, via the intermediate $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1-\text{OAc})_2(\text{dppm})(\text{PPh}_3)]$ species³² (Scheme 2).

The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 6 in CDCl₃ displays two doublets at δ 23.4 and 7.9 with ${}^{2}I(P,P) = 94.4$ Hz, whereas the methylene protons of the ampy ligand give a doublet of doublets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.58 (²J(H,H) = 16.1 Hz and ³J(H,H) = 5.0 Hz) and a multiplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.32. A ¹⁵N–¹H HSQC 2D NMR analysis reveals that the NH₂ signals are at δ 9.74 and 1.13 ppm, consistent with the presence of one NH---O hydrogen bond interaction with one acetate. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **6** in CD₃OD shows two resonances at δ 2.03 and 1.66 for the methyl groups, indicating that the OAc ligands are coordinated, as was also confirmed by addition of NaOAc (1.0–3.5 equiv) to 6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.92 for the free OAc) (see Figure S27 in the Supporting Information). Attempts to isolate the analogous dppe derivative by the reaction of $[Ru(\kappa^2 OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$ with dppe in toluene and treatment with ampy failed, resulting in the formation of two [Ru- $(OAc)_2(dppe)(ampy)$] species in the presence of uncharacterized complexes (see Figures S31 and S32 in the Supporting Information). The employment of diphosphines with a longer backbone leads to the isolation of trans diphosphine/NN derivatives at room temperature. The reaction of $[Ru(\kappa^2 OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$ with dppf in CH₂Cl₂ at RT for 1 h, followed by reaction with en for 30 min, affords the complex *trans*- $[Ru(\kappa^{1} OAc_{2}(dppf)(en)$ (7), isolated in 90% yield (Scheme 3).

An X-ray diffraction experiment carried out for 7 shows that this complex crystallizes in a distorted-octahedral geometry with two *trans* acetate groups (Figure 1).

Complex 7 displays Ru-O (2.109(3), 2.118(3) Å) distances in line with the data reported for analogous monodentate diacetate ruthenium complexes, 32,33 with the Ru–N (2.164(4), 2.155(3) Å) distances being slightly shorter in comparison to those of the related dichloride compound trans-[RuCl₂(dppf)-(en)] (Ru-N 2.167(3), 2.171(3) Å)) and consistent with the strong trans influence exerted by the diphosphine.^{32,34} The O1-Ru1-O3 angle is almost linear $(174.90(10)^{\circ})$ and is greater with respect to that of the analogous chloride compound (Cl-Ru-Cl angle of $166.31(4)^{\circ}$). The solid-state study of 7 also revealed the presence of intramolecular hydrogen-bond interactions between the C=O acetate oxygen atoms with the axial N-H protons of the en ligand with O…H distances of 1.913 and 2.019 Å.^{23,33} The ¹H NMR spectrum of 7 in solution (CD₂Cl₂) displays one triplet at δ 2.64 for the two CH₂N groups and one broad signal for the four NH hydrogens, shifted to low field at δ 4.92, consistent with a hydrogen-bond interaction with the acetate. The ampy derivative trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppp)(ampy)] (8) (85%) yield) has been prepared from $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and





dppp¹² in CH₂Cl₂, followed by treatment with ampy at RT (Scheme 3, method 1). Alternatively, 8 (93% yield) has been obtained in acetone (method 2) and also by reaction of 2 with dppp in MEK (50 °C, 20 h), by PPh₃ substitution (61% yield) (method 3). The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of 8 displays two doublets at δ 47.8 and 33.1 with ${}^{2}J(P,P) =$ 49.1 Hz, while the $\rm NH_2$ protons give a broad singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.27, indicating a $\rm NH^{-1}$ O hydrogen bond. The diacetate derivatives trans-[Ru(κ^{1} - $OAc_{2}(dppb)(ampy)$ (9) and *trans*-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂(dppf)-(ampy)] (10) have been synthesized in 61-88% yields by the reaction of Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] with the corresponding diphosphine (dppb, dppf) and ampy in CH₂Cl₂ or acetone, following the procedure described for 8. Complexes 9 and 10 have also been prepared by starting from 2 and the diphosphine dppb or dppf, respectively, and isolated in 70-73% yield. Treatment of (R)-BINAP with $\lceil Ru(\kappa^2 OAc_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}$ in toluene at reflux for 24 h, followed by reaction with ampy (RT, 1 h), afforded trans-[Ru(κ^{1} - $OAc_2((R)-BINAP)(ampy)$] (11) in 59% yield as a single stereoisomer (Scheme 3). The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum of 11 in CD_2Cl_2 exhibits two doublets at δ 54.9 and 40.9 with ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 36.9$ Hz, whereas the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum reveals two broad signals for the NH₂ protons interacting with the acetate ligands at δ 6.91 and 5.06, as inferred from a ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC 2D NMR analysis (see Figure S61 in the Supporting Information). In analogy to the ampy complexes, the ampyrim derivatives trans-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂P₂(ampyrim)] (P₂ = dppp (12), dppb (13), dppf (14)) have been isolated in good yield (77-85%) by reaction of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with diphosphine and ampyrim in CH_2Cl_2 at RT (method 1 for 12-14). Alternatively, 12-14 have been prepared from 3 and a diphosphine in MEK at 50 °C (57-80% yields) (Scheme 3).



Figure 1. ORTEP style plot of compound 7 in the solid state (CCDC 2058063). Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The phenyl groups are simplified as wireframes for clarity (as well as disorder of one phenyl group is not shown). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ru1–O1 2.109(3), Ru1–O3 2.118(3), Ru1–N1 2.164(4), Ru1–N2 2.155(3), Ru1–P1 2.2934(11), Ru1–P2 2.2816(11), O1–Ru1–O3 174.90(10), O1–Ru1–N2 87.73(13), O3–Ru1–N2 92.89(13), O1–Ru1–N1 89.91(13), O3–Ru1–N1 85.28(13), N2–Ru1–N1 77.99(13), O1–Ru1–P2 92.37(8), O3–Ru1–P2 92.69(8), N2–Ru1–P2 89.74(9), N1–Ru1–P2 167.42(10), N1–Ru1–P1 95.44(8), O3–Ru1–P1 83.23(8), N2–Ru1–P1 171.04(10), N1–Ru1–P1 93.61(10), P2–Ru1–P1 98.48(4). Hydrogen-bond distances measured for O2…H1A and O4…H2A are 1.913 and 2.019 Å, respectively.

Complex 12 shows two doublets at $\delta_{\rm P}$ 47.9 and 32.6 with ${}^{2}J({\rm P},{\rm P}) = 50.1$ Hz, whereas the multiplets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.52 and 8.41 are ascribed to NCH protons of the pyrimidine. The chiral complex *trans*-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)_2((R)-BINAP)(ampyrim)] (15) (63% yield) has been synthesized from [Ru(κ^{2} -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2] and (R)-BINAP in toluene at reflux and treatment with ampyrim in acetone at RT. Through a one-pot reaction *trans*-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)_2(dppb)(8-aminoquinoline)] (16) (90% yield) is obtained from [Ru(κ^{2} -OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2], dppb, and 8-aminoquinoline in CH₂Cl₂ at RT (Scheme 3). Complex 16 displays two doublets at $\delta_{\rm P}$ 50.5 and 37.2 (${}^{2}J({\rm P},{\rm P}) = 36.7$ Hz), whereas the multiplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.23 is attributed to the proton in position 2 of the 8-aminoquinoline, shifted to low field in comparison to the free ligand (δ 8.77), 35 while the broad singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.24 is ascribed to the amino group.

The *trans* acetate derivatives *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2P_2(NN)], bearing ampy-type ligands, isomerize to the thermodynamically most stable cationic complexes [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)P_2(NN)]OAc in methanol, without formation of the neutral *cis* derivative. Thus, [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(dppp)(ampy)]OAc (8a) is obtained in 90% yield by dissolution of 8 in MeOH at room temperature (Scheme 4).

Complex **8a** shows in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum in CD₃OD two doublets at δ 55.2 and 36.7 with ²*J*(P,P) = 48.4 Hz. The doublet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.09 (³*J*(H,H) = 5.7 Hz) is ascribed to the *ortho* pyridine proton, while the two singlets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.52 and 1.92 are assigned to the methyl group of the coordinated and

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Cationic $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)P_2(NN)]OAc$ (P₂ = Diphosphine) Complexes



free acetates, respectively. The cationic complexes [Ru(κ^2 - $OAc)P_2(ampy)]OAc(P_2 = dppb (9a), dppf (10a))$ have been prepared from 9 and 10 in methanol at RT and isolated in 95-98% yields (Scheme 4). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra show the typical doublet patterns for the two complexes at $\delta_{\rm p}$ 58.2 and 46.0 (${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.2 \text{ Hz}$) and at δ_{P} 59.9 and 49.5 (${}^{2}J(P,P) =$ 35.4 Hz) for **9a** and **10a**, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of these complexes in CD₃OD show diastereotopic CH₂N protons (δ 4.03 and 3.60, with ²J(H,H) = 16.4 Hz for 9a) and a singlet at δ 1.92 for the methyl group of the free acetate, as for 8a. The coordinated acetate ligands of 9a and 10a appear as doublets at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 189.7 and 190.8, while the free acetate appears at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 180.4. In a similar way the cationic ampyrim derivatives [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)P₂(ampyrim)]OAc (P₂ = dppp (12a), dppb (13a), dppf (14a)) have been quantitatively isolated (87-98% yield) from the corresponding trans isomers in CH₃OH, the NMR data resembling those of the analogous ampy complexes. The isomerization of the BINAP derivatives 11 and 15 in methanol at RT leads to the cationic $[Ru(\kappa^2 -$ OAc)((R)-BINAP)(NN)]OAc (NN = ampy (11a), ampyrim (15a)) in 86–96% yields as a mixture of two isomers in about a 2:1 molar ratio for 11a and 1:1 for 15a, respectively (Scheme 4), as inferred from NMR measurements in CD₃OD. Complex 11a shows a two doublets at $\delta_{\rm P}$ 61.1 and 52.4 (²*J*(P,P) = 38.8 Hz) for the major isomer and two doublets at $\delta_{\rm P}$ 68.3 and 58.1 $(^{2}J(P,P) = 38.7 \text{ Hz})$ for the minor species. Finally, the two singlets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.50 and 1.41 are assigned to the methyl acetate ligands of the two isomers, whereas the signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.92 is assigned to the free acetate.

Synthesis of Dipivalate Ruthenium Complexes with PPh₃ and ampy. The precursor $[Ru(\kappa^2-OPiv)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (17) has been isolated in 75% yield by treatment of $[RuCl_2(PPh_3)_3]$ with sodium pivalate in *tert*-butyl alcohol at 70 °C by a slight modification of the synthesis reported by Wilkinson^{3d} (Scheme 5).

Reaction of 17 with ampy in chloroform at RT affords the pivalate ruthenium derivative *trans,cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OPiv)_2(PPh_3)_2(ampy)]¹² (18), isolated in 85% yield (Scheme 5). Complex 18 shows two ³¹P{¹H} NMR doublets at δ 45.8 and 38.5 with ²*J*(P,P) = 30.5 Hz. The ampy NCH₂ protons

Scheme 5. Synthesis of the Pivalate 17 and the ampy Derivative 18



Scheme 6. Synthesis of Pincer CNN Ruthenium Acetate Complexes



appear as a broad multiplet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.03, with the NH₂ signal superimposed on those of the aromatic protons, in agreement with a NH…O interaction, whereas the pivalate CO groups give a doublet at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 188.2 (³*J*(C,P) = 1.3 Hz).

Synthesis of Pincer CNN Ruthenium Acetate Complexes. The pincer acetate complex $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)(CNN^{OMe})-(PPh_3)_2]$ (19) has been easily prepared in 75% yield by treatment of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with the ligand HCNN^{OMe 12} in the presence of the weak base NEt₃ (10 equiv) in 2-propanol at reflux, through the elimination of acetic acid and cyclometalation (Scheme 6).

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **19** in CD₂Cl₂ shows two doublets at δ 57.2 and 52.9 with ²*J*(P,P) = 33.3 Hz, whereas the signals of the NH₂ group are at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.86 and 1.92. The lowfield resonance is consistent with an intramolecular NH···O hydrogen bond interaction with the acetate ligand (see Figure **117** in the Supporting Information). The singlet at δ 7.68 is attributed to the CH proton close to the ortho-metalated carbon atom, while the diastereotopic CH₂N gives a doublet of doublets at δ 4.09 (²*J*(H,H) = 17.3 Hz and ³*J*(H,H) = 6.0 Hz) and a multiplet at δ 3.42. Finally, the cyclometalated carbon appears at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 185.5 (dd with ²*J*(C,P) = 14.3 and 8.4 Hz), whereas the signal at δ 180.1 can be attributed to the carboxylate CO group. Accordingly, the diphosphine pincer

complex [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)(AMTP)(dppb)] (20) (85% yield) has been obtained from $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(dppb)]$ with HAMTP¹² and NEt₃ in 2-propanol at reflux (Scheme 6). Alternatively, 20 can be prepared (46% yield) directly from $[Ru(\kappa^2 -$ OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂], dppb, and HCNN, through a one-pot reaction. Notably, this new route is more straightforward for preparative scope, with respect to that described, involving the protonation with HOAc of the air- and moisture-sensitive isopropoxide [Ru(OiPr)(AMTP)(dppb)], which equilibrates with the hydride complex [RuH(AMTP)(dppb)].²⁵ Similarly to **20**, the benzo[*h*]quinoline CNN derivative [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)-(AMBQ^{Ph})(dppb)] (21) (59% yield) was obtained from $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(dppb)]$, HAMBQ^{Ph,12} and NEt₃ in 2-propanol at reflux (Scheme 6). Conversely, 21 (65% yield) can also be synthesized by a one-pot reaction from $[Ru(\kappa^2 -$ OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂], dppb, and HAMBQ^{Ph}. In CD₂Cl₂ 21 shows two doublets at δ_P 59.8 and 44.9 with ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.9$ Hz, while the $\rm NH_2$ resonances are at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.61 and 0.98, consistent with a N-H…O interaction as for 19 and 20.25 Finally, the broad singlet at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 180.4 is assigned to the carboxylate, a value close to that of the doublet of doublets at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 180.3 with ${}^2J({\rm C,P})$ = 16.1 and 8.8 Hz for the Ru-C atom.

Catalytic Reduction of Carbonyl Compounds via TH and HY Reactions. The acetate complexes display good to

Article

Scheme 7. TH and HY of Ketones and Aldehydes Catalyzed by Ruthenium Diacetate Complexes 7-11, 16, and 21



high catalytic activity in the reduction of the C==O bond with 2-propanol in the presence of base and H₂ under pressure (S/ C = 1000-10000) (Scheme 7).

The ethylenediamine dppf derivative 7 displays poor activity in the TH of model substrate acetophenone **a** (0.1 M) in 2propanol at reflux with NaO*i*Pr (2 mol %), affording 1phenylethanol (59% of conversion) in 20 h at S/C = 2000 (Table 1, entry 1). Conversely, the related ampy complex **10**

Table 1. Catalytic TH of Acetophenone a (0.1 M) with Complexes 7–11 and 21 (S/C = 2000–10000) and NaO*i*Pr (2 mol %) in 2-Propanol at 82 °C

entry	complex	S/C	time	conversion ^a (%)	$TOF^{b}(h^{-1})$
1	7	2000	20 h	59	70
2	8	10000	4 h	95	5200
3	9	10000	20 h	87	1200
4	9	2000	10 min	90	11000
5	9a	10000	20 h	87	1300
6	9a	2000	20 min	93	21000
7	10	10000	3 h	90	28000
8	11	2000	5 h	94	6400 ^c
9	21	10000	20 min	97	160000

^{*a*}Conversions have been determined by GC analyses. ^{*b*}Turnover frequency (moles of ketone converted to alcohol per mole of catalyst per hour) at 50% conversion. ^{*c*}30% ee.

shows a significantly higher activity with S/C = 10000, leading to 90% of the alcohol in 3 h (TOF = 28000 h⁻¹; entry 7). The ampy compounds 8 and 9, bearing the dppp and dppb ligands, give 95% and 87% conversion of **a** in 3 and 20 h, respectively, at S/C = 10000 (entries 2 and 3). The use of a higher amount of 9 (S/C = 2000) leads to a dramatic increase in the activity (90% conversion in 10 min, TOF = 11000 h⁻¹; entry 4), thus indicating that the dppb derivative undergoes easier deactivation with respect to the ferrocenyl diphosphine complex.

The cationic dppb derivative **9a** shows an activity (87% and 93% conv. at S/C 10000 and 2000) comparable with that of **9**, suggesting that under these catalytic conditions the neutral

trans **9** and the cationic **9a** lead to the same catalytically active species (Table 1, entries 3–6). Use of the (*R*)-BINAP complex **11** (S/C = 2000) affords 94% conversion in 5 h, but with poor enantioselectivity (30% ee; entry 8), while the 8-aminoquinoline derivative **16** gives incomplete reduction (29% conversion in 5 h). Finally, the pincer complex **21** was proven to be highly efficient in the TH of **a**, giving quantitative conversion in 20 min at S/C = 10000 and TOF = 160000 h⁻¹ (entry 9), a value comparable to that observed using the corresponding chloride-containing complex.^{20b,c,25} Catalysts **8**, **10**, and the pincer **21** were tested in the reduction of (bulky) ketones. Thus, **8** and **10** (at S/C = 5000) catalyze the quantitative reduction of *tert*butyl phenyl ketone **b** to 2,2-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1-propanol in 18 and 20 h, respectively (Table 2, entries 1 and 2), whereas the pincer CNN compound **21** leads to 90% conversion in 18

Table 2. Catalytic TH of Carbonyl Compounds (0.1 M) to Alcohols with Complexes 8, 10, and 21 (S/C = 2000-10000) and NaOiPr (2 mol %) in 2-Propanol at 82 °C

entry	substrate	complex	S/C	time	conversion ^a (%)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm TOF}^{b} \\ {\rm (h^{-1})} \end{array}$
1	b	8	5000	20 h	96	700
2	b	10	5000	18 h	98	1800
3	b	21	5000	18 h	99	2500
4	с	8	10000	20 h	86	1800
5	c	10	10000	18 h	78	19000
6	с	21	10000	2 h	85	59000
7	d	8	5000	1.5 h	98	19000
8	d	10	5000	10 min	98	30000
9	d	21	5000	5 min	99	150000
10	e	8	2000	20 h	86 ^c	1100
11	e	10	2000	18 h	98 ^d	7000

^{*a*}Conversions have been determined by GC analyses. ^{*b*}Turnover frequency (moles of ketone converted to alcohol per mole of catalyst per hour) at 50% conversion. ^{*c*}Mixture of diastereoisomeric alcohols: (+)-neomenthol (58%), (+)-isomenthol (11%), (-)-menthol (15%), (+)-neoisomenthol (16%). ^{*d*}Mixture of diastereoisomeric alcohols: (+)-neomenthol (65%), (+)-isomenthol (11%), (-)-menthol (15%), (+)-neoisomenthol (9%).

entry	complex	substrate	S/C	solvent	$T(^{\circ}C)$	$p(H_2)$ (atm)	conversion ^a (%)	alcohol ^a (%)	byproducts ^a (%)
1	7	а	10000	EtOH	40	30	99	99	
2	10	а	10000	EtOH	40	30	99	99	
3	19	а	10000	EtOH	70	30	40	40	
4	9	f	1000	MeOH	50	20	56	55	
5	9	g	1000	MeOH	50	20	>99	93	6 ^b
^{<i>a</i>} The HY	experiments	s were carried	out in an	eight-vessel	Endeavor Bi	otage system, and	d the conversions w	ere determined h	ov GC analysis. ^b 3-

Table 3. HY of Carbonyl Compounds (2 M) with Complexes 7, 9, 10, and 19 under H₂ with KOtBu (2 mol %) after 16 h

^aThe HY experiments were carried out in an eight-vessel Endeavor Biotage system, and the conversions were determined by GC analysis. ^b3 phenylpropan-1-ol.

h, with rates lower than those observed for the TH of **a** (entry 3). Benzophenone **c** was converted to benzhydrol (86 and 78% yields) at S/C = 10000 in 18–20 h with **8** and **10** (entries 4 and 5), whereas with the pincer **21** the reaction is faster with 85% conversion in 2 h (entry 6). Complex **8** catalyzes the TH of **d**, leading to cyclohexanol (98% conversion) at S/C = 5000 in 1.5 h (TOF = 19000 h⁻¹, entry 7), while with **10** and **21**, substrate **d** is quantitatively reduced in 10 and 5 min, respectively, with TOF values of 30000 and 150000 h⁻¹, which much of the same values obtained for **a** (entries 8 and 9). Complexes **8** and **10** promote the reduction of (–)-menthone **e** to (+)-neomenthol as the main isomer in 58 and 65% yields, in addition to (+)-isomenthol, (–)-menthol, and (+)-neoisomenthol (entries 10 and 11).

A comparison of the activity of the acetate vs the analogous chloride complexes show that the latter undergo a slightly shorter induction period for the formation of the catalytically active species with NaO*i*Pr, whereas the productivity depends on the stereoelectronic properties of the diphosphine, dppf being strongly beneficial to achieving efficient TH.^{19a,d}

Complexes 7, 9, 10, and 19 were also studied in the hydrogenation (HY) of ketones and aldehydes (2 M) at 20–30 atm of H₂ pressure and 40–70 °C in ethanol or methanol with KOtBu at S/C values of up to 10000 (Scheme 7). The HY reactions have been carried out in a catalyst screening system (eight-vessels Endeavor Biotage system), which allows parallel reactions to be followed.

The en and ampy derivatives 7 and 10 catalyze the quantitative HY of **a** at 40 °C under 30 atm of H₂ pressure with S/C = 10000 in EtOH (Table 3, entries 1 and 2), whereas the pincer 19 shows low activity (40% conversion) at 70 °C (entry 3). Complex 9 catalyzes the HY of benzaldehyde f with low conversion (55%) at 50 °C in MeOH (S/C = 1000) (entry 4). Conversely, 9 promotes the complete HY of *trans*-cinnamaldehyde g, affording cinnamyl alcohol (93%) as the main product of the C=O reduction and 3-phenylpropan-1-ol (6%) as a byproduct of the additional C=C HY (entry 5). In addition, the cationic isomer 9a displays very poor activity in the HY of f in MeOH with 12% conversion.

With regard to the mechanism of the TH and HY reductions, it is likely that the catalytically active mono- or dihydride Ru species are obtained from the ruthenium carboxylate precursors by reaction with alkoxides or H_2 .³⁶ For the TH reactions in 2-propanol, the presence of an amino group *cis* to the Ru carboxylate allows the easy formation of Ru–H species via a Ru amide complex and alcohol³⁷ or via a Ru amine/alkoxide intermediate.^{37–40} In the HY reactions in basic alcohol media, H_2 splitting leads to the formation of the ruthenium hydride active species from the carboxylate precursor through a 16-electron Ru amide complex^{38b,c} or via a Ru amine/alkoxide derivative.³⁹ The CNN pincer derivatives undergo elimination of the labile carboxylate

group, affording the corresponding hydride species, i.e. [RuH(CNN)(dppb)], a reaction which is facilitated by the *cis* NH₂ function.^{38a,40} The low activity of the PPh₃ pincer derivatives in HY may be ascribed to the formation of *trans*- $[RuH(CNN^{OMe})(PPh_3)_2]$, in which the H is *trans* to N, with respect to the more active [RuH(CNN)(dppb)], where H is *trans* to P, affording a more hydridic hydride species (see Figure S127 in the Supporting Information).^{19d,41}

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, we have described the preparation of a class of carboxylate ruthenium complexes containing PPh3 and diphosphines in combination with bidentate NN ligands. Neutral trans and cis complexes of the formula [Ru- $(OCOR)_2P_2(NN)$ and the cationic complexes $[Ru(O_2CR) P_2(NN)](O_2CR)$ have been isolated through straightforward syntheses from $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OCOR)_2(PPh_3)_2]$, a diphosphine, and NN ligands. While the *trans* diamine derivatives $[Ru(\kappa^{1} OAc)_2P_2(en)$] are thermally stable, the related 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine-type complexes trans-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂P₂(NN)] easily undergo isomerization at room temperature to the more stable *cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂P₂(NN)] and/or the cationic $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)P_2(NN)]OAc$ complexes in methanol. In addition, pincer complexes of the formula [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)-(CNN)P₂] have been obtained from $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ via facile cyclometalation of HCNN ligands, and with an additional diphosphine, through a one-pot reaction. The described complexes show good to high catalytic activity in the transfer hydrogenation and hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds. Studies to extend this protocol to the preparation of ruthenium carboxylate complexes with NN and CNN pincer ligands and their application in catalytic organic transformations are currently in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. The solvents were carefully dried by standard methods and distilled under argon before use. The ruthenium complexes [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃],⁴² [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂],^{3d} and [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(dppb)]³² and the ligands HAMTP,^{20h} HCNN^{OMe},^{20a} and HAMBQ^{Ph 20b} were prepared according to literature procedures, whereas all other chemicals were purchased from Aldrich and Strem and used without further purification. NMR measurements were recorded on Bruker AC 200 and Avance III HD NMR 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts (ppm) are relative to TMS for ¹H and ¹³C{¹H}, whereas H₃PO₄ was used for ³¹P{¹H}. Infrared measurements were obtained with a Bruker Vector 22 FTIR spectrometer. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were carried out with a Carlo Erba 1106 analyzer, whereas GC analyses were performed with a Varian CP-3380 gas chromatograph equipped with a 25 m length MEGADEX-ETTBDMS- β chiral column with hydrogen (5 psi) as the carrier gas and a flame ionization detector (FID).

Synthesis of *trans,cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(en)] (1). [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and en (9.6 μ L, 0.142 mmol,

1.06 equiv) were stirred in MEK (2 mL) at room temperature for 45 min. Addition of n-pentane (2 mL) afforded a vellow precipitate that was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 90 mg (84%). Anal. Calcd for C42H44N2O4P2Ru (803.84): C, 62.76; H, 5.52; N, 3.49. Found: C, 62.85; H, 5.60; N, 3.51. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 7.34–6.97 (m, 30H; aromatic protons), 5.31 (br s, 4H: NH₂), 2.67 (br s, 4H; CH₂N), 1.67 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 45.5 (s). ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 7.42-7.23 (m, 18H; aromatic protons), 7.16 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.4$ Hz, 12H; aromatic protons), 5.37 (br s, 4H; NH₂), 2.70 (m, 4H; NCH₂CH₂N), 1.71 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 182.7 (br s; OCOCH₃), 136.0 (t, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 18.7$ Hz; *ipso-Ph*), 135.6 $(t, {}^{1}J(C,P) = 18.5 \text{ Hz}; ipso-Ph), 134.2 (t, {}^{2}J(C,P) = 4.8 \text{ Hz}; ortho-Ph),$ 128.8 (br s; para-Ph), 127.5 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 4.4$ Hz; meta-Ph), 43.9 (br s; NCH₂CH₂N), 25.8 (br s; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (162.0 MHz, $CD_2Cl_2, 25 \ ^{\circ}C): \delta 44.8 \ (s).$

Synthesis of trans, cis-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (2). Method 1. Complex 2 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 1, with ampy (15.0 μ L, 0.146 mmol, 1.09 equiv) in place of en. Yield: 113 mg (99%). Anal. Calcd for C46H44N2O4P2Ru (851.89): C, 64.86; H, 5.21; N, 3.29. Found: C, 64.90; H, 5.30; N, 3.31. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 8.45 (d, ³J(H,H) = 5.7 Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N), 7.57-6.88 (m, 32H; aromatic protons), 6.70 (br d, ³J(H,H) = 5.4 Hz, 2H; NH₂), 6.53 (pseudo-t, J(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.18 (m, 2H; CH₂N), 1.67 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 180.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.6$ Hz; OCOCH₃), 166.5 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; NCCH₂), 156.7 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 4.0$ Hz; NCH of C_cH₄N), 137.2–119.3 (m: aromatic carbon atoms), 51.6 (dd. ³*J*(C.P) = 3.5 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 2.4 Hz; CH₂N), 26.1 (s; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (81.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 44.6 (d, ²J(P,P) = 31.3 Hz), 39.4 (d, ${}^{2}I(P,P) = 31.3$ Hz).

Method 2. $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (37 mg, 0.050 mmol) and ampy (6.0 μ L, 0.058 mmol, 1.17 equiv) were dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ (0.45 mL). After 5 min at room temperature quantitative formation of **2** was observed by NMR analysis.

Method 3. [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] (450 mg, 0.469 mmol) and NaOAc (385 mg, 4.69 mmol, 10 equiv) were suspended in degassed acetone (5 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h, affording a bright orange precipitate of $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2\text{-OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$. When the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, ampy (52 μ L, 0.504 mmol, 1.07 equiv) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min, leading to a bright yellow precipitate. After the addition of *n*-heptane (8 mL), the solid was filtered, washed with water (3 × 10 mL), 2-propanol (1 mL), and *n*-pentane (3 × 5 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 303 mg (76%).

Synthesis of cis-[Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(PPh₃)₂(ampy)]OAc (2a) and cis,cis-[Ru(x¹-OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (2b). Method 1. Complex 2 (20 mg, 0.023 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (2 mL), and the orange solution was stirred for 24 h at RT. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL). Addition of *n*-pentane (2 mL) gave a yellow-orange precipitate, which was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$, and dried under reduced pressure. The product consists of 2a,b in a 3:2 molar ratio. Yield: 17 mg (85%). Anal. Calcd for C46H44N2O4P2Ru (851.89): C, 64.86; H, 5.21; N, 3.29. Found: C, 64.80; H, 5.17; N, 3.37. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 8.20 (m, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N minor isomer), 7.98 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 5.7 Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N major isomer), 7.73 (td, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 7.7 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.8$ Hz, 1H; para-CH of C₅H₄N major isomer), 7.70-7.63 (m, 3H; aromatic protons both isomers), 7.62-7.49 (m, 4H; aromatic protons both isomers), 7.48-7.08 (m, 22H; aromatic protons both isomers), 6.96 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.4$ Hz, 1H; meta-CH of $C_{5}H_{4}N$ major isomer), 6.73 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.0$ Hz, 1H; meta-CH of C_5H_4N minor isomer), 5.84 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.6$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.8$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.6$ Hz, 1H; meta-CH of C₅H₄N minor isomer), 4.48 $(d_1^2 J(H,H) = 15.5 \text{ Hz}, 1H; CH_2 \text{N minor isomer}), 4.42 (dd_1^2 J(H,H))$ = 15.5 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,P)$ = 4.6 Hz, 1H; CH₂N minor isomer), 4.10 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.1$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N major isomer), 3.92 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) =$

16.1 Hz, 1H; CH₂N major isomer), 1.93 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 1.70 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 1.36 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 1.15 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ major isomer). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 191.7 (d, ²J(C,P) = 2.2 Hz; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 190.4 (br s; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 190.3 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 180.3 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 165.3 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.6$ Hz; NCCH₂ minor isomer), 162.1 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; NCCH₂ major isomer), 160.7 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 2.3 Hz; NCH of C_5H_4N minor isomer), 151.4 (br s; NCH of C₅H₄N major isomer), 138.9–121.7 (m; aromatic carbon atoms both isomers), 53.6 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.9$ Hz; CH₂N major isomer), 51.2 (d, $3J(C_1P) = 2.3$ Hz; CH₂N minor isomer), 24.3 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 24.2 (m; OCOCH₃ both isomers), 24.0 (d, ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 1.5$ Hz; OCOCH₃ minor isomer). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 65.3 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 29.3 Hz; minor isomer), 60.6 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 32.6 Hz; major isomer), 49.4 (d, ${}^{2}I(P,P)$ = 29.3 Hz; minor isomer), 47.4 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 32.6$ Hz; major isomer).

Method 2. $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^1\text{-OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2](20 \text{ mg}, 0.0269 \text{ mmol})$ and ampy (3.0 μ L, 0.0291 mmol, 1.08 equiv) were dissolved in CH₃OH (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 36 h at RT. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). Addition of *n*-pentane (2 mL) afforded a yelloworange precipitate that was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure, leading to **2a**,**b** in a 3:2 molar ratio. Yield: 19 mg (83%).

Synthesis of *trans,cis*-[**Ru**(κ^1 -OAc)₂(**PPh**₃)₂(**ampyrim**)] (3). Complex 3 was prepared byfollowing the procedure used for the synthesis of 1 (method 1), with ampyrim⁴³ (16.1 µL, 0.168 mmol, 1.25 equiv) in place of en. Yield: 101 mg (88%). Anal. Calcd for C₄₅H₄₃N₃O₄P₂Ru (852.87): C, 63.37; H, 5.08; N, 4.93. Found: C, 63.45; H, 5.10; N, 4.91. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 8.49 (m, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.36 (m, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.48–7.06 (m, 24H: aromatic protons), 7.05–6.88 (m, 6H: aromatic protons), 6.48 (*pseudo*-t, *J*(H,H) = 5.1 Hz, 1H: aromatic proton), 6.22 (m, 2H; NH₂), 4.31 (m, 2H; CH₂N), 1.71 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 180.9 (br s; OCOCH₃), 176.3 (dd, ³*J*(CP) = 3.5 Hz, ³*J*(CP) = 1.4 Hz; NCCH₂), 162.6 (d, ³*J*(CP) = 3.3 Hz; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 155.1 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 136.3–117.6 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 51.5 (t, ³*J*(CP) = 2.4 Hz; CH₂N), 25.9 (s; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 43.6 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 32.3 Hz), 39.7 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 32.3 Hz).

Synthesis of cis-[Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(PPh₃)₂(ampyrim)]OAc (3a) and cis, cis-[Ru(k¹-OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampyrim)] (3b). Complex 3 (27 mg, 0.032 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (2 mL), and the orange solution was stirred for 48 h at RT. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL). Addition of *n*-pentane (2 mL) afforded a yellow-orange precipitate that was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$, and dried under reduced pressure. The product consists of 3a,b in a 2:1 molar ratio. Yield: 21 mg (78%). Anal. Calcd for C45H43N3O4P2Ru (852.87): C, 63.37; H, 5.08; N, 4.93. Found: C, 63.35; H, 5.14; N, 4.97. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 8.82 (d, ³*J*(H,H) = 5.0 Hz, 1H; RuNCH of $C_4H_3N_2$ minor isomer), 8.66 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.9$ Hz, $^{4}J(H,H) = 2.1$ Hz; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ major isomer), 8.25 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.1 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H; \text{ NCH of } C_{4}H_{3}N_{2} \text{ major}$ isomer), 8.21 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.0$ Hz, 1H; NCH of $C_4H_3N_2$ minor isomer), 7.61 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 4H; aromatic protons major isomer), 7.43-7.33 (m, 6H; aromatic protons both isomers), 7.33-7.09 (m, 20H; aromatic protons both isomers), 7.06 $(t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}; \text{ aromatic proton major isomer}), 7.03 (m, 1)$ 1H; aromatic proton minor isomer), 6.90 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.3$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton minor isomer), 5.98 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.4$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton minor isomer), 4.54-4.41 (m, 2H; CH₂N minor isomer), 4.17 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 17.1$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N major isomer), 3.96 $(d, {}^{2}I(H,H) = 17.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H; CH_{2}N \text{ major isomer}), 1.91 (s, 3H;$ OCOCH₃ major isomer), 1.75 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 1.36 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 1.18 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ major isomer). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 192.2 (d, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.1$ Hz; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 190.9 (br s; OCOCH₃

minor isomer), 190.8 (br s; OCOCH3 major isomer), 180.0 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 174.2 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.6$ Hz; NCCH₂ minor isomer), 172.2 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.5$ Hz; NCCH₂ major isomer), 167.0 $(d_1 {}^{3}J(C_1P) = 1.5 \text{ Hz}; \text{ RuNCH of } C_4H_3N_2 \text{ minor isomer}), 158.7 (s;$ RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ major isomer), 158.5 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂ major isomer), 156.4 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂ minor isomer), 136.4-129.4 (m; aromatic carbon atoms both isomers), 122.4 (d, J(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; aromatic carbon atom major isomer), 120.4 (br s; aromatic carbon atom minor isomer), 53.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.0$ Hz; CH₂N major isomer), 51.7 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.2$ Hz; CH₂N minor isomer), 24.3 (d, ${}^{4}J(C,P) =$ 1.4 Hz; OCOCH3 minor isomer), 24.1 (br s; OCOCH3 both isomers), 23.9 (d, ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 1.3$ Hz; OCOCH₃ major isomer). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 64.3 (d, ²J(P,P) = 28.0 Hz; minor isomer), 58.8 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 32.8$ Hz; major isomer), 49.0 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 28.0$ Hz; minor isomer), 47.7 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 32.8$ Hz; major isomer).

Synthesis of *trans*-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂(dppm)₂] (4). Complex 4 was prepared by following a slight modification of a procedure described for the synthesis of the cationic isomer [Ru(κ^{2} -OAc)(dppm)₂]OAc.³² [Ru(κ^{2} -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (50.0 mg, 0.067 mmol) and dppm (51.9 mg, 0.135 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were stirred in toluene (0.75 mL) at 95 °C for 20 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was added to *n*-heptane (4 mL). The mixture was stirred for 10 min, giving a suspension, which was filtered; the solid was washed with *n*-heptane (2 × 1 mL) and *n*-pentane (2 × 1 mL) and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 45 mg (68%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₅₀O₄P₄Ru (987.96): C, 65.65; H, 5.10. Found: C, 65.70; H, 5.15. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 7.41–7.03 (m, 40H; aromatic protons), 5.84 (m, 4H; PCH₂), 0.80 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ - 5.9 (s).

Synthesis of *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppe)₂] (5). Complex 5 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 4, with dppe (53.8 mg, 0.135 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in place of dppm. This method presents some slight modifications in comparison to that already reported for the synthesis of 5.³² Yield: 49 mg (72%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₅₄O₄P₄Ru (1016.01): C, 66.20; H, 5.36. Found: C, 66.26; H, 5.43. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 7.56–6.88 (m, 40H; aromatic protons), 3.20 (br m, 8H; PCH₂CH₂P), 0.80 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 44.7 (s).

Synthesis of *cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppm)(ampy)] (6). [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (200 mg, 0.269 mmol) and dppm (104 mg, 0.270 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were dissolved in toluene (1 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 4 h, until the precursor was fully converted to [Ru(κ^{1} - $OAc_{2}(dppm)(PPh_{3})$ as verified by NMR analysis. ampy (31 μ L, 0.300 mmol, 1.11 equiv) was added, and the solution was stirred at 95 °C for 14 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was added to n-heptane (6 mL). The suspension was stirred for 10 min, and the solid was filtered, washed with n-heptane $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and *n*-pentane $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 145 mg (76%). Anal. Calcd for C35H36N2O4P2Ru (711.70): C, 59.07; H, 5.10; N, 3.94. Found C, 59.15; H, 5.18; N, 3.97. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 9.74 (m, 1H; NH₂), 9.59 (m, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N), 8.01 (br t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.1$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.92-6.86 (m, 19H; aromatic protons), 6.70 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.5$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 5.84 (pseudo-q, J(H,H) =13.1 Hz, 1H; PCH₂), 5.11 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 3.58 (dd, ²J(H,H) = 16.1 $Hz_{1}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.0 Hz_{1} 1H; CH_{2}N), 3.32 (m, 1H; CH_{2}N), 2.01 (s, 1H; CH_{2}N),$ 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.58 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.13 (m, 1H; NH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 23.4 (d, ²J(P,P) = 94.4 Hz), 7.9 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 94.4$ Hz). ${}^{1}H$ NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 9.19 (m, 1H; ortho-CH of C₃H₄N), 7.81 (t, ³J(H,H) = 15.3 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.64 (br t, ³J(H,H) = 16.2 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.51 (br t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 13.6$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.44-6.90 (m, 17H; aromatic protons), 6.68 (t, ³J(H,H) = 14.9 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 5.92 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 5.33 (m, 1H; PCH_2 , 3.61 (dd, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.2 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H; CH_2N), 2.35 (m, 1H; CH₂N), 2.03 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.66 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 181.5

(br s; OCOCH₃), 178.4 (br s; OCOCH₃), 162.5 (s; NCCH₂), 154.5 (s; NCH of C₃H₄N), 138.5–120.1 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 50.9 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 5.6$ Hz; CH₂N), 27.0 (m; PCH₂), 23.9 (s; OCOCH₃), 22.6 (s; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 21.1 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 84.1$ Hz), 7.4 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 84.1$ Hz).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppf)(en)] (7). [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and dppf (75 mg, 0.135 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After addition of ethylenediamine (en) (13 μ L, 0.195 mmol, 1.46 equiv), the solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min until a yellow precipitate was formed. n-Pentane (3 mL) was added to the mixture, which was stirred for 30 min and filtered, giving a yellow compound, which was washed with *n*pentane $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 101 mg (90%). Anal. Calcd for C40H42FeN2O4P2Ru (833.65): C, 57.63; H, 5.08; N, 3.36. Found: C, 57.65; H, 5.14; N, 3.40. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 7.69-7.55 (m, 8H; aromatic protons), 7.45-7.20 (m, 12H; aromatic protons), 4.92 (br s, 4H; NH₂), 4.46 $(m, 4H; C_5H_4), 4.24$ (pseudo-t, J(H,H) = 1.8 Hz, $4H; C_5H_4), 2.64$ (br t, J(H,H) = 4.6 Hz, 4H; NCH₂CH₂N), 1.69 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 182.0 (t, ³J(C,P) = 1.0 Hz; OCOCH₃), 138.0 (pseudo-t, J(C,P) = 18.3 Hz; ipso-Ph), 134.5 (t, J(C,P) = 5.1 Hz; ortho-Ph), 129.3 (t, J(C,P) = 1.0 Hz; para-Ph), 127.7 (t, J(C,P) = 4.3 Hz; meta-Ph), 83.2 (pseudo-t, J(C,P) = 23.8 Hz; *ipso*-C₅H₄), 75.1 (t, J(C,P) = 4.0 Hz; C_5H_4), 71.6 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.8$ Hz; C_5H_4), 43.9 (m; CH₂N), 26.0 (s; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (81.0 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 48.3 (s).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppp)(ampy)] (8). Method 1. $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and dppp (56.1 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. ampy (20 μ L, 0.194 mmol, 1.45 equiv) was added to the mixture, and the resulting light orange solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, n-pentane (5 mL) was added, and the suspension was stirred for 10 min. After filtration, the yellow product was washed with *n*-pentane $(4 \times 3 \text{ mL})$ and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 84 mg (85%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₀N₂O₄P₂Ru (739.75): C, 60.07; H, 5.45; N, 3.79. Found: C, 60.12; H, 5.44; N, 3.83. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 8.42 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N), 7.78–6.96 (m, 22H; aromatic protons), 6.71 (pseudo-t, I(H,H) = 6.5 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.28 (br s, 2H; NH₂), 4.12 (br m, 2H; CH₂N), 2.62–2.35 (m, 4H; PCH₂), 2.30–1.80 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.68 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 180.9 (d, ³J(C,P) = 1.7 Hz; OCOCH₃), 166.3 (dd, ³J(C,P) = 2.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 1.4 Hz; NCCH₂), 154.7 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 3.9 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 0.5$ Hz; NCH of $C_{5}H_{4}N$, 138.6–119.7 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 50.5 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.6 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.0 \text{ Hz}$; CH₂N), 27.4 (m; PCH₂), 26.8 (m; PCH₂), 25.2 (s; OCOCH₃), 19.5 (dd, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J(C,P) = 0.5 \text{ Hz}; PCH_{2}CH_{2}). {}^{31}P{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR} (81.0)$ MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 47.8 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 49.1 Hz), 33.1 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 49.1 Hz).

Method 2. $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (200 mg, 0.269 mmol) and dppp (112 mg, 0.272 mmol) were suspended in acetone (2 mL) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. ampy (40 μ L, 0.388 mmol, 1.44 equiv) was added, and the suspension was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solid was filtered, washed with *n*-hexane (3 × 2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 185 mg (93%).

Method 3. trans,cis-[Ru(OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (2) (100 mg, 0.117 mmol) and dppp (49.5 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) were stirred in MEK (1 mL) at 50 °C for 20 h. The solution was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was added to *n*-heptane (5 mL). The suspension was stirred for 10 min at room temperature, and the solid was filtered, washed with *n*-heptane (2 × 3 mL) and *n*-pentane (2 × 2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 53 mg (61%).

Synthesis of [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(dppp)(ampy)]OAc (8a). Complex 8 (20 mg, 0.027 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (2 mL), and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 56 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL). Addition of *n*-pentane (2 mL) afforded a yellow-

orange precipitate, which was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (3×2) mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 18 mg (90%). Anal. Calcd for C37H40N2O4P2Ru (739.75): C, 60.07; H, 5.45; N, 3.79. Found: C, 60.10; H, 5.47; N, 3.81. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 8.09 (d, ³I(H,H) = 5.7 Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C_cH₄N), 7.82 $(t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H; \text{ aromatic protons}), 7.76-6.94 (m, 18H;$ aromatic protons), 6.87 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.80 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 1H; aromatic protons), 3.92 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) =$ 16.9 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.29 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.9$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.16-2.77 (m, 4H, PCH₂), 2.74-2.35 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.92 (s, 3H; CH₂CO₂), 1.52 (s, 3H; CH₂CO₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 189.6 (d, ²*J*(C,P) = 2.8 Hz; OCOCH₃), 180.4 (br s; OCOCH₃), 162.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; NCCH₂), 149.9 (br s; NCH of C_5H_4N), 139.0–122.2 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 53.5 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.0$ Hz; CH₂N), 29.8 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 31.7$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.7$ Hz; PCH₂), 29.6 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 30.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.7$ Hz; PCH₂), 24.8 (br s; OCOCH₃), 24.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 21.9 (t, J(C,P) = 1.9 Hz; CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 55.2 (d, ²J(P,P) = 48.4 Hz), 36.7 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 48.4$ Hz).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppb)(ampy)] (9). Method 1. Complex 9 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 1), with dppb (57.8 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 62 mg (61%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₄₂N₂O₄P₂Ru (753.78): C, 60.55; H, 5.62; N, 3.72. Found: C, 60.50; H, 5.65; N, 3.70. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 8.95 (m, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N), 7.83–7.08 (m, 22H; aromatic protons), 6.81 (pseudo-t, ³J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.03 (m, 2H; NH₂), 4.06 (m, 2H; CH₂N), 2.78 (m, 2H; PCH₂), 2.25 (m, 2H; PCH₂), 1.94–1.64 (m, 4H; PCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.53 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 181.0 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.5 \text{ Hz; OCOCH}_{3}$, 167.5 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.9 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J(C,P) =$ 1.4 Hz; NCCH₂), 154.9 (d, $J(C_{1}P) = 3.7$ Hz; NCH of $C_{5}H_{4}N$), 139.4–119.9 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 50.6 (dd, ${}^{3}I(C,P) = 3.8$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.0$ Hz; CH₂N), 33.9 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 27.1$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) =$ 3.0 Hz; PCH₂), 27.7 $(d_{1}^{-1}J(C,P) = 25.3$ Hz; PCH₂), 26.5 (m; PCH₂CH₂), 25.1 (m; OCOCH₃), 19.9 (m; PCH₂CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 51.1 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 36.6 Hz), $36.5 (d. {}^{2}J(P,P) = 36.6 Hz).$

Method 2. Complex 9 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 2), with dppb (115.6 mg, 0.271 mmol, 1.01 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 156 mg (77%).

Method 3. Complex 9 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 3), with dppb (51.2 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 62 mg (70%).

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)(dppb)(ampy)]OAc$ (9a). Complex 9a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppb)(ampy)] (9) (20 mg, 0.0265 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 9 in CH₃OH was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Yield: 19.6 mg (98%). Anal. Calcd for C38H42N2O4P2Ru (753.78): C, 60.55; H, 5.62; N, 3.72. Found: C, 60.60; H, 5.64; N, 3.76. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 8.26 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.6$ Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N), 7.90 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 9.6 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H; \text{ aromatic}$ protons), 7.77 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.67 (td, ³J(H,H) = 7.7 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.6$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.63-7.49 (m, 6H, aromatic protons), 7.44-7.25 (m, 5H; aromatic protons), 7.22 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.15 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.2$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.06 (m, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.98 (td, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.9$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.6$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.87 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 4.03 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.4$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.60 (d, ${}^{2}J$ (H,H) = 16.4 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.17–2.93 (m, 2H, PCH₂), 2.46 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.30 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.20-1.99 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.92 (s, 3H; CH₃CO₂), 1.80 (pseudo-q, J(H,H) = 13.6 Hz, 1H; CH₂), 1.73–1.54 (m, 1H; CH₂), 1.45 (s, 3H; CH₃CO₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 189.7 (t, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.0 \text{ Hz}; \text{ OCOCH}_{3}, 180.5 \text{ (s; OCOCH}_{3}, 162.0 \text{ (d, }{}^{3}J(C,P))$ = 1.5 Hz; NCCH₂), 150.9 (s; NCH of C_5H_4N), 140.4–121.5 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 53.6 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.9$ Hz; CH₂N), 31.3 (d, $^{1}J(C,P) = 29.3 \text{ Hz}; PCH_{2}), 29.4 (pseudo-t, J(C,P) = 27.9 \text{ Hz}; PCH_{2}),$

26.4 (br s; CH₂), 24.7 (d; ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; OCOCH₃), 24.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 23.6 (br s; CH₂). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 58.2 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.2$ Hz), 46.0 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.2$ Hz).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(k¹-OAc)₂(dppf)(ampy)] (10). Method 1. Complex 10 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 1), with dppf (75 mg, 0.135 mmol, 1.01 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 87 mg (74%). Anal. Calcd for C44H42FeN2O4P2Ru (881.69): C, 59.94; H, 4.80; N, 3.18. Found: C, 60.00; H, 4.85; N, 3.20. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 8.62 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 3.1$ Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N), 7.81 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.9$ Hz, 3H; aromatic protons), 7.56 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.8$ Hz, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.49-6.92 (m, 15H; aromatic protons), 6.68 (pseudo-t, J(H,H) = 6.3 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.34 (pseudo-q, $I(H,H) = 5.7 \text{ Hz}, 2H; \text{ NH}_2$, 4.68 (br s, 2H; C₅H₄), 4.32 (br s, 2H; C₅H₄), 4.15-3.88 (m, 6H; C₅H₄ and CH₂N), 1.55 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 181.2 (d, ³J(C,P) = 1.4 Hz, OCOCH₃), 167.7 (dd, ³J(C,P) = 2.9 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.6$ Hz; NCCH₂), 154.6 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.2$ Hz; NCH of C_5H_4N), 136.6–120.1 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 82.7 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P)$ = 43.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 4.0 Hz; ipso-C₅H₄), 81.4 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P)$ = 47.3 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.2 \text{ Hz}; ipso-C_{5}H_{4}), 75.5 (pseudo-t, J(C,P) = 8.0 \text{ Hz}; C_{5}H_{4}),$ 72.9 (d, J(C,P) = 5.6 Hz; C_5H_4), 70.8 (d, J(C,P) = 4.5 Hz; C_5H_4), 50.7 (m; CH₂N), 25.5 (s; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (81.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 56.2 (d, ²J(P,P) = 37.8 Hz), 35.0 (d, ²J(P,P) = 37.8 Hz).

Method 2. Complex 10 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 2), with dppf (149 mg, 0.269 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 209 mg (88%).

Method 3. Complex 10 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 3), with dppf (66.5 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 75 mg (73%).

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)(dppf)(ampy)]OAc$ (10a). Complex 10a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppf)(ampy)] (10) (20 mg, 0.0227 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 10 in CH₃OH was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Yield: 19 mg (95%). Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₄₂FeN₂O₄P₂Ru (881.69): C, 59.94; H, 4.80; N, 3.18. Found: C, 60.01; H, 4.84; N, 3.16. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 7.94 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.7$ Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N), 7.82 (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 7.5 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.70-7.33 (m, 14H, aromatic protons), 7.32-7.23 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.15 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.8$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.08 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.7$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.55 (s, 1H; C₅H₄), 4.52 (s, 2H; C₅H₄), 4.43 (s, 1H; C₅H₄), 4.41 (s, 2H; C₅H₄), 4.38 (s, 1H; C₅H₄), 4.24 (s, 1H; C_5H_4), 3.91 (d, ²*J*(H,H) = 16.3 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.60 (d, ²*J*(H,H) = 16.3 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 1.92 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.26 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 190.8 (t, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.9 \text{ Hz}; \text{ OCOCH}_{3}, 180.4 (s; \text{ OCOCH}_{3}), 162.4 (d, {}^{3}J(C,P)$ = 1.5 Hz; NCCH₂), 151.2 (br s; NCH of C_5H_4N), 139.2–122.3 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 80.6 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 55.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.6$ Hz; *ipso*-C₅H₄), 78.5 (d, J(C,P) = 11.7 Hz; C₅H₄), 77.3 (dd, ²J(C,P)= 11.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 0.7$ Hz; $C_{5}H_{4}$), 76.3 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 54.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.3 \text{ Hz}; ipso-C_{5}H_{4}), 76.0 \text{ (d, } J(C,P) = 15.3 \text{ Hz}; C_{5}H_{4}), 75.9$ $(d, J(C,P) = 15.4 \text{ Hz}; C_5H_4), 74.5 (pseudo-t, J(C,P) = 7.3 \text{ Hz}; C_5H_4),$ 74.3 (d, $J(C_{1}P) = 7.3$ Hz; $C_{5}H_{4}$), 74.0 (d, $J(C_{1}P) = 5.9$ Hz; $C_{5}H_{4}$), 53.1 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.3$ Hz; CH₂N), 24.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 24.3 (br s; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 20 °C): δ 59.9 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 35.3 \text{ Hz}$, 49.6 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 35.3 \text{ Hz}$).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂((*R*)-BINAP)(ampy)] (11). [Ru(κ^{2} -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂] (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and (*R*)-BINAP (85 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were suspended in toluene (1.5 mL) and refluxed for 24 h. The resulting orange solution was cooled to room temperature, and ampy (20 μ L, 0.194 mmol, 1.45 equiv) was added. The light orange solution obtained was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Treatment of the residue with *n*-pentane (10 mL) led to a suspension, which was stirred for 10 min, and the yellow precipitate obtained was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 5 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 75.1 mg (59%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₄₆N₂O₄P₂Ru (949.99): C, 68.27; H, 4.88; N, 2.95. Found: C, 68.35; H, 4.85; N, 3.01. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 8.48 (m, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N), 8.18 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.1$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.75-7.69 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.65-7.26 (m, 16H; aromatic protons), 7.25-7.18 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.03-6.91 (m, 2H; aromatic proton and NH₂), 6.88 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.84–6.76 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.72 (t, ³J(H,H) = 7.1 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.62 (t, ${}^{3}\overline{J}(H,H) = 6.5$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.56 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.6$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.43 (m, 3H; aromatic protons), 6.26 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.7$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 5.06 (br q, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.6$ Hz, 1H; NH₂), 4.07 (dt, ${}^{2}J(H,H) =$ 16.0 Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.6$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.97 (m, 1H; CH₂N), 1.83 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.61 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 181.8 (d, ³J(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; OCOCH₃), 181.3 (d, ³J(C,P) = 1.4 Hz; OCOCH₃), 166.9 (dd, ³J(C,P) = 2.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.1$ Hz; NCCH₂), 155.5 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.7$ Hz; NCH of C_5H_4N), 139.0–119.9 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 50.6 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) =$ 2.1 Hz; CH₂N), 25.8 (br s; OCOCH₃), 25.2 (br s; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 54.9 (d, ²J(P,P) = 36.9 Hz), 40.9 (d, ${}^{2}I(P,P) = 36.9$ Hz).

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)((R)-BINAP)(ampy)]OAc$ (11a). Complex 11a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂((R)-BINAP)(ampy)] (11) (22 mg, 0.0232 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 11 in CH₃OH was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The product consists of a 2:1 molar mixture of two stereoisomers. Yield: 21.1 mg (96%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₄₆N₂O₄P₂Ru (949.99): C, 68.27; H, 4.88; N, 2.95. Found: C, 68.32; H, 4.83; N, 2.99. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 8.38 (d, ³J(H,H) = 2.9 Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C_5H_4N major isomer), 8.21 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 3.9$ Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N minor isomer), 8.09–5.94 (m, 22H; aromatic protons both isomers), 4.39 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.2$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N major isomer), 4.16 $(d_1^2 J(H,H) = 16.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H; CH_2 \text{N major isomer}), 4.08 (d_1^2 J(H,H) =$ 16.4 Hz, 1H; CH₂N minor isomer), 3.94 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.4$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N minor isomer), 1.92 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor and major isomers), 1.50 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 1.41 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ minor isomer). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 189.3 (d, ²J(C,P) = 2.4 Hz; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 189.0 $(d_1^2 I(C,P) = 2.3 \text{ Hz}; \text{ OCOCH}_3 \text{ minor isomer}), 180.4 (s; \text{ OCOCH}_3)$ both isomers), 162.4 (br s; NCCH₂ minor isomer), 162.1 (br s; NCCH₂ major isomer), 151.7 (br s; NCH of C₅H₄N minor isomer), 151.2 (br s; NCH of C_3H_4N major isomer), 143.0–122.2 (m; aromatic carbon atoms both isomers), 53.1 (d, ${}^3J(C,P) = 2.2$ Hz; CH_2N major isomer), 52.4 (d, ${}^{3}J(C_1P) = 2.4$ Hz; CH_2N minor isomer), 24.4 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer), 24.2 (br s; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 23.9 (d; ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 3.4$ Hz; OCOCH₃ minor isomer), 23.8 (br s; OCOCH₃ major isomer). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 68.3 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 38.7 Hz; minor isomer), 61.1 $(d_1 {}^2 J(P,P) = 38.8 \text{ Hz}; \text{ major isomer}), 58.1 (d_1 {}^2 J(P,P) = 38.7 \text{ Hz};$ minor isomer), 52.4 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 38.8$ Hz; major isomer).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppp)(ampyrim)] (12). Method 1. Complex 12 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8 (method 1), with ampyrim (18.7 μ L, 0.195 mmol, 1.45 equiv) in place of ampy. Yield: 82 mg (82%).

Method 2. trans,cis-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampyrim)] (3) (100 mg, 0.117 mmol) and dppp (49.5 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) were stirred in MEK (1 mL) at 50 °C for 18 h. The resulting solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue added to *n*-heptane (5 mL). The suspension was stirred for 10 min and the solid filtered, washed with *n*-heptane (2 × 3 mL) and *n*-pentane (2 × 2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 49 mg (57%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₉N₃O₄P₂Ru (740.74): C, 58.37; H, 5.31; N, 5.67. Found: C, 58.35; H, 5.35; N, 5.71. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 8.52 (dd, ³J(H,H) = 4.5 Hz, ⁴J(H,H) = 1.9 Hz, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.41 (m, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.66 (t, ³J(H,H) = 8.0 Hz, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.47 (t, ³J(H,H) = 6.8 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.42 (t, ³J(H,H) = 6.6 Hz, 4H; aromatic protons), 6.74 (t, ³J(H,H) = 5.1 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.07 (br s, 2H; NH₂), 4.28 (td, ³J(H,H) = 6.7 Hz, ⁴J(H,H) = 2.6 Hz,

2H; CH₂N), 2.59–2.44 (m, 4H; PCH₂), 2.02–1.85 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.75 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 182.3 (d, ³*J*(CP) = 2.2 Hz; OCOCH₃), 177.8 (dd, ³*J*(CP) = 2.5 Hz, ³*J*(CP) = 1.3 Hz; NCCH₂), 162.1 (d, ³*J*(CP) = 3.1 Hz; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 157.4 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 138.4 (d, ¹*J*(CP) = 41.1 Hz; *ipso* aromatic carbon atoms), 134.9–128.7 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 119.8 (t, *J*(CP) = 1.5 Hz; aromatic carbon atom), 52.0 (t, ³*J*(CP) = 2.2 Hz; CH₂N), 28.1 (dd, ¹*J*(CP) = 30.1 Hz, ³*J*(CP) = 5.1 Hz; PCH₂), 28.0 (d, ¹*J*(CP) = 30.2 Hz; PCH₂), 26.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 20.7 (d, ²*J*(CP) = 2.2 Hz; CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 47.9 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 50.1 Hz), 32.6 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 50.1 Hz).

Synthesis of [Ru(k²-OAc)(dppp)(ampyrim)]OAc (12a). Complex 12a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing *trans*-[$Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)_2(dppp)(ampyrim)$] (12) (23 mg, 0.0310 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 12 in CH₃OH was stirred for 64 h at room temperature. Yield: 20 mg (87%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₉N₃O₄P₂Ru (740.74): C, 58.37; H, 5.31; N, 5.67. Found: C, 58.32; H, 5.37; N, 5.63. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 8.93 (dd, ³*J*(H,H) = 4.8 Hz, ⁴*J*(H,H) = 2.9 Hz, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.47 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.9$ Hz, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.88 (tt, ${}^{3}J$ (H,H) = 8.5 Hz, ${}^{4}J$ (H,H) = 1.3 Hz, 3H; aromatic protons), 7.74-7.63 (m, 5H; aromatic protons), 7.56-7.46 (m, 5H; aromatic protons), 7.43-6.97 (m, 7H; aromatic protons), 6.81 (td, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.2$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 3.9$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.30 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 17.5$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 4.07 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) =$ 17.5 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.12–2.99 (m, 4H; PCH₂), 2.61–2.45 (m, 1H; CH₂), 2.40-2.22 (m, 1H; CH₂), 1.92 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.49 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 190.3 (d, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.2$ Hz; OCOCH₃), 179.8 (br s; OCOCH₃), 172.3 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4 \text{ Hz}$; NCCH₂), 159.5 (s; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 158.0 (br s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 137.7-128.8 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 121.1 (br s; aromatic carbon atom), 53.9 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; CH₂N), 29.4 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 31.3 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.1 \text{ Hz}$; PCH₂), 28.7 $(d, {}^{T}J(C,P) = 34.5 \text{ Hz}; PCH_2), 24.5 (d, {}^{4}J(C,P) = 1.4 \text{ Hz}; OCOCH_3),$ 24.0 (br s; OCOCH₃), 21.0 (br s; CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 56.1 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 49.2 Hz), 37.7 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 49.2 Hz).

Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppb)(ampyrim)] (13). *Method 1.* Complex 13 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 12 (method 1), with dppb (58.5 mg, 0.137 mmol, 1.02 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 78.2 mg (77%).

Method 2. Complex 13 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 12 (method 2), with dppb (51.2 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 66 mg (75%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₁N₃O₄P₂Ru (754.77): C, 58.88; H, 5.48; N, 5.57. Found: C, 58.92; H, 5.41; N, 5.51. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 9.00 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.8$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.9$ Hz, 1H; RuNCH of $C_4H_3N_2$, 8.56 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.2$ Hz, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.74-7.66 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.48-7.31 (m, 12H; aromatic protons), 7.23 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 9.1$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.1$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.0$ Hz, 4H; aromatic protons), 6.84 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.2$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 5.79 (pseudo-q, J(H,H) = 5.4 Hz, 2H; NH₂), 4.21 (td, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.3$ Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 2.8$ Hz, 2H; CH₂N), 2.83 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 10.0$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.3$ Hz, 2H; PCH₂), 2.30 $(ddd, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 11.6 Hz, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.3 Hz, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 3.2 Hz, 2H;$ PCH₂), 1.90–1.78 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.76–1.63 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.61 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 182.3 (d, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 1.5$ Hz; OCOCH₃), 178.6 (dd, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 3.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 1.4 \text{ Hz}; \text{ NCCH}_{2}), 162.5 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J(CP) = 3.5 \text{ Hz}; \text{ RuNCH of }$ $C_4H_3N_2$), 157.5 (s; NCH of $C_4H_3N_2$), 139.4 (d, ¹*J*(CP) = 38.3 Hz; ipso aromatic carbon atoms), 139.0 (d, ${}^{1}J(CP) = 31.9$ Hz; ipso aromatic carbon atoms), 135.3-129.1 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 119.9 (t, J(CP) = 1.4 Hz; aromatic carbon atom), 52.2 (t, ${}^{3}J(CP) =$ 2.2 Hz; CH₂N), 34.8 (dd, ${}^{1}J(CP) = 27.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 2.6$ Hz; PCH_2), 28.5 (d, ${}^{1}J(CP) = 25.0 \text{ Hz}$; PCH_2), 27.7 (s; CH_2), 26.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 21.0 (pseudo-t, J(CP) = 2.8 Hz; CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 50.0 (d, ²J(P,P) = 37.7 Hz), 36.6 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.7 \text{ Hz}).$

Synthesis of [Ru(κ^2 -OAc)(dppb)(ampyrim)]OAc (13a). Complex 13a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppb)(ampyrim)] (13) (25 mg, 0.0331 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 13 in CH₂OH was stirred for 54 h at room temperature. Yield: 22 mg (88%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₄₁N₃O₄P₂Ru (754.77): C, 58.88; H, 5.48; N, 5.57. Found: C, 58.95; H, 5.44; N, 5.60. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 8.57 (dd, ³*J*(H,H) = 3.4 Hz, ⁴*J*(H,H) = 1.4 Hz, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.49 (d, ${}^{3}J$ (H,H) = 3.7 Hz, 1H; NCH of $C_4H_3N_2$, 8.00–7.84 (m, 3H; aromatic protons), 7.74 (t, ³J(H,H) = 8.6 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.67-7.48 (m, 5H; aromatic protons), 7.45-7.26 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.21 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.13–7.00 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.91 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 8.2 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6,67 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.09 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.8$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.65 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H; CH_{2}N), 3.19-3.03 (m, 2H; PCH_{2}), 2.60-$ 2.41 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.33-2.23 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.32-2.05 (m, 2H; CH₂), 1.95-1.78 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.91 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.64 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.49 (s, 3H; OCOCH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 190.2 (d, ²*J*(C,P) = 1.3 Hz; OCOCH₃), 180.3 (s; OCOCH₃), 172.2 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4 \text{ Hz}$; NCCH₂), 158.7 (s; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 158.3 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 139.9-128.2 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 122.0 (br s; aromatic carbon atom), 53.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.5$ Hz; CH₂N), 29.3 (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 26.3$ Hz; PCH_2), 29.2 (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 30.3 \text{ Hz}$; PCH_2), 26.6 (t, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.7 \text{ Hz}$; CH_2), 24.8 (d, ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 1.4$ Hz; $OCOCH_3$), 24.3 (br s; $OCOCH_3$), 23.4 (br s; CH_2). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD_3OD , 25 °C): δ

57.5 (d, ²j(P,P) = 37.2 Hz), 46.0 (d, ²j(P,P) = 37.2 Hz). Synthesis of trans-[Ru(κ^{1} -OAc)₂(dppf)(ampyrim)] (14). Method 1. Complex 14 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 12 (method 1), with dppf (76.0 mg, 0.137 mmol, 1.02 equiv) in place of dppp. Yield: 101 mg (85%).

Method 2. Complex 14 was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 12 (method 2), with dppf (66.5 mg, 0.120 mmol, 1.03 equiv) in place of dppp. The solution was heated at 50 °C for 36 h instead of the usual 18 h. Yield: 83 mg (80%). Anal. Calcd for C43H41FeN3O4P2Ru (882.68): C, 58.51; H, 4.68; N, 4.76. Found: C, 58.52; H, 4.71; N, 4.80. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 8.68 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.8$ Hz, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.55 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.8$ Hz, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.82-7.76 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.62 (t, ${}^{3}I(H,H) = 8.8$ Hz, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.53-7.24 (m, 12H; aromatic protons), 6.74 (t, ³J(H,H) = 5.4 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.05 (br s, 2H; NH_2), 4.80 (br s, 2H; C_5H_4), 4.38 (s, 2H; $C_{s}H_{4}$), 4.23–4.09 (m, 4H; $C_{s}H_{4}$ and $CH_{2}N$), 4.03 (s, 2H; C₅H₄), 1.63 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 182.3 (d, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 1.4$ Hz; OCOCH₃), 178.9 (dd, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.4 \text{ Hz}; \text{ NCCH}_{2}), 162.2 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J(CP) = 2.2 \text{ (d)}$ Hz; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 157.7 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 137.2-128.7 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 119.8 (t, J(C,P) = 1.4 Hz; aromatic carbon atom), 83.4 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 44.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 4.0$ Hz; ipso- C_5H_4), 82.3 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 48.4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.2$ Hz; ipso- C_5H_4), 76.8 (d, $J(C_{P}) = 8.1$ Hz; $C_{5}H_{4}$), 76.7 (d, $J(C_{P}) = 8.8$ Hz; $C_{5}H_{4}$), 74.2 (d, J(C,P) = 5.9 Hz; C_5H_4), 72.2 (d, J(C,P) = 5.1 Hz), 52.3 (t, ${}^{3}J(CP) = 1.5 \text{ Hz}; CH_2N), 26.8 \text{ (s; OCOCH}_3). {}^{31}P{}^{1}H} \text{ NMR (162.0)}$ MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 55.3 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 38.2 Hz), 35.2 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 38.2 Hz).

Synthesis of [Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)(dppf)(ampyrim)]OAc (14a). Complex 14a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)_2(dppf)(ampyrim)] (14) (23 mg, 0.0261 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 14 in CH₃OH was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Yield: 22.5 mg (98%). Anal. Calcd for C₄₃H₄₁FeN₃O₄P₂Ru (882.68): C, 58.51; H, 4.68; N, 4.76. Found: C, 58.56; H, 4.73; N, 4.74. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): *δ* 8.71 (d, ³J(H,H) = 5.9 Hz, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 8.09 (d, ³J(H,H) = 4.3 Hz, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 7.70–7.57 (m, 6H, aromatic protons), 7.55–7.34 (m, 12H; aromatic protons), 7.32–7.11 (m, 7H; aromatic protons), 4.66 (s, 1H; C₅H₄), 4.58–4.39 (m, 5H; C₅H₄), 4.37–4.26 (m, 2H; C₅H₄), 3.96 (d, ²J(H,H) = 17.2 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.51 (d, ²J(H,H) = 17.2 Hz, 1H;

CH₂N), 1.91 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.31 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 191.4 (d, ²*J*(C,P) = 2.1 Hz; OCOCH₃), 180.4 (s; OCOCH₃), 172.4 (d, ³*J*(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; NCCH₂), 158.9 (s; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 158.0 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 138.5–129.0 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 122.4 (d, *J*(C,P) = 2.2 Hz; aromatic carbon atom), 80.0 (dd, ¹*J*(C,P) = 55.7 Hz, ³*J*(C,P) = 2.9 Hz; *ipso*-C₅H₄), 78.7 (d, *J*(C,P) = 12.5 Hz; C₅H₄), 77.3 (d, ²*J*(C,P) = 9.5 Hz; C₅H₄), 76.1 (m; C₅H₄), 75.9 (dd, ¹*J*(C,P) = 52.8 Hz, ³*J*(C,P) = 2.7 Hz; *ipso*-C₅H₄), 75.1 (d, *J*(C,P) = 6.6 Hz; C₅H₄), 73.9 (d, *J*(C,P) = 5.9 Hz; C₅H₄), 53.7 (d, ³*J*(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; CH₂N), 24.6 (d, ⁴*J*(C,P) = 1.4 Hz; OCOCH₃), 24.4 (br s; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 59.8 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 35.3 Hz), 47.6 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 35.3 Hz).

Synthesis of *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂((*R*)-BINAP)(ampyrim)] (15). $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and (R)-BINAP (85 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were suspended in toluene (1.5 mL) and refluxed for 24 h. The resulting orange solution was cooled to room temperature and evaporated to drvness. The residue was dissolved in acetone (2 mL), and ampyrim (18.7 μ L, 0.195 mmol, 1.45 equiv) was added. The dark orange solution obtained was stirred for 18 h at room temperature and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Treatment of the residue with a n-pentane/diethyl ether mixture (3/1; 5 mL) led to a suspension, which was stirred for 10 min. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with an *n*-pentane/ diethyl ether mixture $(3/1; 4 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and then with *n*-pentane $(2 \times 1)^{-1}$ 5 mL), and finally dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 80.3 mg (63%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₃H₄₅N₃O₄P₂Ru (950.98): C, 66.94; H, 4.77; N, 4.42. Found: C, 66.98; H, 4.82; N, 4.46. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 8.72 (m, 1H; RuNCH of $C_4H_3N_2$), 8.46 (m, 1H; NCH of $C_4H_3N_2$), 8.22 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.1$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.70-7.55 (m, 6H; aromatic protons), 7.53-7.44 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 7.44–7.17 (m, 11H; aromatic protons), 7.06–6.88 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 6.79 (m, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.71 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 6.8 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.61 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.2$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.43 (m, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.24 (d, ³J(H,H) = 8.5 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 5.89 (br s, 1H; NH₂), 5.34 (br s, 1H; NH₂ (overlapped with the solvent signal)), 4.21-4.04 (m, 2H; CH₂N), 1.84 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 1.68 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 182.7 (br s; OCOCH₃), 182.6 (s; OCOCH₃), 177.9 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 3.8$ Hz; NCCH₂), 163.1 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.9$ Hz; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂), 157.2 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂), 139.7-126.1 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 119.5 (s; aromatic carbon atom), 52.1 (d, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.8$ Hz; CH₂N), 26.9 (s; OCOCH₃), 26.5 (s; OCOCH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 53.7 $(d, {}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.2 \text{ Hz}), 41.9 (d, {}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.2 \text{ Hz}).$

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)((R)-BINAP)(ampyrim)]OAc$ (15a). Complex 15a was prepared by following the procedure used for the synthesis of 8a, employing trans- $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)_2((R)-BINAP)-$ (ampyrim)] (15) (21 mg, 0.022 mmol) in place of 8. The solution of 15 in CH₃OH was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The product consists of a mixture of two stereoisomers in about a 1:1 ratio. Yield: 18 mg (86%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{53}H_{45}N_3O_4P_2Ru$ (950.98): C, 66.94; H, 4.77; N, 4.42. Found: C, 66.90; H, 4.72; N, 4.37. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, CD3OD, 25 °C): δ 8.94 (m, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ first isomer), 8.75 (m, 1H; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ second isomer), 8.61 (m, 1H; NCH of C₄H₃N₂ first isomer), 8.49 $(dd, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.3 Hz, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.3 Hz, 1H; NCH of C_{4}H_{3}N_{2}$ second isomer), 8.13-5.66 (m, 33H; aromatic protons both isomers), 4.63 (d, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 17.0$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N first isomer), 4.52 (dd, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 17.0 \text{ Hz}, {}^{4}J(H,H) = 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H; \text{ CH}_{2}\text{N} \text{ first isomer}), 4.40$ $(d_1^2 J(H,H) = 17.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}; CH_2\text{N second isomer}), 4.07 (d_1^2 J(H,H))$ = 17.7 Hz, 1H; CH₂N second isomer), 1.92 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ both isomers), 1.64 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ second isomer), 1.58 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃ first isomer). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 190.2 (d, ²J(C,P) = 2.9 Hz; OCOCH₃ first isomer), 189.9 (d, $^{2}J(C,P) = 2.3$ Hz; OCOCH₃ second isomer), 179.8 (br s; OCOCH₃ both isomers), 172.8 (br s,; NCCH₂ second isomer), 172.4 (br s,; NCCH₂ first isomer), 159.3 (s; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ second isomer), 159.2 (s; RuNCH of C₄H₃N₂ first isomer), 158.0 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂ second isomer), 157.9 (s; NCH of C₄H₃N₂ first isomer), 137.8–126.9 (m; aromatic carbon atoms both isomers), 122.2 (d, *J*(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; aromatic carbon atom first isomer), 120.2 (s; aromatic carbon atom second isomer), 54.0 (d, ³*J*(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; CH₂N second isomer), 51.4 (d, ³*J*(C,P) = 2.4 Hz; CH₂N first isomer), 24.2 (d; ⁴*J*(C,P) = 2.0 Hz; OCOCH₃ second isomer), 24.0 (br s; OCOCH₃ both isomers), 23.9 (br s; OCOCH₃ first isomer). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD, 25 °C): δ 67.5 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 37.2 Hz; first isomer), 59.9 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 39.1 Hz; second isomer), 50.8 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 37.2 Hz; first isomer).

Synthesis of *trans*-[Ru(κ^1 -OAc)₂(dppb)(8-aminoquinoline)] (16). $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and dppb (58 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were dissolved in dichloromethane (1.5 mL) and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. 8-Aminoquinoline (28 mg, 0.194 mmol, 1.45 equiv) was added, and the resulting light orange solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and n-pentane (5 mL) was added to the residue, leading to a suspension, which was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 95 mg (90%). Anal. Calcd for C41H42N2O4P2Ru (789.81): C, 62.35; H, 5.36; N, 3.55. Found: C, 62.32; H, 5.40; N, 3.53. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 9.23 (t, ³*J*(H,H) = 4.1 Hz, 1H; NCH of C_9H_6N), 8.24 (m, 2H; NH₂), 8.14 (dd, ³J(H,H) = 8.3 Hz, ${}^{4}J(H,H) = 1.4$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.74 (td, ${}^{3}J(H,H) =$ 8.5 Hz, ${}^{4}I(H,H) = 1.5$ Hz, 3H; aromatic protons), 7.64 (d, ${}^{3}I(H,H) =$ 8.3 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.52–7.14 (m, 20H; aromatic protons), 7.02 (dd, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 2.84 (m, 2H; PCH₂), 2.26 (pseudo-t, J(H,H) = 7.1Hz, 2H; PCH₂), 2.04–1.52 (m, 4H; CH₂), 1.37 (s, 6H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 181.3 (br s; $OCOCH_3$, 156.2 (d, ${}^{3}I(C,P) = 3.9$ Hz; NCH of C_0H_6N , 150.6– 121.3 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 34.1 (dd, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 27.3$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(C,P) = 2.6 \text{ Hz}; PCH_{2}), 27.8 \text{ (d, } {}^{1}J(C,P) = 24.7 \text{ Hz}; PCH_{2}), 26.9 \text{ (s;}$ PCH₂CH₂), 25.0 (s; OCOCH₃), 19.3 (br s; PCH₂CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 50.5 (d, ²J(P,P) = 36.7 Hz), 37.2 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 36.7 \text{ Hz})$

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^2-OPiv)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (17). Compound 17 was prepared by following a procedure different from that previously described.⁴⁴ [RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃] (1.00 g, 1.043 mmol) and sodium pivalate monohydrate (1.482 g, 10.43 mmol) were suspended in degassed tert-butyl alcohol (20 mL), and the mixture was heated at 70 °C for 2 h until a yellow precipitate was formed. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and diethyl ether (10 mL) was added. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, methanol $(2 \times 4 \text{ mL})$, and diethyl ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, and finally dried under reduced pressure, giving 17 as a pale orange powder. Yield: 650 mg (75%). Anal. Calcd for C46H48O4P2Ru (827.90): C, 66.74; H, 5.84. Found: C, 66.81; H, 5.86. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 7.33–7.19 (m, 6H; aromatic protons), 7.18–6.96 (m, 24H; aromatic protons), 0.78 (s, 18H; C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 195.2 (br s; OCOC(CH₃)₃), 135.6-127.2 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 39.4 (s; $C(CH_3)_3$), 26.6 (br s; $C(CH_3)_3$). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 64.0 (s).

Synthesis of *trans,cis*-[Ru(κ^1 -OPiv)₂(PPh₃)₂(ampy)] (18). [Ru-(κ^2 -OPiv)₂(PPh₃)₂] (17) (50 mg, 0.0604 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (1 mL), and ampy (6.5 μ L, 0.0631 mmol, 1.04 equiv) was added. The solution was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. Addition of *n*-pentane (5 mL) afforded an orange precipitate, which was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 2 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 48 mg (85%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₂H₅₆N₂O₄P₂Ru (936.05): C, 66.72; H, 6.03; N, 2.99. Found: C, 66.71; H, 6.06; N, 3.03. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 8.51 (br d, ³J(H,H) = 4.4 Hz, 1H; ortho-CH of C₅H₄N), 7.60–6.80 (m, 34H; aromatic protons and NH₂), 6.55 (*pseudo-t*, J(H,H) = 6.4 Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.03 (br m, 2H; CH₂N), 0.85 (s, 18H; C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 188.2 (d, ³J(C,P) = 1.4 Hz; OCOC(CH₃)₃), 166.5 (dd, ³J(C,P) = 2.7 Hz, ³*J*(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; NCCH₂), 156.5 (d, ³*J*(C,P) = 3.8 Hz; NCH of C₅H₄N), 137.3–118.9 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 50.9 (t, ³*J*(C,P) = 2.2 Hz; CH₂N), 40.1 (s; C(CH₃)₃), 28.4 (s; C(CH₃)₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ 45.8 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 31.5 Hz), 38.5 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 31.5 Hz).

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)(CNN^{OMe})(PPh_3)_2]$ (19). The ligand HCNN^{OMe} (69.2 mg, 0.323 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and NEt₃ (375 μ L, 2.690 mmol, 10.0 equiv) were added to $[Ru(\kappa^2 - OAc)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (200 mg, 0.269 mmol) in 2-propanol (2.5 mL), and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 12 h. The dark yellow precipitate was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane $(5 \times 3 \text{ mL})$, and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 181 mg (75%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₁H₄₆N₂O₃P₂Ru (897.96): C, 68.22; H, 5.16; N, 3.12. Found: C, 68.18; H, 5.20; N, 3.10. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 8.86 (m, 1H; NH₂), 7.68 (s, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.64–7.04 (m, 24H; aromatic protons), 7.06–6.75 (m, 10H; aromatic protons), 6.59 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.7$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.47 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 4.09 (dd, ${}^{2}J(H,H)$ = 17.3 Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H)$ = 6.0 Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.53 (s, 3H; OCH₃), 3.42 (m, 1H; CH₂N), 1.92 (m, 1H; NH₂), 1.09 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 25 °C): δ 185.5 (dd, ²J(C,P) = 14.3 Hz, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 8.4$ Hz; CRu), 180.1 (br s; OCOCH₃), 163.4 (s; NCC), 160.1 (s; CCOCH₃), 157.3 (s; NCCH₂), 142.8-108.6 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 54.9 (s; CH₃O), 51.0 ppm (d, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.2$ Hz; CH₂N), 25.1 (d; ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 2.9$ Hz; OCOCH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (162.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 57.2 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 33.3 Hz), 52.9 (d, ${}^{2}I(P,P) = 33.3 \text{ Hz}).$

Synthesis of [Ru(κ^1 -OAc)(AMTP)(dppb)] (20). Method 1. The ligand HAMTP (22 mg, 0.111 mmol, 1.06 equiv) and NEt₃ (150 μ L, 1.076 mmol, 10.2 equiv) were added to $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(dppb)]$ (68 mg, 0.105 mmol) in 2-propanol (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the solid residue was washed with water (1 mL) and dried under reduced pressure for 2-3 days. Yield: 70 mg (85%). Anal. Calcd for C43H44N2O2P2Ru (783.85): C, 65.89; H, 5.66; N, 3.57. Found: C, 65.91; H, 5.60; N, 3.60. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, toluene- d_8 , 20 °C): δ 8.65 (br s, 1H; NH₂), 8.51 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 9.1$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 8.06 (s, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.96 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.6$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.73-7.05 (m, 12H; aromatic protons), 6.96 (m, 4H; aromatic protons), 6.72 (t, J(H,H) = 7.1 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 6.44 (d, ${}^{3}I(H,H) = 6.0$ Hz, 1H; aromatic protons), 6.32 (t, J(H,H) = 7.6 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 4.12 (dd, ²J(H,H) = 15.0 $Hz_{1}^{3}J(H,H) = 4.0 Hz_{1} 1H; CH_{2}N), 3.49 (m, 1H; CH_{2}N), 3.40-3.04$ (m, 2H; PCH₂), 2.35 (s, 3H; CH₃), 2.20-1.40 (m, 5H; CH₂), 1.92 (s, 3H; CH₃CO), 1.22 (m, 1H; NH₂), 1.14 (m, 1H; CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81.0 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 20 °C): δ 60.8 (d, ²J(P,P) = 38.3 Hz), 44.6 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 38.3 \text{ Hz}$). ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (81.0 MHz, toluene- d_{8} , 20 °C): δ 60.7 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 38.5 Hz), 44.5 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 38.5 Hz).

Method 2. $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2\text{-OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (100 mg, 0.134 mmol) and dppb (58 mg, 0.136 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were suspended in 2-propanol and refluxed for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the ligand HAMTP (28 mg, 0.141 mmol, 1.05 equiv) and NEt₃ (187 μ L, 1.341 mmol, 10 equiv) were added. The mixture was then refluxed for a further 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the solid residue was washed with water (1.5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure for 2–3 days. Yield: 48 mg (46%).

Synthesis of $[Ru(\kappa^1-OAc)(AMBQ^{Ph})(dppb)]$ (21). Method 1. The ligand HAMBQ^{Ph} (45.5 mg, 0.160 mmol, 1.03 equiv) and NEt₃ (220 μ L, 1.578 mmol, 10.2 equiv) were added to $[Ru(\kappa^2-OAc)_2(dppb)]$ (100 mg, 0.155 mmol) in 2-propanol (1 mL), and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 3.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue added to *n*-pentane (5 mL). The suspension was stirred for 5 min, and the solid was filtered, washed with *n*-pentane (2 × 3 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 80 mg (59%).

Method 2. $[\text{Ru}(\kappa^2 \text{-OAc})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (200 mg, 0.269 mmol) and dppb (115.8 mg, 0.272 mmol, 1.01 equiv) were suspended in 2-propanol (2.5 mL) and refluxed for 4 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the ligand HAMBQ^{Ph} (91.8 mg, 0.323 mmol, 1.20 equiv) and NEt₃ (375 μ L, 2.690 mmol, 10 equiv) were added. The mixture was then refluxed for further 12 h. The obtained

suspension was cooled to room temperature and the orange solid was filtered, washed with 2-propanol (2 mL), n-pentane (4 × 5 mL) and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 152 mg (65%). Anal. Calcd for C₅₀H₄₆N₂O₂P₂Ru (869.95): C, 69.03; H, 5.33; N, 3.22. Found: C, 69.01; H, 5.36; N, 3.23. ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 8.61 (m, 1H; NH₂), 8.22 (pseudo-t, J(H,H) = 7.6 Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 7.91 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.1$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 7.80-7.15 (m, 21H; aromatic protons), 7.60 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.5$ Hz, 1H; H-5 benzo[h]quinoline), 6.96 (s, 1H; H-3 benzo[h]quinoline), 6.54 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.4$ Hz, 1H; aromatic proton), 6.22 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.8$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 5.55 $(t, {}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.4$ Hz, 2H; aromatic protons), 4.45 (dd, ${}^{2}J(H,H) = 16.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.2$ Hz, 1H; CH₂N), 3.97 (m, 1H; CH₂N), 3.18 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.86 (m, 1H; PCH₂), 2.50 (m, 2H; PCH₂), 2.20-1.57 (m, 4H; CH₂), 1.33 (s, 3H; OCOCH₃), 0.98 (m, 1H; NH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 25 °C): δ 180.4 (br s; OCOCH₃), 180.3 (dd, ²*J*(C,P) = 16.1 Hz, ²*J*(C,P) = 8.8 Hz; CRu), 157.5 (s; NCC), 152.7 (br s; NCCH₂), 146.6-116.2 (m; aromatic carbon atoms), 52.5 (br s; CH₂N), 31.1 $(dd, {}^{1}J(C,P) = 24.9 Hz, {}^{3}J(C,P) = 1.5 Hz; CH_{2}P), 30.7 (d, {}^{1}J(C,P) =$ 32.3 Hz; CH_2P), 26.0 (d, ${}^2J(C,P) = 1.5$ Hz; CH_2CH_2P), 25.7 (d; ${}^{4}J(C,P) = 3.8 \text{ Hz; OCOCH}_{3}$, 22.0 (t, ${}^{2}J(C,P) = 2.2 \text{ Hz; CH}_{2}CH_{2}P$). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 20 °C): δ 59.8 (d, ²J(P,P) = 37.9 Hz), 44.9 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,P) = 37.9$ Hz).

Typical Procedure for TH of Ketones. The ruthenium catalyst solution used for TH was prepared by dissolving the complexes 7–11, **16**, and **21** (0.02 mmol) in 2-propanol (5 mL). The catalyst solution (125 μ L, 0.5 μ mol) and a 0.1 M solution of NaO*i*Pr (200 μ L, 20 μ mol) in 2-propanol were added subsequently to the carbonyl compound solution (1.0 mmol) in 2-propanol (final volume 10 mL), and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux. The reaction mixture was sampled by removing an aliquot, which was quenched by addition of diethyl ether (1/1 v/v), filtered over a short silica pad, and submitted to GC analysis. The base addition was considered as the start time of the reaction. The S/C molar ratio was 2000:1, whereas the base concentration was 2 mol % with respect to the substrate (0.1 M). The same procedure was followed for TH reactions with other S/C ratios (in the range 2000–10000), using the appropriate amount of catalysts and 2-propanol.

Typical Procedure for HY of Ketones and Aldehydes. The HY reactions were performed in an eight-vessel Endeavor Biotage apparatus. The vessels were charged with the catalysts 7, 9, 10, and 19 (5.0 μ mol), loaded with 5 bar of N₂, and slowly vented (five times). The carbonyl compounds (5 mmol) and a KOtBu solution (1 mL, 0.1 mmol, 0.1 M) in methanol or ethanol were added. Further addition of the solvent (methanol or ethanol) led to a 2 M carbonyl compound solution. The vessels were purged with N_2 and H_2 (three times each), and then the system was charged with H_2 (20 or 30 bar) and heated to 40 or 50 °C for the required time (16 h). The S/C molar ratio was 1000:1, whereas the base concentration was 2 mol %. A similar method was applied for the reactions with other S/C ratios (in the range 1000-10000), using the appropriate amount of catalysts and solvent. The reaction vessels were then cooled to room temperature, vented, and purged three times with N2. A drop of the reaction mixture was diluted with 1 mL of methanol and analyzed by GC.

Single-Crystal X-ray Crystallographic Structure Determination of Compound 7. Single crystals of complex 7 were obtained by slow cooling of a concentrated solution of the species in CH₂Cl₂. Xray diffraction data were collected with a Bruker kappa APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with a rotating anode (Bruker AXS, FRS91) by using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). For additional details of the collection and refinement of data, see the Supporting Information.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.organomet.1c00059.

NMR data of the isolated complexes and X-ray crystallographic details of compound 7 (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 2058063 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Maurizio Ballico Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; Orcid.org/0000-0001-6588-8037; Email: maurizio.ballico@uniud.it
- Walter Baratta Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; • orcid.org/0000-0002-2648-1848; Email: walter.baratta@uniud.it

Authors

- Salvatore Baldino Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
- Steven Giboulot Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; Johnson Matthey, Cambridge CB4 0FP, United Kingdom
- Denise Lovison Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; © orcid.org/0000-0002-1037-7384
- Hans Günter Nedden Johnson Matthey, Cambridge CB4 0FP, United Kingdom
- Alexander Pöthig Department of Chemistry & Catalysis Research Center, TUM, 85747 Garching b. München, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-4663-3949
- Antonio Zanotti-Gerosa Johnson Matthey, Cambridge CB4 0FP, United Kingdom
- Daniele Zuccaccia Dipartimento DI4A, Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy; © orcid.org/0000-0001-7765-1715

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.1c00059

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dedicated to Prof. Christian Bruneau for his outstanding contribution to catalysis. This work was supported by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR), PRIN 2015 program no. 20154 \times 9ATP_005, the Fellowship Program "Talents for an International House (TALENTS UP)" (seventh R&D FP: PEOPLE-Marie Curie Actions-COFUND), and the AREA Consortium of Trieste for a fellowship for S.G. We thank Mr. Pierluigi Polese for the elemental analyses and Dr. Paolo Martinuzzi for NMR assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Ngai, M.-Y.; Barchuk, A.; Krische, M. J. Iridium-Catalyzed C-C Bond Forming Hydrogenation: Direct Regioselective Reductive Coupling of Alkyl-Substituted Alkynes to Activated Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 280–281. (b) Musashi, Y.; Sakaki, S. Theoretical Study of Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Carbon Dioxide into Formic Acid. Significant Differences in

Reactivity among Rhodium(III), Rhodium(I), and Ruthenium(II) Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7588–7603.

(2) Murahashi, S.-I. *Ruthenium in organic synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004; p 383.

(3) (a) Salvi, L.; Salvini, A.; Micoli, F.; Bianchini, C.; Oberhauser, W. Mononuclear ruthenium complexes containing two different phosphines in trans position: II. Catalytic hydrogenation of C = C and C = O bonds. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 1442–1450. (b) Ohta, T.; Takaya, H.; Noyori, R. Bis(diarylphosphino)-1,1 binaphthyl (BINAP)-ruthenium(II) dicarboxylate complexes: new, highly efficient catalysts for asymmetric hydrogenations. Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 566–569. (c) Skapski, A. C.; Stephens, F. A. X-Ray crystal structure of acetatohydridotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II): a hydrogenation catalyst with a strained octahedral co-ordination. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 390–395. (d) Mitchell, R. W.; Spencer, A.; Wilkinson, G. Carboxylato-triphenylphosphine complexes derived from them, and their behaviour as homogeneous hydrogenation catalysts for alkenes. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 846–854.

(4) Frediani, P.; Bianchi, M.; Salvini, A.; Guarducci, R.; Carluccio, L. C.; Piacenti, F. Ruthenium carbonyl carboxylate complexes with nitrogen-containing ligands III. Catalytic activity in hydrogenation. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, 498, 187–197.

(5) (a) van Buijtenen, J.; Meuldijk, J.; Vekemans, J. A. J. M.; Hulshof, L. A.; Kooijman, H.; Spek, A. L. Dinuclear Ruthenium Complexes Bearing Dicarboxylate and Phosphine Ligands. Acceptorless Catalytic Dehydrogenation of 1-Phenylethanol. *Organometallics* **2006**, 25, 873–881. (b) Creswell, C. J.; Dobson, A.; Moore, D. S.; Robinson, S. D. Complexes of the Platinum Metals. 17. Molecular Dynamics of the Species $[M(O_2CR)_2(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (M = Ruthenium, R = Methyl, Trifluoromethyl, Pentafluorophenyl; M = Osmium, R = Methyl, Trifluoromethyl). *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 2055–2059. (c) Dobson, A.; Robinson, S. D. Complexes of the Platinum Metals. 7. Homogeneous Ruthenium and Osmium Catalysts for the Dehydrogenation of Primary and Secondary Alcohols. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 137–142.

(6) Liu, P. N.; Su, F. H.; Wen, T. B.; Sung, H. H. Y.; Williams, I. D.; Jia, G. Selective and Efficient Cycloisomerization of Alkynols Catalyzed by a New Ruthenium Complex with a Tetradentate Nitrogen-Phosphorus Mixed Ligand. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 7889– 7897.

(7) Arockiam, P. B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Ruthenium(II)-Catalyzed C-H Bond Activation and Functionalization. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 5879–5918.

(8) (a) Ackermann, L. Carboxylate-Assisted Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C-H Bond Functionalizations: Mechanism and Scope. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 1315–1345. (b) Johnson, D. G.; Lynam, J. M.; Slattery, J. M.; Welby, C. E. Insights into the intramolecular acetatemediated formation of ruthenium vinylidene complexes: a ligandassisted proton shuttle (LAPS) mechanism. *Dalton Transactions* 2010, 39, 10432–10441. (c) Lynam, J. M.; Welby, C. E.; Whitwood, A. C. Exploitation of a Chemically Non-innocent Acetate Ligand in the Synthesis and Reactivity of Ruthenium Vinylidene Complexes. *Organometallics* 2009, 28, 1320–1328.

(9) Ackermann, L.; Pospech, J.; Potukuchi, H. K. Well-Defined Ruthenium(II) Carboxylate as Catalyst for Direct C-H/C-O Bond Arylations with Phenols in Water. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2146–2149.

(10) Miura, H.; Terajima, S.; Shishido, T. Carboxylate-Directed Addition of Aromatic C-H Bond to Aromatic Aldehydes under Ruthenium Catalysis. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 6246–6254.

(11) Naruto, M.; Saito, S. Cationic mononuclear ruthenium carboxylates as catalyst prototypes for self-induced hydrogenation of carboxylic acids. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8140.

(12) BINAP = 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl; dppb = 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane; DCyPP = 1,3-bis(cyclohexylphosphino)propane; DiPPF = 1,1'-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ferrocene; dppe = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane; dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ferrocene; dppm = 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane; dppp = 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane; Xantphos = 4,5-

bis(diphenylphosphanyl)-9,9-dimethylxanthene; ampy = 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine; ampyrim = 2-(aminomethyl)pyrimidine; bipy = 2,2'bipyridine; en = ethylenediamine; HAMTP = 6-(4-methylphenyl)-2aminomethylpyridine; HCNN^{OMe} = 6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-aminomethylpyridine; HAMBQ^{Ph} = 4-phenyl-2-aminomethylbenzo[*h*]quinoline; TsDPEN = *N*-*p*-toluenesulfonyl-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; Piv = pivalate (CHC(CH₃)₃).

(13) Ohta, T.; Takaya, H.; Kitamura, M.; Nagai, K.; Noyori, R. Asymmetric hydrogenation of unsaturated carboxylic acids catalyzed by BINAP-ruthenium(II) complexes. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 3174–3176.

(14) Jeschke, J.; Gäbler, C.; Korb, M.; Rüffer, T.; Lang, H. Ruthenium Carboxylate Complexes as Efficient Catalysts for the Addition of Carboxylic Acids to Propargylic Alcohols. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 2015, 2939–2947.

(15) Xie, X.; Lu, B.; Li, W.; Zhang, Z. Coordination Determined Chemo- and Enantioselectivities in Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Multi-Functionalized Ketones. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, 355, 39–53. (16) Wang, D.; Astruc, D. The Golden Age of Transfer

Hydrogenation. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 6621–6686.

(17) (a) Haack, K.-J.; Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. The Catalyst Precursor, Catalyst, and Intermediate in the RuII-Promoted Asymmetric Hydrogen Transfer between Alcohols and Ketones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 285–288. (b) Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Haack, K. J.; Matsumura, K.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Kinetic Resolution of Racemic Secondary Alcohols by Ru^{II}-Catalyzed Hydrogen Transfer. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 288–290.

(18) Doucet, H.; Ohkuma, T.; Murata, K.; Yokozawa, T.; Kozawa, M.; Katayama, E.; England, A. F.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. trans- $[RuCl_2(phosphane)_2(1,2-diamine)]$ and Chiral trans- $[RuCl_2(diphosphane)(1,2-diamine)]$: Shelf-Stable Precatalysts for the Rapid, Productive, and Stereoselective Hydrogenation of Ketones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1703–1707.

(19) (a) Putignano, E.; Bossi, G.; Rigo, P.; Baratta, W. $MCl_2(ampy)(dppf)$ (M = Ru, Os): Multitasking Catalysts for Carbonyl Compound/Alcohol Interconversion Reactions. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 1133–1142. (b) Baratta, W.; Chelucci, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Magnolia, S.; Siega, K.; Rigo, P. Highly Diastereoselective Formation of Ruthenium Complexes for Efficient Catalytic Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7651–7654. (c) Ohkuma, T.; Sandoval, C. A.; Srinivasan, R.; Lin, Q.; Wei, Y.; Muñiz, K.; Noyori, R. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of *tert*-Alkyl Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8288–8289. (d) Baratta, W.; Herdtweck, E.; Siega, K.; Toniutti, M.; Rigo, P. 2-(Aminomethyl)pyridine-Phosphine Ruthenium(II) Complexes:Novel Highly Active Transfer Hydrogenation Catalysts. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1660–1669.

(20) (a) Figliolia, R.; Cavigli, P.; Comuzzi, C.; Del Zotto, A.; Lovison, D.; Strazzolini, P.; Susmel, S.; Zuccaccia, D.; Ballico, M.; Baratta, W. CNN pincer ruthenium complexes for efficient transfer hydrogenation of biomass-derived carbonyl compounds. Dalton Transactions 2020, 49, 453-465. (b) Facchetti, S.; Jurcik, V.; Baldino, S.; Giboulot, S.; Nedden, H. G.; Zanotti-Gerosa, A.; Blackaby, A.; Bryan, R.; Boogaard, A.; McLaren, D. B.; Moya, E.; Reynolds, S.; Sandham, K. S.; Martinuzzi, P.; Baratta, W. Preparation of Pincer 4-Functionalized 2-Aminomethylbenzo[h]quinoline Ruthenium Catalysts for Ketone Reduction. Organometallics 2016, 35, 277-287. (c) Chelucci, G.; Baldino, S.; Baratta, W. Ruthenium and Osmium Complexes Containing 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine (Ampy)based Ligands in Catalysis. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2015, 300, 29-85. (d) Baratta, W.; Fanfoni, L.; Magnolia, S.; Siega, K.; Rigo, P. Benzo[h]quinoline Pincer Ruthenium and Osmium Catalysts for Hydrogenation of Ketones. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 2010, 1419-1423. (e) Baratta, W.; Benedetti, F.; Del Zotto, A.; Fanfoni, L.; Felluga, F.; Magnolia, S.; Putignano, E.; Rigo, P. Chiral Pincer Ruthenium and Osmium Complexes for the Fast and Efficient Hydrogen Transfer Reduction of Ketones. Organometallics 2010, 29, 3563-3570. (f) Baratta, W.; Ballico, M.; Baldino, S.; Chelucci, G.;

Herdtweck, E.; Siega, K.; Magnolia, S.; Rigo, P. New Benzo[h]quinoline-Based Ligands and their Pincer Ru and Os Complexes for Efficient Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 9148-9160. (g) Baratta, W.; Siega, K.; Rigo, P. Fast and Chemoselective Transfer Hydrogenation of Aldehydes Catalyzed by a Terdentate CNN Ruthenium Complex [RuCl(CNN)-(dppb)]. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1633-1636. (h) Baratta, W.; Bosco, M.; Chelucci, G.; Del Zotto, A.; Siega, K.; Toniutti, M.; Zangrando, E.; Rigo, P. Terdentate RuX(CNN)(PP) (X = Cl, H, OR) Complexes: Synthesis, Properties, and Catalytic Activity in Fast Transfer Hydrogenation. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4611-4620. (i) Baratta, W.; Chelucci, G.; Gladiali, S.; Siega, K.; Toniutti, M.; Zanette, M.; Zangrando, E.; Rigo, P. Ruthenium(II) Terdentate CNN Complexes: Superlative Catalysts for the Hydrogen-Transfer Reduction of Ketones by Reversible Insertion of a Carbonyl Group into the Ru-H Bond. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6214-6219.

(21) Dupau, P.; Bonomo, L.; Kermorvan, L. Unexpected Role of Anionic Ligands in the Ruthenium-Catalyzed Base-Free Selective Hydrogenation of Aldehydes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11347–11350.

(22) Giboulot, S.; Comuzzi, C.; Del Zotto, A.; Figliolia, R.; Lippe, G.; Lovison, D.; Strazzolini, P.; Susmel, S.; Zangrando, E.; Zuccaccia, D.; Baldino, S.; Ballico, M.; Baratta, W. Preparation of monocarbonyl ruthenium complexes bearing bidentate nitrogen and phosphine ligands and their catalytic activity in carbonyl compound reduction. *Dalton Transactions* **2019**, *48*, 12560–12576.

(23) Hey, D. A.; Fischer, P. J.; Baratta, W.; Kühn, F. E. $Ru(O_2CCF_3)_2(PPh_3)_2$ and ruthenium phosphine complexes bearing fluoroacetate ligands: synthesis, characterization and catalytic activity. *Dalton Transactions* **2019**, 48, 4625–4635.

(24) Hey, D. A.; Sauer, M. J.; Fischer, P. J.; Esslinger, E.-M. H. J.; Kühn, F. E.; Baratta, W. Acetate Acetylacetonate Ampy Ruthenium-(II) Complexes as Efficient Catalysts for Ketone Transfer Hydrogenation. *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12*, 3537–3544.

(25) Baratta, W.; Ballico, M.; Del Zotto, A.; Herdtweck, E.; Magnolia, S.; Peloso, R.; Siega, K.; Toniutti, M.; Zangrando, E.; Rigo, P. Pincer CNN Ruthenium(II) Complexes with Oxygen-Containing Ligands (O_2 CR, OAr, OR, OSiR₃, O_3 SCF₃): Synthesis, Structure, and Catalytic Activity in Fast Transfer Hydrogenation. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 4421–4430.

(26) (a) Pardatscher, L.; Hofmann, B. J.; Fischer, P. J.; Hölzl, S. M.; Reich, R. M.; Kühn, F. E.; Baratta, W. Highly Efficient Abnormal NHC Ruthenium Catalyst for Oppenauer-Type Oxidation and Transfer Hydrogenation Reactions. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 11302– 11306. (b) Bitzer, M. J.; Pothig, A.; Jandl, C.; Kuhn, F. E.; Baratta, W. Ru-Ag and Ru-Au dicarbene complexes from an abnormal carbene ruthenium system. *Dalton Transactions* **2015**, *44*, 11686–11689. (c) Witt, J.; Pöthig, A.; Kühn, F. E.; Baratta, W. Abnormal N-Heterocyclic Carbene-Phosphine Ruthenium(II) Complexes as Active Catalysts for Transfer Hydrogenation. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 4042–4045.

(27) Shahroosvand, H.; Nasouti, F.; Sousaraei, A. Ruthenium(II) multi carboxylic acid complexes: chemistry and application in dye sensitized solar cells. *Dalton Transactions* **2014**, *43*, 5158–5167.

(28) (a) Honorato, J.; Oliveira, K. M.; Leite, C. M.; Colina-Vegas, L.; Nóbrega, J. A.; Castellano, E. E.; Ellena, J.; Correa, R. S.; Batista, A. A. Half-Sandwich /Ru^{II} Anticancer Complexes Containing Triphenylphosphine and *p*-Substituted Benzoic Acids. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *31*, 2237–2249. (b) Pagoto, T. M. P.; Sobrinho, L. L. G.; Graminha, A. E.; Guedes, A. P. M.; Carroccia, M. C.; de Oliveira, P. F.; Silveira-Lacerda, E. P.; Deflon, V. M.; Tavares, D. C.; Pivatto, M.; Batista, A. A.; Von Poelhsitz, G. A ruthenium(II) complex with the propionate ion: Synthesis, characterization and cytotoxic activity. *C. R. Chim.* **2015**, *18*, 1313–1319.

(29) Lovison, D.; Allegri, L.; Baldan, F.; Ballico, M.; Damante, G.; Jandl, C.; Baratta, W. Cationic carboxylate and thioacetate ruthenium-(II) complexes: synthesis and cytotoxic activity against anaplastic thyroid cancer cells. *Dalton Transactions* **2020**, *49*, 8375–8388. (30) (a) Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. *Phosphorus(III) Ligands in Homogeneous Catalysis: Design and Synthesis*; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2012; Vol. 1, p 547. (b) Tolman, C. A. Steric effects of phosphorus ligands in organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **1977**, *77*, 313–348.

(31) Ballico, M.; Zuccaccia, D.; Figliolia, R.; Baratta, W. Bulky Diphosphine Acetate Ruthenium Complexes: Synthesis and Catalytic Activity in Ketone Transfer Hydrogenation and Alkyne Dimerization. *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 3180–3193.

(32) Wong, W.-K.; Lai, K.-K.; Tse, M.-S.; Tse, M.-C.; Gao, J.-X.; Wong, W.-T.; Chan, S. Reactivity of $Ru(OAc)_2(Ph_3P)_2$ Toward Chelating Diphosphine Ligands. X-ray Crystal Structures of *fac*- $Ru(OAc)_2(Ph_3P)(dppm)$ and *trans*- $Ru(OAc)_2(P_2N_2H_4)$. *Polyhedron* **1994**, 13, 2751–2762.

(33) Kuznetsov, V. F.; Yap, G. P. A.; Alper, H. Chiral Ruthenium Complexes with P,N-Ligands Derived from (S)-Proline. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1300–1309.

(34) Ma, G.; McDonald, R.; Ferguson, M.; Cavell, R. G.; Patrick, B. O.; James, B. R.; Hu, T. Q. Ruthenium(II) Diphosphine/Diamine/ Diimine Complexes and Catalyzed Hydrogen-Transfer to Ketones. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 846–854.

(35) Vijaykumar, G.; Mandal, S. K. An abnormal N-heterocyclic carbene based nickel complex for catalytic reduction of nitroarenes. *Dalton Transactions* **2016**, *45*, 7421–7426.

(36) (a) Wiedner, E. S.; Chambers, M. B.; Pitman, C. L.; Bullock, R. M.; Miller, A. J. M.; Appel, A. M. Thermodynamic Hydricity of Transition Metal Hydrides. *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 8655–8692.
(b) Espinet, P.; Albéniz, A. C., 1,2-Insertion and n-Elimination. In *Current Methods in Inorganic Chemistry*; Kurosawa, H., Yamamoto, A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2003; Vol. 3, pp 293–371.

(37) (a) Sandoval, C. A.; Ohkuma, T.; Muñiz, K.; Noyori, R. Mechanism of Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Ketones Catalyzed by BINAP/1,2-Diamine-Ruthenium(II) Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 13490–13503. (b) Noyori, R.; Yamakawa, M.; Hashiguchi, S. Metal-Ligand Bifunctional Catalysis: A Nonclassical Mechanism for Asymmetric Hydrogen Transfer between Alcohols and Carbonyl Compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7931–7944.

(38) (a) Baratta, W.; Ballico, M.; Esposito, G.; Rigo, P. Role of the NH₂ Functionality and Solvent in Terdentate CNN Alkoxide Ruthenium Complexes for the Fast Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones in 2-Propanol. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 5588–5595. (b) Clapham, S. E.; Hadzovic, A.; Morris, R. H. Mechanisms of the H₂-Hydrogenation and Transfer Hydrogenation of Polar Bonds Catalyzed by Ruthenium Hydride Complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2201–2237. (c) Petra, D. G. I.; Reek, J. N. H.; Handgraaf, J. W.; Meijer, E. J.; Dierkes, P.; Kamer, P. C. J.; Brussee, J.; Schoemaker, H. E.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Chiral Induction Effects in Ruthenium(II) Amino Alcohol Catalysed Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones: An Experimental and Theoretical Approach. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 2818–2829.

(39) (a) Dub, P. A.; Gordon, J. C. The Mechanism of Enantioselective Ketone Reduction with Noyori and Noyori-Ikariya Bifunctional Catalysts. *Dalton Transactions* 2016, 45, 6756–6781.
(b) Dub, P. A.; Henson, N. J.; Martin, R. L.; Gordon, J. C. Unravelling the Mechanism of the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Acetophenone by [RuX₂(diphosphine)(1,2-diamine)] Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 3505–3521.

(40) Baratta, W.; Baldino, S.; Calhorda, M. J.; Costa, P. J.; Esposito, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Magnolia, S.; Mealli, C.; Messaoudi, A.; Mason, S. A.; Veiros, L. F. CNN Pincer Ruthenium Catalysts for Hydrogenation and Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones: Experimental and Computational Studies. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 13603–13617.

(41) Relevant NMR data for *trans*-[RuH(PPh₃)₂(CNN^{OMe})] are as follows. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, iPrOH/toluene-*d*₈, 25 °C): δ –10.01 (t, ²J(H,P) = 26.7 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, iPrOH/toluene-*d*₈, 25 °C): δ 62.2 (s). Relevant NMR data for *cis*-[RuH(PPh₃)₂(CNN^{OMe})] are as follows. ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, iPrOH/toluene-*d*₈, 25 °C): δ –7.30 (dd, ²J(H,P) = 92.4 Hz, ²J(H,P)

= 35.5 Hz). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162.0 MHz, iPrOH/toluene- d_8 , 25 °C): δ 68.8 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 16.5 Hz), 33.9 (d, ²*J*(P,P) = 16.5 Hz). (42) Stephenson, T. A.; Wilkinson, G. New complexes of ruthenium

(42) Stephenson, T. A.; Wilkinson, G. New complexes of ruthenium (II) and (III) with triphenylphosphine, triphenylarsine, trichlorostannate, pyridine and other ligands. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1966**, *28*, 945–956.

(43) ampyrim free base was obtained upon treatment of ampyrim-HCl with aqueous KOH until the pH turned basic (litmus paper test) and subsequent extraction with CH_2Cl_2 , filtration of the organic phase over Celite, drying with MgSO₄, and further filtration. Dichloromethane was removed by slow evaporation at atmospheric pressure.

(44) Gilbert, J. D.; Wilkinson, G. New complexes of ruthenium(II) with triphenylphosphine and other ligands. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1969, 1749–1753.