



The Role of Janus Kinase/STAT3 Pathway in Hematologic Malignancies With an Emphasis on Epigenetics

Elham Zeinalzadeh^{1,2}, Alexey Valerievich Yumashev³, Heshu Sulaiman Rahman^{4,5}, Faroogh Marofi⁶, Navid Shomali^{6,7}, Hossein Samadi Kafil⁸, Saeed Solali², Mehdi Sajjadi-Dokht², Sajjad Vakili-Samiani², Mostafa Jarahian⁹* and Majid Farshdousti Hagh^{2,7,8}*

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Filippo Cernilogar, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

Reviewed by:

Lisa Renee Forbes, Baylor College of Medicine, United States Hernando Lopez Bertoni, Johns Hopkins Medicine, United States

*Correspondence:

Majid Farshdousti Hagh m.farshdousti@gmail.com Mostafa Jarahian jarahianmostafa@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Epigenomics and Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 30 April 2021 Accepted: 12 August 2021 Published: 21 December 2021

Citation:

Zeinalzadeh E, Yumashev AV, Rahman HS, Marofi F, Shomali N, Kafil HS, Solali S, Sajjadi-Dokht M, Vakili-Samiani S, Jarahian M and Hagh MF (2021) The Role of Janus Kinase/STAT3 Pathway in Hematologic Malignancies With an Emphasis on Epigenetics. Front. Genet. 12:703883. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.703883 ¹Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ²Hematology and Oncology Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ³Department of Prosthetic Dentistry, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia, ⁴College of Medicine, University of Sulaimani, Sulaimaniyah, Iraq, ⁵Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Komar University of Science and Technology, Sulaimaniyah, Iraq, ⁶Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ⁷Immunology Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ⁸Drug Applied Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran, ⁹German Cancer Research Center, Toxicology and Chemotherapy Unit (G401), Heidelberg, Germany

The Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway has been known to be involved in cell growth, cellular differentiation processes development, immune cell survival, and hematopoietic system development. As an important member of the STAT family, STAT3 participates as a major regulator of cellular development and differentiation-associated genes. Prolonged and persistent STAT3 activation has been reported to be associated with tumor cell survival, proliferation, and invasion. Therefore, the JAK-STAT pathway can be a potential target for drug development to treat human cancers, e.g., hematological malignancies. Although STAT3 upregulation has been reported in hematopoietic cancers, protein-level STAT3 mutations have also been reported in invasive leukemias/lymphomas. The principal role of STAT3 in tumor cell growth clarifies the importance of approaches that downregulate this molecule. Epigenetic modifications are a major regulatory mechanism controlling the activity and function of STAT3. So far, several compounds have been developed to target epigenetic regulatory enzymes in blood malignancies. Here, we discuss the current knowledge about STAT3 abnormalities and carcinogenic functions in hematopoietic cancers, novel STAT3 inhibitors, the role of epigenetic mechanisms in STAT3 regulation, and targeted therapies, by focusing on STAT3-related epigenetic modifications.

Keywords: leukemia, epigenetics, Janus kinase, STAT3, methylation

INTRODUCTION

Signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs) are a family of seven cytoplasmic transcription factors that receive the signals from cell-surface cytokine receptors and growth factor receptors and transmit them to the cell nucleus (Morris et al., 2018; Hosseini et al., 2020). The STAT proteins participate in normal cellular events, such as survival, proliferation,

1

and differentiation. The signals produced by the Janus kinase (JAK)/STAT signaling pathway are essential to the blood circulatory system and immune response (Murray, 2007). Activation of cytokine receptors induces anti-apoptotic, proliferative, and differentiation signals, determining the development of different blood cell lineages (Hirano et al., 2000; Robb, 2007). Clinical trials have shown that STAT3 is constitutively active in many types of cancer, including breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple myeloma, lymphoma, and prostate cancer. Moreover, the contribution of the mutant JAK2 in myeloproliferative diseases, polycythemia vera, adult T-cell lymphoblastic leukemias, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) has been reported (Marubayashi et al., 2010; Harry et al., 2012; Kalkat et al., 2017; Johnson et al., 2018). Recently, gain-of-function (GOF) mutations in STAT3 have been observed in patients with leukemia and lymphoma, and the most common site for these somatic mutations occurs in the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain, highlighting the importance of STAT3 as a valuable target in hematologic cancers (Klein et al., 2021). The results of a study revealed that during the last reprogramming phase of the pre-induced pluripotent stem cells (pre-iPSCs), JAK/STAT3 activity plays a key role in promoting the pluripotency establishment at the epigenetic level through open-chromatin formation and facilitation of DNA demethylation/de novo methylation. However, the exact role of the epigenetic mechanism involved in STAT3 activation has not yet been elucidated (Tang et al., 2012). In this review, we will first examine the importance of the STAT3 signaling

pathway in hematologic cancers, and then summarize the epigenetic variations affecting the JAK-STAT signaling pathway. We will also describe other epigenetic-associated proteins such as p66a (GATAD2A) and the significance of targeting the JAK-STAT signaling pathway in leukemia treatment.

STAT SIGNALING

The discovery of the JAK/STAT pathway happened through a series of investigations looking into the relationship between interferon responses and signal transduction (Velazquez et al., 1992). The STAT family includes seven members: STAT1, STAT2, STAT3, STAT4, STAT5 (a/b), and STAT6 (Subramaniam et al., 2013; Rezapour et al., 2019). There is a standard structural pattern in all seven STAT proteins (Figure 1). JAK proteins have seven conserved JAK homology (JH) domains ranging from 120 to 140kDa. The JAK kinase C terminal contains two JH tyrosine catalytic (JH1) domains along with a pseudokinase domain (JH2). The SH2-like domain that contains IH3-IH5 acts as a connector of the C-terminal and N-terminal domains (Pencik et al., 2016). STAT-activated proteins interact with one another via their SH2 domains to form homo- or hetero-dimers, which then move into the nucleus to activate target gene transcription (Liu et al., 2018). The gene coding STAT3 is located on the chromosome 17 (17q21.1; Rezapour et al., 2019) and consists of four isoforms: STAT3a, STAT3β, STAT3 γ , and STAT3 δ (Aigner et al., 2019). STAT3 α with a



FIGURE 1 | The structure of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) family. A full-length stat consists of N-Terminal domain (required for STAT oligomerization and stabilization on DNA), Coiled-Coiled domain (needed as a nuclear localization signal for stimulation), DNA binding domain (binds DNA elements), linker domain, src homology 2 domain (SH2: mediated homo or heterodimer formation, bind transcriptional activation domain of adjacent STAT monomer), and C-terminal transcriptional activation domain (TAD: Y and S within the C-terminal are the phosphorylation sites).

molecular weight of ~92 kDa is the most frequent structure, containing domains of ND, CCD, DBD, linker, SH2, and transcriptional activation domain (TAD), and predominantly associated with cell proliferation and transformation (Shi et al., 2018). A variety of mechanisms including JAK/STAT3, Ras/ mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and non-receptor tyrosine kinase (NTRK) signaling pathways enable STAT3 activation (Groner et al., 2008). The protein inhibitors of activated STATs (PIAS) adversely control STAT signaling. PIAS regulates and restricts STAT signaling by either intervening in the DNA-binding action of STATs or *via* an E3 ligase of a small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) which induces STAT proteolysis. Meanwhile, cytokine signaling silencer (SOCS) proteins limit JAK/STAT signals by restraining the activity of JAK kinases and challenging interlinkage with STATs.

STAT3 is a key downstream signaling mediator of gp130, a receptor previously revealed to activate the HSCs' self-renewal (Kleppe et al., 2017). The induction of STAT3 proteins markedly contributes to the generation of mature hematopoietic cells by affecting cellular growth, survival, and lineage specificity. For instance, conditional JAK1 deletion, a principal mediator of STAT3 activation in HSCs, potently reduces HSCs' self-renewal regeneration and modifies lympho-myeloid differentiation in vivo (Kleppe et al., 2017). Despite the role of STAT3 in hematopoietic cell proliferation, survival, and differentiation, its participation in leukemogenesis has not yet been elucidated. The constitutive stimulation of STAT3 has extensively been documented in both acute and chronic leukemias. Indeed, it seems that dysregulated STAT3 stimulation may arise from the hyperactivation or overexpression of cellular oncogenic tyrosine kinases or leukemic fusion oncoproteins (Dorritie et al., 2014). Meanwhile, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) stimulates the induction of STAT3a to improve acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell line proliferation and regulates CK2 protein kinase, the transcription of the Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3a) gene, by inducing STAT3 through JAK/STAT3 and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling axis, leading to leukemia stem cells growth (Shi et al., 2018). Furthermore, STAT3 activation through JAK/STAT3 and Ras/Raf/MAPK has been reported in an in vitro study conducted on the K562 chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cell line (Shi et al., 2018). Besides, the study of STAT3 mutations in patients with large granular lymphocytic (LGL) leukemia showed that the mutations in STAT3 introduced an amino acid replacement in the encoded protein (Koskela et al., 2012). In another study on T-LGL patients, it was found that all mutations were missense mutations, and Tyr640Phe and Asp661Tyr were reported as the most-shared mutations in these patients. Surprisingly, some STATs can repress the transcription of their target genes (Kang et al., 2013; Saraei et al., 2019). Signaling via the JAK/STAT pathway is a wellorganized mechanism in normal tissues controlling various stages of cell development (Li et al., 2016). Initially, STAT proteins have been identified as latent transcription factors in the cytoplasm (Zhang et al., 2016). Each member of the STAT family has a unique function. For example, STAT3 and STAT5 contribute to cancer progression, while STAT1 can suppress it. Continuous activation of STAT3/5 results in a reinforcement of chronic inflammation and thus increases healthy cells' sensitivity to

cancer. Some cytokines, including IL-12, IL-4/IL-13, and IFN- α activate STAT2, STAT4, and STAT6 (Rani and Murphy, 2016). Therefore, not all STAT proteins are exclusively involved in inflammation and malignancy growth (Wong et al., 2017). STAT3 and STAT5 can regulate the development of specific cancer cells, such as the cells with a BCR-ABL1 fusion protein (Coffer et al., 2000; Shomali et al., 2020). Various mechanisms of carcinogenesis, including the induction of the cell cycle, apoptosis, angiogenesis, metastases, and invasion are indirectly regulated by STAT3 (Loh et al., 2019). Numerous cytokines and growth factors can activate STAT3 and STAT5a/b, including interleukin (IL)-6, epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and insulin-like growth factor (IGF; Kim et al., 2018). Once the molecules are attached to their specific receptors on cell surface membranes, JAK kinases are activated and subsequently trigger the phosphorylation of tyrosine residues on receptors that recruit STAT protein SH2 domains (Xue et al., 2002). IL-6 can activate STAT3 via IL-6 receptors, gp130, and JAKs (Liu et al., 2010). Dimerization of the gp130 receptor occurs when IL-6 is bound to its receptor, which allows JAK to be active due to its interaction with gp130. Then, tyrosine residue phosphorylation is triggered on gp130, providing docking positions to inert STAT3 monomers, causing the phosphorylation of Tyr705 residues of STAT3 (Hirano et al., 2000). STAT3-activated monomers are dimerized by binding to the phosphorylated residue of the Tyr705 portion of the STAT monomer to the SH2 space of another STAT monomer (Haura et al., 2005). Nuclear translocation occurs immediately with the intervention of importin alpha5 (NPI-1; Ma and Cao, 2006). STAT3 dimers are connected to the STAT3-specific DNA-response elements of the target, allowing them to be transcribed (Figure 2; Jing and Tweardy, 2005; Loh et al., 2019). Besides, the STAT family participates with other transcription factors, including RUNX family proteins, nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-KB), and p53 (Loh et al., 2019; Shomali et al., 2021).

STAT3 has been considered a potential therapeutic objective for cancer for several reasons. First, it is stimulated in a broad range of malignancies, and its *in vitro* inhibition leads to growth arrest and apoptosis in human cancer models. Second, different carcinogenic pathways converge in STAT3 and concurrently inhibit several upstream tyrosine kinases (Wong et al., 2017).

EPIGENETIC REGULATION MECHANISM

Epigenetic regulation is a central property of eukaryotic genomes (Feschotte and Pritham, 2007), which alters gene expression without altering the DNA sequence (Jaenisch and Bird, 2003). Epigenetic processes include DNA methylation, histone modifications, small RNAs, and protein-protein interactions (Cheng et al., 2019; Alizadeh et al., 2020). It has been indicated that atypical epigenetic modulation of gene expression is related to both hematological (Mercurio et al., 2010) and solid malignancies (Vendetti and Rudin, 2013; Nabipoorashrafi et al., 2020). In comparison to genetic mutations, the potential to reconstruct the epigenetic site in the epigeneme of malignant development and the production of next-generation "epidrug" is one of the



via VEGF and HIF5-007.

most promising objective therapies for the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of cancers (Nebbioso et al., 2018), as well as preventing and reversing the drug resistance (Miranda Furtado et al., 2019). DNA methylation plays an important function in the epigenetic regulation of oncogenes expression (Easwaran et al., 2014). Approximately 60–80% of CpGs in the human genome are methylated in healthy cells (Laan et al., 2020). DNA methylation in mammalian cells is limited to CpG regions that usually occur in promoter areas and thereby prevents gene transcription, while gene activation is exerted by its demethylation (**Figure 3**; Kong et al., 2019). The methylation of the promoter area allows the regulation of differential gene expressions, and may also be involved in chronic lymphoblastic leukemia (CLL) pathogenesis (Andreani et al., 2020). The DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), such as DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, and DNMT3L, can methylate DNA by passing the methyl group into the cytosine pyrimidine base in 5'-CpG-3 dinucleotides (Rajabi et al., 2016). DNMT3 is a *de novo* methyltransferase whereas DNMT1 preserves the methylation pattern fidelity of replicated daughter strands (Subramaniam et al., 2014). DNMT1 localizes to replicate foci and mostly preserve DNA methylation patterns from template strands to new DNA strands (Rajabi et al., 2016). DNMT1 can



nypermethylation is done and inhibits the transcription of such genes, such as turnor suppressor genes, as a result of gene sile

perform *de novo* methyltransferase activity through DNMT3a and DNMT3b during development (Ko et al., 2005). Notably, DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b are usually overexpressed in cancer cells (Qu et al., 2010), and DNMT1 and DNMT3b are essential for silencing the genes in human cancer cells (Rajabi et al., 2016). Currently, DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTi) are commonly used to restore misplaced forms of DNA methylation in cancer cells (Maes et al., 2013; De Smedt et al., 2018; Akbari et al., 2020).

THE FUNCTION OF JAK/STAT SIGNALING IN HEMATOPOIETIC MALIGNANCY

Normal hematopoietic cells are differentiated and matured by cytokines. However, leukemia cells are characterized by a lack of differentiation. Although the activity of STAT3 in normal cells is rapid and transient, aberrant expression of STAT3 activity always occurs in leukemic cells, which in turn, provokes the proliferation of leukemic cells, and prevents differentiation and apoptosis (Schemionek et al., 2012). Based on molecular analysis, G-CSF triggers STAT3α activation to enable the proliferation of AML cell lines. Also, STAT3 can be activated through the JAK/STAT3 and Ras/Raf/MAPK pathways, which start Forkhead box M1 (FOXM1) gene transcription and stimulate CML cells proliferation in vitro (Mencalha et al., 2012). In detail, G-CSF binding to its ligand on the cell surface results in the Y705 domain phosphorylation in STAT3. Finally, multi-signal transduction pathways are activated via Ras/Raf/MAPK, PI3K, and JAK/STAT cascades (Ward et al., 1999). Remarkably, the STAT3 protein controls G-CSFR-mediated signaling pathways and obstructs the differentiation of granulocytes (Hillmer et al., 2016). In this regard, scientists have shown that STAT3 inhibition is effective in hampering the proliferation and survival of leukemia cells (Wu et al., 2015). The role of STAT3 in apoptosis is also remarkable as activated STAT3 stimulates the expression of anti-apoptotic genes and inhibits apoptosis in leukemic cells, while its inhibition regulates the expression of proapoptotic genes, causing the apoptosis of leukemia cells (Zhou et al., 2009; Mencalha et al., 2010). Therefore, the activation status of STAT3 may be considered as a prognosis target for leukemia (Fathi et al., 2018). Moreover, it has been identified that STAT3 and STAT5 are important for hematopoietic malignancies that affect both myeloid and lymphoid compartments (Zhang et al., 2007). JAK2 mutant has been described in several cases with myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) polycythemia Vera, thrombocythemia, myelofibrosis, and also a high level of STAT3 has been detected in special ovarian cancer cell lines (Nowak et al., 2017).

Evidence suggest that deregulated STAT3 activity leads to increased drug resistance in leukemia and lymphoma. Therefore, it is important to develop drug molecules based on the chosen STAT3 target in hematological neoplasms (Brachet-Botineau et al., 2020).

STAT3 in Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and CLL

Although the role of STAT3 in ALL has not been well determined, pieces of evidence highlight the potent anti-proliferative and anti-tumor inhibition of STAT3 in B-ALL cells in clinical studies (Belton et al., 2016). It is known that phosphorylation of STAT3 on S⁷²⁷ is a signature of CLL development (Hazan-Halevy et al., 2010), as it can regulate the transcription function of the STAT3, and is associated with mitochondrial localization of STAT3 in CLL patients' cells (Brachet-Botineau et al., 2020).

STAT3 in T-LGL Leukemia

In 40% of patients with leukemia-LGL, an unusual T-cell disease, STAT3 mutations have been identified. STAT3 mutations are rare in patients with lymphoid neoplasms and are found in 1.6% of T-cell neoplasms patients and 2.5% of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Couronné et al., 2013). Furthermore, mutations in the STAT3 SH2 domain (Y640F, D661Y/V) have also been identified in T-LGL leukemia (Rajala et al., 2014).

STAT3 in Hodgkin Lymphoma and Non-hodgkin Lymphoma

Studies have shown the importance of upregulated STAT3 in the proliferation and survival of Hodgkin's cells (Kube et al., 2001). Holtick et al. (2005) described that STAT3 is essential for the proliferation of HL cells and is the target of Tyrphostin AG17, which confers apoptosis sensitization. Anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) may be classified into positive and negative subgroups of anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), depending on rearrangements of the ALK genes. The fusion protein NPM-ALK is the main oncogenic catalyst in ALK+ ALCL and it activates STAT3 (Zamo et al., 2002; Brachet-Botineau et al., 2020). It has been shown that dysregulation of the STAT3 function contributes to the pathogenesis of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) and the progression of cancer (Netchiporouk et al., 2014). Patients with adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATLL) have been shown to have active mutations in Y640F and D661Y/V/H/N in the STAT3 SH2 domain, leading to increased STAT3 phosphorylation and cell growth (Kücük et al., 2015; Brachet-Botineau et al., 2020). Moreover, in patients with NK/T cell lymphoma, increased phosphorylation and STAT3 transcriptional activity have been observed due to active E616 G and E616 K mutations in the SH2 domain (Song et al., 2018).

THE ROLE OF METHYLATED SOCS IN THE JAK/STAT PATHWAY

Suppressor of cytokine signaling plays a key role in the proteins as negative regulators of Jak-STAT signaling (Subramaniam et al., 2013). The SOCS family involves eight members including SOCS1-7 and CIS, all of which have a main Src-homology 2 domain (SH2) and a conserved C-terminal domain known as the SOCS box (Chim et al., 2004). They are involved in several inflammatory disorders and tumors, including hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer, colorectal, and cervical cancer. Dysregulation of the SOCS genes has been detected in solid tumors as well as hematological malignancies including myeloproliferative disorders and AML with unfavorable prognoses. SOCS5 is a tumor suppressor gene that controls the EGFR and JAK/STAT signal pathways negatively. Also, JAK/STAT pathway is negatively regulated by SOCS1 and Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase-1 (SHP-1; Chim et al., 2004). SHP1 is a negative signaling pathway for JAKs, which is activated in MPNs and leukemia (Yang et al., 2015). Sharma et al. (2019) have shown that SOCS5 is epigenetically regulated by DNA methylation and histone deacetylation. They also demonstrated that SOCS5 silencing substantially improved the proliferation of T-ALL in vitro and a murine model of human leukemia. They have shown that SOCS1 is rarely inactivated by methylation. On the other hand, it has been shown that SHP1 is frequently silenced by methylation in leukemia and lymphomas, and SHP1 methylation was involved in the constitutive activation of STAT3. They suggested that SHP1 methylation may be an effective epigenetic factor that correlates with SOCS6 and enhances neoplastic cell growth (Sharma et al., 2019). Moreover, silencing of DNMT1 hinders the initiation of the JAK2/STAT3 pathway

in lipopolysaccharide- (LPS-) stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line. Cheng et al. (2014) showed that hypermethylation of SOCS1 by DNMT1 causes loss of SOCS1 function in negative regulation of the JAK2/STAT3 pathway and increases the release of inflammatory cytokines induced by LPS such as interleukin-2 and TNF in macrophages. Thus, LPS can increase SOCS1 methylation by the upregulated expression of DNMT1, which leads to an inflammatory secretion of cytokines by RAW264.7 cells (Cheng et al., 2014). Moreover, SOCS proteins minimized cytokine-induced functions via JAK/STAT pathway, and a decreased expression of SOCS proteins resulted in increased cytokine-induced reactions to osteoarthritis (de Andrés et al., 2011). SOCS gene methylation has also been related to gene silence and increased STAT activity in ovarian and breast carcinogenic diseases (Sutherland et al., 2004). Other investigations have revealed that the levels of SOCS5 mRNA were related to its promoter methylation, and the IL-7R signaling pathway is negatively regulated by SOCS5 in T-ALL cells (Sharma et al., 2019). Moreover, observations signified that increased leukemia cell proliferation may rely on SOCS5 inactivation (Sharma et al., 2019). In another study, Hajime Isomoto and his coworkers found that STAT-3 was activated by IL-6 through methylation of the CPG islands in the SOCS-3 gene promoter and its shutdown. As a result, the activation of STAT3 decreased with the re-expression of SOCS3 which may be significant in the epigenetic treatment of cancer by restoring SOCS3 (Isomoto et al., 2007).

Relation Between STAT3 and Epigenetics in Leukemia

STAT3 activation is not well-known in epigenetic processes. Reports have suggested that the activity of JAK/STAT3 plays a key role in fostering epigenetic pluripotency, via facilitating DNA de novo methylation and the formation of chromatin free during late reprogramming (Tang et al., 2012). The phosphorylated and activated STAT3 is translocated into the nucleus and increases the transcription of other oncogenes in cancerous cells. However, unphosphorylated STAT3 may also trigger gene expression via IL-6-based activation (Nowak et al., 2017). Xin et al. (2017) have identified a protein called P66 as an epigenetic-related protein that suppresses the transcription of new proteins through STAT3 in functional MDSCs. The P66 regulates phosphorylation and ubiquitination of STAT3 and can suppress its function through posttranslational modification. This may be a new mechanism that controls STAT3 activity in differentiating myeloid cells (Xin et al., 2017). The most common phosphorylation site associated with STAT3 activation is tyrosine phosphor-STAT3 (Y705; Diao et al., 2015). Studies have shown that p66 interacts with STAT3 and suppresses Y705-site phosphorylation, suggesting the essential role of p66 in the STAT3 activation system (Vasquez-Dunddel et al., 2013).

DNA methylation in acute myelogenous leukemogenesis is a subject that has widely been used in clinical studies and has become a diagnostic, prognostic, and potential therapeutic target for AML. Also, a further understanding of the relationship between DNA methylation, genetic anomalies, and gene expression may provide exceptional experiences in the

pathogenesis of AML (Xin et al., 2017). As discussed above, SHP1 is the negative signaling pathway for JAKs and is activated in MPN and leukemia, and Hypermethylation of SHP1 has been observed commonly in AML patient samples and leukemia characterized by t(8;21) or inv(16), was shown by samples of hypermethylated SHP1. Hypermethylated SHP1 was found in 17% of T-ALL and 11% of B-ALL samples (Ghoshal Gupta et al., 2008). Similarly, SOCS1 hypermethylation was reported among AML patients especially among those with t(15;17; acutepromyelocytic leukemia; Chen et al., 2003). Other pieces of evidence confirmed abnormal hypermethylation of SHP1 in different leukemic cell lines and mononuclear bone marrow patients with MPNs (Yang et al., 2015). Drennan et al. (2017) also identified a strong connection between IL-10 production and locus methylation status, including an area with STAT3 site, implying that B10 functionality is epigenetic programming in CLL. Previous studies have shown STAT3 activation via BCR-ABL, JAK2V617F, and KITD816V in mice (Coppo et al., 2006). Epigenetic dysregulation in MDS and AML is an early event of somatic mutations in hematopoietic stem cells which cause a potential deficit in protein level and a poor prognosis. In CLL, epigenetic controllers are rarely mutated, but very deregulated with a reduced appearance in connection with disease progression (Bagacean et al., 2018).

Relation Between STAT3 and Epigenetics in Non-leukemic Hematologic Malignancies

Epigenetic factors play an important role in the pathogenesis of autoimmune systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). For example, RFX1, which is a transcriptional control factor, regulates the expression of the target gene by binding to the X-box in the DNA sequence. Studies have shown that STAT3 phosphorylation by IL-6 suppresses RFX1 expression in CD4+ T cells in patients with SLE, and the pSTAT3 level was high in CD4+ T cells among SLE cases (Zhao et al., 2018). In multiple myeloma, CD38 expression is affected by IL-6, and since IL-6 can activate various signaling pathways, including STAT3, STAT3 is considered the strongest negative CD38 regulator. The results of the studies have evidenced that STAT3 is an important factor in the expression of CD38 in MM cells in the BM environment. These outcomes may introduce new combination therapy options by targeting CD38 with a JAK-STAT pathway inhibitor (Ogiya et al., 2020; Shomali et al., 2021).

EPIGENETIC THERAPY IN HEMATOLOGICAL MALIGNANCIES

Given the impact of the JAK/STAT pathway on cancer growth and development, targeting this pathway as a cancer treatment is a good choice. There are many strategies for targeting STAT proteins. Since STAT3 and STAT5 are more involved in several malignancies, more studies have been done to regulate them (Furqan et al., 2013). Additional research has been done to create JAK/STAT pathway inhibitors, then several of these pathway inhibitors are being studied in leukemia clinical trials (Buchert et al., 2016). Ruxolitinib and Tofacitinib are two effective JAK inhibitors. Ruxolitinib targets JAK1 and JAK2, while Tofacitinib targets JAK1, JAK2, and JAK3 (Flanagan et al., 2010; Stover et al., 2018). Moreover, OPB-31121 is a small regulatory molecule that is engineered to bind to the SH2 domain of STAT3/5 and prevents its downstream processes (Brambilla et al., 2015; Pencik et al., 2016; Brachet-Botineau et al., 2020). AZD9150 is also a 16-oligonucleotide molecule that attaches to untranslated mRNA STAT3 regions and prevents the translation of STAT3 protein (Reilley et al., 2018). Only a few inhibitors, including AZD9150 and OPB-3112, have passed phase I clinical trials for leukemia (Pencik et al., 2016). There are drugs designed to suppress other kinases but they also have an inhibitory effect on the JAK group. For instance, Lestaurtinib (CEP-701) is an FLT3 and TrkA inhibitor, but it has also been reported to inhibit JAK and may also be used to treat AML (Hexner et al., 2008). Qin JJ et al. argued that there are new ways to induce STAT3 protein breakdown through PROTAC or STAT3 binding inhibition that need to be investigated, which could lead to the discovery of more effective treatment and prevention methods (Figure 3; Qin et al., 2019).

It is noteworthy that the alteration of epigenetic pathways can also play a role in cancer initiation and progression. Several compounds have been developed to target epigenetic enzymes for epigenetic therapy in hematological malignancies and solid tumors. As a consequence, epigenetic expansion and increased resistance to epidrug therapy are possible factors of combination therapy (Kerry et al., 2017). The activation and/or repression of epigenetics can result in the suppression of tumor growth or sensitization to anticancer therapies. It is now well-established that epigenetically silenced genes can be reactivated by the action of small molecules, epidrugs, capable of correcting epigenetic defects pharmacologically. Epidrug therapy can reactivate silent gene transcription, suppress enzymes such as DNMTs or HDACs, and restitute natural cell growth and differentiation (Carafa et al., 2013). Targeting epigenetic aberrations is a well-known strategy for treating patients with AML, and several epigenetic drugs have been clinically approved which affect different stages of cell development (Mehdipour et al., 2015). AML clinical trials have recently tested the effectiveness of DNMTi such as 5-AZA and decitabine, and HDAC inhibitors, such as SAHA, Romidepsin, and LBH589. Currently, these epidrugs are under clinical study individually or in conjunction with other medical therapies for hematological malignancies (Figure 4; Nebbioso et al., 2015).

CONCLUSION

The detection of genetic mutations in specific enzymes involved in epigenetic regulation is invaluable. As discussed above, STAT3 has a bilateral function, resulting in both embryonic developmental activity and oncogenic function. Due to the overexpression of STAT3 in malignancies that leads to tumor progression, STAT3 is considered a therapeutic target. Importantly, the identification of new STAT3 inhibitors for the treatment of certain leukemias is of paramount importance. To sum up, we suggest that developing inhibitors that affect the JAK/STAT signaling pathway in cancer



8

cells through various pathways, such as phosphorylation in serine and tyrosine residues, acetylation, methylation, and glycosylation may be a potential therapeutic strategy for a variety of hematological malignancies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EZ, AY, HR, FM, NS, HK, SS, MS-D, and SV-S took part in conceptualization and also drafted the main text, figures and tables. AY drew the figures. FM, AY, and NS participated in

REFERENCES

- Aigner, P., Just, V., and Stoiber, D. (2019). STAT3 isoforms: alternative fates in cancer? *Cytokine* 118, 27–34. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2018.07.014
- Akbari, M., Shomali, N., Faraji, A., Shanehbandi, D., Asadi, M., Mokhtarzadeh, A., et al. (2020). CD133: an emerging prognostic factor and therapeutic target in colorectal cancer. *Cell Biol. Int.* 44, 368–380. doi: 10.1002/cbin.11243
- Alizadeh, N., Asadi, M., Shanehbandi, D., Zafari, V., Shomali, N., Asvadi, T., et al. (2020). Evaluation of the methylation of MIR129-2 gene in gastric cancer. J. Gastrointest. Cancer 51, 267–270. doi: 10.1007/s12029-019-00239-4
- Andreani, G., Carra, G., Lingua, M. F., Maffeo, B., Brancaccio, M., Taulli, R., et al. (2020). Tumor suppressors in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: from lost partners to active targets. *Cancers* 12:629. doi: 10.3390/cancers12030629
- Bagacean, C., Bordron, A., Adrian, T., Ianotto, J. C., Guillerm, G., Brooks, W. H., et al. (2018). Distinct mechanisms of alterations in DNA methylation/demethylation leading to myelodysplastic syndromes/acute myeloid leukemia and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. OBM Genet. 2:1. doi: 10.21926/obm.genet.1804054
- Belton, A., Xian, L., Huso, T., Koo, M., Luo, L. Z., Turkson, J., et al. (2016). STAT3 inhibitor has potent antitumor activity in B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells overexpressing the high mobility group A1 (HMGA1)–STAT3 pathway. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 57, 2681–2684. doi: 10.3109/10428194.2016.1153089
- Brachet-Botineau, M., Polomski, M., Neubauer, H. A., Juen, L., Hédou, D., Viaud-Massuard, M.-C., et al. (2020). Pharmacological inhibition of oncogenic STAT3 and STAT5 signaling in hematopoietic cancers. *Cancers* 12:240. doi: 10.3390/cancers12010240
- Brambilla, L., Genini, D., Laurini, E., Merulla, J., Perez, L., Fermeglia, M., et al. (2015). Hitting the right spot: mechanism of action of OPB-31121, a novel and potent inhibitor of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). *Mol. Oncol.* 9, 1194–1206. doi: 10.1016/j. molonc.2015.02.012
- Buchert, M., Burns, C. J., and Ernst, M. (2016). Targeting JAK kinase in solid tumors: emerging opportunities and challenges. *Oncogene* 35, 939–951. doi: 10.1038/onc.2015.150
- Carafa, V., Miceli, M., Altucci, L., and Nebbioso, A. (2013). Histone deacetylase inhibitors: a patent review (2009–2011). Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 23, 1–17. doi: 10.1517/13543776.2013.736493
- Chen, C. Y., Tsay, W., Tang, J. L., Shen, H. L., Lin, S. W., Huang, S. Y., et al. (2003). SOCS1 methylation in patients with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes Chromosom. Cancer* 37, 300–305. doi: 10.1002/gcc.10222
- Cheng, Y., He, C., Wang, M., Ma, X., Mo, F., Yang, S., et al. (2019). Targeting epigenetic regulators for cancer therapy: mechanisms and advances in clinical trials. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 4:62. doi: 10.1038/s41392-019-0095-0
- Cheng, C., Huang, C., Ma, T.-T., Bian, E.-B., He, Y., Zhang, L., et al. (2014). SOCS1 hypermethylation mediated by DNMT1 is associated with lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory cytokines in macrophages. *Toxicol. Lett.* 225, 488–497. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.12.023
- Chim, C.-S., Fung, T.-K., Cheung, W.-C., Liang, R., and Kwong, Y.-L. (2004). SOCS1 and SHP1 hypermethylation in multiple myeloma: implications for epigenetic activation of the Jak/STAT pathway. *Blood* 103, 4630–4635. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-06-2007
- Coffer, P. J., Koenderman, L., and de Groot, R. P. (2000). The role of STATs in myeloid differentiation and leukemia. Oncogene 19, 2511–2522. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1203479

the writing and scientific editing of the manuscript. MJ and MF supervised the work and provided the comments and additional scientific information. All authors read and approved the final version of work to be published.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to Dr. Franz-Paul Armbruster (Immundiagnostik Comp., Bensheim, Germany) for his generous support, especially for defraying the page charges of this article.

- Coppo, P., Flamant, S., Mas, V. D., Jarrier, P., Guillier, M., Bonnet, M. L., et al. (2006). BCR–ABL activates STAT3 via JAK and MEK pathways in human cells. *Br. J. Haematol.* 134, 171–179. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2006.06161.x
- Couronné, L., Scourzic, L., Pilati, C., Valle, V. D., Duffourd, Y., Solary, E., et al. (2013). STAT3 mutations identified in human hematologic neoplasms induce myeloid malignancies in a mouse bone marrow transplantation model. *Haematologica* 98, 1748–1752. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2013.085068
- de Andrés, M. C., Imagawa, K., Hashimoto, K., Gonzalez, A., Goldring, M. B., Roach, H. I., et al. (2011). Suppressors of cytokine signalling (SOCS) are reduced in osteoarthritis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 407, 54–59. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.02.101
- De Smedt, E., Lui, H., Maes, K., De Veirman, K., Menu, E., Vanderkerken, K., et al. (2018). The epigenome in multiple myeloma: impact on tumor cell plasticity and drug response. *Front. Oncol.* 8:566. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00566
- Diao, J., Yang, X., Song, X., Chen, S., He, Y., Wang, Q., et al. (2015). Exosomal Hsp70 mediates immunosuppressive activity of the myeloid-derived suppressor cells via phosphorylation of Stat3. *Med. Oncol.* 32:35. doi: 10.1007/ s12032-014-0453-2
- Dorritie, K., McCubrey, J., and Johnson, D. (2014). STAT transcription factors in hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis: opportunities for therapeutic intervention. *Leukemia* 28, 248–257. doi: 10.1038/leu.2013.192
- Drennan, S., D'Avola, A., Gao, Y., Weigel, C., Chrysostomou, E., Steele, A. J., et al. (2017). IL-10 production by CLL cells is enhanced in the anergic IGHV mutated subset and associates with reduced DNA methylation of the IL10 locus. *Leukemia* 31, 1686–1694. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.356
- Easwaran, H., Tsai, H.-C., and Baylin, S. B. (2014). Cancer epigenetics: tumor heterogeneity, plasticity of stem-like states, and drug resistance. *Mol. Cell* 54, 716–727. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.05.015
- Fathi, N., Rashidi, G., Khodadadi, A., Shahi, S., and Sharifi, S. (2018). STAT3 and apoptosis challenges in cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 117, 993–1001. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.05.121
- Feschotte, C., and Pritham, E. J. (2007). DNA transposons and the evolution of eukaryotic genomes. Annu. Rev. Genet. 41, 331–368. doi: 10.1146/annurev. genet.40.110405.090448
- Flanagan, M. E., Blumenkopf, T. A., Brissette, W. H., Brown, M. F., Casavant, J. M., Shang-Poa, C., et al. (2010). Discovery of CP-690,550: a potent and selective Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor for the treatment of autoimmune diseases and organ transplant rejection. J. Med. Chem. 53, 8468–8484. doi: 10.1021/jm1004286
- Furqan, M., Akinleye, A., Mukhi, N., Mittal, V., Chen, Y., and Liu, D. (2013). STAT inhibitors for cancer therapy. J. Hematol. Oncol. 6:90. doi: 10.1186/1756-8722-6-90
- Ghoshal Gupta, S., Baumann, H., and Wetzler, M. (2008). Epigenetic regulation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leuk. Res.* 32, 1005–1014. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2007.11.035
- Groner, B., Lucks, P., and Borghouts, C. (2008). The function of Stat3 in tumor cells and their microenvironment. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 19, 341–350. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.06.005
- Harry, B. L., Eckhardt, S. G., and Jimeno, A. (2012). JAK2 inhibition for the treatment of hematologic and solid malignancies. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 21, 637–655. doi: 10.1517/13543784.2012.677432
- Haura, E. B., Turkson, J., and Jove, R. (2005). Mechanisms of disease: insights into the emerging role of signal transducers and activators of transcription in cancer. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Oncol.* 2, 315–324. doi: 10.1038/ncponc0195

- Hazan-Halevy, I., Harris, D., Liu, Z., Liu, J., Li, P., Chen, X., et al. (2010). STAT3 is constitutively phosphorylated on serine 727 residues, binds DNA, and activates transcription in CLL cells. *Blood* 115, 2852–2863. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2009-10-230060
- Hexner, E. O., Serdikoff, C., Jan, M., Swider, C. R., Robinson, C., Yang, S., et al. (2008). Lestaurtinib (CEP701) is a JAK2 inhibitor that suppresses JAK2/STAT5 signaling and the proliferation of primary erythroid cells from patients with myeloproliferative disorders. *Blood* 111, 5663–5671. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2007-04-083402
- Hillmer, E. J., Zhang, H., Li, H. S., and Watowich, S. S. (2016). STAT3 signaling in immunity. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 31, 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2016.05.001
- Hirano, T., Ishihara, K., and Hibi, M. (2000). Roles of STAT3 in mediating the cell growth, differentiation and survival signals relayed through the IL-6 family of cytokine receptors. *Oncogene* 19, 2548–2556. doi: 10.1038/sj. onc.1203551
- Holtick, U., Vockerodt, M., Pinkert, D., Schoof, N., Stürzenhofecker, B., Kussebi, N., et al. (2005). STAT3 is essential for Hodgkin lymphoma cell proliferation and is a target of tyrphostin AG17 which confers sensitization for apoptosis. *Leukemia* 19, 936–944. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403750
- Hosseini, A., Babaloo, Z., Gharibi, T., Shomali, N., Marofi, F., Hashemi, V., et al. (2020). Epigenetic mechanisms shape the underlining expression regulatory mechanisms of the STAT3 in multiple sclerosis disease. *BMC. Res. Notes* 13:568. doi: 10.1186/s13104-020-05427-1
- Isomoto, H., Mott, J. L., Kobayashi, S., Werneburg, N. W., Bronk, S. F., Haan, S., et al. (2007). Sustained IL-6/STAT-3 signaling in cholangiocarcinoma cells due to SOCS-3 epigenetic silencing. *Gastroenterology* 132, 384–396. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.10.037
- Jaenisch, R., and Bird, A. (2003). Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat. Genet.* 33, 245–254. doi: 10.1038/ng1089
- Jing, N., and Tweardy, D. J. (2005). Targeting Stat3 in cancer therapy. Anticancer Drugs 16, 601–607. doi: 10.1097/00001813-200507000-00002
- Johnson, D. E., O'Keefe, R. A., and Grandis, J. R. (2018). Targeting the IL-6/ JAK/STAT3 signalling axis in cancer. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 15, 234–248. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.8
- Kalkat, M., De Melo, J., Hickman, K. A., Lourenco, C., Redel, C., Resetca, D., et al. (2017). MYC deregulation in primary human cancers. *Genes* 8:151. doi: 10.3390/genes8060151
- Kang, K., Robinson, G. W., and Hennighausen, L. (2013). Comprehensive metaanalysis of Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription (STAT) genomic binding patterns discerns cell-specific cis-regulatory modules. *BMC Genomics* 14:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-4
- Kerry, J., Godfrey, L., Repapi, E., Tapia, M., Blackledge, N. P., Ma, H., et al. (2017). MLL-AF4 spreading identifies binding sites that are distinct from super-enhancers and that govern sensitivity to DOT1L inhibition in leukemia. *Cell Rep.* 18, 482–495. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.054
- Kim, C., Lee, S.-G., Yang, W. M., Arfuso, F., Um, J.-Y., Kumar, A. P., et al. (2018). Formononetin-induced oxidative stress abrogates the activation of STAT3/5 signaling axis and suppresses the tumor growth in multiple myeloma preclinical model. *Cancer Lett.* 431, 123–141. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2018.05.038
- Klein, K., Stoiber, D., Sexl, V., and Witalisz-Siepracka, A. (2021). Untwining anti-tumor and immunosuppressive effects of JAK inhibitors—a strategy for hematological malignancies? *Cancers* 13:2611. doi: 10.3390/cancers13112611
- Kleppe, M., Spitzer, M. H., Li, S., Hill, C. E., Dong, L., Papalexi, E., et al. (2017). Jak1 integrates cytokine sensing to regulate hematopoietic stem cell function and stress hematopoiesis. *Cell Stem Cell* 21, 489–501.E7. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2017.08.011
- Ko, Y.-G., Nishino, K., Hattori, N., Arai, Y., Tanaka, S., and Shiota, K. (2005). Stage-by-stage change in DNA methylation status of Dnmt1 locus during mouse early development. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 9627–9634. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M413822200
- Kong, X., Gong, Z., Zhang, L., Sun, X., Ou, Z., Xu, B., et al. (2019). JAK2/ STAT3 signaling mediates IL-6-inhibited neurogenesis of neural stem cells through DNA demethylation/methylation. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 79, 159–173. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2019.01.027
- Koskela, H. L., Eldfors, S., Ellonen, P., van Adrichem, A. J., Kuusanmäki, H., Andersson, E. I., et al. (2012). Somatic STAT3 mutations in large granular lymphocytic leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 366, 1905–1913. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1114885

- Kube, D., Holtick, U., Vockerodt, M., Ahmadi, T., Haier, B., Behrmann, I., et al. (2001). STAT3 is constitutively activated in Hodgkin cell lines. *Blood* 98, 762–770. doi: 10.1182/blood.V98.3.762
- Kücük, C., Jiang, B., Hu, X., Zhang, W., Chan, J. K., Xiao, W., et al. (2015). Activating mutations of STAT5B and STAT3 in lymphomas derived from γδ-T or NK cells. *Nat. Commun.* 6:6025. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7025
- Laan, L., Klar, J., Sobol, M., Hoeber, J., Shahsavani, M., Kele, M., et al. (2020). DNA methylation changes in Down syndrome derived neural iPSCs uncover co-dysregulation of ZNF and HOX3 families of transcription factors. *Clin. Epigenetics* 12:9. doi: 10.1186/s13148-019-0803-1
- Li, L., Zhao, G. D., Shi, Z., Qi, L. L., Zhou, L. Y., and Fu, Z. X. (2016). The Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathway and its role in the occurrence and development of HCC. Oncol. Lett. 12, 3045–3050. doi: 10.3892/ ol.2016.5110
- Liu, Y., Fuchs, J., Li, C., and Lin, J. (2010). IL-6, a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma: FLLL32 inhibits IL-6-induced STAT3 phosphorylation in human hepatocellular cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* 9, 3423–3427. doi: 10.4161/cc.9.17.12946
- Liu, B., Palmfeldt, J., Lin, L., Colaço, A., Clemmensen, K. K. B., Huang, J., et al. (2018). STAT3 associates with vacuolar H+-ATPase and regulates cytosolic and lysosomal pH. *Cell Res.* 28, 996–1012. doi: 10.1038/ s41422-018-0080-0
- Loh, C.-Y., Arya, A., Naema, A. F., Wong, W. F., Sethi, G., and Looi, C. Y. (2019). Signal transducer and activator of transcription (STATs) proteins in cancer and inflammation: functions and therapeutic implication. *Front. Oncol.* 9:48. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00048
- Ma, J., and Cao, X. (2006). Regulation of Stat3 nuclear import by importin α 5 and importin α 7 via two different functional sequence elements. *Cell. Signal.* 18, 1117–1126. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2005.06.016
- Maes, K., Menu, E., Van Valckenborgh, E., Van Riet, I., Vanderkerken, K., and De Bruyne, E. (2013). Epigenetic modulating agents as a new therapeutic approach in multiple myeloma. *Cancer* 5, 430–461. doi: 10.3390/cancers5020430
- Marubayashi, S., Koppikar, P., Taldone, T., Abdel-Wahab, O., West, N., Bhagwat, N., et al. (2010). HSP90 is a therapeutic target in JAK2-dependent myeloproliferative neoplasms in mice and humans. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120, 3578–3593. doi: 10.1172/ JCI42442
- Mehdipour, P., Santoro, F., and Minucci, S. (2015). Epigenetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemias. FEBS J. 282, 1786-1800. doi: 10.1111/febs.13142
- Mencalha, A. L., Binato, R., Ferreira, G. M., Du Rocher, B., and Abdelhay, E. (2012). Forkhead box M1 (FoxM1) gene is a new STAT3 transcriptional factor target and is essential for proliferation, survival and DNA repair of K562 cell line. *PLoS One* 7:e48160. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0048160
- Mencalha, A. L., Du Rocher, B., Salles, D., Binato, R., and Abdelhay, E. (2010). LLL-3, a STAT3 inhibitor, represses BCR-ABL-positive cell proliferation, activates apoptosis and improves the effects of imatinib mesylate. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 65, 1039–1046. doi: 10.1007/s00280-009-1109-3
- Mercurio, C., Minucci, S., and Pelicci, P. G. (2010). Histone deacetylases and epigenetic therapies of hematological malignancies. *Pharmacol. Res.* 62, 18–34. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2010.02.010
- Miranda Furtado, C. L., Dos Santos Luciano, M. C., Silva Santos, R. D., Furtado, G. P., Moraes, M. O., and Pessoa, C. (2019). Epidrugs: targeting epigenetic marks in cancer treatment. *Epigenetics* 14, 1164–1176. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2019.1640546
- Morris, R., Kershaw, N. J., and Babon, J. J. (2018). The molecular details of cytokine signaling via the JAK/STAT pathway. *Protein Sci.* 27, 1984–2009. doi: 10.1002/pro.3519
- Murray, P. J. (2007). The JAK-STAT signaling pathway: input and output integration. J. Immunol. 178, 2623–2629. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.2623
- Nabipoorashrafi, S. A., Shomali, N., Sadat-Hatamnezhad, L., Mahami-Oskouei, M., Mahmoudi, J., Sandoghchian Shotorbani, B., et al. (2020). miR-143 acts as an inhibitor of migration and proliferation as well as an inducer of apoptosis in melanoma cancer cells in vitro. *IUBMB Life* 72, 2034–2044. doi: 10.1002/ iub.2345
- Nebbioso, A., Benedetti, R., Conte, M., Iside, C., and Altucci, L. (2015). Genetic mutations in epigenetic modifiers as therapeutic targets in acute myeloid leukemia. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 19, 1187–1202. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2015.1051728
- Nebbioso, A., Tambaro, F. P., Dell'Aversana, C., and Altucci, L. (2018). Cancer epigenetics: moving forward. *PLoS Genet.* 14:e1007362. doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1007362

- Netchiporouk, E., Litvinov, I. V., Moreau, L., Gilbert, M., Sasseville, D., and Duvic, M. (2014). Deregulation in STAT signaling is important for cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) pathogenesis and cancer progression. *Cell Cycle* 13, 3331–3335. doi: 10.4161/15384101.2014.965061
- Nowak, E. M., Poczeta, M., Bieg, D., and Bednarek, I. (2017). DNA methyltransferase inhibitors influence on the DIRAS3 and STAT3 expression and in vitro migration of ovarian and breast cancer cells. *Ginekol. Pol.* 88, 543–551. doi: 10.5603/GP.a2017.0099
- Nowak, E. M., Poczęta, M., Bieg, D., and Bednarek, I. (2017). DNA methyltransferase inhibitors influence on the DIRAS3 and STAT3 expression and in vitro migration of ovarian and breast cancer cells. *Ginekol. Pol.* 88, 543–551. doi: 10.5603/GP.a2017.0099
- Ogiya, D., Liu, J., Ohguchi, H., Kurata, K., Samur, M. K., Tai, Y. T., et al. (2020). The JAK-STAT pathway regulates CD38 on myeloma cells in the bone marrow microenvironment: therapeutic implications. *Blood* 136, 2334–2345. doi: 10.1182/blood.2019004332
- Pencik, J., Pham, H. T., Schmoellerl, J., Javaheri, T., Schlederer, M., Culig, Z., et al. (2016). JAK-STAT signaling in cancer: from cytokines to non-coding genome. *Cytokine* 87, 26–36. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2016.06.017
- Qin, J. J., Yan, L., Zhang, J., and Zhang, W. D. (2019). STAT3 as a potential therapeutic target in triple negative breast cancer: a systematic review. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38:195. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1206-z
- Qu, Y., Mu, G., Wu, Y., Dai, X., Zhou, F., Xu, X., et al. (2010). Overexpression of DNA methyltransferases 1, 3a, and 3b significantly correlates with retinoblastoma tumorigenesis. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* 134, 826–834. doi: 10.1309/ AJCPHGQ69FXDFWII
- Rajabi, H., Tagde, A., Alam, M., Bouillez, A., Pitroda, S., Suzuki, Y., et al. (2016). DNA methylation by DNMT1 and DNMT3b methyltransferases is driven by the MUC1-C oncoprotein in human carcinoma cells. *Oncogene* 35, 6439–6445. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.180
- Rajala, H. L. M., Porkka, K., Maciejewski, J. P., Loughran, T. P., and Mustjoki, S. (2014). Uncovering the pathogenesis of large granular lymphocytic leukemia novel STAT3 and STAT5b mutations. *Ann. Med.* 46, 114–122. doi: 10.3109/07853890.2014.882105
- Rani, A., and Murphy, J. J. (2016). STAT5 in cancer and immunity. J. Interf. Cytokine Res. 36, 226–237. doi: 10.1089/jir.2015.0054
- Reilley, M. J., McCoon, P., Cook, C., Lyne, P., Kurzrock, R., Kim, Y., et al. (2018). STAT3 antisense oligonucleotide AZD9150 in a subset of patients with heavily pretreated lymphoma: results of a phase 1b trial. J. Immunother. Cancer 6:119. doi: 10.1186/s40425-018-0436-5
- Rezapour, S., Hosseinzadeh, E., Marofi, F., and Hassanzadeh, A. (2019). Epigeneticbased therapy for colorectal cancer: Prospect and involved mechanisms. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 234, 19366–19383. doi: 10.1002/jcp.28658
- Robb, L. (2007). Cytokine receptors and hematopoietic differentiation. Oncogene 26, 6715–6723. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210756
- Saraei, R., Marofi, F., Naimi, A., Talebi, M., Ghaebi, M., Javan, N., et al. (2019). Leukemia therapy by flavonoids: future and involved mechanisms. J. Cell. Physiol. 234, 8203–8220. doi: 10.1002/jcp.27628
- Schemionek, M., Spieker, T., Kerstiens, L., Elling, C., Essers, M., Trumpp, A., et al. (2012). Leukemic spleen cells are more potent than bone marrowderived cells in a transgenic mouse model of CML. *Leukemia* 26, 1030–1037. doi: 10.1038/leu.2011.366
- Sharma, N. D., Nickl, C. K., Kang, H., Ornatowski, W., Brown, R., Ness, S. A., et al. (2019). Epigenetic silencing of SOCS5 potentiates JAK-STAT signaling and progression of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Sci.* 110:1931. doi: 10.1111/cas.14021
- Shi, Y., Zhang, Z., Qu, X., Zhu, X., Zhao, L., Wei, R., et al. (2018). Roles of STAT3 in leukemia (review). *Int. J. Oncol.* 53, 7–20. doi: 10.3892/ ijo.2018.4386
- Shomali, N., Gharibi, T., Vahedi, G., Mohammed, R. N., Mohammadi, H., Salimifard, S., et al. (2020). Mesenchymal stem cells as carrier of the therapeutic agent in the gene therapy of blood disorders. J. Cell. Physiol. 235, 4120–4134. doi: 10.1002/jcp.29324
- Shomali, N., Hatamnezhad, L. S., Tarzi, S., Tamjidifar, R., Xu, H., and Shotorbani, S. S. (2021). Heat shock proteins regulating toll-like receptors and the immune system could be a novel therapeutic target for melanoma. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 21, 15–24. doi: 10.2174/1566524020666200511091540
- Song, T. L., Nairismägi, M.-L., Laurensia, Y., Lim, J.-Q., Tan, J., Li, Z.-M., et al. (2018). Oncogenic activation of the STAT3 pathway drives PD-L1

expression in natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. *Blood* 132, 1146–1158. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-01-829424

- Stover, D. G., Gil Del Alcazar, C. R., Brock, J., Guo, H., Overmoyer, B., Balko, J., et al. (2018). Phase II study of ruxolitinib, a selective JAK1/2 inhibitor, in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. *NPJ Breast Cancer* 4:10. doi: 10.1038/s41523-018-0060-z
- Subramaniam, A., Shanmugam, M. K., Perumal, E., Li, F., Nachiyappan, A., Dai, X., et al. (2013). Potential role of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)3 signaling pathway in inflammation, survival, proliferation and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1835, 46–60. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2012.10.002
- Subramaniam, D., Thombre, R., Dhar, A., and Anant, S. (2014). DNA methyltransferases: a novel target for prevention and therapy. *Front. Oncol.* 4:80. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2014.00080
- Sutherland, K. D., Lindeman, G. J., Choong, D. Y. H., Wittlin, S., Brentzell, L., Phillips, W., et al. (2004). Differential hypermethylation of SOCS genes in ovarian and breast carcinomas. *Oncogene* 23, 7726–7733. doi: 10.1038/ sj.onc.1207787
- Tang, Y., Luo, Y., Jiang, Z., Ma, Y., Lin, C. J., Kim, C., et al. (2012). Jak/Stat3 signaling promotes somatic cell reprogramming by epigenetic regulation. *Stem Cells* 30, 2645–2656. doi: 10.1002/stem.1225
- Vasquez-Dunddel, D., Pan, F., Zeng, Q., Gorbounov, M., Albesiano, E., Fu, J., et al. (2013). STAT3 regulates arginase-I in myeloid-derived suppressor cells from cancer patients. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 1580–1589. doi: 10.1172/JCI60083
- Velazquez, L., Fellous, M., Stark, G. R., and Pellegrini, S. (1992). A protein tyrosine kinase in the interferon $\alpha\beta$ signaling pathway. *Cell* 70, 313–322. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90105-L
- Vendetti, F. P., and Rudin, C. M. (2013). Epigenetic therapy in non-smallcell lung cancer: targeting DNA methyltransferases and histone deacetylases. *Expert. Opin. Biol. Ther.* 13, 1273–1285. doi: 10.1517/1471 2598.2013.819337
- Ward, A. C., Smith, L., De Koning, J. P., Van Aesch, Y., and Touw, I. P. (1999). Multiple signals mediate proliferation, differentiation, and survival from the granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptor in myeloid 32D cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 14956–14962. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.21.14956
- Wong, A. L. A., Hirpara, J. L., Pervaiz, S., Eu, J.-Q., Sethi, G., and Goh, B.-C. (2017). Do STAT3 inhibitors have potential in the future for cancer therapy? *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 26, 883–887. doi: 10.1080/13543784.2017.1351941
- Wu, L., Yu, J., Chen, R., Liu, Y., Lou, L., Wu, Y., et al. (2015). Dual inhibition of Bcr-Abl and Hsp90 by C086 potently inhibits the proliferation of imatinibresistant CML cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21:833. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-13-3317
- Xin, J., Zhang, Z., Su, X., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., and Yang, R. (2017). Epigenetic component p66a modulates myeloid-derived suppressor cells by modifying STAT3. J. Immunol. 198, 2712–2720. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1601712
- Xue, H. H., Fink, D. W. Jr., Zhang, X., Qin, J., Turck, C. W., and Leonard, W. J. (2002). Serine phosphorylation of Stat5 proteins in lymphocytes stimulated with IL-2. *Int. Immunol.* 14, 1263–1271. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxf101
- Yang, J.-J., Chen, H., Zheng, X.-Q., Li, H.-Y., Wu, J.-B., Tang, L.-Y., et al. (2015). Methylated alteration of SHP1 complements mutation of JAK2 tyrosine kinase in patients with myeloproliferative neoplasm. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 16, 2219–2225. doi: 10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.6.2219
- Zamo, A., Chiarle, R., Piva, R., Howes, J., Fan, Y., Chilosi, M., et al. (2002). Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) activates Stat3 and protects hematopoietic cells from cell death. *Oncogene* 21, 1038–1047. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205152
- Zhang, J., Ahn, K. S., Kim, C., Shanmugam, M. K., Siveen, K. S., Arfuso, F., et al. (2016). Nimbolide-induced oxidative stress abrogates STAT3 signaling cascade and inhibits tumor growth in transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate model. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 24, 575–589. doi: 10.1089/ars.2015.6418
- Zhang, Q., Wang, H. Y., Liu, X., and Wasik, M. A. (2007). STAT5A is epigenetically silenced by the tyrosine kinase NPM1-ALK and acts as a tumor suppressor by reciprocally inhibiting NPM1-ALK expression. *Nat. Med.* 13, 1341–1348. doi: 10.1038/nm1659
- Zhao, M., Tan, Y., Peng, Q., Huang, C., Guo, Y., Liang, G., et al. (2018). IL-6/ STAT3 pathway induced deficiency of RFX1 contributes to Th17-dependent autoimmune diseases via epigenetic regulation. *Nat. Commun.* 9:583. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02890-0
- Zhou, J., Bi, C., Janakakumara, J. V., Liu, S.-C., Chng, W.-J., Tay, K.-G., et al. (2009). Enhanced activation of STAT pathways and overexpression of survivin

confer resistance to FLT3 inhibitors and could be therapeutic targets in AML. *Blood* 113, 4052-4062. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-05-156422

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may

be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zeinalzadeh, Valerievich Yumashev, Rahman, Marofi, Shomali, Kafil, Solali, Sajjadi-Dokht, Vakili-Samiani, Jarahian and Hagh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.