Meta-analysis



Journal of International Medical Research 49(5) 1–11 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605211014294 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Effects of dexmedetomidine on neurocognitive disturbance after elective non-cardiac surgery in senile patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Xiaobo Bi¹, Jingxia Wei² and Xia Zhang³

Abstract

Objective: Senile patients often experience neurocognitive disturbance after non-cardiac surgery. Several clinical trials have investigated if the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine has a positive effect on the prevention of neurocognitive dysfunction, but the results have been inconsistent. We performed a meta-analysis to investigate the effects of dexmedetomidine on neurocognitive disturbance after elective non-cardiac surgery in senile patients.

Methods: The PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE and China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases were comprehensively searched for all randomized controlled trials published before I February 2020 that investigated the efficacy of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of postoperative delirium (POD) or postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD).

Results: Sixteen studies involving 4376 patients were included in this meta-analysis. Compared with the control (i.e., saline), the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of POD and POCD. However, patients in the dexmedetomidine group were more likely to develop bradycardia and hypotension during the administration of dexmedetomidine than patients in the control group. There were no differences between the two groups in the incidence of nausea and vomiting or mortality rate.

Corresponding author:

Xia Zhang, Department of Neonatology, the Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, 25 Taiping Road, Luzhou, Sichuan Province 646000, China. Email: zhangxia08292020@163.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Anesthesiology, the Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan Province, China

²Department of Pharmacology, the Sixth People's Hospital of Chengdu, Chengdu, Sichuan China

³Department of Neonatology, the Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan Province, China

Conclusion: Dexmedetomidine has a positive effect on the prevention of neurocognitive disturbance in senile patients after elective non-cardiac surgery.

Keywords

Anesthesiology, postoperative cognitive disturbance, non-cardiac surgery, dexmedetomidine, postoperative delirium, senile

Date received: 14 October 2020; accepted: 1 April 2021

Introduction

Postoperative neurocognitive disturbance includes postoperative delirium (POD) and postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD). In general, POD occurs within 3 days after surgery,¹ whereas POCD is characterized by long-term cognitive impairment, such as the loss of memory and impaired comprehension.²⁻⁵ It was reported that 13% to 50% of senile patients develop neurocognitive disturbance after surgery,⁶⁻⁸ which is an important risk factor for many undesirable outcomes, such as a longer length of hospital stay, disability, even higher postoperative mortality rates and others.^{9–12} Therefore, many clinicians have attempted to identify better approaches to prevent POD/POCD in senile patients after surgery.^{6,13}

Dexmedetomidine, a selective α 2-adrenaline receptor agonist, has a dose-dependent sedative effect on respiration with minimal depressive effects. It also shows a modest analgesic effect through the inhibition of pain signals.^{14–16} The efficacy of the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of POD/POCD after cardiac surgery has been clearly demonstrated.^{17,18}

Scientists have found that dexmedetomidine improves the cognitive function of older rats after splenectomy.¹⁹ Several clinical trials have investigated the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in the

prevention of POD and POCD; however, there are inconsistencies among the results of these studies. For instance, both Deiner et al^{20} and Ma et al^{21} concluded that the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine did not significantly reduce POD among elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery. In contrast, other clinicians have reported opposite findings.^{22,23} Furthermore, two meta-analyses showed the efficacy of the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of POD in elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery.^{24,25} However, they both included a relatively small number of samples, and neither mentioned POCD. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis with a larger sample size to investigate the effects of dexmedetomidine on neurocognitive disturbance after elective non-cardiac surgery in senile patients and draw a more convincing conclusion, which may provide guidelines for future clinical work.

Methods and materials

Study design

We conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.²⁶ PRISMA is an evidencebased minimum set of items for reporting in systematic reviews and meta-analyses that can be used as a basis for reporting systematic reviews of different types of research. Because our study did not involve any interventions in patients or animals, ethical approval was not applicable.

Search strategies

The PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE and China National Knowledge Infrastructure databases were comprehensively searched for randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) published before February 2020 that investigated the effects of dexmedetomidine on neurocognitive disturbance after elective non-cardiac surgery in senile patients. In addition, the reference lists of all included studies were checked for any potential additional publications. We used the keywords of *dexmedetomidine*, postoperative delirium, postoperative cognitive dysfunction, POD and POCD. The detailed search strategies for each database were presented in the Supplemental materials (Supplementary Table 1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

For a published article to be included in our study, it had to meet the following criteria: (1) RCT design, (2) investigated the effects of dexmedetomidine on POD/POCD after elective non-cardiac surgery in senile patients, (3) compared dexmedetomidine with normal saline and (4) full text available.

Studies were excluded if they were duplicate publications, reviews, editorials, abstracts, commentaries, case reports, meetings, involved animals or included patients younger than 60 years old.

Data extraction

Two reviewers (Bi and Wei) independently screened the titles and abstracts of papers and selected the relevant studies. The same two reviewers (Bi and Wei) independently extracted the data from the studies according to a prespecified protocol with any disagreement settled by a third reviewer (Zhang). If data were missing, we e-mailed the corresponding author of the original article and asked if they could provide the required information.

The following items were extracted: name of the first author; publication year; type of surgery; sample size (classified by the participants' sex); participants' age; anesthesia technique; measurement method for POD/POCD; method of dexmedetomidine administration; and incidence of POD/POCD, bradycardia and hypotension during the intervention, postoperative nausea and/or vomiting (PONV) and postoperative mortality.

The primary outcome of the metaanalysis was the incidence of POD/POCD. The descriptions of instruments used in the trials enrolled were summarized in Supplementary Table 3. The secondary outcome was the incidence of bradycardia and hypotension during the intervention. PONV and postoperative mortality. Postoperative mortality was defined as allcause mortality within 30 days after surgery. The raw data were presented in the supplementary materials.

Data synthesis and statistical analysis

This meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan) Version 5.3 (Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Cochrane Collaboration, Centre, the 2014). Cochran's Q test and the I^2 statistical test were used to assess the statistical heterogeneity of the pooled results. If $0\% \leq$ $I^2 < 25\%$, the results showed no heterogeneity; if $25\% \le I^2 < 50\%$, the results showed a low level of heterogeneity; if $50\% \leq$ $I^2 < 75\%$, the results showed a medium level of heterogeneity; and if 75% < $I^2 < 100\%$, the results showed a high level 4

of heterogeneity. Data from all eligible RCTs were pooled, and the Mantel-Haenszel method was used to calculate the risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for these dichotomous outcomes. A pooled estimate of RR was computed using the DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model. This model provides an appropriate estimate of the average treatment effect when studies are statistically heterogeneous, and it typically yields relatively wide CIs resulting in a more conservative statistical claim.

The risk of bias assessment was performed using the Cochrane Collaboration tool (Cochrane, London, UK). Subgroup analyses were conducted by classifying these included studies according to their medication timing and dose and their primary outcome (POD or POCD). In addition, a sensitivity analysis was used to assess the robustness of the results by excluding specific studies, and a funnel plot was used to assess potential publication bias. Two reviewers (Bi and Wei) independently synthesized the data with any disagreement settled by a third reviewer (Zhang). A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Literature search

The literature search identified 1144 articles, of which 16 articles^{20–23,27–38} met the inclusion criteria (Supplementary Figure 1). The characteristics of the 16 studies involving 4376 participants were summarized in Supplementary Table 2. All raw data extracted from the original articles were available online.

Primary outcome

After synthesizing the data, the results showed that the perioperative intravenous

use of dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of POD and POCD in senile patients after non-cardiac surgery compared with the control group (RR: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.46–0.61; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 37\%$) (Figure 1).

Subgroup analyses

Of the 16 studies, 3 studies^{28,32,33} investigated the relationship between the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine and POCD, and the other 13 studies^{20–23,27–31,34–38} assessed whether the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine could prevent POD. As shown in Figure 2, the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of POD (RR: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.43–0.67; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 48\%$) and POCD (RR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.29–0.69; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Regarding the timing of dexmedetomidine use, 9 studies^{21,23,28,29,31-34,37} reported the intraoperative use of dexmedetomidine, the patients in 6 studies^{22,27,30,35,36,38} were given dexmedetomidine postoperatively, and Deiner *et al*²¹ administered a continuous infusion of dexmedetomidine from the start of surgery to 24 hours after surgery. As shown in Figure 3, both intraoperative (RR: 0.46; 95% CI: 0.36–0.57; p<0.001; $I^2 = 0\%$) and postoperative (RR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.39–0.70; p < 0.001; $I^2 = 46\%$) use of dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of postoperative neurocognitive cognitive disturbance compared with the control group. However, the only study that investigated the continuous use of dexmedetomidine showed that there was no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group (RR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.67–1.59; $I^2 = \text{not}$ applicable).

After classifying all studies by whether there was a loading dose or not, we found that patients in the dexmedetomidine group experienced a lower rate of postoperative

Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk Ra	tio	
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	0	M-H. Fixed.	95% CI	
8	87	13	61	3.2%	0.43 [0.19, 0.98]				
14	269	27	266	5.7%	0.51 [0.28, 0.96]				
34	189	35	201	7.2%	1.03 [0.67, 1.59]		-		
6	78	21	78	4.4%	0.29 [0.12, 0.67]	-	-		
7	30	15	30	3.2%	0.47 [0.22, 0.98]				
30	209	27	109	7.5%	0.58 [0.36, 0.92]				
10	50	21	50	4.4%	0.48 [0.25, 0.91]				
15	99	43	98	9.1%	0.35 [0.21, 0.58]				
2	30	3	30	0.6%		-			
32	350	79	350	16.7%	0.41 [0.28, 0.59]		-		
33	281	38	276	8.1%					
28	173	47	173	9.9%	0.60 [0.39, 0.90]				
2	38	3	38	0.6%		-			
3	70	12	70	2.5%	0.25 [0.07, 0.85]				
30	227	64	226	13.5%			-		
7	80	13	60	3.1%	0.40 [0.17, 0.95]				
	2260		2116	100.0%	0.53 [0.46, 0.61]		•		
261		461							
23.79, df =	15 (P =	0.07); l ² :	= 37%					1	10
							1		10
	Events 8 14 34 6 7 30 10 15 2 32 33 28 2 33 30 7 261 23.79, df =	8 87 14 269 34 189 6 78 7 30 30 209 10 50 15 99 2 30 32 350 33 281 28 173 2 38 3 70 30 227 7 80 261 23.00 261 23.79, df = 15 (P =	Total Events 8 87 13 14 269 27 34 189 35 6 78 21 7 30 15 30 209 27 10 50 21 15 99 43 2 30 3 32 350 79 33 281 38 28 173 47 2 38 3 3 70 12 30 227 64 7 80 13 2260 261 461	Events Total Events Total 8 87 13 61 14 269 27 266 34 189 35 201 6 78 21 78 7 30 15 30 30 209 27 109 10 50 21 50 15 99 43 98 2 30 3 30 32 350 79 350 33 281 38 276 28 173 47 173 2 38 3 38 3 70 12 70 30 227 64 226 7 80 13 60 2260 2116 261 461 23.79, df = 15 (P = 0.07); P = 37%	Total Events Total Weight 8 87 13 61 3.2% 14 269 27 266 5.7% 34 189 35 201 7.2% 6 78 21 78 4.4% 7 30 15 30 3.2% 30 209 27 109 7.5% 10 50 21 50 4.4% 15 99 43 98 9.1% 2 30 3 30 0.6% 32 350 79 350 16.7% 33 281 38 276 8.1% 2 38 3 38 0.6% 3 70 12 70 2.5% 30 227 64 226 13.5% 7 80 13 60 3.1% 2260 2116 100.0%	Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% CI 8 87 13 61 3.2% 0.43 [0.19, 0.98] 14 269 27 266 5.7% 0.51 [0.28, 0.96] 34 189 35 201 7.2% 1.03 [0.67, 1.59] 6 78 21 78 4.4% 0.29 [0.12, 0.67] 7 30 15 30 3.2% 0.47 [0.22, 0.98] 30 209 27 109 7.5% 0.58 [0.36, 0.92] 10 50 21 50 4.4% 0.48 [0.25, 0.91] 15 99 43 98 9.1% 0.35 [0.21, 0.58] 2 30 3 30 0.6% 0.67 [0.12, 3.71] 32 350 79 350 16.7% 0.41 [0.28, 0.59] 33 281 38 276 8.1% 0.85 [0.55, 1.32]<	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% CI 8 87 13 61 3.2% 0.43 [0.19, 0.98] 14 269 27 266 5.7% 0.51 [0.28, 0.96] 34 189 35 201 7.2% 1.03 [0.67, 1.59] 6 78 21 78 4.4% 0.29 [0.12, 0.67] 7 7 30 15 30 3.2% 0.47 [0.22, 0.98] 30 209 27 109 7.5% 0.58 [0.36, 0.92] 10 50 21 50 4.4% 0.48 [0.25, 0.91] 15 15 99 43 98 9.1% 0.35 [0.26, 0.59] 32 350 79 350 16.7% 0.41 [0.28, 0.59] 33 281 38 276 8.1% 0.85 [0.55, 1.32] 28 28 173 47	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl M-H. Fixed. 8 87 13 61 3.2% 0.43 [0.19 , 0.98]	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl 8 87 13 61 3.2% 0.43 [0.19, 0.98]

Figure 1. Meta-analysis of the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of POD and POCD in senile patients after non-cardiac surgery. Each diamond represents the summary of all presented data.

POD, postoperative delirium; POCD, postoperative cognitive dysfunction; CI, confidence interval.

	Dexmedetor	nidine	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 POD							
Cheng 2019	14	269	27	266	6.2%	0.51 [0.28, 0.96]	
Deiner 2017	34	189	35	201	9.6%	1.03 [0.67, 1.59]	-
Guo 2015	6	78	21	78	3.9%	0.29 [0.12, 0.67]	
He 2018	7	30	15	30	4.9%	0.47 [0.22, 0.98]	
Lee 2018	30	209	27	109	8.8%	0.58 [0.36, 0.92]	
Liu 2015	15	99	43	98	7.8%	0.35 [0.21, 0.58]	
Ma 2013	2	30	3	30	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.71]	
Su 2016	32	350	79	350	10.6%	0.41 [0.28, 0.59]	
Sun 2019	33	281	38	276	9.4%	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]	
Ting 2019	28	173	47	173	9.8%	0.60 [0.39, 0.90]	
Wu 2016	2	38	3	38	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.77]	· · · · ·
Xie 2018	3	70	12	70	2.1%	0.25 [0.07, 0.85]	
Kuan 2018	30	227	64	226	10.4%	0.47 [0.32, 0.69]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		2043		1945	86.0%	0.53 [0.43, 0.67]	•
Total events	236		414				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Chi ² = 22	2.90, df =	12(P = 0)).03); I ²	= 48%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.55 (P < 0	0.00001)					
1.1.2 POCD							
Chen 2015	8	87	13	61	4.2%	0.43 [0.19, 0.98]	
i 2015	10	50	21	50	5.9%	0.48 [0.25, 0.91]	
Zhang 2019	7	80	13	60	3.9%	0.40 [0.17, 0.95]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		217		171	14.0%	0.44 [0.29, 0.69]	•
Total events	25		47				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 0.	10, df = 2	2 (P = 0.9	5); l ² = (0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.66 (P = 0	0.0003)					
Total (95% CI)		2260		2116	100.0%	0.52 [0.43, 0.63]	•
Total events	261		461				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Chi ² = 23	3.79, df =	15(P = 0)).07); l ²	= 37%		
Test for overall effect:							0.01 0.1 1 10 10
Test for subaroup diffe			= 1 (P = 0	46) 12	= 0%		Favours [Dexmedetomidine] Favours [control]

Figure 2. Subgroup analysis based on the type of postoperative neurocognitive disturbance. Cl, confidence interval.

	Dexmedetor	nidine	Cont	rol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 Intraoperative u	use of dexmed	etomidin	e				
Chen 2015	8	87	13	61	4.2%	0.43 [0.19, 0.98]	
Cheng 2019	14	269	27	266	6.2%	0.51 [0.28, 0.96]	
He 2018	7	30	15	30	4.9%	0.47 [0.22, 0.98]	
Lee 2018	30	209	27	109	8.8%	0.58 [0.36, 0.92]	
Li 2015	10	50	21	50	5.9%	0.48 [0.25, 0.91]	
Liu 2015	15	99	43	98	7.8%	0.35 [0.21, 0.58]	
Ma 2013	2	30	3	30	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.71]	
Xie 2018	3	70	12	70	2.1%	0.25 [0.07, 0.85]	
Zhang 2019	7	80	13	60	3.9%	0.40 [0.17, 0.95]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		924		774	45.0%	0.46 [0.36, 0.57]	•
Total events	96		174				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 3.	52. df = 8	(P = 0.9)	0); ² =	0%		
Test for overall effect:							
		10					
2.1.2 Postoperative	use of dexmed	etomidin	e				
Guo 2015	6	78	21	78	3.9%	0.29 [0.12, 0.67]	
Su 2016	32	350	79	350	10.6%	0.41 [0.28, 0.59]	
Sun 2019	33	281	38	276	9.4%	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]	
Ting 2019	28	173	47	173	9.8%	0.60 [0.39, 0.90]	
Wu 2016	2	38	3	38	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.77]	
Xuan 2018	30	227	64	226	10.4%	0.47 [0.32, 0.69]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1147		1141	45.4%	0.52 [0.39, 0.70]	•
Total events	131		252				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Chi ² = 9.	28, df = 5	(P = 0.1)	0); ² =	46%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.42 (P < 0	0.00001)					
2.1.3 Intraoperative a	and postopera	tive use	of dexm	edetom	nidine		
Deiner 2017	34	189	35	201	9.6%	1.03 [0.67, 1.59]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)	-	189	55	201	9.6%	1.03 [0.67, 1.59]	•
Total events	34	100	35	201	51070	[eler, nee]	
Heterogeneity: Not ap			55				
Test for overall effect:		0.88)					
Total (95% CI)		2260		2116	100.0%	0.52 [0.43, 0.63]	•
	004	2200	464	2110	100.0%	0.52 [0.45, 0.65]	•
Total events	261	70 .4	461	071.12	070/		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =			15 (P = ().07); l²	= 37%		0.01 0.1 1 10 1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.72 (P < (1.00001)					Favours [Dexmedetomidine] Favours [control]

Figure 3. Subgroup analysis based on the timing of dexmedetomidine use. Cl, confidence interval.

neurocognitive cognitive disturbance (RR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.43–0.63; p < 0.001; $I^2=37\%$) regardless of whether a loading dose was applied (Figure 4).

Secondary outcomes

Adverse events related to the use of dexmedetomidine were analyzed as our secondary outcomes. Of note, we e-mailed the corresponding author of Ting *et al*³⁰ to ask for the data regarding adverse events because they only summarized the adverse events and did not present them separately. Patients in the dexmedetomidine group were more likely to experience hypotension during dexmedetomidine use (RR: 1.29; 95% CI: 1.12–1.49; p=0.0006; $I^2=3\%$) (Supplementary Figure 2). As shown in Supplementary Figure 3, patients in the dexmedetomidine group had a higher incidence of bradycardia than those in the control group (RR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.15–1.67; p=0.0008; $I^2=0\%$). The synthesized data showed that there was no significant difference between the dexmedetomidine group and the control group in the incidence of PONV (RR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.58–1.17; $I^2=11\%$) (Supplementary Figure 4). Last,

	Dexmedetor	nidine	Contr	lo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 With a loading	dose						
Chen 2015	8	87	13	61	4.2%	0.43 [0.19, 0.98]	
Cheng 2019	14	269	27	266	6.2%	0.51 [0.28, 0.96]	
He 2018	7	30	15	30	4.9%	0.47 [0.22, 0.98]	
Lee 2018	30	209	27	109	8.8%	0.58 [0.36, 0.92]	
Li 2015	10	50	21	50	5.9%	0.48 [0.25, 0.91]	
Ma 2013	2	30	3	30	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.71]	
Ting 2019	28	173	47	173	9.8%	0.60 [0.39, 0.90]	
Xie 2018	3	70	12	70	2.1%	0.25 [0.07, 0.85]	
Zhang 2019	7	80	13	60	3.9%	0.40 [0.17, 0.95]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		998		849	47.0%	0.52 [0.41, 0.64]	•
Total events	109		178				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 2.	77. df = 8	B (P = 0.9	5); ² =	0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.96 (P < 0	0.00001)					
3.1.2 Without a loadi	ng dose						
Deiner 2017	34	189	35	201	9.6%	1.03 [0.67, 1.59]	-
Guo 2015	6	78	21	78	3.9%	0.29 [0.12, 0.67]	
Liu 2015	15	99	43	98	7.8%	0.35 [0.21, 0.58]	
Su 2016	32	350	79	350	10.6%	0.41 [0.28, 0.59]	-
Sun 2019	33	281	38	276	9.4%	0.85 [0.55, 1.32]	
Wu 2016	2	38	3	38	1.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.77]	
Xuan 2018	30	227	64	226	10.4%	0.47 [0.32, 0.69]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		1262		1267	53.0%	0.53 [0.37, 0.77]	•
Total events	152		283				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.16; Chi ² = 20).82, df =	6 (P = 0.	002); l ²	= 71%		
Test for overall effect:							
Total (95% CI)		2260		2116	100.0%	0.52 [0.43, 0.63]	♦
Total events	261		461				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Chi ² = 23	3.79. df =	15 (P = 0).07); l ²	= 37%		
Test for overall effect:			25100				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subaroup diffe			= 1 (P = 0	88) 12	= 0%		Favours [Dexmedetomidine] Favours [control]

Figure 4. Subgroup analysis based on the use of a loading dose. Cl, confidence interval.

patients in both groups exhibited the same mortality rate after surgery (RR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.28–1.84; $I^2=0\%$) (Supplementary Figure 5).

Sensitivity analysis

Three studies^{20,23,32} included patients with preoperative mild cognitive impairment, which we thought might be the source of the heterogeneity. Therefore, we performed a sensitivity analysis by excluding these 3 studies, and the heterogeneity decreased to zero (RR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.42–0.59; $I^2=0\%$) (Supplementary Figure 6).

Bias assessment

As shown in the risk of bias summary (Supplementary Figure 7) and risk of bias graph (Supplementary Figure 8), two studies^{31,33} had a high risk for performance bias, one study³⁸ had a high risk for reporting bias, and one study²⁸ was rated as high risk for selection bias. Regarding publication bias, there was no significant asymmetry in the funnel plot (Supplementary Figure 9), indicating that no significant publication bias existed.

Discussion

We concluded that the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine has a positive effect the prevention on of postoperative cognitive disturbance based on the results of our meta-analysis. Only one study²⁰ reported both the intraoperative and postoperative use of dexmedetomidine (i.e., continuous infusion of dexmedetomidine from the start of surgery

to 24 hours after surgery) and showed that there was no significant difference between the experimental group and the control group.

Postoperative neurocognitive disturbance is closely related to the age of patients.^{6,35} Additionally, studies have shown that postoperative cognitive disturbance has a certain relationship with anesthesia, especially the use of general anesthetic drugs.³⁹

Dexmedetomidine is a derivative of medetomidine, which inhibits the sympathetic nervous system and reduces the release of norepinephrine.⁴⁰ Promisingly, its brain-protective effect in senile patients is currently being widely investigated by clinicians.⁴¹

Nine studies reported the use of a loading dose. The most frequently used loading dose was $0.5 \mu g/kg$ for 10 to 15 minutes before or after induction, whereas the other studies reported a loading dose of $1 \mu g/kg$ for 10 to 15 minutes before or after induction. The maintenance rate of dexmedetomidine ranged from 0.2 to 0.7 µg/kg/minute throughout the entire surgery or for 30 minutes before the end of surgery. Six studies reported the postoperative use of dexmedetomidine at a rate of 0.1 to 0.2 ug/kg/minute for several hours or even as long as 3 days. The dose was relatively smaller when patients were awake after anesthesia. Generally, the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine prevented postoperative cognitive disturbance regardless of whether a loading dose was applied.

Hypotension and bradycardia are the most common side effects of dexmedetomidine and are caused by its inhibition of the sympathetic nervous system.⁴² It is not surprising that patients in the experimental group experienced a higher incidence of hypotension and bradycardia. Importantly, these are transient side effects and have no significant impact on the prognosis of patients. Previous studies have demonstrated that the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine improved patient prognosis and shortened their length of hospital stay.⁴³ Nevertheless, the use of dexmedetomidine had no effect on reducing PONV.

The effects of dexmedetomidine on delirium have been evaluated in different patient populations, including non-cardiac surgical elderly patients and cardiac surgical patients. An article published in 2020 in The Lancet showed no benefit from perioperative dexmedetomidine use in cardiac surgery regarding the postoperative complications of delirium and atrial fibrillation.⁴⁴ The results varied among different participants.

A medium level of heterogeneity was observed among all studies included in this meta-analysis ($I^2=37\%$). Three studies^{20,23,31} included patients with preoperative mild cognitive impairment, which we thought might be the source of the heterogeneity. After the exclusion of these three studies, the I^2 was decreased to zero, demonstrating that the heterogeneity was derived from these three studies.

This study has several limitations. First, we only found one study²¹ that reported the intraoperative and postoperative use of dexmedetomidine, and it showed that dexmedetomidine did not prevent postoperacognitive disturbance. Therefore, tive further studies should focus on the timing of the combined intraoperative and postoperative use of dexmedetomidine. Second, only four studies reported the occurrence of PONV, and the results showed that the perioperative intravenous use of dexmedetomidine did not reduce the incidence of This result contradicted our PONV. hypothesis that dexmedetomidine reduces the incidence of PONV due to its inhibition of the sympathetic nervous system and sedative effect. Thus, further studies should be performed to investigate the efficacy of the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of PONV.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The study was supported by the Sichuan Science and Technology Department Foundation (2019YJ0692).

Author contributions

Xiaobo Bi participated in designing the study, screening the articles, data extraction and data synthesis, and preparing the first draft of the manuscript. Xia Zhang participated in designing the study, solving the discrepancies between different reviewers, critically revising the manuscript, and providing funding support. Jingxia Wei participated in screening the articles, data extraction, and data synthesis.

ORCID iD

Xia Zhang () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1939-4885

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Erdem AF, Kayabasoglu G, Tas Tuna A, et al. Effect of controlled hypotension on regional cerebral oxygen saturation during rhinoplasty: a prospective study. J Clin Monit Comput 2016; 30: 655–660.
- Rudolph JL, Marcantonio ER, Culley DJ, et al. Delirium is associated with early postoperative cognitive dysfunction. *Anaesthesia* 2008; 63: 941–947.
- Aldecoa C, Bettelli G, Bilotta F, et al. European Society of Anaesthesiology evidence-based and consensus-based guideline on postoperative delirium. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2017; 34: 192–214.
- 4. Evered L, Silbert B, Knopman DS, et al, Nomenclature Consensus Working G.

Recommendations for the Nomenclature of Cognitive Change Associated with Anaesthesia and Surgery-2018. *Anesthesiology* 2018; 129: 872–879.

- Hughes CG, Boncyk CS, Culley DJ, et al. Perioperative Quality Initiative W. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative Joint Consensus Statement on Postoperative Delirium Prevention. *Anesth Analg* 2020; 130: 1572–1590.
- Inouye SK, Westendorp RGJ and Saczynski JS. Delirium in elderly people. *Lancet* 2014; 383: 911–922.
- Morimoto Y, Yoshimura M, Utada K, et al. Prediction of postoperative delirium after abdominal surgery in the elderly. *J Anesth* 2009; 23: 51–56.
- Fu H, Fan L and Wang T. Perioperative neurocognition in elderly patients. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2018; 31: 24–29.
- Joost W, Eurelings LSM, Jonghe JFM, et al. Delirium in elderly patients and the risk of postdischarge mortality, institutionalization, and dementia: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2010; 304: 443–451.
- Robinson TN, Raeburn CD, Tran ZV, et al. Postoperative delirium in the elderly: risk factors and outcomes. *Ann Surg* 2009; 249: 173–178.
- Krogseth M, Watne LO, Juliebø V, et al. Delirium is a risk factor for further cognitive decline in cognitively impaired hip fracture patients. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2016; 64: 38–44.
- Moller JT, Cluitmans P, Rasmussen LS, et al. Long-term postoperative cognitive dysfunction in the elderly ISPOCD1 study. ISPOCD investigators. International Study of Post-Operative Cognitive Dysfunction. *Lancet* 1998; 351: 857–861.
- Hshieh T, Yue J, Oh E, et al. Effectiveness of multicomponent nonpharmacological delirium interventions: a meta-analysis. JAMA Intern Med 2015; 175: 512–520.
- Giovannitti JA, Thoms SM and Crawford JJ. Alpha–2 adrenergic receptor agonists: a review of current clinical applications. *Anesth Prog* 2015; 62: 31–38.
- 15. Li J, Xiong M, Nadavaluru PR, et al. Dexmedetomidine attenuates neurotoxicity

induced by prenatal propofol exposure. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2016; 28: 51–64.

- 16. Blaudszun G, Lysakowski C, Elia N, et al. Effect of perioperative systemic α2 agonists on postoperative morphine consumption and pain intensity: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesiology* 2012; 116: 1312–1322.
- Djaiani G, Silverton N, Fedorko L, et al. Dexmedetomidine versus propofol sedation reduces delirium after cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiology* 2016; 124: 362–368.
- Liu X, Xie G, Zhang K, et al. Dexmedetomidine vs propofol sedation reduces delirium in patients after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Crit Care 2017; 38: 190–196.
- Xiong B, Shi Q and Fang H. Dexmedetomidine alleviates postoperative cognitive dysfunction by inhibiting neuron excitation in aged rats. *Am J Transl Res* 2016; 8: 70–80.
- Deiner S, Luo X, Lin HM, et al. Intraoperative infusion of dexmedetomidine for prevention of postoperative delirium and cognitive dysfunction in elderly patients undergoing major elective noncardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg 2017; 152: e171505.
- Ma P, Piao M, Wang Y, et al. Influence of dexmedetomidine and sub-anesthetic dose of ketamine on postoperative delirium in elderly orthopedic patients under total intravenous anesthesia. J Jilin Univ (Med Ed) 2013; 39: 128–132.
- 22. Guo Y, Sun L, Chen Z, et al. Preventive effect of dexmedetomidine on postoperative delirium in elderly patients with oral cancer (Chinese). *Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Yao* 2015; 24: 236–239.
- 23. Liu Y, Ma L, Gao M, et al. Dexmedetomidine reduces postoperative delirium after joint replacement in elderly patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2016; 28: 729–736.
- 24. Zeng H, Li Z, He J, et al. Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing noncardiac

surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0218088.

- 25. Pan H, Liu C, Ma X, et al. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces delirium in elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized-controlled trials. *Can J Anesth* 2019; 66: 1489–1500.
- 26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009; 339: b2535.
- Sun Y, Jiang M, Ji Y, et al. Impact of postoperative dexmedetomidine infusion on incidence of delirium in elderly patients undergoing major elective noncardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2019; 13: 2911–2922.
- Zhang J, Liu G, Zhang F, et al. Analysis of postoperative cognitive dysfunction and influencing factors of dexmedetomidine anesthesia in elderly patients with colorectal cancer. *Oncol Lett* 2019; 18: 3058–3064.
- Xie S and Xie M. Effect of dexmedetomidine on postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. *Pak J Pharm Sci* 2018; 31: 2277–2281.
- Ting H, Hu X, Peng H, et al. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces delirium in elderly patients after lung cancer surgery. *Psychiatria Danubina* 2019; 31: 95–101.
- 31. Lee C, Lee CH, Lee G, et al. The effect of the timing and dose of dexmedetomidine on postoperative delirium in elderly patients after laparoscopic major non-cardiac surgery: a double blind randomized controlled study. *J Clin Anesth* 2018; 47: 27–32.
- 32. Li Y, He R, Chen S, et al. Effect of dexmedetomidine on early postoperative cognitive dysfunction and peri-operative inflammation in elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Exp Ther Med* 2015; 10: 1635–1642.
- Chen W, Liu B, Zhang F, et al. The effects of dexmedetomidine on post-operative cognitive dysfunction and inflammatory factors in senile patients. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2015; 8: 4601–4605.
- Cheng X, Mei B, Zuo Y, et al. A multicentre randomised controlled trial of the effect of intra-operative dexmedetomidine on

cognitive decline after surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2019; 74: 741–750.

- 35. Su X, Meng Z, Wu X, et al. Dexmedetomidine for prevention of delirium in elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 1893–1902.
- 36. Wu X, Cui F, Zhang C, et al. Low-dose Dexmedetomidine improves sleep quality pattern in elderly patients after noncardiac surgery in the intensive care unit. A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Anesthesiology* 2016; 125: 979–991.
- He F, Shen L and Zhong J. A study of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients after vertebral osteotomy. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2018; 11: 4984–4990.
- Xuan Y, Fan R, Chen J, et al. Effects of dexmedetomidine for postoperative delirium after joint replacement in elderly patients: a randomized, double-blind, and placebocontrolled trial. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2018; 11: 13147–13157.

- 39. De Tournay-Jetté E, Dupuis G, Bherer L, et al. The relationship between cerebral oxygen saturation changes and postoperative cognitive dysfunction in elderly patients after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2011; 25: 95–104.
- Pavlov VA and Tracey KJ. The cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. *Brain Behav Immun* 2005; 19: 493–499.
- Maldonado JR, Wysong A, Van Der Starre PJ, et al. Dexmedetomidine and the reduction of postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery. *Psychosomatics* 2009; 50: 206–217.
- Kamibayashi T and Maze M. Clinical uses of alpha2-adrenergic agonists. *Anesthesiology* 2000; 93: 1345–1349.
- Wu M, Liang Y, Dai Z, et al. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces delirium after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Anesth* 2018; 50: 33–42.
- 44. Turan A, Duncan A, Leung S, et al. Dexmedetomidine for reduction of atrial fibrillation and delirium after cardiac surgery (DECADE): a randomized placebocontrolled trial. *Lancet* 2020; 396: 177–185.